HO unification from object language to meta language

Davide Fissore davide.fissore@inria.fr Université Côte d'Azur, Inria France Enrico Tassi enrico.tassi@inria.fr Université Côte d'Azur, Inria France

ABSTRACT

Specifying and implementing a logic from scratch requires significant effort. Logical Frameworks and Higher Order Logic Programming Languages provide dedicated, high-level Meta Languages (ML) to facilitate this task in two key ways: 1) variable binding and substitution are simplified when ML binders represent object logic ones; 2) proof construction, and even proof search, is greatly simplified by leveraging the unification procedure provided by the ML. Notable examples of ML are Elf [13], Twelf [14], λ Prolog [10] and Isabelle [20] which have been utilized to implement various formal systems such as First Order Logic [5], Set Theory [12], Higher Order Logic [11], and even the Calculus of Constuctions [4].

The object logic we are interested in is Coq's [18] Dependent Type Theory (DTT), for which we aim to implement a unification procedure \simeq_o using the ML Elpi [3], a dialect of λ Prolog. Elpi's equational theory comprises $\eta\beta$ equivalence and comes equipped with a higher order unification procedure \simeq_λ restricted to the pattern fragment [9]. We want \simeq_o to be as powerful as \simeq_λ but on the object logic DTT. Elpi also comes with an encoding for DTT that works well for meta-programming [17, 16, 7, 6]. Unfortunately this encoding, which we refer to as \mathcal{F}_o , "underuses" \simeq_λ by restricting it to first-order unification problems only. To address this issue, we propose a better-behaved encoding, \mathcal{H}_o , demonstrate how to map unification problems in \mathcal{F}_o to related problems in \mathcal{H}_o , and illustrate how to map back the unifiers found by \simeq_λ , effectively implementing \simeq_o on top of \simeq_λ for the encoding \mathcal{F}_o .

We apply this technique to the implementation of a type-class [19] solver for Coq [18]. Type-class solvers are proof search procedures based on unification that back-chain designated lemmas, providing essential automation to widely used Coq libraries such as Stdpp/Iris [8] and TLC [1]. These two libraries constitute our test bed.

KEYWORDS

Logic Programming, Meta-Programming, Higher-Order Unification, Proof Automation

ACM Reference Format:

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than the author(s) must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

Conference'17, July 2017, Washington, DC, USA

© 2024 Copyright held by the owner/author(s). Publication rights licensed to ACM. ACM ISBN 978-x-xxxx-x/YY/MM

https://doi.org/ZZZZZZZZZZZZZ

1 INTRODUCTION

Specifying and implementing a logic from scratch requires significant effort. Logical Frameworks and Higher Order Logic Programming Languages provide dedicated, high-level Meta Languages (ML) to facilitate this task in two key ways: 1) variable binding and substitution are simplified when ML binders represent object logic ones; 2) proof construction, and even proof search, is greatly simplified by leveraging the unification procedure provided by the ML. Notable examples of ML are Elf [13], Twelf [14], λ Prolog [10] and Isabelle [20] which have been utilized to implement various formal systems such as First Order Logic [5], Set Theory [12], Higher Order Logic [11], and even the Calculus of Constuctions [4].

The object logic we are interested in is Coq's [18] Dependent Type Theory (DTT), and we want to code a type-class [19] solver for Coq [18] using the Coq-Elpi [17] meta programming framework. Type-class solvers are unification based proof search procedures that combine a set of designated lemmas in order to providing essential automation to widely used Coq libraries.

As the running example we take the Decide type class, from the Stdpp [8] library. The class identifies predicates equipped with a decision procedure. The following three designated lemmas (called Instances in the type-class jargon) state that: 1) the type fin n, of natural numbers smaller than n is finite; 2) the predicate nfact n nf, linking a natural number n to its prime factors nf, is decidable; 3) the universal closure of a predicate has a decision procedure if the predicate has and if its domain is finite.

```
Instance fin_fin n : Finite (fin n). (* r1 *)
Instance nfact_dec n nf : Decision (nfact n nf). (* r2 *)
Instance forall_dec A P : Finite A \rightarrow (* r3 *)
\forall x:A, \ Decision \ (P \ x) \rightarrow Decision \ (\forall x:A, \ P \ x).
```

Under this context of instances a type-class solver is able to prove the following statement automatically by back-chaining.

```
Check \_: Decision (forall y: fin 7, nfact y 3). (g)
```

The encoding of DTT provided by Elpi, that we will discuss at length later in section ?? and ??, is an Higher Order Abstract Syntax (HOAS) datatype tm featuring (among others) the following constructors:

Following standard λ Prolog [10] the concrete syntax to abstract, at the meta level, an expression e over a variable x is «x\ e», and square brackets denote a list of terms separated by comma. As an example we show the encoding of the Coq term « \forall y:t, nfact y 3»:

```
all (con"t") y\ app[con"nfact", y, con"3"]
```

We now illustrate the encoding of the three instances above as higher-order logic-programming rules: capital letters denote rule parameters; :- separates the rule's head from the premises; pi w\ p introduces a fresh nominal constant w for the premise p.

finite (app[con"fin", N]). (r1)
decision (app [con"nfact", N, NF]). (r2)
decision (all A x\ app[P, x]) :- finite A, (r3)
pi w\ decision (app[P, w]).

Unfortunately this translation of rule (r3) uses the predicate P as a first order term: for the meta language its type is tm. If we try to backchain the rule (r3) on the encoding of the goal (g) given below

```
decision (all (app[con"fin", con"7"]) y\
  app[con"nfact", y, con"3"]).
```

we obtain an unsolvable unification problem (p): the two lists of terms have different lengths!

```
app[con"nfact", y, con"3"] = app[P, y] (p)
```

In this paper we study a more sophisticated encoding of Coq terms allowing us to rephrase the problematic rule (r3) as follows:

```
decision (all A x\ Pm x) :- decomp Pm P A, finite A, (r3a) pi x\ decision (app[P, x]).
```

Since Pm is an higher-order unification variable of type tm -> tm, with x in its scope, the unification problem (p') admits one solution:

After unifying the head of rule (r3a) with the goal, Elpi runs the premise «decomp Pm A P» that is in charge of bringing the assignment for Pm back to the domain tm of Coq terms:

```
P = lam A a\ app[con"nfact", a, con"3"]
```

This simple example is sufficient to show that the encoding we seek is not trivial and does not only concern the head of rules, but the entire sequence of unification problems that constitute the execution of a logic program. In fact the solution for P above generates a (Coq) β -redex in the second premise (the predicate under the pi w\):

```
decision (app[lam A (a\ app[con"nfact", a, con"3"]), w])
```

In turn this redex prevents the rule (r2) to backchain properly since the following unification problem has no solution:

```
app[lam A (a\ app[con"nfact", a, con"3"]), x] =
app[con"nfact", N, NF]
```

The root cause of the problems we sketched in the running example is that the unification procedure \simeq_{λ} of the meta language is not aware of the equational theory of the object logic, even if both theories include $\eta\beta$ -conversion and admit most general unifiers for unification problems in the pattern fragment \mathcal{L}_{λ} [9].

Contributions. In this paper we discuss alternative encodings of Coq in Elpi (Section ??), then we identify a minimal language \mathcal{F}_0 in which the problems sketched here can be fully described. We then detail an encoding comp from \mathcal{F}_0 to \mathcal{H}_0 (the language of the meta language) and a decoding decomp to relate the unifiers bla

bla.. TODO citare Teyjus. The code discussed in the paper can be accessed at the URL: https://github.com/FissoreD/paper-ho.

2 PROBLEM STATEMENT

The equational theory of Coq's Dependent Type Theory is very rich. In addition to the usual $\eta\beta$ -equivalence for functions, terms (hence types) are compared up to proposition unfolding and fixpoint unrolling. Still, for efficiency and predictability reasons, most form of automatic proof search employ a unification procedure that captures a simpler one, just $\eta\beta$, and that solves higher-order problems restricted to the pattern fragment \mathcal{L}_{λ} [9]. We call this unification procedure \simeq_o .

The equational theory of the meta language Elpi is strikingly similar, since it it comprises $\eta\beta$ (for the meta language functions), and the unification procedure \simeq_{λ} solves higher-order problems in \mathcal{L}_{λ} .

In spite of the similarity the link between \simeq_{λ} and \simeq_{o} is not trivial, since the abstraction and application term constructors the two unification procedures deal with are different. For example

One could ignore this similarity, and "just" describe the object language unification procedure in the meta language, that is crafting a unif predicate to be used as follows in rule (r3):

```
decision X :- unif X (all A x\ app[P, x]), finite A,
  pi x\ decision (app[P, x]).
```

This choice would underuse the logic programming engine provided by the metalanguage since by removing any datum from the head of rules indexing degenerates. Moreover the unification procedure built in the meta language is likely to be faster than one implemented in it, especially if the meta language is interpreted as Elpi is.

To state precisely the problem we solve we need a \mathcal{F}_o representation of DTT terms and a \mathcal{H}_o one. We call $=_o$ the equality over ground terms in \mathcal{F}_o , $=_\lambda$ the equality over ground terms in \mathcal{H}_o , \simeq_o the unification procedure we want to implement and \simeq_λ the one provided by the meta language. TODO extend $=_o$ and $=_\lambda$ with reflexivity on uvars.

We write $t_1 \simeq_{\lambda} t_2 \mapsto \sigma$ when t_1 and t_2 unify with substitution σ ; we write σt for the application of the substitution to t, and $\sigma X = \{\sigma t | t \in X\}$ when X is a set; we write $\sigma \subseteq \sigma'$ when σ is more general than σ' . We assume that the unification of our meta language is correct:

$$t_i \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda} \Rightarrow t_1 \simeq_{\lambda} t_2 \mapsto \rho \Rightarrow \rho t_1 =_{\lambda} \rho t_2$$
 (1)

$$t_i \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda} \Rightarrow \rho t_1 =_{\lambda} \rho t_2 \Rightarrow \exists \rho', t_1 \simeq_{\lambda} t_2 \mapsto \rho' \wedge \rho' \subseteq \rho$$
 (2)

We illustrate a compilation $\langle s \rangle \mapsto (t, m, l)$ that maps a term s in \mathcal{F}_0 to a term t in \mathcal{H}_0 , a variable mapping m and list of links l. The variable map connects unification variables in \mathcal{H}_0 with variables in \mathcal{F}_0 and is used to "decompile" the assignment, $\langle \sigma, m, l \rangle^{-1} \mapsto \rho$. Links represent problematic sub-terms which are linked to the

 fix_{300}

unification variable that stands in their place in the compiled term. These links are checked for or progress XXX improve....

We represent a logic program run in \mathcal{F}_0 as a list $steps\ p$ of length \mathcal{N} . Each made of a unification problem between terms \mathcal{S}_{p_l} and \mathcal{S}_{p_r} taken from the set of all terms \mathcal{S} . The composition of these steps starting from the empty substitution ρ_0 produces the final substitution $\rho_{\mathcal{N}}$. The initial here ρ_0 is the empty substitution

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{fstep}(\mathcal{S}, p, \rho) &\mapsto \rho^{\prime\prime} \stackrel{def}{=\!\!\!=\!\!\!=} \rho \mathcal{S}_{p_l} \simeq_o \rho \mathcal{S}_{p_r} \mapsto \rho^{\prime} \wedge \rho^{\prime\prime} = \rho \cup \rho^{\prime} \\ \operatorname{frun}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{N}) &\mapsto \rho_{\mathcal{N}} \stackrel{def}{=\!\!\!=\!\!\!=} \bigwedge_{p=1}^{\mathcal{N}} \operatorname{fstep}(\mathcal{S}, p, \rho_{p-1}) \mapsto \rho_p \end{aligned}$$

We simulate each run in \mathcal{F}_0 with a run in \mathcal{H}_0 as follows. Note that σ_0 is the empty substitution.

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{hstep}(\mathcal{T}, p, \sigma, \mathbb{L}) &\mapsto (\sigma'', \mathbb{L}') \stackrel{def}{=} \\ &\sigma \mathcal{T}_{p_{l}} \simeq_{\lambda} \sigma \mathcal{T}_{p_{r}} \mapsto \sigma' \wedge \operatorname{progress}(\mathbb{L}, \sigma \cup \sigma') \mapsto (\mathbb{L}', \sigma'') \\ \operatorname{hrun}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{N}) &\mapsto \rho_{\mathcal{N}} \stackrel{def}{=} \\ &\mathcal{T} \times \mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{L}_{0} = \{(t_{j}, m_{j}, l_{j}) | s_{j} \in \mathcal{S}, \langle s_{j} \rangle \mapsto (t_{j}, m_{j}, l_{j})\} \\ &\wedge_{p=1}^{\mathcal{N}} \operatorname{hstep}(\mathcal{T}, p, \sigma_{p-1}, \mathbb{L}_{p-1}) \mapsto (\sigma_{p}, \mathbb{L}_{p}) \\ &\langle \sigma_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathbb{M}, \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{N}} \rangle^{-1} \mapsto \rho_{\mathcal{N}} \end{split}$$

Here hstep is made of two sub-steps: a call to \simeq_{λ} (on the compiled terms) and a call to progress on the set of links. We claim the following:

Proposition 2.1 (Simulation). $\forall S, \forall N$,

$$frun(S, N) \mapsto \rho_N \Leftrightarrow hrun(S, N) \mapsto \rho_N$$

That is, the two executions give the same result. Moreover:

Proposition 2.2 (Simulation fidelity). In the context of hrun, if $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$ we have that $\forall p \in 1 ... \mathcal{N}$,

$$\mathsf{fstep}(\mathcal{S}, p, \rho_{p-1}) \mapsto \rho_p \Leftrightarrow \mathsf{hstep}(\mathcal{T}, p, \sigma_{p-1}, \mathbb{L}) \mapsto (\sigma_p, _)$$

In particular this property guarantees that a *failure* in the \mathcal{F}_0 run is matched by a failure in \mathcal{H}_0 at the same step. We consider this property very important from a practical point of view since it guarantees that the execution traces are strongly related and in turn this enables a user to debug a logic program in \mathcal{F}_0 by looking at its execution trace in \mathcal{H}_0 .

