Assignment 2

z5019338 z5131048

March 3, 2019

1 Introduction

Given a positive integer number n, return the n'th emirp. An emirp is a prime whose (base 10) reversal is also prime, but which is not a palindromic prime. Firstly, let the set of all prime numbers be as *prime*, where *prime* denote as:

$$prime = \{ p \in \mathbb{N}^+ \mid p > 1 \land \neg \exists k \in \mathbb{N}^+. \{1, n\} : \frac{p}{k} \in \mathbb{N}^+ \}$$

Let the sequence of all the prime numbers be as P_n , where P_n is denoted as

$$P_n = \langle 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, ... \rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}^+}$$

Given proc reversen as defined in the specification, it is going to be denoted as the mathematical function $res: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ as following:

$$res(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \log n \rfloor} S_i 10^i$$

where $n = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \log n \rfloor} S_i 10^{\lfloor \log n \rfloor - i}$

2 The Derivation

Before deriving **proc** EMIRP(value $n : \mathbb{N}^+$, result $r : \mathbb{N}^+$), it needs to develop 2 procedure that help with proc EMIRP

proc ISPRIME(value $n : \mathbb{N}^+$, result $b : \mathbb{B}$) **proc** NEXTPRIME(value $p : \mathbb{N}^+$, result $q : \mathbb{N}^+$).

Where the loop invariant is defined as:

```
(IV) \sqsubseteq \langle s\text{-post}, \text{ justified below in Sect. } 2.3 \rangle
                  n, b, k : [Inv, Inv \land k = n]
                         \langle \mathbf{c}\text{-frame}, \mathbf{while} \rangle
                  while k < n do
                          \mathbf{L} n, b, k : \left[ \ Inv \wedge k < n, Inv [^{k+1}/_k] \ \right] \mathbf{L}_{(V)}
                  od;
      (V) \sqsubseteq
                         \langle seq, c-frame \rangle
                 \mathbf{L}n, b, k: \left[ \ Inv \land k < n, Inv[^{k+1}/_k] \ \right] \mathbf{L}_{(VI)}
                 (VI) \sqsubseteq
                   \langle \mathbf{if} 
angle
                  if n|k then
                          \lfloor n, b, k : \lceil n | k \wedge Inv \wedge k < n, Inv[^{k+1}/_k] \rceil \rfloor (VIII)
                  else;
                          \lfloor n, k : \lceil n \nmid k \land Inv \land k < n, Inv \lceil k+1/k \rceil \rceil \rfloor (IX)
                  \mathbf{fi}
(VIII) \sqsubseteq
                          \langle \mathbf{ass} \rangle
                  b := False;
                         \langle skip \rangle
   (IX) \square
                  skip;
  (VII) \sqsubseteq
                    \langle \mathbf{ass} \rangle
                  k := k + 1:
```

2.1 Proof of $\spadesuit \sqsubset b := True; k := 2;$

We need to prove validity

$$n > 0 \Rightarrow Inv[^{2,True}/_{k,b}]$$

i.e., the prerequisite of the relevant instance of **ass**.Expanding the definitions and performing the substitution yields

$$\begin{aligned} n > 0 \Rightarrow \\ \left(\begin{array}{l} (n = 1 \Rightarrow b = False) \lor \\ 2 < 2 \leq n \land (\neg \exists 2 \in 2..(n-1) \ (p \mid k) \Leftrightarrow n \in prime \land True = True) \\ \lor (\exists k \in 2..(n-1) \ (p \mid k) \Leftrightarrow n \notin prime \land b = False) \end{array} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, we have established validity of the second conjunct of the RHS. It's obviously true. As long as any disjunct is true, the rest is true

2.2 Proof of $\heartsuit \sqsubseteq b := False;$

We need to prove validity

$$n > 0 \land n = 1 \Rightarrow Inv[^{1,False}/_{n,b}]$$

i.e., the prerequisite of the relevant instance of **ass**.Expanding the definitions and performing the substitution yields

$$n > 0 \land n = 1 \Rightarrow$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} (n = 1 \Rightarrow False = False) \lor \\ 2 < k \le n \land (\neg \exists k \in 2..(n-1) (p \mid k) \Leftrightarrow n \in prime \land b = True) \\ \lor (\exists k \in 2..(n-1) (p \mid k) \Leftrightarrow n \notin prime \land b = False) \end{pmatrix}$$

Clearly, we have established validity of the first conjunct of the RHS. It's obviously true. As long as any disjunct is true, the rest is true

2.3 Proof of $(IV) \sqsubseteq Inv \Rightarrow Inv \land k = n$

Expanding the definitions and performing the substitution yields

$$\begin{pmatrix} (n=1 \Rightarrow b=False) \lor \\ 2 < k \leq n \land (\neg \exists k \in 2..(n-1) (p \mid k) \Leftrightarrow n \in prime \land b = True) \\ \lor (\exists k \in 2..(n-1) (p \mid k) \Leftrightarrow n \notin prime \land b = False) \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \\ \begin{pmatrix} (n=1 \Rightarrow b=False) \lor \\ 2 < k \leq n \land (\neg \exists k \in 2..(n-1) (p \mid k) \Leftrightarrow n \in prime \land b = True) \\ \lor (\exists k \in 2..(n-1) (p \mid k) \Leftrightarrow n \notin prime \land b = False) \land k = n \end{pmatrix}$$

