## HO unification from object language to meta language

Davide Fissore davide.fissore@inria.fr Université Côte d'Azur, Inria France Enrico Tassi enrico.tassi@inria.fr Université Côte d'Azur, Inria France 

#### **ABSTRACT**

Specifying and implementing a logic from scratch requires significant effort. Logical Frameworks and Higher Order Logic Programming Languages provide dedicated, high-level Meta Languages (ML) to facilitate this task in two key ways: 1) variable binding and substitution are simplified when ML binders represent object logic ones; 2) proof construction, and even proof search, is greatly simplified by leveraging the unification procedure provided by the ML. Notable examples of ML are Elf [13], Twelf [14],  $\lambda$ Prolog [10] and Isabelle [20] which have been utilized to implement various formal systems such as First Order Logic [5], Set Theory [12], Higher Order Logic [11], and even the Calculus of Constuctions [4].

The object logic we are interested in is Coq's [18] Dependent Type Theory (DTT), for which we aim to implement a unification procedure  $\simeq_o$  using the ML Elpi [3], a dialect of  $\lambda$ Prolog. Elpi's equational theory comprises  $\eta\beta$  equivalence and comes equipped with a higher order unification procedure  $\simeq_\lambda$  restricted to the pattern fragment [9]. We want  $\simeq_o$  to be as powerful as  $\simeq_\lambda$  but on the object logic DTT. Elpi also comes with an encoding for DTT that works well for meta-programming [17, 16, 7, 6]. Unfortunately this encoding, which we refer to as  $\mathcal{F}_o$ , "underuses"  $\simeq_\lambda$  by restricting it to first-order unification problems only. To address this issue, we propose a better-behaved encoding,  $\mathcal{H}_o$ , demonstrate how to map unification problems in  $\mathcal{F}_o$  to related problems in  $\mathcal{H}_o$ , and illustrate how to map back the unifiers found by  $\simeq_\lambda$ , effectively implementing  $\simeq_o$  on top of  $\simeq_\lambda$  for the encoding  $\mathcal{F}_o$ .

We apply this technique to the implementation of a type-class [19] solver for Coq [18]. Type-class solvers are proof search procedures based on unification that back-chain designated lemmas, providing essential automation to widely used Coq libraries such as Stdpp/Iris [8] and TLC [1]. These two libraries constitute our test bed.

#### **KEYWORDS**

Logic Programming, Meta-Programming, Higher-Order Unification, Proof Automation

## **ACM Reference Format:**

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than the author(s) must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

Conference'17, July 2017, Washington, DC, USA

© 2024 Copyright held by the owner/author(s). Publication rights licensed to ACM. ACM ISBN 978-x-xxxx-x/YY/MM

https://doi.org/ZZZZZZZZZZZZZ

#### 1 INTRODUCTION

Specifying and implementing a logic from scratch requires significant effort. Logical Frameworks and Higher Order Logic Programming Languages provide dedicated, high-level Meta Languages (ML) to facilitate this task in two key ways: 1) variable binding and substitution are simplified when ML binders represent object logic ones; 2) proof construction, and even proof search, is greatly simplified by leveraging the unification procedure provided by the ML. Notable examples of ML are Elf [13], Twelf [14],  $\lambda$ Prolog [10] and Isabelle [20] which have been utilized to implement various formal systems such as First Order Logic [5], Set Theory [12], Higher Order Logic [11], and even the Calculus of Constuctions [4].

The object logic we are interested in is Coq's [18] Dependent Type Theory (DTT), and we want to code a type-class [19] solver for Coq [18] using the Coq-Elpi [17] meta programming framework. Type-class solvers are unification based proof search procedures that combine a set of designated lemmas in order to providing essential automation to widely used Coq libraries.

As the running example we take the Decide type class, from the Stdpp [8] library. The class identifies predicates equipped with a decision procedure. The following three designated lemmas (called Instances in the type-class jargon) state that: 1) the type fin n, of natural numbers smaller than n is finite; 2) the predicate nfact n nf, linking a natural number n to its prime factors nf, is decidable; 3) the universal closure of a predicate has a decision procedure if the predicate has and if its domain is finite.

```
Instance fin_fin n : Finite (fin n). (* r1 *)
Instance nfact_dec n nf : Decision (nfact n nf). (* r2 *)
Instance forall_dec A P : Finite A \rightarrow (* r3 *)
\forall x:A, \ Decision \ (P \ x) \rightarrow Decision \ (\forall x:A, \ P \ x).
```

Under this context of instances a type-class solver is able to prove the following statement automatically by back-chaining.

```
Check \_: Decision (forall y: fin 7, nfact y 3). (g)
```

The encoding of DTT provided by Elpi, that we will discuss at length later in section ?? and ??, is an Higher Order Abstract Syntax (HOAS) datatype tm featuring (among others) the following constructors:

Following standard  $\lambda$ Prolog [10] the concrete syntax to abstract, at the meta level, an expression e over a variable x is «x\ e», and square brackets denote a list of terms separated by comma. As an example we show the encoding of the Coq term « $\forall$ y:t, nfact y 3»:

```
all (con"t") y\ app[con"nfact", y, con"3"]
```

We now illustrate the encoding of the three instances above as higher-order logic-programming rules: capital letters denote rule parameters; :- separates the rule's head from the premises; pi w\ p introduces a fresh nominal constant w for the premise p.

finite (app[con"fin", N]). (r1)
decision (app [con"nfact", N, NF]). (r2)
decision (all A x\ app[P, x]) :- finite A, (r3)
pi w\ decision (app[P, w]).

Unfortunately this translation of rule (r3) uses the predicate P as a first order term: for the meta language its type is tm. If we try to backchain the rule (r3) on the encoding of the goal (g) given below

```
decision (all (app[con"fin", con"7"]) y\
  app[con"nfact", y, con"3"]).
```

we obtain an unsolvable unification problem (p): the two lists of terms have different lengths!

```
app[con"nfact", y, con"3"] = app[P, y] (p)
```

In this paper we study a more sophisticated encoding of Coq terms allowing us to rephrase the problematic rule (r3) as follows:

```
decision (all A x\ Pm x) :- decomp Pm P A, finite A, (r3a) pi x\ decision (app[P, x]).
```

Since Pm is an higher-order unification variable of type tm -> tm, with x in its scope, the unification problem (p') admits one solution:

After unifying the head of rule (r3a) with the goal, Elpi runs the premise «decomp Pm A P» that is in charge of bringing the assignment for Pm back to the domain tm of Coq terms:

```
P = lam A a\ app[con"nfact", a, con"3"]
```

This simple example is sufficient to show that the encoding we seek is not trivial and does not only concern the head of rules, but the entire sequence of unification problems that constitute the execution of a logic program. In fact the solution for P above generates a (Coq)  $\beta$ -redex in the second premise (the predicate under the pi w\):

```
decision (app[lam A (a\ app[con"nfact", a, con"3"]), w])
```

In turn this redex prevents the rule (r2) to backchain properly since the following unification problem has no solution:

```
app[lam A (a\ app[con"nfact", a, con"3"]), x] =
app[con"nfact", N, NF]
```

The root cause of the problems we sketched in the running example is that the unification procedure  $\simeq_{\lambda}$  of the meta language is not aware of the equational theory of the object logic, even if both theories include  $\eta\beta$ -conversion and admit most general unifiers for unification problems in the pattern fragment  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$  [9].

Contributions. In this paper we discuss alternative encodings of Coq in Elpi (Section ??), then we identify a minimal language  $\mathcal{F}_0$  in which the problems sketched here can be fully described. We then detail an encoding comp from  $\mathcal{F}_0$  to  $\mathcal{H}_0$  (the language of the meta language) and a decoding decomp to relate the unifiers bla

bla.. TODO citare Teyjus. The code discussed in the paper can be accessed at the URL: https://github.com/FissoreD/paper-ho.

#### 2 PROBLEM STATEMENT

The equational theory of Coq's Dependent Type Theory is very rich. In addition to the usual  $\eta\beta$ -equivalence for functions, terms (hence types) are compared up to proposition unfolding and fixpoint unrolling. Still, for efficiency and predictability reasons, most form of automatic proof search employ a unification procedure that captures a simpler one, just  $\eta\beta$ , and that solves higher-order problems restricted to the pattern fragment  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$  [9]. We call this unification procedure  $\simeq_o$ .

The equational theory of the meta language Elpi is strikingly similar, since it it comprises  $\eta\beta$  (for the meta language functions), and the unification procedure  $\simeq_{\lambda}$  solves higher-order problems in  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$ .

In spite of the similarity the link between  $\simeq_{\lambda}$  and  $\simeq_{o}$  is not trivial, since the abstraction and application term constructors the two unification procedures deal with are different. For example

One could ignore this similarity, and "just" describe the object language unification procedure in the meta language, that is crafting a unif predicate to be used as follows in rule (r3):

```
decision X :- unif X (all A x\ app[P, x]), finite A,
  pi x\ decision (app[P, x]).
```

This choice would underuse the logic programming engine provided by the metalanguage since by removing any datum from the head of rules indexing degenerates. Moreover the unification procedure built in the meta language is likely to be faster than one implemented in it, especially if the meta language is interpreted as Elpi is.

To state precisely the problem we solve we need a  $\mathcal{F}_o$  representation of DTT terms and a  $\mathcal{H}_o$  one. We call  $=_o$  the equality over ground terms in  $\mathcal{F}_o$ ,  $=_\lambda$  the equality over ground terms in  $\mathcal{H}_o$ ,  $\simeq_o$  the unification procedure we want to implement and  $\simeq_\lambda$  the one provided by the meta language. TODO extend  $=_o$  and  $=_\lambda$  with reflexivity on uvars.

We write  $t_1 \simeq_{\lambda} t_2 \mapsto \sigma$  when  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  unify with substitution  $\sigma$ ; we write  $\sigma t$  for the application of the substitution to t, and  $\sigma X = \{\sigma t | t \in X\}$  when X is a set; we write  $\sigma \subseteq \sigma'$  when  $\sigma$  is more general than  $\sigma'$ . We assume that the unification of our meta language is correct:

$$t_i \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda} \Rightarrow t_1 \simeq_{\lambda} t_2 \mapsto \rho \Rightarrow \rho t_1 =_{\lambda} \rho t_2$$
 (1)

$$t_i \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda} \Rightarrow \rho t_1 =_{\lambda} \rho t_2 \Rightarrow \exists \rho', t_1 \simeq_{\lambda} t_2 \mapsto \rho' \wedge \rho' \subseteq \rho$$
 (2)

We illustrate a compilation  $\langle s \rangle \mapsto (t, m, l)$  that maps a term s in  $\mathcal{F}_0$  to a term t in  $\mathcal{H}_0$ , a variable mapping m and list of links l. The variable map connects unification variables in  $\mathcal{H}_0$  with variables in  $\mathcal{F}_0$  and is used to "decompile" the assignment,  $\langle \sigma, m, l \rangle^{-1} \mapsto \rho$ . Links represent problematic sub-terms which are linked to the

 $fix_{300}^{299}$ 

unification variable that stands in their place in the compiled term. These links are checked for or progress XXX improve....

We represent a logic program run in  $\mathcal{F}_o$  as a list  $steps\ p$  of length  $\mathcal{N}$ . Each step is a unification problem between terms  $\mathbb{P}_{p_l}$  and  $\mathbb{P}_{p_r}$  taken from the set of all terms  $\mathbb{P}$ . The composition of these steps starting from the empty substitution  $\rho_0$  produces the final substitution  $\rho_{\mathcal{N}}$ . The initial here  $\rho_0$  is the empty substitution

$$\begin{split} \text{fstep}(\mathbb{P},p,\rho) &\mapsto \rho^{\prime\prime} \stackrel{def}{=\!\!\!=} \rho \mathbb{P}_{p_l} \simeq_o \rho \mathbb{P}_{p_r} \mapsto \rho^{\prime} \wedge \rho^{\prime\prime} = \rho \cup \rho^{\prime} \\ \text{frun}(\mathbb{P},\mathcal{N}) &\mapsto \rho_{\mathcal{N}} \stackrel{def}{=\!\!\!=} \bigwedge_{p=1}^{\mathcal{N}} \text{fstep}(\mathbb{P},p,\rho_{p-1}) \mapsto \rho_p \end{split}$$

We simulate each run in  $\mathcal{F}_o$  with a run in  $\mathcal{H}_o$  as follows. Note that  $\sigma_0$  is the empty substitution.

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{hstep}(\mathbb{T}, p, \sigma, \mathbb{L}) &\mapsto (\sigma'', \mathbb{L}') \stackrel{def}{=} \\ \sigma \mathbb{T}_{p_{l}} &\simeq_{\lambda} \sigma \mathbb{T}_{p_{r}} \mapsto \sigma' \wedge \operatorname{progress}(\mathbb{L}, \sigma \cup \sigma') \mapsto (\mathbb{L}', \sigma'') \\ \operatorname{hrun}(\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{N}) &\mapsto \rho_{\mathcal{N}} \stackrel{def}{=} \\ &\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{L}_{0} = \{(t_{j}, m_{j}, l_{j}) | s_{j} \in \mathbb{P}, \langle s_{j} \rangle \mapsto (t_{j}, m_{j}, l_{j})\} \\ &\wedge_{p=1}^{\mathcal{N}} \operatorname{hstep}(\mathbb{T}, p, \sigma_{p-1}, \mathbb{L}_{p-1}) \mapsto (\sigma_{p}, \mathbb{L}_{p}) \\ &\langle \sigma_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathbb{M}, \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{N}} \rangle^{-1} \mapsto \rho_{\mathcal{N}} \end{split}$$

Here hstep is made of two sub-steps: a call to  $\simeq_{\lambda}$  (on the compiled terms) and a call to progress on the set of links. We claim the following:

Proposition 2.1 (Simulation).  $\forall \mathbb{P}, \forall \mathcal{N}$ ,

$$\operatorname{frun}(\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{N}) \mapsto \rho_{\mathcal{N}} \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{hrun}(\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{N}) \mapsto \rho_{\mathcal{N}}$$

That is, the two executions give the same result. Moreover:

Proposition 2.2 (Simulation fidelity). In the context of hrun, if  $\mathbb{T} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$  we have that  $\forall p \in 1...N$ ,

$$fstep(\mathbb{P}, p, \rho_{p-1}) \mapsto \rho_p \Leftrightarrow hstep(\mathbb{T}, p, \sigma_{p-1}, \mathbb{L}) \mapsto (\sigma_p, \underline{\hspace{0.5cm}})$$

In particular this property guarantees that a *failure* in the  $\mathcal{F}_0$  run is matched by a failure in  $\mathcal{H}_0$  at the same step. We consider this property very important from a practical point of view since it guarantees that the execution traces are strongly related and in turn this enables a user to debug a logic program in  $\mathcal{F}_0$  by looking at its execution trace in  $\mathcal{H}_0$ .

XXX permuting hrun does not change the final result if check dooes not fail eagerly

XXX if we want to apply heuristics, we can apply them in decomp to avoid committing to a non MGU too early

We can define  $s_1 \simeq_o s_2$  by specializing the code of hrun to  $\mathbb{P} = \{s_1, s_2\}$  as follows:

$$s_{1} \simeq_{o} s_{2} \mapsto \rho \stackrel{def}{=}$$

$$\langle s_{1} \rangle \mapsto (t_{1}, m_{1}, l_{1}) \wedge \langle s_{2} \rangle \mapsto (t_{2}, m_{2}, l_{2})$$

$$t_{1} \simeq_{\lambda} t_{2} \mapsto \sigma' \wedge \operatorname{progress}(\{l_{1}, l_{2}\}, \sigma') \mapsto (L, \sigma'') \wedge$$

$$\langle \sigma'', \{m_{1}, m_{2}\}, L \rangle^{-1} \mapsto \rho$$

Proposition 2.3 (Properties of  $\simeq_0$ ).

$$s_{i} \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda} \Rightarrow s_{1} \simeq_{o} s_{2} \mapsto \rho \Rightarrow \rho s_{1} =_{o} \rho s_{2}(correct)$$
(3)  
$$s_{i} \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda} \Rightarrow \rho s_{1} =_{o} \rho s_{2} \Rightarrow \exists \rho', s_{1} \simeq_{o} s_{2} \mapsto \rho' \wedge \rho' \subseteq \rho(complete)$$
(4)

$$\rho s_1 =_o \rho s_2 \Rightarrow \rho' \subseteq \rho \Rightarrow \rho' s_i \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda} \Rightarrow \rho' s_1 \simeq_o \rho' s_2 \tag{5}$$

Properties (*correct*) and (*complete*) state, respectively, that in  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$  the implementation of  $\simeq_{o}$  is correct, complete and returns the most general unifier.

