# HO unification from object language to meta language

Enrico Tassi enrico.tassi@inria.fr Université Côte d'Azur, Inria France Davide Fissore davide.fissore@inria.fr Université Côte d'Azur, Inria France 

#### **ABSTRACT**

Specifying and implementing a logic from scratch requires significant effort. Logical Frameworks and Higher Order Logic Programming Languages provide dedicated, high-level Meta Languages (ML) to facilitate this task in two key ways: 1) variable binding and substitution are simplified when ML binders represent object logic ones; 2) proof construction, and even proof search, is greatly simplified by leveraging the unification procedure provided by the ML. Notable examples of ML are Elf [13], Twelf [14],  $\lambda$ Prolog [10] and Isabelle [20] which have been utilized to implement various formal systems such as First Order Logic [5], Set Theory [12], Higher Order Logic [11], and even the Calculus of Constuctions [4].

The object logic we are interested in is Coq's [18] Dependent Type Theory (DTT), for which we aim to implement a unification procedure  $\simeq_o$  using the ML Elpi [3], a dialect of  $\lambda$ Prolog. Elpi's equational theory comprises  $\eta\beta$  equivalence and comes equipped with a higher order unification procedure  $\simeq_\lambda$  restricted to the pattern fragment [9]. We want  $\simeq_o$  to be as powerful as  $\simeq_\lambda$  but on the object logic DTT. Elpi also comes with an encoding for DTT that works well for meta-programming [17, 16, 7, 6]. Unfortunately this encoding, which we refer to as  $\mathcal{F}_o$ , "underuses"  $\simeq_\lambda$  by restricting it to first-order unification problems only. To address this issue, we propose a better-behaved encoding,  $\mathcal{H}_o$ , demonstrate how to map unification problems in  $\mathcal{F}_o$  to related problems in  $\mathcal{H}_o$ , and illustrate how to map back the unifiers found by  $\simeq_\lambda$ , effectively implementing  $\simeq_o$  on top of  $\simeq_\lambda$  for the encoding  $\mathcal{F}_o$ .

We apply this technique to the implementation of a type-class [19] solver for Coq [18]. Type-class solvers are proof search procedures based on unification that back-chain designated lemmas, providing essential automation to widely used Coq libraries such as Stdpp/Iris [8] and TLC [1]. These two libraries constitute our test bed.

### **KEYWORDS**

Logic Programming, Meta-Programming, Higher-Order Unification, Proof Automation

# **ACM Reference Format:**

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than the author(s) must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

Conference'17, July 2017, Washington, DC, USA

© 2024 Copyright held by the owner/author(s). Publication rights licensed to ACM. ACM ISBN 978-x-xxxx-x/YY/MM

https://doi.org/ZZZZZZZZZZZZZ

#### 1 INTRODUCTION

Specifying and implementing a logic from scratch requires significant effort. Logical Frameworks and Higher Order Logic Programming Languages provide dedicated, high-level Meta Languages (ML) to facilitate this task in two key ways: 1) variable binding and substitution are simplified when ML binders represent object logic ones; 2) proof construction, and even proof search, is greatly simplified by leveraging the unification procedure provided by the ML. Notable examples of ML are Elf [13], Twelf [14],  $\lambda$ Prolog [10] and Isabelle [20] which have been utilized to implement various formal systems such as First Order Logic [5], Set Theory [12], Higher Order Logic [11], and even the Calculus of Constuctions [4].

The object logic we are interested in is Coq's [18] Dependent Type Theory (DTT), and we want to code a type-class [19] solver for Coq [18] using the Coq-Elpi [17] meta programming framework. Type-class solvers are unification based proof search procedures that combine a set of designated lemmas in order to providing essential automation to widely used Coq libraries.

As the running example we take the Decide type class, from the Stdpp [8] library. The class identifies predicates equipped with a decision procedure. The following three designated lemmas (called Instances in the type-class jargon) state that: 1) the type fin n, of natural numbers smaller than n is finite; 2) the predicate nfact n nf, linking a natural number n to its prime factors nf, is decidable; 3) the universal closure of a predicate has a decision procedure if the predicate has and if its domain is finite.

```
Instance fin_fin n : Finite (fin n). (* r1 *)
Instance nfact_dec n nf : Decision (nfact n nf). (* r2 *)
Instance forall_dec A P : Finite A \rightarrow (* r3 *)
\forall x:A, \ Decision \ (P \ x) \rightarrow Decision \ (\forall x:A, \ P \ x).
```

Under this context of instances a type-class solver is able to prove the following statement automatically by back-chaining.

```
Check \_: Decision (forall y: fin 7, nfact y 3). (g)
```

The encoding of DTT provided by Elpi, that we will discuss at length later in section ?? and ??, is an Higher Order Abstract Syntax (HOAS) datatype tm featuring (among others) the following constructors:

Following standard  $\lambda Prolog~[10]$  the concrete syntax to abstract, at the meta level, an expression e over a variable x is «x\ e», and square brackets denote a list of terms separated by comma. As an example we show the encoding of the Coq term « $\forall y:t,~nfact~y~3$ »:

```
all (con"t") y\ app[con"nfact", y, con"3"]
```

We now illustrate the encoding of the three instances above as higher-order logic-programming rules: capital letters denote rule parameters; :- separates the rule's head from the premises; pi w\ p introduces a fresh nominal constant w for the premise p.

```
finite (app[con"fin", N]).
(r1)
```

decision (all A x\ app[P, x]) :- finite A, 
$$(r3)$$
 pi w\ decision (app[P, w]).

Unfortunately this translation of rule (r3) uses the predicate P as a first order term: for the meta language its type is tm. If we try to backchain the rule (r3) on the encoding of the goal (g) given below

```
decision (all (app[con"fin", con"7"]) y\
  app[con"nfact", y, con"3"]).
```

we obtain an unsolvable unification problem (p): the two lists of terms have different lengths!

```
app[con"nfact", y, con"3"] = app[P, y] (p)
```

In this paper we study a more sophisticated encoding of Coq terms allowing us to rephrase the problematic rule (r3) as follows:

```
decision (all A \times \ Pm \ x) :- link Pm \ P \ A, finite A, (r3a) pi x \ decision (app[P, x]).
```

Since Pm is an higher-order unification variable of type tm -> tm, with x in its scope, the unification problem (p') admits one solution:

After unifying the head of rule (r3a) with the goal, Elpi runs the premise «link Pm A P» that is in charge of bringing the assignment for Pm back to the domain tm of Coq terms:

```
P = lam A a\ app[con"nfact", a, con"3"]
```

This simple example is sufficient to show that the encoding we seek is not trivial and does not only concern the head of rules, but the entire sequence of unification problems that constitute the execution of a logic program. In fact the solution for P above generates a (Coq)  $\beta$ -redex in the second premise (the predicate under the Pi w\):

```
decision (app[lam A (a\ app[con"nfact", a, con"3"]), w])
```

In turn this redex prevents the rule (r2) to backchain properly since the following unification problem has no solution:

```
app[lam A (a\ app[con"nfact", a, con"3"]), x] =
app[con"nfact", N, NF]
```

The root cause of the problems we sketched in the running example is that the unification procedure  $\simeq_{\lambda}$  of the meta language is not aware of the equational theory of the object logic, even if both theories include  $\eta\beta$ -conversion and admit most general unifiers for unification problems in the pattern fragment  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$  [9].

Contributions. In this paper we discuss alternative encodings of Coq in Elpi (Section 2), then we identify a minimal language  $\mathcal{F}_0$  in which the problems sketched here can be fully described. We then detail an encoding comp from  $\mathcal{F}_0$  to  $\mathcal{H}_0$  (the language of the

meta language) and a decoding decomp to relate the unifiers bla bla.. TODO citare Teyjus.

#### 2 PROBLEM STATEMENT

The equational theory of Coq's Dependent Type Theory is very rich. In addition to the usual  $\eta\beta$ -equivalence for functions, terms (hence types) are compared up to proposition unfolding and fixpoint unrolling. Still, for efficiency and predictability reasons, most form of automatic proof search employ a unification procedure that captures a simpler one, just  $\eta\beta$ , and that solves higher-order problems restricted to the pattern fragment  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$  [9]. We call this unification procedure  $\simeq_o$ .

The equational theory of the meta language Elpi that we want to use to implement a form of proof automation is strikingly similar, since it it comprises  $\eta\beta$  (for the meta language functions), and the unification procedure  $\simeq_{\lambda}$  solves higher-order problems in  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$ .

In spite of the similarity the link between  $\simeq_{\lambda}$  and  $\simeq_{o}$  is not trivial, since the abstraction and application term constructors the two unification procedures deal with are different. For example

One could ignore this similarity, and "just" describe the object language unification procedure in the meta language, that is crafting a unif predicate to be used as follows in rule (r3):

```
decision X :- unif X (all A x\ app[P, x]), finite A,
  pi x\ decision (app[P, x]).
```

This choice would underuse the logic programming engine provided by the metalanguage since by removing any datum from the head of rules indexing degenerates. Moreover the unification procedure built in the meta language is likely to be faster than one implemented in it, especially if the meta language is interpreted as Elpi is.

To state precisely the problem we solve we need a  $\mathcal{F}_o$  representation of DTT terms and a  $\mathcal{H}_o$  one. We call  $=_o$  the equality over ground terms in  $\mathcal{F}_o$ ,  $=_\lambda$  the equality over ground terms in  $\mathcal{H}_o$ ,  $\simeq_o$  the unification procedure we want to implement and  $\simeq_\lambda$  the one provided by the meta language. TODO extend  $=_o$  and  $=_\lambda$  with reflexivity on uvars.

We write  $t_1 \simeq_{\lambda} t_2 \mapsto \sigma$  when  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  unify with substitution  $\sigma$ ; we write  $\sigma t$  for the application of the substitution to t, and  $\sigma X = \{\sigma t | t \in X\}$  when X is a set; we write  $\sigma \subseteq \sigma'$  when  $\sigma$  is more general than  $\sigma'$ . We assume that the unification of our meta language is correct:

$$t_i \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda} \Rightarrow t_1 \simeq_{\lambda} t_2 \mapsto \rho \Rightarrow \rho t_1 =_{\lambda} \rho t_2$$
 (1)

$$t_i \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda} \Rightarrow \rho t_1 =_{\lambda} \rho t_2 \Rightarrow \exists \rho', t_1 \simeq_{\lambda} t_2 \mapsto \rho' \wedge \rho' \subseteq \rho$$
 (2)

We illustrate a compilation  $\langle s \rangle \mapsto (t, m, l)$  that maps a term s in  $\mathcal{F}_0$  to a term t in  $\mathcal{H}_0$ , a variable mapping m and list of links l. The variable map connects unification variables in  $\mathcal{H}_0$  with variables in  $\mathcal{F}_0$  and is used to "decompile" the assignment,  $\langle \sigma, m, l \rangle^{-1} \mapsto \rho$ . Links represent problematic sub-terms which are linked to the

unification These We  $\mathcal{N}$ . Easteps substitution for the first true with the steps of the step of the steps of the step of

unification variable that stands in their place in the compiled term. These links are checked for or progress XXX improve....

We represent a logic program run in  $\mathcal{F}_0$  as a list  $steps\ p$  of length  $\mathcal{N}$ . Each made of a unification problem between terms  $\mathcal{S}_{p_l}$  and  $\mathcal{S}_{p_r}$  taken from the set of all terms  $\mathcal{S}$ . The composition of these steps starting from the empty substitution  $\rho_0$  produces the final substitution  $\rho_{\mathcal{N}}$ . The initial here  $\rho_0$  is the empty substitution

$$fstep(\mathcal{S}, p, \rho) \mapsto \rho'' \stackrel{def}{=} \rho \mathcal{S}_{p_l} \simeq_o \rho \mathcal{S}_{p_r} \mapsto \rho' \wedge \rho'' = \rho \cup \rho'$$
$$frun(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{N}) \mapsto \rho_{\mathcal{N}} \stackrel{def}{=} \bigwedge_{p=1}^{\mathcal{N}} fstep(\mathcal{S}, p, \rho_{p-1}) \mapsto \rho_p$$

We simulate each run in  $\mathcal{F}_0$  with a run in  $\mathcal{H}_0$  as follows. Note that  $\sigma_0$  is the empty substitution.

