Simple Linear Regression Assignment Data Set : Salary_Data Q = Build a Prediction Model for Salary Hike 1. Import Necessary libraries In [1]: **import** pandas **as** pd import numpy as np from matplotlib import pyplot as plt import seaborn as sns import statsmodels.formula.api as smf from sklearn.metrics import mean_squared_error from math import sqrt import warnings warnings.filterwarnings('ignore') 2. Import Data In [2]: salary_details = pd.read_csv('Salary_Data.csv') salary_details YearsExperience Out[2]: Salary 39343.0 1.1 1.3 46205.0 2 1.5 37731.0 2.0 43525.0 4 2.2 39891.0 2.9 56642.0 6 3.0 60150.0 54445.0 8 3.2 64445.0 3.7 57189.0 10 63218.0 3.9 11 4.0 55794.0 12 4.0 56957.0 13 57081.0 14 4.5 61111.0 15 67938.0 4.9 16 66029.0 5.1 17 5.3 83088.0 18 5.9 81363.0 19 6.0 93940.0 20 91738.0 6.8 7.1 98273.0 7.9 101302.0 23 8.2 113812.0 24 8.7 109431.0 25 9.0 105582.0 26 9.5 116969.0 27 9.6 112635.0 28 10.3 122391.0 29 10.5 121872.0 3. Data Understanding a) Initial Analysis: In [3]: salary_details.head() YearsExperience Salary Out[3]: 1.1 39343.0 1 1.3 46205.0 2 1.5 37731.0 2.0 43525.0 4 2.2 39891.0 salary_details.shape (30, 2)Out[4]: salary_details.info() In [5]: <class 'pandas.core.frame.DataFrame'> RangeIndex: 30 entries, 0 to 29 Data columns (total 2 columns): Non-Null Count Dtype Column -----O YearsExperience 30 non-null float64 30 non-null float64 1 Salary dtypes: float64(2) memory usage: 608.0 bytes In [6]: salary_details.isna().sum() YearsExperience 0 Out[6]: Salary 0 dtype: int64 salary_details.dtypes float64 YearsExperience Out[7]: Salary float64 dtype: object There is no Null value present in this data set and also the data types are appropriate in all attributes b) Correlation Matrix: corr_matrix = salary_details.corr() In [8]: corr_matrix Out[8]: YearsExperience Salary YearsExperience 1.000000 0.978242 Salary 0.978242 1.000000 In [9]: sns.heatmap(data = corr_matrix, annot = True) - 1.0000 0.9975 0.9950 0.98 -0.9925 0.9900 0.9875 0.9850 0.98 0.9825 0.9800 YearsExperience Salary 5. Perform Assumption Check a) Outlier Test Using Box Plot: In [10]: plt.figure(figsize = (12,5)) plt.subplot(1,2,1) salary_details['YearsExperience'].hist() plt.subplot(1,2,2) salary_details.boxplot(column = ['YearsExperience']) plt.show() 10 8 YearsExperience In [11]: plt.figure(figsize = (12,5)) plt.subplot(1,2,1) salary_details['Salary'].hist() plt.subplot(1,2,2) salary_details.boxplot(column = ['Salary']) plt.show() 120000 100000 80000 60000 40000 40000 60000 100000 120000 Salary From the above histogrms and boxplots, we found that there is no outleirs present inside the YearsExperience and Salary data. b) Normality / Distribution Test Using Distplot : sns.distplot(salary_details['YearsExperience']) plt.show() 0.12 0.10 0.08 0.06 0.04 0.02 -2.5 2.5 12.5 0.0 YearsExperience sns.distplot(salary_details['Salary']) plt.show() 1.75 1.50 1.25 1.00 0.75 0.50 0.25 0.00 25000 50000 75000 100000 125000 150000 Normality Test Failed 7. Model Building | 8. Model Training Now Try to fit Model for Salary Hike Model 1: Without Applying any Transformation Using Statsmodel model_1 = smf.ols(formula = 'YearsExperience~Salary', data = salary_details).fit() model_1 <statsmodels.regression.linear_model.RegressionResultsWrapper at 0x1e16289e760> Out[14]: #coefficient model_1.params Intercept -2.383161 Out[15]: Salary 0.000101 dtype: float64 model_1.summary() **OLS Regression Results** Out[16]: Dep. Variable: YearsExperience R-squared: 0.957 Model: Adj. R-squared: 0.955 Least Squares 622.5 Method: F-statistic: Date: Sat, 24 Sep 2022 Prob (F-statistic): 1.14e-20 Log-Likelihood: Time: 00:42:15 -26.168 No. Observations: 30 AIC: 56.34 **Df Residuals:** 28 BIC: 59.14 Df Model: **Covariance Type:** nonrobust [0.025 0.975] coef std err t P>|t| Intercept -2.3832 0.327 -7.281 0.000 -3.054 -1.713 Salary 0.0001 4.06e-06 24.950 0.000 9.3e-05 0.000 **Durbin-Watson:** 1.587 Omnibus: 3.544 0.170 Jarque-Bera (JB): 2.094 Prob(Omnibus): Prob(JB): 0.351 **Skew:** -0.412 Kurtosis: 2.003 **Cond. No.** 2.41e+05 Notes: [1] Standard Errors assume that the covariance matrix of the errors is correctly specified. [2] The condition number is large, 2.41e+05. This might indicate that there are strong multicollinearity or other numerical problems. From the Above OLS Regression Result the R-Squared value is 0.957 > 0.75 and we can say that this Model is good to Predict Salary_hike and p-value < 0.05 and it is significant model Model 2: Apply Log Transformation of Y model_2 = smf.ols(formula = 'Salary~np.log(YearsExperience)', data = salary_details).fit() In [17]: <statsmodels.regression.linear_model.RegressionResultsWrapper at 0x1e168f997c0> Out[17]: In [18]: model_2.params 14927.97177 Intercept Out[18]: np.log(YearsExperience) 40581.98796 dtype: float64 model_2.summary() In [19]: **OLS Regression Results** Out[19]: R-squared: 0.854 Dep. Variable: Salary Model: OLS Adj. R-squared: 0.849 Method: F-statistic: 163.6 Least Squares **Date:** Sat, 24 Sep 2022 **Prob (F-statistic):** 3.25e-13 -319.77 Time: 00:42:17 Log-Likelihood: No. Observations: 30 643.5 **Df Residuals:** 28 BIC: 646.3 Df Model: **Covariance Type:** nonrobust std err [0.025 0.975] coef **Intercept** 1.493e+04 5156.226 2.895 0.007 4365.921 2.55e+04 np.log(YearsExperience) 4.058e+04 3172.453 12.792 0.000 3.41e+04 4.71e+04 **Omnibus:** 1.094 **Durbin-Watson:** 0.512 Prob(Omnibus): 0.579 Jarque-Bera (JB): 0.908 **Prob(JB):** 0.635 **Skew:** 0.156 Kurtosis: 2.207 **Cond. No.** 5.76 Notes: [1] Standard Errors assume that the covariance matrix of the errors is correctly specified. Model 3: Apply Log Transformation of X model_3 = smf.ols(formula = 'np.log(Salary)~YearsExperience', data = salary_details).fit() In [20]: model_3 $<\!statsmodels.regression.linear_model.RegressionResultsWrapper\ at\ 0x1e168f6f580\!>$ Out[20]: model_3.params Intercept 10.507402 Out[21] YearsExperience 0.125453 dtype: float64 model_3.summary() In [22]: **OLS Regression Results** Out[22]: Dep. Variable: np.log(Salary) 0.932 R-squared: Model: OLS Adj. R-squared: 0.930 Least Squares F-statistic: 383.6 Method: Date: Sat, 24 Sep 2022 **Prob (F-statistic):** 7.03e-18 Time: 00:42:18 Log-Likelihood: 28.183 No. Observations: -52.37 Df Residuals: 28 BIC: -49.56 Df Model: **Covariance Type:** nonrobust coef std err t P>|t| [0.025 0.975] Intercept 10.5074 0.038 273.327 0.000 10.429 10.586 **YearsExperience** 0.1255 0.006 19.585 0.000 0.112 0.139 **Durbin-Watson:** 1.438 **Omnibus:** 0.826 Prob(Omnibus): 0.661 Jarque-Bera (JB): 0.812 **Skew:** 0.187 **Prob(JB):** 0.666 Kurtosis: 2.286 **Cond. No.