Property 2.1 states that  $\simeq_o$ , hence our compilation scheme, is resilient to unification problems outside  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$  solved by a third party. We believe this property is of practical interest since we want the user to be able to add heuristics via hand written rules to the ones obtained by our compilation scheme. A Typical example is the following problem (q) that is outside  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$ :

app [F, con"a"] = app[con"f", con"a", con"a"] 
$$(q)$$
  
F = lam x\ app[con"f",x,x]  $(h)$ 

Instead of rejecting it our scheme accepts it and guarantees that if (h) is given (after the compilation part of the scheme, as a run time hint) then ...

#### 2.1 The intuition in a nutshell

A term s is compiled in a term t where every "problematic" sub term p is replaced by a fresh unification variable h and an accessory link that represent a suspended unification problem  $h \simeq_{\lambda} p$ . As a result  $\simeq_{\lambda}$  is "well behaved" on t, that is it does not contradict  $=_{0}$  as it would otherwise do on "problematic" terms. We now define "problematic" and "well behaved" more formally.

*Definition 2.4* (
$$\Diamond \eta$$
).  $\Diamond \eta = \{t \mid \exists \rho, \rho t \text{ is an eta expansion}\}$ 

An example of term t in  $\Diamond \eta$  is  $\lambda x.\lambda y.F$  y x since the substitution  $\rho = \{F \mapsto \lambda a.\lambda b.fba\}$  makes  $\rho t = \lambda x.\lambda y.fxy$  that is the eta long form of f. This term is problematic since its rigid part, the  $\lambda$ -abstractions, cannot justify a unification failure against, say, a constant.

Definition 2.5 
$$(\overline{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}})$$
.  $\overline{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}} = \{Xt_1 \dots t_n \mid Xt_1 \dots t_n \notin \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}\}.$ 

An example of t in  $\overline{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}}$  is Fa for a constant a. Note however tha an oracle could provide an assignment  $\rho = \{F \mapsto \lambda x.x\}$  that makes the resulting term fall back in  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$ .

Definition 2.6 (Subterms  $\mathcal{P}(t)$ ). The set of sub terms of t is the largest set

subtermt that can be obtained by the following rules.

$$t \in \mathcal{P}(t)$$
  

$$t = ft_1 \dots t_n \Rightarrow \mathcal{P}(t_i) \subseteq \mathcal{P}(t) \land f \in \mathcal{P}(t)$$
  

$$t = \lambda x.t' \Rightarrow \mathcal{P}(t') \subseteq \mathcal{P}(t)$$

We write  $\mathcal{P}(X) = \bigcup_{t \in X} \mathcal{P}(t)$  when X is a set of terms.

Definition 2.7 (Well behaved set). Given a set of terms  $X \subseteq \mathcal{H}_0$ ,

$$W(X) \Leftrightarrow \forall t \in \mathcal{P}(X), t \notin (\overline{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}} \cup \Diamond \eta)$$

Proposition 2.8 (*W*-preservation).  $\forall \mathbb{T}, \forall \mathbb{L}, \forall p, \forall \sigma, \forall \sigma'$ 

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{W}(\sigma\mathbb{T}) \wedge \sigma\mathbb{T}_{p_l} &\simeq_{\lambda} \sigma\mathbb{T}_{p_r} \mapsto \sigma' \Rightarrow \mathcal{W}(\sigma'\mathbb{T}) \\ \mathcal{W}(\sigma\mathbb{T}) \wedge \operatorname{progress}(\mathbb{L}, \sigma) \mapsto (\_, \sigma') \Rightarrow \mathcal{W}(\sigma'\mathbb{T}) \end{split}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>If the same rule is used multiple time in a run we just consider as many copies as needed of the terms composing the rules, with fresh unification variables each time

is new

used?

A less formal way to state 2.8 is that hstep and progress never "commit" an unneeded  $\lambda$ -abstraction in  $\sigma$  (a  $\lambda$  that could be erased by an  $\eta$ -contraction), nor put in  $\sigma$  a flexible application outside  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$  (an application node that could be erased by a  $\beta$ -reduction).

Note that proposition 2.8 does not hold for  $\simeq_o$  as a whole since decompilation can introduce (actually restore) terms in  $\Diamond \eta$  or  $\overline{\mathcal{L}_\lambda}$  that were move out of the way (put in  $\mathbb L$ ) during compilation.

# 3 ALTERNATIVE ENCODINGS AND RELATED WORK

Paper [2] introduces semi-shallow.

Our encoding of DTT may look "semi shallow" since we use the meta-language lambda abstraction but not its application (for the terms of type tm). A fully shallow encoding unfortunately does not fit our use case, although it would make the running example work:

```
finite (fin N). decision (nfact N NF). decision (all A x\ P x) :- finite A, pi x\ decision (P x).
```

There are two reasons for dismissing this encoding. The first one is that in DTT it is not always possible to adopt it since the type system of the meta language is too weak to accommodate terms with a variable arity, like the following example:

```
Fixpoint arr T n := if n is S m then T -> arr T m else T. Definition sum n : arr nat n := ...  
Check sum 2 7 8 : nat.  
Check sum 3 7 8 9 : nat.
```

The second reason is the encoding for Coq is used for meta programming the system, hence it must accommodate the manipulation of terms that are now know in advance (not even defined in Coq) without using introspection primitives such as Prologs's functor and arg.

In the literature we could find a few related encoding of DTT. TODO In [4] is related and make the discrepancy between the types of ML and DTT visible. In this case one needs 4 application nodes. Moreover the objective is an encoding of terms, proofs, not proof search. Also note the conv predicate, akin to the unif we rule out.

TODO This other paper [15] should also be cited.

None of the encodings above provide a solution to our problem.

## 4 PRELIMINARIES: $\mathcal{F}_o$ AND $\mathcal{H}_o$

In order to reason about unification we provide a description of the  $\mathcal{F}_0$  and  $\mathcal{H}_0$  languages where unification variables are first class terms, i.e. they have a concrete syntax. We keep these languages minimal, for example, we omit the all quantifier of DTT we used in the example in Section 1 together with the type notation of terms carried by the lam constructor.

```
kind fm type.
type fapp list fm -> fm.
type flam (fm -> fm) -> fm.
type fcon string -> fm.
type fuva addr -> fm.
type fuva addr -> fm.
type uva addr -> list tm -> tm.
type type fuva addr -> fm.
```

Figure 1: The  $\mathcal{F}_0$  and  $\mathcal{H}_0$  languages

Unification variables (fuva term constructor) in  $\mathcal{F}_0$  have no explicit scope: the arguments of an higher order variable are given via

the fapp constructor. For example the term  $P \times is$  represented as fapp[fuva N, x], where N is a memory address and x is a bound variable.

In  $\mathcal{H}_o$  the representation of P x is instead uva N [x], since unification variables come equipped with an explicit scope. We say that the unification variable occurrence uva N L is in  $\mathcal{L}_\lambda$  if and only if L is made of distinct names. The predicate to test this condition is called pattern-fragment:

```
type pattern-fragment list A -> o.
```

Natural numbers represent the memory addresses that identify unification variables in both languages. The memory and its associated operations are described below:

```
kind addr type.
type addr nat -> addr.
typeabbrev (mem A) (list (option A)).

type set? addr -> mem A -> A -> o.
type unset? addr -> mem A -> o.
type assign addr -> mem A -> A -> mem A -> o.
type new mem A -> addr -> mem A -> o.
```

If a memory cell is none, then the corresponding unification variable is not set. assign sets an unset cell to the given value, while new finds the first unused address and sets it to none.

Since in  $\mathcal{H}_o$  unification variables have a scope, their solution needs to be abstracted over it to enable the instantiation of a single solution to different scopes. This is obtained via the inctx container, and in particular via its abs binding constructor. On the contrary a solution to a  $\mathcal{F}_0$  variable is a plain term.

We call fsubst the memory of  $\mathcal{F}_0$ , while we call subst the one of  $\mathcal{H}_0$ . Both have the invariant that they are not cyclic, TODO: explain.

```
kind arity type.
type arity nat -> arity.

kind fvariable type.
type fv addr -> fvariable.

kind hvariable type.
type hv addr -> arity -> hvariable.

kind mapping type.
type mapping fvariable -> hvariable -> mapping.
typeabbrev mmap (list mapping).
```

Invariant 1 (Unification variable Arity). Each variable A in  $\mathcal{H}_o$  has a (unique) arity N and each occurrence (uva A L) is such that (len L N) holds

The compiler establishes a mapping between variables of the two languages. In order to preserve invariant 1 we store the arity of

each hvariable in the mapping and we reuse an existing mapping only if the arity matches.

TODO: add ref to section 7

When a single fvariable occurs multiple times with different numbers of arguments the compiler generates multiple mappings for it, on a first approximation, and then makes the mapping bijective by introducing link- $\eta$ ; this detail is discussed in section 6.

As we mentioned in section 2.1 the compiler replaces terms in  $\diamond \eta$  and  $\overline{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}}$  with fresh variables linked to the problematic terms. Each class of problematic terms has a dedicated link.

```
kind baselink type.
type link-eta tm -> tm -> baselink.
type link-beta tm -> tm -> baselink.
typeabbrev link (inctx baselink).
typeabbrev links (list link).
```

The right hand side of a link, the problematic term, can occur under binders. To accommodate this situation the compiler wraps baselink using the inctx container (see,  $\cdot \vdash \cdot$ ).

Invariant 2 (Link left hand side of a suspended link is a variable.

New links are suspended by construction. If the left hand side variable is assigned during a step, then the link is considered for progress and possibly eliminated. This is discussed in section 6 and ??.

#### 4.1 Notational conventions

When we write  $\mathcal{H}_o$  terms outside code blocks we follow the usual  $\lambda$ -calculus notation, reserving f, g, a, b for constants, x, y, z for bound variables and X, Y, Z, F, G, H for unification variables. However we need to distinguish between the "application" of a unification variable to its scope and the application of a term to a list of arguments. We write the scope of unification variables in subscript while we use juxtaposition for regular application. Here a few examples:

```
 \begin{array}{lll} f \cdot a & & \operatorname{app[con \ "f", \ con \ "a"]} \\ \lambda x.\lambda y.F_{xy} & \operatorname{lam \ x\backslash \ lam \ y\backslash \ uva \ F \ [x, \ y]} \\ \lambda x.F_{x} \cdot a & \operatorname{lam \ x\backslash \ app[uva \ F \ [x], \ con \ "a"]} \\ \lambda x.F_{x} \cdot x & \operatorname{lam \ x\backslash \ app[uva \ F \ [x], \ x]}  \end{array}
```

When variables x and y can occur in term t we shall write  $t_{xy}$  to stress this fact.

```
We write \sigma = \{ A_{xy} \mapsto y \} for the assignment abs x\abs y\y and \sigma = \{ A \mapsto \lambda x.\lambda y.y \} for lam x\lam y\y .
```

When detailing examples we write links as equations between two terms under a context. The equality sign is subscripted with kind of baselink. For example  $x \vdash A_x =_{\beta} F_x$  a corresponds to:

```
abs x\ val (link-beta (uva A [x]) (app[uva F [x],con "a"]))
```

When it is clear from the context we shall use the same syntax for  $\mathcal{F}_0$  terms (although we never subscripts unification variables).

## 4.2 Equational theory and Unification

In order to express properties ?? we need to equip  $\mathcal{F}_o$  and  $\mathcal{H}_o$  with term equality, substitution application and unification.

Term equality:  $=_0 vs. =_{\lambda}$ . We extend the equational theory over ground terms to the full languages by adding the reflexivity of unification variables (a variable is equal to itself).

The first four rules are common to both equalities and just define the usual congruence over terms, and since we use an HOAS encoding they also capture  $\alpha$ -equivalence. In addition to that  $=_0$  has rules for  $\eta$  and  $\beta$ -equivalence.

```
type (=_{o}) fm -> fm -> o.
                                                                       (=_o)
fcon X =_o fcon X.
fapp A =_o fapp B :- forall2 (=_o) A B.
flam F =_o flam G := pi x \setminus x =_o x \Rightarrow F x =_o G x.
fuva N =_{o} fuva N.
flam F =_{\alpha} T :=
                                                                       (\eta_l)
  pi x\ beta T [x] (T' x), x =_o x \Rightarrow F x =_o T' x.
T =_{\alpha} flam F :=
                                                                       (\eta_r)
  pi x \land beta T [x] (T' x), x =_o x \Rightarrow T' x =_o F x.
fapp [flam X | L] =_o T :- beta (flam X) L R, R =_o T. (\beta_l)
T =_o fapp [flam X | L] :- beta (flam X) L R, T =_o R. (\beta_r)
type (=_{\lambda}) tm -> tm -> o.
con C =_{\lambda} fcon C.
app A =_{\lambda} fapp B :- forall2 (=_{\lambda}) A B.
lam F =_{\lambda} flam G :- pi x \ x =_{\lambda} x \Rightarrow F x =_{\lambda} G x.
uva N A =_{\lambda} fuva N B :- forall2 (=_{\lambda}) A B.
```

The main point in showing these equality tests is to remark how weaker  $=_{\lambda}$  is, and to identify the four rules that need special treatment in the implementation of  $\simeq_{o}$ .

For reference, (beta T A R) reduces away lam nodes in head position in T whenever the list A provides a corresponding argument.

```
type beta fm -> list fm -> fm -> o.
beta A [] A.
beta (flam Bo) [H | L] R :- napp (Bo H) F, beta F L R.
beta (fapp A) L (fapp X) :- append A L X.
beta (fuva N) L (fapp [fuva N | L]).
beta (fcon H) L (fapp [fcon H | L]).
beta N L (fapp [N | L]) :- name N.

type napp fm -> fm -> o.
napp (fcon C) (fcon C).
napp (fuva A) (fuva A).
napp (flam F) (flam G) :- pi x\ napp (F x) (G x).
napp (fapp [fapp L1 | L2]) T :- !,
  append L1 L2 L3, napp (fapp L3) T.
napp (fapp L) (fapp L1) :- map napp L L1.
napp N N :- name N.
```

The name predicate holds only on nominal constants (i.e. bound variables).<sup>2</sup> The choice of using n-ary application, rather than binary, is to make it easy to access the application's head. The price we pay is that substituting an application in the head of an application should be amended by "flattening" fapp nodes, that is the job of

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  Elpi provides it as a builtin, but one could implement it by systematically loading the hypothetical rule <code>name x</code> every time a nominal constant is postulated via <code>pi x</code>\

napp.  $^3$  Finally note that the cut operator is inessential, it could be removed at the cost of a verbose test on the head of L in the second rule about fapp: L's head can be fcon, flam or a name.