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{hstep}(\mathcal{T}, p, \sigma, \mathbb{L}) &\mapsto \sigma'' \stackrel{def}{=} \\ &\sigma \mathcal{T}_{p_{l}} \simeq_{\lambda} \sigma \mathcal{T}_{p_{r}} \mapsto \sigma' \wedge \operatorname{check}(\mathbb{L}, \sigma \cup \sigma') \mapsto \sigma'' \\ \operatorname{hrun}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{N}) &\mapsto \rho_{\mathcal{N}} \stackrel{def}{=} \\ &\mathcal{T} \times \mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{L} = \{(t_{j}, m_{j}, l_{j}) | s_{j} \in \mathcal{S}, \langle s_{j} \rangle \mapsto (t_{j}, m_{j}, l_{j})\} \\ &\wedge_{p=1}^{\mathcal{N}} \operatorname{hstep}(\mathcal{T}, p, \sigma_{p-1}, \mathbb{L}) \mapsto \sigma_{p} \\ &\langle \sigma_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathbb{M}, \mathbb{L} \rangle^{-1} \mapsto \rho_{\mathcal{N}} \end{split}$$

Here hstep is made of two sub-steps: a call to  $\simeq_{\lambda}$  (on the compiled terms) and a call to check on the set of links. We claim the following:

Proposition 2.1 (Simulation).  $\forall S, \forall N$ 

$$\operatorname{frun}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{N}) \mapsto \rho_{\mathcal{N}} \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{hrun}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{N}) \mapsto \rho_{\mathcal{N}}$$

That is, the two executions give the same result. Moreover:

Proposition 2.2 (Simulation fidelity). In the context of hrun, we have that  $\forall p \in 1 \dots N$ 

$$fstep(S, p, \rho_{p-1}) \mapsto \rho_p \Leftrightarrow hstep(\mathcal{T}, p, \sigma_{p-1}, \mathbb{L}) \mapsto \sigma_p$$

In particular this property guarantees that a *failure* in the  $\mathcal{F}_0$  run is matched by a failure in  $\mathcal{H}_0$  at the same step. We consider this property very important from a practical point of view since it guarantees that the execution traces are strongly related and in turn this enables a user to debug a logic program in  $\mathcal{F}_0$  by looking at its execution trace in  $\mathcal{H}_0$ .

We can define  $s_1 \simeq_0 s_2$  by specializing the code of hrun to  $S = \{s_1, s_2\}$  as follows:

$$s_{1} \simeq_{o} s_{2} \mapsto \rho \stackrel{def}{=}$$

$$\langle s_{1} \rangle \mapsto (t_{1}, m_{1}, l_{1}) \land \langle s_{2} \rangle \mapsto (t_{2}, m_{2}, l_{2})$$

$$t_{1} \simeq_{\lambda} t_{2} \mapsto \sigma' \land \operatorname{check} (\{l_{1}, l_{2}\}, \sigma') \mapsto \sigma'' \land$$

$$\langle \sigma'', \{m_{1}, m_{2}\}, \{l_{1}, l_{2}\} \rangle^{-1} \mapsto \rho$$

Proposition 2.3 (Properties of  $\simeq_0$ ).

$$s_i \in \mathcal{L}_\lambda \Rightarrow s_1 \simeq_0 s_2 \mapsto \rho \Rightarrow \rho s_1 =_0 \rho s_2$$
 (3)

$$s_i \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda} \Rightarrow \rho s_1 =_{\rho} \rho s_2 \Rightarrow \exists \rho', s_1 \simeq_{\rho} s_2 \mapsto \rho' \land \rho' \subseteq \rho$$
 (4)

$$\rho s_1 =_{\rho} \rho s_2 \Rightarrow \rho' \subseteq \rho \Rightarrow \rho' s_i \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda} \Rightarrow \rho' s_1 \simeq_{\rho} \rho' s_2 \tag{5}$$

Properties 3 and 4 state, respectively, that in  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$  the implementation of  $\simeq_o$  is correct, complete and returns the most general unifier.

Property 5 states that  $\simeq_o$ , hence our compilation scheme, is resilient to unification problems outside  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$  solved by a third party.

We believe this property is of practical interest since we want the user to be able to add heuristics via hand written rules to the ones obtained by our compilation scheme. A Typical example is the following problem (q) that is outside  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$ :

app [F, con"a"] = app[con"f", con"a", con"a"] 
$$(q)$$

$$F = lam x \land app[con"f", x, x]$$
 (h)

Instead of rejecting it our scheme accepts it and guarantees that if (h) is given (after the compilation part of the scheme, as a run time hint) then ...

#### 2.1 The intuition in a nutshell

A term s is compiled in a term t where any "problematic" subterm p is replaced by a fresh unification variable h and an accessory link that represent a suspended unification problem  $h \simeq_{\lambda} p$ . As a result  $\simeq_{\lambda}$  is "well behaved" on t, meaning it does not contradict  $=_{o}$  (as it would do on "problematic" terms). We now define "problematic" and "well behaved" more formally.

*Definition 2.4* (
$$\Diamond \eta$$
).  $\Diamond \eta = \{t \mid \exists \rho, \rho t \text{ is an eta expansion}\}$ 

An example of term t in  $\Diamond \eta$  is  $\lambda x.\lambda y.F$  y x since the substitution  $\rho = \{F \mapsto \lambda a.\lambda b.fba\}$  makes  $\rho t = \lambda x.\lambda y.fxy$  that is the eta long form of f.

Definition 2.5 
$$(\lozenge \beta)$$
.  $\lozenge \beta = \{Xt_1 \dots t_n \mid Xt_1 \dots t_n \notin \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}\}.$ 

An example of t in  $\Diamond \beta$  is Fa for a constant a. Note however tha an oracle could provide an assignment  $\rho = \{F \mapsto \lambda x.x\}$  that makes the resulting term fall outside of  $\Diamond \beta$ .

Definition 2.6 (Subterm  $\mathcal{P}(t)$ ).

$$t \in \mathcal{P}(t)$$
  

$$t = ft_1 \dots t_n \Rightarrow \mathcal{P}(t_i) \subseteq \mathcal{P}(t) \land f \in \mathcal{P}(t)$$
  

$$t = \lambda x.t' \Rightarrow \mathcal{P}(t') \subseteq \mathcal{P}(t)$$

We write  $\mathcal{P}(X) = \bigcup_{t \in X} \mathcal{P}(t)$ .

Definition 2.7 (Well behaved set). Given a set of terms  $X \subseteq \mathcal{H}_0$ ,

$$W(X) \Leftrightarrow \forall t \in \mathcal{P}(X), t \notin (\Diamond \beta \cup \Diamond \eta)$$

Proposition 2.8 (*W*-preservation).  $\forall \mathcal{T}, \forall \mathbb{L}, \forall p, \forall \sigma, \forall \sigma'$ 

$$\mathcal{W}(\sigma\mathcal{T}) \land \text{hstep}(\mathcal{T}, p, \sigma, \mathbb{L}) \mapsto \sigma' \Rightarrow \mathcal{W}(\sigma'\mathcal{T})$$

A less formal way to state 2.8 is that hstep never "commits" an unneeded  $\lambda$ -abstraction in  $\sigma$  (a  $\lambda$  that could be erased by an  $\eta$ -contraction), nor puts in  $\sigma$  a flexible application outside  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$  (an application node that could be erased by a  $\beta$ -reduction).

Note that proposition 2.8 does not hold for  $\simeq_o$  since decompilation can introduce (actually restore) terms in  $\diamond \eta$  or  $\diamond \beta$  that were move out of the way (put in  $\mathbb L$ ) by compilation.

# 3 ALTERNATIVE ENCODINGS AND RELATED WORK

Paper [2] introduces semi-shallow.

Our encoding of DTT may look "semi shallow" since we use the meta-language lambda abstraction but not its application (for the terms of type tm). A fully shallow encoding unfortunately does not fit our use case, although it would make the running example work:

 $<sup>^1</sup>$ If the same rule is used multiple time in a run we just consider as many copies as needed of the terms composing the rules, with fresh unification variables each time

```
finite (fin N). decision (nfact N NF). decision (all A \times P \times ):- finite A, pi \times decision (P \times).
```

There are two reasons for dismissing this encoding. The first one is that in DTT it is not always possible to adopt it since the type system of the meta language is too weak to accommodate terms with a variable arity, like the following example:

```
Fixpoint arr T n := if n is S m then T -> arr T m else T. Definition sum n : arr nat n := .... Check sum 2 7 8 : nat. Check sum 3 7 8 9 : nat.
```

The second reason is the encoding for Coq is used for meta programming the system, hence it must accommodate the manipulation of terms that are now know in advance (not even defined in Coq) without using introspection primitives such as Prologs's functor and arg.

In the literature we could find a few related encoding of DTT. TODO In [4] is related and make the discrepancy between the types of ML and DTT visible. In this case one needs 4 application nodes. Moreover the objective is an encoding of terms, proofs, not proof search. Also note the conv predicate, akin to the unif we rule out.

TODO This other paper [15] should also be cited.

None of the encodings above provide a solution to our problem.

# 4 PRELIMINARIES: $\mathcal{F}_o$ AND $\mathcal{H}_o$

In order to reason about unification we provide a description of the  $\mathcal{F}_0$  and  $\mathcal{H}_0$  languages where unification variables are first class terms, i.e. they have a concrete syntax. We keep these languages minimal, for example, we omit the all quantifier of DTT we used in the example in Section 1 together with the type notation of terms carried by the lam constructor.

```
kind fm type. kind tm type. type fapp list fm -> fm. type app list tm -> tm. type flam (fm -> fm) -> fm. type lam (tm -> tm) -> tm. type fcon string -> fm. type con string -> tm. type fuva nat -> fm. type uva nat -> list tm -> tm.
```

Figure 1:  $\mathcal{F}_0$  and  $\mathcal{H}_0$  language

In the case of  $\mathcal{F}_0$  unification variables fuva have no explicit scope: the arguments of an higher order unification variable are via the fapp constructor. For example in the statement of the instance forall\_dec the term  $P \times is$  represented as fapp[fuva N, x], where N is a memory address and x is a bound variable.

In  $\mathcal{H}_o$  the representation of P x is instead uva N [x]. We say that the unification variable uva N L is in  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$  iff distinct L holds.

```
type distinct list A -> o.
distinct [].
distinct [X|XS] :- name X, not(mem X XS), distinct XS.
```

The name builtin predicate tests if a term is a bound variable. <sup>2</sup> The compiler ?? needs to support terms outside  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$  for practical reasons, so we don't assume all out terms are in  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$  but rather test. what??

In both languages unification variables are identified by a natural number, which can be seen as a memory address. The memory and its associated operations are described below:

```
typeabbrev memory A (list (option A)).
type set? nat -> memory A -> A -> o.
type unset? nat -> memory A -> o.
type assign nat -> memory A -> A -> memory A -> o.
```

If a memory cell is none, then the corresponding unification variable is not set. assign sets an unset cell to the given value.

Since in  $\mathcal{H}_o$  unification variables have a scope, their solution needs to be abstracted over it in order to enable the instantiation of a single solution to different scopes. On the contrary solutions to  $\mathcal{F}_o$  variables are plain terms.

```
typeabbrev fsubst (memory ftm).
kind assmt type.
type abs (tm -> assmt) -> assmt.
type val tm -> assmt.
typeabbrev subst (memory assmt).
```

We call fsubst the memory of  $\mathcal{F}_0$ , while we call subst the one of  $\mathcal{H}_0$ . Both have the invariant that they are not cyclic, TODO explain. Other invariant: the terms in ho\_subst never contains eta and beta expansion

#### 4.1 Notations

we use math mode for ho.

# 4.2 Equational theory and Unification

here we give the functions/signatures to express the properties 3-5 in the problem statement

Together with the description of the terms of the language, we need some auxiliary functions to perform operations like term equality, unification, dereferencing. There predicates are supposed to be implemented in the OL and the ML following respectively their specification. In the following few paragraphs we give a brief proposition of them.

Term dereferencing:  $\rho s$  and  $\sigma t$ . Since in our encoding we explicitly carry a substitution we need to define the operation that applies it to a term. Its proposition takes care to normalize (flatten) applications, for example it turns (app [app [con"f",con"a"],con"b"]) into (app [con"f",con"a",con"b"]).

dereference variables of the two languages. This is particularly useful to check if two terms in the OL (resp. in the ML) are equal. The constructors representing rigid terms, namely app, lam and con, make the dereferencing procedure to recurse over the their subterms. The code below display this behavior for the dereferencing performed by the OL:

```
type fder fsubst -> fm -> o.
fder S (fapp A) (fapp B) :- map (fder S) A B.
fder S (flam F) (flam G) :-
   pi x\ fder S x x => fder S (F x) (G x).
fder _ (fcon C) (fcon C).
fder S (fuva N) R :- set? N S T, fder S T R.
fder S (fuva N) (fuva N) :- unset? N S.
```

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$ one could always load name x for every x under a pi and get rid of the name builtin

type  $(=_{\lambda})$  tm -> tm -> o.

type napp fm -> fm -> o.

```
app A =_{\lambda} fapp B :- map (=_{\lambda}) A B.

lam F =_{\lambda} flam G :- pi x\ x =_{\lambda} x => F x =_{\lambda} G x.

con C =_{\lambda} fcon C.

uva N A =_{\lambda} fuva N B :- map (=_{\lambda}) A B.

Figure 2: Equal predicate ML

type fderef fsubst -> fm -> o. (\rhos)

fderef S T R :- fder S T T', napp T' R.
```

TODO explain napp. We use the cut operator to keep the code compact. It is possible to rewrite the rule for application traversal so that it is mutually exclusive with the first one, but that requires a rather verbose analysis of the head of A.