XXX permuting hrun does not change the final result if check dooes not fail eagerly

XXX if we want to apply heuristics, we can apply them in decomp to avoid committing to a non MGU too early

We can define $s_1 \simeq_o s_2$ by specializing the code of hrun to $\mathcal{S} = \{s_1, s_2\}$ as follows:

$$s_{1} \simeq_{o} s_{2} \mapsto \rho \stackrel{def}{=}$$

$$\langle s_{1} \rangle \mapsto (t_{1}, m_{1}, l_{1}) \land \langle s_{2} \rangle \mapsto (t_{2}, m_{2}, l_{2})$$

$$t_{1} \simeq_{\lambda} t_{2} \mapsto \sigma' \land \operatorname{progress}(\{l_{1}, l_{2}\}, \sigma') \mapsto (L, \sigma'') \land$$

$$\langle \sigma'', \{m_{1}, m_{2}\}, L \rangle^{-1} \mapsto \rho$$

Proposition 2.3 (Properties of \simeq_0).

$$s_{i} \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda} \Rightarrow s_{1} \simeq_{o} s_{2} \mapsto \rho \Rightarrow \rho s_{1} =_{o} \rho s_{2}(correct)$$
(3)
$$s_{i} \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda} \Rightarrow \rho s_{1} =_{o} \rho s_{2} \Rightarrow \exists \rho', s_{1} \simeq_{o} s_{2} \mapsto \rho' \wedge \rho' \subseteq \rho(complete)$$
(4)

$$\rho s_1 =_{\rho} \rho s_2 \Rightarrow \rho' \subseteq \rho \Rightarrow \rho' s_i \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda} \Rightarrow \rho' s_1 \simeq_{\rho} \rho' s_2 \tag{5}$$

Properties (correct) and (complete) state, respectively, that in \mathcal{L}_{λ} the implementation of \simeq_o is correct, complete and returns the most general unifier.

Property 2.1 states that \simeq_o , hence our compilation scheme, is resilient to unification problems outside \mathcal{L}_{λ} solved by a third party. We believe this property is of practical interest since we want the user to be able to add heuristics via hand written rules to the ones obtained by our compilation scheme. A Typical example is the following problem (q) that is outside \mathcal{L}_{λ} :

app [F, con"a"] = app[con"f", con"a", con"a"]
$$(q)$$

$$F = lam x \land app[con"f",x,x]$$
 (h)

Instead of rejecting it our scheme accepts it and guarantees that if (h) is given (after the compilation part of the scheme, as a run time hint) then ...

2.1 The intuition in a nutshell

A term s is compiled in a term t where every "problematic" sub term p is replaced by a fresh unification variable h and an accessory link that represent a suspended unification problem $h \simeq_{\lambda} p$. As a result \simeq_{λ} is "well behaved" on t, that is it does not contradict $=_{0}$ as it would otherwise do on "problematic" terms. We now define "problematic" and "well behaved" more formally.

Definition 2.4 (
$$\Diamond \eta$$
). $\Diamond \eta = \{t \mid \exists \rho, \rho t \text{ is an eta expansion}\}\$

An example of term t in $\Diamond \eta$ is $\lambda x.\lambda y.F$ y x since the substitution $\rho = \{F \mapsto \lambda a.\lambda b.fba\}$ makes $\rho t = \lambda x.\lambda y.fxy$ that is the eta long form of f. This term is problematic since its rigid part, the λ -abstractions, cannot justify a unification failure against, say, a constant.

Definition 2.5
$$(\overline{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}})$$
. $\overline{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}} = \{Xt_1 \dots t_n \mid Xt_1 \dots t_n \notin \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}\}.$

An example of t in $\overline{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}}$ is Fa for a constant a. Note however tha an oracle could provide an assignment $\rho = \{F \mapsto \lambda x.x\}$ that makes the resulting term fall back in \mathcal{L}_{λ} .

Definition 2.6 (Subterms $\mathcal{P}(t)$). The set of sub terms of t is the largest set $\mathcal{P}(\sqcup)$ that can be obtained by the following rules.

$$t \in \mathcal{P}(t)$$

$$t = ft_1 \dots t_n \Rightarrow \mathcal{P}(t_i) \subseteq \mathcal{P}(t) \land f \in \mathcal{P}(t)$$

$$t = \lambda x.t' \Rightarrow \mathcal{P}(t') \subseteq \mathcal{P}(t)$$

We write $\mathcal{P}(X) = \bigcup_{t \in X} \mathcal{P}(t)$ when *X* is a set of terms.

Definition 2.7 (Well behaved set). Given a set of terms $X \subseteq \mathcal{H}_0$,

$$W(X) \Leftrightarrow \forall t \in \mathcal{P}(X), t \notin (\overline{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}} \cup \Diamond \eta)$$

Proposition 2.8 (*W*-preservation). $\forall \mathcal{T}, \forall \mathbb{L}, \forall p, \forall \sigma, \forall \sigma'$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{W}(\sigma\mathcal{T}) \wedge \sigma\mathcal{T}_{p_l} &\simeq_{\lambda} \sigma\mathcal{T}_{p_r} \mapsto \sigma' \Rightarrow \mathcal{W}(\sigma'\mathcal{T}) \\ \mathcal{W}(\sigma\mathcal{T}) \wedge \operatorname{progress}(\mathbb{L}, \sigma) \mapsto (_, \sigma') \Rightarrow \mathcal{W}(\sigma'\mathcal{T}) \end{split}$$

 $^{^1\}mathrm{If}$ the same rule is used multiple time in a run we just consider as many copies as needed of the terms composing the rules, with fresh unification variables each time

is new

used?

A less formal way to state 2.8 is that hstep and progress never "commit" an unneeded λ -abstraction in σ (a λ that could be erased by an η -contraction), nor put in σ a flexible application outside \mathcal{L}_{λ} (an application node that could be erased by a β -reduction).

Note that proposition 2.8 does not hold for \simeq_o as a whole since decompilation can introduce (actually restore) terms in $\Diamond \eta$ or $\overline{\mathcal{L}_\lambda}$ that were move out of the way (put in $\mathbb L$) during compilation.

3 ALTERNATIVE ENCODINGS AND RELATED WORK

Paper [2] introduces semi-shallow.

Our encoding of DTT may look "semi shallow" since we use the meta-language lambda abstraction but not its application (for the terms of type tm). A fully shallow encoding unfortunately does not fit our use case, although it would make the running example work:

```
finite (fin N). decision (nfact N NF). decision (all A \times P \times):- finite A, pi \times decision (P \times).
```

There are two reasons for dismissing this encoding. The first one is that in DTT it is not always possible to adopt it since the type system of the meta language is too weak to accommodate terms with a variable arity, like the following example:

```
Fixpoint arr T n := if n is S m then T -> arr T m else T. Definition sum n : arr nat n := ...  
Check sum 2 7 8 : nat.  
Check sum 3 7 8 9 : nat.
```

The second reason is the encoding for Coq is used for meta programming the system, hence it must accommodate the manipulation of terms that are now know in advance (not even defined in Coq) without using introspection primitives such as Prologs's functor and arg.

In the literature we could find a few related encoding of DTT. TODO In [4] is related and make the discrepancy between the types of ML and DTT visible. In this case one needs 4 application nodes. Moreover the objective is an encoding of terms, proofs, not proof search. Also note the conv predicate, akin to the unif we rule out.

TODO This other paper [15] should also be cited.

None of the encodings above provide a solution to our problem.

4 PRELIMINARIES: \mathcal{F}_o AND \mathcal{H}_o

In order to reason about unification we provide a description of the \mathcal{F}_0 and \mathcal{H}_0 languages where unification variables are first class terms, i.e. they have a concrete syntax. We keep these languages minimal, for example, we omit the all quantifier of DTT we used in the example in Section 1 together with the type notation of terms carried by the lam constructor.

```
kind fm type. kind tm type. type fapp list fm \rightarrow fm. type app list tm \rightarrow tm. type flam (fm \rightarrow fm) \rightarrow fm. type lam (tm \rightarrow tm) \rightarrow tm. type fcon string \rightarrow fm. type con string \rightarrow tm. type fuva addr \rightarrow fm. type uva addr \rightarrow list tm \rightarrow tm.
```

Figure 1: The \mathcal{F}_0 and \mathcal{H}_0 languages

Unification variables (fuva term constructor) in \mathcal{F}_0 have no explicit scope: the arguments of an higher order variable are given via

the fapp constructor. For example the term $P \times is$ represented as fapp[fuva N, x], where N is a memory address and x is a bound variable.

In \mathcal{H}_o the representation of P x is instead uva N [x], since unification variables come equipped with an explicit scope. We say that the unification variable occurrence uva N L is in \mathcal{L}_{λ} if and only if L is made of distinct names. The predicate to test this condition is called pattern-fragment:

```
type pattern-fragment list A -> o.
```

In both languages unification variables are identified by a natural number representing a memory address. The memory and its associated operations are described below:

```
kind addr type.
type addr nat -> addr.
typeabbrev (mem A) (list (option A)).

type set? addr -> mem A -> A -> o.
type unset? addr -> mem A -> o.
type assign addr -> mem A -> A -> mem A -> o.
type new mem A -> addr -> mem A -> o.
```

If a memory cell is none, then the corresponding unification variable is not set. assign sets an unset cell to the given value, while new finds the first unused address and sets it to none.

Since in \mathcal{H}_o unification variables have a scope, their solution needs to be abstracted over it to enable the instantiation of a single solution to different scopes. This is obtained via the inctx container, and in particular via its abs binding constructor. On the contrary a solution to a \mathcal{F}_0 variable is a plain term.

We call fsubst the memory of \mathcal{F}_0 , while we call subst the one of \mathcal{H}_0 . Both have the invariant that they are not cyclic, TODO: explain.

Invariant 1. Any term in the substitution of \mathcal{H}_0 is in normal form. TODO: move this to the right place TODO: definire normal form, maybe in definition 2.7

```
kind arity type.
type arity nat -> arity.

kind fvariable type.
type fv addr -> fvariable.

kind hvariable type.
type hv addr -> arity -> hvariable.

kind mapping type.
type mapping fvariable -> hvariable -> mapping.
typeabbrev mmap (list mapping).
```

Invariant 2 (Unification variable arity). Each variable A in \mathcal{H}_o has a (unique) arity N and each occurrence (uva A L) is such that (len L N) holds

The compiler establishes a mapping between variables of the two languages. In order to preserve invariant 2 we store the arity of each hvariable in the mapping and we reuse an existing mapping only if the arity matches.

TODO: add ref to section 7

When a single fvariable occurs multiple times with different numbers of arguments the compiler generates multiple mappings for it, on a first approximation, and then makes the mapping bijective by introducing $link-\eta$; this detail is discussed in section 6.

As we mentioned in section 2.1 the compiler replaces terms in $\diamond \eta$ and $\overline{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}}$ with fresh variables linked to the problematic terms. Each class of problematic terms has a dedicated link.

```
kind baselink type.
type link-eta tm -> tm -> baselink.
type link-beta tm -> tm -> baselink.
typeabbrev link (inctx baselink).
typeabbrev links (list link).
```

The right hand side of a link, the problematic term, can occur under binders. To accommodate this situation the compiler wraps baselink using the inctx container (see, $\cdot \vdash \cdot$).

Invariant 3 (Link left hand side of a suspended link is a variable.

New links are suspended by construction. If the left hand side variable is assigned during a step, then the link is considered for progress and possibly eliminated. This is discussed in section 6 and 22

4.1 Notational conventions

When we write \mathcal{H}_0 terms outside code blocks we follow the usual λ -calculus notation, reserving f,g,a,b for constants, x,y,z for bound variables and X,Y,Z,F,G,H for unification variables. However we need to distinguish between the "application" of a unification variable to its scope and the application of a term to a list of arguments. We write the scope of unification variables in subscript while we use juxtaposition for regular application. Here a few examples:

```
\begin{array}{lll} f & & \operatorname{app[con "f", \ con "a"]} \\ \lambda x.F_X & & \operatorname{lam \ x \setminus \ app[uva \ F \ [x], \ con "a"]} \\ \lambda x.\lambda y.F_{xy} & \operatorname{lam \ x \setminus \ lam \ y \setminus \ uva \ F \ [x, \ y]} \\ \lambda x.F_X & & \operatorname{lam \ x \setminus \ app[uva \ F \ [x], \ x]} \end{array}
```

When detailing examples we write links as equations between terms under a context. The equality sign is subscripted with kind of baselink. For example $x \vdash A =_{\beta} F_x$ a corresponds to:

```
abs x\ val (link-beta (uva A []) (app[uva F [x],con "a"]))
```

When it is clear from the context we shall use the same syntax for \mathcal{F}_0 terms (although we never subscripts unification variables).

4.2 Equational theory and Unification

In order to express properties ?? we need to equip \mathcal{F}_o and \mathcal{H}_o with term equality, substitution application and unification.

Term equality: $=_o vs. =_{\lambda}$. We extend the equational theory over ground terms to the full languages by adding the reflexivity of unification variables (a variable is equal to itself).

The first four rules are common to both equalities and correspond to α -equivalence. In addition to that $=_o$ has rules for η and β -equivalence.

```
type (=_o) fm -> fm -> o.
fcon X =_o fcon X.
fapp A =_o fapp B := forall2 (=_o) A B.
flam F =_o flam G := pi x \setminus x =_o x => F x =_o G x.
fuva N =_o fuva N.
\mathsf{flam} \ \mathsf{F} \ =_o \ \mathsf{T} \ :\text{-}
                                                                        (\eta_l)
  pi x \land beta T [x] (T' x), x =_o x \Rightarrow F x =_o T' x.
T =_o flam F :=
                                                                        (\eta_r)
  pi x \land beta T [x] (T' x), x =_o x \Rightarrow T' x =_o F x.
fapp [flam X | L] =_o T :- beta (flam X) L R, R =_o T. (\beta_l)
T =_o fapp [flam X | L] :- beta (flam X) L R, T =_o R. (\beta_r)
type (=_{\lambda}) tm -> tm -> o.
con C =_{\lambda} fcon C.
app A =_{\lambda} fapp B :- forall2 (=_{\lambda}) A B.
lam F =_{\lambda} flam G := pi x \ x =_{\lambda} x => F x =_{\lambda} G x.
uva N A =_{\lambda} fuva N B :- forall2 (=_{\lambda}) A B.
```

The main point in showing these equality tests is to remark how weaker $=_{\lambda}$ is, and to identify the four rules that need special treatment in the implementation of \simeq_{λ} .

For reference, (beta T A R) reduces away lam nodes in head position in T whenever the list A provides a corresponding argument.

```
type beta fm -> list fm -> fm -> o.
beta A [] A.
beta (flam Bo) [H | L] R :- beta (Bo H) L R.
beta (fapp A) L (fapp X) :- append A L X.
beta (fuva N) L (fapp [fuva N | L]).
beta (fcon H) L (fapp [fcon H | L]).
beta N L (fapp [N | L]) :- name N.
```

The name predicate holds only on nominal constants (i.e. bound variables). Elpi provides it as a builtin, but one could implement it by systematically loading the hypothetical rule name $\ x$ every time a nominal constant is postulated via pi $\ x \$.

