CS 3305A CPU Scheduling

Lecture 9 - 10

Oct 7th 2019 Oct 9th 2019

Scheduling Algorithms

- √ First Come, First Served (FCFS)
- ✓ Last In First Out (LIFO)
- ✓ Shortest Job First
- Priority Scheduling
- Round Robin (RR)
- Multilevel Queuing
- Multilevel Queuing with Feedback
- Lottery Scheduling

Priority Scheduling

- A priority number (integer) is associated with each process
- □ The CPU is allocated to the process with the highest priority
 - Preemptive
 - □ Non-preemptive
- □ Problem: Starvation
 - □ Low priority processes may never execute
- □ Solution : Aging
 - As time progresses, increase the priority of the process

Round Robin (RR)

- □ Each process gets a small unit of CPU time (time quantum), usually 10-100 milliseconds. After this time has elapsed, the process is preempted and added to the end of the ready queue.
- □ If there are n processes in the ready queue and the time quantum is q, then each process gets at most q time units at once. Waiting time for the nth process in the ready queue = (n-1) * q time units.

Example of RR with Time Quantum = 4

 $\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{Process} & \underline{Burst\ Time} \\ P_1 & 24 \\ P_2 & 3 \\ P_3 & 3 \end{array}$

□ The Scheduling chart is:

- □ Turnaround time?
- □ p1= 30; p2 = 3; p3 = 3

Round Robin (RR)

- Performance
 - $\square q$ is too large \Rightarrow FIFO-like behaviour
 - $\square q$ is too small \Rightarrow context switching overhead is too high

Multilevel Queue Scheduling

- □ Today most schedulers use multiple queues
- □ Essentially the ready queue is really multiple (separate) queues
- The reason is that processes can be classified into different groups

Multilevel Queue Scheduling

- Each queue has its own scheduling algorithm e.g.,
 - RR with time quantum of 5
 - RR with time quantum of 8
 - □ FIFO

Multilevel Queue

- Scheduling must be done between the queues
 - □ Fixed priority scheduling; (i.e., serve all from queue 1 and then from queue 2...).
 - ☐ Possibility of starvation.
 - □ Time slice each queue gets a certain amount of CPU time which it can schedule amongst its processes

Multilevel Feedback Queue Scheduling

- A process can move between queues
- Separate processes according to the characteristics of the CPU bursts (feedback)
 - □ If a process uses too much CPU time, it will be moved to a lower-priority queue
 - In addition, a process that waits too long in a lowerpriority queue may be moved to a higher-priority queue

Example: Multilevel Feedback Queues

- □ Three queues (high to low priority):
 - Q₀ (round robin) RR with time quantum 8 milliseconds
 - Q₁ RR time quantum 16 milliseconds
 - \square \mathbb{Q}_2 FCFS
- The scheduler first executes all processes in Q_0 ; it then proceeds to queue Q_1 followed by queue Q_2
- Processes in a queue are served in the order they enter the queue
- \square Processes entering Q_0 will preempt a running Q_1 or Q_2 process

Example: Multilevel Feedback Queues

- Scheduling
 - \square A new process is placed on Q_0
 - \square When it gains CPU, job receives 8 milliseconds. If it does not finish in 8 milliseconds (runs entire time), process is moved to queue Q_1 .
 - At Q_1 job process receives 16 additional milliseconds. If it still does not complete (runs entire time), it is preempted and moved to queue Q_2 .

Example: Multilevel Feedback Queues

- What does the algorithm prioritize?
 - CPU bursts 8 milliseconds or less
- Processes that need more than 8 but less than 24 are also served quickly but with lower priority than shorter processes
- Processes that need more than 24 receive the lowest priority

Lottery Scheduling

- Scheduler gives each process some lottery tickets.
- □ To select the next process to run...
 - □ The scheduler randomly selects a lottery number
 - The winning process gets to run
- □ Example Process A gets 50 ticket: 50% of CPU

Process B gets 15 tickets: 15% of CPU

Process C gets 35 tickets: 35% of CP

- □ It solves the problem of startvation
- □ As each process receives a ticket → has a non-zero probability of being selected