The corresponding code for  $\mathcal{H}_0$  is similar, we only show the last two rules that differ in a substantial way:

```
type deref subst -> tm -> tm -> o.
                                                       (\sigma t)
deref S (app A) (app B) :- map (deref S) A B.
deref S (lam F) (lam G) :-
 pi x \cdot deref S x x \Rightarrow deref S (F x) (G x).
deref _ (con C) (con C).
deref S (uva N A) R :- set? N S F, move F A T, deref S T R.
deref S (uva N A) (uva N B) :- unset? N S, map (deref S) A B.
type move assignment -> list tm -> tm -> o.
move (abs Bo)
                      [H|L] R :- move (Bo H) L R.
move (val A)
                      A :- !.
move (val (uva N A)) L
                            (uva N X) :- append A L X.
```

TODO: no need to napp, see the beta section. Note that when the substitution S maps a unification variable N to an assignment F we

TODO: invariant: variables in subst are always fully applied, and length of scope is the arity of the HO variable.

Important!!! A different reasoning is to be addressed to the variables of the ML. Firstly, a meta variable cannot appear in the app node as the first element of the list, we will explain why in section 5

Term equality:  $=_o \ vs. =_{\lambda}$ . We can test if two terms are equal following the equational theory of the language being considered. In ?? we provide an implementation of the  $=_o$  predicate. The first four rules check if the two terms are equal regarding the structure of the current node, that is, two terms are equal if they have same head and if recursively each subterm is two by two equal. Moreover, since the theory of the OL accepts η- and β-equivalence, then we implement the corresponding rules.

The equality relation for the ML, accepts  $\eta\beta$ -equivalence between terms of the ML. Recall that abs x\ f x, is a valid  $\eta$  expansion of the function f and that lam x\ app[f, x] is not that equivalent to f at meta level. However, since we are interested in using the unification procure of the ML, by eq. (1), we can use the  $\approx_{\lambda}$  relation to test, when needed if two terms are equal in the ML.

Term unification:  $\simeq_0 vs. \simeq_\lambda$ . The last but not least important relation we should take care of before presenting our full algorithm aiming to unify terms of the OL in the ML and provide the substitution produced in the ML to the OL, is term unification. This procedure is a more powerful version of the equal predicate, since unification checks if two terms can be equal be assigning unification variables. In our representation, variable assignment (or refinement) is performed by modifying the corresponding substitution mapping. We will not give an implementation of  $\simeq_0$ , since we are giving an implementation of it using our algorithm, see ??.

```
type (\simeq_{\lambda}) tm -> tm -> subst -> subst -> o.
```

On the other hand, unification in the ML needs to be defined. In ??, we give an implementation of  $\simeq_{\lambda}$  but that is actually what our meta language provides as a builtin.

This predicate has four arguments, the two terms  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  to unify, the old substitution map  $\rho_1$ , and the new substitution map  $\rho_2$ , with the invariant  $\rho_1 \subseteq \rho_2$ . The first three rules unify terms with same rigid heads, and call the unification relation on the sub-terms. If  $t_1$  (resp.  $t_2$ ) is an assigned variables,  $t_1$  is dereferenced to  $t_1'$  (resp.  $t_2'$ ) and the unification is called between  $t_1'$  and  $t_2$  (resp.  $t_1$  and  $t_2'$ ). If both terms are unification variables, we test that their arguments are in the pattern fragment, we allocate a new variable w in  $\rho_1$  such that w is the pruning of the arguments of  $t_1$  and  $t_2$ , we assign both  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  to w and return the new mapping  $\rho_2$  containing all the new variable assignment. Finally, if only one of the two terms is an unification variable v, after having verified that v does not occur in the other term t, we bind v to t and return the new substitution mapping.

\_OLD \_\_

A key property needed in unification is being able to verify if two terms are equal wrt a given equational theory. This relation allow to compare terms under a certain substitution mapping, so that any time a variable v is assigned in a subterm, a dereferencing of v is performed. After variable dereferencing, the test for equality is continued on the new-created subterm.

The base equality function over terms can be defined as follows: The solution we are proposing aim to overcome these unification issues by 1) compiling the terms t and u of the OL into an internal version t' and u' in the ML; 2) unifying t' and u' at the meta level instantiating meta variables; 3) decompiling the meta variable into terms of the OL; 4) assigning the variables of the OL with the decompiled version of their corresponding meta variables. We claim that t and u unify if and only if t' and u' unify and that the substitution in the object language is the same as the one returned by the ML.

In the following section we explain how we deal with term (de)compilation and links between unification variables.

582

583

584

585

586

587

588

589

590

593

594

595

596

597

599

600

601

602

603

sa 1704

when

this is

needed

608

609

610

611

612

613

614

615

620

621

622

623

624

625

626

627

628

629

632

633

634

635

636

637

638

TOTO

647

651

652

653

654

655

678

679

680

681

683

684

685

686

687

691

692

693

694

695

696

# 5 BASIC COMPILATION $\mathcal{F}_o$ TO $\mathcal{H}_o$

In this section we describe a basic compilation scheme that we refine later, in the following sections.

The objective of the compilation is to recognize the higher-order variables available in  $\mathcal{H}_o$  when expressed in a first order way in  $\mathcal{F}_0$ . The compiler also generates a list of links that are used to bring back the substitution from  $\mathcal{H}_o$  to  $\mathcal{F}_o$  and allocates in the memory a cell for each variable.

```
kind link type.
  type link nat -> nat -> nat -> subst. % link Fo Ho Arity
  typeabbrev links list link.
  type comp fm -> tm -> links -> links -> subst -> subst -> o.
  comp (fcon X) (con X) L L S S.
  comp (flam F) (lam G) K L R S :- pi x y\
    (pi \land S \land comp x y \land L \land S \land S) \Rightarrow comp (F \land x) (G \land y) \land L \land R \land S.
  comp (fuva M) (uva N []) K [link M N z K] R S :- new R N S.
  comp (fapp[fuva M[A]) (uva N B) K L R S :- distinct A, !,
    fold4 comp A B K K R R,
    new R N S, len A Arity,
    L = [link N M Arity | K].
  comp (fapp A) (app B) K L R S :- fold4 comp A B K L R S.
Note that link carries the arity (number of expected arguments) of
the variable.
  type solve-links links -> links -> subst -> o.
  solve-links L L S S.
  Then decomp
  type decompile links -> subst -> fsubst -> o.
  decompile L S O :-
    map (\r = none) S O1, % allocate empty fsubst
    (pi N X\ knil N X :- mem L (link X N _) ; N = X) =>
      decompl S L 01 0.
  type knil nat -> nat -> o.
  type decompl links -> subst -> fsubst -> o.
  decompl S [] [].
  decompl S [link _ N _ |L] O P :- unset? N S X,
    decompl S L O P.
  decompl S [link M N _ |L] O P :- set? N S X,
    decomp-assignment S X T, assign M O (some T) O1,
    decompl S L 01 P.
  type decomp-assignment subst -> assignment -> fm -> o.
  decomp-assignment S (abs F) (flam G) :-
    pi \times y \setminus decomp-tm S \times y \Rightarrow decomp-assignment S (F x) (G y).
  decomp-assignment S (val T) T1 :- decomp S T T1.
  type decomp subst -> tm -> fm.
  decomp _ (con C) (fcon C).
  decomp S (app A) (app B) :- map (decomp S) A B.
  decomp S (lam F) (flam G) :-
    pi x y \cdot decomp S x y \Rightarrow decomp S (F x) (G y).
  decomp S (uva N A) R :- set? N S F,
    move F A T, decomp S T R.
  decomp S (uva N A) R :- unset? N S,
```

map (decomp S) A B, knil N M, napp (fapp[fuva M|B]) R.

```
Now unif
                                                                   TODO
type (\simeq_0) fm -> fm -> subst -> subst -> o.
                                                                   nuove
(X \simeq_o Y) S S1 :-
                                                                   subst
  fderef S X X0, fderef S Y Y0,
                                                        (norm)
                                                                   TODO:
  comp X0 X1 [] S0 [] L0,
                                                      (compile)
                                                                   code
  comp Y0 Y1 S0 S1 L0 L1,
                                                                   unif
  (X1 \simeq_{\lambda} Y1) [] HS0,
                                                        (unify)
  solve-links L1 L2 HS0 HS1,
                                                         (link)
  decompile L2 HS1 S1.
                                                    (decompile)
```

# 5.1 Prolog simulation

Allows us to express the properties. we take all terms involved in a search (if a rule is used twice we simply take a copy of it), we compile all of them, and then we pick the unification prblems among these terms and step trough them.

```
656
type pick list A -> (pair nat nat) -> (pair A A) -> o.
                                                                    657
pick L (pr X Y) (pr TX TY) :- nth X L TX, nth Y L TY.
                                                                    658
type prolog-fo list fm -> list (pair nat nat) -> subst -> o.
                                                                    659
prolog-fo Terms Problems S :-
                                                                    660
  map (pick Terms) Problems FoProblems,
  fold4 (\simeq_o) FoProblems [] S.
type step-ho (pair tm tm) -> links -> links -> subst -> subst -\sigma^4o
step-ho (pr X Y) L0 L1 S0 S2 :-
                                                                    665
                                                                    666
  (X1 \simeq_{\lambda} Y1) S0 S1,
                                                                    667
  solve-links L0 L1 S1 S2.
type prolog-ho list fm -> list (pair nat nat) -> subst -> o.
prolog-ho Terms Problems S :-
                                                                    671
  fold4 comp Terms HoTerms [] L0 [] HS0,
                                                                    672
  map (pick HoTerms) Problems HoProblems,
                                                                    673
  fold4 step-ho HoProblems L0 L HS0 HS,
                                                                    674
  decompile L HS S.
```

the proprty is that if a step for Fo succeds then the Ho one does, and if Fo fails then the Ho fails ()

#### 5.2 Example

```
Terms [ flam x\ fapp[fcon"g",fapp[fuva z, x]]
      , flam x\ fapp[fcon"g", fcon"a"] ]
Problems = [ pr z (s z) ] % \lambda x.q(Fx) = \lambda x.qa
lam x \land app[con"g", uva z [x]] \simeq_o lam x \land app[con"g", con"a"]
link z z (s z)
HS = [some (abs x con"a")]
S = [some (flam x \land fcon a)]
KO
  Terms [ flam x\ fapp[fcon"g",fapp[fuva z, x]]
  , flam x\ fapp[fcon"g", fcon"a"] ]
Problems = [ pr 0 1 % A = \lambda x.x
            , pr 2 3 ] % Aa = a
lam x \land app[con"g", uva z [x]] \simeq_o lam x \land app[con"g", con"a"]
link z z (s z)
```

```
698
 699
  700
 701
 702
 703
  704
  705
  706
  708
  709
 710
 711
 712
 713
 714
 715
 716
 717
 718
 719
  720
 721
 722
 723
 724
 725
 726
 727
 728
 729
  730
 731
  732
  733
 734
 735
integer
or
nat?
 740
 741
 742
 743
 744
 745
  746
 747
 748
 749
 750
 751
 752
 753
```

```
HS = [some (abs x\con"a")]

S = [some (flam x\fcon a)]

lam x\ app[f, app[X, x]] = Y,

lam x\ x[) = X.

TODO: Goal: s₁ ≃₀ s₂ is compiled into t₁ ≃λ t₂

TODO: What is done: uvars fo_uv of OL are replaced into uvars ho_uv of the ML

TODO: Each fo_uv is linked to an ho_uv of the OL

TODO: Example needing the compiler v0 (tra l'altro lo scope è ignorato):

lam x\ app[con"g",app[uv 0, x]] ≃₀ lam x\ app[con"g", c"a"]
```

lam x\ app[con"g",app[uv 0, x]]  $\simeq_o$  lam x\ app[con"g", c"a"] TODO: Links used to instantiate vars of elpi

TODO: After all links, the solution in links are compacted and given to coq

TODO: It is not so simple, see next sections (multi-vars, eta, beta)

The compilation step is meant to recover the higher-order variables of the OL, expressed in a first order way, by replacing them with higher-order variables in the ML. In particular, every time a variable of the OL is encountered in the original term, it is replaced with a meta variable, and if the OL variable is applied to a list of distinct names L, then this list becomes the scope of the variable. For all the other constructors of tm, the same term constructor is returned and its arguments are recursively compiled. The predicate in charge for term compilation is:

type comp tm -> tm -> links -> links -> subst -> o. where, we take the term of the OL, produce the term of the ML, take a list of link and produce a list of new links, take a substitution and return a new substitution.