Substitution application: ρs and σt . Applying the substitution corresponds to dereferencing a term with respect to the memory. To ease the comparison we split \mathcal{F}_0 dereferencing into a fder step and a napp one. The former step replaces references to memory cells that are set with their values, and has a corresponding operation in \mathcal{H}_0 , namely deref. On the contrary napp, in charge of "flattening" fapp nodes, has no corresponding operation in \mathcal{H}_0 . The reasons for this asymmetry is that an fapp node with a flexible head is always mapped to a uva (as per sections ??), preventing nested applications to materialize.

```
type fder fsubst -> fm -> o.
fder _ (fcon C) (fcon C).
```

explain

better

```
fder S (fapp A) (fapp B) :- map (fder S) A B.
fder S (flam F) (flam G) :-
  pi x \land fder S x x \Rightarrow fder S (F x) (G x).
fder S (fuva N) R :- set? N S T, fder S T R.
fder S (fuva N) (fuva N) :- unset? N S.
type fderef fsubst -> fm -> fm -> o.
                                                          (\rho s)
fderef S T T2: - fder S T T1, napp T1 T2.
type napp fm \rightarrow fm \rightarrow o.
napp (fcon C) (fcon C).
napp (fuva A) (fuva A).
napp (flam F) (flam F1) :-
  pi x \rightarrow pi x = napp (F x) (F1 x).
napp (fapp [fapp L1 |L2]) T :- !,
  append L1 L2 L3, napp (fapp L3) T.
napp (fapp L) (fapp L1) :- map napp L L1.
```

Note that the cut operator is inessential, it could be removed at the cost of a verbose test on the head of L in the last rule (L head can be fcon, flam or a name).

Applying the substitution in \mathcal{H}_o is very similar, with the caveat that assignments have to be moved to the current scope, i.e. renaming the abs-bound variables with the names in the scope of the unification variable occurrence.

Note that move strongly relies on invariant 2: the length of the arguments of all occurrences of a unification variable and the number of abstractions in its assignment have to match. In turn this grants that move never fails.

```
type move assignment -> list tm -> tm -> o. move (abs Bo) [H|L] R :- move (Bo H) L R. move (val A) [] A.
```

Term unification: $\simeq_0 vs. \simeq_\lambda$. In this paper we assume to have an implementation of \simeq_λ that satisfies properties 1 and 2. Although we provide an implementation in the appendix (that we used for testing purposes) we only describe its signature here. Elpi is expected to provide this brick, as well as any other implementation of λProlog.

```
type (\simeq_{\lambda}) tm -> tm -> subst -> subst -> o.
```

The only detail worth discussing is the fact that the procedure updates a substitution, rather than just crafting one as presented in section 2. The reason is that the algorithm folds over a term, updating a substitution while it traverses it.

5 BASIC SIMULATION OF \mathcal{F}_o IN \mathcal{H}_o

In this section we describe a basic compilation scheme that we refine later, in the following sections. This scheme is sufficient to

implement an \simeq_0 that respects β -conversion for terms in \mathcal{L}_{λ} . The extension to $\eta\beta$ -conversion is described in Section 6 and the support for terms outside \mathcal{L}_{λ} in Section 8.

5.1 Compilation

The main task of the compiler is to recognize \mathcal{F}_o variables standing for functions and map them to higher order variables in \mathcal{H}_o . In order to bring back the substitution from \mathcal{H}_o to \mathcal{F}_o the compiler builds a "memory map" connecting the the kind of variables using routine (*malloc*).

The signature of the comp predicate below allows for the generation of links (suspended unification problems) that play no role in this section but play a major role in Sections 6 and 8. With respect to 2 the signature also allows for updates to the substitution. The code below only allocates space for the variables, i.e. sets their memory address to none, a details not worth mentioning in the previous discussion

```
type comp fm -> tm -> mmap -> mmap -> links -> links ->
    subst -> subst -> o.
comp (fcon C) (con C) M M L L S S.
comp (flam F) (lam F1) M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2 :- (c<sub>λ</sub>)
    comp-lam F F1 M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2.
comp (fuva A) (uva B []) M1 M2 L L S1 S2 :-
    m-alloc (fv A) (hv B (arity z)) M1 M2 S1 S2.
comp (fapp [fuva A|Ag]) (uva B Ag1) M1 M2 L L S1 S2 :-
    pattern-fragment Ag, !,
    fold6 comp Ag Ag1 M1 M1 L L S1 S1,
    len Ag Arity,
    m-alloc (fv A) (hv B (arity Arity)) M1 M2 S1 S2.
comp (fapp A) (app A1) M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2 :-
    fold6 comp A A1 M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2.
```

This preliminary version of comp recognizes \mathcal{F}_o variables applied to a (possibly empty) duplicate free list of names (i.e. pattern-fragment detects variables in \mathcal{L}_{λ}). Note tha compiling Ag cannot create new mappings nor links, since Ag is made of bound variables and the hypothetical rule loaded by comp-lam (see below) grants this property.

```
type comp-lam (fm -> fm) -> (tm -> tm) ->
    mmap -> mmap -> links -> links -> subst -> o.
comp-lam F G M1 M2 L1 L3 S1 S2 :-
    pi x y\ (pi M L S\ comp x y M M L L S S) =>
        comp (F x) (G y) M1 M2 L1 (L2 y) S1 S2,
    close-links L2 L3.
```

In the code above the syntax $pi \times y \setminus ...$ is syntactic sugar for iterated pi abstraction, as in $pi \times pi y \setminus ...$

The auxiliary function close-links tests if the bound variable ν really occurs in the link. If it is the case the link is wrapped into an additional abs node binding ν . In this way links generated deep inside the compiled terms can be moved outside their original context of binders.

```
type close-links (tm -> links) -> links -> o.
close-links (_\[]) [].
close-links (v\[X |L v]) [X|R] :- !, close-links L R.
close-links (v\[X v|L v]) [abs X|R] :- close-links L R.
```

manca betas norm mal₇ in 648ntrata

756

757

762

763

764

766

767

768

769

770

771

772

773

774

775

776

777

780

781

782

783

787

788

789

790

792

793

794

795

796

797

800

801

802

803

804

806

807

808

809

810

811

812

used context entries). 5.2 Execution two languages.

697

698

699

700

701

702

703

704

705

706

708

709

710

711

712

713

714

715

716

717

718

719

720

721

722

723

724

725

726

727

728

729

730

731

732

733

734

735

736

737

738

739

740

741

742

743

744

745

746

747

748

749

750

751

752

753

754

Note that we could remove the second rule, whose purpose is to make links more readable by pruning unneeded abstractions (un-

A step in \mathcal{H}_o consists in unifying two terms and reconsidering all links for progress. If any of the two tasks fail we say that the entire step fails, and it is at this granularity that we can relate steps in the

type hstep tm -> tm -> links -> links -> subst -> subst -> o. hstep T1 T2 L1 L2 S1 S3 :-(T1 \simeq_{λ} T2) S1 S2, progress L1 L2 S2 S3.

Note that he infix notation ((A \simeq_{λ} B) C D) is syntactic sugar for $((\simeq_{\lambda}) A B C D).$

Reconsidering links is a fixpoint, since the progress of a link can update the substitution and in turn enable another link to progress.

```
type progress links -> links -> subst -> o.
progress L L2 S1 S3 :-
 progress1 L L1 S1 S2, !,
 occur-check-links L1,
  if (L = L1, S1 = S2)
    (L2 = L1, S3 = S1)
     (progress L1 L2 S2 S3).
```

In the base compilation scheme progress is the identity on both the links and the substitution, so the fixpoint trivially terminates. Sections 6 and 8 add rules to progress1 and justify why the don't hinder termination.

Since compilation moves problematic terms out of the compiled terms, \simeq_{λ} can only perform a partial occur check. For example the unification problem $X \simeq_{\lambda} f Y$ cannot generate a cyclic substitution alone, but should be disallowed if a \mathbb{L} contains a link like $\vdash Y =_{\eta}$ $\lambda z.X_z$: We don't know yet if Y will feature a lambda in head position, but we surely know it contains X, hence f Y and that triggers occur check. The procedure occur-check-links is in charge of ensuring that each link does not represent a (suspended) unification problem doomed to fail because of occur check. This check is needed in order to guarantee proposition 2.2 (simulation fidelity).

Substitution decompilation 5.3

Decompiling the substitution requires to first force the progress of links and then allocating new unassigned variables in the substitution for \mathcal{F}_0 and finally decompiling all assignments. Note that invariant 3 and the occur check allows us to update the subst.

```
type decompile mmap -> links -> subst ->
 fsubst -> fsubst -> o.
decompile M1 L S F1 F3 :-
 commit-links L S S1,
 complete-mapping S1 S1 M1 M2 F1 F2,
 decompm M2 M2 S1 F2 F3.
```

TODO: What is commit-links and complete-mapping?, maybe complete-mapping can be hidden in the code rendering?

Decompiling an assignment requires to turn abstractions into lambdas. For aestetic purposes we also eta-contract the result (not needed since \mathcal{F}_0 equality can do that)

```
type decompm mmap -> mmap -> subst -> fsubst -> fsubst -> o.
decompm _ [] _ F F.
decompm M [mapping (fv V) (hv H _) | MS] S F1 F3 :- set? H S A,
  deref-assmt S A A1,
  abs->lam A1 T, decomp M T T1,
  eta-contract T1 T2,
  assign V F1 T2 F2,
  decompm M MS S F2 F3.
decompm M [mapping _ (hv H _)|MS] S F1 F2 :- unset? H S,
  decompm M MS S F1 F2.
```

Finally decompiling a term is trivial, now that we have an extended mapping containing all unassigned variables \simeq_{λ} may have introduced.

```
type decomp mmap \rightarrow tm \rightarrow fm \rightarrow o.
decomp _ (con C) (fcon C).
decomp M (app A) (fapp B) :- map (decomp M) A B.
decomp M (lam F) (flam G) :-
  pi \times y \setminus (pi M \setminus decomp M \times y) \Rightarrow decomp M (F X) (G y).
decomp M (uva Hv Ag) R :-
  mem M (mapping (fv Fv) (hv Hv _)),
  map (decomp M) Ag Bg,
  beta (fuva Fv) Bg R.
```

Note that we use beta to build fapp nodes when needed (if Ag is empty no fapp node should appear).

Invariant 4. TODO: dire che il mapping è bijective

5.4 Definition of \simeq_o and its properties

```
type (\simeq_{o}) fm -> fm -> fsubst -> o.
(A \simeq_{o} B) F :=
  comp A A' [] M1 [] [] [] S1,
  comp B B' M1 M2 [] [] S1 S2,
  hstep A' B' [] [] S2 S3,
  decompm M2 M2 S3 [] F.
```

The code given so far applies to terms in $\beta\eta$ -normal form where unification variables in \mathcal{F}_0 can occur non linearly but always with the same number of arguments, and where their arguments are distinct names (as per \mathcal{L}_{λ}).

```
Lemma 5.1 (Compilation round trip). If comp S T [] M [] _{-} [] _{-}
then decomp M T S
```

PROOF SKETCH. trivial, since the terms are beta normal beta just builds an app.

LEMMA 5.2. Properties (correct) and (complete) hold for the implementation of \simeq_o above

PROOF SKETCH. In this setting $=_{\lambda}$ is as strong as $=_{o}$ on ground terms. What we have to show is that whenever two different \mathcal{F}_0 terms can be made equal by a substitution ρ (plus the β_l and β_r if needed) we can find this ρ by finding a σ via \simeq_{λ} on the corresponding \mathcal{H}_{o} terms and by decompiling it. If we look at the \mathcal{F}_0 terms, the are two interesting cases:

- fuva $X \simeq_{\sigma} s$. In this case after comp we have $Y \simeq_{\lambda} t$ that succeeds with $\sigma = \{Y \mapsto t\}$ and σ is decompiled to $\rho = \{Y \mapsto s\}$.
- fapp[fuva X|L] \simeq_o s. In this case we have $Y_{\vec{x}} \simeq_\lambda t$ that succeeds with $\sigma = \{\vec{y} \vdash Y \mapsto t[\vec{x}/\vec{y}]\}$ that in turn is decompiled to $\rho = \{Y \mapsto \lambda \vec{y}.s[\vec{x}/\vec{y}]\}$. Thanks to $\beta_l (\lambda \vec{y}.s[\vec{x}/\vec{y}]) \vec{x} =_o$

Since the mapping is a bijection occur check in \mathcal{H}_0 corresponds to occur check in \mathcal{F}_0 .

LEMMA 5.3. Properties simulation (2.1) and fidelity (2.2) hold

PROOF SKETCH. Since progress1 is trivial fstep and hstep are the same, that is in this context where input terms are $\beta\eta$ -normal and we disregard η -equivalence \simeq_{λ} is equivalent to \simeq_{o} .

5.5 Limitations of by this basic scheme

$$\lambda x y F y x = \lambda x y x \tag{6}$$

$$\lambda x. f \cdot (F \cdot x) \cdot x = f \cdot (\lambda y. y) \tag{7}$$

Note that here F is used with different arities, moreover in the second problem the left hand side happens to be an eta expansion (of $f(\lambda y.y)$) only after we discover (at run time) that $F = \lambda x \lambda y.y$ (i.e. that F discards the x argument). Both problems are addressed in the next section.

6 HANDLING OF $\Diamond \eta$

 η -reduction is an equivalence relation over terms where a term of the form $\lambda x.t \cdot x$ can be converted to t any time x does not occur as a free variable in t. We call $\lambda x.t \cdot x$ the η -expanded version of t. The implementation of the comp relation given in section 5 compiles the \mathcal{F}_0 terms t_1 =flam $x \in \mathbb{F}_0$ fapp [fuva A, x] and t_2 = fcon "f" into the \mathcal{H}_0 terms t_1' =lam t0 va A' t1 x and t2 = fcon "f" with mapping t2 A t3. However, if the oracle sets A' to the constant "f", the unification of t3 and t4 in the meta language will fail even though t4 = t6. The reason of this failure is attributed to the fact that t4 =lam t4 app[con "f", t7 cannot be unified with t4 =con "f" since the two terms have different rigid heads. We solve this unification problem by adapting the comp relation such that it recognizes t4 subterms t6 and replaces them with fresh t6 variables t7. This link between the variable t7 and the subterm t8 is stored in what we call link-t9 which is an object with the following type

type link-eta tm -> tm -> baselink

where, as sketched in section 4, the term on the left hand side (lhs) is linked with its left counterpart (rhs).

link- η are added in the link store (\mathbb{L}) and activated when special conditions are satisfied on lhs or rhs. These link activations are managed by extending the progress1 predicate (see section 5.2). We claim that link- η progression does not contradict invariant 3 and we add the following invariant:

INVARIANT 5 (link- η rhs). The rhs of a link- η having the shape $\lambda x.F_x$ where F_x is a term not starting with the lam constructor.

