# COMP3121 Assignment1

## Fiona Lin z5131048

March 30, 2019

1. [20 marks] You are given two polynomials,

$$P_A(x) = A_0 + A_3 x^3 + A_6 x^6$$
  
and  
$$P_B(x) = B_0 + B_3 x^3 + B_6 x^6 + B_9 x^9$$

where all  $A'_i$ s and  $B'_j$ s are large numbers. Multiply these two polynomials using only 6 large number Multiplicaitons.

**Solution** Let  $P_C(y) = P_A(y) \cdot P_B(y)$  and  $y = x^3$ , then we have  $P_A(y) = A_0 + A_3 y + A_6 y^2$  and  $P_B(y) = B_0 + B_3 y + B_6 y^2 + B_9 y^3$  Since the product polynomial  $P_C(y) = P_A(y) \cdot P_B(y)$  is of degree 5, we need 6 value to uniquely determine  $P_C(y)$ . Let y = -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3; we have

$$P_{A}(-2) = A_{0} + (-2)A_{3} + (-2)^{2}A_{6} = A_{0} - 2A_{3} + 4A_{6}$$

$$P_{B}(-2) = B_{0} + (-2)B_{3} + (-2)^{2}B_{6} + (-2)^{3}B_{9} = B_{0} - 2B_{3} + 4B_{6} - 8B_{9}$$

$$P_{A}(-1) = A_{0} + (-1)A_{3} + (-1)^{2}A_{6} = A_{0} - 1A_{3} + A_{6}$$

$$P_{B}(-1) = B_{0} + (-1)B_{3} + (-1)^{2}B_{6} + (-1)^{3}B_{9} = B_{0} - 1B_{3} + B_{6} - B_{9}$$

$$P_{A}(0) = A_{0} + (0)A_{3} + (0)^{2}A_{6} = A_{0}$$

$$P_{B}(0) = B_{0} + (0)B_{3} + (0)^{2}B_{6} + (0)^{3}B_{9} = B_{0}$$

$$P_{A}(1) = A_{0} + (1)A_{3} + (1)^{2}A_{6} = A_{0} + A_{3} + A_{6}$$

$$P_{B}(1) = B_{0} + (1)B_{3} + (1)^{2}B_{6} + (1)^{3}B_{9} = B_{0} + B_{3} + B_{6} + B_{9}$$

$$P_{A}(2) = A_{0} + (2)A_{3} + (2)^{2}A_{6} = A_{0} + 2A_{3} + 4A_{6}$$

$$P_{B}(2) = B_{0} + (2)B_{3} + (2)^{2}B_{6} + (2)^{3}B_{9} = B_{0} + 2B_{3} + 4B_{6} + 8B_{9}$$

$$P_{A}(3) = A_{0} + (3)A_{3} + (3)^{2}A_{6} = A_{0} + 3A_{3} + 9A_{6}$$

$$P_{B}(3) = B_{0} + (3)B_{3} + (3)^{2}B_{6} + (3)^{3}B_{9} = B_{0} + 3B_{3} + 9B_{6} + 27B_{9}$$

Thus, if we present the product  $P_C(y) = P_A(y)P_B(y)$  in the coefficient form as  $P_C(y) = C_0 + C_1y + C_2y^2 + C_2y^2 + C_3y^3 + C_4y^4 + C_5y^5$ We get

$$C_0 - 2C_1 + 4C_2 - 8C_3 + 16C_4 - 32C_5 + 64C_6 = P_C(-2) = P_A(-2)P_B(-2)$$

$$C_0 - C_1 + C_2 - C_3 + C_4 - C_5 + C_6 = P_C(-1) = P_A(-1)P_B(-1)$$

$$C_0 = P_C(0) = P_A(0)P_B(0)$$

$$C_0 + C_1 + C_2 + C_3 + C_4 + C_5 + C_6 = P_C(1) = P_A(1)P_B(1)$$

$$C_0 + 2C_1 + 4C_2 + 8C_3 + 16C_4 + 32C_5 + 64C_6 = P_C(2) = P_A(2)P_B(2)$$

$$C_0 + 3C_1 + 9C_2 + 27C_3 + 81C_4 + 243C_5 + 729C_6 = P_C(3) = P_A(3)P_B(3)$$

Solving this system of linear equations for  $C_0, C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4, C_5$  we obtain