In particular, due to programming constraints, we need to drag the old subst and return a new one extended, if needed, with the new declared meta-variables.

The following code

```
kind link type.
type link nat -> nat -> nat -> subst.
```

defines a link, which is a relation between to variables indexes, the first being the index of a OL variable and the second being the index of a ML variable. The third integer is the number of term in the scope of the two variables, or equivalently, in a typed language, their arity.

As an example, let's study the following unification problem (a slightly modified version from section 1):

```
lam x\ app[c"decision", app[c"nfact", x, c"3"]] \simeq_o lam x\ app [c"decision", app[uv 0, x]]
```

we have the main unification problem where the nested app nodes have lists of different lengths making the unification to fail. The compilation of these terms produces a new unification problem with the following shape:

```
lam x\ app[c"decision", app[c"nfact", x, c"3"]] \simeq_{\lambda} lam x\ app [c"decision", uv 1 [x]]
```

The main difference is the replacement of the subterm  $app[uv \ 0, \ x]$  of the OL with the subterm  $uv \ 0 \ [x]$ . Variable indexes are chosen by the ML, that is, the index 0 for that unification variable of the OL

term has not the sam meaning of the index 0 in the ML. There exists two different substitution mapping, one for the OL and one for the ML and the indexes of variable point to the respective substitution. decomp che mappa abs verso lam TODO: An other example:

lam x\ app[f, app[X, x]] = Y, (lam x\ x) = X.

# **6 USE OF MULTIVARS**

Se il termine initziale è della forma

```
app[con"xxx", (lam x\ lam y\ Y y x), (lam x\ f)]
=
app[con"xxx",X,X]
```

allora se non uso due X diverse non ho modo di recuperare il quoziente che mi manca.

a sto punto consideriamo liste di problemi e così da eliminare sta xxx senza perdità di generalità (e facciamo problemi più corti, e modellizziamo anche la sequenza)

# 6.1 Problems with $\eta$

```
TODO: The following goal necessita v1 (lo scope è usato):

X = lam x\ lam y\ Y y x, X = lam x\ f

TODO: The snd unif pb, we have to unif lam x\ lam y\ Y x y

with lam x\ f

TODO: It is not doable, with the same elpi var
```

Invarianti: A destra della eta abbiamo sempre un termine che comincia per  $\lambda x.bla$ 

```
La deduplicate eta:

- viene chiamata che della forma [variable] -> [eta1] e

→ [variable] -> [eta2]

(a destra non c'è mai un termine con testa rigida)

- i due termini a dx vengono unificati con la unif e uno

→ dei due link viene buttato

NOTA!! A dx abbiamo sempre un termine della forma lam

→ x.VAR x!!!

Altrimenti il link sarebbe stato risolto!!

- dopo l'unificazione rimane un link [variabile] -> [etaX]

- nella progress-eta, se a sx abbiamo una constante o
```

# **6.2** Problems with $\beta$

 $\beta$ -reduction problems  $(\Diamond \beta)$  appears any time we deal with a subterm  $t = Xt_1 \dots t_n$ , where X is flexible and the list  $[t_1 \dots t_n]$  in not in  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$ . This unification problem is not solvable without loss of generality, since there is not a most general unifier. If we take back the example given in section 2.1, the unification Fa = a admits two solutions for  $F: \rho_1 = \{F \mapsto \lambda x.x\}$  and  $\rho_2 = \{F \mapsto \lambda_- a\}$ . Despite this, it is possible to work with  $\Diamond \beta$  if an oracle provides a substitution  $\rho$  such that  $\rho t$  falls again in the  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$ .

On the other hand, the  $\simeq_{\lambda}$  is not designed to understand how the  $\beta$ -redexes work in the object language. Therefore, even if we know that F is assigned to  $\lambda x.x$ ,  $\simeq_{\lambda}$  is not able to unify Fa with a. On the other hand, the problem Fa = G is solvable by  $\simeq_{\lambda}$ , but the final result is that G is assigned to  $(\lambda x.x)a$  which breaks the

872

873

875

876

877

878

879

882

883

884

885

886

887

888

889

890

891

892

897

898

899

900

901

902

903

904

905

906

908

909

910

911

912

913

914

915

916

917

918

919

921

922

923

924

925

926

927

928

813

814

815

816

817

818

819

820

821

822

824

825

826

827

828

829

a link

recon-

is 832

sid<sup>34</sup>

ereet

in two

cases.

then

make

2 mara-

graphs

842

A 84bit

to844

fast,

 $we^{846}$ 

first7

com-

pil<mark>e</mark>∮9

then

unify,

then

the53

then

the56

m&57

nip<sup>558</sup>

859

860

861

862

863

864

865

866

867

868

869

870

oracle.

invariant saying that the substitution of the meta language does not generate terms outide W (Property 2.8).

The solution to this problem is to modify the compiler such that any sub-term t considered as a potential  $\beta$ -redex is replaced with a hole *h* and a new dedicated link, called link- $\beta$ .

```
type link-beta tm -> tm -> link.
```

This link carries two terms, the former representing the variable *h* for the new created hole and the latter containing the subterm t. As for the link- $\eta$ , we will call h and t respectively the left hand side (LHS) and the right hand side (RHS) of the link- $\beta$ .

A subterm is in  $\Diamond \beta$  if it has the shape fapp[fuva N | L] and distinct L does not hold. In that case, L is split in two sublist PF and NPF such that former is the longest prefix of L such that distinct PF holds. NPF is the list such that append PF NPF L. The LHS is set to a new variable named M with PF in scope whereas the RHS is given by the term app[uva N' PF | NPF] where the  $\mathcal{H}_o$  variable identified signpostirby N' is mapped to the  $\mathcal{F}_0$  variable named N.

> After its creation, a link- $\beta$  remain suspended until the head of the RHS is instantiated by the oracle (see eq. (5)). In this case the RHS is  $\beta$ -reduced to a new term t. t is either a term in  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$ , in which case t is unified with the LHS, otherwise, the link remain suspended and no progress is performed. Another way to wake a link- $\beta$  up is when the LHS is a term  $\top$  and RHS has the shape app[uva N PF | NPF] and some of the arguments in the NPF list become names. This is possible after the resolution of other links. In this case, the list L obtained by the concatenation between PF and NPF is split again in to lists PF' and NPF'. If PF is not that same as PF', then we can 1) remove the current link- $\beta$ , 2) create a new  $link-\beta$  between T and app[uva N' PF' | NPF'] and 3) create a new link- $\eta$  between the variables N and N'.

> An example justifying this last link manipulation is given by the following unification problem:

```
f = flam x\ fapp[F, (X x), a] % f = \lambda x.F(Xx)a
```

under the substitution  $\rho = \{X \mapsto \lambda x.x\}$ .

The links generated from this unification problem are:

```
X \mapsto X1; F \mapsto X2 % The mappings
   \vdash X0 =\eta= x\ `X3 x'
x \vdash X3 \quad x = \beta = X2 \quad X1 \quad x' \quad a
```

where the first link is a link- $\eta$  between the variable X0, representing the right side of the unification problem (it is a  $\Diamond \eta$ ) and X3; and a link- $\beta$  between the variable X3 and the subterm c0\ X2 'X1 c0' a (it is a  $\Diamond \beta$ ). The substitution tells that  $x + X1 \times x = x$ .

We can now represent the hrun execution from this configuration which will, at first, dereference all the links, and then try to solve them. The only link being modified is the second one, which is set to X3 x =  $\beta$ = X2 x a. The RHS of the link has now a variable which is partially in the PF, we can therefore remove the original link- $\beta$  and replace it with the following couple on links:

```
\vdash X1 =\eta= x\ `X4 x'
x \vdash X3 x = \beta = x \ `X4 x' a
```

By these links we say that X1 is now  $\eta$ -linked to a fresh variable X4 with arity one. This new variable is used in the new link- $\beta$ where the name x is in its scope. This allows

# 6.3 Tricky examples

```
triple ok (@lam x\ @app[@f, @app[@X, x]]) @Y,
triple ok @X (@lam x\ x),
triple ok @Y @f
% @okl 22 [
  triple ok (@lam x\ @lam y\ @app[@Y, y, x]) @X,
   triple ok (@lam x\ @f) @X,
```

#### 7 FIRST ORDER APPROXIMATION

TODO: Coq can solve this: f 1 2 = X 2, by setting X to f 1 **TODO:** We can re-use part of the algo for  $\beta$  given before

#### UNIF ENCODING IN REAL LIFE

TODO: Il ML presentato qui è esattamente elpi TODO: Il OL presentato qui è esattamente coq TODO: Come implementatiamo tutto ciò nel solver

#### **RESULTS: STDPP AND TLC**

TODO: How may rule are we solving? **TODO:** Can we do some perf test

# 10 CONCLUSION

#### **REFERENCES**

- [1] Arthur Charguéraud. "The Optimal Fixed Point Combinator". In: Interactive Theorem Proving. Ed. by Matt Kaufmann and Lawrence C. Paulson. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2010, pp. 195-210. ISBN: 978-3-642-14052-5.
- Cvetan Dunchev, Claudio Sacerdoti Coen, and Enrico Tassi. "Implementing HOL in an Higher Order Logic Programming Language". In: Proceedings of the Eleventh Workshop on Logical Frameworks and Meta-Languages: Theory and Practice. LFMTP '16. Porto, Portugal: Association for Computing Machinery, 2016. ISBN: 9781450347778. DOI: 10.1145/2966268. 2966272. URL: https://doi.org/10.1145/2966268.2966272.
- Cvetan Dunchev et al. "ELPI: Fast, Embeddable, λProlog Interpreter". In: Logic for Programming, Artificial Intelligence, and Reasoning - 20th International Conference, LPAR-20 2015, Suva, Fiji, November 24-28, 2015, Proceedings. Ed. by Martin Davis et al. Vol. 9450. 2015, pp. 460-468. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-662-48899-7\\_32. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-48899-7%5C 32.
- Amy Felty. "Encoding the Calculus of Constructions in a Higher-Order Logic". In: ed. by M. Vardi. IEEE, June 1993, pp. 233-244. DOI: 10.1109/LICS.1993.287584.
- Amy Felty and Dale Miller. "Specifying theorem provers in a higher-order logic programming language". In: Ninth International Conference on Automated Deduction. Ed. by Ewing Lusk and Ross Overbeck. 310. Argonne, IL: Springer, May 1988, pp. 61-80. DOI: 10.1007/BFb0012823.