In the next three subsections we explain how we detect $\Diamond \eta$ terms, how we compile them and how link- η are activated during the execution of the program and provide justification for why invariants 3 and 5 remain true.

6.1 Detection of $\Diamond \eta$

Compiling term with $\Diamond \eta$ terms forces us to determine if, $\lambda x.T_x$, for any term T having x in scope, can be a η -expansion, i.e. under a given substitution σ , we have $\sigma(\lambda x.T_x) = t$. This $\Diamond \eta$ detection is not a trivial operation as it may seems.

$$\lambda x. f \cdot A_x$$
 (8)

$$\lambda x. f \cdot x \cdot A_x \tag{9}$$

$$\lambda x. \lambda y. f A_x B_{ux}$$
 (10)

In the examples above, the first expression is a $\Diamond \eta$ since A_x can reduce to x, the second one is not a $\Diamond \eta$ since for any substitution for A_x , x is not free in $f \cdot x$. The third equation is a bit more complicated since, we have a spine of lambdas, this means that the whole term is a $\Diamond \eta$, if the inner λ -term is an η -expansion of a term t, and t can be reduced to a term on the form t'x where x is not free in t'. Indeed, eq. 10 is a $\Diamond \eta$ under the substitution $\sigma = \{A \mapsto \lambda x.x, B \mapsto \lambda x.\lambda y.x\}$.

TODO: clarify this As a remark, note that $\lambda x.f.A_x$ is a $\Diamond \eta$, since, despite, x occurs in $f.A_x$, it is still possible that this subterm does not use x, for example if A is a function on the form $\lambda x.a$, where a is a defined constant. In this case, the $\Diamond \eta$ should consider that the bound variable x does not "rigidly" occur in the given subterm.

We can now define more formally the two auxiliary relation we need for $\diamond \eta$ detection:

Definition 6.2 (occurs-rigidly). A name x occurs rigidly in a term t, if $\forall \sigma, x \in \mathcal{P}(\sigma t)$

In other words *x occurs-rigidly* in *t* if it occurs in *t* outside of the scope of unification variables since theirs instantiations are allowed to discard their scope.

Finally, we can derive the implementation for $\Diamond \eta$ detection:

Definition 6.3 ($\Diamond \eta$ detection). A term $\lambda x_1 \dots x_n.t$ where each x_i occurs in t is a $\Diamond \eta$ if either: 1) t is a constant applied to arguments $l_1 \dots l_m$ such that $m \geq n$ and every l_{m-n+i} reduces-to x_i and no x_i occurs-rigidly in $l_{1\dots m-n-1}$; or 2) t is a unification variable with scope s and for each x_i there exists a $s_j \in s$ such that s_j reduces-to x_i .

As a final remark, the $\Diamond \eta$ detection defined just before is an over-approximation, in the sense that there exists some terms t considered as $\Diamond \eta$, such that forall substitution σ , σt is not an η -expansion. A small example is $\lambda x.f.A_x.A_x$. On the other hand, we also point out that the $\Diamond \eta$ detection spot out potential η -expansion for terms that are not in \mathcal{L}_{λ} . For example, $\lambda x.F.G_x$ is considered as $\Diamond \eta$, since we have the application of term whose argument can reduce to x.

The implementation we propose for the $\Diamond \eta$ relation is given below.

```
type occurs-rigidly fm -> fm -> o.
occurs-rigidly N N.
occurs-rigidly _ (fapp [fuva _|_]) :- !, fail.
occurs-rigidly N (fapp L) :- exists (occurs-rigidly N) L.
occurs-rigidly N (flam B) :- pi x\ occurs-rigidly N (B x).
 type reducible-to list fm -> fm -> o.
reducible-to _ N N :- !.
reducible-to L N (fapp[fuva _|Args]) :- !,
       forall1 (x\ exists (reducible-to [] x) Args) [N|L].
reducible-to L N (flam B) :- !,
       pi x\ reducible-to [x | L] N (B x).
reducible-to L N (fapp [N|Args]) :-
       last-n {len L} Args R,
       forall2 (reducible-to []) R {rev L}.
 type maybe-eta fm -> list fm -> o.
                                                                                                                                                                                                        (\Diamond \eta)
maybe-eta (fapp[fuva _[Args]) L :- !,
       forall1 (x\ exists (reducible-to [] x) Args) L, !.
maybe-eta (flam B) L :- !, pi \times maybe-eta (B \times L) = maybe-eta (B
maybe-eta (fapp [fcon _|Args]) L :-
       split-last-n {len L} Args First Last,
       none (x\ exists (y\ occurs-rigidly x y) First) L,
       forall2 (reducible-to []) {rev L} Last.
```

6.2 Compilation

Thanks to the maybe-eta predicate, we can detect " η -problematic" terms and, consequently replace them with fresh \mathcal{H}_o unification variables at compilation time. The code below illustrate how this relation is used to for term compilation.

```
comp (flam F) (uva A Scope) M1 M2 L1 L3 S1 S3 :-
maybe-eta (flam F) [], !,
   alloc S1 A S2,
   comp-lam F F1 M1 M2 L1 L2 S2 S3,
   get-scope (lam F1) Scope,
   L3 = [val (link-eta (uva A Scope) (lam F1)) | L2].
```

This rule, to be inserted just before rule (c_{λ}) from the code in section 5, verifies if the \mathcal{F}_{o} term t received in entry is a $\Diamond \eta$. Let $\lambda t'$ be the compiled version of t, then the fresh variable A returned as the new \mathcal{H}_{o} term as in scope all the free names in t'. The critical part of this compilation is the creation of the link- η , which links the variable A with t. This link creation enforce invariant 3 and invariant 5, since clearly the lhs is a variable and the rhs is a term starting with the lam constructor. We can also note that for any name x, $tx = t' \cdot x$ never start with lam, since by contradiction, if 1) tx is an η -expansion, then the recursive call to comp would have replaced tx with a fresh variable, which contradict the hypothesis and if 2) t' is not an η -expansion, then neither $\lambda t'$ is.

6.3 Progress

link- η are meant to delay the unification of "problematic" terms. In the following, we call $\mathbb L$ the list of suspended links.

In order to activate a link- η l, we need to implement new rules for the progress1 predicate. After passing under all the abs constructors of l, there are two cases making a link- η to progress, 1)

Ihs is instantiated to a rigid term 2) rhs can be η -reduced to a term with rigid head. If lhs is instantiated to a rigid term t, by invariant 1, we know that t does not contain any $\diamond \eta$. Let t' the right hand side, if t is a constant or a function application, then, t', which by construction has lam as head, should be an η -expansion. We are therefore allowed to unify $\lambda x.t \cdot x$ (the η -expanded version of t) with t'. Finally, if lhs starts has lam as constructor, then t is not an η -expansion and therefore, t can be unified with t'.

The second way to activate a link- η is when the rhs can η -reduced to a term t with rigid head, i.e. t' is not a $\Diamond \eta$. This means that we can unify lhs with t'.

Once a link- η is activated, it can be removed from \mathbb{L} , otherwise, the link is kept for a further iteration of progress. Note that this link progression enforce invariants 1, 3 and 5: we never commit a term in the \mathcal{H}_o substitution, since we make unification only when we know that the terms are no more $\Diamond \eta$, and when lhs is no more a variable or rhs is no more a $\Diamond \eta$, the link is removed from \mathbb{L} .

TODO: example for case 1: $\lambda x.\lambda y.F.y.x = f$ TODO: example for case 2: $\lambda x.\lambda y.F.y.x = G, F = \lambda x.\lambda y.a$

A second way to progress link- η , that we call link- η deduplication, is when $\mathbb L$ contains two link- η l_1 and l_2 with a lhs having the same variable address. Let the lhs of l_1 be uva $\mathbb U$ $\mathbb R$ and the lhs of l_2 be uva $\mathbb V$ $\mathbb S$, then, by invariant 2, $\mathbb R$ and $\mathbb S$ have same scope. Let t be the term obtained by replacing all each name $\mathbb S_i$ in the rhs of l_1 with $\mathbb R_i$, t is unified with the rhs of l_2 and one of the two links between l_1 and l_2 is removed from $\mathbb L$.

TODO: example for this: $\lambda x. \lambda y. F. y. x = X, \lambda x. \lambda y. F. y. x = Y$

We can note that the insertion of these rules for progress1 do not prevent the termination of progress, since: if a link is activated it is removed from $\mathbb L$ and the recursive call to progress will have a smaller list of links to recurse on. Moreover, link activation only runs terminating instructions (such as unification). If a link is deduplicated, the termination of progress is still guaranteed since again we reduce $\mathbb L$ and the instructions run by link deduplications are all terminating. Finally, if a link is neither activated nor deduplicated, i.e. it remains suspended, then the condition of the if-statement of progress succeeds making it to terminate.

TODO: we can have $\lambda x.F_x$ in the substitution if we know that F does not reduce to Tx where x is not free in T.

7 ENFORCING INVARIANT 2

In section 5.5, we have given two unification problems to be run one after the other. The compilation of each term would generate the following result:

```
Unif problems: X = \lambda x.\lambda y.x, Z = f \cdot (\lambda x.x)

Mappings: F \mapsto G^1, F \mapsto H^2

Links: x \vdash Y_x =_{\eta} \lambda y.H_{y x},

\vdash X =_{\eta} \lambda x.Y_x,

\vdash Z =_{\eta} \lambda x.f \cdot G_x x
```

We see that the maybe-eta as detected $\lambda xy.F \cdot y \cdot x$ and $\lambda x.f \cdot (F \cdot x) \cdot x$ and replaced them with respectively the \mathcal{H}_o vars X and Z. X is linked with $\lambda x.Y_x$, Y has arity 1 and is η -linked with $\lambda y.H \cdot y \cdot x$ and Z is linked to the term $\lambda x.f \cdot G_x \cdot x$. However, the mapping returned by the compilation, does not breaks invariant 4: the \mathcal{F}_o variable F is mapped to two different \mathcal{H}_o variables. To address this problem

and enforce invariant 4, we clean the mapping with a second phase after the compilation. This phase is called map-deduplication.

Before formally defining this procedure, we need to define some auxiliary relations. Let \mathbb{M} be the list of mapping and $\langle m_1, m_2 \rangle \in \mathbb{M}$ such that the arity of the \mathcal{H}_0 variable in m_1 is smaller than the one in m_2 . Let X (resp. Y) the \mathcal{H}_0 variable of m_1 (resp. m_2) and $n = ar(m_1) - ar(m_2)$. We also let A^i be a fresh \mathcal{H}_0 variable. We define the make-eta-link relation taking two mappings $\langle m_1, m_2 \rangle$ and returning the following list of link link- η : $\forall i \in [1..n]$,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} + X =_{\eta} \lambda x. A_{x}^{1} & if \ i = 1 \\ x_{1} \dots x_{i-1} + A_{x_{1} \dots x_{i-1}}^{i-1} =_{\eta} \lambda x_{i}. A_{x_{1} \dots x_{i}}^{i} & if \ 1 < i < n \\ x_{1} \dots x_{i-1} + A_{x_{1} \dots x_{i-1}}^{i-1} =_{\eta} \lambda x_{i}. Y_{x_{1} \dots x_{i}} & if \ i = n \end{array} \right.$$

More concretely, we are saying that for any two mappings, we build as many 1 ink- η as the difference of the arities between the two mappings. This links are constructed in such a way that the \mathcal{H}_o variable v with lowest arity is linked to a fresh variable eta-expended variable A^1 having the scope of v. This variable A^1 is then linked to a an η -expanded fresh variable A^2 with same scope of A^1 and so on. The last link is built between the A^{n-1} (where n is the difference of arities between the two mappings) and the \mathcal{H}_o variable u with higher arity in the two mappings being considered.

Definition 7.1 (map-deduplication). For all mappings $\langle m_1, m_2 \rangle \in \mathbb{M}$, sharing the same \mathcal{F}_0 variable, the list of link- η L is created thanks to make-eta-link m_1 m_2 L and is added to \mathbb{L} . Then m_1 is removed from \mathbb{M} .

If we take back the example give at the beginning of this section, we can deduplicate $F \mapsto G^1, F \mapsto H^2$ by removing the first mapping and adding the auxiliary link- η : $x \vdash G_x =_{\eta} \lambda y.H_{x \mid y}$.

The complete problem to run for resolution is now:

```
Unif problems: X = \lambda x.\lambda y.x, Z = f \cdot (\lambda x.x)

Mappings: F \mapsto H^2

Links: l_1 = x \vdash Y_x =_{\eta} \lambda y.H_{y \mid x},

l_2 \models \vdash X =_{\eta} \lambda x.Y_x,

l_3 \models \vdash Z =_{\eta} \lambda x.f \mid G_x \mid x

l_4 = x \vdash G_x =_{\eta} \lambda y.H_{x \mid y}
```

After unification of the two terms, X is assigned to $\lambda x.\lambda y.x$. This assignment makes l_2 to progress since the lhs is materialized and by unification, between X and $\lambda x.Y_x$, Y_x is instantiate to $\lambda y.x$. Once Y_x is instantiated, l_1 can progress, and set H_{xy} to x. After all these progresses, l_1 and l_2 are remove from $\mathbb L$ and the progress fixpoint terminates. Next, the second unification problem is run, and Z is set to $f \cdot (\lambda x.x)$. This unification wakes up l_3 and since Z starts with the app node, the η -expanded version of Z is unified with $\lambda x.f \cdot G_x x$ and G_x is set to x. As last step, the last link is progressed and the final \mathcal{H}_0 substitution is $\{X \mapsto \lambda x.\lambda y.x, Y_x \mapsto \lambda y.x, G_{yx} \mapsto y, Z \mapsto f \cdot \lambda x.x, H_x \mapsto \lambda y.y\}$.

