Higher order unification for free!

Reusing the meta-language unification for the object language

Davide Fissore davide.fissore@inria.fr Université Côte d'Azur, Inria France Enrico Tassi enrico.tassi@inria.fr Université Côte d'Azur, Inria France

ABSTRACT

Specifying and implementing a proof system from scratch requires significant effort. Logical Frameworks and Higher Order Logic Programming Languages provide dedicated, high-level Meta Languages (ML) to facilitate this task in two key ways: 1) variable binding and substitution are simplified when ML binders represent object logic ones; 2) proof construction, and even proof search, is greatly simplified by leveraging the unification procedure provided by the ML. Notable examples of ML are Elf [14], Twelf [15], λ Prolog [10] and Isabelle [22] which have been utilized to implement various formal systems such as First Order Logic [4], Set Theory [13], Higher Order Logic [12], and even the Calculus of Constructions [3].

The object logic we are interested in is Coq's [20] Calculus of Inductive Constructions (CIC), for which we aim to implement a unification procedure \simeq_o using the ML Elpi [2], a dialect of λ Prolog. Elpi's equational theory comprises $\eta\beta$ equivalence and comes equipped with a higher order unification procedure \simeq_λ restricted to the pattern fragment [9]. We want \simeq_o to be as powerful as \simeq_λ but on the object logic CiC. Elpi also comes with an encoding for CiC that works well for meta-programming [19, 18, 7, 5]. Unfortunately this encoding, which we refer to as \mathcal{F}_o , "underuses" \simeq_λ by restricting it to first-order unification problems only. To address this issue, we propose a better-behaved encoding, \mathcal{H}_o , demonstrate how to map unification problems in \mathcal{F}_o to related problems in \mathcal{H}_o , and illustrate how to map back the unifiers found by \simeq_λ , effectively implementing \simeq_o on top of \simeq_λ for the encoding \mathcal{F}_o .

KEYWORDS

Logic Programming, Meta-Programming, Higher-Order Unification, Proof Automation

ACM Reference Format:

Davide Fissore and Enrico Tassi. XXXX 2024. Higher order unification for free!: Reusing the meta-language unification for the object language. In YYY. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 20 pages. https://doi.org/ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ

1 INTRODUCTION

Specifying and implementing a logic or a proof system from scratch requires significant effort. Logical Frameworks and Higher Order

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than the author(s) must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

Conference'17, July 2017, Washington, DC, USA

© 2024 Copyright held by the owner/author(s). Publication rights licensed to ACM. ACM ISBN 978-x-xxxx-xxxx-x/YY/MM

https://doi.org/ZZZZZZZZZZZZZ

Logic Programming Languages provide dedicated, high-level Meta Languages (ML) to facilitate this task in two key ways. The first and most well know one is that variable binding and substitution can be taken for granted when ML binders represent object logic ones. The second one that comes to mind is unification, the cornerstone for proof construction and proof search, however in this paper we describe how reusing that brick may not be as easy at is seems.

Notable examples of ML are Elf [14], Twelf [15], λ Prolog [10] and Isabelle [22] which have been utilized to implement various formal systems such as First Order Logic [4], Set Theory [13], Higher Order Logic [12], and even the Calculus of Constructions [3]. The object logic we are interested in is Coq's [20] Calculus of inductive Constructions (Cic), and we want to code a type-class [21] solver for Coq [20] using the Coq-Elpi [19] meta programming framework. Type-class solvers are unification based proof search procedures that combine a set of designated lemmas in order to providing essential automation to widely used Coq libraries.

As an example we take the Decide type class from the Stdpp [8] library. The class identifies predicates equipped with a decision procedure. The following three designated lemmas (called Instances in the type-class jargon) state that: 1) the type fin n, of natural numbers smaller than n, is finite; 2) the predicate nfact n nf, linking a natural number n to its prime factors nf, is decidable; 3) the universal closure of a predicate has a decision procedure if its domain is finite and if the predicate is decidable.

Under this context of instances a type-class solver is able to prove the following statement automatically by back-chaining the lemmas above:

```
Check _ : Decision (forall y: fin 7, nfact y 3). (g)
```

The encoding of CiC provided by Elpi, that we will discuss at length later in sections 3 and 4, is an Higher Order Abstract Syntax (HOAS) datatype tm featuring (among others) the following constructors:

Following standard $\lambda Prolog~[10]$ the concrete syntax to abstract, at the meta level, an expression e over a variable x is «x\ e», and square brackets denote a list of terms separated by comma. As an example we show the encoding of the Coq term « $\forall y:t,~nfact~y~3$ »:

```
all (con"t") y\ app[con"nfact", y, con"3"]
```

We now illustrate the encoding of the three instances above as higher-order logic-programming rules: capital letters denote rule parameters; :- separates the rule's head from the premises; pi w\ p introduces a fresh nominal constant w for the premise p.

```
finite (app[con"fin", N]). (r1)
```

decision (app [con"nfact", N, NF]).
$$(r2)$$

decision (all A
$$\times$$
 app[P, \times]) :- finite A, (r3) pi \times decision (app[P, \times]).

Unfortunately this translation of rule (r3) uses the predicate P as a first order term: for the meta language its type is tm. If we try to backchain the rule (r3) on the encoding of the goal (q) given below

```
decision (all (app[con"fin", con"7"]) y\
  app[con"nfact", y, con"3"]).
```

we obtain an unsolvable unification problem (p): the two lists of terms have different lengths!

```
app[con"nfact", y, con"3"] = app[P, y] (p)
```

In this paper we study a more sophisticated encoding of Coq terms allowing us to rephrase the problematic rule (r3) as follows:

```
decision (all A \times Pm \times) :- decomp Pm P A, finite A, (r3a) pi \times decision (app[P, \times]).
```

Since Pm is an higher-order unification variable of type tm -> tm, with x in its scope, the unification problem (p') admits one solution:

After unifying the head of rule (r3a) with the goal, Elpi would run the premise «decomp Pm A P» in charge of bringing the assignment for Pm back to the domain tm of Coq terms:

```
P = lam A a\ app[con"nfact", a, con"3"]
```

This simple example is sufficient to show that the encoding we seek is not trivial and does not only concern the head of rules, but the entire sequence of unification problems that constitute the execution of a logic program. In fact the solution for P above generates a (Coq) β -redex in the second premise (the predicate under the pi w\). We show below the premise before and after the instantiation of P:

In turn this redex prevents the rule (r2) to backchain properly since the following unification problem has no solution:

```
app[ lam A (a\ app[con"nfact", a, con"3"]) , x] =
app[ con"nfact" , N, NF]
```

The root cause of the problems we sketched in this example is that the unification procedure \simeq_{λ} of the meta language is not aware of the equational theory of the object logic, even if both theories include $\eta\beta$ -conversion and admit most general unifiers for unification problems in the pattern fragment \mathcal{L}_{λ} [9].

Contributions. In this paper we discuss alternative encodings of Coq in Elpi (Section 3), then we identify a minimal language \mathcal{F}_0 in which the problems sketched here can be fully described. We then

detail an encoding comp from \mathcal{F}_0 to \mathcal{H}_0 (the language of the meta language) and a decoding decomp to relate the unifiers bla bla..

E:citare Teyjus

. The code discussed in the paper can be accessed at the URL: https://github.com/FissoreD/paper-ho.

2 PROBLEM STATEMENT

The equational theory of Coq's Dependent Type Theory is very rich. In addition to the usual $\eta\beta$ -equivalence for functions, terms (hence types) are compared up to proposition unfolding and fixpoint unrolling. Still, for efficiency and predictability reasons, most form of automatic proof search employ a unification procedure that captures a simpler one, just $\eta\beta$, and that solves higher-order problems restricted to the pattern fragment \mathcal{L}_{λ} [9]. We call this unification procedure \simeq_o .

The equational theory of the meta language Elpi is strikingly similar, since it it comprises $\eta\beta$ (for the meta language functions), and the unification procedure \simeq_{λ} solves higher-order problems in \mathcal{L}_{λ} .

In spite of the similarity the link between \simeq_{λ} and \simeq_{o} is not trivial, since the abstraction and application term constructors the two unification procedures deal with are different. For example

One could ignore this similarity, and "just" describe the object language unification procedure in the meta language, that is crafting a unif predicate to be used as follows in rule (r3):

```
decision X :- unif X (all A x\ app[P, x]), finite A,
  pi x\ decision (app[P, x]).
```

This choice would underuse the logic programming engine provided by the metalanguage since by removing any datum from the head of rules indexing degenerates. Moreover the unification procedure built in the meta language is likely to be faster than one implemented in it, especially if the meta language is interpreted as Elpi is.

To state precisely the problem we solve we need a \mathcal{F}_0 representation of CiC terms and a \mathcal{H}_0 one. We call $=_0$ the equality over ground terms in \mathcal{F}_0 , $=_{\lambda}$ the equality over ground terms in \mathcal{H}_0 , \simeq_0 the unification procedure we want to implement and \simeq_{λ} the one provided by the meta language.

E:extend $=_o$ and $=_{\lambda}$ with reflexivity on uvars.