- [6] Davide Fissore and Enrico Tassi. "A new Type-Class solver for Coq in Elpi". In: *The Coq Workshop 2023*. Bialystok, Poland, July 2023. URL: https://inria.hal.science/hal-04467855.
- [7] Benjamin Grégoire, Jean-Christophe Léchenet, and Enrico Tassi. "Practical and sound equality tests, automatically Deriving eqType instances for Jasmin's data types with Coq-Elpi". In: CPP '23: 12th ACM SIGPLAN International Conference on Certified Programs and Proofs. CPP 2023: Proceedings of the 12th ACM SIGPLAN International Conference on Certified Programs and Proofs. Boston MA USA, France: ACM, Jan. 2023, pp. 167–181. DOI: 10.1145/3573105.3575683. URL: https://inria.hal.science/hal-03800154.
- [8] RALF JUNG et al. "Iris from the ground up: A modular foundation for higher-order concurrent separation logic". In: Journal of Functional Programming 28 (2018), e20. DOI: 10.1017/S0956796818000151.
- [9] Dale Miller. "Unification under a mixed prefix". In: Journal of Symbolic Computation 14.4 (1992), pp. 321–358. DOI: 10. 1016/0747-7171(92)90011-R.
- [10] Dale Miller and Gopalan Nadathur. Programming with Higher-Order Logic. Cambridge University Press, 2012. DOI: 10.1017/ CBO9781139021326.
- [11] Tobias Nipkow, Lawrence C. Paulson, and Markus Wenzel. Isabelle/HOL A Proof Assistant for Higher-Order Logic. Vol. 2283. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer, 2002. ISBN: 3-540-43376-7.
- [12] Lawrence C. Paulson. "Set theory for verification. I: from foundations to functions". In: J. Autom. Reason. 11.3 (Dec. 1993), pp. 353–389. ISSN: 0168-7433. DOI: 10.1007/BF00881873. URL: https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00881873.
- [13] F. Pfening. "Elf: a language for logic definition and verified metaprogramming". In: Proceedings of the Fourth Annual Symposium on Logic in Computer Science. Pacific Grove, California, USA: IEEE Press, 1989, pp. 313–322. ISBN: 0818619546.
- [14] Frank Pfenning and Carsten Schürmann. "System Description: Twelf A Meta-Logical Framework for Deductive Systems". In: Automated Deduction CADE-16. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1999, pp. 202–206. ISBN: 978-3-540-48660-2.
- [15] Colin Rothgang, Florian Rabe, and Christoph Benzmüller. "Theorem Proving in Dependently-Typed Higher-Order Logic". In: Automated Deduction – CADE 29. Ed. by Brigitte Pientka and Cesare Tinelli. Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland, 2023, pp. 438–455. ISBN: 978-3-031-38499-8.
- [16] Enrico Tassi. "Deriving proved equality tests in Coq-elpi: Stronger induction principles for containers in Coq". In: ITP 2019 - 10th International Conference on Interactive Theorem Proving. Portland, United States, Sept. 2019. DOI: 10.4230/ LIPIcs. CVIT. 2016.23. URL: https://inria.hal.science/hal-01897468.
- [17] Enrico Tassi. "Elpi: an extension language for Coq (Metaprogramming Coq in the Elpi λProlog dialect)". In: *The Fourth International Workshop on Coq for Programming Languages*. Los Angeles (CA), United States, Jan. 2018. URL: https://inria. hal.science/hal-01637063.
- [18] The Coq Development Team. *The Coq Reference Manual Release 8.18.0.* https://coq.inria.fr/doc/V8.18.0/refman. 2023.