The decompilation phase is only charged, in this example to solve the mappings, since no suspended links remain. The only mapping in the list is $F \mapsto H^2$, which will assign the F variable in \mathcal{F}_0 to $\lambda xy.y$

TODO: dire che preserviamo l'invariante che tutte le variable sono fully-applied

8 HANDLING OF $\overline{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}}$

 β -reduction problems $(\overline{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}})$ appears any time we deal with a subterm $t=Xt_1\dots t_n$, where X is flexible and the list $[t_1\dots t_n]$ in not in \mathcal{L}_{λ} . This unification problem is not solvable without loss of generality, since there is not a most general unifier. If we take back the example given in section 2.1, the unification Fa=a admits two solutions for $F: \rho_1 = \{F \mapsto \lambda x.x\}$ and $\rho_2 = \{F \mapsto \lambda_-a\}$. Despite this, it is possible to work with $\overline{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}}$ if an oracle provides a substitution ρ such that ρt falls again in the \mathcal{L}_{λ} .

On the other hand, the \simeq_{λ} is not designed to understand how the β -redexes work in the object language. Therefore, even if we know that F is assigned to $\lambda x.x$, \simeq_{λ} is not able to unify Fa with a. On the other hand, the problem Fa = G is solvable by \simeq_{λ} , but the final result is that G is assigned to $(\lambda x.x)a$ which breaks the invariant saying that the substitution of the meta language does not generate terms outide \mathcal{W} (Property 2.8).

The solution to this problem is to modify the compiler such that any sub-term t considered as a potential β -redex is replaced with a hole h and a new dedicated link, called link- β .

```
type link-beta tm -> tm -> link.
```

This link carries two terms, the former representing the variable h for the new created hole and the latter containing the subterm t. As for the link- η , we will call h and t respectively the left hand side (lhs) and the right hand side (rhs) of the link- β .

8.1 Compilation

```
Detection of \overline{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}}. TODO: ...
```

Compilation with link- β . In order to build a link- β , we need to adapt the compiler so that it can recognize these "problematic" subterms. The following code snippet illustrate such behavior, we suppose the rule to be added just after ??.

A term is $\overline{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}}$ if it has the shape fapp[fuva A|Ag] and distinct Ag does not hold. In that case, Ag is split in two sublist Pf and Extra such that former is the longest prefix of Ag such that distinct Pf holds. Extra is the list such that append Pf Extra Ag. Next important step is to compile recursively the terms of these lists and allocate a memory adress B from the substitution in order to map the \mathcal{F}_0 variable fuva A to the \mathcal{H}_0 variable uva B. The link- β to return in the end is given by the term Beta = app[uva B Scope1 | Extra1] constituting the rhs, and a fresh variable C having in scope all the free variables occurring in Beta (this is lhs). We point out that the rhs is intentionally built as an uva where Extra1 are not in scope, since by invariant, we want all the variables appearing in \mathcal{H}_0 to be in \mathcal{L}_{λ} .

1220

1221

1223

1224

1225

1226

1227

1230

1231

1232

1233

1234

1235

1236

1237

1238 1239

1240

1241

1243

1244

1245

1246

1247

1248

1249

1250

1251

1252

1253

1254

1256

1257

1258

1259

1260

1261

1263

1264

1265

1266

1269

1270

1271

1272

1273

1274

1275

1276

1164 explain why66

1168

1169

1170

1161

1162

1163

adatta behe² nelle3 ap¹¹⁸⁴ prox⁵ ima¹¹⁸⁶ tion187

1188

1189

1190

1191

1192

1199

1207 1208 1209

1205

1206

1210

1211 1212

1213

1214 1215

1216 1217 1218

8.2 Progress

Once created, there exist two main situations waking up a suspended link- β . The former is strictly connected to the definition of β -redex and occurs when the head of *rhs* is materialized by the oracle (see proposition 2.1). In this case rhs is safely β -reduced to a new term t' and the result can be unified with lhs. In this scenario the link- β has accomplished its goal and can be removed from \mathbb{L} .

The second circumstance making the link- β to progress is the instantiation of the variables in the Extral making the corresponding arguments to reduce to names. In this case, we want to take the list Scope1 and append to it the largest prefix of Extra1 in a new variable Scope2 such that Scope2 remains in \mathcal{L}_{λ} ; we call Extra2 the suffix of Extral such that the concatenation of Scope1 and Extral is the same as the concatenation of Scope2 and Extra2. Finally, two cases should be considered: 1) Extra2 is the empty list, lhs and rhs can be unified: we have two terms in \mathcal{L}_{λ} ; otherwise 2) the link- β in question is replaced with a refined version where the rhs is app[uva C Scope2 | Extra2] and a new link- η is added between the lhs and the new-added variable C.

An example justifying this second link manipulation is given by the following unification problem:

```
f = flam x \land fapp[F, fapp[A, x]].
```

The compilation of these terms produces the new unification problem: f = X0

We obtain the mappings $F \mapsto \mathbf{F}^0, A \mapsto \mathbf{A}^1$ and the links:

$$c0 \vdash X3_{c0} =_{\beta} X2 X1_{c0} \tag{11}$$

$$\vdash X0 =_n \lambda c 0. X3_{c0} \tag{12}$$

where the first link is a link- η between the variable X0, representing the right side of the unification problem (it is a $\Diamond \eta$) and X3; and a link- β between the variable X3 and the subterm $\lambda x.X1_x$ a (it is a $\overline{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}}$). The substitution tells that $x \vdash X1_x = x$.

We can now represent the hrun execution from this configuration which will, at first, dereference all the links, and then try to solve them. The only link being modified is the second one, which is set to $x \vdash X3 =_{\beta} X2xa$. The *rhs* of the link has now a variable which is partially in the PF, we can therefore remove the original link- β and replace it with the following couple on links:

```
\vdash X1 =\eta= x\ `X4 x'
x \vdash X3 \quad x = \beta = x \land `X4 \quad x' \quad a
```

By these links we say that X1 is now η -linked to a fresh variable X4 with arity one. This new variable is used in the new link- β where the name x is in its scope. This allows

8.3 Tricky examples

```
triple ok (@lam x\ @app[@f, @app[@X, x]]) @Y,
triple ok @X (@lam x\ x),
triple ok @Y @f
% @okl 22 Г
   triple ok (@lam x\ @lam y\ @app[@Y, y, x]) @X,
   triple ok (@lam x\ @f) @X,
% ].
```

FIRST ORDER APPROXIMATION

TODO: Coq can solve this: f 1 2 = X 2, by setting X to f 1 **TODO:** We can re-use part of the algo for β given before

10 UNIF ENCODING IN REAL LIFE

TODO: Il ML presentato qui è esattamente elpi TODO: Il OL presentato qui è esattamente coq TODO: Come implementatiamo tutto ciò nel solver

11 RESULTS: STDPP AND TLC

TODO: How may rule are we solving? TODO: Can we do some perf test

12 CONCLUSION

REFERENCES

- Arthur Charguéraud. "The Optimal Fixed Point Combinator". In: Interactive Theorem Proving. Ed. by Matt Kaufmann and Lawrence C. Paulson. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2010, pp. 195-210. ISBN: 978-3-642-14052-5.
- Cvetan Dunchev, Claudio Sacerdoti Coen, and Enrico Tassi. "Implementing HOL in an Higher Order Logic Programming Language". In: Proceedings of the Eleventh Workshop on Logical Frameworks and Meta-Languages: Theory and Practice. LFMTP '16. Porto, Portugal: Association for Computing Machinery, 2016. ISBN: 9781450347778. DOI: 10.1145/2966268. 2966272. URL: https://doi.org/10.1145/2966268.2966272.
- Cvetan Dunchev et al. "ELPI: Fast, Embeddable, λProlog Interpreter". In: Logic for Programming, Artificial Intelligence, and Reasoning - 20th International Conference, LPAR-20 2015, Suva, Fiji, November 24-28, 2015, Proceedings. Ed. by Martin Davis et al. Vol. 9450. 2015, pp. 460-468. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-662-48899-7_32. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-48899-7%5C 32.
- Amy Felty. "Encoding the Calculus of Constructions in a Higher-Order Logic". In: ed. by M. Vardi. IEEE, June 1993, pp. 233-244. doi: 10.1109/LICS.1993.287584.
- Amy Felty and Dale Miller. "Specifying theorem provers in a higher-order logic programming language". In: Ninth International Conference on Automated Deduction. Ed. by Ewing Lusk and Ross Overbeck. 310. Argonne, IL: Springer, May 1988, pp. 61-80. DOI: 10.1007/BFb0012823.
- Davide Fissore and Enrico Tassi. "A new Type-Class solver for Coq in Elpi". In: The Coq Workshop 2023. Bialystok, Poland, July 2023. URL: https://inria.hal.science/hal-04467855.
- Benjamin Grégoire, Jean-Christophe Léchenet, and Enrico Tassi. "Practical and sound equality tests, automatically – Deriving eqType instances for Jasmin's data types with Coq-Elpi". In: CPP '23: 12th ACM SIGPLAN International Conference on Certified Programs and Proofs. CPP 2023: Proceedings of the 12th ACM SIGPLAN International Conference on Certified Programs and Proofs. Boston MA USA, France: ACM,

- Jan. 2023, pp. 167–181. DOI: 10.1145/3573105.3575683. URL: https://inria.hal.science/hal-03800154.
 - [8] RALF JUNG et al. "Iris from the ground up: A modular foundation for higher-order concurrent separation logic". In: Journal of Functional Programming 28 (2018), e20. DOI: 10.1017/S0956796818000151.
 - [9] Dale Miller. "Unification under a mixed prefix". In: Journal of Symbolic Computation 14.4 (1992), pp. 321–358. DOI: 10. 1016/0747-7171(92)90011-R.
 - [10] Dale Miller and Gopalan Nadathur. Programming with Higher-Order Logic. Cambridge University Press, 2012. DOI: 10.1017/ CBO9781139021326.
 - [11] Tobias Nipkow, Lawrence C. Paulson, and Markus Wenzel. Isabelle/HOL A Proof Assistant for Higher-Order Logic. Vol. 2283. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer, 2002. ISBN: 3-540-43376-7.
 - [12] Lawrence C. Paulson. "Set theory for verification. I: from foundations to functions". In: J. Autom. Reason. 11.3 (Dec. 1993), pp. 353–389. ISSN: 0168-7433. DOI: 10.1007/BF00881873.
 URL: https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00881873.
 - [13] F. Pfening. "Elf: a language for logic definition and verified metaprogramming". In: Proceedings of the Fourth Annual Symposium on Logic in Computer Science. Pacific Grove, California, USA: IEEE Press, 1989, pp. 313–322. ISBN: 0818619546.
 - [14] Frank Pfenning and Carsten Schürmann. "System Description: Twelf A Meta-Logical Framework for Deductive Systems". In: *Automated Deduction CADE-16*. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1999, pp. 202–206. ISBN: 978-3-540-48660-2.
 - [15] Colin Rothgang, Florian Rabe, and Christoph Benzmüller. "Theorem Proving in Dependently-Typed Higher-Order Logic". In: Automated Deduction – CADE 29. Ed. by Brigitte Pientka and Cesare Tinelli. Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland, 2023, pp. 438–455. ISBN: 978-3-031-38499-8.
 - [16] Enrico Tassi. "Deriving proved equality tests in Coq-elpi: Stronger induction principles for containers in Coq". In: *ITP 2019 10th International Conference on Interactive Theorem Proving.* Portland, United States, Sept. 2019. DOI: 10.4230/LIPIcs.CVIT.2016.23. URL: https://inria.hal.science/hal-01897468.
 - [17] Enrico Tassi. "Elpi: an extension language for Coq (Metaprogramming Coq in the Elpi λProlog dialect)". In: The Fourth International Workshop on Coq for Programming Languages. Los Angeles (CA), United States, Jan. 2018. URL: https://inria. hal.science/hal-01637063.
 - [18] The Coq Development Team. *The Coq Reference Manual Release 8.18.0.* https://coq.inria.fr/doc/V8.18.0/refman. 2023.
 - [19] P. Wadler and S. Blott. "How to Make Ad-Hoc Polymorphism Less Ad Hoc". In: Proceedings of the 16th ACM SIGPLAN-SIGACT Symposium on Principles of Programming Languages. POPL '89. Austin, Texas, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, 1989, pp. 60–76. ISBN: 0897912942. DOI: 10.1145/ 75277.75283. URL: https://doi.org/10.1145/75277.75283.
 - [20] Makarius Wenzel, Lawrence C. Paulson, and Tobias Nipkow. "The Isabelle Framework". In: *Theorem Proving in Higher Order Logics*. Ed. by Otmane Ait Mohamed, César Muñoz, and

Sofiène Tahar. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2008, pp. 33–38. ISBN: 978-3-540-71067-7.

HO unification from object language to meta language **APPENDIX** This appendix contains the entire code described in this paper. The code can also be accessed at the URL: https://github.com/FissoreD/ Note that (a infix b) c d de-sugars to (infix) a b c d. Explain builtin name (can be implemented by loading name after each pi) 13 THE MEMORY kind addr type. type addr nat -> addr. typeabbrev (mem A) (list (option A)). type set? addr -> mem A -> A -> o. set? (addr A) Mem Val :- get A Mem Val. type unset? addr -> mem A -> o. unset? Addr Mem :- not (set? Addr Mem _). type assign-aux nat -> mem A -> A -> mem A -> o. assign-aux z (none :: L) Y (some Y :: L). assign-aux (s N) (X :: L) Y (X :: L1) :- assign-aux N L Y L1. type assign addr -> mem A -> A -> mem A -> o. assign (addr A) Mem1 Val Mem2 :- assign-aux A Mem1 Val Mem2. type get nat -> mem A -> A -> o.

1393

1394

1395

1396 1397

1398

1399

1400

1401

1402

1404

1405

1406

1407

1408

1409

1410

1411

1412

1413

1414

1415

1417

1418

1419

1420

1421

1422

1423

1424

1425

1426

1427

1431

1432

1433

1434

1435

1436

1437

1438

1439

1440

1441

1442

1444

1445

1446

1447

1448

1449

1450

type alloc-aux nat -> mem A -> mem A -> o. alloc-aux z [] [none] :- !.

get (s N) (_ :: L) X :- get N L X.

alloc-aux z L L.

get z (some Y :: _) Y.

type alloc addr -> mem A -> mem A -> o.
alloc (addr A as Ad) Mem1 Mem2 :- unset? Ad Mem1,
 alloc-aux A Mem1 Mem2.

type new-aux mem A -> nat -> mem A -> o.
new-aux [] z [none].

type new mem A -> addr -> mem A -> o.

new-aux [A | As] (s N) [A | Bs] :- new-aux As N Bs.

new Mem1 (addr Ad) Mem2 :- new-aux Mem1 Ad Mem2.