We write $t_1 \simeq_{\lambda} t_2 \mapsto \sigma$ when t_1 and t_2 unify with substitution σ ; we write σt for the application of the substitution to t, and $\sigma X = \{\sigma t | t \in X\}$ when X is a set; we write $\sigma \subseteq \sigma'$ when σ is more general than σ' . We assume that the unification of our meta language is correct:

$$t_i \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda} \Rightarrow t_1 \simeq_{\lambda} t_2 \mapsto \rho \Rightarrow \rho t_1 =_{\lambda} \rho t_2$$
 (1)

$$t_i \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda} \Rightarrow \rho t_1 =_{\lambda} \rho t_2 \Rightarrow \exists \rho', t_1 \simeq_{\lambda} t_2 \mapsto \rho' \wedge \rho' \subseteq \rho$$
 (2)

 \mathcal{F}_{o} va in Lin un The \mathbf{E}

We illustrate a compilation $\langle s \rangle \mapsto (t, m, l)$ that maps a term s in \mathcal{F}_0 to a term t in \mathcal{H}_0 , a variable mapping m and list of links l. The variable map connects unification variables in \mathcal{H}_0 with variables in \mathcal{F}_0 and is used to "decompile" the assignment, $\langle \sigma, m, l \rangle^{-1} \mapsto \rho$. Links represent problematic sub-terms which are linked to the unification variable that stands in their place in the compiled term. These links are checked for or progress

E:XXX improve...

We represent a logic program run in \mathcal{F}_0 as a list $steps\ p$ of length \mathcal{N} . Each step is a unification problem between terms \mathbb{P}_{p_l} and \mathbb{P}_{p_r} taken from the set of all terms \mathbb{P} . The composition of these steps starting from the empty substitution ρ_0 produces the final substitution $\rho_{\mathcal{N}}$. The initial here ρ_0 is the empty substitution

$$\begin{split} \text{fstep}(\mathbb{P},p,\rho) &\mapsto \rho^{\prime\prime} \stackrel{def}{=\!\!\!=} \rho \mathbb{P}_{p_l} \simeq_o \rho \mathbb{P}_{p_r} \mapsto \rho^\prime \wedge \rho^{\prime\prime} = \rho \cup \rho^\prime \\ \text{frun}(\mathbb{P},\mathcal{N}) &\mapsto \rho_{\mathcal{N}} \stackrel{def}{=\!\!\!=} \bigwedge_{p=1}^{\mathcal{N}} \text{fstep}(\mathbb{P},p,\rho_{p-1}) \mapsto \rho_p \end{split}$$

We simulate each run in \mathcal{F}_o with a run in \mathcal{H}_o as follows. Note that σ_0 is the empty substitution.

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{hstep}(\mathbb{T}, p, \sigma, \mathbb{L}) &\mapsto (\sigma'', \mathbb{L}') \stackrel{def}{=} \\ \sigma \mathbb{T}_{p_{l}} &\simeq_{\lambda} \sigma \mathbb{T}_{p_{r}} \mapsto \sigma' \wedge \operatorname{progress}(\mathbb{L}, \sigma \cup \sigma') \mapsto (\mathbb{L}', \sigma'') \\ \operatorname{hrun}(\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{N}) &\mapsto \rho_{\mathcal{N}} \stackrel{def}{=} \\ \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{L}_{0} &= \{(t_{j}, m_{j}, l_{j}) | s_{j} \in \mathbb{P}, \langle s_{j} \rangle \mapsto (t_{j}, m_{j}, l_{j})\} \\ \wedge_{p=1}^{\mathcal{N}} \operatorname{hstep}(\mathbb{T}, p, \sigma_{p-1}, \mathbb{L}_{p-1}) \mapsto (\sigma_{p}, \mathbb{L}_{p}) \\ \langle \sigma_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathbb{M}, \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{N}} \rangle^{-1} \mapsto \rho_{\mathcal{N}} \end{split}$$

Here hstep is made of two sub-steps: a call to \simeq_{λ} (on the compiled terms) and a call to progress on the set of links. We claim the following:

Proposition 2.1 (Simulation). $\forall \mathbb{P}, \forall \mathcal{N}$,

$$\operatorname{frun}(\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{N}) \mapsto \rho_{\mathcal{N}} \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{hrun}(\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{N}) \mapsto \rho_{\mathcal{N}}$$

That is, the two executions give the same result. Moreover:

Proposition 2.2 (Simulation fidelity). In the context of hrun, if $\mathbb{T} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$ we have that $\forall p \in 1...N$,

$$\mathsf{fstep}(\mathbb{P}, p, \rho_{p-1}) \mapsto \rho_p \Leftrightarrow \mathsf{hstep}(\mathbb{T}, p, \sigma_{p-1}, \mathbb{L}) \mapsto (\sigma_p, _)$$

In particular this property guarantees that a *failure* in the \mathcal{F}_0 run is matched by a failure in \mathcal{H}_0 at the same step. We consider this property very important from a practical point of view since it guarantees that the execution traces are strongly related and in turn this enables a user to debug a logic program in \mathcal{F}_0 by looking at its execution trace in \mathcal{H}_0 .

XXX permuting hrun does not change the final result if check does not fail eagerly

XXX if we want to apply heuristics, we can apply them in decomp to avoid committing to a non MGU too early

We can define $s_1 \simeq_o s_2$ by specializing the code of hrun to $\mathbb{P} = \{s_1, s_2\}$ as follows:

$$s_{1} \simeq_{o} s_{2} \mapsto \rho \stackrel{def}{=}$$

$$\langle s_{1} \rangle \mapsto (t_{1}, m_{1}, l_{1}) \land \langle s_{2} \rangle \mapsto (t_{2}, m_{2}, l_{2})$$

$$t_{1} \simeq_{\lambda} t_{2} \mapsto \sigma' \land \operatorname{progress}(\{l_{1}, l_{2}\}, \sigma') \mapsto (L, \sigma'') \land$$

$$\langle \sigma'', \{m_{1}, m_{2}\}, L \rangle^{-1} \mapsto \rho$$

Proposition 2.3 (Properties of \simeq_o).

$$s_i \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda} \Rightarrow s_1 \simeq_o s_2 \mapsto \rho \Rightarrow \rho s_1 =_o \rho s_2(correct)$$
 (3)

$$s_i \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda} \Rightarrow \rho s_1 =_o \rho s_2 \Rightarrow \exists \rho', s_1 \simeq_o s_2 \mapsto \rho' \land \rho' \subseteq \rho(complete)$$
 (4)

$$\rho s_1 =_{o} \rho s_2 \Rightarrow \rho' \subseteq \rho \Rightarrow \rho' s_i \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda} \Rightarrow \rho' s_1 \simeq_{o} \rho' s_2 \tag{5}$$

Properties (correct) and (complete) state, respectively, that in \mathcal{L}_{λ} the implementation of \simeq_{o} is correct, complete and returns the most general unifier.

E:fix

Property 2.1 states that \simeq_o , hence our compilation scheme, is resilient to unification problems outside \mathcal{L}_{λ} solved by a third party. We believe this property is of practical interest since we want the user to be able to add heuristics via hand written rules to the ones obtained by our compilation scheme. A Typical example is the following problem (q) that is outside \mathcal{L}_{λ} :

app [F, con"a"] = app[con"f", con"a", con"a"]
$$(q)$$

F = lam x\ app[con"f",x,x] (h)

Instead of rejecting it our scheme accepts it and guarantees that if (h) is given (after the compilation part of the scheme, as a run time hint) then ...

2.1 The intuition in a nutshell

A term s is compiled in a term t where every "problematic" sub term p is replaced by a fresh unification variable h and an accessory link that represent a suspended unification problem $h \simeq_{\lambda} p$. As a result \simeq_{λ} is "well behaved" on t, that is it does not contradict $=_{0}$ as it would otherwise do on "problematic" terms. We now define "problematic" and "well behaved" more formally.

Definition 2.4 (
$$\Diamond \eta$$
). $\Diamond \eta = \{t \mid \exists \rho, \rho t \text{ is an eta expansion}\}\$

An example of term t in $\Diamond \eta$ is $\lambda x.\lambda y.F$ y x since the substitution $\rho = \{F \mapsto \lambda a.\lambda b.fba\}$ makes $\rho t = \lambda x.\lambda y.fxy$ that is the eta long form of f. This term is problematic since its rigid part, the λ -abstractions, cannot justify a unification failure against, say, a constant.

Definition 2.5
$$(\overline{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}})$$
. $\overline{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}} = \{Xt_1 \dots t_n \mid Xt_1 \dots t_n \notin \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}\}.$

An example of t in $\overline{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}}$ is Fa for a constant a. Note however tha an oracle could provide an assignment $\rho = \{F \mapsto \lambda x.x\}$ that makes the resulting term fall back in \mathcal{L}_{λ} .