$$C_{0} = P_{C}(0)$$

$$C_{1} = \frac{60P_{C}(3) - 15P_{C}(2) + 2P_{C}(1) - 20P_{C}(0) - 30P_{C}(-1) + 3P_{C}(-2)}{60}$$

$$C_{2} = -\frac{-16P_{C}(3) + P_{C}(2) + 30P_{C}(0) - 16P_{C}(-1) + P_{C}(-2)}{24}$$

$$C_{3} = -\frac{14P_{C}(3) - 7P_{C}(2) + P_{C}(1) - 10P_{C}(0) + P_{C}(-1) + P_{C}(-2)}{24}$$

$$C_{4} = \frac{-4P_{C}(3) + P_{C}(2) + 6P_{C}(0) - 4P_{C}(-1) + P_{C}(-2)}{24}$$

$$C_{5} = -\frac{-10P_{C}(3) + 5P_{C}(2) - P_{C}(1) + 10P_{C}(0) - 5P_{C}(-1) + P_{C}(-2)}{120}$$

Multiply these two polynomials using only these 6 large number multiplications  $C_0, C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4, C_5$ .

### 2.

- (a) [5 marks] Multiply two complex numbers (a+ib) and (c+id) (where a, b, c, d are all real numbers) using only 3 real number multiplications.
- (b) [5 marks] Find  $(a+ib)^2$  using only two multiplications of real numbers.
- (c) [10 marks] Find the product  $(a+ib)^2(c+id)^2$  using only five real number multiplications.

#### Solution

(a) Let 
$$Z_0 = a + ib$$
,  $Z_1 = c + id$ , and we have  $(a + b)(c + d) = ac + bd + bc + ad$ , then  $(a + ib)(c + id) = ac - bd + i(ad + bc) = ac - bd + i((a + b)(c + d) - ac - bd)$ 

Therefore, multiply two complex numbers (a + ib) and (c + id) using only 3 real number multiplications ac, bd, (a + b)(c + d).

- (b) As  $z_0^2 = (a+ib)^2 = a^2 b^2 + 2iab = (a+b)(a-b) + 2iab$  Therefore, using only two multiplications of real numbers, (a+b)(a-b), ab.
- (c) From previously, we have  $z_0z_1$  using 3 multiplications and  $z_0^2$  using 2 multiplications. So we can use evaluate  $z_0^2z_1^2=(a+ib)^2(c+id)^2=(z_0z_1)^2$  with  $z_0z_1$  using 3 multiplications and then apply the result  $z_0^2$  with 2 multiplications. Thus, we can compute  $(a+ib)^2(c+id)^2$  using only 5 multiplications.

3.

- (a) [2 marks] Revision: Describe how to multiply two n-degree polynomials together in  $O(n \log n)$  time, using the Fast Fourier Transform (FFT). You do not need to explain how FFT works you may treat it as a black box.
- (b) In this part we will use the Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) algorithm described in class to multiply multiple polynomials together (not just two). Suppose you have K polynomials  $P1, \ldots, PK$  so that

$$degree(P1) + \dots + degree(PK) = S$$

- (i) [6 marks] Show that you can find the product of these K polynomials in  $O(KS \log S)$  time. Hint: How many points do you need to uniquely determine an S-degree polynomial?
- (ii) [12 marks] Show that you can find the product of these K polynomials in  $O(S \log S \log K)$  time. Hint: consider using divide-and-conquer; a tree which you used in the previous assignment might be helpful here as well. Also, remember that if x, y, z are all positive, then  $\log(x + y) < \log(x + y + z)$

#### Solution

(a) Multiply two n-degree polynomials, P(x) and Q(x), actually convert the coefficients of those polynomials into 2 vectors and perform convolution on these two verctors. Naive convolution take  $O(n^2)$  time complexity.

