

Semantics of FOL

First, we need to define an interpretation.

We will do this using some mathematical tools:

sets

equality

functions

An interpretation is a mapping (total, into functions) from the language to sets.

Interpretation

Objects $\mathcal{D} = \{d_1, d_2, d_3, \dots, d_n\}$ or $\{d_1, d_2, d_3, \dots\}$ nonempty finite or infinite set

(Domain or Universe)

Examples: blocks, natural numbers
Think of it simply as a set of things.

Interpretation of constants in the language
→ a mapping (total, into function) from constants to \mathcal{D}

Examples

$b1 \rightarrow d3$
 $b2 \rightarrow d8$
etc.

$1 \rightarrow d2$
 $2 \rightarrow d5$
etc.

Note:

$b1 \rightarrow d3$
 $b2 \rightarrow d3$

$1 \rightarrow d2$
 $2 \rightarrow d2$

but not

$b1 \rightarrow d3$
 $b1 \rightarrow d8$ } not
a function

Properties
(unary predicates)

sets of domain elements
(finite or infinite)

Example

$$\text{Blue} = \{d2, d4, d8\}$$

$$P = \{d1, d2\}$$

So, the interpretation of the predicate Blue
is the set $\{d2, d4, d8\}$

(the world that is interpreting our language, there are
three "Blue" objects which are listed in the set above
→ NOTE: this is just a subset of \mathcal{D})

Relations
(n-ary predicates)

sets of n-tuples
formed with domain elements
(finite or infinite)

Example

$$O_n = \{ \langle d_3, d_5 \rangle, \langle d_2, d_1 \rangle \}$$

So, the interpretation of the predicate O_n
is the set of pairs of domain elements $\langle d_3, d_5 \rangle$ and $\langle d_2, d_1 \rangle$

For ternary predicates, the interpretation would be
sets of 3-tuples of domain elements.
The same for n-ary predicates:

Functions

- another way to refer to domain elements

Examples $f(a) = b$ if a is mapped to domain element d_2
and b is mapped to domain element d_4
then in our interpretation $f(d_2) = d_4$

similarly for n-ary functions

$$g(a, b) = c$$

$$a \rightarrow d_2$$

$$b \rightarrow d_4$$

$$c \rightarrow d_5$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} a \rightarrow d_2 \\ b \rightarrow d_4 \\ c \rightarrow d_5 \end{array} \right\} g(d_2, d_4) = d_5$$

Truth in an Interpretation

$$\mathcal{D} = \{d1, d2, d3, d4\}$$

$$P = \{d2, d3\}$$

$$Q = \{ \langle d1, d4 \rangle, \langle d4, d4 \rangle \}$$

$$a \rightarrow d1$$

$$b \rightarrow d2$$

$$c \rightarrow d3$$

$$d \rightarrow d4$$

In this interpretation

$P(a)$ is F (alse) $d1 \notin P$ $Q(a, d)$ is T $\langle d1, d4 \rangle \in Q$

$P(b)$ is T (rue) $d2 \in P$ $Q(b, c)$ is F $\langle d2, d3 \rangle \notin Q$

$\forall x P(x)$ is F because P does not contain all members of set \mathcal{D}

$\exists x P(x)$ is T because P contains at least one member of set \mathcal{D}

Now let's look at more complicated formulas.

Truth (continued)

$$\underbrace{\forall x}_{\text{for every domain element}} \underbrace{(\neg P(x) \rightarrow Q(x, d))}_{\text{what is the truth value of this formula}}$$

for every
domain element

what is the truth value
of this formula

if x is $d1$, $\neg P(x)$ is T and $Q(x, d)$ is T
(because $d1 \notin P$) (because $\langle d1, d4 \rangle \in Q$)

so, the implication is T

if x is $d2$, $\neg P(x)$ is F
(because $d2 \in P$)

so the implication is T

if x is $d3$, $\neg P(x)$ is F
(because $d3 \in P$)

so the implication is T

if x is $d4$, $\neg P(x)$ is T and $Q(x, d)$ is T
(because $d4 \notin P$) (because $\langle d4, d4 \rangle \in Q$)

so, the implication is T

Truth (still continued)

so, since the formula in the scope of $\forall x$ is true
for every domain element

$$\forall x (\neg P(x) \rightarrow Q(x, d)) \text{ is } T$$

Let's try

$$\exists x (\underbrace{P(x)}_{\text{this is } T} \wedge \underbrace{\neg Q(a, x)}_{\text{this is } T})$$

if x is $d3$

$\underbrace{\text{this is } T}_{\text{because } d3 \in P}$

$\underbrace{\text{this is } T}_{\text{because } \langle d1, d3 \rangle \notin Q}$

so this is true

so this is true because there is at least one element
in the domain which makes the conjunction T

Truth in an Interpretation - Part II

Let's keep the \mathcal{D} and the interpretations of P and Q the same as in the previous example but now map the constants differently:

$$a \rightarrow d_2$$

$$b \rightarrow d_2$$

$$c \rightarrow d_3$$

$$d \rightarrow d_3$$

So, now $P(a)$, $P(b)$, $P(c)$, $P(d)$ are all true because d_2 and d_3 are members of P

But $\forall x P(x)$ is still false

[Note: the truth of the quantifier depends on \mathcal{D} and the interpretation of P not on the truth value of all of the statements about P containing all of the constants]

An interpretation in which a FOL sentence
(or set of FOL sentences) is true
is called a model (of that FOL
sentence or set of FOL sentences).

Satisfiability

A FOL sentence (or set of FOL sentences) is satisfiable iff it has a model (i.e., it is true in at least one interpretation)

Validity

A FOL sentence (or set of FOL sentences) is valid iff every interpretation is a model (i.e., it is true in every interpretation)

Unsatisfiable

A FOL sentence (or set of FOL sentences)
is unsatisfiable if it has no models
(i.e., it is true in no interpretation)

[Compare with satisfiable, tautology, and contradiction
for Propositional Logic.]

Satisfiability , Validity , Unsatisfiability

$$\forall x P(x) \rightarrow \exists y P(y) \quad ①$$

$$\exists x (P(x) \wedge Q(x, d)) \quad ②$$

$$\exists x (P(x) \wedge \neg P(x)) \quad ③$$

Interpretation 1

$$\mathcal{D} = \{ \text{george, mary, john} \}$$

$$d \rightarrow \text{mary}$$

$$P = \{ \text{george, john} \}$$

$$Q = \{ \langle \text{george, mary} \rangle, \langle \text{john, mary} \rangle \}$$

$$① \text{ is } T$$

$$② \text{ is } T$$

$$③ \text{ is } F$$

Interpretation 2

$$\mathcal{D} = \{ \text{block1, block2} \}$$

$$d \rightarrow \text{block1}$$

$$P \rightarrow \{ \text{block1, block2} \}$$

$$Q \rightarrow \{ \langle \text{block1, block2} \rangle \}$$

$$① \text{ is } T$$

$$② \text{ is } F$$

$$③ \text{ is } F$$