Substitution application:  $\rho s$  and  $\sigma t$ . Applying the substitution corresponds to dereferencing a term with respect to the memory. To ease the comparison we split  $\mathcal{F}_0$  dereferencing into a fder step and a napp one. The former step replaces references to memory cells that are set with their values, and has a corresponding operation in  $\mathcal{H}_0$ , namely deref. On the contrary napp has no corresponding operation in  $\mathcal{H}_0$ . The reasons for this asymmetry is that an fapp node with a flexible head is always mapped to a uva (as per section 5.1 and section 8), preventing nested applications to materialize.

```
type fder fsubst -> fm -> o.
fder _ (fcon C) (fcon C).
fder S (fapp A) (fapp B) :- map (fder S) A B.
fder S (flam F) (flam G) :-
   pi x\ fder S x x => fder S (F x) (G x).
fder S (fuva N) R :- set? N S T, fder S T R.
fder S (fuva N) (fuva N) :- unset? N S.

type fderef fsubst -> fm -> o. (\rho s)
fderef S T T2 :- fder S T T1, napp T1 T2.
```

Applying the substitution in  $\mathcal{H}_o$  is very similar, with the caveat that assignments have to be moved to the current scope, i.e. renaming the abs-bound variables with the names in the scope of the unification variable occurrence.

Note that move strongly relies on invariant 1: the length of the arguments of all occurrences of a unification variable and the number of abstractions in its assignment have to match. In turn this grants that move never fails.

```
type move assignment \rightarrow list tm \rightarrow tm \rightarrow o. move (abs Bo) [H|L] R :- move (Bo H) L R. move (val A) [] A.
```

Term unification:  $\simeq_0 vs. \simeq_\lambda$ . In this paper we assume to have an implementation of  $\simeq_\lambda$  that satisfies properties 1 and 2. Although we provide an implementation in the appendix (that we used for testing purposes) we only describe its signature here. Elpi is expected to provide this brick, as well as any other implementation of λProlog.

```
type (\simeq_{\lambda}) tm -> tm -> subst -> o.
```

The only detail worth discussing is the fact that the procedure updates a substitution, rather than just crafting one as presented in section 2. The reason is that the algorithm folds over a term, updating a substitution while it traverses it.

## 5 BASIC SIMULATION OF $\mathcal{F}_o$ IN $\mathcal{H}_o$

In this section we describe a basic compilation scheme that we refine later, in the following sections. This scheme is sufficient to implement an  $\simeq_0$  that respects  $\beta$ -conversion for terms in  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$ . The extension to  $\eta\beta$ -conversion is described in Section 6 and the support for terms outside  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$  in Section 8.

## 5.1 Compilation

The main task of the compiler is to recognize  $\mathcal{F}_0$  variables standing for functions and map them to higher order variables in  $\mathcal{H}_0$ . In order to bring back the substitution from  $\mathcal{H}_0$  to  $\mathcal{F}_0$  the compiler builds a "memory map" connecting the kind of variables using routine (*malloc*).

The signature of the comp predicate below allows for the generation of links (suspended unification problems) that play no role in this section but play a major role in section 6 and section 8. With respect to section 2 the signature also allows for updates to the substitution. The code below uses that possibility in order to allocate space for the variables, i.e. sets their memory address to none (a details not worth mentioning in the previous discussion).

This preliminary version of comp recognizes  $\mathcal{F}_0$  variables applied to a (possibly empty) duplicate free list of names. Note that compiling Ag cannot create new mappings nor links, since Ag is made of bound variables and the hypothetical rule loaded by comp-lam (see below) grants this property.

```
type comp-lam (fm -> fm) -> (tm -> tm) ->
    mmap -> mmap -> links -> links -> subst -> o.
comp-lam F G M1 M2 L1 L3 S1 S2 :-
    pi x y\ (pi M L S\ comp x y M M L L S S) =>
        comp (F x) (G y) M1 M2 L1 (L2 y) S1 S2,
    close-links L2 L3.
```

In the code above the syntax  $pi \times y \setminus ...$  is syntactic sugar for iterated pi abstraction, as in  $pi \times pi y \setminus ...$ 

The auxiliary function close-links tests if the bound variable  $\nu$  really occurs in the link. If it is the case the link is wrapped into an additional abs node binding  $\nu$ . In this way links generated deep inside the compiled terms can be moved outside their original context of binders.

649
manca
beta
nor52
mals3
in 654
trats3
656
657

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Note that napp is an artefact of formalization of  $\mathcal{F}_o$  we do in this presentation and, as we explain later, no equivalent of napp is needed in  $\mathcal{H}_o$ .

```
type close-links (tm -> links) -> links -> o.
close-links (v\[X |L v]) [X|R] :- !, close-links L R.
close-links (v\[X v|L v]) [abs X|R] :- close-links L R.
close-links (_\[]) [].
```

Note that we could remove the first rule, whose solve purpose is to make links more readable by pruning unused context entries.

#### 5.2 Execution

A step in  $\mathcal{H}_o$  consists in unifying two terms and reconsidering all links for progress. If any of the two tasks fail we say that the entire step fails, and it is at this granularity that we can relate steps in the two languages.

```
type hstep tm -> tm -> links -> links -> subst -> o. hstep T1 T2 L1 L2 S1 S3 :-  (T1 \simeq_{\lambda} T2) \ S1 \ S2, \\ progress L1 L2 S2 S3.
```

Note that he infix notation ((A  $\simeq_{\lambda}$  B) C D) is syntactic sugar for (( $\simeq_{\lambda}$ ) A B C D).

Reconsidering links is a fixpoint, since the progress of a link can update the substitution and in turn enable another link to progress.

```
type progress links -> links -> subst -> o.
progress L L2 S1 S3 :-
  progress1 L L1 S1 S2,
  occur-check-links L1,
  if (L = L1, S1 = S2)
     (L2 = L1, S3 = S1)
     (progress L1 L2 S2 S3).
```

In the base compilation scheme progress1 is the identity on both the links and the substitution, so the fixpoint trivially terminates. Sections 6 and 8 add rules to progress1 and justify why the don't hinder termination. For brevity we omit the code that applies the substitution S1 to all terms in  $\mathbb{L}$ .

Since compilation moves problematic terms out of the sigh of  $\simeq_{\lambda}$ , that procedure can only perform a partial occur check. For example the unification problem  $X \simeq_{\lambda} f Y$  cannot generate a cyclic substitution alone, but should be disallowed if a  $\mathbb{L}$  contains a link like  $\vdash Y =_{\eta} \lambda z. X_z$ : We don't know yet if Y will feature a lambda in head position, but we surely know it contains X, hence f Y and that fails the occur check. The procedure occur-check-links is in charge of ensuring that each link does not represent a (suspended) unification problem doomed to fail because of occur check. This check is needed in order to guarantee proposition 2.2 (simulation fidelity).

## 5.3 Substitution decompilation

Decompiling the substitution requires to first force the progress of links and then allocating new unassigned variables in the substitution for  $\mathcal{F}_0$  and finally decompiling all assignments. Note that invariant 2 and the occur check allows us to update the subst.

```
type decompile mmap -> links -> subst ->
fsubst -> fsubst -> o.
decompile M1 L S F1 F3 :-
commit-links L S S1,
```

```
complete-mapping S1 S1 M1 M2 F1 F2,
decompm M2 M2 S1 F2 F3.
```

TODO: What is commit-links and complete-mapping?, maybe complete-mapping can be hidden in the code rendering? Decompiling an assignment requires to turn abstractions into lambdas. For aestetic purposes we also eta-contract the result (not needed since  $\mathcal{F}_0$  equality can do that)

```
type decompm mmap -> mmap -> subst -> fsubst -> o.
decompm _ [] _ F F.
decompm M [mapping (fv V) (hv H _) | MS] S F1 F3 :- set? H S A,
    deref-assmt S A A1,
    abs->lam A1 T, decomp M T T1,
    eta-contract T1 T2,
    assign V F1 T2 F2,
    decompm M MS S F2 F3.
decompm M [mapping _ (hv H _) | MS] S F1 F2 :- unset? H S,
    decompm M MS S F1 F2.
```

Finally decompiling a term is trivial, now that we have an extended mapping containing all unassigned variables  $\simeq_{\lambda}$  may have introduced.

```
type decomp mmap -> tm -> fm -> o.
decomp _ (con C) (fcon C).
decomp M (app A) (fapp B) :- map (decomp M) A B.
decomp M (lam F) (flam G) :-
   pi x y\ (pi M\ decomp M x y) => decomp M (F x) (G y).
decomp M (uva Hv Ag) R :-
   mem M (mapping (fv Fv) (hv Hv _)),
   map (decomp M) Ag Bg,
   beta (fuva Fv) Bg R.
```

Note that we use beta to build fapp nodes when needed (if Ag is empty no fapp node should appear).

Invariant 3. TODO: dire che il mapping è bijective

## 5.4 Definition of $\simeq_0$ and its properties

```
type (\simeq_o) fm -> fm -> fsubst -> o.

(A \simeq_o B) F :-

comp A A' [] M1 [] [] [] S1,

comp B B' M1 M2 [] [] S1 S2,

hstep A' B' [] [] S2 S3,

decompm M2 M2 S3 [] F.
```

The code given so far applies to terms in  $\beta\eta$ -normal form where unification variables in  $\mathcal{F}_o$  can occur non linearly but always with the same number of arguments, and where their arguments are distinct names (as per  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$ ).

```
Lemma 5.1 (Compilation round trip). If comp s t [] m [] _ [] _ then decomp m t s
```

PROOF SKETCH. trivial, since the terms are beta normal beta just builds an app.  $\Box$ 

Lemma 5.2. Properties (correct) and (complete) hold for the implementation of  $\simeq_0$  above

PROOF SKETCH. In this setting  $=_{\lambda}$  is as strong as  $=_{0}$  on ground terms. What we have to show is that whenever two different  $\mathcal{F}_{0}$  terms

  $th {\boldsymbol \xi}_{04}$ 

com-

piler

must

 $do_{\underline{908}}$ 

beta

nor-

mal!!!!

can be made equal by a substitution  $\rho$  (plus the  $\beta_l$  and  $\beta_r$  if needed) we can find this  $\rho$  by finding a  $\sigma$  via  $\simeq_{\lambda}$  on the corresponding  $\mathcal{H}_o$  terms and by decompiling it. If we look at the  $\mathcal{F}_o$  terms, the are two interesting cases:

- fuva  $X \simeq_{\sigma} s$ . In this case after comp we have  $Y \simeq_{\lambda} t$  that succeeds with  $\sigma = \{Y \mapsto t\}$  and  $\sigma$  is decompiled to  $\rho = \{Y \mapsto s\}$ .
- fapp[fuva X|L]  $\simeq_o$  s. In this case we have  $Y_{\vec{x}} \simeq_\lambda t$  that succeeds with  $\sigma = \{\vec{y} \vdash Y \mapsto t[\vec{x}/\vec{y}]\}$  that in turn is decompiled to  $\rho = \{Y \mapsto \lambda \vec{y}.s[\vec{x}/\vec{y}]\}$ . Thanks to  $\beta_l \ (\lambda \vec{y}.s[\vec{x}/\vec{y}]) \ \vec{x} =_o s$ .

Since the mapping is a bijection occur check in  $\mathcal{H}_o$  corresponds to occur check in  $\mathcal{F}_o$ .

LEMMA 5.3. Properties simulation (2.1) and fidelity (2.2) hold

PROOF SKETCH. Since progress1 is trivial fstep and hstep are the same, that is in this context where input terms are  $\beta\eta$ -normal and we disregard  $\eta$ -equivalence  $\simeq_{\lambda}$  is equivalent to  $\simeq_{0}$ .

## 5.5 Limitations of by this basic scheme

The basic compilation scheme is not about to deal wit the following problem:

$$\mathbb{P} = \{ \lambda x y. X \cdot y \cdot x \simeq_{o} \lambda x y. x \quad \lambda x. f \cdot (X \cdot x) \cdot x \simeq_{o} Y \}$$

Note that here X is used with different arities, moreover in the second problem the left hand side happens to be an eta expansion (of  $f(\lambda y.y)$ ) only after we discover (at run time) that  $X = \lambda x \lambda y.y$  (i.e. that X discards the x argument). Both problems are addressed in the next two sections.

#### 6 HANDLING OF $\Diamond \eta$

 $\eta$ -reduction is an equivalence relation where a term of the form  $\lambda x.t \cdot x$  can be converted to t any time x does not occur as a free variable in t. We call t the  $\eta$ -contraction of  $\lambda x.t \cdot x$ .

Following the compilation scheme of section 5.1 the unification problem  $\mathbb P$  is compiled as follows:

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P} &= \{ \ \lambda x. X \cdot x \simeq_o f \ \} \\ \mathbb{T} &= \{ \ \lambda x. A_x \simeq_\lambda f \ \} \\ \mathbb{M} &= \{ \ X \mapsto A^1 \ \} \end{split}$$

While  $\lambda x.X.x \simeq_o f$  does admit the solution  $\rho = \{X \mapsto f\}$ , the corresponding problem in  $\mathbb T$  does not: lam  $x \in \mathbb T$  and con"f" start with different, rigid, term constructors hence  $x \in \mathbb T$  fails.

In order to guarantee proposition 2.1 we detect lambdas that can disappear by eta contraction (section 6.1) and we modify the compiled terms by putting fresh unification variables in their place: the problematic term is moved from  $\mathbb T$  to  $\mathbb L$  (section 6.2). The compilation of the problem  $\mathbb P$  above is refined to:

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P} &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \lambda x.X \cdot x \simeq_o \ f \end{array} \right\} \\ \mathbb{T} &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} A \simeq_\lambda f \end{array} \right\} \\ \mathbb{M} &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} X \mapsto B^1 \end{array} \right\} \\ \mathbb{L} &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} + A =_\eta \lambda x.B_x \end{array} \right\} \end{split}$$

As per invariant 2 the term on the left is a variable, and its right counterpart is the term in  $\Diamond \eta$ , and that term has the following property:

Invariant 4 (link- $\eta$  rhs). The rhs of any link- $\eta$  has the shape  $\lambda x.t$  and t is not a lambda.

link- $\eta$  are kept in the link store  $\mathbb L$  during execution and activated when some conditions hold on lhs or rhs. Link activation is implemented by extending the progress1 predicate (defined in section 5.2).

#### **6.1** Detection of $\Diamond \eta$

When compiling a term t we need to determine if any subterm  $s \in \mathcal{P}(t)$  that is of the form  $\lambda x.r$ , where x occurs in r, can be a  $\eta$ -expansion, i.e. if there exists a substitution  $\rho$  such that  $\rho(\lambda x.r) =_{o} s$ . The detection of lambda abstractions that can "disappear" is not as trivial as it may seems, here a few examples:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \lambda x.f\cdot (A\cdot x) & \in \Diamond \eta & \rho = \{\ A \mapsto \lambda x.x\ \} \\ \lambda x.f\cdot (A\cdot x)\cdot x & \in \Diamond \eta & \rho = \{\ A \mapsto \lambda x.a\ \} \\ \lambda x.f\cdot x\cdot (A\cdot x) & \notin \Diamond \eta \\ \lambda x.\lambda y.f\cdot (A\cdot x)\cdot (B\cdot y\cdot x) & \in \Diamond \eta & \rho = \{\ A \mapsto \lambda x.x,\ B \mapsto \lambda y.\lambda x.y\ \} \end{array}$$

The first two examples are easy, and show how a unification variable can expose or erase a variable and turn the resulting term in an  $\eta$ -expansion or not.

The third example shows that when a variable occurs outside the scope of a unification variable it cannot be erased and can hence prevent a term from being an  $\eta$ -expansion.

The last example shows the recursive nature of the check we need to implement. The term starts with a spine of two lambdas hence the whole term is in  $\Diamond \eta$  iff the inner term  $\lambda y.f\cdot (A\cdot x)\cdot (B\cdot y\cdot x)$  is in  $\Diamond \eta$  itself. If it is, it could  $\eta$ -contract to  $f\cdot (A\cdot x)$  making  $\lambda x.f\cdot (A\cdot x)$  a potential  $\eta$ -expansion.

We can now define more formally how  $\diamond \eta$  terms are detected together with its auxiliary functions:

*Definition 6.1* (may-contract-to). A term *s may-contract-to* a name *x* if there exists a substitution ρ such that  $ρs =_o x$ .

