

14.4

R 10,000 10 \Rightarrow 1000
S 2000 10 \Rightarrow 200 B = 52

① Paged simple Nested Loop Join
Cost = $N + (N \times M)$
 $= 200 + (1000 \times 200) = 200,200//$

② Blocked Nested Loop Join
Cost = $N + M \left(\frac{N}{B-2} \right)$
 $= 200 + 1000 \left(\frac{200}{50} \right) = 4200//$

③ Min B to maintain this cost is 52.

④ Sort-Merge join
Cost = $3(M+N) \quad [\because B > \sqrt{N}]$
 $= 3(1500) = 4500//$

Min B = 25
Exp: $1000/25 = 50$ Sized
200 = $\begin{bmatrix} 20 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ page runs
24 \rightarrow merged in next step
R-Tree [13.3.1]
Refinement

⑤ Cost = $3(M+N) = 4500$ (Same as above)
Page based
B - can't be judged $B > \sqrt{f \cdot M}$

⑥ Optimal - Each Rel. read only once
Total Cost = MN Page Nested Join
 $= 1200//$
B = 202 \leftarrow 200 hold small rel
1 ready 2 rel
1 %p.

⑥ Max. 10,000 $\xrightarrow{\text{match with max 1.}}$
R size 102 S. b is RK
no dup

Storing - Join + tuple double size.
 $\therefore 10/2 \rightarrow 5$ tuples per page
 $\Rightarrow 5000$ pages.

⑦ With R as outer Rel. why we need to scan only All S is found (match rec)
So on avg. 50% less in Page { 101,000
B = 52 - No change Block 3000
Hash. } Not
Sort-Merge } aff.

14.5

① [Unclustered Index]
Index nested loop Cost
R as outer Access finding
 $1000 + 10000(2+1) = 31,000//$
1 I/O per rec since unclustered
S as outer
 $200 + 2000 \left(3 + \frac{5}{5} \right) = 16,200//$
on avg. 5 matches

Block is cheaper 4200 I/Os
If 5 B pages,
Index Cost same
BNT $\Rightarrow 200 + 1000 \left(\frac{200}{5-2} \right) = 67200$
 $200 + 1000(67)$

If S had only 10 tuples,
block = $N + M + \left(\frac{N}{B-2} \right)$ $\therefore R = 1000$
 $= 1 + 1000 \left[\frac{1}{50} \right] = 1 + 1000(1)$
 $= 1001//$
S = 1 pg

Index
 R as outer
 find only 1 page so referal not
 $1000 + 10000 (1 + 1) = 21000$

S as outer

$$= 1 + 10 (1000 + 3) = 10,031 //$$

② [Clustered Index] - Accessing cost
INTJ
 R as outer
 is 1 per 1/0 page
 10 1 per 10 rec

$$1000 + 10,000 (1 + 2) = 31,000 //$$

S as outer

$$200 + 2000 (1 + 3) = 8200 //$$

fill 10 rec, it is 1 1/0

If there were only 5 buff.

INTJ - no change

Block - same as (1) - 67200 //

If S had only 10 tuples.

INTJ
 R as outer
 only 1 pg
 $R = 1000 \text{ pg}$
 $S = 1 \text{ pg}$
 $= 1000 + 10,000 (1 + 1) = 21,000 //$

S as outer

$$= 1 + 10 (1000 + 3) = 1031 //$$

1S \rightarrow 1000 R / 10 since clustered.

Block

$$1 \text{ } 200 + 1000 (1/50) = 1001 //$$

③ 15 B

SM join R - 1000 S - 200

$$R \quad 1000/15 = 67 \quad 200/15 = 14$$

$$67/15 = 4 \quad 14/15 = \text{Done}$$

$$4/15 = \text{Done}$$

R - 3 parts S - 2 parts

$$\therefore \text{Cost R} = 3 \times \frac{2}{1/0} \times 1000 = 6000$$

$$S = 2 \times 2 \times 200 = 800$$

$$\text{Merge} = 1000 + 200 = 1200 \quad \text{Total } 6800 //$$

Hash join

Small rel S,

hash will give 14 buckets
 @ 15 pg each

\therefore we need 14 + 15 pgs. > 15 B.

\therefore Apply hash again rec..

\therefore 2 partitions + 1 merge

$$2 \left(\frac{2}{1} (M+N) \right) + (M+N)$$

Read + write

$$= 4 (1200) + 1200 = 6000 //$$

④ SMJ R + S - 3 parts

$$\therefore (2 \times 3 \times 1000) + (2 \times 3 \times 1000) = 12000$$

$$+ \text{Merge} (2000) = 14000 //$$

Hash, Same situ as above

$$2 [2 (M+N)] + (M+N) = 4 (2000) + 2000 = 10,000 //$$

⑤ SMJ, $B > \sqrt{N}$

$$\text{Cost} = 3 (M+N) = 3 (2000) = 6000 //$$

Hash

$$1 \text{ part} + 1 \text{ merge} = [2 (M+N)] + [M+N] = 6000 //$$