However, the result polynomial will have degrees at most 2n, therefore it's sufficient to uniquely determine by the product of those 2 polynomials at 2n+1 distinct points. So, utilising Discrete Fourier Transform(aka. DFT), we can evaluate the result polynomial for such a convolution at all complex roots of unity of order 2n. The DFT can be optimised using divide-and-conquer algorithm called the Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) algorithm:

 $\mathbf{convolution}(a, b) = \sqrt{n}(\mathbf{IFFT}(\mathbf{FFT}(a) \cdot \mathbf{FFT}(b)))$ 

each FFT takes  $O(n \log n)$  time and the product of 2 FFT is elementwise product(also know as Hadamard product) and it's also  $O(n \log n)$ , hence the overall time complexity is  $O(n \log n)$  time.

(b) (i) let A be the product of these K S-degree polynomials, then we have  $A(k) = P_1(x) \cdot P_2(x) \cdot \ldots \cdot P_k(x)$  for all  $1 \leq k \leq K$ When K = 1, A(1) is the product of S-degree polynomial and zero-degree polynomial. Then to uniquely determine A(1), it will need the complex roots of of unity of order S to evaluate that polynomial. So A(1) takes  $O(S \log S)$  When K = 2, from previous, we know A(2) takes  $O(S \log S)$  When K = n, A(n) is the product of n S-degree polynomial. Then to uniquely determine A(n), it will need the complex roots of of unity of order n S to evaluate that polynomial. So A(n) takes  $O(nS \log S)$ 

$$A(n+1) = A(n) \cdot P_{n+1}(x) \Rightarrow nS \log S + S \log S = (n+1) \log S$$

Hence, the product of these K S-degree polynomials will take  $O(KS \log S)$ . With the base case K = 1 takes  $O(S \log S)$ , we can compute  $A(k) = P_1(x) \cdot P_2(x) \cdot \ldots \cdot P_k(x)$  for all  $1 \leq k \leq K$  recursively. At each recurrence, the degree of the partial product A(n) and of polynomial  $P_{n+1}(x)$  are both less than S, so each multiplication, if performed using fast evaluation of convolution (via the FFT) is bounded by the same constant multiple of  $S \log S$ . Computing K such multiplications needs the total time complexity is  $O(KS \log S)$ 

(ii) From last part, we know the product of these K S-degree polynomials will take  $O(KS \log S)$ . And it does with K times  $O(S \log S)$ , which in K linearly operations.

Moreover, the product of K S-degree polynomials follows the associative law:

Moreover, the product of K S-degree polynomials follows the associative law:  $(P_{i-1}(x) * P_i(x)) * P_{i+1}(x) = P_{i-1}(x) * (P_i(x) * P_{i+1}(x)).$ 

So we can approach this using divide-and-conquer to achieve  $O(S \log S \log K)$ , we can split k polynomials into pairs and half K times  $O(S \log S)$  recursively. Therefore, we can use  $\log K$  times  $O(S \log S)$  instead of K times  $O(S \log S)$ . Hence, you can find the product of these K S-degree polynomials in  $O(S \log S \log K)$  time.

- **4.** [20 marks, each pair 4 marks] Let us define the Fibonacci numbers as  $F_0 = 0$ ,  $F_1 = 1$  and  $F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$  for all  $n \ge 2$ . Thus, the Fibonacci sequence looks as follows: 0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, ...
  - (a) [5 marks] Show, by induction or otherwise, that

So K = n + 1, we have,

$$\begin{pmatrix} F_{n+1} & F_n \\ F_n & F_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^n$$

for all integers  $n \geq 1$ 

(b) [15 marks] Hence or otherwise, give an algorithm that finds Fn in  $O(\log n)$  time.