According there is 3 disconjuction of the LHS, there are 3 cases to consider:

- 1. $n > 0 \land n = 1 \Rightarrow Inv[^{1,2,False}/_{n,2,b}]$: as prove above, the fist disjunct of the RHS follows immediately.
- 2. $2 \le k \le n-1 \land p \nmid k \Rightarrow Inv[^{k+1,True}/_{k,b}]$: we prove the second disjunct of the RHS. As $2 \le k \le n-1 \land p \nmid k \Rightarrow \neg \exists k \in 2..(n-1) \ (p \mid k) \Leftrightarrow n \in prime \land b = True$
- 3. $2 \le k \le n-1 \land p \mid k \Rightarrow Inv[^{k+1,False}/_k]$: similarly, $2 \le k \le n-1 \land p \mid k \Rightarrow \exists k \in 2..(n-1)(p \mid k) \Leftrightarrow n \in prime \land b = False$

Clearly, we have established validity of the RHS. It's obviously true.

We gather the code for the procedure body of ISPRIME:

$$b := True;$$

if $n = 1$ then
 $b := False;$
else

```
skip
fi
k := 2
while k < n do
if n = 1 then
b := False;
else
skip
fi
od;
```

proc EMIRP(value n, result r) ·

Where the loop invariant is defined as:

$$Inv = (0 \le i \le n \land s = P_i \land rev(s) \in prime \land s \ne rev(s) \land r = P_{(n-i)})$$

where P is the prime numbers sequence.

(3)
$$\sqsubseteq$$
 $\langle ass \rangle$
 $s: [n > 0, Inv[^{13,n}/_s], n]$
 \sqsubseteq $\langle ass, justified below in Sect. 2.4 \rangle$
 $s:= 13$
(4) \sqsubseteq $\langle s\text{-post}, justified below in Sect. 2.6 \rangle$
 $n, r, s: [Inv[^{n-1}/_n], Inv \wedge n = 0]$
 \sqsubseteq $\langle while, seq, c\text{-frame} \rangle$
 $while n \neq 0$ do
 $_var \ k, b \cdot n, r, s: [Inv \wedge n \neq 0, Inv[^{n-1}/_n]] \rfloor \lrcorner (A)$
od;

```
Lr: [Inv \wedge n = 0, Inv[^0/_n]] \rfloor_{(B)}
 A \sqsubseteq \langle \text{c-frame,seq} \rangle
          \lfloor k, b : \lceil Inv \wedge n \neq 0, Inv \lceil n-1/n \rceil \rceil \rfloor (A1)
          \lfloor n, k, b, s : \lceil Inv \land n \neq 0, Inv \lceil n-1/n \rceil \rceil \rfloor_{(A2)}
          Ls: [Inv \land n \neq 0, Inv[^{n-1}/_n]] \rfloor (A3)
A1 \sqsubseteq \langle ..., proc, seq \rangle
           REVERSEN(s, k); ISPRIME(k, b);
A2 \square
                   \langle \mathbf{if}, \text{ where } q = (n \neq 0 \land k = rev(s) \land b \land k \neq s \lor n = 0) \rangle
           if q then
                   \mathbf{L} n, k, s: \left[ \ g \wedge Inv \wedge n \neq 0, Inv [^{n-1}/_n] \ \right] \mathbf{L}_{(i)}
           else
                   \lfloor n, k, s : \lceil \neg g \wedge Inv \wedge n \neq 0, Inv[^{n-1}/_n] \rceil \rfloor_{(ii)}
           \mathbf{fi}
   i \sqsubseteq
                   \langle \mathbf{a}ss \rangle
           n := n - 1;
  ii \square
                   \langle skip \rangle
           skip
                \langle ..., proc \rangle
A3 \sqsubseteq
           NEXTPRIME(s, s)
                   \langle ass, justified below in Sect. 2.5 \rangle
 B \sqsubseteq
           r := s;
```

2.4 Proof of (3) $\sqsubseteq s := 13$

We need to prove validity

$$n > 0 \Rightarrow Inv[^{13,n}/_{s,n}]$$

i.e., the prerequisite of the relevant instance of **ass**.Expanding the definitions and performing the substitution yields

$$n>0 \Rightarrow$$
 ($0 \le n \le n \land s = 13 \land rev(13) \in prime \land s \ne rev(13) \land r = P_{n-n}$)

Clearly, we have established the validity of those conjunct of the RHS with s := 13 and n := n. This whole conjuncts are obviously true when s initialises with 13.