Definition 2.6 (Subterms $\mathcal{P}(t)$). The set of sub terms of t is the largest set

subtermt that can be obtained by the following rules.

$$t \in \mathcal{P}(t)$$

$$t = ft_1 \dots t_n \Rightarrow \mathcal{P}(t_i) \subseteq \mathcal{P}(t) \land f \in \mathcal{P}(t)$$

$$t = \lambda x.t' \Rightarrow \mathcal{P}(t') \subseteq \mathcal{P}(t)$$

 $^{^1\}mathrm{If}$ the same rule is used multiple time in a run we just consider as many copies as needed of the terms composing the rules, with fresh unification variables each time

We write $\mathcal{P}(X) = \bigcup_{t \in X} \mathcal{P}(t)$ when X is a set of terms.

Definition 2.7 (Well behaved set). Given a set of terms $X \subseteq \mathcal{H}_0$, $W(X) \Leftrightarrow \forall t \in \mathcal{P}(X), t \notin (\overline{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}} \cup \Diamond \eta)$

```
Proposition 2.8 (W-preservation). \forall \mathbb{T}, \forall \mathbb{L}, \forall p, \forall \sigma, \forall \sigma'
\mathcal{W}(\sigma\mathbb{T}) \wedge \sigma\mathbb{T}_{p_l} \simeq_{\lambda} \sigma\mathbb{T}_{p_r} \mapsto \sigma' \Rightarrow \mathcal{W}(\sigma'\mathbb{T})
\mathcal{W}(\sigma\mathbb{T}) \wedge \operatorname{progress}(\mathbb{L}, \sigma) \mapsto (\underline{\ \ }, \sigma') \Rightarrow \mathcal{W}(\sigma'\mathbb{T})
```

A less formal way to state 2.8 is that hstep and progress never "commit" an unneeded λ -abstraction in σ (a λ that could be erased by an η -contraction), nor put in σ a flexible application outside \mathcal{L}_{λ} (an application node that could be erased by a β -reduction).

Note that proposition 2.8 does not hold for \simeq_o as a whole since decompilation can introduce (actually restore) terms in $\Diamond \eta$ or $\overline{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}}$ that were move out of the way (put in $\mathbb L$) during compilation.

3 ALTERNATIVE ENCODINGS AND RELATED WORK

Paper [1] introduces semi-shallow.

Our encoding of CiC may look "semi shallow" since we use the meta-language lambda abstraction but not its application (for the terms of type tm). A fully shallow encoding unfortunately does not fit our use case, although it would make the running example work:

```
finite (fin N). decision (nfact N NF). decision (all A x\ P x) :- finite A, pi x\ decision (P x).
```

There are two reasons for dismissing this encoding. The first one is that in CiC it is not always possible to adopt it since the type system of the meta language is too weak to accommodate terms with a variable arity, like the following example:

```
Fixpoint arr T n := if n is S m then T -> arr T m else T. Definition sum n : arr nat n := .... Check sum 2 7 8 : nat. Check sum 3 7 8 9 : nat.
```

The second reason is the encoding for Coq is used for meta programming the system, hence it must accommodate the manipulation of terms that are now know in advance (not even defined in Coq) without using introspection primitives such as Prologs's functor and arg.

In the literature we could find a few related encoding of CiC. TODO In [3] is related and make the discrepancy between the types of ML and CiC visible. In this case one needs 4 application nodes. Moreover the objective is an encoding of terms, proofs, not proof search. Also note the conv predicate, akin to the unif we rule out.

TODO This other paper [16] should also be cited.

None of the encodings above provide a solution to our problem.

4 PRELIMINARIES: \mathcal{F}_o AND \mathcal{H}_o

In order to reason about unification we provide a description of the \mathcal{F}_0 and \mathcal{H}_0 languages where unification variables are first class terms, i.e. they have a concrete syntax. We keep these languages minimal, for example, we omit the all quantifier of CiC we used in the example in Section 1 together with the type notation of terms carried by the lam constructor.

```
kind fm type.

type fapp list fm -> fm.

type flam (fm -> fm) -> fm.

type fcon string -> fm.

type fuva addr -> fm.

type uva addr -> list tm -> tm.

type uva addr -> list tm -> tm.
```

Figure 1: The \mathcal{F}_o and \mathcal{H}_o languages

Unification variables (fuva term constructor) in \mathcal{F}_0 have no explicit scope: the arguments of an higher order variable are given via the fapp constructor. For example the term $P \times is$ represented as fapp[fuva N, x], where N is a memory address and x is a bound variable.

In \mathcal{H}_o the representation of P x is instead uva N [x], since unification variables come equipped with an explicit scope. We say that the unification variable occurrence uva N L is in \mathcal{L}_λ if and only if L is made of distinct names. The predicate to test this condition is called pattern-fragment:

```
type pattern-fragment list A -> o.
```

Natural numbers represent the memory addresses that identify unification variables in both languages. The memory and its associated operations are described below:

```
kind addr type.
type addr nat -> addr.
typeabbrev (mem A) (list (option A)).

type set? addr -> mem A -> A -> o.
type unset? addr -> mem A -> o.
type assign addr -> mem A -> A -> mem A -> o.
type new mem A -> addr -> mem A -> o.
```

If a memory cell is none, then the corresponding unification variable is not set. assign sets an unset cell to the given value, while new finds the first unused address and sets it to none.

```
E:is new used?
```

Since in \mathcal{H}_o unification variables have a scope, their solution needs to be abstracted over it to enable the instantiation of a single solution to different scopes. This is obtained via the inctx container, and in particular via its abs binding constructor. On the contrary a solution to a \mathcal{F}_0 variable is a plain term.

```
typeabbrev fsubst (mem fm).
kind inctx type -> type.
type abs (tm -> inctx A) -> inctx A.
type val A -> inctx A.
typeabbrev assignment (inctx tm).
typeabbrev subst (mem assignment).
```

We call fsubst the memory of \mathcal{F}_0 , while we call subst the one of \mathcal{H}_0 . Both have the invariant that they are not cyclic, TODO: explain.

```
kind arity type.
type arity nat -> arity.

kind fvariable type.
type fv addr -> fvariable.

kind hvariable type.
type hv addr -> arity -> hvariable.
```

```
470
471
472
473
474
```

```
D:add
ref
to
sec-
tion 7
```

```
kind mapping type.
type mapping fvariable -> hvariable -> mapping.
typeabbrev mmap (list mapping).
```

Invariant 1 (Unification variable Arity). Each variable A in \mathcal{H}_o has a (unique) arity N and each occurrence (uva A L) is such that (len L N) holds

The compiler establishes a mapping between variables of the two languages. In order to preserve invariant 1 we store the arity of each hvariable in the mapping and we reuse an existing mapping only if the arity matches.

When a single fvariable occurs multiple times with different numbers of arguments the compiler generates multiple mappings for it, on a first approximation, and then makes the mapping bijective by introducing η -link; this detail is discussed in section 6.

As we mentioned in section 2.1 the compiler replaces terms in $\Diamond \eta$ and $\overline{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}}$ with fresh variables linked to the problematic terms. Each class of problematic terms has a dedicated link.

```
kind baselink type.
type link-eta tm -> tm -> baselink.
type link-beta tm -> tm -> baselink.
typeabbrev link (inctx baselink).
typeabbrev links (list link).
```

The right hand side of a link, the problematic term, can occur under binders. To accommodate this situation the compiler wraps baselink using the inctx container (see, \cdot \vdash \cdot).

Invariant 2 (Link left hand side). The left hand side of a suspended link is a variable.

New links are suspended by construction. If the left hand side variable is assigned during a step, then the link is considered for progress and possibly eliminated. This is discussed in section 6 and section 8.

4.1 Notational conventions

When we write \mathcal{H}_0 terms outside code blocks we follow the usual λ -calculus notation, reserving f,g,a,b for constants, x,y,z for bound variables and X,Y,Z,F,G,H for unification variables. However we need to distinguish between the "application" of a unification variable to its scope and the application of a term to a list of arguments. We write the scope of unification variables in subscript while we use juxtaposition for regular application. Here a few examples:

```
f \cdot a app[con "f", con "a"] \lambda x.\lambda y.F_{xy} lam x\ lam y\ uva F [x, y] \lambda x.F_{x} \cdot a lam x\ app[uva F [x], con "a"] \lambda x.F_{x} \cdot x lam x\ app[uva F [x], x]
```

When variables x and y can occur in term t we shall write t_{xy} to stress this fact.

We write $\sigma = \{ A_{xy} \mapsto y \}$ for the assignment abs x\abs y\y and $\sigma = \{ A \mapsto \lambda x. \lambda y. y \}$ for lam x\lam y\y .

When detailing examples we write links as equations between two terms under a context. The equality sign is subscripted with kind of baselink. For example $x \vdash A_x =_{\beta} F_x$ a corresponds to:

```
abs x\ val (link-beta (uva A [x]) (app[uva F [x],con "a"]))
```

When it is clear from the context we shall use the same syntax for \mathcal{F}_0 terms (although we never subscripts unification variables).

4.2 Equational theory and Unification

In order to express properties ?? we need to equip \mathcal{F}_o and \mathcal{H}_o with term equality, substitution application and unification.

Term equality: $=_0$ *vs.* $=_{\lambda}$. We extend the equational theory over ground terms to the full languages by adding the reflexivity of unification variables (a variable is equal to itself).