Lemma 6.2. A  $\beta$ -normal term  $s = \lambda x_1 \dots x_n$ .t may-contract-to x only if one of the following three conditions holds:

- (1) n = 0 and t = x;
- (2) t is the application of x to a list of terms l and each  $l_i$  may-contract-to  $x_i$  (e.g.  $\lambda x_1 \dots x_n x_1 x_1 \dots x_n =_0 x$ );
- (3) t is a unification variable with scope W, and for any  $v \in \{x, x_1 \dots x_n\}$ , there exists a  $w_i \in W$ , such that  $w_i$  maycontract-to v (if n = 0 this is equivalent to  $x \in W$ ).

PROOF SKETCH. Since our terms are in  $\beta$ -normal form there is only one rule that can play a role (namely  $\eta_l$ ), hence if the term s is not exactly x (case 1) it can only be an  $\eta$ -expansion of x, or a unification variable that can be assigned to x, or a combination of both. If s begins with a lambda, then the lambda can only disappear by  $\eta$  contraction. In that case the term t under the spine of binders for  $x_1 \dots x_n$  can either be x itself applied to terms that can may-contract-to these variables (case 2), or a unification variable that can be assigned to that application (case 3).

Note that this condition does not require the term to be in  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$ .

Is this reles

Definition 6.3 (occurs-rigidly). A name x occurs-rigidly in a  $\beta$ -normal term t, if  $\forall \rho, x \in \mathcal{P}(\rho t)$ 

In other words x occurs-rigidly in t if it occurs in t outside of the scope of unification variables since an instantiation is allowed to discard x from the scope of the unification variable. Note that  $\eta$ -contraction cannot make x disappear, since the variables being erased by  $\eta$ -contraction are locally bound inside t.

We can now derive the implementation for  $\Diamond \eta$  detection:

*Definition 6.4* (maybe-eta). Given a *β*-normal term  $s = \lambda x_1 \dots x_n . t$ , *maybe-eta s* holds if any of the following holds:

- (1) t is a constant or a variable applied to the arguments  $l_1 \dots l_m$  such that  $m \ge n$  and for every i such that  $1 \le i \le m n$  the term  $l_i$  may-contract-to  $x_i$ , and no  $x_i$  occurs-rigidly in  $l_1 \dots l_{m-n-1}$ ;
- (2) t is a unification variable with scope W and for each  $x_i$  there exists a  $w_i \in W$  such that  $w_i$  may-contract-to  $x_i$ .

LEMMA 6.5 ( $\Diamond \eta$  DETECTION). *If t is a β-normal term and* maybeeta *t holds, then t*  $\in \Diamond \eta$ .

Proof sketch. Follows from definition 6.3 and lemma 6.2 □

Remark that the converse of lemma 6.5 does not hold: there exists a term t satisfying the criteria (1) of definition 6.4 that is not in  $\Diamond \eta$ , i.e. there exists no substitution  $\rho$  such that  $\rho t$  is an  $\eta$ -expansion. A simple counter example is  $\lambda x.f\cdot (A\cdot x)\cdot (A\cdot x)$  since x does not occurrigidly in the first argument of f, and the second argument of f may-contract-to x. In other words  $A\cdot x$  may either use or discard x, but our analysis does not take into account that the same term cannot have two contrasting behaviors.

As we will see in the rest of this section this is not a problem since it does not break proposition 2.1 nor proposition 2.2.

#### 6.2 Compilation

The following rule is inserted just before rule  $(c_{\lambda})$  from the code in section 5.1.

```
comp (flam F) (uva A Scope) M1 M2 L1 L3 S1 S3 :-
maybe-eta (flam F) [], !,
   alloc S1 A S2,
   comp-lam F F1 M1 M2 L1 L2 S2 S3,
   get-scope (lam F1) Scope,
   L3 = [val (link-eta (uva A Scope) (lam F1)) | L2].
```

The rule triggers when the input term flam F is in  $\Diamond \eta$ . It compiles it to lam F1 but puts the fresh variable A in its place. The variable sees all the names free in lam F1. The critical part of this rule is the creation of the link- $\eta$ , which relates the variable A with lam F1. This link clearly validates invariant 2.

COROLLARY 6.6. The rhs of any link- $\eta$  has exactly one lambda abstraction, hence the rule above respects invariant 4.

PROOF SKETCH. By contradiction, suppose that the rule above triggered and that the rhs of the link is  $\lambda x.\lambda y.t_{xy}$ . If  $maybe-eta\,\lambda y.t_{xy}$  holds the recursive call to comp (made by comp-lam) must have put a fresh variable in its place, so this case is impossible. Otherwise, if  $maybe-eta\,\lambda y.t_{xy}$  does not hold, also  $maybe-eta\,\lambda x.\lambda y.t_{xy}$  does not hold, contradicting the assumption that the rule triggered.  $\Box$ 

TODO: W preservation proposition 2.8

## 6.3 Progress

link- $\eta$  are meant to delay the unification of "problematic" terms until we know for sure if the head lambda has to be  $\eta$ -contracted or not.

Definition 6.7 (progress-η-left). A link  $\Gamma \vdash X =_{\eta} T$  is removed from  $\mathbb L$  when X becomes rigid. There are two cases:

- (1) if X = a or X = y or  $X = f \cdot a_1 \dots a_n$  we unify the  $\eta$ -expansion of the X with T, that is we run  $\lambda x. X \cdot x \simeq_{\lambda} T$  (under the context  $\Gamma$ )
- (2) if  $X = \lambda x.t$  we run  $X \simeq_{\lambda} T$ .

Definition 6.8 (progress- $\eta$ -right). A link  $\Gamma \vdash X =_{\eta} T$  is removed from  $\mathbb{L}$  when *maybe-eta* T does not hold (anymore) and by  $\eta$ -contracting T to T' (if possible, else T' = T) and executing  $X \simeq_{\lambda} T'$  (under the context Γ).

There is a third case in which a link is removed from  $\mathbb{L}$ , namely when the lhs is assigned to another variable that is the lhs of another link- $\eta$ .

Definition 6.9 (progress- $\eta$ -deduplicate). A link  $\Gamma \vdash X_{\vec{s}} =_{\eta} T$  is removed from  $\mathbb{L}$  when another link  $\Delta \vdash X_{\vec{r}} =_{\eta} T'$  is in  $\mathbb{L}$ . By invariant 1 the length of  $\vec{s}$  and  $\vec{r}$  is the same hence we can move the term T' from  $\Delta$  to  $\Gamma$  by renaming its bound variables, i.e.  $T'' = T'[\vec{r}/\vec{s}]$ . We then run  $T \simeq_{\lambda} T''$  (under the context  $\Gamma$ ).

TODO: prove proposition 2.8: we never commit a  $\Diamond \eta$  term in  $\sigma$  since we run  $\simeq_{\lambda}$  only when we know that the terms are no more  $\Diamond \eta$ , and when lhs is no more a variable or rhs is no more a  $\Diamond \eta$ , the link is removed from  $\mathbb{L}$ .

LEMMA 6.10. progress terminates.

Proof sketch. Rules definitions 6.7 and 6.8 and definition 6.9 remove one link from  $\mathbb{L}$ , hence they cannot be applied indefinitely. Moreover each rule only relies on terminating operations such as  $\simeq_{\lambda}$ ,  $\eta$ -contraction,  $\eta$ -expansion, relocation (a recursive copy of a finite term).

Example of progress-η-left. The example at the beginning of section 6, once  $\sigma = \{A \mapsto f\}$ , triggers this rule since the link becomes  $\vdash f =_{\eta} \lambda x.B_X$  and the lhs is a constant. In turn the rule runs  $\lambda x.f \cdot x \simeq_{\lambda} \lambda x.B_X$ , resulting in  $\sigma = \{A \mapsto f; B_X \mapsto f\}$ . Decompilation the generates  $\rho = \{X \mapsto f\}$ , since X is mapped to B and f is the  $\eta$ -contracted version of  $\lambda x.f \cdot x$ .

*Example of* progress- $\eta$ -right. A second example, showing the activation of a link when the rhs is no more a  $\Diamond \eta$ , is given in section 7, since we need to work with variables used with different arities. This example represent the run of the unification problems proposed at section 5.5

*Example of* progress- $\eta$ -deduplicate. A very basic example of link- $\eta$  deduplication, is given below:

```
\begin{split} \mathbb{P} &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \lambda x.(X\cdot x) \simeq_o \lambda x.(Y\cdot x) \end{array} \right\} \\ \mathbb{T} &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} A \simeq_\lambda C \\ \end{array} \right\} \\ \mathbb{M} &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} X \mapsto B^1 \quad Y \mapsto D^1 \end{array} \right\} \\ \mathbb{L} &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} + A =_\eta \lambda x.B_X \\ \end{array} \right. + C =_\eta \lambda x.D_X \end{array} \right\} \end{split}
```

998
doowe haweo theoo! name cases in the code? there wasora notes about

T' hav-

ingo11a

rigid2

head

TO<sub>0</sub>BE

FIXED

I dooms't

get049

this 50

TODO

TODO:

nonos

so 1098e

serva

spie

mento)

The result of  $A \simeq_{\lambda} C$  is that the two link- $\eta$  share the same lhs. By unifying the two rhs we get  $\sigma = \{A \mapsto C, B \mapsto D \}$ . In turn, given the map  $\mathbb{M}$ , this second assignment is decompiled to  $\rho = \{X \mapsto Y\}$  as expected.

TODO: we can have  $\lambda x.F_x$  in the substitution if we know that F does not reduce to Tx where x is not free in T.

#### 7 ENFORCING INVARIANT 1

We report here the problem given in section 5.5 where X is used with two different arities and the output of the compilation does not respect invariant 3 (merging the two mappings for s would break invariant 1). In this section we explain how to replace the duplicate mapping with some link- $\eta$  in order to restore the invariants.

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P} &= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \lambda x.\lambda y.(X\cdot y\cdot x) \simeq_{o} \lambda x.\lambda y.x & \lambda x.(f\cdot (X\cdot x)\cdot x) \simeq_{o} Y \right. \\ \mathbb{T} &= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} A \simeq_{\lambda} \lambda x.\lambda y.x & D \simeq_{\lambda} F \right. \\ \mathbb{M} &= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} X \mapsto E^{1} & Y \mapsto F^{0} & X \mapsto C^{2} \right. \\ \mathbb{L} &= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} + D =_{\eta} \lambda x.(f\cdot E_{X}\cdot x) & + A =_{\eta} \lambda x.B_{X} \\ x \vdash B_{x} =_{\eta} \lambda y.C_{yx} \end{array} \right. \end{split}$$

We see that the maybe-eta as identified  $\lambda xy.X\cdot y\cdot x$  and  $\lambda x.f\cdot (X\cdot x)\cdot x$  and the compiler has replaced them with A and D respectively. However, the mapping  $\mathbb M$  breaks invariant 3: the  $\mathcal F_0$  variable X is mapped to two different  $\mathcal H_0$  variables. To address this problem we adjust the compiler's output with a map-deduplication procedure.

Definition 7.1 (align-arity). Given two mappings  $m_1: X \mapsto A^m$  and  $m_2: X \mapsto C^n$  where m < n and d = n - m, align-arity  $m_1 m_2$  generates the following d links, one for each i such that  $0 \le i < d$ ,

$$x_0 \cdots x_{m+i} \vdash B^i_{x_0 \cdots x_{m+i}} =_{\eta} \lambda x_{m+i+1}.B^{i+1}_{x_0 \cdots x_{m+i+1}}$$

where  $B^i$  is a fresh variable of arity m+i, and  $B^0=A$  as well as  $B^d=C$ .

The intuition is that we  $\eta$ -expand the occurrence of the variable with lower arity to match the higher arity. Since each link- $\eta$  can add exactly one lambda, we need as many links as the difference between the two arities.

Definition 7.2 (map-deduplication). For all mappings  $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{M}$  such that  $m_1 : X \mapsto A^m$  and  $m_2 : X \mapsto C^n$  and m < n we remove  $m_1$  from  $\mathbb{M}$  and add to  $\mathbb{L}$  the result of align-arity  $m_1$   $m_2$ .

If we look back the example give at the beginning of this section, we can deduplicate  $X \mapsto E^1, X \mapsto C^2$  by removing the first mapping and adding the auxiliary link- $\eta$ :  $x \vdash E_x =_{\eta} \lambda y.C_{xy}$ . After deduplication the compiler output is as follows:

$$\mathbb{P} = \{ \lambda x.\lambda y.(X \cdot y.x) \simeq_{o} \lambda x.\lambda y.x \quad \lambda x.(f \cdot (X \cdot x).x) \simeq_{o} Y \}$$

$$\mathbb{T} = \{ \qquad A \simeq_{\lambda} \lambda x.\lambda y.x \quad D \simeq_{\lambda} F \}$$

$$\mathbb{M} = \{ Y \mapsto F^{0} \quad X \mapsto C^{2} \}$$

$$\mathbb{L} = \{ x \vdash E_{x} =_{\eta} \lambda y.C_{xy} \quad \vdash D =_{\eta} \lambda x.(f \cdot E_{x} \cdot x) \}$$

$$\vdash A =_{\eta} \lambda x.B_{x} \quad x \vdash B_{x} =_{\eta} \lambda y.C_{yx} \}$$

TODO: dire che preserviamo l'invariante che tutte le variable sono fully-applied

## 8 HANDLING OF $\overline{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}}$

Until now, we have only dealt we unification of terms in  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$ . How-l'esaguzione er, we want the unification relation to be more robust so that (in it can work with terms in  $\overline{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}}$ . Unification in  $\overline{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}}$  is in general a comp

non-decidable procedure, e.g.  $X \cdot a \simeq_0 a$  is a unification problem in  $\overline{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}}$ , since we have Xa has the shape  $Xt_1 \dots t_n$  where X is a unification variable and  $t_1 \dots t_n$  is not a list of distinct names (in our example, a is a constant, hence not a name). We also point out that this unification problem admits two different substitutions:  $\rho_1 = \{X \mapsto \lambda x.x\}$  and  $\rho_2 = \{X \mapsto \lambda_-a\}$ .

It is the case, however, given a list of unification n problems  $\mathbb{P}$ , if  $\mathbb{P}_i$  is in  $\overline{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}}$ , it is possible that the resolution of the problems  $\bigwedge_{j=0}^{i-1} \mathbb{P}_j$  gives a partial substitution  $\rho$ , such that  $\rho \mathbb{P}_i$  falls again in f.

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P} &= \{ \ X \simeq_o \ \lambda x. Y \quad (X \cdot a) \simeq_o \ a \ \} \\ \mathbb{T} &= \{ \ A \simeq_\lambda \ \lambda x. B \quad (A \cdot a) \simeq_\lambda \ a \ \} \\ \mathbb{M} &= \{ \ Y \mapsto B^0 \quad X \mapsto A^0 \ \} \end{split}$$

In the example above, we see that  $\mathbb{P}_1$  instantiates X so that  $\mathbb{P}_2$ , can be solved in  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$ . On the other hand, we see that,  $\simeq_{\lambda}$  can't solve the compiled problems  $\mathbb{T}$ . In fact, the resolution of  $\mathbb{T}_1$  gives the substitution  $\sigma = \{A \mapsto \lambda x.B\}$ , but the dereferencing of  $\mathbb{T}_2$  gives the non-unifable problem  $(\lambda x.B)$   $a \simeq_{\lambda} a$ .

In order to encompass this unification, term compilation should capture the terms t in  $\overline{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}}$  and replace them with fresh variables v. As per  $\overline{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}}$ , the variables v and the terms t are linked through a link- $\beta$ .

 $link-\beta$  guarantees invariant 2 and the term on the rhs has the following property:

INVARIANT 5 (link- $\beta$  rhs). The rhs of any link- $\beta$  has the shape  $X t_1 \ldots t_n$  such that X is a flexible variable and  $t_1 \ldots t_n$  is not in  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$ .

link- $\beta$  are put in  $\mathbb{L}$  and activated when rhs falls in  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$ .