- [19] P. Wadler and S. Blott. "How to Make Ad-Hoc Polymorphism Less Ad Hoc". In: Proceedings of the 16th ACM SIGPLAN-SIGACT Symposium on Principles of Programming Languages. POPL '89. Austin, Texas, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, 1989, pp. 60–76. ISBN: 0897912942. DOI: 10.1145/ 75277.75283. URL: https://doi.org/10.1145/75277.75283.
- [20] Makarius Wenzel, Lawrence C. Paulson, and Tobias Nipkow. "The Isabelle Framework". In: *Theorem Proving in Higher Order Logics*. Ed. by Otmane Ait Mohamed, César Muñoz, and Sofiène Tahar. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2008, pp. 33–38. ISBN: 978-3-540-71067-7.

```
APPENDIX
1045
                                                                                fder S (flam F1) (flam F2) :-
                                                                                                                                                   1103
1046
                                                                                  pi x \land fder S x x \Rightarrow fder S (F1 x) (F2 x).
                                                                                                                                                   1104
      Note that (a infix b) c d de-sugars to (infix) a b c d.
1047
                                                                                fder _ (fcon X) (fcon X).
                                                                                                                                                   1105
                                                                                fder _ (fuva N) (fuva N).
       11 THE MEMORY
1049
                                                                                                                                                   1107
         kind address type.
1050
                                                                                type fderef subst -> fm -> o.
                                                                                                                                                   1108
         type addr nat -> address.
1051
                                                                                fderef S T T2:- fder S T T1, napp T1 T2.
                                                                                                                                                   1109
                                                                                                                                                   1110
1052
         typeabbrev (mem A) (list (option A)).
1053
                                                                                type napp fm \rightarrow fm \rightarrow o.
                                                                                                                                                   1111
1054
                                                                                napp (fcon C) (fcon C).
                                                                                                                                                   1112
         type get nat -> mem A -> A -> o.
                                                                                napp (fuva A) (fuva A).
         get z (some Y :: _) Y.
                                                                                napp (flam F) (flam F1) :- pi \times napp \times x \Rightarrow napp (F \times) (F1 \times).
         get (s N) (_ :: L) X :- get N L X.
                                                                                napp (fapp [fapp L1 |L2]) T :- !,
1057
                                                                                  append L1 L2 L3, napp (fapp L3) T.
1058
                                                                                                                                                   1116
         type alloc-aux nat -> mem A -> mem A -> o.
1059
                                                                                napp (fapp L) (fapp L1) :- forall2 napp L L1.
                                                                                                                                                   1117
         alloc-aux z [] [none] :- !.
                                                                                                                                                   1118
1060
         alloc-aux z L L.
                                                                                type (=_0) fm -> fm -> o.
1061
                                                                                                                                                   1119
         alloc-aux (s N) [] [none | M] :- alloc-aux N [] M.
1062
                                                                                fapp L1 =_{\alpha} fapp L2 :- forall2 (=_{\alpha}) L1 L2.
         alloc-aux (s N) [X | L] [X | M] :- alloc-aux N L M.
                                                                                flam F1 =_o flam F2 :- pi x\ x =_o x => F1 x =_o F2 x.
1063
1064
                                                                                fcon X =_{o} fcon X.
                                                                                                                                                   1122
         type alloc address -> mem A -> mem A -> o.
                                                                                fuva N =_o fuva N.
1065
         alloc (addr A as Ad) Mem1 Mem2 :- unset? Ad Mem1,
1066
                                                                                flam F =_o T := pi x \cdot beta T [x] (T' x), x =_o x => F x =_o T' x.1124
           alloc-aux A Mem1 Mem2.
1067
                                                                                T =_o flam F := pi x beta T [x] (T' x), x =_o x => T' x =_o F x. 1125
                                                                                fapp [flam X | TL] =_{0} T :- beta (flam X) TL T', T' =_{0} T.
         type new-aux mem A -> nat -> mem A -> o.
                                                                                T =_{o} fapp [flam X | TL] := beta (flam X) TL T', T =_{o} T'.
         new-aux [] z [none].
         new-aux [A | As] (s N) [A | Bs] :- new-aux As N Bs.
                                                                                                                                                   1129
                                                                                type extend-subst fm -> subst -> o.
1071
                                                                                extend-subst (fuva N) S S' :- mem.alloc N S S'.
1072
                                                                                                                                                   1130
         type new mem A -> address -> mem A -> o.
1073
                                                                                extend-subst (flam F) S S' :-
                                                                                                                                                   1131
         new Mem1 (addr Ad) Mem2 :- new-aux Mem1 Ad Mem2.
                                                                                  pi x\ (pi S\extend-subst x S S) => extend-subst (F x) S S'.
1074
                                                                                extend-subst (fcon _) S S.
         type set? address -> mem A -> A -> o.
1076
                                                                                extend-subst (fapp L) S S1 :- fold extend-subst L S S1.
         set? (addr A) Mem Val :- get A Mem Val.
1077
                                                                                                                                                   1135
                                                                                type beta fm -> list fm -> fm -> o.
                                                                                                                                                   1136
1078
         type unset? address -> mem A -> o.
1079
                                                                                beta A [] A.
                                                                                                                                                   1137
         unset? Addr Mem :- not (set? Addr Mem _).
                                                                                beta (flam Bo) [H | L] R :- beta (Bo H) L R.
                                                                                                                                                   1138
                                                                                beta (fapp A) L (fapp X) :- append A L X.
                                                                                                                                                   1139
         type assign-aux nat -> mem A -> A -> mem A -> o.
                                                                                beta (fuva N) L (fapp [fuva N | L]).
         assign-aux z (none :: L) Y (some Y :: L).
                                                                                beta (fcon H) L (fapp [fcon H | L]).
         assign-aux (s N) (X :: L) Y (X :: L1) :- assign-aux N L Y L1.
                                                                                beta N L (fapp [N | L]) :- name N.
                                                                                                                                                   1142
1084
1085
                                                                                                                                                   1143
         type assign address -> mem A -> A -> mem A -> o.
1086
                                                                                type mk-app fm -> list fm -> fm -> o.
                                                                                                                                                   1144
         assign (addr A) Mem1 Val Mem2 :- assign-aux A Mem1 Val Mem2.
1087
                                                                                mk-app T L S :- beta T L S.
                                                                                                                                                   1145
1088
1089
                                                                                type eta-contract fm -> fm -> o.
       12 THE OBJECT LANGUAGE
1090
                                                                                eta-contract (fcon X) (fcon X).
                                                                                                                                                   1148
1091
         kind fm type.
                                                                                eta-contract (fapp L) (fapp L1) :- forall2 eta-contract L L1.
1092
         type fapp list fm -> fm.
                                                                                eta-contract (flam F) T :- eta-contract-aux [] (flam F) T.
1093
         type flam (fm -> fm) -> fm.
                                                                                eta-contract (flam F) (flam F1) :-
                                                                                                                                                   1151
                                                                                                                                                   1152
1094
         type fcon string -> fm.
                                                                                  pi x \le eta-contract x x \implies eta-contract (F x) (F1 x).
         type fuva address -> fm.
                                                                                eta-contract (fuva X) (fuva X).
                                                                                                                                                   1153
                                                                                eta-contract X X :- name X.
                                                                                                                                                   1155
         typeabbrev subst mem fm.
1097
                                                                                type eta-contract-aux list fm \rightarrow fm \rightarrow o.
                                                                                                                                                   1156
1098
1099
         type fder subst -> fm -> o.
                                                                                eta-contract-aux L (flam F) T :-
                                                                                                                                                   1157
         fder S (fuva N) T1 :- set? N S T, fder S T T1.
                                                                                  pi x\ eta-contract-aux [x|L] (F x) T. % also checks H Prefix <math>b = 0 not
1100
1101
         fder S (fapp L1) (fapp L2) :- forall2 (fder S) L1 L2.
                                                                                eta-contract-aux L (fapp [H|Args]) T :-
                                                                                                                                                   1159
```

```
rev L LRev, append Prefix LRev Args,
1161
                                                                                  prune-same-variable N [_|XS] [_|YS] ACC (abs F) :-
                                                                                                                                                       1219
            if (Prefix = []) (T = H) (T = fapp [H|Prefix]).
1162
                                                                                    pi x\ prune-same-variable N XS YS ACC (F x).
                                                                                                                                                       1220
1163
                                                                                                                                                       1221
                                                                                  type prune-build-ass1 address -> list tm ->
                                                                                                                                                       1222
       13 THE META LANGUAGE
1165
                                                                                                          list bool -> assignment -> o.
1166
         typeabbrev subst list (option assignment).
                                                                                  prune-build-ass1 N Acc [] (val (uva N Args)) :-
                                                                                                                                                       1224
1167
                                                                                    rev Acc Args.
                                                                                                                                                       1225
                                                                                  prune-build-ass1 N Acc [tt|L] (abs T) :-
1168
         kind inctx type -> type.
                                                                                                                                                       1226
1169
         type abs (tm -> inctx A) -> inctx A.
                                                                                    pi x\ prune-build-ass1 N [x|Acc] L (T x).
                                                                                                                                                       1227
1170
          type val A -> inctx A.
                                                                                  prune-build-ass1 N Acc [ff|L] (abs T) :-
                                                                                                                                                       1228
1171
                                                                                    pi x\ prune-build-ass1 N Acc L (T x).
                                                                                                                                                       1229
         typeabbrev assignment (inctx tm).
                                                                                  type build-order list nat -> list tm -> list tm -> o.
1173
                                                                                                                                                       1231
                                                                                  build-order L T R :-
         kind tm type.
1174
                                                                                                                                                       1232
1175
         type app list tm -> tm.
                                                                                    len L Len, list-init Len z
                                                                                                                                                       1233
1176
         type lam (tm \rightarrow tm) \rightarrow tm.
                                                                                      (p\r\ sigma Index Elt\ index L p Index, nth Index T r) R.
                                                                                                                                                       1234
         type con string -> tm.
1177
1178
         type uva address -> list tm -> tm.
                                                                                  type prune-build-ass2 address -> list tm -> list bool ->
                                                                                                                                                       1236
1179
                                                                                                        list nat -> assignment -> o.
                                                                                                                                                       1237
         type (\simeq_{\lambda}) tm -> tm -> subst -> o.
                                                                                  prune-build-ass2 N Acc [] Pos (val (uva N Args)) :-
                                                                                                                                                       1238
1180
          ((app L1) \simeq_{\lambda} (app L2)) S S1 :- fold2 (\simeq_{\lambda}) L1 L2 S S1.
                                                                                    rev Acc Acc', build-order Pos Acc' Args.
                                                                                                                                                       1239
1181
1182
          ((lam F1) \simeq_{\lambda} (lam F2)) S S1 :-
                                                                                  prune-build-ass2 N Acc [tt|L] Pos (abs T) :-
                                                                                                                                                       1240
1183
           pi x\ copy x x => ((F1 x) \simeq_{\lambda} (F2 x)) S S1.
                                                                                    pi x\ prune-build-ass2 N [x|Acc] L Pos (T x).
                                                                                                                                                       1241
         ((con X) \simeq_{\lambda} (con X)) S S.
                                                                                  prune-build-ass2 N Acc [ff|L] Pos (abs T) :-
                                                                                                                                                       1242
         ((uva N Args) \simeq_{\lambda} T) S S1 :-
                                                                                    pi x\ prune-build-ass2 N Acc L Pos (T x).
           mem.set? N S F,!, move F Args T1, (T1 \simeq_{\lambda} T) S S1.
                                                                                                                                                       1244
1186
         (T \simeq_{\lambda} (uva N Args)) S S1 :-
                                                                                  type keep list A -> A -> bool -> o.
                                                                                                                                                       1245
1187
1188
           mem.set? N S F,!, move F Args T1, (T \simeq_{\lambda} T1) S S1.
                                                                                  keep L A tt :- mem L A, !.
                                                                                                                                                       1246
1189
          ((uva M A1) \simeq_{\lambda} (uva N A2)) S1 S2 :- !,
                                                                                  keep _ _ ff.
                                                                                                                                                       1247
           pattern-fragment A1, pattern-fragment A2,
1190
                                                                                                                                                       1248
                                                                                  type prune-diff-variables address -> list tm -> list tm ->
1191
           prune! M A1 N A2 S1 S2.
         ((uva N Args) \simeq_{\lambda} T) S S1 :- not_occ N S T, pattern-fragment Args,
                                                                                                              assignment -> assignment -> o.
                                                                                                                                                       1250
1192
           bind T Args T1, mem.assign N S T1 S1.
                                                                                  prune-diff-variables N Args1 Args2 Ass1 Ass2 :-
                                                                                                                                                       1251
1193
         (T \simeq_{\lambda} (uva \ N \ Args)) \ S \ S1 :- not_occ \ N \ S \ T, pattern-fragment Args,
                                                                                    std.map Args1 (keep Args2) Bits1,
                                                                                                                                                       1252
1194
1195
           bind T Args T1, mem.assign N S T1 S1.
                                                                                    prune-build-ass1 N [] Bits1 Ass1,
                                                                                                                                                       1253
          (N \simeq_{\lambda} N) S S := name N.
                                                                                    std.map Args2 (keep Args1) Bits2,
                                                                                                                                                       1254
                                                                                    std.filter Args1 (mem Args2) ToKeep1,
                                                                                                                                                       1255
         type prune! address -> list ho.tm -> address ->
                                                                                    std.filter Args2 (mem Args1) ToKeep2,
                      list ho.tm -> subst -> o.
                                                                                    std.map ToKeep2 (index ToKeep1) Pos,
         prune! N A N A S S :- !.
                                                                                    prune-build-ass2 N [] Bits2 Pos Ass2.
                                                                                                                                                       1258
1200
         prune! M A N A S1 S2 :- !, bind (uva M A) A Ass,
1201
                                                                                                                                                       1259
1202
           mem.assign N S1 Ass S2.
                                                                                  type move assignment -> list tm -> tm -> o.
                                                                                                                                                       1260
         prune! N A1 N A2 S1 S3 :- !,
                                                                                  move (abs Bo)
                                                                                                        [H|L] R :- move (Bo H) L R.
1203
                                                                                                                                                       1261
           std.assert!(len A1 {len A2}) "Not typechecking", !,
1204
                                                                                  move (val A)
                                                                                                         Г٦
                                                                                                             A :- !.
                                                                                                                                                       1262
1205
           mem.new S1 W S2, prune-same-variable W A1 A2 [] Ass,
                                                                                  move (val (uva N A)) L
                                                                                                                (uva N X) :- append A L X.
           mem.assign N S2 Ass S3.
                                                                                                               _ :- !, fatal "Invalid move call: tot26few arg
1206
                                                                                  move (abs A)
                                                                                                         []
                                                                                                               _ :- !, fatal "Invalid move call:" A L265
         prune! N A1 M A2 S1 S4 :- !,
1207
                                                                                  move A
                                                                                                         1
1208
           mem.new S1 W S2, prune-diff-variables W A1 A2 Ass1 Ass2,
                                                                                                                                                       1266
                                                                                                                                                       1267
1209
           mem.assign N S2 Ass1 S3,
                                                                                  type beta tm -> list tm -> tm -> o.
           mem.assign M S3 Ass2 S4.
1210
                                                                                  beta A [] A.
1211
                                                                                  beta (lam Bo) [H | L] R :- beta (Bo H) L R.
1212
         type prune-same-variable address -> list tm -> list tm ->
                                                                                  beta (app A) L (app X) :- append A L X.
                                                                                  beta (uva N A) L (uva N A') :- append A L A'.
                                      list tm -> assignment -> o.
                                                                                                                                                       1271
1213
1214
         prune-same-variable N [] [] ACC (val (uva N Args)) :-