Solution (a) When n=1, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} F_2 & F_1 \\ F_1 & F_0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^1$$

Let n = k, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} F_{k+1} & F_k \\ F_k & F_{k-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^k$$

And when n = k + 1,

$$LHS = \begin{pmatrix} F_{k+2} & F_{k+1} \\ F_{k+1} & F_k \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} F_{k+1} + F_k & F_k + F_{k-1} \\ F_k + F_{k-1} & F_k \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} F_{k+1} & F_k \\ F_k & F_{k-1} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^k \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{k+1} = RHS$$

Hence for all integers  $n \ge 1$ ,  $\begin{pmatrix} F_{n+1} & F_n \\ F_n & F_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^n$ 

**(b)** As previous, we know  $F_n$  can obtain by computing  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^n$ , then let  $G = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .

Thus,  $F_n = G^n$  using divide-and-conquer algorithm,  $G^2$  take O(1)

If n is even, we have  $G^n = G^{2^k} \Rightarrow k = \log n$ . So it takes  $O(\log n)$ If n is odd, we have  $G^n = G^{2^{k+1}} \Rightarrow k = \log n - 1$ . So it still takes  $O(\log n)$  Hence, it takes overall  $O(\log n)$  to find  $F_n$  using divide-and-conquer algorithm.

**5.** Your army consists of a line of N giants, each with a certain height. You must designate precisely  $L \leq N$  of them to be leaders. Leaders must be spaced out across the line; specifically, every pair of leaders must have at least  $K \geq 0$  giants standing in between them. Given N, L, K and the heights H[1..N] of the giants in the order that they stand in the line as input, find the maximum height of the shortest leader among all valid choices of L leaders. We call this the optimisation version of the problem.

For instance, suppose N = 10, L = 3, K = 2 and H = [1, 10, 4, 2, 3, 7, 12, 8, 7, 2]. Then among the 10 giants, you must choose 3 leaders so that each pair of leaders has at least 2 giants standing in between them. The best choice of leaders has heights 10, 7 and 7, with the shortest leader having height 7. This is the best possible for this case.

- (a) [8 marks] In the decision version of this problem, we are given an additional integer T as input. Our task is to decide if there exists some valid choice of leaders satisfying the constraints whose shortest leader has height no less than T.
- (b) [12 marks] Hence, show that you can solve the optimisation version of this problem in  $O(N \log N)$  time.

## Solution

- (a) In this task, we needs to works out if there are enough leaders candidate meeting the minimum required height T. Therefore, it needs to check if there are L leaders meeting the minimum required height T, and L leaders are separating by at least every K griants in N giants.
  - Iterate all N giants, find the first eligible leader candidate and from then on, from the last eligible leader candidate skipping K giants to find the next eligible leader candidate. This takes O(N) time complexity. After finding out all the eligible candidates, check if the total number of the eligible candidates is at least K. Return **true** if the total number is greater or equal to K and return **false** otherwise. This take O(1) time so the overall time complexity is O(N)
- (b) The optimisation version of the problem is to finding the largest value of T to the decision problem is **true** with the given N, L, K.
  - With the given N, L, K, our decision algorithm return **true** from the shortest height  $T_l$  to the tallest height  $T_h$  of L leaders. Hence, the decision problem will remaind true in T, where  $T \in [T_l, T_h]$  ( $[T_l, T_h]$  is in the range of all valid leader candidates' heights).
  - Therefore, assuming all N griants are valid candidates, merge sort the heights of N griants in  $O(n \log n)$ , we have  $T \in [T_l, T_h]$ . As we already know that the decision problem will remaind true in T, where  $T \in [T_l, T_h]$ . So from the largest  $T_l$ , use binary search to work out the maximum value of T where the decision problem returns **true**. The binary search will select the direction to go over  $T \in [T_l, T_h]$  according to the result of the decision problem. Since there are  $O(N \log N)$  iterations in the binary search of T the range of N griants' heights, each decision taking O(N). Hence, the overall complexity of the algorithm is  $O(N \log N)$ .