COROLLARY 8.1. If the lhs of a link- $\beta$  is instantiated to a rigid term and its rhs counterpart is still in  $\overline{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}}$ , the current unification problem is not in  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$  and the unification fails.

PROOF SKETCH. Given  $X \cdot t_1 \dots t_n \simeq_{\lambda} t$  where t is a rigid term and  $t_1 \dots t_n$  is not in  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$ . By construction,  $X \cdot t_1 \dots t_n$  is replaced with a variable V, and the 1 ink- $\beta \Gamma \vdash V =_{\beta} X \cdot t_1 \dots t_n$  is created. The unification instantiates V to t, making the lhs of the link a rigid term, while rhs is still in  $\overline{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}}$ . The original problem is in fact outside  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$  and unification fails.

#### 8.1 Compilation

Detection of  $\overline{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}}$  is quite simple to implement in the compiler, since it is sufficient to capture applications with flexible head and argument that are not in  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$ .

```
comp (fapp [fuva A|Ag]) (uva B Scope) M1 M3 L1 L3 S1 S4 :- !,
  pattern-fragment-prefix Ag Pf Extra,
  len Pf Arity,
  alloc S1 B S2,
  m-alloc (fv A) (hv C (arity Arity)) M1 M2 S2 S3,
  fold6 comp Pf Pf1 M2 M2 L1 L1 S3 S3,
  fold6 comp Extra Extra1 M2 M3 L1 L2 S3 S4,
  Beta = app [uva C Pf1 | Extra1],
  get-scope Beta Scope,
  L3 = [val (link-beta (uva B Scope) Beta) | L2].
```

The list Ag is split into the list Pf and Extra such that append Pf Extra Ag15 and Pf is the largest prefix of Ag such that Pf is in  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$ . The rhs of

the link- $\beta$  is the application of a fresh variable C having in scope all the free variables appearing in the compiled version of Pf and Extra. The variable B, returned has the compiled term, is a fresh variable having in scope all the free variables occurring in Pf1.

Invariant 6. The rhs of a link- $\beta$  has the shape  $N_S$ -L (equivalent to app[uva N S|L]).

COROLLARY 8.2. Let app[uva N S|L] be the rhs of a link- $\beta$ , then L is not empty.

Proof sketch. By contradiction, if L is a empty list, then the original  $\mathcal{F}_0$  term has the shape fapp[fuva M | Ag] where Ag is a list of distinct names (i.e. there list Extra is empty). This case is however captured by rule  $(c_\lambda)$  (from section 5.1) and no link- $\beta$  is produced: a contradiction.

COROLLARY 8.3. Let  $N_S$  L be the rhs of a link- $\beta$ , then the first argument t in  $\bot$  either appears in S or it is not a name.

PROOF SKETCH. By construction, the lists S and L are built by splitting the list Ag from the original term fapp [fuva  $A \mid Ag$ ]. S is the longest prefix of the compiled terms in Ag which is in  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$ . Therefore, by definition of  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$ , either the first element of L is a name appearing in S or it a term with a constructor of L m as functor.

TODO: Dire che maybe eta fa il detect anche su termini che non sono il llambda, oppure dirlo in section of maybeeta + dare un esempio?

## 8.2 Progress

The activation of a link- $\beta$  is performed when its rhs falls under  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$  under a given substitution.

Definition 8.4 (progress-beta-llambda). A link  $\Gamma \vdash X =_{\beta} N_{S^-}[t|L]$  is removed from  $\mathbb{L}$ , if it exists a substitution  $\gamma$ , such that the first term t is a name no occurring in S. In this case, let M a fresh variable, then the new link  $\Gamma$ ,  $x \vdash X =_{\beta} M_{S,n} \cdot L$  is added to  $\mathbb{L}$  and if L = [], then X is unified with  $M_{S,n}$ , otherwise, the  $\Gamma \vdash N =_{\eta} \lambda x.M_X$  is added to  $\mathbb{L}$ .

Definition 8.5 (progress-beta-rigid-head). A link  $\Gamma \vdash X =_{\beta} N_S L$  is removed from  $\mathbb L$  if  $N_S$  is instantiated to a term t and the  $\beta$ -reduced term t' obtained from the application of t to L is in  $\mathcal L_{\lambda}$ . Moreover, X is unified to t.

LEMMA 8.6. progress terminates

Proof sketch. By definition 8.5, the link- $\beta$  is removed from  $\mathbb{L}$ , hence they cannot be applied indefinitely. If the link- $\beta$  is progressed due to progress-beta-llambda, then two links are added, the first

Corollary 8.7. Given a link- $\beta$ , the scope of its rhs variables in  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$ .

PROOF SKETCH. By construction, the rhs of link- $\beta$  is of the form  $N_S$ -L and S is in  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$ . If a link- $\beta$  is triggered by progress-beta-rigid-head, then, by definition 8.5 that link is removed by  $\mathbb{L}$ , and the property is satisfied. If the link- $\eta$  is activated by progress-beta-llambda, then, by definition 8.4, L = [n|L'] and the scope 'S, x', is in f.

*Example of progress-beta-llambda.* A simple example of link- $\beta$  progression due to progress-beta-llambdais given below:

```
\begin{split} \mathbb{P} &= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} X \simeq_o \lambda x.x & \lambda x.(Y \cdot (X \cdot x)) \simeq_o a \end{array} \right\} \\ \mathbb{T} &= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} A \simeq_\lambda \lambda x.x & B \simeq_\lambda a \end{array} \right\} \\ \mathbb{M} &= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} Y \mapsto D^0 & X \mapsto A^0 \end{array} \right\} \\ \mathbb{L} &= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \vdash A =_\eta \lambda x.E_X & \vdash B =_\eta \lambda x.C_X \\ x \vdash C_X =_\beta (D \cdot E_X) \end{array} \right\} \end{split}
```

The link- $\beta$  in  $\mathbb L$  has a variable D applied to the variable  $E_X$ . The first unification problem,  $\mathbb T_1$  produces the substitution  $\sigma = \{A \mapsto \lambda x.x\}$ . This instantiation triggers  $\mathbb L_1$  which before its removal, assignes E to  $\lambda x.x$ . Now, the link- $\beta$  is  $x \vdash C_X =_{\beta} (D \cdot x)$ . This link is replaced with the couple of links:  $x \vdash C_X =_{\beta} F_X$ ,  $\vdash E =_{\eta} \lambda x.D_X$ .  $\mathbb T_2$  assignes B which activates  $\mathbb L_2$ , and then all the remaining links are solved. The final  $\mathcal H_0$  substitution is  $\sigma = \{A = \lambda x.x, B = a, C_X = a, D = \lambda\}$  and is decompiled into  $\rho = \{X \mapsto \lambda x.x, Y \mapsto a\}$ .

*Example of progress-beta-rigid-head.* We can take the example provided in section 8. The problem is compiled into:

```
\mathbb{P} = \{ X \simeq_o \lambda x.Y \quad (X \cdot a) \simeq_o a \}
\mathbb{T} = \{ A \simeq_\lambda \lambda x.B \quad C \simeq_\lambda a \}
\mathbb{M} = \{ Y \mapsto B^0 \quad X \mapsto A^0 \}
\mathbb{L} = \{ \vdash C =_\beta (A \cdot a) \}
```

The first unification,  $\mathbb{T}_1$ , gives the substitution  $\sigma = \{A \mapsto \lambda x.B\}$ . The link- $\beta$ ,  $\mathbb{L}_1$ , becomes  $\vdash C =_{\beta} ((\lambda x.B) \cdot a)$  whose rhs can be  $\beta$ -reduced to B. B is in  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$  and is unified with C. The resolution of  $\mathbb{T}_2$  gives the final substitution  $\sigma = \{A \mapsto \lambda x.B, B \mapsto C, C \mapsto a\}$  which is decompiled into  $\rho = \{X \mapsto \lambda x.a, Y \mapsto a\}$ .

## 8.3 Tricky examples

```
triple ok (@lam x\ @app[@f, @app[@X, x]]) @Y,
triple ok @X (@lam x\ x),
triple ok @Y @f

% @okl 22 [
% triple ok (@lam x\ @lam y\ @app[@Y, y, x]) @X,
% triple ok (@lam x\ @f) @X,
% ].
```

#### 9 FIRST ORDER APPROXIMATION

**TODO:** Coq can solve this: f 1 2 = X 2, by setting X to f 1 **TODO:** We can re-use part of the algo for  $\beta$  given before

#### 10 UNIF ENCODING IN REAL LIFE

TODO: Il ML presentato qui è esattamente elpi TODO: Il OL presentato qui è esattamente coq TODO: Come implementatiamo tutto ciò nel solver

#### 11 RESULTS: STDPP AND TLC

TODO: How may rule are we solving?
TODO: Can we do some perf test

#### 12 CONCLUSION

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Arthur Charguéraud. "The Optimal Fixed Point Combinator". In: *Interactive Theorem Proving*. Ed. by Matt Kaufmann and Lawrence C. Paulson. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2010, pp. 195–210. ISBN: 978-3-642-14052-5.
- [2] Cvetan Dunchev, Claudio Sacerdoti Coen, and Enrico Tassi. "Implementing HOL in an Higher Order Logic Programming Language". In: *Proceedings of the Eleventh Workshop on Logical Frameworks and Meta-Languages: Theory and Practice*. LFMTP '16. Porto, Portugal: Association for Computing Machinery, 2016. ISBN: 9781450347778. DOI: 10.1145/2966268. 2966272. URL: https://doi.org/10.1145/2966268.2966272.
- [3] Cvetan Dunchev et al. "ELPI: Fast, Embeddable, λProlog Interpreter". In: Logic for Programming, Artificial Intelligence, and Reasoning 20th International Conference, LPAR-20 2015, Suva, Fiji, November 24-28, 2015, Proceedings. Ed. by Martin Davis et al. Vol. 9450. 2015, pp. 460–468. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-662-48899-7\\_32. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-48899-7%5C 32.
- [4] Amy Felty. "Encoding the Calculus of Constructions in a Higher-Order Logic". In: ed. by M. Vardi. IEEE, June 1993, pp. 233–244. DOI: 10.1109/LICS.1993.287584.
- [5] Amy Felty and Dale Miller. "Specifying theorem provers in a higher-order logic programming language". In: Ninth International Conference on Automated Deduction. Ed. by Ewing Lusk and Ross Overbeck. 310. Argonne, IL: Springer, May 1988, pp. 61–80. DOI: 10.1007/BFb0012823.
- [6] Davide Fissore and Enrico Tassi. "A new Type-Class solver for Coq in Elpi". In: *The Coq Workshop 2023*. Bialystok, Poland, July 2023. URL: https://inria.hal.science/hal-04467855.
- [7] Benjamin Grégoire, Jean-Christophe Léchenet, and Enrico Tassi. "Practical and sound equality tests, automatically Deriving eqType instances for Jasmin's data types with Coq-Elpi". In: CPP '23: 12th ACM SIGPLAN International Conference on Certified Programs and Proofs. CPP 2023: Proceedings of the 12th ACM SIGPLAN International Conference on Certified Programs and Proofs. Boston MA USA, France: ACM, Jan. 2023, pp. 167–181. DOI: 10.1145/3573105.3575683. URL: https://inria.hal.science/hal-03800154.
- [8] RALF JUNG et al. "Iris from the ground up: A modular foundation for higher-order concurrent separation logic". In: Journal of Functional Programming 28 (2018), e20. DOI: 10.1017/S0956796818000151.
- [9] Dale Miller. "Unification under a mixed prefix". In: Journal of Symbolic Computation 14.4 (1992), pp. 321–358. DOI: 10. 1016/0747-7171(92)90011-R.
- [10] Dale Miller and Gopalan Nadathur. Programming with Higher-Order Logic. Cambridge University Press, 2012. DOI: 10.1017/ CBO9781139021326.
- [11] Tobias Nipkow, Lawrence C. Paulson, and Markus Wenzel. Isabelle/HOL A Proof Assistant for Higher-Order Logic. Vol. 2283. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer, 2002. ISBN: 3-540-43376-7.
- [12] Lawrence C. Paulson. "Set theory for verification. I: from foundations to functions". In: J. Autom. Reason. 11.3 (Dec.

- 1993), pp. 353–389. ISSN: 0168-7433. DOI: 10.1007/BF00881873. URL: https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00881873.
- [13] F. Pfening. "Elf: a language for logic definition and verified metaprogramming". In: Proceedings of the Fourth Annual Symposium on Logic in Computer Science. Pacific Grove, California, USA: IEEE Press, 1989, pp. 313–322. ISBN: 0818619546.
- [14] Frank Pfenning and Carsten Schürmann. "System Description: Twelf A Meta-Logical Framework for Deductive Systems". In: *Automated Deduction CADE-16*. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1999, pp. 202–206. ISBN: 978-3-540-48660-2.
- [15] Colin Rothgang, Florian Rabe, and Christoph Benzmüller. "Theorem Proving in Dependently-Typed Higher-Order Logic". In: Automated Deduction – CADE 29. Ed. by Brigitte Pientka and Cesare Tinelli. Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland, 2023, pp. 438–455. ISBN: 978-3-031-38499-8.
- [16] Enrico Tassi. "Deriving proved equality tests in Coq-elpi: Stronger induction principles for containers in Coq". In: *ITP 2019 10th International Conference on Interactive Theorem Proving*. Portland, United States, Sept. 2019. DOI: 10.4230/LIPICS.CVIT.2016.23. URL: https://inria.hal.science/hal-01897468.
- [17] Enrico Tassi. "Elpi: an extension language for Coq (Metaprogramming Coq in the Elpi λProlog dialect)". In: The Fourth International Workshop on Coq for Programming Languages. Los Angeles (CA), United States, Jan. 2018. URL: https://inria.hal.science/hal-01637063.
- [18] The Coq Development Team. *The Coq Reference Manual Release 8.18.0.* https://coq.inria.fr/doc/V8.18.0/refman. 2023.
- [19] P. Wadler and S. Blott. "How to Make Ad-Hoc Polymorphism Less Ad Hoc". In: *Proceedings of the 16th ACM SIGPLAN-SIGACT Symposium on Principles of Programming Languages.* POPL '89. Austin, Texas, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, 1989, pp. 60–76. ISBN: 0897912942. DOI: 10.1145/75277.75283. URL: https://doi.org/10.1145/75277.75283.
- [20] Makarius Wenzel, Lawrence C. Paulson, and Tobias Nipkow. "The Isabelle Framework". In: *Theorem Proving in Higher Order Logics*. Ed. by Otmane Ait Mohamed, César Muñoz, and Sofiène Tahar. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2008, pp. 33–38. ISBN: 978-3-540-71067-7.