14 THE OBJECT LANGUAGE

```
kind fm type.
type fapp list fm -> fm.
type flam (fm -> fm) -> fm.
type fcon string -> fm.
type fuva addr -> fm.

typeabbrev fsubst (mem fm).
```

```
1451
type fder fsubst -> fm -> o.
                                                                       1452
fder _ (fcon C) (fcon C).
                                                                       1453
fder S (fapp A) (fapp B) :- map (fder S) A B.
fder S (flam F) (flam G) :-
                                                                       1455
  pi x \land fder S x x \Rightarrow fder S (F x) (G x).
                                                                       1456
fder S (fuva N) R :- set? N S T, fder S T R.
                                                                       1457
fder S (fuva N) (fuva N) :- unset? N S.
                                                                       1458
                                                                       1459
type fderef fsubst -> fm -> o.
                                                            (\rho s)
                                                                       1460
fderef S T T2: - fder S T T1, napp T1 T2.
type napp fm \rightarrow fm \rightarrow o.
                                                                       1463
napp (fcon C) (fcon C).
                                                                       1464
napp (fuva A) (fuva A).
                                                                       1465
napp (flam F) (flam F1) :-
                                                                       1466
  pi x \rightarrow pi x = napp (F x) (F1 x).
                                                                       1467
napp (fapp [fapp L1 |L2]) T :- !,
                                                                       1468
  append L1 L2 L3, napp (fapp L3) T.
                                                                       1469
napp (fapp L) (fapp L1) :- map napp L L1.
                                                                       1470
                                                                       1471
type (=_{o}) fm -> fm -> o.
                                                            (=_{o})
                                                                       1472
fcon X =_o fcon X.
                                                                       1473
fapp A =_{o} fapp B := forall2 (=_{o}) A B.
                                                                       1474
flam F =_o flam G := pi x \setminus x =_o x \Rightarrow F x =_o G x.
                                                                       1476
fuva N =_{\alpha} fuva N.
flam F =_o T :=
                                                            (\eta_l)
                                                                       1477
  pi x\ beta T [x] (T' x), x =_o x \Rightarrow F x =_o T' x.
                                                                       1478
T =_{o} flam F :=
                                                                       1479
                                                            (\eta_r)
  pi x\ beta T [x] (T' x), x =_o x \Rightarrow T' x =_o F x.
                                                                       1480
fapp [flam X | L] = T: beta (flam X) L R, R = T. (\beta_I)
T =_o fapp [flam X | L] :- beta (flam X) L R, T =_o R. (\beta_r)
                                                                       1483
type extend-subst fm -> fsubst -> fsubst -> o.
                                                                       1484
extend-subst (fuva N) S S' :- mem.alloc N S S'.
                                                                       1485
extend-subst (flam F) S S' :-
                                                                       1486
  pi x \ (pi S\extend-subst x S S) => extend-subst (F x) S S'.
extend-subst (fcon _) S S.
extend-subst (fapp L) S S1 :- fold extend-subst L S S1.
                                                                       1490
type beta fm -> list fm -> fm -> o.
                                                                       1491
beta A [] A.
                                                                       1492
beta (flam Bo) [H | L] R :- beta (Bo H) L R.
                                                                       1493
beta (fapp A) L (fapp X) :- append A L X.
                                                                       1494
beta (fuva N) L (fapp [fuva N | L]).
                                                                       1495
beta (fcon H) L (fapp [fcon H | L]).
                                                                       1496
beta N L (fapp [N | L]) :- name N.
                                                                       1497
                                                                       1498
type mk-app fm \rightarrow list fm \rightarrow fm \rightarrow o.
                                                                       1499
mk-app T L S :- beta T L S.
type eta-contract fm -> fm -> o.
eta-contract (fcon X) (fcon X).
                                                                       1503
eta-contract (fapp L) (fapp L1) :- map eta-contract L L1.
                                                                       1504
eta-contract (flam F) T :- eta-contract-aux [] (flam F) T.
                                                                       1505
eta-contract (flam F) (flam F1) :-
                                                                       1506
  pi x \le eta-contract x x \implies eta-contract (F x) (F1 x).
                                                                       1507
```

```
eta-contract (fuva X) (fuva X).
1509
                                                                                  type prune-same-variable addr -> list tm -> list tm ->
                                                                                                                                                       1567
                                                                                                              list tm -> assignment -> o.
1510
         eta-contract X X :- name X.
                                                                                                                                                       1568
1511
                                                                                  prune-same-variable N [] [] ACC (val (uva N Args)) :-
                                                                                                                                                       1569
         type eta-contract-aux list fm -> fm -> o.
1512
                                                                                    rev ACC Args.
                                                                                                                                                       1570
1513
         eta-contract-aux L (flam F) T :-
                                                                                  prune-same-variable N [X|XS] [X|YS] ACC (abs F) :-
                                                                                                                                                       1571
           pi x\ eta-contract-aux [x]L] (F x) T. % also checks H Prefix does muit x\empressee-variable N XS YS [x]ACC] (F x).
                                                                                                                                                       1572
1514
         eta-contract-aux L (fapp [H|Args]) T :-
                                                                                  prune-same-variable N [_|XS] [_|YS] ACC (abs F) :-
                                                                                                                                                       1573
1515
           rev L LRev, append Prefix LRev Args,
                                                                                    pi x\ prune-same-variable N XS YS ACC (F x).
                                                                                                                                                       1574
1516
1517
           if (Prefix = []) (T = H) (T = fapp [H|Prefix]).
                                                                                                                                                       1575
1518
                                                                                  type permute list nat -> list tm -> list tm -> o.
                                                                                                                                                       1576
                                                                                  permute [] _ [].
                                                                                                                                                       1577
       15 THE META LANGUAGE
                                                                                  permute [PIPS] Args [TITS] :-
         kind inctx type -> type.
                                                                    (⋅ ⊦ ⋅)
                                                                                    nth P Args T.
                                                                                                                                                       1579
1521
                                                                                    permute PS Args TS.
         type abs (tm -> inctx A) -> inctx A.
1522
                                                                                                                                                       1580
1523
         type val A -> inctx A.
                                                                                                                                                       1581
1524
         typeabbrev assignment (inctx tm).
                                                                                  type build-perm-assign addr -> list tm -> list bool ->
                                                                                                                                                       1582
         typeabbrev subst (mem assignment).
                                                                                                        list nat -> assignment -> o.
1525
1526
                                                                                  build-perm-assign N ArgsR [] Perm (val (uva N PermutedArgs)) :-1584
         kind tm type.
                                                                                    rev ArgsR Args, permute Perm Args PermutedArgs.
1527
         type app list tm -> tm.
                                                                                  build-perm-assign N Acc [tt|L] Perm (abs T) :-
1528
                                                                                                                                                       1586
         type lam (tm \rightarrow tm) \rightarrow tm.
                                                                                    pi x\ build-perm-assign N [x|Acc] L Perm (T x).
1529
                                                                                                                                                       1587
1530
         type con string -> tm.
                                                                                  build-perm-assign N Acc [ff|L] Perm (abs T) :-
                                                                                                                                                       1588
1531
         type uva addr -> list tm -> tm.
                                                                                    pi x\ build-perm-assign N Acc L Perm (T x).
                                                                                                                                                       1589
         type (\simeq_{\lambda}) tm -> tm -> subst -> subst -> o.
                                                                                  type keep list A -> A -> bool -> o.
                                                                                  keep L A tt :- mem L A, !.
1534
         (con C \simeq_{\lambda} con C) S S.
                                                                                  keep \_ \_ ff.
         (app L1 \simeq_{\lambda} app L2) S S1 :- fold2 (\simeq_{\lambda}) L1 L2 S S1.
1535
                                                                                                                                                       1593
1536
         (lam F1 \simeq_{\lambda} lam F2) S S1 :-
                                                                                                                                                       1594
1537
           pi x\ (pi S\ (x \simeq_{\lambda} x) S S) => (F1 x \simeq_{\lambda} F2 x) S S1.
                                                                                  type prune-diff-variables addr -> list tm -> list tm ->
                                                                                                                                                       1595
          (uva N Args \simeq_{\lambda} T) S S1 :-
                                                                                                              assignment -> assignment -> o.
1538
                                                                                                                                                       1596
           set? N S F,!, move F Args T1, (T1 \simeq_{\lambda} T) S S1.
                                                                                  prune-diff-variables N Args1 Args2 Ass1 Ass2 :-
1539
         (T \simeq_{\lambda} uva N Args) S S1 :-
                                                                                    map (keep Args2) Args1 Bits1,
1540
           set? N S F,!, move F Args T1, (T \simeq_{\lambda} T1) S S1.
                                                                                    map (keep Args1) Args2 Bits2,
                                                                                                                                                       1599
1541
         (uva M A1 \simeq_{\lambda} uva N A2) S1 S2 :- !,
                                                                                    filter Args1 (mem Args2) ToKeep1,
                                                                                                                                                       1600
1542
1543
           pattern-fragment A1, pattern-fragment A2,
                                                                                    filter Args2 (mem Args1) ToKeep2,
                                                                                                                                                       1601
1544
           prune! M A1 N A2 S1 S2.
                                                                                    map (index ToKeep1) ToKeep1 IdPerm,
                                                                                                                                                       1602
1545
          (uva N Args \simeq_{\lambda} T) S S1 :- not_occ N S T, pattern-fragment Args,
                                                                                    map (index ToKeep1) ToKeep2 Perm21,
           bind T Args T1, assign N S T1 S1.
                                                                                    build-perm-assign N [] Bits1 IdPerm Ass1,
1547
          (T \simeq_{\lambda} uva N Args) S S1 :- not_occ N S T, pattern-fragment Args,
                                                                                    build-perm-assign N [] Bits2 Perm21 Ass2.
           bind T Args T1, assign N S T1 S1.
                                                                                                                                                       1606
1548
                                                                                  type beta tm -> list tm -> tm -> o.
1549
                                                                                                                                                       1607
1550
         type prune! addr -> list tm -> addr ->
                                                                                  beta A [] A.
                                                                                                                                                       1608
                      list tm -> subst -> o.
                                                                                  beta (lam Bo) [H | L] R :- beta (Bo H) L R.
1551
                                                                                                                                                       1609
         /* no pruning needed */
1552
                                                                                  beta (app A) L (app X) :- append A L X.
                                                                                                                                                       1610
1553
         prune! N A N A S S :- !.
                                                                                  beta (con H) L (app [con H | L]).
                                                                                                                                                       1611
         prune! M A N A S1 S2 :- !, bind (uva M A) A Ass,
                                                                                  beta X L (app[X|L]) :- name X.
                                                                                                                                                       1612
1554
1555
           assign N S1 Ass S2.
                                                                                                                                                       1613
1556
         /* prune different arguments */
                                                                                  /* occur check for N before crossing a functor */
                                                                                                                                                       1614
1557
         prune! N A1 N A2 S1 S3 :- !,
                                                                                  type not_occ addr -> subst -> tm -> o.
                                                                                                                                                       1615
           new S1 W S2, prune-same-variable W A1 A2 [] Ass,
                                                                                  not_occ N S (uva M Args) :- set? M S F,
                                                                                                                                                       1616
           assign N S2 Ass S3.
                                                                                    move F Args T, not_occ N S T.
         /* prune to the intersection of scopes */
                                                                                  not_occ N S (uva M Args) :- unset? M S, not (M = N),
1560
         prune! N A1 M A2 S1 S4 :- !,
                                                                                    forall1 (not_occ_aux N S) Args.
                                                                                                                                                       1619
1561
           new S1 W S2, prune-diff-variables W A1 A2 Ass1 Ass2,
1562
                                                                                  not_occ _ _ (con _).
                                                                                                                                                       1620
1563
           assign N S2 Ass1 S3,
                                                                                  not_occ N S (app L) :- not_occ_aux N S (app L).
                                                                                                                                                       1621
           assign M S3 Ass2 S4.
                                                                                  /* Note: lam is a functor for the meta language! */
                                                                                                                                                       1622
1564
                                                                                  not_occ N S (lam L) :- pi x\ not_occ_aux N S (L x).
1565
                                                                                                                                                       1623
1566
                                                                                                                                                       1624
                                                                           14
```

```
1625
         not_occ _ _ X :- name X.
                                                                                kind mapping type.
                                                                                                                                                    1683
1626
         /* finding N is ok */
                                                                                type mapping fvariable -> hvariable -> mapping.
                                                                                                                                                    1684
1627
         not_occ N _ (uva N _).
                                                                                typeabbrev mmap (list mapping).
                                                                                                                                                    1685
1629
         /* occur check for X after crossing a functor */
                                                                                typeabbrev scope (list tm).
                                                                                                                                                    1687
         type not occ aux addr -> subst -> tm -> o.
                                                                                typeabbrev inctx ho.inctx.
1630
                                                                                                                                                    1688
         not_occ_aux N S (uva M _) :- unset? M S, not (N = M).
                                                                                kind baselink type.
1631
                                                                                                                                                    1689
         not_occ_aux N S (uva M Args) :- set? M S F,
                                                                                type link-eta tm -> tm -> baselink.
1632
                                                                                                                                                    1690
1633
           move F Args T, not_occ_aux N S T.
                                                                                type link-beta tm -> tm -> baselink.
                                                                                                                                                    1691
         not_occ_aux N S (app L) :- forall1 (not_occ_aux N S) L.
                                                                                typeabbrev link (inctx baselink).
                                                                                                                                                    1692
         not_occ_aux N S (lam F) :- pi x\ not_occ_aux N S (F x).
                                                                                typeabbrev links (list link).
         not_occ_aux _ _ (con _).
                                                                                macro @val-link-eta T1 T2 :- ho.val (link-eta T1 T2).
         not_occ_aux _ _ X :- name X.
1637
                                                                                                                                                    1695
                                                                                macro @val-link-beta T1 T2 :- ho.val (link-beta T1 T2).
         /* finding N is ko, hence no rule */
1638
                                                                                                                                                    1696
1639
                                                                                                                                                    1697
1640
         /* copy T T' vails if T contains a free variable, i.e. it
                                                                                                                                                    1698
            performs scope checking for bind */
1641
                                                                                                                                                    1699
1642
         type copy tm -> tm -> o.
                                                                                type occurs-rigidly fm -> fm -> o.
                                                                                                                                                    1700
         copy (con C) (con C).
                                                                                                                                                    1701
1643
                                                                                occurs-rigidly N N.
1644
                        (app L') :- map copy L L'.
         copy (app L)
                                                                                occurs-rigidly _ (fapp [fuva _|_]) :- !, fail.
