# C++ Programming

**Const Correctness** 

### Recall ...

- const is a keyword declaring a type constraint that indicates that certain data cannot be modified
- Note that this does not imply that the data is read-only in memory
- The constraint is enforced by the compiler

```
const int answer = 42;
answer = 43; // compilation error!
```

#### const and Pointers

Both pointers and the data they point to can be const

Use the right-to-left rule to read

```
const int** a
int* const * b
const int* const * c
const int* const * const d
```

```
// pointer to a pointer to a const int
const int** a
int* const * b
const int* const * c
const int* const * const d
```

```
// pointer to a pointer to a const int
const int** a
// pointer to a const pointer to an int
int* const * b
const int* const * c
const int* const * const d
```

```
// pointer to a pointer to a const int
const int** a
// pointer to a const pointer to an int
int* const * b
// pointer to a const pointer to a const int
const int* const * c
const int* const * const d
```

```
// pointer to a pointer to a const int
const int** a
// pointer to a const pointer to an int
int* const * b
// pointer to a const pointer to a const int
const int* const * c
// const pointer to a const pointer to a const int
const int* const * const d
```

#### const and Pointers

- When the data pointed to is constant, some add const before the type name; others add it after
- You will see both in the real world

```
const Widget* const w
Widget const* const w
```

### Pointer Assignments Involving const

Address of non-const object can be assigned to a const pointer

```
int i = 4;
const int* j = &i;
```

• In this case, we are promising not to change an object that was previously okay to change

### Pointer Assignments Involving const

You cannot assign the address of const object to a non-const pointer

```
const int i = 4;
int* j = &i;  // compilation error!
```

• The second line causes a compilation error because we're saying that we might change i through the pointer

### Pointer Assignments Involving const

• Exception: string literals

```
char* c = "Hello";
```

- "Hello" is a const char \*, but we can assign it to a char \*
- Explanation from the horse's mouth:

This is allowed because in previous definitions of C and C++, the type of a string literal was char\*. Allowing the assignment of a string literal to a char\* ensures that millions of lines of C and C++ remain valid. It is, however, an error to try to modify a string literal through such a pointer.

- Bjarne Stroustrup, The C++ Programming Language

### const and Functions

- const return values
- const parameters
- const functions

- Prevents the caller from modifying the data returned
- Useful for efficient encapsulation

```
const int sum(int a, int b) {
  return a + b;
}
int main() {
  int i = sum(3,4);
}
```

- Does not apply/make sense when returning by value
- const data returned by value can be assigned to non-const variables

```
class Person {
  public:
    const Person clone() {
      return *this;
    }
};
int main() {
  Person p;
  Person q = p.clone();
}
```

• Works because we are not assigning the original const object – we are assigning a copy

• For data returned by pointer or reference, we almost always want to return it const

```
class Person {
  public:
    Person(string name) : _name(name) { }
    string& name() { return _name; }
    private:
        string _name;
};
int main() {
    Person p("Jeff");
    p.name() = "Joe"; // Bad for encapsulation!
    cout << p.name() << endl;
}</pre>
```

#### • Better:

```
class Person {
  public:
    Person(string name) : _name(name) { }
    const string& name() { return _name; }
    void name(const string& name) { _name = name; }
  private:
    string _name;
};
int main() {
  Person p("Jeff");
  p.name() = "Joe"; // Compilation error
  p.name("Jeff"); // Valid
}
```

Can pass by value, pointer, or reference

- Again, const makes a promise that the function will not modify the passed parameter
- const with pass by value not very useful/meaningful
- const with pointer passing also not very useful/meaningful
- Some people debate this, however ...

#### Pass by const reference

- Efficient no copy needed, as with any pass by reference parameter
- Passing const means function cannot change the referenced object
  - Exactly the same as pass by value semantically
  - Cannot modify or reassign the variable/object
  - Can only call const functions if it is an object (coming up in a few slides)
- Generally, this is preferred

- Another advantage of accepting const reference parameters: we can accept temporaries
  - Temporary objects are always const
  - We cannot pass temporaries to a function that takes a pointer

```
void printName(string& name) {
  cout << name << endl;
}
int main() {
  printName("Joe"); // compilation error!
}</pre>
```

```
void printName(const string& name) {
  cout << name << endl;
}
int main() {
  printName("Joe"); // works!
}</pre>
```

- A const member function does not modify the object it is called on
- In other words, it does not modify the \*this object

 As discussed earlier, when we create a function in a class, the compiler quietly inserts a this parameter as the first parameter in the function signature

```
class Person {
  const string& name();
};
```