1394

1395

1396 1397

1398

1399

1400

1401

1402

1404

1405

1406

1407

1408

1409

1410

1411

1412

1413

1414

1415

1417

1418

1419

1420

1421

1422

1423

1424

1425

1426

1427

1431

1432

1433

1434

1435

1436

1437

1438

1439

1440

1441

1442 1443

1444

1445

1446

1447

1448

1449

1450

# **APPENDIX** This appendix contains the entire code described in this paper. The code can also be accessed at the URL: https://github.com/FissoreD/ Note that (a infix b) c d de-sugars to (infix) a b c d. Explain builtin name (can be implemented by loading name after each pi) 13 THE MEMORY kind addr type. type addr nat -> addr. typeabbrev (mem A) (list (option A)). type set? addr -> mem A -> A -> o.

```
unset? Addr Mem :- not (set? Addr Mem _).
type assign-aux nat -> mem A -> A -> mem A -> o.
assign-aux z (none :: L) Y (some Y :: L).
```

set? (addr A) Mem Val :- get A Mem Val.

type unset? addr -> mem A -> o.

type assign addr -> mem A -> A -> mem A -> o. assign (addr A) Mem1 Val Mem2 :- assign-aux A Mem1 Val Mem2.

assign-aux (s N) (X :: L) Y (X :: L1) :- assign-aux N L Y L1.

```
get z (some Y :: _) Y.
get (s N) (_ :: L) X :- get N L X.
```

type alloc-aux nat -> mem A -> mem A -> o.

type get nat -> mem A -> A -> o.

alloc-aux z [] [none] :- !. alloc-aux z L L. alloc-aux (s N) [] [none | M] :- alloc-aux N [] M. alloc-aux (s N) [X | L] [X | M] :- alloc-aux N L M.

type alloc addr -> mem A -> mem A -> o. alloc (addr A as Ad) Mem1 Mem2 :- unset? Ad Mem1, alloc-aux A Mem1 Mem2.

type new-aux mem A -> nat -> mem A -> o. new-aux [] z [none]. new-aux [A | As] (s N) [A | Bs] :- new-aux As N Bs.

type new mem A  $\rightarrow$  addr  $\rightarrow$  mem A  $\rightarrow$  o. new Mem1 (addr Ad) Mem2 :- new-aux Mem1 Ad Mem2.