                                                                                  beta (con H) L (app [con H | L]).
                                                                                                                                                       1272
1215
           rev ACC Args.
                                                                                                                                                       1273
         prune-same-variable N [X|XS] [X|YS] ACC (abs F) :-
                                                                                  type not_occ_aux address -> subst -> tm -> o.
1216
           pi x\ prune-same-variable N XS YS [x|ACC] (F x).
                                                                                  not_occ_aux N H T :- (var N; var H; var T), halt "Invalid call tx5not_oc
1217
1218
                                                                           11
```

```
type hv address -> arity -> hvariable.
1277
         not_occ_aux N S (uva M _) :- mem.unset? M S, not (N = M).
                                                                                                                                                  1335
         not_occ_aux N S (uva M Args) :- mem.set? M S F,
1278
                                                                                                                                                  1336
1279
           move F Args T, not_occ_aux N S T.
                                                                               kind mapping type.
                                                                                                                                                  1337
                                                                               type mapping fvariable -> hvariable -> mapping.
         not_occ_aux N S (app L) :- forall1 (not_occ_aux N S) L.
1281
         not_occ_aux N S (lam F) :- pi x\ not_occ_aux N S (F x).
                                                                               typeabbrev mappings (list mapping).
                                                                                                                                                  1339
1282
         not_occ_aux _ _ (con _).
                                                                                                                                                  1340
         not\_occ\_aux \_ \_ X := name X.
1283
                                                                               typeabbrev scope (list tm).
                                                                                                                                                  1341
1284
                                                                                                                                                  1342
1285
         type not_occ address -> subst -> tm -> o.
                                                                               kind linkctx type.
                                                                                                                                                  1343
         not_occ N H T :- (var N; var H; var T), halt "Invalid call to not_ocyp'e.link-eta tm -> tm -> linkctx.
                                                                                                                                                  1344
         not_occ N _ (uva N _).
                                                                               type link-beta tm -> tm -> linkctx.
         not_occ N S (uva M Args) :- mem.set? M S F,
                                                                                                                                                  1346
                                                                               macro @val-link-eta T1 T2 :- ho.val (link-eta T1 T2).
           move F Args T, not_occ N S T.
1289
                                                                                                                                                  1347
         not_occ N S (uva M Args) :- mem.unset? M S,
                                                                               macro @val-link-beta T1 T2 :- ho.val (link-beta T1 T2).
1290
                                                                                                                                                  1348
1291
           std.forall Args (not_occ_aux N S).
                                                                                                                                                  1349
1292
                                                                               typeabbrev link (ho.inctx linkctx).
                                                                                                                                                  1350
         not occ (con ).
1293
         not_occ N S (app L) :- not_occ_aux N S (app L).
                                                                                                                                                  1351
1294
         not_occ N S (lam L) :- pi x\ not_occ N S (L x).
                                                                               typeabbrev links (list link).
                                                                                                                                                  1352
         not_occ _ _ X :- name X.
1295
                                                                                                                                                  1353
                                                                                                                                                  1354
1296
                                                                               type use-binder fm -> fm -> o.
1297
         type copy tm -> tm -> o.
                                                                                                                                                  1355
         copy (app L) (app L') :- forall2 copy L L'.
                                                                               use-binder N N.
                                                                                                                                                  1356
1298
         copy (lam T) (lam T') :- pi x \cdot copy x x \Rightarrow copy (T x) (T' x).
                                                                               use-binder N (fapp L) :- exists (use-binder N) L.
                                                                                                                                                  1357
         copy (uva N L) (uva N L') :- forall2 copy L L'.
                                                                               use-binder N (flam B) :- pi x\ use-binder N (B x).
1301
         copy (con C) (con C).
                                                                               type maybe-eta fm -> fm -> list fm -> o.
1302
         copy N N :- not(scope-check), name N.
                                                                                                                                                  1360
                                                                                                                                                  1361
1303
                                                                               maybe-eta N (fapp[fuva _|Args]) _ :- !,
1304
         type scope-check o.
                                                                                 exists (x\ maybe-eta-of [] N x) Args, !.
                                                                                                                                                  1362
                                                                               maybe-eta N (flam B) L :- !, pi x\ maybe-eta N (B x) [x | L].
1305
         type bind tm -> list tm -> assignment -> o.
1306
                                                                               maybe-eta _ (fapp [fcon _|Args]) L :-
1307
         bind T [] (val T') :- scope-check => copy T T'.
                                                                                 split-last-n {len L} Args First Last,
         bind T [X | TL] (abs T') :- pi x \land copy X x \Rightarrow bind T TL (T' x).
                                                                                 forall1 (x\ forall1 (y\ not (use-binder x y)) First) L,
1308
                                                                                                                                                  1366
                                                                                 forall2 (maybe-eta-of []) {rev L} Last.
                                                                                                                                                  1367
1309
         type deref subst -> tm -> tm -> o.
1310
                                                                                                                                                  1368
1311
         deref S X _ :- (var S; var X), halt "flex deref".
                                                                               type maybe-eta-of list fm -> fm -> o.
                                                                                                                                                  1369
1312
         deref H (uva N L) X
                                :- mem.set? N H T,
                                                                               maybe-eta-of _ N N :- !.
                                                                                                                                                  1370
           move T L X', !, deref H X' X.
                                                                               maybe-eta-of L N (fapp[fuva _[Args]) :- !,
                                                                                                                                                  1371
1314
         deref H (app L) (app L1) :- forall2 (deref H) L L1.
                                                                                 forall1 (x\ exists (maybe-eta-of [] x) Args) [N|L].
                                                                               maybe-eta-of L N (flam B) :- !,
                                                                                                                                                  1373
1315
         deref \_ (con X) (con X).
         deref H (uva X L) (uva X L1) :- mem.unset? X H,
                                                                                 pi x\ maybe-eta-of [x | L] N (B x).
                                                                                                                                                  1374
1316
1317
           forall2 (deref H) L L1.
                                                                               maybe-eta-of L N (fapp [N|Args]) :-
                                                                                                                                                  1375
1318
         deref H (lam F) (lam G) :- pi \times deref H (F \times G) (G \times G).
                                                                                 last-n {len L} Args R,
                                                                                                                                                  1376
         deref _ N
                                                                                 forall2 (maybe-eta-of []) R {rev L}.
                                                                                                                                                  1377
1319
                            N
                                       :- name N.
1320
                                                                                                                                                  1378
1321
         type deref-assmt subst -> assignment -> o.
                                                                               type locally-bound tm -> o.
                                                                                                                                                  1379
         deref-assmt S (abs T) (abs R) :- pi x\ deref-assmt S (T x) (R x). type get-scope-aux tm -> list tm -> o.
                                                                                                                                                  1380
1322
         deref-assmt S (val T) (val R) :- deref S T R.
                                                                                                                                                  1381
1323
                                                                               get-scope-aux (con _) [].
1324
                                                                               get-scope-aux (uva _ L) L1 :-
                                                                                                                                                  1382
1325
                                                                                 forall2 get-scope-aux L R,
                                                                                                                                                  1383
      14 THE COMPILER
1326
                                                                                 flatten R L1.
         kind arity type.
                                                                               get-scope-aux (lam B) L1 :-
                                                                                 pi x \setminus locally-bound x \Rightarrow get-scope-aux (B x) L1.
1328
         type arity nat -> arity.
                                                                                                                                                  1386
                                                                               get-scope-aux (app L) L1 :-
                                                                                                                                                  1387
1329
1330
         kind fvariable type.
                                                                                 forall2 get-scope-aux L R,
                                                                                                                                                  1388
1331
         type fv address -> fvariable.
                                                                                 flatten R L1.
                                                                                                                                                  1389
                                                                               get-scope-aux X [X] :- name X, not (locally-bound X).
1332
                                                                                                                                                  1390
         kind hvariable type.
1333
                                                                               get-scope-aux X [] :- name X, (locally-bound X).
                                                                                                                                                  1391
1334
                                                                                                                                                  1392
                                                                        12
```

```
1393
                                                                                 mappings -> mappings ->
                                                                                                                                                  1451
1394
         type get-scope tm -> list tm -> o.
                                                                                 links -> links ->
                                                                                                                                                  1452
1395
         get-scope T Scope :- names N,
                                                                                 subst -> subst -> o.
                                                                                                                                                  1453
           get-scope-aux T ScopeDuplicata,
                                                                               compile-terms-diagnostic (triple D F01 F02) (triple D H01 H02) M64M3 L1
1397
           std.filter N (mem ScopeDuplicata) Scope.
                                                                                 comp F01 H01 M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2,
                                                                                 comp F02 H02 M2 M3 L2 L3 S2 S3.
1398
         type close-links (tm -> links) -> links -> o.
                                                                                                                                                  1457
1399
         close-links (_\[]) [].
                                                                               type compile-terms
                                                                                                                                                  1458
1400
1401
         close-links (v\setminus[L|XS v]) [L|YS] :- !, close-links XS YS.
                                                                                 list (triple diagnostic fm fm) ->
                                                                                                                                                  1459
         close-links (v\setminus[(L\ v)\mid XS\ v]) [ho.abs L|YS] :- !,
                                                                                 list (triple diagnostic tm tm) ->
                                                                                                                                                  1460
           close-links XS YS.
                                                                                 mappings -> links -> subst -> o.
                                                                               compile-terms T H M L S :-
1404
         type comp-lam (fm \rightarrow fm) \rightarrow (tm \rightarrow tm) \rightarrow
                                                                                 fold6 compile-terms-diagnostic T H [] M_ [] L_ [] S_,
                                                                                                                                                  1463
1405
           mappings -> mappings -> links -> links -> subst ->
                                                                                 deduplicate-mappings M_ M S_ S L_ L.
                                                                                                                                                  1464
1406
1407
             subst -> o.
                                                                                                                                                  1465
1408
         comp-lam F F1 M1 M2 L L2 S S1 :-
                                                                               type make-eta-link-aux nat -> address -> address ->
                                                                                                                                                  1466
           pi x y\ (pi M L S\ comp x y M M L L S S) =>
                                                                                 list tm -> links -> subst -> subst -> o.
1409
                                                                                                                                                  1467
1410
             comp (F x) (F1 y) M1 M2 L (L1 y) S S1,
                                                                               make-eta-link-aux z Ad1 Ad2 Scope1 L H1 H1 :-
                                                                                                                                                  1468
               close-links L1 L2.
                                                                                 rev Scope1 Scope, eta-expand (uva Ad2 Scope) @one T1,
                                                                                                                                                  1469
1411
                                                                                 L = [@val-link-eta (uva Ad1 Scope) T1].
                                                                                                                                                  1470
1412
         type comp fm -> tm -> mappings -> mappings -> links -> links ->
                                                                               make-eta-link-aux (s N) Ad1 Ad2 Scope1 L H1 H3 :-
                                                                                                                                                  1471
1413
1414
           subst -> subst -> o.
                                                                                 rev Scope1 Scope, alloc H1 Ad H2,
                                                                                                                                                  1472
1415
         comp (fcon C) (con C)
                                      M1 M1 L1 L1 S1 S1.
                                                                                 eta-expand (uva Ad Scope) @one T2,
                                                                                                                                                  1473
                                                                                 (pi x\ make-eta-link-aux N Ad Ad2 [x|Scope1] (L1 x) H2 H3),
         comp (flam F) (uva A Scope) M1 M2 L1 L3 S1 S3 :-
           (pi x\ maybe-eta x (F x) [x]), !,
                                                                                 close-links L1 L2,
                                                                                 L = [@val-link-eta (uva Ad1 Scope) T2 | L2].
1418
             alloc S1 A S2.
             comp-lam F F1 M1 M2 L1 L2 S2 S3.
                                                                                                                                                  1477
1419
1420
             get-scope (lam F1) Scope,
                                                                               type make-eta-link nat -> nat -> address -> address ->
                                                                                                                                                  1478
1421
             L3 = [@val-link-eta (uva A Scope) (lam F1) | L2].
                                                                                       list tm -> links -> subst -> subst -> o.
                                                                                                                                                  1479
         comp (flam F) (lam F1)
                                     M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2 :-
                                                                               make-eta-link (s N) z Ad1 Ad2 Vars L H H1 :-
1422
                                                                                                                                                  1480
           comp-lam F F1 M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2.
                                                                                 make-eta-link-aux N Ad2 Ad1 Vars L H H1.
1423
         comp (fuva A) (uva B []) M1 M2 L L S S1 :-
                                                                               make-eta-link z (s N) Ad1 Ad2 Vars L H H1 :-
                                                                                                                                                  1482
1424
           alloc-mapping M1 M2 (fv A) (hv B (arity z)) S S1.
                                                                                 make-eta-link-aux N Ad1 Ad2 Vars L H H1.
                                                                                                                                                  1483
1425
         comp (fapp [fuva A|Scope]) (uva B Scope1) M1 M2 L1 L1 S1 S2 :-
                                                                               make-eta-link (s N) (s M) Ad1 Ad2 Vars Links H H1 :-
                                                                                                                                                  1484
1426
1427
           pattern-fragment Scope, !,
                                                                                 (pi x\ make-eta-link N M Ad1 Ad2 [x|Vars] (L x) H H1),
                                                                                                                                                  1485
             fold6 comp Scope Scope1 M1 M1 L1 L1 S1 S1,
                                                                                 close-links L Links.
                                                                                                                                                  1486
             len Scope Arity.
                                                                                                                                                  1487
             alloc-mapping M1 M2 (fv A) (hv B (arity Arity)) S1 S2.
                                                                               type deduplicate-mappings mappings -> mappings ->
         comp (fapp [fuva A|Args]) (uva C Scope) M1 M3 L1 L3 S1 S4 :- !,
                                                                                   subst -> subst -> links -> links -> o.
1431
           pattern-fragment-prefix Args Pf Extra,
                                                                               deduplicate-mappings [] [] H H L L.
                                                                                                                                                  1490
1432
                               Scope1 M1 M1 L1 L1 S1 S1,
                                                                               deduplicate-mappings [(mapping (fv 0) (hv M (arity LenM)) as X1)49 Map1]
1433
             fold6 comp Pf
1434
             fold6 comp Extra Extra1 M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2,
                                                                                 take-list Map1 (mapping (fv 0) (hv M' (arity LenM'))) _, !, 1492
                                                                                 std.assert! (not (LenM = LenM')) "Deduplicate mappings, there¹⊕s a bug
1435
             alloc-mapping M2 M3 (fv A) (hv B (arity Arity)) S2 S3,
                                                                                 print "arity-fix links:" {ppmapping X1} "~!~" {ppmapping (mappung (fv
1437
             Beta = app [uva B Scope1 | Extra1],
                                                                                 make-eta-link LenM LenM' M M' [] New H1 H2,
                                                                                 print "new eta link" {pplinks New},
             get-scope Beta Scope,
                                                                                                                                                  1496
1438
             alloc S3 C S4,
                                                                                 append New L1 L2.
                                                                                                                                                  1497
1439
1440
             L3 = [@val-link-beta (uva C Scope) Beta | L2].
                                                                                 deduplicate-mappings Map1 Map2 H2 H3 L2 L3.
                                                                                                                                                  1498
1441
         comp (fapp A) (app A1)
                                    M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2 :-
                                                                               deduplicate-mappings [A|As] [A|Bs] H1 H2 L1 L2 :-
                                                                                                                                                  1499
           fold6 comp A A1 M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2.