The first four rules are common to both equalities and just define the usual congruence over terms, and since we use an HOAS encoding they also capture α -equivalence. In addition to that $=_0$ has rules for η and β -equivalence.

```
type (=_o) fm -> fm -> o.
                                                                   (=_o)
fcon X =_o fcon X.
fapp A =_o fapp B :- forall2 (=_o) A B.
flam F =_o flam G := pi x \setminus x =_o x => F x =_o G x.
fuva N =_{o} fuva N.
flam F =_o T :=
                                                                    (\eta_l)
  pi x\ beta T [x] (T' x), x =_o x \Rightarrow F x =_o T' x.
T =_o flam F :=
  pi x \land beta T [x] (T' x), x =_o x \Rightarrow T' x =_o F x.
fapp [flam X | L] =_o T :- beta (flam X) L R, R =_o T. (\beta_l)
T =_o fapp [flam X | L] :- beta (flam X) L R, T =_o R. (\beta_r)
type (=_{\lambda}) tm -> tm -> o.
con C =_{\lambda} fcon C.
app A =_{\lambda} fapp B :- forall2 (=_{\lambda}) A B.
lam F =_{\lambda} flam G :- pi x\ x =_{\lambda} x => F x =_{\lambda} G x.
uva N A =_{\lambda} fuva N B :- forall2 (=_{\lambda}) A B.
```

The main point in showing these equality tests is to remark how weaker $=_{\lambda}$ is, and to identify the four rules that need special treatment in the implementation of \simeq_o .

For reference, (beta T A R) reduces away lam nodes in head position in T whenever the list A provides a corresponding argument.

```
type beta fm -> list fm -> fm -> o.
beta A [] A.
beta (flam Bo) [H | L] R :- napp (Bo H) F, beta F L R.
beta (fapp A) L (fapp X) :- append A L X.
beta (fuva N) L (fapp [fuva N | L]).
beta (fcon H) L (fapp [fcon H | L]).
beta N L (fapp [N | L]) :- name N.

type napp fm -> fm -> o.
napp (fcon C) (fcon C).
napp (fuva A) (fuva A).
napp (flam F) (flam G) :- pi x\ napp (F x) (G x).
napp (fapp [fapp L1 | L2]) T :- !,
append L1 L2 L3, napp (fapp L3) T.
```

```
napp (fapp L) (fapp L1) :- map napp L L1. napp N N :- name N.
```

The name predicate holds only on nominal constants (i.e. bound variables). The choice of using n-ary application, rather than binary, is to make it easy to access the application's head. The price we pay is that substituting an application in the head of an application should be amended by "flattening" fapp nodes, that is the job of napp. Finally note that the cut operator is inessential, it could be removed at the cost of a verbose test on the head of L in the second rule about fapp: L's head can be fcon, flam or a name.

Substitution application: ρs and σt . Applying the substitution corresponds to dereferencing a term with respect to the memory. To ease the comparison we split \mathcal{F}_0 dereferencing into a fder step and a napp one. The former step replaces references to memory cells that are set with their values, and has a corresponding operation in \mathcal{H}_0 , namely deref. On the contrary napp has no corresponding operation in \mathcal{H}_0 . The reasons for this asymmetry is that an fapp node with a flexible head is always mapped to a uva (as per section 5.1 and section 8), preventing nested applications to materialize.

```
type fder fsubst -> fm -> o.
fder _ (fcon C) (fcon C).
fder S (fapp A) (fapp B) :- map (fder S) A B.
fder S (flam F) (flam G) :-
    pi x\ fder S x x => fder S (F x) (G x).
fder S (fuva N) R :- set? N S T, fder S T R.
fder S (fuva N) (fuva N) :- unset? N S.

type fderef fsubst -> fm -> o. (ρs)
fderef S T T2 :- fder S T T1, napp T1 T2.
```

Applying the substitution in \mathcal{H}_o is very similar, with the caveat that assignments have to be moved to the current scope, i.e. renaming the abs-bound variables with the names in the scope of the unification variable occurrence.

Note that move strongly relies on invariant 1: the length of the arguments of all occurrences of a unification variable and the number of abstractions in its assignment have to match. In turn this grants that move never fails.

```
type move assignment \rightarrow list tm \rightarrow tm \rightarrow o. move (abs Bo) [H|L] R :- move (Bo H) L R. move (val A) [] A.
```

Term unification: $\simeq_o vs. \simeq_\lambda$. In this paper we assume to have an implementation of \simeq_λ that satisfies properties 1 and 2. Although we provide an implementation in the appendix (that we used for testing purposes) we only describe its signature here. Elpi is expected to provide this brick, as well as any other implementation of *λ*Prolog.

```
type (\simeq_{\lambda}) tm -> tm -> subst -> subst -> o.
```

The only detail worth discussing is the fact that the procedure updates a substitution, rather than just crafting one as presented in section 2. The reason is that the algorithm folds over a term, updating a substitution while it traverses it.

E:explain better

5 BASIC SIMULATION OF \mathcal{F}_o IN \mathcal{H}_o

In this section we describe a basic compilation scheme that we refine later, in the following sections. This scheme is sufficient to implement an \simeq_0 that respects β -conversion for terms in \mathcal{L}_{λ} . The extension to $\eta\beta$ -conversion is described in Section 6 and the support for terms outside \mathcal{L}_{λ} in Section 8.

5.1 Compilation

E:manca beta normal in entrata

The main task of the compiler is to recognize \mathcal{F}_0 variables standing for functions and map them to higher order variables in \mathcal{H}_0 . In order to bring back the substitution from \mathcal{H}_0 to \mathcal{F}_0 the compiler builds a "memory map" connecting the the kind of variables using routine (*malloc*).

The signature of the comp predicate below allows for the generation of links (suspended unification problems) that play no role in this section but play a major role in section 6 and section 8. With respect to section 2 the signature also allows for updates to the substitution. The code below uses that possibility in order to allocate space for the variables, i.e. sets their memory address to none (a details not worth mentioning in the previous discussion).

```
type comp fm -> tm -> mmap -> mmap -> links -> links ->
    subst -> subst -> o.
comp (fcon C) (con C) M M L L S S.
comp (flam F) (lam F1) M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2 :- (c<sub>λ</sub>)
    comp-lam F F1 M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2.
comp (fuva A) (uva B []) M1 M2 L L S1 S2 :-
    m-alloc (fv A) (hv B (arity z)) M1 M2 S1 S2.
comp (fapp [fuva A|Ag]) (uva B Ag1) M1 M2 L L S1 S2 :-
    pattern-fragment Ag, !,
    fold6 comp Ag Ag1 M1 M1 L L S1 S1,
    len Ag Arity,
    m-alloc (fv A) (hv B (arity Arity)) M1 M2 S1 S2.
comp (fapp A) (app A1) M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2 :-
    fold6 comp A A1 M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2.
```

This preliminary version of comp recognizes \mathcal{F}_0 variables applied to a (possibly empty) duplicate free list of names. Note that compiling Ag cannot create new mappings nor links, since Ag is made of bound variables and the hypothetical rule loaded by comp-lam (see below) grants this property.

```
type comp-lam (fm -> fm) -> (tm -> tm) ->
mmap -> mmap -> links -> links -> subst -> o.
```

²Elpi provides it as a builtin, but one could implement it by systematically loading the hypothetical rule name x every time a nominal constant is postulated via pi x\
³Note that napp is an artefact of formalization of \mathcal{F}_o we do in this presentation and, as we explain later, no equivalent of napp is needed in \mathcal{H}_o .

is

E:What

commit-

complete-

mapp<mark>ing?</mark>

links

and

```
comp-lam F G M1 M2 L1 L3 S1 S2 :-
pi x y\ (pi M L S\ comp x y M M L L S S) =>
comp (F x) (G y) M1 M2 L1 (L2 y) S1 S2,
close-links L2 L3.
```

In the code above the syntax $pi \times y$ \... is syntactic sugar for iterated pi abstraction, as in $pi \times pi y$ \...

The auxiliary function close-links tests if the bound variable v really occurs in the link. If it is the case the link is wrapped into an additional abs node binding v. In this way links generated deep inside the compiled terms can be moved outside their original context of binders.

```
type close-links (tm -> links) -> links -> o. close-links (v\[X \ | L \ v]) [X|R] :- !, close-links L R. close-links (v\[X \ v|L \ v]) [abs X|R] :- close-links L R. close-links (_\[]) [].
```

Note that we could remove the first rule, whose solve purpose is to make links more readable by pruning unused context entries.

5.2 Execution

A step in \mathcal{H}_o consists in unifying two terms and reconsidering all links for progress. If any of the two tasks fail we say that the entire step fails, and it is at this granularity that we can relate steps in the two languages.

```
type hstep tm -> tm -> links -> links -> subst -> o. hstep T1 T2 L1 L2 S1 S3 :- (T1 \simeq_{\lambda} T2) S1 S2, progress L1 L2 S2 S3.
```

Note that he infix notation ((A \simeq_{λ} B) C D) is syntactic sugar for ((\simeq_{λ}) A B C D).