## 14 THE OBJECT LANGUAGE

```
kind fm type.
type fapp list fm -> fm.
type flam (fm -> fm) -> fm.
type fcon string -> fm.
type fuva addr -> fm.
typeabbrev fsubst (mem fm).
```

```
1451
type fder fsubst -> fm -> o.
                                                                       1452
fder _ (fcon C) (fcon C).
                                                                       1453
fder S (fapp A) (fapp B) :- map (fder S) A B.
fder S (flam F) (flam G) :-
                                                                       1455
  pi x \land fder S x x \Rightarrow fder S (F x) (G x).
                                                                       1456
fder S (fuva N) R :- set? N S T, fder S T R.
                                                                       1457
fder S (fuva N) (fuva N) :- unset? N S.
                                                                       1458
                                                                       1459
type fderef fsubst -> fm -> o.
                                                            (\rho s)
                                                                       1460
fderef S T T2: - fder S T T1, napp T1 T2.
                                                                       1463
type (=_o) fm -> fm -> o.
                                                            (=_o)
                                                                       1464
fcon X =_{o} fcon X.
                                                                       1465
fapp A =_o fapp B := forall2 (=_o) A B.
                                                                       1466
flam F =_o flam G := pi x \setminus x =_o x \Rightarrow F x =_o G x.
                                                                       1467
fuva N =_{0} fuva N.
                                                                       1468
flam F =_{\alpha} T :=
                                                                       1469
                                                            (\eta_l)
  pi x \land beta T [x] (T' x), x =_o x \Rightarrow F x =_o T' x.
                                                                       1470
T =_{o} flam F :=
                                                            (\eta_r)
                                                                       1471
  pi x \ beta T [x] (T' x), x =_o x \Rightarrow T' x =_o F x.
                                                                       1472
fapp [flam X | L] = _{o} T :- beta (flam X) L R, R = _{o} T. (\beta_{l})
                                                                       1473
T =_{o} fapp [flam X | L] := beta (flam X) L R, <math>T =_{o} R. (\beta_r)
                                                                       1474
type extend-subst fm -> fsubst -> fsubst -> o.
                                                                       1476
extend-subst (fuva N) S S' :- mem.alloc N S S'.
                                                                       1477
extend-subst (flam F) S S' :-
                                                                       1478
  pi x \ (pi S\extend-subst x S S) => extend-subst (F x) S S'.
extend-subst (fcon _) S S.
extend-subst (fapp L) S S1 :- fold extend-subst L S S1.
type beta fm -> list fm -> fm -> o.
                                                                       1483
beta A [] A.
                                                                       1484
beta (flam Bo) [H | L] R :- napp (Bo H) F, beta F L R.
                                                                       1485
beta (fapp A) L (fapp X) :- append A L X.
                                                                       1486
beta (fuva N) L (fapp [fuva N | L]).
                                                                       1487
beta (fcon H) L (fapp [fcon H | L]).
beta N L (fapp [N | L]) :- name N.
                                                                       1490
type napp fm -> fm -> o.
                                                                       1491
napp (fcon C) (fcon C).
                                                                       1492
napp (fuva A) (fuva A).
                                                                       1493
napp (flam F) (flam G) :- pi \times pi \times (G \times).
                                                                       1494
napp (fapp [fapp L1 |L2]) T :- !,
                                                                       1495
  append L1 L2 L3, napp (fapp L3) T.
                                                                       1496
napp (fapp L) (fapp L1) :- map napp L L1.
                                                                       1497
napp N N :- name N.
                                                                       1498
                                                                       1499
type mk-app fm \rightarrow list <math>fm \rightarrow fm \rightarrow o.
mk-app T L S :- beta T L S.
type eta-contract fm -> fm -> o.
                                                                       1503
eta-contract (fcon X) (fcon X).
                                                                       1504
eta-contract (fapp L) (fapp L1) :- map eta-contract L L1.
                                                                       1505
eta-contract (flam F) T := eta-contract-aux [] (flam F) T.
                                                                       1506
eta-contract (flam F) (flam F1) :-
                                                                       1507
```

```
pi x \le eta-contract x x \Rightarrow eta-contract (F x) (F1 x).
1509
                                                                                                                                                        1567
1510
         eta-contract (fuva X) (fuva X).
                                                                                   type prune-same-variable addr -> list tm -> list tm ->
                                                                                                                                                        1568
1511
         eta-contract X X :- name X.
                                                                                                               list tm -> assignment -> o.
                                                                                                                                                        1569
                                                                                   prune-same-variable N [] [] ACC (val (uva N Args)) :-
1513
         type eta-contract-aux list fm -> fm -> o.
                                                                                     rev ACC Args.
                                                                                                                                                        1571
         eta-contract-aux L (flam F) T :-
                                                                                   prune-same-variable N [XIXS] [XIYS] ACC (abs F) :-
                                                                                                                                                        1572
1514
           pi x\ eta-contract-aux [x|L] (F x) T. % also checks H Prefix does poitx3eprune-same-variable N XS YS [x|ACC] (F x).
                                                                                                                                                        1573
1515
         eta-contract-aux L (fapp [H|Args]) T :-
                                                                                   prune-same-variable N [_|XS] [_|YS] ACC (abs F) :-
                                                                                                                                                        1574
1516
1517
           rev L LRev, append Prefix LRev Args,
                                                                                     pi x\ prune-same-variable N XS YS ACC (F x).
                                                                                                                                                        1575
1518
            if (Prefix = []) (T = H) (T = fapp [H|Prefix]).
                                                                                                                                                        1576
                                                                                   type permute list nat -> list tm -> list tm -> o.
                                                                                                                                                        1577
                                                                                   permute [] _ [].
       15 THE META LANGUAGE
                                                                                   permute [P|PS] Args [T|TS] :-
1521
                                                                                                                                                        1579
         kind inctx type -> type.
                                                                     ( ⋅ ⊦ ⋅)
                                                                                     nth P Args T,
1522
                                                                                                                                                        1580
1523
         type abs (tm -> inctx A) -> inctx A.
                                                                                     permute PS Args TS.
                                                                                                                                                        1581
1524
         type val A -> inctx A.
                                                                                                                                                        1582
         typeabbrev assignment (inctx tm).
                                                                                   type build-perm-assign addr -> list tm -> list bool ->
1525
                                                                                                                                                        1583
1526
         typeabbrev subst (mem assignment).
                                                                                                        list nat -> assignment -> o.
1527
                                                                                   build-perm-assign N ArgsR [] Perm (val (uva N PermutedArgs)) :-1585
         kind tm type.
                                                                                     rev ArgsR Args, permute Perm Args PermutedArgs.
1528
                                                                                                                                                        1586
         type app list tm -> tm.
                                                                                   build-perm-assign N Acc [tt|L] Perm (abs T) :-
1529
                                                                                                                                                        1587
1530
          type lam (tm -> tm) -> tm.
                                                                                     pi x\ build-perm-assign N [x|Acc] L Perm (T x).
                                                                                                                                                        1588
1531
          type con string -> tm.
                                                                                   build-perm-assign N Acc [ff|L] Perm (abs T) :-
                                                                                                                                                        1589
         type uva addr -> list tm -> tm.
1532
                                                                                     pi x\ build-perm-assign N Acc L Perm (T x).
         type (\simeq_{\lambda}) tm -> tm -> subst -> subst -> o.
                                                                                   type keep list A -> A -> bool -> o.
1534
                                                                                  keep L A tt :- mem L A, !.
1535
         (con C \simeq_{\lambda} con C) S S.
                                                                                                                                                        1593
1536
          (app L1 \simeq_{\lambda} app L2) S S1 :- fold2 (\simeq_{\lambda}) L1 L2 S S1.
                                                                                  keep _ _ ff.
                                                                                                                                                        1594
1537
          (lam F1 \simeq_{\lambda} lam F2) S S1 :-
                                                                                                                                                        1595
           pi x\ (pi S\ (x \simeq_{\lambda} x) S S) => (F1 x \simeq_{\lambda} F2 x) S S1.
                                                                                   type prune-diff-variables addr -> list tm -> list tm ->
1538
                                                                                                                                                        1596
                                                                                                               assignment -> assignment -> o.
1539
          (uva N Args \simeq_{\lambda} T) S S1 :-
           set? N S F,!, move F Args T1, (T1 \simeq_{\lambda} T) S S1.
                                                                                  prune-diff-variables N Args1 Args2 Ass1 Ass2 :-
1540
         (T \simeq_{\lambda} uva \ N \ Args) \ S \ S1 :-
                                                                                                                                                        1599
1541
                                                                                     map (keep Args2) Args1 Bits1,
           set? N S F,!, move F Args T1, (T \simeq_{\lambda} T1) S S1.
                                                                                     map (keep Args1) Args2 Bits2,
                                                                                                                                                        1600
1542
1543
          (uva M A1 \simeq_{\lambda} uva N A2) S1 S2 :- !,
                                                                                     filter Args1 (mem Args2) ToKeep1,
                                                                                                                                                        1601
1544
           pattern-fragment A1, pattern-fragment A2,
                                                                                     filter Args2 (mem Args1) ToKeep2,
                                                                                                                                                        1602
            prune! M A1 N A2 S1 S2.
                                                                                     map (index ToKeep1) ToKeep1 IdPerm,
                                                                                                                                                        1603
          (uva N Args \simeq_{\lambda} T) S S1 :- not_occ N S T, pattern-fragment Args,
                                                                                     map (index ToKeep1) ToKeep2 Perm21,
           bind T Args T1, assign N S T1 S1.
                                                                                     build-perm-assign N [] Bits1 IdPerm Ass1,
         (T \simeq_{\lambda} \text{ uva N Args}) \text{ S S1 :- not_occ N S T, pattern-fragment Args,}
                                                                                     build-perm-assign N [] Bits2 Perm21 Ass2.
                                                                                                                                                        1606
1548
1549
           bind T Args T1, assign N S T1 S1.
                                                                                                                                                        1607
1550
                                                                                   type beta tm -> list tm -> tm -> o.
                                                                                                                                                        1608
          type prune! addr -> list tm -> addr ->
                                                                                   beta A [] A :- !.
1551
                                                                                                                                                        1609
                      list tm -> subst -> o.
                                                                                   beta (lam Bo) [H | L] R :- beta (Bo H) L R1, beta-aux R1 R.
1552
1553
         /* no pruning needed */
                                                                                  beta (app A) L (app X) :- append A L X.
         prune! N A N A S S :- !.
1554
                                                                                   beta (con H) L (app [con H | L]).
                                                                                                                                                        1612
1555
         prune! M A N A S1 S2 :- !, bind (uva M A) A Ass,
                                                                                                                                                        1613
                                                                                  beta X L (app[X|L]) :- name X.
1556
           assign N S1 Ass S2.
                                                                                                                                                        1614
1557
          /* prune different arguments */
                                                                                   type beta-aux tm -> tm -> o.
                                                                                                                                                        1615
         prune! N A1 N A2 S1 S3 :- !,
                                                                                   beta-aux (app [HD|TL]) R :- !, beta HD TL R.
                                                                                                                                                        1616
           new S1 W S2, prune-same-variable W A1 A2 [] Ass,
                                                                                  beta-aux A A.
           assign N S2 Ass S3.
1560
         /* prune to the intersection of scopes */
                                                                                   /* occur check for N before crossing a functor */
                                                                                                                                                        1619
1561
                                                                                   type not_occ addr -> subst -> tm -> o.
         prune! N A1 M A2 S1 S4 :- !,
1562
                                                                                                                                                        1620
1563
           new S1 W S2, prune-diff-variables W A1 A2 Ass1 Ass2,
                                                                                   not_occ N S (uva M Args) :- set? M S F,
                                                                                                                                                        1621
           assign N S2 Ass1 S3,
                                                                                                                                                        1622
1564
                                                                                     move F Args T, not_occ N S T.
            assign M S3 Ass2 S4.
                                                                                  not_occ N S (uva M Args) :- unset? M S, not (M = N),
1565
                                                                                                                                                        1623
                                                                                                                                                        1624
1566
                                                                            14
```

```
1625
           forall1 (not_occ_aux N S) Args.
                                                                                type fv addr -> fvariable.
                                                                                                                                                    1683
         not_occ _ _ (con _).
1626
                                                                                                                                                    1684
1627
         not_occ N S (app L) :- not_occ_aux N S (app L).
                                                                                kind hvariable type.
                                                                                                                                                    1685
         /* Note: lam is a functor for the meta language! */
                                                                                type hv addr -> arity -> hvariable.
1629
         not_occ N S (lam L) :- pi x\ not_occ_aux N S (L x).
                                                                                                                                                    1687
         not_occ _ _ X :- name X.
1630
                                                                                kind mapping type.
                                                                                                                                                    1688
1631
         /* finding N is ok */
                                                                                type mapping fvariable -> hvariable -> mapping.
                                                                                                                                                    1689
         not_occ N _ (uva N _).
                                                                                typeabbrev mmap (list mapping).
1632
                                                                                                                                                    1690
1633
                                                                                                                                                    1691
         /* occur check for X after crossing a functor */
                                                                                typeabbrev scope (list tm).
                                                                                                                                                    1692
         type not_occ_aux addr -> subst -> tm -> o.
                                                                                 typeabbrev inctx ho.inctx.
                                                                                                                                                    1693
         not_occ_aux N S (uva M _) :- unset? M S, not (N = M).
                                                                                kind baselink type.
         not_occ_aux N S (uva M Args) :- set? M S F,
                                                                                type link-eta tm -> tm -> baselink.
1637
                                                                                                                                                    1695
           move F Args T, not_occ_aux N S T.
                                                                                type link-beta tm -> tm -> baselink.
1638
                                                                                                                                                    1696
1639
         not_occ_aux N S (app L) :- forall1 (not_occ_aux N S) L.
                                                                                typeabbrev link (inctx baselink).
                                                                                                                                                    1697
         not_occ_aux N S (lam F) :- pi x\ not_occ_aux N S (F x).
                                                                                typeabbrev links (list link).
                                                                                                                                                    1698
1640
1641
         not_occ_aux _ _ (con _).
                                                                                                                                                    1699
1642
         not_occ_aux _ _ X :- name X.
                                                                                macro @val-link-eta T1 T2 :- ho.val (link-eta T1 T2).
                                                                                                                                                    1700
         /* finding N is ko, hence no rule */
                                                                                macro @val-link-beta T1 T2 :- ho.val (link-beta T1 T2).
                                                                                                                                                    1701
1643
1644
                                                                                                                                                    1702
         /* copy T T' vails if T contains a free variable, i.e. it
1645
                                                                                                                                                    1703
1646
            performs scope checking for bind */
                                                                                                                                                    1704
         type copy tm -> tm -> o.
1647
                                                                                type occurs-rigidly fm -> fm -> o.
                                                                                                                                                    1705
         copy (con C)
                        (con C).
                                                                                occurs-rigidly N N.
                        (app L') :- map copy L L'.
                                                                                occurs-rigidly _ (fapp [fuva _|_]) :- !, fail.
         copy (app L)
                        (lam T') :- pi x \cdot copy x x \Rightarrow copy (T x) (T' x).
                                                                                occurs-rigidly N (fapp L) :- exists (occurs-rigidly N) L.
                                                                                                                                                    1708
1650
         copy (lam T)
                                                                                occurs-rigidly N (flam B) :- pi x\ occurs-rigidly N (B x).
1651
         copy (uva A L) (uva A L') :- map copy L L'.
                                                                                                                                                    1709
1652
                                                                                                                                                    1710
1653
         type bind tm -> list tm -> assignment -> o.
                                                                                type reducible-to list fm -> fm -> o.
                                                                                                                                                    1711
         bind T [] (val T') :- copy T T'.
                                                                                reducible-to _ N N :- !.
                                                                                                                                                    1712
1654
1655
         bind T [X | TL] (abs T') :- pi \times copy \times x \Rightarrow bind T TL (T' x).
                                                                                reducible-to L N (fapp[fuva _[Args]) :- !,
                                                                                  forall1 (x\ exists (reducible-to [] x) Args) [N|L].
                                                                                                                                                    1714
1656
         type deref subst -> tm -> tm -> o.
                                                                                reducible-to L N (flam B) :- !,
                                                                                                                                                    1715
1657
                                                                  (\sigma t)
         deref _ (con C) (con C).
                                                                                  pi x\ reducible-to [x | L] N (B x).
                                                                                                                                                    1716
1658
1659
         deref S (app A) (app B) :- map (deref S) A B.
                                                                                reducible-to L N (fapp [N|Args]) :-
                                                                                                                                                    1717
         deref S (lam F) (lam G) :-
                                                                                   last-n {len L} Args R,
                                                                                                                                                    1718
           pi x \cdot deref S x x \Rightarrow deref S (F x) (G x).
                                                                                   forall2 (reducible-to []) R {rev L}.
                                                                                                                                                    1719
         deref S (uva N L) R :- set? N S A,
           move A L T, deref S T R.
                                                                                type maybe-eta fm -> list fm -> o.
                                                                                                                                                    1721
                                                                                                                                        (\Diamond n)
                                                                                maybe-eta (fapp[fuva _|Args]) L :- !,
         deref S (uva N A) (uva N B) :- unset? N S,
1664
                                                                                                                                                    1722
           map (deref S) A B.
1665
                                                                                   forall1 (x\ exists (reducible-to [] x) Args) L, !.
                                                                                                                                                    1723
                                                                                maybe-eta (flam B) L := !, pi \times maybe-eta (B \times) [x \mid L].
                                                                                                                                                    1724
1666
         type move assignment -> list tm -> tm -> o.
                                                                                maybe-eta (fapp [fcon _|Args]) L :-
1667
                                                                                                                                                    1725
         move (abs Bo) [H|L] R :- move (Bo H) L R.
1668
                                                                                  split-last-n {len L} Args First Last,
                                                                                                                                                    1726
         move (val A) [] A.
                                                                                  none (x\ exists (y\ occurs-rigidly x y) First) L,
                                                                                                                                                    1727
1669
                                                                                  forall2 (reducible-to []) {rev L} Last.
                                                                                                                                                    1728
1670
1671
                                                                                                                                                    1729
1672
         type deref-assmt subst -> assignment -> o.
                                                                                                                                                    1730
1673
         deref-assmt S (abs T) (abs R) :- pi \times deref-assmt S (T x) (R x). type locally-bound tm -> o.
                                                                                                                                                    1731
         deref-assmt S (val T) (val R) :- deref S T R.
1674
                                                                                type get-scope-aux tm -> list tm -> o.
                                                                                                                                                    1732
                                                                                get-scope-aux (con _) [].
                                                                                                                                                    1733
                                                                                                                                                    1734
                                                                                get-scope-aux (uva _ L) L1 :-
       16 THE COMPILER
1677
                                                                                  forall2 get-scope-aux L R,
                                                                                                                                                    1735
         kind arity type.
1678
                                                                                   flatten R L1.
                                                                                                                                                    1736
1679
         type arity nat -> arity.
                                                                                get-scope-aux (lam B) L1 :-
                                                                                                                                                    1737
                                                                                   pi x \setminus locally-bound x \Rightarrow get-scope-aux (B x) L1.
1680
                                                                                                                                                    1738
         kind fvariable type.
1681
                                                                                get-scope-aux (app L) L1 :-
                                                                                                                                                    1739
                                                                                                                                                    1740
                                                                          15
```

```
1741
           forall2 get-scope-aux L R,
1742
           flatten R L1.
1743
         get-scope-aux X [X] :- name X, not (locally-bound X).
         get-scope-aux X [] :- name X, (locally-bound X).
1744
1745
1746
         type names1 list tm -> o.
         names1 L :-
1747
           names L1.
1748
1749
           new_int N,
           if (1 is N mod 2) (L1 = L) (rev L1 L).
         type get-scope tm -> list tm -> o.
         get-scope T Scope :-
1753
           get-scope-aux T ScopeDuplicata,
1754
1755
           undup ScopeDuplicata Scope.
1756
         type rigid fm -> o.
         rigid X :- not (X = fuva _).
1757
1758
         type comp-lam (fm \rightarrow fm) \rightarrow (tm \rightarrow tm) \rightarrow
1759
           mmap -> mmap -> links -> links -> subst -> o.
1760
         comp-lam F G M1 M2 L1 L3 S1 S2 :-
1761
1762
           pi x y\ (pi M L S\ comp x y M M L L S S) =>
             comp (F x) (G y) M1 M2 L1 (L2 y) S1 S2,
           close-links L2 L3.
         type close-links (tm -> links) -> links -> o.
1766
         close-links (v\setminus[X \mid L v]) [X|R] :- !, close-links L R.
1767
1768
         close-links (v\setminus[X\ v\mid L\ v]) [abs X|R] :- close-links L R.
1769
         close-links (_\[]) [].
         type comp fm -> tm -> mmap -> mmap -> links -> links ->
1770
1771
           subst -> subst -> o.
1772
         comp (fcon C) (con C) M M L L S S.
1773
         comp (flam F) (uva A Scope) M1 M2 L1 L3 S1 S3 :-
           maybe-eta (flam F) [], !,
1774
1775
             alloc S1 A S2,
1776
             comp-lam F F1 M1 M2 L1 L2 S2 S3,
             get-scope (lam F1) Scope,
             L3 = [val (link-eta (uva A Scope) (lam F1)) | L2].
         comp (flam F) (lam F1) M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2 :-
                                                                     (c_{\lambda})
           comp-lam F F1 M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2.
1780
         comp (fuva A) (uva B []) M1 M2 L L S1 S2 :-
1781
1782
           m-alloc (fv A) (hv B (arity z)) M1 M2 S1 S2.
         comp (fapp [fuva A|Ag]) (uva B Ag1) M1 M2 L L S1 S2 :-
1783
1784
           pattern-fragment Ag, !,
1785
             fold6 comp Ag Ag1 M1 M1 L L S1 S1,
1786
             len Ag Arity,
1787
             m-alloc (fv A) (hv B (arity Arity)) M1 M2 S1 S2.
1788
         comp (fapp [fuva A|Ag]) (uva B Scope) M1 M3 L1 L3 S1 S4 :- !,
1789
           pattern-fragment-prefix Ag Pf Extra,
           len Pf Arity.
           alloc S1 B S2,
1792
           m-alloc (fv A) (hv C (arity Arity)) M1 M2 S2 S3,
           fold6 comp Pf
                            Pf1
                                    M2 M2 L1 L1 S3 S3,
1793
           fold6 comp Extra Extra1 M2 M3 L1 L2 S3 S4,