2.5 Proof of $(B) \sqsubseteq r := s$

We need to prove validity

$$Inv \wedge n = 0 \Rightarrow Inv[^0/_n]$$

i.e., the prerequisite of the relevant instance of ass. Expanding the definitions and performing the substitution yields

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} 0 \leq i \leq n \wedge s = P_i \wedge rev(s) \in prime \wedge s \neq rev(s) \wedge r = P_{(n-i)} \wedge n = 0 \end{array} \right) \Rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{l} 0 \leq i \leq 0 \wedge s = P_0 \wedge rev(s) \in prime \wedge s \neq rev(s) \wedge r = P_n \end{array} \right)$$

Clearly, we should establish the validity of first, second and fifth conjunct of the RHS by replace n as 0 in the LHS. After substit n by 0 in the LHS, RHS is given with n=0 implication.

2.6 Proof of (4)
$$\sqsubseteq Inv^{[n-1]} \Rightarrow Inv \land n = 0$$

Expanding the definitions and performing the substitution yields

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} 0 \leq i \leq n-1 \wedge s = P_i \wedge rev(s) \in prime \wedge s \neq rev(s) \wedge r = P_{(n-1-i)} \end{array} \right) \Rightarrow \\ \left(\begin{array}{l} n = 0 \wedge 0 \leq i \leq n \wedge s = P_i \wedge rev(s) \in prime \wedge s \neq rev(s) \wedge r = P_{(n-i)} \end{array} \right)$$

According the conjuncts of LHS, there are 2 cases to consider:

- 1. $n = 0 \wedge Inv[0/n]$: its validity as the previour proof.
- 2. $n \neq 0 \wedge Inv[^{n-1}/_n]$: while $n \neq 0$, the first conjunct can remove in the RHS and replace the rest n with n-1. This become indentical with LHS, therefore, it is valid and true.

We gather the code for the procedure body of EMIRP:

```
s := 2;
while n \neq 0 do
reversen(s, k)
if k \in prime \land k \neq s then
n = n - 1
else
skip
fi
nextPrime(s, s)
od:
```

3 The C Code

```
#include <stdio.h>
 1
 2 #include <stdlib.h>
 3 #include <assert.h>
 4 #include <gmp.h>
  #define USEGMP
 5
 6
   #include "reverse.h"
   #define FALSE 0
   #define TRUE 1
 9
10
   void emirp(mpz_t n, mpz_t r) {
11
12
        /* 1. Initialize the beginning prime number s as 13 */
13
        mpz_t s;
14
        mpz_init(s);
15
        mpz_set_ui(s, 13);
16
        // decrement n till n equal to 0
17
18
        while (mpz\_cmp\_ui(n, 0) != 0) {
           /* 3. reverse s put into r*/
19
           reversen(s, r);
20
            /* 4. check if r is prime number and r is not same as s*/
21
22
           if (mpz_probab_prime_p(r, 40) && mpz_cmp(r, s)) {
23
                /* 5. decrement the n when r is prime*/
24
               mpz\_sub\_ui(n, n, 1);
25
           /* 6. get the next prime number while n = !0 */
26
```

```
27
            mpz_nextprime(s, s);
28
29
        /* while n = 0, reverse r as nth prime is s and return r as result */
        reversen(r, r);
30
31
    }
32
33
    int main() {
34
        char inputStr[1024];
35
        // mpz_t is the type defined for GMP integers.
        // It is a pointer to the internals of the GMP integer data structure
36
37
        mpz_t n;
38
        int flag;
39
40
        printf("Enter your number: ");
        flag = scanf("\%1023s", inputStr);
41
        // NOTE: never every write a call scanf ("%s", inputStr);
42
        // You are leaving a security hole in your code.
43
44
        assert(flag > 0);
45
        // If flag is greater 0 then the operation /*
46
47
        // 1. Initialize the number
        mpz_init(n);
48
49
        mpz_set_ui(n, 0);
50
51
        // 2. Parse the input string as a base 10 number
52
        flag = mpz\_set\_str(n, inputStr, 10);
53
        assert(flag == 0);
54
        // If flag is not 0 then the operation /*
55
56
        // 3. Initialize the result number
57
        mpz_t r;
58
        mpz_init(r);
59
        // 4. find the nth number that reversed also is prime
60
61
        emirp(n, r);
62
        mpz_out_str(stdout, 10, r);
63
        printf("\n");
64
        // 6. Clean up the mpz_t handles or else we will leak memory
65
        mpz_clear(n);
66
        return EXIT_SUCCESS;
67
   }
```

In our C implementation, we used the GMP library, allowing us to replace functions in our toy language:

isPrime is replaced with the function $mpz_probab_prime_p$, nextPrime is replaced with $mpz_nextprime$, and reversen is given to us in the spec.

Other functions are also used such as:

 mpz_set_ui is a function which assigns a variable (instead of s=13)

 mpz_init is an initialising function.

 mpz_sub_ui is a function which allows us to subtract.

 mpz_cmp is a function which allows us to compare (instead of r == s)

We use these functions as we are dealing with the type mpz_t instead of a regular long or int. We use these functions under the assumption that they work correctly as they are library functions.