Reconsidering links is a fixpoint, since the progress of a link can update the substitution and in turn enable another link to progress.

```
type progress links -> links -> subst -> o.
progress L L2 S1 S3 :-
    progress1 L L1 S1 S2,
    occur-check-links L1,
    if (L = L1, S1 = S2)
        (L2 = L1, S3 = S1)
        (progress L1 L2 S2 S3).
```

In the base compilation scheme progress1 is the identity on both the links and the substitution, so the fixpoint trivially terminates. Sections 6 and 8 add rules to progress1 and justify why the don't hinder termination. For brevity we omit the code that applies the substitution S1 to all terms in \mathbb{L} .

Since compilation moves problematic terms out of the sigh of \simeq_λ , that procedure can only perform a partial occur check. For example the unification problem $X\simeq_\lambda f$ Y cannot generate a cyclic substitution alone, but should be disallowed if a $\mathbb L$ contains a link like $\vdash Y =_\eta \lambda z. X_z$: We don't know yet if Y will feature a lambda in head position, but we surely know it contains X, hence f Y and that fails the occur check. The procedure occur-check-links is in charge of ensuring that each link does not represent a (suspended) unification problem doomed to fail because of occur check. This check is needed in order to guarantee proposition 2.2 (simulation fidelity).

5.3 Substitution decompilation

Decompiling the substitution requires to first force the progress of links and then allocating new unassigned variables in the substitution for \mathcal{F}_0 and finally decompiling all assignments. Note that invariant 2 and the occur check allows us to update the subst.

```
type decompile mmap -> links -> subst ->
  fsubst -> fsubst -> o.
decompile M1 L S F1 F3 :-
  commit-links L S S1,
  complete-mapping S1 S1 M1 M2 F1 F2,
  decompm M2 M2 S1 F2 F3.
```

Decompiling an assignment requires to turn abstractions into lambdas. For aesthetic purposes we also eta-contract the result (not needed since \mathcal{F}_0 equality can do that)

```
type decompm mmap -> mmap -> subst -> fsubst -> o.
decompm _ [] _ F F.
decompm M [mapping (fv V) (hv H _) | MS] S F1 F3 :- set? H S A
  deref-assmt S A A1,
  abs->lam A1 T, decomp M T T1,
  eta-contract T1 T2,
  assign V F1 T2 F2,
  decompm M MS S F2 F3.
decompm M [mapping _ (hv H _) | MS] S F1 F2 :- unset? H S,
  decompm M MS S F1 F2.
```

Finally decompiling a term is trivial, now that we have an extended mapping containing all unassigned variables \simeq_{λ} may have introduced.

```
type decomp mmap -> tm -> fm -> o.
decomp _ (con C) (fcon C).
decomp M (app A) (fapp B) :- map (decomp M) A B.
decomp M (lam F) (flam G) :-
   pi x y\ (pi M\ decomp M x y) => decomp M (F x) (G y).
decomp M (uva Hv Ag) R :-
   mem M (mapping (fv Fv) (hv Hv _)),
   map (decomp M) Ag Bg,
   beta (fuva Fv) Bg R.
```

Note that we use beta to build fapp nodes when needed (if Ag is empty no fapp node should appear).

INVARIANT 3. TODO: dire che il mapping è bijective

5.4 Definition of \simeq_o and its properties

```
type (\simeq_o) fm -> fm -> fsubst -> o. (A \simeq_o B) F :- fo.beta-reduce A A', fo.beta-reduce B B', comp A' A'' [] M1 [] [] [] S1, comp B' B'' M1 M2 [] [] S1 S2, hstep A'' B'' [] [] S2 S3, decompm M2 M2 S3 [] F.
```

The code given so far applies to terms in $\beta\eta$ -normal form where unification variables in \mathcal{F}_0 can occur non linearly but always with the same number of arguments, and where their arguments are distinct names (as per \mathcal{L}_{λ}).

Lemma 5.1 (Compilation round trip). If comp S T [] M [] _ [] _ then decomp M T S

Proof sketch. trivial, since the terms are beta normal beta just builds an app. $\hfill\Box$

Lemma 5.2. Properties (correct) and (complete) hold for the implementation of \simeq_0 above

Proof sketch. In this setting $=_{\lambda}$ is as strong as $=_{o}$ on ground terms. What we have to show is that whenever two different \mathcal{F}_{o} terms can be made equal by a substitution ρ (plus the β_{l} and β_{r} if needed) we can find this ρ by finding a σ via \cong_{λ} on the corresponding \mathcal{H}_{o} terms and by decompiling it. If we look at the \mathcal{F}_{o} terms, the are two interesting cases:

- fuva $X \simeq_{\sigma}$ s. In this case after comp we have $Y \simeq_{\lambda} t$ that succeeds with $\sigma = \{Y \mapsto t\}$ and σ is decompiled to $\rho = \{Y \mapsto s\}$.
- fapp[fuva X|L] \simeq_o s. In this case we have $Y_{\vec{x}} \simeq_\lambda t$ that succeeds with $\sigma = \{\vec{y} \vdash Y \mapsto t[\vec{x}/\vec{y}]\}$ that in turn is decompiled to $\rho = \{Y \mapsto \lambda \vec{y}.s[\vec{x}/\vec{y}]\}$. Thanks to $\beta_l (\lambda \vec{y}.s[\vec{x}/\vec{y}]) \vec{x} =_o$

Since the mapping is a bijection occur check in \mathcal{H}_0 corresponds to occur check in \mathcal{F}_0 .

LEMMA 5.3. Properties simulation (2.1) and fidelity (2.2) hold

PROOF SKETCH. Since progress 1 is trivial fstep and hstep are the same, that is in this context where input terms are $\beta\eta$ -normal and we disregard η -equivalence \simeq_{λ} is equivalent to \simeq_{o} .

5.5 Limitations of by this basic scheme

The basic compilation scheme is not about to deal wit the following problem:

$$\mathbb{P} = \{ \lambda xy. X \cdot y \cdot x \simeq_{o} \lambda xy. x \quad \lambda x. f \cdot (X \cdot x) \cdot x \simeq_{o} Y \}$$

Note that here X is used with different arities, moreover in the second problem the left hand side happens to be an eta expansion (of $f(\lambda y.y.)$) only after we discover (at run time) that $X = \lambda x \lambda y.y$ (i.e. that X discards the x argument). Both problems are addressed in the next two sections.

6 HANDLING OF $\Diamond \eta$

 η -reduction is an equivalence relation where a term of the form $\lambda x.t.x$ can be converted to t any time x does not occur as a free variable in t. We call t the η -contraction of $\lambda x.t.x$.

Following the compilation scheme of section 5.1 the unification problem $\mathbb P$ is compiled as follows:

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P} &= \{ \ \lambda x. X \cdot x \simeq_o f \ \} \\ \mathbb{T} &= \{ \ \lambda x. A_x \simeq_\lambda f \ \} \\ \mathbb{M} &= \{ \ X \mapsto A^1 \ \} \end{split}$$

While $\lambda x.X \ x \simeq_o f$ does admit the solution $\rho = \{X \mapsto f\}$, the corresponding problem in $\mathbb T$ does not: lam x\ uva A [x] and con"f" start with different, rigid, term constructors hence \simeq_{λ} fails.

In order to guarantee proposition 2.1 we detect lambdas that can disappear by eta contraction (section 6.1) and we modify the compiled terms by putting fresh unification variables in their place: the problematic term is moved from $\mathbb T$ to $\mathbb L$ (section 6.2). The compilation of the problem $\mathbb P$ above is refined to:

$$\mathbb{P} = \{ \lambda x. X \cdot x \simeq_{o} f \}$$

$$\mathbb{T} = \{ A \simeq_{\lambda} f \}$$

$$\mathbb{M} = \{ X \mapsto B^{1} \}$$

$$\mathbb{L} = \{ \vdash A =_{n} \lambda x. B_{x} \}$$

As per invariant 2 the term on the left is a variable, and its right counterpart is the term in $\Diamond \eta$. That term has the following property:

Invariant 4 (η -link rhs). The rhs of any η -link has the shape $\lambda x.t$ and t is not a lambda.

 η -link are kept in the link store $\mathbb L$ during execution and activated when some conditions hold on lhs or rhs. Link activation is implemented by extending the progress1 predicate (defined in section 5.2).

6.1 Detection of $\Diamond \eta$

When compiling a term t we need to determine if any subterm $s \in \mathcal{P}(t)$ that is of the form $\lambda x.r$, where x occurs in r, can be a η -expansion, i.e. if there exists a substitution ρ such that $\rho(\lambda x.r) =_{o} s$. The detection of lambda abstractions that can "disappear" is not as trivial as it may seems, here a few examples:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \lambda x.f\cdot (A\cdot x) & \in \Diamond \eta & \rho = \{\,A \mapsto \lambda x.x\,\} \\ \lambda x.f\cdot (A\cdot x)\cdot x & \in \Diamond \eta & \rho = \{\,A \mapsto \lambda x.a\,\} \\ \lambda x.f\cdot x\cdot (A\cdot x) & \notin \Diamond \eta \\ \lambda x.\lambda y.f\cdot (A\cdot x)\cdot (B\cdot y\cdot x) & \in \Diamond \eta & \rho = \{\,A \mapsto \lambda x.x,\, B \mapsto \lambda y.\lambda x.y\,\} \end{array}$$

The first two examples are easy, and show how a unification variable can expose or erase a variable in their scope and turn the resulting term in an η -expansion or not.