                                                                                                                                                    1702
         copy (lam T) (lam T') :- pi x \cdot copy x x \Rightarrow copy (T x) (T' x).
                                                                                occurs-rigidly N (fapp L) :- exists (occurs-rigidly N) L.
1645
                                                                                                                                                    1703
1646
         copy (uva A L) (uva A L') :- map copy L L'.
                                                                                occurs-rigidly N (flam B) :- pi x\ occurs-rigidly N (B x).
                                                                                                                                                    1704
                                                                                                                                                    1705
         type bind tm -> list tm -> assignment -> o.
                                                                                type reducible-to list fm -> fm -> o.
         bind T [] (val T') :- copy T T'.
                                                                                reducible-to _ N N :- !.
                                                                                reducible-to L N (fapp[fuva _|Args]) :- !,
         bind T [X | TL] (abs T') :- pi x \land copy X x \Rightarrow bind T TL (T' x).
                                                                                                                                                    1708
1650
                                                                                  forall1 (x\ exists (reducible-to [] x) Args) [N|L].
                                                                                                                                                    1709
1651
1652
         type deref subst -> tm -> tm -> o.
                                                                  (\sigma t)
                                                                                reducible-to L N (flam B) :- !,
                                                                                                                                                    1710
1653
         deref _ (con C) (con C).
                                                                                  pi x\ reducible-to [x | L] N (B x).
                                                                                                                                                    1711
         deref S (app A) (app B) :- map (deref S) A B.
                                                                                reducible-to L N (fapp [N|Args]) :-
1654
                                                                                                                                                    1712
1655
         deref S (lam F) (lam G) :-
                                                                                  last-n {len L} Args R,
           pi x \leq S x x \Rightarrow S = S (F x) (G x).
                                                                                  forall2 (reducible-to []) R {rev L}.
                                                                                                                                                    1714
1656
         deref S (uva N L) R :- set? N S A,
                                                                                                                                                    1715
1657
           move A L T, deref S T R.
                                                                                type maybe-eta fm -> list fm -> o.
                                                                                                                                                    1716
1658
                                                                                                                                        (\Diamond \eta)
1659
         deref S (uva N A) (uva N B) :- unset? N S,
                                                                                maybe-eta (fapp[fuva _|Args]) L :- !,
                                                                                                                                                    1717
           map (deref S) A B.
                                                                                  forall1 (x\ exists (reducible-to [] x) Args) L, !.
                                                                                                                                                    1718
                                                                                maybe-eta (flam B) L := !, pi \times maybe-eta (B \times) [x \mid L].
                                                                                                                                                    1719
         type move assignment -> list tm -> tm -> o.
                                                                                maybe-eta (fapp [fcon _|Args]) L :-
         move (abs Bo) [H|L] R :- move (Bo H) L R.
                                                                                  split-last-n {len L} Args First Last,
                                                                                                                                                    1721
1663
         move (val A) [] A.
                                                                                  none (x\ exists (y\ occurs-rigidly x y) First) L,
                                                                                                                                                    1722
1664
1665
                                                                                  forall2 (reducible-to []) {rev L} Last.
                                                                                                                                                    1723
1666
                                                                                                                                                    1724
         type deref-assmt subst -> assignment -> o.
1667
                                                                                                                                                    1725
         deref-assmt S (abs T) (abs R) :- pi x \cdot deref-assmt S (T x) (R x). type locally-bound tm -> o.
                                                                                                                                                    1726
1669
         deref-assmt S (val T) (val R) :- deref S T R.
                                                                                type get-scope-aux tm -> list tm -> o.
                                                                                                                                                    1727
1670
                                                                                get-scope-aux (con _) [].
                                                                                                                                                    1728
1671
                                                                                get-scope-aux (uva _ L) L1 :-
                                                                                                                                                    1729
       16 THE COMPILER
1672
                                                                                  forall2 get-scope-aux L R,
                                                                                                                                                    1730
1673
         kind arity type.
                                                                                  flatten R L1.
                                                                                                                                                    1731
1674
         type arity nat -> arity.
                                                                                get-scope-aux (lam B) L1 :-
                                                                                                                                                    1732
                                                                                  pi x \setminus locally-bound x \Rightarrow get-scope-aux (B x) L1.
                                                                                                                                                    1734
         kind fvariable type.
                                                                                get-scope-aux (app L) L1 :-
         type fv addr -> fvariable.
                                                                                  forall2 get-scope-aux L R,
                                                                                                                                                    1735
1677
1678
                                                                                  flatten R L1.
                                                                                                                                                    1736
1679
         kind hvariable type.
                                                                                get-scope-aux X [X] :- name X, not (locally-bound X).
                                                                                                                                                    1737
         type hv addr -> arity -> hvariable.
                                                                                get-scope-aux X [] :- name X, (locally-bound X).
1680
                                                                                                                                                    1738
1681
                                                                                                                                                    1739
                                                                                                                                                    1740
                                                                         15
```

```
1741
         type names1 list tm -> o.
                                                                                                                                                  1799
1742
         names1 L :-
                                                                               type compile-terms-diagnostic
                                                                                                                                                  1800
1743
           names L1,
                                                                                 triple diagnostic fm fm ->
                                                                                                                                                  1801
                                                                                 triple diagnostic tm tm ->
1744
           new int N.
1745
           if (1 is N mod 2) (L1 = L) (rev L1 L).
                                                                                 mmap -> mmap ->
                                                                                                                                                  1803
                                                                                 links -> links ->
1746
                                                                                                                                                  1804
         type get-scope tm -> list tm -> o.
                                                                                 subst -> subst -> o.
1747
                                                                                                                                                  1805
                                                                               compile-terms-diagnostic (triple D FO1 FO2) (triple D HO1 HO2) MM6M3 L1
1748
         get-scope T Scope :-
1749
           get-scope-aux T ScopeDuplicata,
                                                                                 comp F01 H01 M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2,
           undup ScopeDuplicata Scope.
                                                                                 comp F02 H02 M2 M3 L2 L3 S2 S3.
         type rigid fm -> o.
         rigid X := not (X = fuva_).
                                                                               type compile-terms
                                                                                                                                                  1810
                                                                                 list (triple diagnostic fm fm) ->
1753
                                                                                                                                                  1811
         type comp-lam (fm \rightarrow fm) \rightarrow (tm \rightarrow tm) \rightarrow
                                                                                 list (triple diagnostic tm tm) ->
                                                                                                                                                  1812
1754
1755
           mmap -> mmap -> links -> links -> subst -> o.
                                                                                 mmap -> links -> subst -> o.
                                                                                                                                                  1813
1756
         comp-lam F G M1 M2 L1 L3 S1 S2 :-
                                                                               compile-terms T H M L S :-
                                                                                                                                                  1814
           pi x y\ (pi M L S\ comp x y M M L L S S) =>
                                                                                 fold6 compile-terms-diagnostic T H [] M_ [] L_ [] S_,
1757
                                                                                                                                                  1815
1758
             comp (F x) (G y) M1 M2 L1 (L2 y) S1 S2,
                                                                                 deduplicate-map M_ M S_ S L_ L.
                                                                                                                                                  1816
           close-links L2 L3.
                                                                                                                                                  1817
1759
                                                                               type make-eta-link-aux nat -> addr -> addr ->
1760
                                                                                                                                                  1818
         type close-links (tm -> links) -> links -> o.
                                                                                 list tm -> links -> subst -> o.
                                                                                                                                                  1819
1761
         close-links (_\[]) [].
                                                                               make-eta-link-aux z Ad1 Ad2 Scope1 L H1 H1 :-
                                                                                                                                                  1820
1762
         close-links (v\[X\] | L v\]) [X\] :- !, close-links L R.
                                                                                 rev Scope1 Scope, eta-expand (uva Ad2 Scope) T1,
                                                                                                                                                  1821
         close-links (v\setminus[X \ v\mid L \ v]) [abs X|R] :- close-links L R.
                                                                                 L = [val (link-eta (uva Ad1 Scope) T1)].
                                                                                                                                                  1822
1765
         type comp fm -> tm -> mmap -> mmap -> links -> links ->
                                                                               make-eta-link-aux (s N) Ad1 Ad2 Scope1 L H1 H3 :-
                                                                                                                                                  1824
1766
           subst -> subst -> o.
                                                                                 rev Scope1 Scope, alloc H1 Ad H2,
         comp (fcon C) (con C) M M L L S S.
                                                                                 eta-expand (uva Ad Scope) T2,
1767
                                                                                                                                                  1825
1768
         comp (flam F) (uva A Scope) M1 M2 L1 L3 S1 S3 :-
                                                                                 (pi x\ make-eta-link-aux N Ad Ad2 [x|Scope1] (L1 x) H2 H3),
                                                                                                                                                 1826
1769
           maybe-eta (flam F) [], !,
                                                                                 close-links L1 L2,
                                                                                                                                                  1827
             alloc S1 A S2,
                                                                                 L = [val (link-eta (uva Ad1 Scope) T2) | L2].
1770
                                                                                                                                                  1828
             comp-lam F F1 M1 M2 L1 L2 S2 S3,
1772
             get-scope (lam F1) Scope,
                                                                               type make-eta-link nat -> nat -> addr -> addr ->
                                                                                                                                                  1830
1773
             L3 = [val (link-eta (uva A Scope) (lam F1)) | L2].
                                                                                       list tm -> links -> subst -> o.
                                                                                                                                                  1831
         comp (flam F) (lam F1) M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2 :-
                                                                               make-eta-link (s N) z Ad1 Ad2 Vars L H H1 :-
                                                                                                                                                  1832
1774
                                                                   (c_{\lambda})
1775
           comp-lam F F1 M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2.
                                                                                 make-eta-link-aux N Ad2 Ad1 Vars L H H1.
                                                                                                                                                  1833
1776
         comp (fuva A) (uva B []) M1 M2 L L S1 S2 :-
                                                                               make-eta-link z (s N) Ad1 Ad2 Vars L H H1 :-
                                                                                                                                                  1834
                                                                                 make-eta-link-aux N Ad1 Ad2 Vars L H H1.
           m-alloc (fv A) (hv B (arity z)) M1 M2 S1 S2.
                                                                                                                                                  1835
         comp (fapp [fuva A|Ag]) (uva B Ag1) M1 M2 L L S1 S2 :-
                                                                               make-eta-link (s N) (s M) Ad1 Ad2 Vars Links H H1 :-
                                                                                 (pi x\ make-eta-link N M Ad1 Ad2 [x|Vars] (L x) H H1),
           pattern-fragment Ag. !.
             fold6 comp Ag Ag1 M1 M1 L L S1 S1,
                                                                                 close-links L Links.
                                                                                                                                                  1838
1780
1781
             len Ag Arity,
                                                                                                                                                  1839
1782
             m-alloc (fv A) (hv B (arity Arity)) M1 M2 S1 S2.
                                                                               type deduplicate-map mmap -> mmap ->
                                                                                                                                                  1840
         comp (fapp [fuva A|Ag]) (uva C Scope) M1 M3 L1 L3 S1 S4 :- !,
                                                                                   subst -> subst -> links -> links -> o.
                                                                                                                                                  1841
1783
1784
           pattern-fragment-prefix Ag Pf Extra,
                                                                               deduplicate-map [] [] H H L L.
1785
           fold6 comp Pf
                           Scope1 M1 M1 L1 L1 S1 S1,
                                                                               deduplicate-map [(mapping (fv 0) (hv M (arity LenM)) as X1) | Maps] Maps
           fold6 comp Extra Extra1 M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2,
                                                                                 take-list Map1 (mapping (fv 0) (hv M' (arity LenM'))) _, !, 1844
1786
                                                                                 std.assert! (not (LenM = LenM')) "Deduplicate map, there is al@ag",
           len Pf Arity.
1787
                                                                                 print "arity-fix links:" {ppmapping X1} "~!~" {ppmapping (mapping (fv
1788
           m-alloc (fv A) (hv B (arity Arity)) M2 M3 S2 S3,
                                                                                 make-eta-link LenM LenM' M M' [] New H1 H2,
1789
           Beta = app [uva B Scope1 | Extra1],
                                                                                 print "new eta link" {pplinks New},
                                                                                                                                                  1848
           get-scope Beta Scope,
           alloc S3 C S4,
                                                                                 append New L1 L2,
                                                                                                                                                  1849
1792
           L3 = [@val-link-beta (uva C Scope) Beta | L2].
                                                                                 deduplicate-map Map1 Map2 H2 H3 L2 L3.
                                                                                                                                                  1850
         comp (fapp A) (app A1) M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2 :-
                                                                               deduplicate-map [A|As] [A|Bs] H1 H2 L1 L2 :-
                                                                                                                                                  1851
1793
1794
           fold6 comp A A1 M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2.
                                                                                 deduplicate-map As Bs H1 H2 L1 L2, !.
                                                                                                                                                  1852
1795
                                                                               deduplicate-map [A|_] _ H _ _ :-
                                                                                                                                                  1853
         type alloc mem A -> addr -> mem A -> o.
                                                                                 halt "deduplicating mapping error" {ppmapping A} {ho.ppsubst №4
1796
         alloc S N S1 :- mem.new S N S1.
1797
                                                                                                                                                  1855
1798
                                                                                                                                                  1856
                                                                        16
```

```
17 THE PROGRESS FUNCTION
1857
                                                                               append Scope1 L1 Scope1L,
                                                                                                                                             1915
1858
                                                                               pattern-fragment-prefix Scope1L Scope2 L2,
                                                                                                                                             1916
         macro @one :- s z.
1859
                                                                               not (Scope1 = Scope2), !,