#### essentially compiles to

```
class Person {
  const string& name(Person *const this);
};
```

 When we call a function on an object, the compiler silently passes a pointer to the object as the first parameter

```
Person p;
cout << p.name();</pre>
```

#### essentially compiles to

```
Person p;
cout << name(&p);</pre>
```

 We can declare a function to be const, in which case \*this will also be made to be const

```
class Person {
  const string& name() const;
};
```

#### essentially compiles to

```
class Person {
  const string& name(const Person* const this);
  // Compared to the non-const version:
  // const string& name(Person* const this);
};
```

• Because \*this is const, we cannot change/reassign the private data of the \*this object

```
class Person {
  const string& name() const
  {
    // compilation error -- '*this' is const
    this->_name = "Joe";
    return this->_name;
  }
};
```

- Cannot call non-const functions within the function on \*this
  - Even if those functions don't actually change the receiver
- Reference and pointer return types must be declared const if a function is declared const

```
class Person {
  int age() {
    return this->_age;
  }
  const string& name() const {
    int age = this->age(); // compilation error
    return this->_name;
  }
};
```

- A const function offers a guarantee that \*this object won't be changed by calling the function on it
  - You can always call a const function
  - You can only call a non-const function on non-const objects
- Generally, accessor functions should be declared const

```
class Person {
  public:
    int age() {
      return this-> age;
    const string& name() const {
      return this-> name;
  private:
    string name;
    int age;
};
```

```
int main() {
 Person p;
 const Person q;
 p.name(); // valid
 p.age(); // valid
 q.name();
                // valid
                // compilation error
 q.age();
```

- What does it mean for a member function to be const?
- Two camps:
  - 1. Bitwise constness: Does not modify any of the bits in the object (this is the C++ compiler's definition)
  - 2. Conceptual constness: Can modify the object, but only in ways that are undetectable to clients

• Will it compile?

```
class Person {
 public:
   Person(const string& name, Person* bff): name(name), bff(bff) {
   void name(const string& name) {
     this-> name = name;
   void changeBFFName(const string& name) const {
      bff->name(name);
                                                   int main() {
 private:
                                                     Person p("Joe", NULL);
    string name;
                                                     Person q("Jen", &p);
   Person* bff;
};
                                                     q.changeBFFName("Bob");
```

- This leads to the notion of *conceputal constness*
- Adherents to this philosophy argue that a const function should be able to modify the bits of the receiving object, but only in ways undetectable by clients

- Example: the length() function of a String class
  - We want it to be const so that it can be called on both const and nonconst String objects
  - We also want it to be const because, semantically, a length() function does not change the object on which it is invoked
  - However, we might want to cache the length to improve efficiency on later calls to length() ...

```
class String {
 public:
    String(const char* s) : lengthCached(false) {
     // ...
    int length() const {
      if (! this-> lengthCached) {
       this-> length = strlen(_str); // compilation error!
       this->_lengthCached = true; // compilation error!
     return this-> length;
 private:
   char* str;
   bool _lengthCached;
   int length;
};
```

- What can we do?
  - We want the function to be const, but we also want to be able to cache the length
  - That is, we want conceptual constness we argue that the function should be able to change some bits of the object, but only in ways that are imperceptible to the client
- Fortunately, the mutable keyword was added to the C++ standard for just this purpose
- mutable members can be modified in const functions

```
class String {
 public:
    String(const char* s) : lengthCached(false) {
     // ...
    int length() const {
     if (! this-> lengthCached) {
       this->_length = strlen(_str); // hurray!
       this->_lengthCached = true; // hurray!
     return this-> length;
 private:
   char* str;
   mutable bool lengthCached;
   mutable int length;
};
```

- const member functions specify which member functions may be invoked on const objects
- Member functions differing only in their constness can be overloaded

```
class String {
 public:
    // operator[] for non-const objects
    char& operator[](int position) {
      return this-> str[position];
    // operator[] for const objects
    const char& operator[](int position) const {
      return this-> str[position];
 private:
    char* str;
                            String s1 = "Hello";
                            const String s2 = "World";
                            cout << s1[0]; // calls non-const String::operator[]</pre>
                            cout << s2[0]; // calls const String::operator[]</pre>
```

• By overloading operator[], we can have const and non-const Strings handled differently:

#### const Correctness

- If
  - a pointer or reference parameter is not modified,
  - a pointer or reference member is returned,
  - a member function does not change member data,
- then it should be declared const

#### const Correctness

- Rule of thumb for const functions:
  - Start by making your functions const
  - Remove constness as needed

#### const Correctness

- Widely used in libraries
- Documents the properties of your code
  - Compiler enforces them
- Assists in system robustness
- Can allow the compiler to optimize in some instances