The third example shows that when a variable occurs outside the scope of a unification variable it cannot be erased and can hence prevent a term from being an η -expansion.

The last example shows the recursive nature of the check we need to implement. The term starts with a spine of two lambdas hence the whole term is in $\Diamond \eta$ iff the inner term $\lambda y.f\cdot (A\cdot x)\cdot (B\cdot y\cdot x)$ is in $\Diamond \eta$ itself. If it is, it could η -contract to $f\cdot (A\cdot x)$ making $\lambda x.f\cdot (A\cdot x)$ a potential η -expansion.

We can now define more formally how $\Diamond \eta$ terms are detected together with its auxiliary functions:

Definition 6.1 (may-contract-to). A β -normal term s may-contract-to a name x if there exists a substitution ρ such that $\rho s =_{\rho} x$.

LEMMA 6.2. A β -normal term $s = \lambda x_1 \dots x_n.t$ may-contract-to x only if one of the following three conditions holds:

- (1) n = 0 and t = x;
- (2) t is the application of x to a list of terms l and each l_i may-contract-to x_i (e.g. $\lambda x_1 \dots x_n \cdot x_1 \dots x_n = 0$ x);
- (3) t is a unification variable with scope W, and for any $v \in \{x, x_1 \dots x_n\}$, there exists a $w_i \in W$, such that w_i maycontract-to v (if n = 0 this is equivalent to $x \in W$).

PROOF SKETCH. Since our terms are in β -normal form there is only one rule that can play a role (namely η_l), hence if the term s is not exactly x (case 1) it can only be an η -expansion of x, or a unification variable that can be assigned to x, or a combination of

D:Not too clear?

both. If s begins with a lambda, then the lambda can only disappear by η contraction. In that case the term t is under the spine of binders $x_1 \dots x_n$, t can either be x applied to terms that can may-contract-to these variables (case 2), or a unification variable that can be assigned to that application (case 3).

Definition 6.3 (occurs-rigidly). A name x occurs-rigidly in a β -normal term t, if $\forall \rho, x \in \mathcal{P}(\rho t)$

In other words x occurs-rigidly in t if it occurs in t outside of the scope of unification variables since an instantiation is allowed to discard x from the scope of the unification variable. Note that η -contraction cannot make x disappear, since the variables being erased by η -contraction are locally bound inside t.

We can now derive the implementation for $\Diamond \eta$ detection:

Definition 6.4 (maybe-eta). Given a β -normal term $s = \lambda x_1 \dots x_n . t$, *maybe-eta s* holds if any of the following holds:

- (1) t is a constant or a name applied to the arguments $l_1 \dots l_m$ such that $m \ge n$ and for every i such that $m n < i \le m$ the term l_i may-contract-to x_i , and no x_i occurs-rigidly in $l_1 \dots l_{m-n}$;
- (2) t is a unification variable with scope W and for each x_i there exists a $w_j \in W$ such that w_j may-contract-to x_i .

Lemma 6.5 ($\Diamond \eta$ detection). If t is a β -normal term and may be eta t holds, then $t \in \Diamond \eta$.

Proof sketch. Follows from definition 6.3 and lemma 6.2 □

Remark that the converse of lemma 6.5 does not hold: there exists a term t satisfying the criteria (1) of definition 6.4 that is not in $\Diamond \eta$, i.e. there exists no substitution ρ such that ρt is an η -expansion. A simple counter example is $\lambda x.f\cdot (A\cdot x)\cdot (A\cdot x)$ since x does not occurrigidly in the first argument of f, and the second argument of f may-contract-to x. In other words A x may either use or discard x, but our analysis does not take into account that the same term cannot have two contrasting behaviors.

As we will see in the rest of this section this is not a problem since it does not break proposition 2.1 nor proposition 2.2.

6.2 Compilation and decompilation

The following rule is inserted just before rule (c_{λ}) from the code in section 5.1.

```
comp (flam F) (uva A Scope) M1 M2 L1 L3 S1 S3 :-
maybe-eta (flam F) [], !,
  alloc S1 A S2,
  comp-lam F F1 M1 M2 L1 L2 S2 S3,
  get-scope (lam F1) Scope,
  L3 = [val (link-eta (uva A Scope) (lam F1)) | L2].
```

The rule triggers when the input term flam F is in $\Diamond \eta$. It compiles flam F to lam F1 but puts the fresh variable A in its place. This variable sees all the names free in lam F1. The critical part of this rule is the creation of the η -link, which relates the variable A with lam F1. This link clearly validates invariant 2.

COROLLARY 6.6. The rhs of any η -link has exactly one lambda abstraction, hence the rule above respects invariant 4.

PROOF SKETCH. By contradiction, suppose that the rule above is triggered and that the rhs of the link is $\lambda x.\lambda y.t_{xy}$. If $maybe-eta \lambda y.t_{xy}$ holds the recursive call to comp (made by comp-lam) must have put a fresh variable in its place, so this case is impossible. Otherwise, if $maybe-eta \lambda y.t_{xy}$ does not hold, also $maybe-eta \lambda x.\lambda y.t_{xy}$ does not hold, contradicting the assumption that the rule triggered. \Box

D:Dire della commit-links: intuizione fa round trip

6.3 Progress

 η -link are meant to delay the unification of "problematic" terms until we know for sure if the term has to be η -contracted or not.

Definition 6.7 (progress- η -left). A link $\Gamma \vdash X =_{\eta} T$ is removed from $\mathbb L$ when X becomes rigid. Let $y \in \Gamma$, there are two cases:

- (1) if X = a or X = y or $X = f \cdot a_1 \dots a_n$ we unify the η -expansion of X with T, that is we run $\lambda x.X \cdot x \simeq_{\lambda} T$
- (2) if $X = \lambda x.t$ we run $X \simeq_{\lambda} T$.

Definition 6.8 (progress- η -right). A link $\Gamma \vdash X =_{\eta} T$ is removed from \mathbb{L} when either 1) maybe-eta T does not hold (anymore) or 2) by η -contracting T to T', T' is a term not starting with the lam constructor. In the first case, X is unified with T and in the second one, X is unified with T' (under the context Γ).

There is a third case in which a link is removed from \mathbb{L} , namely when the lhs is assigned to a variable that is the lhs of another η -link.

Definition 6.9 (progress- η -deduplicate). A link $\Gamma \vdash X_{\vec{s}} =_{\eta} T$ is removed from $\mathbb L$ when another link $\Delta \vdash X_{\vec{r}} =_{\eta} T'$ is in $\mathbb L$. By invariant 1 the length of \vec{s} and \vec{r} is the same hence we can move the term T' from Δ to Γ by renaming its bound variables, i.e. $T'' = T'[\vec{r}/\vec{s}]$. We then run $T \simeq_{\lambda} T''$ (under the context Γ).

D:Below the proof of proposition 2.8, ho usato 3 lemmi ausiliari, forse si può compattare in una prova più piccola?

Lemma 6.10. Given a η -link l, the unification done by progress- η -left is between terms in W

PROOF SKETCH. Let σ be the substitution, such that $\mathcal{W}(\sigma)$. lhs $\in \sigma$, therefore $\mathcal{W}(\text{lhs})$. By definition 6.7, if 1) lhs is a name, a constant of an application, then, lhs is unified with the η -reduced term t obtain from rhs. By corollary 6.6, rhs has one lambda, therefore $\mathcal{W}(t)$. Otherwise, 2) lhs has lam as functor, rhs should not be an η -expansion ans, so, $\mathcal{W}(\text{rhs})$. In both cases, unification is performed between terms in \mathcal{W} .

Lemma 6.11. Given a η -link l, the unification done by progress- η -right is between terms in W.

PROOF SKETCH. Ihs is variable, and, by definition 6.8, rhs is either no more a $\Diamond \eta$, i.e. rhs is not a η -expansion and, so, $\mathcal{W}(\text{rhs})$. Otherwise, rhs can reduce to a term which cannot be a η -expansion, and, so, $\mathcal{W}(\text{rhs})$. In both cases, unification is done between terms in \mathcal{W} .

Lemma 6.12. Given a η -link l, the unification done by progress- η -deduplicate is between terms in W.

PROOF. Trivial, since the unification is done between unification variables, which are by definition in W.

Lemma 6.13. Proposition 2.8 holds, i.e., given a substitution σ and a η -link l, after the activation of l, $W(\sigma)$ holds.

PROOF SKETCH. By lemmas 6.10 to 6.12, every unification performed by the activation of a η -link is performed between terms in W, therefore, the substitution remains W.

LEMMA 6.14. progress terminates.

Proof sketch. Rules definitions 6.7 and 6.8 and definition 6.9 remove one link from \mathbb{L} , hence they cannot be applied indefinitely. Moreover each rule only relies on terminating operations such as \simeq_{λ} , η -contraction, η -expansion, relocation (a recursive copy of a finite term).