                                                                                                                                             1917
                                                                               mem.new S1 Ad2 S2,
         type contract-rigid list ho.tm -> ho.tm -> o.
                                                                               len Scope1 Scope1Len,
1861
         contract-rigid L (ho.lam F) T :-
           \textbf{pi x} \land \textbf{contract-rigid [x|L] (F x) T. \% also checks H Prefix does not see X x expected. } \\ \textbf{Scope2Len,} 
1862
1863
                                                                               make-eta-link Scope1Len Scope2Len Ad1 Ad2 [] LinkEta S2 S3,
         contract-rigid L (ho.app [H|Args]) T :-
                                                                               if (L2 = []) (NewLinks = LinkEta, T2 = ho.uva Ad2 Scope2)
1864
                                                                                                                                             1922
          rev L LRev, append Prefix LRev Args,
1865
                                                                                 (T2 = ho.app [ho.uva Ad2 Scope2 | L2],
           if (Prefix = []) (T = H) (T = ho.app [H|Prefix]).
                                                                                 NewLinks = [@val-link-beta T T2 | LinkEta]).
         type progress-eta-link ho.tm -> ho.tm -> ho.subst -> ho.subst -> links -> o.
        progress-eta-link (ho.app _ as T) (ho.lam x\ _ as T1) H H1 [] :- !, progress-beta-link T1 (ho.app[ho.uva _ _ | _] as T2) _ _ _ :-
1868
                                                                               not (T1 = ho.uva _ _), !, fail.
1869
           ({eta-expand T @one} == 1 T1) H H1.
1870
         progress-eta-link (ho.con \_ as T) (ho.lam x\ \_ as T1) H H1 [] :- !,
1871
                                                                             progress-beta-link (ho.uva _ _ as T) (ho.app[ho.uva _ _ | _] as192) S1 _
           (\{eta-expand T @one\} == 1 T1) H H1.
1872
                                                                               occur-check-err T T2 S1, !, fail.
        progress-eta-link (ho.lam _ as T) T1 H H1 [] :- !,
1873
                                                                                                                                             1931
           (T == 1 T1) H H1.
                                                                            progress-beta-link T1 (ho.app[ho.uva _ _ | _] as T2) H H [@val-link-beta
1874
        progress-eta-link (ho.uva _ _ as X) T H H1 [] :-
1875
           contract-rigid [] T T1, !, (X ==1 T1) H H1.
         progress-eta-link (ho.uva Ad _ as T1) T2 H H [@val-link-eta T1 T2] progress-beta-link T1 (ho.app [Hd | T1]) S1 S2 B :-
                                                                                                                                             1934
1876
                                                                               ho.beta Hd Tl T3.
                                                                                                                                             1935
1877
          if (ho.not_occ Ad H T2) true fail.
1878
                                                                               progress-beta-link-aux T1 T3 S1 S2 B.
                                                                                                                                             1936
1879
         type is-in-pf ho.tm -> o.
                                                                             type solve-link-abs link -> links -> ho.subst -> ho.subst -> o.1938
         is-in-pf (ho.app [ho.uva _ _ | _]) :- !, fail.
1881
                                                                             solve-link-abs (ho.abs X) R H H1 :-
         is-in-pf (ho.lam B) :- !, pi x\ is-in-pf (B x).
                                                                               pi x\ ho.copy x x \Rightarrow (pi S\ ho.deref S x x) \Rightarrow
1882
         is-in-pf (ho.con _).
                                                                                                                                             1941
                                                                                 solve-link-abs (X x) (R' x) H H1,
1883
         is-in-pf (ho.app L) :- forall1 is-in-pf L.
                                                                               close-links R' R.
1884
                                                                                                                                             1942
         is-in-pf N :- name N.
1885
                                                                                                                                             1943
         is-in-pf (ho.uva _ L) :- pattern-fragment L.
                                                                             solve-link-abs (@val-link-eta A B) NewLinks S S1 :- !,
1886
                                                                                                                                             1944
1887
                                                                               progress-eta-link A B S S1 NewLinks.
         type arity ho.tm -> nat -> o.
1888
        arity (ho.con _) z.
                                                                             solve-link-abs (@val-link-beta A B) NewLinks S S1 :- !,
                                                                                                                                             1947
1889
        arity (ho.app L) A :- len L A.
                                                                               progress-beta-link A B S S1 NewLinks.
                                                                                                                                             1948
1890
1891
                                                                                                                                             1949
         type occur-check-err ho.tm -> ho.tm -> ho.subst -> o.
                                                                                                                                             1950
1892
                                                                             type take-link link -> links -> link -> links -> o.
        occur-check-err (ho.con _) _ _ :- !.
                                                                             take-link A [B|XS] B XS :- link-abs-same-lhs A B, !.
                                                                                                                                             1951
        occur-check-err (ho.app _) _ _ :- !.
                                                                             take-link A [L|XS] B [L|YS] :- take-link A XS B YS.
        occur-check-err (ho.lam _) _ _ :- !.
1895
        occur-check-err (ho.uva Ad _) T S :-
                                                                             type link-abs-same-lhs link -> link -> o.
                                                                                                                                             1954
1896
          not (ho.not_occ Ad S T).
                                                                             link-abs-same-lhs (ho.abs F) B :-
1897
                                                                                                                                             1955
1898
                                                                               pi x\ link-abs-same-lhs (F x) B.
                                                                                                                                             1956
         type progress-beta-link-aux ho.tm -> ho.tm ->
                                                                             link-abs-same-lhs A (ho.abs G) :-
                                                                                                                                             1957
1899
                 ho.subst -> ho.subst -> links -> o.
1900
                                                                               pi x\ link-abs-same-lhs A (G x).
         progress-beta-link-aux T1 T2 S1 S2 [] :- is-in-pf T2, !,
                                                                             link-abs-same-lhs (@val-link-eta (ho.uva N _) _) (@val-link-eta¹%ho.uva
1901
           (T1 == 1 T2) S1 S2.
1902
         progress-beta-link-aux T1 T2 S S [@val-link-beta T1 T2] :- !.
                                                                             type same-link-eta link -> link -> ho.subst -> ho.subst -> o. 1961
1903
1904
                                                                             same-link-eta (ho.abs F) B H H1 :- !, pi x\ same-link-eta (F x)1862H H1.
         type progress-beta-link ho.tm -> ho.tm -> ho.subst ->
                                                                             same-link-eta A (ho.abs G) H H1 :- !, pi x\ same-link-eta A (G x)₃H H1.
1905
              ho.subst -> links -> o
         (@val-link-eta (ho.uva N S2) B) H H1 :-
          arity T Arity, len L ArgsNb, ArgsNb >n Arity, !,
                                                                               std.map2 S1 S2 (x\y\r\ r = ho.copy x y) Perm,
                                                                                                                                             1966
1908
          minus ArgsNb Arity Diff, mem.new S V1 S1,
                                                                              Perm => ho.copy A A',
                                                                                                                                             1967
1909
           eta-expand (ho.uva V1 Scope) Diff T1,
                                                                               (A' == 1 B) H H1.
1910
                                                                                                                                             1968
           ((ho.uva V Scope) ==1 T1) S1 S2.
1911
                                                                                                                                             1969
        progress-beta-link (ho.uva _ _ as T) (ho.app[ho.uva Ad1 Scope1 | L‡ypes progress-1 links -> ho.subst -> ho.subst -> o.
                                                                                                                                             1970
1912
1913
                                                                             progress1 [] [] X X.
                                                                                                                                             1971
1914
                                                                      17
```

```
1973
         progress1 [A|L1] [A|L3] S S2 :- take-link A L1 B L2, !,
                                                                                 type add-new-map ho.subst -> ho.tm -> map ->
                                                                                                                                                      2031
           same-link-eta A B S S1,
1974
                                                                                      map -> fo.fsubst -> fo.fsubst -> o.
                                                                                                                                                      2032
1975
           progress1 L2 L3 S1 S2.
                                                                                 add-new-map _ (ho.uva N _) Map [] F1 F1 :-
                                                                                                                                                      2033
         progress1 [L0|L1] L3 S S2 :- deref-link S L0 L,
1976
                                                                                   mem Map (mapping _ (hv N _)), !.
                                                                                                                                                      2034
           solve-link-abs L R S S1, !,
                                                                                 add-new-map H (ho.uva N L) Map [Map1 | MapL] F1 F3 :-
           progress1 L1 L2 S1 S2, append R L2 L3.
                                                                                   mem.new F1 M F2.
                                                                                                                                                      2036
1978
                                                                                   len L Arity, Map1 = mapping (fv M) (hv N (arity Arity)),
                                                                                                                                                      2037
1979
                                                                                    add-new-map H (ho.app L) [Map1 | Map] MapL F2 F3.
                                                                                                                                                      2038
1980
       18 THE DECOMPILER
1981
                                                                                 add-new-map H (ho.lam B) Map NewMap F1 F2 :-
                                                                                                                                                      2039
1982
         type abs->lam ho.assignment -> ho.tm -> o.
                                                                                    pi x\ add-new-map H (B x) Map NewMap F1 F2.
                                                                                                                                                      2040
         abs->lam (ho.abs T) (ho.lam R) :- !, pi x\ abs->lam (T x) (R x). add-new-map H (ho.app L) Map NewMap F1 F3 :-
                                                                                                                                                      2041
         abs->lam (ho.val A) A.
                                                                                    add-new-map-aux H L Map NewMap F1 F3.
1984
                                                                                 add-new-map _ (ho.con _) _ [] F F :- !.
                                                                                                                                                      2043
1985
         type commit-links-aux link -> ho.subst -> ho.subst -> o.
                                                                                 add-new-map _ N _ [] F F :- name N.
                                                                                                                                                      2044
1986
1987
         commit-links-aux (@val-link-eta T1 T2) H1 H2 :-
                                                                                                                                                      2045
1988
           ho.deref H1 T1 T1', ho.deref H1 T2 T2',
                                                                                 type complete-mapping-under-ass ho.subst -> ho.assignment ->
                                                                                                                                                      2046
           (T1' == 1 T2') H1 H2.
                                                                                    map -> map -> fo.fsubst -> fo.fsubst -> o.
1989
                                                                                                                                                      2047
         commit-links-aux (@val-link-beta T1 T2) H1 H2 :-
                                                                                 complete-mapping-under-ass H (ho.val Val) Map1 Map2 F1 F2 :-
           ho.deref H1 T1 T1', ho.deref H1 T2 T2',
                                                                                    add-new-map H Val Map1 Map2 F1 F2.
                                                                                                                                                      2049
1991
           (T1' == 1 T2') H1 H2.
                                                                                 complete-mapping-under-ass H (ho.abs Abs) Map1 Map2 F1 F2 :-
                                                                                                                                                      2050
1992
         commit-links-aux (ho.abs B) H H1 :-
                                                                                    pi x\ complete-mapping-under-ass H (Abs x) Map1 Map2 F1 F2.
                                                                                                                                                      2051
1993
1994
           pi x\ commit-links-aux (B x) H H1.
                                                                                                                                                      2052
1995
                                                                                 type complete-mapping ho.subst -> ho.subst ->
                                                                                                                                                      2053
         type commit-links links -> links -> ho.subst -> ho.subst -> o.
                                                                                    map -> map -> fo.fsubst -> fo.fsubst -> o.
                                                                                                                                                      2054
         commit-links [] [] H H.
                                                                                 complete-mapping _ [] L L F F.
         commit-links [Abs | Links] L H H2 :-
                                                                                 complete-mapping H [none | Tl] L1 L2 F1 F2 :-
                                                                                                                                                      2056
           commit-links-aux Abs H H1, !, commit-links Links L H1 H2.
                                                                                    complete-mapping H Tl L1 L2 F1 F2.
                                                                                                                                                      2057
1999
                                                                                 complete-mapping H [some T0 | T1] L1 L3 F1 F3 :-
2000
                                                                                                                                                      2058
2001
         type decompl-subst map -> map -> ho.subst ->
                                                                                   ho.deref-assmt H T0 T,
                                                                                                                                                      2059
                                                                                    complete-mapping-under-ass H T L1 L2 F1 F2,
           fo.fsubst -> fo.fsubst -> o.
2002
                                                                                                                                                      2060
2003
         decompl-subst _ [A]_] _ _ :- fail.
                                                                                    append L1 L2 LAll.
         decompl-subst _ [] _ F F.
                                                                                   complete-mapping H Tl LAll L3 F2 F3.
                                                                                                                                                      2062
2004
         decompl-subst Map [mapping (fv V0) (hv VM _)[T1] H F F2 :-
                                                                                                                                                      2063
2005
                                                                                 type decompile map -> links -> ho.subst ->
           mem.set? VM H T, !,
                                                                                                                                                      2064
2006
2007
           ho.deref-assmt H T TTT,
                                                                                    fo.fsubst -> fo.fsubst -> o.
                                                                                                                                                      2065
           abs->lam TTT T', tm->fm Map T' T1,
                                                                                 decompile Map1 L HO FO FO2 :-
                                                                                                                                                      2066
           fo.eta-contract T1 T2, mem.assign V0 F T2 F1,
                                                                                    commit-links L L1_ HO HO1, !,
           decompl-subst Map Tl H F1 F2.
                                                                                    complete-mapping HO1 HO1 Map1 Map2 FO FO1,
         decompl-subst Map [mapping _ (hv VM _)|T1] H F F2 :-
                                                                                    decompl-subst Map2 Map2 H01 F01 F02.
2011
           mem.unset? VM H, decompl-subst Map T1 H F F2.
                                                                                                                                                      2070
2012
2013
                                                                                                                                                      2071
                                                                               19 AUXILIARY FUNCTIONS
2014
         type tm\rightarrow fm map \rightarrow ho.tm \rightarrow fo.fm \rightarrow o.
                                                                                                                                                      2072
                                                                                 type fold4 (A \rightarrow A1 \rightarrow B \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow C \rightarrow o) \rightarrow list A \rightarrow
         tm->fm _ (ho.con C) (fo.fcon C).
2015
                                                                                                                                                      2073
                                                                                   list A1 \rightarrow B \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow C \rightarrow o.
         tm->fm L (ho.lam B1) (fo.flam B2) :-
2016
                                                                                                                                                      2074
                                                                                 fold4 _ [] [] A A B B.
2017
           pi \times y \to fm _x y \Rightarrow tm \to fm L (B1 x) (B2 y).
                                                                                                                                                      2075
                                                                                 fold4 F [X|XS] [Y|YS] A A1 B B1 :- F X Y A A0 B B0,
2018
         tm->fm L (ho.app L1) T :- map (tm->fm L) L1 [Hd[T1],
                                                                                                                                                      2076
                                                                                    fold4 F XS YS A0 A1 B0 B1.
           fo.mk-app Hd Tl T.
                                                                                                                                                      2077
2019
2020
         tm->fm L (ho.uva VM TL) T :- mem L (mapping (fv VO) (hv VM _)),
                                                                                                                                                      2078
                                                                                 type len list A -> nat -> o.
2021
           map (tm->fm L) TL T1, fo.mk-app (fo.fuva V0) T1 T.
                                                                                                                                                      2079
                                                                                 len [] z.
                                                                                                                                                      2080
                                                                                 len [\_|L] (s X) :- len L X.
         type add-new-map-aux ho.subst -> list ho.tm -> map ->
                map -> fo.fsubst -> fo.fsubst -> o.
         add-new-map-aux \_ [] \_ [] S S.
                                                                                                                                                      2083
2025
         add-new-map-aux H [T|Ts] L L2 S S2 :-
2026
                                                                                                                                                      2084
2027
           add-new-map H T L L1 S S1,
                                                                                                                                                      2085
           add-new-map-aux H Ts L1 L2 S1 S2.
2028
                                                                                                                                                      2086
                                                                                                                                                      2087
                                                                                                                                                      2088
                                                                           18
```