** 13.2 [1] Standard Errors assume that the covariance matrix of the errors is correctly specified. Model 4: Apply Log Transformation of X and Y model_4 = smf.ols(formula = 'np.log(Salary)~np.log(YearsExperience)',data = salary_details).fit() In [23]: model_4 <statsmodels.regression.linear_model.RegressionResultsWrapper at 0x1e168fa49d0> Out[23] model_4.params In [24]: 10.328043 Intercept Out[24]: np.log(YearsExperience) 0.562089 dtype: float64 model_4.summary() **OLS Regression Results** Out[25]: Dep. Variable: np.log(Salary) R-squared: 0.905 Adj. R-squared: 0.902 Model: OLS Method: Least Squares F-statistic: Date: Sat, 24 Sep 2022 Prob (F-statistic): 7.40e-16 Log-Likelihood: 23.209 No. Observations: AIC: -42.42 28 -39.61 **Df Residuals:** BIC: Df Model: **Covariance Type:** nonrobust t P>|t| [0.025 0.975] coef std err Intercept 10.3280 0.056 184.868 0.000 10.214 10.442 np.log(YearsExperience) 0.5621 0.034 16.353 0.000 0.492 0.632 **Omnibus:** 0.102 **Durbin-Watson:** 0.988 Prob(Omnibus): 0.950 Jarque-Bera (JB): 0.297 **Skew:** 0.093 **Prob(JB):** 0.862 Kurtosis: 2.549 **Cond. No.** 5.76 [1] Standard Errors assume that the covariance matrix of the errors is correctly specified. Model 5: Apply Exponential Transformation model_5 = smf.ols(formula = 'Salary~np.exp(YearsExperience)', data = salary_details).fit() <statsmodels.regression.linear_model.RegressionResultsWrapper at 0x1e168f99af0> Out[26]: model_5.params In [27]: Intercept 67568.624969 np.exp(YearsExperience) 2.136040 dtype: float64 model_5.summary() In [28]: **OLS Regression Results** Out[28]: Dep. Variable: Salary R-squared: 0.472 0.454 Model: OLS Adj. R-squared: Least Squares F-statistic: 25.07 Method: Date: Sat, 24 Sep 2022 Prob (F-statistic): 2.72e-05 Log-Likelihood: Time: 00:42:21 -339.03 No. Observations: 30 AIC: 682.1 Df Residuals: 28 BIC: 684.9 **Df Model: Covariance Type:** nonrobust t P>|t| 0.975] coef std err [0.025 **Intercept** 6.757e+04 4065.396 16.620 0.000 5.92e+04 7.59e+04 np.exp(YearsExperience) 2.1360 5.007 0.000 1.262 3.010 0.427 **Omnibus:** 4.567 **Durbin-Watson:** 0.202 Prob(Omnibus): 0.102 Jarque-Bera (JB): 1.966 0.374 **Skew:** 0.276 Prob(JB): Kurtosis: 1.874 **Cond. No.** 1.05e+04 Notes: [1] Standard Errors assume that the covariance matrix of the errors is correctly specified. [2] The condition number is large, 1.05e+04. This might indicate that there are strong multicollinearity or other numerical problems. Model 6: Apply Reciprocal Transformation model_6 = smf.ols(formula = 'Salary~np.reciprocal(YearsExperience)', data = salary_details).fit() In [29]: <statsmodels.regression.linear_model.RegressionResultsWrapper at 0x1e168f39c10> Out[29]: model_6.params In [30]: 104273.335111 Out[30] np.reciprocal(YearsExperience) -103620.843905 dtype: float64 model_6.summary() In [31]: **OLS Regression Results** Out[31]: Dep. Variable: Salary 0.589 R-squared: Model: Adj. R-squared: 0.574 Least Squares Method: F-statistic: 40.06 Date: Sat, 24 Sep 2022 Prob (F-statistic): 7.58e-07 -335.30 Time: 00:42:22 Log-Likelihood: No. Observations: 674.6 **Df Residuals:** 28 BIC: 677.4 Df Model: **Covariance Type:** nonrobust coef std err t P>|t| [0.025 0.975] **Intercept** 1.043e+05 5533.996 18.842 0.000 9.29e+04 1.16e+05 np.reciprocal(YearsExperience) -1.036e+05 1.64e+04 **Omnibus:** 10.284 **Durbin-Watson:** 0.220 Jarque-Bera (JB): 2.740 Prob(Omnibus): 0.006 0.290 **Prob(JB):** 0.254 Skew: Kurtosis: 1.638 **Cond. No.** 5.40 Notes: [1] Standard Errors assume that the covariance matrix of the errors is correctly specified. Model 7: Apply Square Transformation model_7 = smf.ols(formula = 'Salary~np.square(YearsExperience)', data = salary_details).fit() In [32]: $<\!statsmodels.regression.linear_model.RegressionResultsWrapper\ at\ 0x1e168f39760\!>$ Out[32] model_7.params In [33]: Intercept 48042.585515 Out[33]: np.square(YearsExperience) 776.318773 dtype: float64 model_7.summary() In [34]: **OLS Regression Results** Out[34]: Dep. Variable: Salary R-squared: 0.915 Adj. R-squared: 0.912 Model: OLS Method: Least Squares F-statistic: 302.7 Date: Sat, 24 Sep 2022 Prob (F-statistic): 1.52e-16 Log-Likelihood: Time: 00:42:23 -311.59 No. Observations: AIC: 627.2 30 28 **Df Residuals:** BIC: 630.0 **Df Model: Covariance Type:** nonrobust [0.025 0.975] std err t P>|t| coef **Intercept** 4.804e+04 2186.372 21.974 0.000 np.square(YearsExperience) 776.3188 44.624 17.397 0.000 684.911 **Omnibus:** 1.294 **Durbin-Watson:** 0.883 Prob(Omnibus): 0.524 Jarque-Bera (JB): 1.240 **Skew:** 0.409 **Prob(JB):** 0.538 Notes: [1] Standard Errors assume that the covariance matrix of the errors is correctly specified. Model 8: Apply Square Root Transformation model_8 = smf.ols(formula = 'Salary~np.sqrt(YearsExperience)', data = salary_details).fit() In [35]: model_8 <statsmodels.regression.linear_model.RegressionResultsWrapper at 0x1e168a21a90> Out[35]: model_8.params In [36]: Intercept -16055.769117 Out[36] np.sqrt(YearsExperience) 41500.680583 dtype: float64 model_8.summary() **OLS Regression Results** Out[37]: 0.931 Dep. Variable: Salary R-squared: Model: 0.929 OLS Adj. R-squared: Least Squares 377.8 Method: F-statistic: Date: Sat, 24 Sep 2022 Prob (F-statistic): 8.57e-18 Log-Likelihood: Time: 00:42:24 -308.52 No. Observations: AIC: 621.0 **Df Residuals:** 28 623.8 BIC: **Df Model: Covariance Type:** nonrobust t P>|t| 0.975] coef std err [0.025 Intercept -1.606e+04 4921.599 -3.262 0.003 -2.61e+04 -5974.331 np.sqrt(YearsExperience) 4.15e+04 2135.122 19.437 0.000 3.71e+04 4.59e+04 **Omnibus:** 0.588 **Durbin-Watson:** 1.031 **Prob(Omnibus):** 0.745 **Jarque-Bera (JB):** 0.638 **Skew:** 0.011 **Prob(JB):** 0.727 **Cond. No.** 9.97 Kurtosis: 2.286 Notes: [1] Standard Errors assume that the covariance matrix of the errors is correctly specified. CONCLUSION = Comparing between all Models we got to know that without applying any transformation for the Model_1 we got the Higher R-squared Value i.e. 0.957 as comapare to all Model Hence the Model_1 is better model to predict Salary_hike THE END