D:Proove simulation fidelity, dicendo che *progress-η-right* è inutile

Example of progress-η-left. The example at the beginning of section 6, once $\sigma = \{A \mapsto f\}$, triggers this rule since the link becomes $\vdash f =_{\eta} \lambda x.B_X$ and the lhs is a constant. In turn the rule runs $\lambda x.f \cdot x \simeq_{\lambda} \lambda x.B_X$, resulting in $\sigma = \{A \mapsto f ; B_X \mapsto f\}$. Decompilation the generates $\rho = \{X \mapsto f\}$, since X is mapped to B and f is the η -contracted version of $\lambda x.f \cdot x$.

Example of progress- η -deduplicate. A very basic example of η -link deduplication, is given below:

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P} &= \{ \ \lambda x.(X \cdot x) \simeq_o \ \lambda x.(Y \cdot x) \ \} \\ \mathbb{T} &= \{ \qquad A \simeq_\lambda C \qquad \} \\ \mathbb{M} &= \{ \ X \mapsto B^1 \quad Y \mapsto D^1 \ \} \\ \mathbb{L} &= \{ \ \vdash A =_\eta \ \lambda x.B_x \quad \vdash C =_\eta \ \lambda x.D_x \ \} \end{split}$$

The result of $A \simeq_{\lambda} C$ is that the two η -link share the same lhs. By unifying the two rhs we get $\sigma = \{A \mapsto C, B \mapsto D \}$. In turn, given the map \mathbb{M} , this second assignment is decompiled to $\rho = \{X \mapsto Y\}$ as expected.

We delay at the end of next section an example of η -link progression due to $progress-\eta$ -right

7 ENFORCING INVARIANT 1

We report here the problem given in section 5.5 where X is used with two different arities and the output of the compilation does not respect invariant 3 (merging the two mappings for s would break invariant 1). In this section we explain how to replace the duplicate mapping with some η -link in order to restore the invariants.

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P} &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \lambda x.\lambda y.(X\cdot y\cdot x) &\simeq_o \lambda x.\lambda y.x & \lambda x.(f\cdot (X\cdot x)\cdot x) \simeq_o Y \right. \\ \mathbb{T} &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} A \simeq_\lambda \lambda x.\lambda y.x & D \simeq_\lambda F \right. \\ \mathbb{M} &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} X \mapsto E^1 & Y \mapsto F^0 & X \mapsto C^2 \right. \\ \mathbb{L} &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \vdash D =_\eta \lambda x.(f\cdot E_x \cdot x) & \vdash A =_\eta \lambda x.B_x \\ x \vdash B_x =_\eta \lambda y.C_{yx} \end{array} \right. \\ \end{split}$$

We see that the maybe-eta as identified $\lambda xy.X\cdot y\cdot x$ and $\lambda x.f\cdot (X\cdot x)\cdot x$ and the compiler has replaced them with A and D respectively. However, the mapping $\mathbb M$ breaks invariant 3: the $\mathcal F_o$ variable X is mapped to two different $\mathcal H_o$ variables. To address this problem we adjust the compiler's output with a map-deduplication procedure.

Definition 7.1 (align-arity). Given two mappings $m_1 : X \mapsto A^m$ and $m_2 : X \mapsto C^n$ where m < n and d = n - m, align-arity $m_1 m_2$ generates the following d links, one for each i such that $0 \le i < d$,

$$x_0 \dots x_{m+i} \vdash B^i_{x_0 \dots x_{m+i}} =_{\eta} \lambda x_{m+i+1} . B^{i+1}_{x_0 \dots x_{m+i+1}}$$

where B^i is a fresh variable of arity m + i, and $B^0 = A$ as well as $B^d = C$

The intuition is that we η -expand the occurrence of the variable with lower arity to match the higher arity. Since each η -link can add exactly one lambda, we need as many links as the difference between the two arities.

Definition 7.2 (map-deduplication). For all mappings $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{M}$ such that $m_1 : X \mapsto A^m$ and $m_2 : X \mapsto C^n$ and m < n we remove m_1 from \mathbb{M} and add to \mathbb{L} the result of align-arity m_1 m_2 .

If we look back the example give at the beginning of this section, we can deduplicate $X \mapsto E^1, X \mapsto C^2$ by removing the first mapping and adding the auxiliary η -link: $x \vdash E_X =_{\eta} \lambda y.C_{xy}$. After deduplication the compiler output is as follows:

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P} &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \lambda x.\lambda y.(X \cdot y \cdot x) &\simeq_o \lambda x.\lambda y.x \\ \mathbb{T} &= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} A \simeq_\lambda \lambda x.\lambda y.x \\ \lambda x.\lambda y.x \\ \end{array} \right. & D \simeq_\lambda F \right\} \\ \mathbb{M} &= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} Y \mapsto F^0 & X \mapsto C^2 \\ X \mapsto E_x =_\eta \lambda y.C_{xy} \\ + A =_\eta \lambda x.B_x \\ \end{array} \right. & \times \left. \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} B_x \cdot (f \cdot E_x \cdot x) \\ B_x =_\eta \lambda y.C_{yx} \\ \end{array} \right. \end{split} \right\} \end{split}$$

In this example, \mathbb{T}_1 assigns A which triggers \mathbb{L}_3 and then \mathbb{L}_4 by definition 6.7. C_{yx} is therefore assigned to x (the second variable of its scope). We can finally see the $progress-\eta-right$ of \mathbb{L}_1 : its rhs is now $\lambda y.y$ (C_{xy} gives y). Since it is no more in $\Diamond \eta$, $\lambda y.y$ is unified with E_x . Moreover, \mathbb{L}_2 is also triggered due to definition 6.8: $\lambda x.(f\cdot(\lambda y.y)\cdot x)$ is η -reducible to $f\cdot(\lambda y.y)$ which is a term not starting with the lam constructor.

8 HANDLING OF $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{\lambda}$

D:I've rewritten it, it is clearer?

Until now, we have only dealt we unification of terms in \mathcal{L}_{λ} . However, we want the unification relation to be more robust so that it can work with terms in $\overline{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}}$. In general, unification in $\overline{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}}$ admits more then one solution and committing one of them in the substitution does not guarantee prop. (*complete*). For instance, $X \cdot a \simeq_0 a$ is a unification problem admits two different substitutions: $\rho_1 = \{X \mapsto \lambda x.x\}$ and $\rho_2 = \{X \mapsto \lambda_- a\}$. Prefer one over the other may break future unifications.

It is the case that, given a list of unification problems, $\mathbb{P}_1 \dots \mathbb{P}_n$ with \mathbb{P}_n in $\overline{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}}$, the resolution of $\bigwedge_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{P}_i$ gives a partial substitution ρ , such that $\rho \mathbb{P}_n$ falls again in \mathcal{L}_{λ} .

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P} &= \{ \ X \simeq_o \ \lambda x. Y \quad (X \cdot a) \simeq_o \ a \ \} \\ \mathbb{T} &= \{ \ A \simeq_\lambda \ \lambda x. B \quad (A \cdot a) \simeq_\lambda \ a \ \} \\ \mathbb{M} &= \{ \ Y \mapsto B^0 \quad X \mapsto A^0 \ \} \end{split}$$

In the example above, we see that \mathbb{P}_1 instantiates X so that \mathbb{P}_2 , can be solved in \mathcal{L}_{λ} .

E:it is even a ground term, there is no unification left to perform actually

D:i don't understand the note

1220

1221

1225

1226

1227

1230

1231

1232

1233

1234

1235

1236

1237

1238

1239

1240

1241

1242

1243

D:L'hc

ri-

for-

mu-

lato

1250

1251

1252

1253

1256

1257

1258

D:L'h

1262

1263

1264

1265

1266

1269

1270

1271

1272

1273

1274

1275

1276

riscritto

On the other hand, we see that, \simeq_{λ} can't solve the compiled problems \mathbb{T} . In fact, the resolution of \mathbb{T}_1 gives the substitution $\sigma =$ $\{A \mapsto \lambda x.B\}$, but the dereferencing of \mathbb{T}_2 gives the non-unifiable problem $(\lambda x.B)$ $a \simeq_{\lambda} a$.

To address this unification problem, term compilation should capture the terms t in \mathcal{L}_{λ} and replace them with fresh variables X. The variables *X* and the terms *t* are linked through a β -link.

 β -link guarantees invariant 2 and the term on the rhs has the following property:

```
D:Is it clearer?
```

1161

1162

1163

1164

1165

1166

1167

1168

1169

1173

1174

1175

1176

1177

1178

1179

1180

1181

1182

1183

1184

1185

1186

1187

1188

1189

1190

1191

1192

1193

1194

1195

1198

1199

1200

1201

1202

1203

1204

1205

1206

1207

1208

1209

1211

1212

1213

1214

1215

1216

1217

1218

INVARIANT 5 (β -link rhs). The rhs of any β -link has the shape $X_{s_1...s_n}$ $t_1...t_m$ such that X is a unification variable with scope $s_1 \dots s_n$ and $t_1 \dots t_m$ is a list of terms. This is equivalent to app[uva X S | L] where $S = s_1 \dots s_n$ and $L = t_1 \dots t_m$.