1442
                                                                                 deduplicate-mappings As Bs H1 H2 L1 L2, !.
                                                                               deduplicate-mappings [A|_] \_ H \_ \_ :-
                                                                                 halt "deduplicating mapping error" {ppmapping A} {ho.ppsubst ₺₱₽
         type alloc mem A -> address -> mem A -> o.
1444
         alloc S N S1 :- mem.new S N S1.
1445
                                                                                                                                                  1503
1446
                                                                                                                                                  1504
                                                                             15 THE PROGRESS FUNCTION
1447
         type compile-terms-diagnostic
                                                                                                                                                  1505
           triple diagnostic fm fm ->
                                                                               macro @one :- s z.
                                                                                                                                                  1506
1448
           triple diagnostic tm tm ->
1449
                                                                                                                                                  1507
1450
                                                                                                                                                  1508
                                                                        13
```

```
type contract-rigid list ho.tm -> ho.tm -> o.
1509
                                                                                len Scope1 Scope1Len,
                                                                                                                                                1567
1510
         contract-rigid L (ho.lam F) T :-
                                                                                len Scope2 Scope2Len,
                                                                                                                                                1568
1511
           pi x\ contract-rigid [x|L] (F x) T. % also checks H Prefix does not makee eta-link Scope 1Len Scope 2Len Ad1 Ad2 [] LinkEta S2 S3, 1569
                                                                                if (L2 = []) (NewLinks = LinkEta, T2 = ho.uva Ad2 Scope2)
         contract-rigid L (ho.app [H|Args]) T :-
1513
           rev L LRev, append Prefix LRev Args,
                                                                                  (T2 = ho.app [ho.uva Ad2 Scope2 | L2],
           if (Prefix = []) (T = H) (T = ho.app [H[Prefix]).
                                                                                  NewLinks = [@val-link-beta T T2 | LinkEta]).
1514
                                                                                                                                                1573
1515
         type progress-eta-link ho.tm -> ho.tm -> ho.subst -> limmlogressobeta-link T1 (ho.app[ho.uva _ _ | _] as T2) _ _ _ :- 1574
1516
1517
         progress-eta-link (ho.app _ as T) (ho.lam x\ _ as T1) H H1 [] :- !, not (T1 = ho.uva _ _), !, fail.
1518
           (\{eta-expand T @one\} == 1 T1) H H1.
         progress-eta-link (ho.con _ as T) (ho.lam x\ _ as T1) H H1 [] :- !progress-beta-link (ho.uva _ _ as T) (ho.app[ho.uva _ _ | _] as 1572) S1 .
           (\{eta-expand T @one\} == 1 T1) H H1.
                                                                                occur-check-err T T2 S1, !, fail.
1520
         progress-eta-link (ho.lam _ as T) T1 H H1 [] :- !,
1521
           (T == 1 T1) H H1.
                                                                              progress-beta-link T1 (ho.app[ho.uva _ _ | _] as T2) H H [@val-link-beta
1522
1523
         progress-eta-link (ho.uva _ _ as X) T H H1 [] :-
                                                                                                                                                1581
1524
           contract-rigid [] T T1, !, (X == 1 T1) H H1.
                                                                              progress-beta-link T1 (ho.app [Hd | T1]) S1 S2 B :-
                                                                                                                                                1582
         progress-eta-link (ho.uva Ad _ as T1) T2 H H [@val-link-eta T1 T2] :ho!peta Hd T1 T3,
1525
                                                                                                                                                1583
1526
           if (ho.not_occ Ad H T2) true fail.
                                                                                progress-beta-link-aux T1 T3 S1 S2 B.
                                                                                                                                                1584
1527
         type is-in-pf ho.tm -> o.
                                                                              type solve-link-abs link -> links -> ho.subst -> ho.subst -> o.1586
1528
         is-in-pf (ho.app [ho.uva _ _ | _]) :- !, fail.
                                                                              solve-link-abs (ho.abs X) R H H1 :-
1529
1530
         is-in-pf (ho.lam B) :- !, pi x\ is-in-pf (B x).
                                                                                pi x\ ho.copy x x \Rightarrow (pi S\ ho.deref S x x) \Rightarrow
                                                                                                                                                1588
1531
         is-in-pf (ho.con _).
                                                                                   solve-link-abs (X x) (R' x) H H1,
                                                                                                                                                1589
1532
         is-in-pf (ho.app L) :- forall1 is-in-pf L.
                                                                                close-links R' R.
         is-in-pf N :- name N.
         is-in-pf (ho.uva _ L) :- pattern-fragment L.
                                                                              solve-link-abs (@val-link-eta A B) NewLinks S S1 :- !,
1534
                                                                                progress-eta-link A B S S1 NewLinks.
                                                                                                                                                1593
1535
1536
         type arity ho.tm -> nat -> o.
                                                                                                                                                1594
1537
         arity (ho.con _) z.
                                                                              solve-link-abs (@val-link-beta A B) NewLinks S S1 :- !,
                                                                                                                                                1595
         arity (ho.app L) A :- len L A.
                                                                                progress-beta-link A B S S1 NewLinks.
1538
                                                                                                                                                1596
1539
         type occur-check-err ho.tm -> ho.tm -> ho.subst -> o.
                                                                              type take-link link -> links -> link -> links -> o.
1540
         occur-check-err (ho.con _) _ _ :- !.
                                                                              take-link A [B|XS] B XS :- link-abs-same-lhs A B, !.
                                                                                                                                                1599
1541
         occur-check-err (ho.app _) _ _ :- !.
                                                                              take-link A [L|XS] B [L|YS] :- take-link A XS B YS.
                                                                                                                                                1600
1542
1543
         occur-check-err (ho.lam _) _ _ :- !.
                                                                                                                                                1601
         occur-check-err (ho.uva Ad _) T S :-
1544
                                                                              type link-abs-same-lhs link -> link -> o.
                                                                                                                                                1602
                                                                              link-abs-same-lhs (ho.abs F) B :-
1545
           not (ho.not_occ Ad S T).
                                                                                                                                                1603
1546
                                                                                pi x\ link-abs-same-lhs (F x) B.
1547
         type progress-beta-link-aux ho.tm -> ho.tm ->
                                                                              link-abs-same-lhs A (ho.abs G) :-
                                                                                pi x\ link-abs-same-lhs A (G x).
                 ho.subst -> ho.subst -> links -> o.
1548
         progress-beta-link-aux T1 T2 S1 S2 [] :- is-in-pf T2, !,
1549
                                                                              link-abs-same-lhs (@val-link-eta (ho.uva N _) _) (@val-link-eta100ho.uva
1550
           (T1 == 1 T2) S1 S2.
1551
         progress-beta-link-aux T1 T2 S S [@val-link-beta T1 T2] :- !.
                                                                              type same-link-eta link -> link -> ho.subst -> ho.subst -> o. 1609
                                                                              same-link-eta (ho.abs F) B H H1 :- !, pi x\ same-link-eta (F x)160H H1.
1552
1553
         type progress-beta-link ho.tm -> ho.tm -> ho.subst ->
                                                                              same-link-eta A (ho.abs G) H H1 :- !, pi x\ same-link-eta A (G ★)1H H1.
               ho.subst -> links -> o.
                                                                              same-link-eta (@val-link-eta (ho.uva N S1) A)
1554
                                                                                                                                                1612
1555
         progress-beta-link T (ho.app[ho.uva V Scope | L] as T2) S S2 [@val-link-beta T T2@val-link-eta (ho.uva N S2) B) H H1:-
                                                                                                                                                1613
1556
           arity T Arity, len L ArgsNb, ArgsNb >n Arity, !,
                                                                                std.map2 S1 S2 (x\y\r\ r = ho.copy x y) Perm,
                                                                                                                                                1614
1557
           minus ArgsNb Arity Diff, mem.new S V1 S1,
                                                                                Perm => ho.copy A A',
                                                                                                                                                1615
           eta-expand (ho.uva V1 Scope) Diff T1,
1558
                                                                                (A' == 1 B) H H1.
                                                                                                                                                1616
           ((ho.uva V Scope) == 1 T1) S1 S2.
                                                                              type solve-links links -> links -> ho.subst -> ho.subst -> o.
1560
         progress-beta-link (ho.uva _ _ as T) (ho.app[ho.uva Ad1 Scope1 | L%plaxe-lli)nk%1[33] [93] [9] eWL Xnks :-
                                                                                                                                                1619
1561
1562
           append Scope1 L1 Scope1L,
                                                                              solve-links [A|L1] [A|L3] S S2 :- take-link A L1 B L2, !,
                                                                                                                                                1620
1563
           pattern-fragment-prefix Scope1L Scope2 L2,
                                                                                same-link-eta A B S S1,
                                                                                                                                                1621
           not (Scope1 = Scope2), !,
                                                                                solve-links L2 L3 S1 S2.
                                                                                                                                                1622
1564
                                                                              solve-links [L0|L1] L3 S S2 :- deref-link S L0 L,
1565
           mem.new S1 Ad2 S2,
                                                                                                                                                1623
                                                                                                                                                1624
1566
                                                                        14
```

```
1625
           solve-link-abs L R S S1. !.
                                                                                 add-new-mappings H (ho.uva N L) Map [Map1 | MapL] F1 F3 :-
                                                                                                                                                      1683
           solve-links L1 L2 S1 S2, append R L2 L3.
1626
                                                                                   mem.new F1 M F2.
                                                                                                                                                      1684
1627
                                                                                   len L Arity, Map1 = mapping (fv M) (hv N (arity Arity)),
                                                                                                                                                      1685
                                                                                    add-new-mappings H (ho.app L) [Map1 | Map] MapL F2 F3.
       16 THE DECOMPILER
1629
                                                                                 add-new-mappings H (ho.lam B) Map NewMap F1 F2 :-
         type abs->lam ho.assignment -> ho.tm -> o.
                                                                                    pi x\ add-new-mappings H (B x) Map NewMap F1 F2.
                                                                                                                                                      1688
1630
         abs->lam (ho.abs T) (ho.lam R) :- !, pi x\ abs->lam (T x) (R x). add-new-mappings H (ho.app L) Map NewMap F1 F3 :-
                                                                                                                                                      1689
1631
         abs->lam (ho.val A) A.
                                                                                    add-new-mappings-aux H L Map NewMap F1 F3.
                                                                                                                                                      1690
1632
1633
                                                                                 add-new-mappings _ (ho.con _) _ [] F F :- !.
                                                                                                                                                      1691
         type commit-links-aux link -> ho.subst -> ho.subst -> o.
                                                                                 add-new-mappings _ N _ [] F F :- name N.
                                                                                                                                                      1692
         commit-links-aux (@val-link-eta T1 T2) H1 H2 :-
           ho.deref H1 T1 T1', ho.deref H1 T2 T2',
                                                                                 type complete-mapping-under-ass ho.subst -> ho.assignment ->
           (T1' == 1 T2') H1 H2.
                                                                                    mappings -> mappings -> fo.subst -> fo.subst -> o.
1637
                                                                                                                                                      1695
         commit-links-aux (@val-link-beta T1 T2) H1 H2 :-
                                                                                 complete-mapping-under-ass H (ho.val Val) Map1 Map2 F1 F2 :-
1638
                                                                                                                                                      1696
1639
           ho.deref H1 T1 T1', ho.deref H1 T2 T2',
                                                                                    add-new-mappings H Val Map1 Map2 F1 F2.
                                                                                                                                                      1697
1640
           (T1' == 1 T2') H1 H2.
                                                                                 complete-mapping-under-ass H (ho.abs Abs) Map1 Map2 F1 F2 :-
                                                                                                                                                      1698
         commit-links-aux (ho.abs B) H H1 :-
                                                                                    pi x\ complete-mapping-under-ass H (Abs x) Map1 Map2 F1 F2.
1641
1642
           pi x\ commit-links-aux (B x) H H1.
                                                                                 type complete-mapping ho.subst -> ho.subst ->
                                                                                                                                                      1701
1643
         type commit-links links -> links -> ho.subst -> ho.subst -> o.
                                                                                    mappings -> mappings -> fo.subst -> fo.subst -> o.
                                                                                                                                                      1702
1644
         commit-links [] [] H H.
                                                                                 complete-mapping _ [] L L F F.
                                                                                                                                                      1703
1645
1646
         commit-links [Abs | Links] L H H2 :-
                                                                                 complete-mapping H [none | Tl] L1 L2 F1 F2 :-
                                                                                                                                                      1704
           commit-links-aux Abs H H1, !, commit-links Links L H1 H2.
                                                                                    complete-mapping H Tl L1 L2 F1 F2.
                                                                                                                                                      1705
                                                                                 complete-mapping H [some T0 | T1] L1 L3 F1 F3 :-
         type decompl-subst mappings -> mappings -> ho.subst ->
                                                                                    ho.deref-assmt H T0 T,
           fo.subst -> fo.subst -> o.
                                                                                    complete-mapping-under-ass H T L1 L2 F1 F2,
         \label{eq:decomplex} \mbox{decompl-subst $\_[A|\_]$ $\_\_$ $:-$ fail.}
1651
                                                                                    append L1 L2 LAll,
                                                                                                                                                      1709
1652
         decompl-subst _ [] _ F F.
                                                                                    complete-mapping H Tl LAll L3 F2 F3.
                                                                                                                                                      1710
1653
         decompl-subst Map [mapping (fv V0) (hv VM _)[T1] H F F2 :-
                                                                                                                                                      1711
           mem.set? VM H T, !,
                                                                                  type decompile mappings -> links -> ho.subst ->
1654
                                                                                                                                                      1712
1655
           ho.deref-assmt H T TTT,
                                                                                    fo.subst -> fo.subst -> o.
                                                                                                                                                      1713
           abs->lam TTT T', tm->fm Map T' T1,
                                                                                 decompile Map1 L HO FO FO2 :-
                                                                                                                                                      1714
1656
           fo.eta-contract T1 T2, mem.assign V0 F T2 F1,
                                                                                    commit-links L L1_ HO HO1, !,
                                                                                                                                                      1715
1657
           decompl-subst Map Tl H F1 F2.
                                                                                    complete-mapping HO1 HO1 Map1 Map2 FO FO1,
                                                                                                                                                      1716
1658
1659
         decompl-subst Map [mapping _ (hv VM _)|T1] H F F2 :-
                                                                                    decompl-subst Map2 Map2 H01 F01 F02.
                                                                                                                                                      1717
           mem.unset? VM H, decompl-subst Map Tl H F F2.
                                                                                                                                                      1718
                                                                                                                                                      1719
                                                                               17 AUXILIARY FUNCTIONS
         type tm->fm mappings -> ho.tm -> fo.fm -> o.
                                                                                 type fold4 (A \rightarrow A1 \rightarrow B \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow C \rightarrow o) \rightarrow list A \rightarrow
         tm->fm _ (ho.con C) (fo.fcon C).
1663
                                                                                    list A1 -> B -> B -> C -> C -> o.
         tm->fm L (ho.lam B1) (fo.flam B2) :-
                                                                                                                                                      1722
1664
                                                                                 fold4 _ [] [] A A B B.
1665
           pi \times y \setminus tm \rightarrow fm \times y \Rightarrow tm \rightarrow fm \times (B1 \times) (B2 y).
                                                                                                                                                      1723
                                                                                 fold4 F [X|XS] [Y|YS] A A1 B B1 :- F X Y A A0 B B0,
1666
         tm->fm L (ho.app L1) T :- forall2 (tm->fm L) L1 [Hd|Tl],
                                                                                                                                                      1724
                                                                                    fold4 F XS YS A0 A1 B0 B1.
           fo.mk-app Hd Tl T.
1667
                                                                                                                                                      1725
         tm->fm L (ho.uva VM TL) T :- mem L (mapping (fv VO) (hv VM _)),
1668
                                                                                                                                                      1726
                                                                                 type len list A -> nat -> o.
           forall2 (tm->fm L) TL T1, fo.mk-app (fo.fuva V0) T1 T.
                                                                                                                                                      1727
1669
                                                                                 len [] z.
                                                                                                                                                      1728
1670
                                                                                 len [\_|L] (s X) :- len L X.
1671
         type add-new-mappings-aux ho.subst -> list ho.tm -> mappings ->
                                                                                                                                                      1729
1672
               mappings -> fo.subst -> fo.subst -> o.
                                                                                                                                                      1730
1673
         add-new-mappings-aux _ [] _ [] S S.
                                                                                                                                                      1731
         add-new-mappings-aux H [T|Ts] L L2 S S2 :-
1674
                                                                                                                                                      1732
           add-new-mappings H T L L1 S S1,
           add-new-mappings-aux H Ts L1 L2 S1 S2.
                                                                                                                                                      1734
1677
                                                                                                                                                      1735
1678
         type add-new-mappings ho.subst -> ho.tm -> mappings ->
                                                                                                                                                      1736
1679
             mappings -> fo.subst -> fo.subst -> o.
                                                                                                                                                      1737
         add-new-mappings _ (ho.uva N _) Map [] F1 F1 :-
1680
                                                                                                                                                      1738
1681
           mem Map (mapping _ (hv N _)), !.
                                                                                                                                                      1739
1682
                                                                                                                                                      1740
                                                                           15
```