1794
1795
           Beta = app [uva C Pf1 | Extra1],
           get-scope Beta Scope,
1796
           L3 = [val (link-beta (uva B Scope) Beta) | L2].
1797
1798
                                                                          16
```

```
comp (fapp A) (app A1) M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2 :-
                                                                                                                            1799
    fold6 comp A A1 M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2.
                                                                                                                            1800
                                                                                                                            1801
type alloc mem A -> addr -> mem A -> o.
alloc S N S1 :- mem.new S N S1.
                                                                                                                            1803
                                                                                                                            1804
type compile-terms-diagnostic
                                                                                                                            1805
    triple diagnostic fm fm ->
                                                                                                                            1806
    triple diagnostic tm tm ->
                                                                                                                            1807
    mmap -> mmap ->
                                                                                                                            1808
    links -> links ->
    subst -> subst -> o.
compile-terms-diagnostic (triple D FO1 FO2) (triple D HO1 HO2) MM11M3 L1
    comp F01 H01 M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2.
                                                                                                                            1812
    comp F02 H02 M2 M3 L2 L3 S2 S3.
                                                                                                                            1813
                                                                                                                            1814
type compile-terms
                                                                                                                            1815
    list (triple diagnostic fm fm) ->
                                                                                                                            1816
    list (triple diagnostic tm tm) ->
                                                                                                                            1817
    mmap -> links -> subst -> o.
                                                                                                                            1818
compile-terms T H M L S :-
                                                                                                                            1819
    fold6 compile-terms-diagnostic T H [] M_ [] L_ [] S_,
                                                                                                                            1820
    print-compil-result T H L_ M_,
                                                                                                                            1821
    deduplicate-map M_ M S_ S L_ L.
                                                                                                                            1822
type make-eta-link-aux nat -> addr -> addr ->
                                                                                                                            1824
    list tm -> links -> subst -> o.
                                                                                                                            1825
make-eta-link-aux z Ad1 Ad2 Scope1 L H1 H1 :-
                                                                                                                            1826
    rev Scope1 Scope, eta-expand (uva Ad2 Scope) T1,
                                                                                                                            1827
    L = [val (link-eta (uva Ad1 Scope) T1)].
                                                                                                                            1828
make-eta-link-aux (s N) Ad1 Ad2 Scope1 L H1 H3 :-
    rev Scope1 Scope, alloc H1 Ad H2,
                                                                                                                            1830
    eta-expand (uva Ad Scope) T2,
                                                                                                                            1831
    (pi x\ make-eta-link-aux N Ad Ad2 [x|Scope1] (L1 x) H2 H3),
                                                                                                                           1832
    close-links L1 L2,
                                                                                                                            1833
    L = [val (link-eta (uva Ad1 Scope) T2) | L2].
                                                                                                                            1834
                                                                                                                            1835
type make-eta-link nat -> nat -> addr -> addr ->
                                                                                                                            1836
               list tm -> links -> subst -> o.
                                                                                                                            1837
make-eta-link (s N) z Ad1 Ad2 Vars L H H1 :-
                                                                                                                            1838
    make-eta-link-aux N Ad2 Ad1 Vars L H H1.
                                                                                                                            1839
make-eta-link z (s N) Ad1 Ad2 Vars L H H1 :-
                                                                                                                            1840
    make-eta-link-aux N Ad1 Ad2 Vars L H H1.
                                                                                                                            1841
make-eta-link (s N) (s M) Ad1 Ad2 Vars Links H H1 :-
                                                                                                                            1842
    (pi x\ make-eta-link N M Ad1 Ad2 [x|Vars] (L x) H H1),
                                                                                                                            1843
    close-links L Links.
                                                                                                                            1844
                                                                                                                            1845
type deduplicate-map mmap -> mmap ->
                                                                                                                            1846
        subst -> subst -> links -> links -> o.
                                                                                                                            1847
deduplicate-map [] [] H H L L.
deduplicate-map [(mapping (fv 0) (hv M (arity LenM)) as X1) | Mapping Map (Mapping (fv 0) (hv M (arity LenM)) as X1) | Mapping (fv 0) (hv M (arity LenM)) as X1) | Mapping (fv 0) (hv M (arity LenM)) as X1) | Mapping (fv 0) (hv M (arity LenM)) as X1) | Mapping (fv 0) (hv M (arity LenM)) as X1) | Mapping (fv 0) (hv M (arity LenM)) as X1) | Mapping (fv 0) (hv M (arity LenM)) as X1) | Mapping (fv 0) (hv M (arity LenM)) as X1) | Mapping (fv 0) (hv M (arity LenM)) as X1) | Mapping (fv 0) (hv M (arity LenM)) as X1) | Mapping (fv 0) (hv M (arity LenM)) as X1) | Mapping (fv 0) (hv M (arity LenM)) as X1) | Mapping (fv 0) (hv M (arity LenM)) as X1) | Mapping (fv 0) (hv M (arity LenM)) as X1) | Mapping (fv 0) (hv M (arity LenM)) as X1) | Mapping (fv 0) (hv M (arity LenM)) as X1) | Mapping (fv 0) (hv M (arity LenM)) as X1) | Mapping (fv 0) (hv M (arity LenM)) as X1) | Mapping (fv 0) (hv M (arity LenM)) as X1) | Mapping (fv 0) (hv M (arity LenM)) as X1) | Mapping (fv 0) (hv M (arity LenM)) as X1) | Mapping (fv 0) (hv M (arity LenM)) as X1) | Mapping (fv 0) (hv M (arity LenM)) as X1) | Mapping (fv 0) (hv M (arity LenM)) as X1) | Mapping (fv 0) (hv M (arity LenM)) as X1) | Mapping (fv 0) (hv M (arity LenM)) as X1) | Mapping (fv 0) (hv M (arity LenM)) as X1) | Mapping (fv 0) (hv M (arity LenM)) as X1) | Mapping (fv 0) (hv M (arity LenM)) as X1) | Mapping (fv 0) (hv M (arity LenM)) as X1) | Mapping (fv 0) (hv M (arity LenM)) as X1) | Mapping (fv 0) (hv M (arity LenM)) as X1) | Mapping (fv 0) (hv M (arity LenM)) as X1) | Mapping (fv 0) (hv M (arity LenM)) as X1) | Mapping (fv 0) (hv M (arity LenM)) as X1) | Mapping (fv 0) (hv M (arity LenM)) | Mapping (fv 0) (hv M) | Mapping (fv 0) (hv 0) (hv 0) 
    take-list Map1 (mapping (fv 0) (hv M' (arity LenM'))) _, !, 1850
    std.assert! (not (LenM = LenM')) "Deduplicate map, there is alsowg",
    print "arity-fix links:" {ppmapping X1} "~!~" {ppmapping (mapp⊕Ag (fv
    make-eta-link LenM LenM' M M' [] New H1 H2,
                                                                                                                            1853
    print "new eta link" {pplinks New},
                                                                                                                            1854
                                                                                                                            1855
    append New L1 L2,
                                                                                                                            1856
```

```
1857
           deduplicate-map Map1 Map2 H2 H3 L2 L3.
                                                                                arity T Arity, len L ArgsNb, ArgsNb >n Arity, !,
                                                                                                                                                1915
1858
         deduplicate-map [A|As] [A|Bs] H1 H2 L1 L2 :-
                                                                                minus ArgsNb Arity Diff, mem.new S V1 S1,
                                                                                                                                                1916
1859
           deduplicate-map As Bs H1 H2 L1 L2, !.
                                                                                eta-expand (ho.uva V1 Scope) Diff T1,
                                                                                                                                                1917
                                                                                ((ho.uva V Scope) ==1 T1) S1 S2.
         deduplicate-map [A|_] _ H _ _ _ :-
1861
           halt "deduplicating mapping error" {ppmapping A} {ho.ppsubst H}.
1862
                                                                              progress-beta-link (ho.uva _ _ as T) (ho.app[ho.uva Ad1 Scope1 19201] as
1863
                                                                                append Scope1 L1 Scope1L.
                                                                                                                                                1921
       17 THE PROGRESS FUNCTION
1864
                                                                                                                                                1922
                                                                                pattern-fragment-prefix Scope1L Scope2 L2,
1865
         macro @one :- s z.
                                                                                not (Scope1 = Scope2), !,
                                                                                                                                                1923
                                                                                mem.new S1 Ad2 S2,
                                                                                                                                                1924
         type contract-rigid list ho.tm -> ho.tm -> o.
                                                                                len Scope1 Scope1Len,
                                                                                                                                                1925
         contract-rigid L (ho.lam F) T :-
                                                                                len Scope2 Scope2Len,
1868
           pi x\ contract-rigid [x|L] (F x) T. % also checks H Prefix does notmakee exta-link Scope1Len Scope2Len Ad1 Ad2 [] LinkEta S2 S3,
1869
                                                                                                                                                1927
         contract-rigid L (ho.app [H|Args]) T :-
                                                                                if (L2 = []) (NewLinks = LinkEta, T2 = ho.uva Ad2 Scope2)
1870
1871
           rev L LRev, append Prefix LRev Args,
                                                                                  (T2 = ho.app [ho.uva Ad2 Scope2 | L2],
1872
           if (Prefix = []) (T = H) (T = ho.app [H|Prefix]).
                                                                                  NewLinks = [@val-link-beta T T2 | LinkEta]).
                                                                                                                                                1930
1873
                                                                                                                                                1931
1874
         type progress-eta-link ho.tm -> ho.tm -> ho.subst -> ho.subst -> limmogress-eta-link T1 (ho.app[ho.uva _ _ | _] as T2) _ _ _ :- 1932
         progress-eta-link (ho.app _ as T) (ho.lam x = T1) H H1 [] :- !, not (T1 = ho.uva _ _), !, fail.
1875
           \{\text{eta-expand T @one}\} == 1 \text{ T1}\} \text{ H H1}.
1876
         progress-eta-link (ho.con _ as T) (ho.lam x\ _ as T1) H H1 [] :- !progress-beta-link (ho.uva _ _ as T) (ho.app[ho.uva _ _ | _] as 1982) S1 .
1877
1878
           (\{eta-expand T @one\} == 1 T1) H H1.
                                                                                occur-check-err T T2 S1, !, fail.
1879
         progress-eta-link (ho.lam _ as T) T1 H H1 [] :- !,
           (T == 1 T1) H H1.
                                                                              progress-beta-link T1 (ho.app[ho.uva _ _ | _] as T2) H H [@val-1996k-beta
1881
         progress-eta-link (ho.uva _ _ as X) T H H1 [] :-
           contract-rigid [] T T1, !, (X ==1 T1) H H1.
                                                                              progress-beta-link T1 (ho.app [Hd | T1]) S1 S2 B :-
                                                                                                                                                1941
         progress-eta-link (ho.uva Ad _ as T1) T2 H H [@val-link-eta T1 T2] :ho!peta Hd T1 T3,
1883
1884
           if (ho.not_occ Ad H T2) true fail.
                                                                                progress-beta-link-aux T1 T3 S1 S2 B.
                                                                                                                                                1942
1885
                                                                                                                                                1943
         type is-in-pf ho.tm -> o.
                                                                              type solve-link-abs link -> links -> ho.subst -> ho.subst -> o.1944
1886
1887
         is-in-pf (ho.app [ho.uva _ _ | _]) :- !, fail.
                                                                              solve-link-abs (ho.abs X) R H H1 :-
         is-in-pf (ho.lam B) :- !, pi x\ is-in-pf (B x).
                                                                                pi x\ ho.copy x x \Rightarrow (pi S\ ho.deref S x x) \Rightarrow
                                                                                                                                                1946
1888
         is-in-pf (ho.con _).
                                                                                  solve-link-abs (X x) (R' x) H H1,
                                                                                                                                                1947
1889
         is-in-pf (ho.app L) :- forall1 is-in-pf L.
                                                                                close-links R' R.
                                                                                                                                                1948
1890
1891
         is-in-pf N :- name N.
                                                                                                                                                1949
1892
         is-in-pf (ho.uva _ L) :- pattern-fragment L.
                                                                              solve-link-abs (@val-link-eta A B) NewLinks S S1 :- !,
                                                                                                                                                1950
                                                                                progress-eta-link A B S S1 NewLinks.
                                                                                                                                                1951
         type arity ho.tm -> nat -> o.
                                                                                                                                                1952
                                                                              solve-link-abs (@val-link-beta A B) NewLinks S S1 :- !,
1895
         arity (ho.con ) z.
         arity (ho.app L) A :- len L A.
                                                                                progress-beta-link A B S S1 NewLinks.
                                                                                                                                                1954
1896
1897
                                                                                                                                                1955
1898
         type occur-check-err ho.tm -> ho.tm -> ho.subst -> o.
                                                                              type take-link link -> links -> link -> links -> o.
                                                                                                                                                1956
         occur-check-err (ho.con _) _ _ :- !.
                                                                              take-link A [B|XS] B XS :- link-abs-same-lhs A B, !.
                                                                                                                                                1957
1899
                                                                              take-link A [L|XS] B [L|YS] :- take-link A XS B YS.
1900
         occur-check-err (ho.app _) _ _ :- !.
                                                                                                                                                1958
1901
         occur-check-err (ho.lam _) _ _ :- !.
                                                                                                                                                1959
1902
         occur-check-err (ho.uva Ad _) T S :-
                                                                              type link-abs-same-lhs link -> link -> o.
                                                                                                                                                1960
1903
           not (ho.not_occ Ad S T).
                                                                              link-abs-same-lhs (ho.abs F) B :-
                                                                                                                                                1961
                                                                                pi x\ link-abs-same-lhs (F x) B.
1904
                                                                                                                                                1962
1905
         type progress-beta-link-aux ho.tm -> ho.tm ->
                                                                              link-abs-same-lhs A (ho.abs G) :-
                                                                                                                                                1963
                                                                                pi x\ link-abs-same-lhs A (G x).
                 ho.subst -> ho.subst -> links -> o.
1907
         progress-beta-link-aux T1 T2 S1 S2 [] :- is-in-pf T2, !,
                                                                              link-abs-same-lhs (@val-link-eta (ho.uva N _) _) (@val-link-eta1%ho.uva
1908
           (T1 == 1 T2) S1 S2.
                                                                              type same-link-eta link -> link -> ho.subst -> ho.subst -> o. 1967
         progress-beta-link-aux T1 T2 S S [@val-link-beta T1 T2] :- !.
1909
                                                                              same-link-eta (ho.abs F) B H H1 :- !, pi x\ same-link-eta (F x)!88H H1.
1910
1911
         type progress-beta-link ho.tm -> ho.tm -> ho.subst ->
                                                                              same-link-eta A (ho.abs G) H H1 :- !, pi x\ same-link-eta A (G 🎾 H H1.
                                                                                                                                                1970
1912
               ho.subst -> links -> o.
                                                                              same-link-eta (@val-link-eta (ho.uva N S1) A)
         progress-beta-link T (ho.app[ho.uva V Scope | L] as T2) S S2 [@val-link-beta T T2 Pval-link-eta (ho.uva N S2) B) H H1 :-
                                                                                                                                                1971
1913
1914
                                                                                                                                                1972
                                                                        17
```

```
1973
           std.map2 S1 S2 (x\y\r\ r = ho.copy x y) Perm,
                                                                                        map -> fo.fsubst -> fo.fsubst -> o.
                                                                                                                                                      2031
1974
           Perm => ho.copy A A',
                                                                                 add-new-map-aux _ [] _ [] S S.
                                                                                                                                                      2032
1975
           (A' == 1 B) H H1.
                                                                                 add-new-map-aux H [T|Ts] L L2 S S2 :-
                                                                                                                                                      2033
                                                                                   add-new-map H T L L1 S S1,
                                                                                                                                                      2034
1977
         type progress1 links -> links -> ho.subst -> ho.subst -> o.
                                                                                   add-new-map-aux H Ts L1 L2 S1 S2.
                                                                                                                                                      2035
                                                                                                                                                      2036
1978
         progress1 [] [] X X.
         progress1 [A|L1] [A|L3] S S2 :- take-link A L1 B L2, !,
                                                                                 type add-new-map ho.subst -> ho.tm -> map ->
                                                                                                                                                      2037
1979
           same-link-eta A B S S1,
                                                                                     map -> fo.fsubst -> fo.fsubst -> o.
                                                                                                                                                      2038
1980
1981
           progress1 L2 L3 S1 S2.
                                                                                 add-new-map _ (ho.uva N _) Map [] F1 F1 :-
                                                                                                                                                      2039
         progress1 [L0|L1] L3 S S2 :- deref-link S L0 L,
                                                                                   mem Map (mapping _ (hv N _)), !.
                                                                                                                                                      2040
           solve-link-abs L R S S1, !,
                                                                                 add-new-map H (ho.uva N L) Map [Map1 | MapL] F1 F3 :-
                                                                                                                                                      2041
           progress1 L1 L2 S1 S2, append R L2 L3.
                                                                                   mem.new F1 M F2,
1984
                                                                                   len L Arity, Map1 = mapping (fv M) (hv N (arity Arity)),
                                                                                                                                                      2043
1985
                                                                                   add-new-map H (ho.app L) [Map1 | Map] MapL F2 F3.
                                                                                                                                                      2044
1986
       18 THE DECOMPILER
1987
                                                                                 add-new-map H (ho.lam B) Map NewMap F1 F2 :-
                                                                                                                                                      2045
1988
         type abs->lam ho.assignment -> ho.tm -> o.
                                                                                   pi x\ add-new-map H (B x) Map NewMap F1 F2.
                                                                                                                                                      2046
         abs->lam (ho.abs T) (ho.lam R) :- !, pi x\ abs->lam (T x) (R x). add-new-map H (ho.app L) Map NewMap F1 F3 :-
1989
                                                                                                                                                      2047
1990
         abs->lam (ho.val A) A.
                                                                                   add-new-map-aux H L Map NewMap F1 F3.
                                                                                                                                                      2048
                                                                                 add-new-map _ (ho.con _) _ [] F F :- !.
                                                                                                                                                      2049
1991
         type commit-links-aux link -> ho.subst -> ho.subst -> o.
                                                                                 add-new-map _ N _ [] F F :- name N.
                                                                                                                                                      2050
1992
         commit-links-aux (@val-link-eta T1 T2) H1 H2 :-
1993
                                                                                                                                                      2051
           ho.deref H1 T1 T1', ho.deref H1 T2 T2',
1994
                                                                                 type complete-mapping-under-ass ho.subst -> ho.assignment ->
                                                                                                                                                      2052
1995
           (T1' == 1 T2') H1 H2.
                                                                                   map -> map -> fo.fsubst -> fo.fsubst -> o.
                                                                                                                                                      2053
         commit-links-aux (@val-link-beta T1 T2) H1 H2 :-
                                                                                 complete-mapping-under-ass H (ho.val Val) Map1 Map2 F1 F2 :-
                                                                                                                                                      2054
           ho.deref H1 T1 T1', ho.deref H1 T2 T2',
                                                                                   add-new-map H Val Map1 Map2 F1 F2.
           (T1' == 1 T2') H1 H2.
                                                                                 complete-mapping-under-ass H (ho.abs Abs) Map1 Map2 F1 F2 :-
         commit-links-aux (ho.abs B) H H1 :-
                                                                                   pi x\ complete-mapping-under-ass H (Abs x) Map1 Map2 F1 F2.
1999
                                                                                                                                                      2057
2000
           pi x\ commit-links-aux (B x) H H1.
                                                                                                                                                      2058
2001
                                                                                 type complete-mapping ho.subst -> ho.subst ->
                                                                                                                                                      2059
         type commit-links links -> links -> ho.subst -> ho.subst -> o.
                                                                                   map -> map -> fo.fsubst -> fo.fsubst -> o.
2002
                                                                                                                                                      2060
2003
         commit-links [] [] H H.
                                                                                 complete-mapping _ [] L L F F.
                                                                                                                                                      2061
         commit-links [Abs | Links] L H H2 :-
                                                                                 complete-mapping H [none | Tl] L1 L2 F1 F2 :-
                                                                                                                                                      2062
2004
           commit-links-aux Abs H H1, !, commit-links Links L H1 H2.
                                                                                   complete-mapping H Tl L1 L2 F1 F2.
                                                                                                                                                      2063
2005
                                                                                 complete-mapping H [some T0 | T1] L1 L3 F1 F3 :-
                                                                                                                                                      2064
2006
2007
         type decompl-subst map -> map -> ho.subst ->
                                                                                   ho.deref-assmt H T0 T,
                                                                                                                                                      2065
2008
           fo.fsubst -> fo.fsubst -> o.
                                                                                   complete-mapping-under-ass H T L1 L2 F1 F2,
                                                                                                                                                      2066
         decompl-subst _ [A]_] _ _ :- fail.
                                                                                   append L1 L2 LAll,
                                                                                                                                                      2067
         decompl-subst _ [] _ F F.
                                                                                   complete-mapping H Tl LAll L3 F2 F3.
         decompl-subst Map [mapping (fv V0) (hv VM _)[T1] H F F2 :-
2011
           mem.set? VM H T, !,
                                                                                 type decompile map -> links -> ho.subst ->
                                                                                                                                                      2070
2012
2013
           ho.deref-assmt H T TTT,
                                                                                   fo.fsubst -> fo.fsubst -> o.
                                                                                                                                                      2071
2014
           abs->lam TTT T', tm->fm Map T' T1,
                                                                                 decompile Map1 L HO FO FO2 :-
                                                                                                                                                      2072
           fo.eta-contract T1 T2, mem.assign V0 F T2 F1,
                                                                                   commit-links L L1_ HO HO1, !,
                                                                                                                                                      2073
2015
                                                                                   complete-mapping HO1 HO1 Map1 Map2 FO FO1,
2016
           decompl-subst Map Tl H F1 F2.
                                                                                                                                                      2074
2017
         decompl-subst Map [mapping _ (hv VM _)|Tl] H F F2 :-
                                                                                   decompl-subst Map2 Map2 H01 F01 F02.
                                                                                                                                                      2075
           mem.unset? VM H, decompl-subst Map T1 H F F2.
                                                                                                                                                      2076
2018
                                                                                                                                                      2077
2019
                                                                               19 AUXILIARY FUNCTIONS
2020
         type tm\rightarrow fm map \rightarrow ho.tm \rightarrow fo.fm \rightarrow o.
                                                                                                                                                      2078
                                                                                 type fold4 (A \rightarrow A1 \rightarrow B \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow C \rightarrow o) \rightarrow list A \rightarrow
2021
         tm->fm _ (ho.con C) (fo.fcon C).
                                                                                                                                                      2079
                                                                                   list A1 \rightarrow B \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow C \rightarrow o.
         tm->fm L (ho.lam B1) (fo.flam B2) :-
                                                                                                                                                      2080
                                                                                 fold4 _ [] [] A A B B.
           pi \times y \to tm - fm x y = tm - fm L (B1 x) (B2 y).
                                                                                 fold4 F [X|XS] [Y|YS] A A1 B B1 :- F X Y A A0 B B0,
         tm->fm L (ho.app L1) T :- map (tm->fm L) L1 [Hd|Tl],
2024
                                                                                   fold4 F XS YS A0 A1 B0 B1.
           fo.mk-app Hd Tl T.
                                                                                                                                                      2083
2025
2026
         tm->fm L (ho.uva VM TL) T :- mem L (mapping (fv VO) (hv VM _)),
                                                                                                                                                      2084
                                                                                 type len list A -> nat -> o.
2027
           map (tm->fm L) TL T1, fo.mk-app (fo.fuva V0) T1 T.
                                                                                                                                                      2085
                                                                                 len [] z.
                                                                                                                                                      2086
2028
                                                                                 len [\_|L] (s X) :- len L X.
         type add-new-map-aux ho.subst -> list ho.tm -> map ->
2029
                                                                                                                                                      2087
2030
                                                                                                                                                      2088
                                                                          18
```