Lemma 8.1. If the lhs of a β -link is instantiated to a rigid term and its rhs counterpart is still in $\overline{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}}$, the original unification problem is not in \mathcal{L}_{λ} and the unification fails.

PROOF SKETCH. Given $X t_1 \dots t_n \simeq_{\lambda} t$ where t is a rigid term and $t_1 \dots t_n$ is not in \mathcal{L}_{λ} . By construction, $X \cdot t_1 \dots t_n$ is replaced with a variable Y, and the β -link $\Gamma \vdash Y =_{\beta} X t_1 \dots t_n$ is created. The unification instantiates Y to t, making the lhs of the link a rigid term, while rhs is still in $\overline{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}}$. The original problem is in fact outside \mathcal{L}_{λ} .

Compilation and decompilation 8.1

Detection of \mathcal{L}_{λ} is quite simple to implement in the compiler, since it is sufficient to detect applications with flexible head and argument that are not in \mathcal{L}_{λ} .

```
comp (fapp [fuva A[Ag]) (uva B Scope) M1 M3 L1 L3 S1 S4 :- !,
 pattern-fragment-prefix Ag Pf Extra,
 len Pf Arity,
 alloc S1 B S2,
 m-alloc (fv A) (hv C (arity Arity)) M1 M2 S2 S3,
                  Pf1
 fold6 comp Pf
                         M2 M2 L1 L1 S3 S3,
 fold6 comp Extra Extra1 M2 M3 L1 L2 S3 S4,
 Beta = app [uva C Pf1 | Extra1],
 get-scope Beta Scope,
 L3 = [val (link-beta (uva B Scope) Beta) | L2].
```

The list Ag is split into the list Pf and Extra such that append Pf Extra Ag \mathbb{L} , however, by lemma 6.14, the algorithm terminates. and Pf is the largest prefix of Ag such that Pf is in \mathcal{L}_{λ} . The rhs of the β -link is the application of a fresh variable C having in scope all the free variables appearing in the compiled version of Pf and Extra. The variable B, returned has the compiled term, is a fresh variable having in scope all the free variables occurring in Pf1 and

Invariant 6. The rhs of a β -link has the shape $X_{s_1...s_n} \cdot t_1 \dots t_m$. COROLLARY 8.2. Let $X_{s_1...s_n}$ $t_1 ... t_m$ be the rhs of a β -link, then

PROOF SKETCH. Assume we have a β -link, by contradiction, if m = 0, then the original \mathcal{F}_0 term has the shape fapp[fuva M | Ag] where Ag is a list of distinct names (i.e. the list Extra is empty). This case is however captured by rule (c_{λ}) (from section 5.1) and no β -link is produced which contradicts our initial assumption. \Box

COROLLARY 8.3. Let $X_{s_1...s_n}$ $t_1...t_m$ be the rhs of a β -link, then t_1 either appears in $s_1 \dots s_n$ or it is not a name.

PROOF SKETCH. By construction, the lists $s_1 ldots s_n$ and $t_1 ldots t_m$ are built by splitting the list Ag from the original term fapp [fuva A|Ag]. $s_1 \dots s_n$ is the longest prefix of the compiled terms in Ag which is in \mathcal{L}_{λ} . Therefore, by definition of \mathcal{L}_{λ} , t_1 must appear in $s_1 \dots s_n$, otherwise $s_1 \dots s_n$ is not the longest prefix in \mathcal{L}_{λ} , or it is a term with a constructor of tm as functor.

E:Dire che maybe eta fa il detect anche su termini che non sono il llambda, oppure dirlo in section of maybeeta + dare un esempio?

D:Dire della commit-links: intuizione non devono esserci beta per corollary

8.2 Progress

The activation of a β -link is performed when its rhs falls under \mathcal{L}_{λ} under a given substitution.

Definition 8.4 (progress-beta- \mathcal{L}_{λ}). Given a substitution σ and a β -link $\Gamma \vdash T =_{\beta} X_{s_1...s_n} \cdot t_1 \ldots t_m$ such that σt_1 is a name, say t, and $t \notin s_1 \dots s_n$. If m = 0, then the β -link is removed and lhs is unified with $X_{s_1...s_n}$. If m>0, then the β -link is replaced by a refined version $\Gamma \vdash T =_{\beta} Y_{s_1...s_n,t} t_2...t_m$ with reduced list of arguments and Y being a fresh variable. Moreover, the new link $\Gamma \vdash X_{s_1...s_n} =_{\eta} \lambda x. Y_{s_1...s_n,x}$ is added to \mathbb{L} .

Definition 8.5 (progress-beta-rigid-head). A link $\Gamma \vdash X =_{\beta} X_{s_1...s_n}$ is removed from \mathbb{L} if $X_{s_1...s_n}$ is instantiated to a term t and the β reduced term t' obtained from the application of t to $l_1 \dots l_m$ is in \mathcal{L}_{λ} . Moreover, *X* is unified to *t*.

Lemma 8.6. progress terminates

PROOF SKETCH. Let l a β -link in the store \mathbb{L} . If l is activated by *progress-beta-rigid-head*, then it disappears from \mathbb{L} and progress terminates. Otherwise, the rhs of l is made by a variable applied to m arguments. At each activation of progress-beta- \mathcal{L}_{λ} , l is replaced by a new β -link l^1 having m-1 arguments. At the m^{th} iteration, the β -link l^m has no more arguments and is removed from \mathbb{L} . Note that at the m^{th} iteration, m new η -link have been added to

E:funziona, per essere più precisi io parlerei di ordine lessicografico (tipico ordine ben fondato usato per dimostrare terminazione). Nl nostro caso è la tripla (argomenti extra dei beta, numero di beta, numero di eta).

COROLLARY 8.7. Given a β -link, the variables occurring in its rhs are in \mathcal{L}_{λ} .

D:is it clearer?

Proof sketch. By construction, the rhs of β -link has the shape $X_{s_1...s_n}$ $t_1...t_m$, $s_1...s_n$ is in \mathcal{L}_{λ} and all the terms $t_1...t_n$ are in \mathcal{L}_{λ} , too. If a β -link is triggered by *progress-beta-rigid-head*, then, by definition 8.5, that link is removed by \mathbb{L} , and the property is satisfied. If the η -link is activated by *progress-beta-L*_{λ}, then, by

definition 8.4, the new β -link as a variable as a scope which is still in \mathcal{L}_{λ} .

Lemma 8.8. Given a $\mathbb T$ and a substitution σ then the resolution of $\sigma \mathbb T$ guarantees proposition 2.2

PROOF SKETCH. If $\sigma \mathbb{T}$ is in \mathcal{L}_{λ} , then by definitions 8.4 and 8.5, then β -link disappear and the unification done between terms in \mathcal{L}_{λ} . This problem unifies iff its corresponding \mathcal{F}_{0} problem unifies too. If $\sigma \mathbb{T}$ is in $\overline{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}}$, then, by lemma 8.1, the unification fails, as per the corresponding unification in \mathcal{F}_{0} .

Example of progress-beta- \mathcal{L}_{λ} . Consider the β -link below:

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P} &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} X \simeq_o \lambda x.x & \lambda x.(Y \cdot (X \cdot x)) \simeq_o f \end{array} \right\} \\ \mathbb{T} &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} A \simeq_\lambda \lambda x.x & B \simeq_\lambda f \end{array} \right\} \\ \mathbb{M} &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} Y \mapsto D^0 & X \mapsto A^0 \end{array} \right\} \\ \mathbb{L} &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} + A =_\eta \lambda x.E_x & \vdash B =_\eta \lambda x.C_x \\ x \vdash C_x =_\beta (D \cdot E_x) \end{array} \right\} \end{split}$$

Initially the β -link rhs is a variable D applied to the E_x . The first unification problem results in $\sigma = \{A \mapsto \lambda x.x\}$. In turn this instantiation triggers \mathbb{L}_1 by $progress-\eta$ -left and E_x is assigned to x. Under this substitution the β -link becomes $x \vdash C_x =_\beta (D \cdot x)$, and by progress-beta- \mathcal{L}_λ it is replaced with the link: $\vdash E =_\eta \lambda x.D_x$, while C_x is unified with D_x . The second unification problem assigns f to B, that in turn activates the second η -link (f is assigned to C), and then all the remaining links are solved. The final \mathcal{H}_0 substitution is $\sigma = \{A \mapsto \lambda x.x, B \mapsto f, C_x \mapsto (f \cdot x), D \mapsto f, E_x \mapsto x, F_x \mapsto C_x\}$ and is decompiled into $\rho = \{X \mapsto \lambda x.x, Y \mapsto f\}$.

Example of progress-beta-rigid-head. We can take the example provided in section 8. The problem is compiled into:

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P} &= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} X \simeq_o \lambda x.Y & (X \cdot a) \simeq_o a \end{array} \right\} \\ \mathbb{T} &= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} A \simeq_\lambda \lambda x.B & C \simeq_\lambda a \end{array} \right\} \\ \mathbb{M} &= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} Y \mapsto B^0 & X \mapsto A^0 \end{array} \right\} \\ \mathbb{L} &= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} + C =_\beta (A \cdot a) \end{array} \right\} \end{split}$$

The first unification problems is solved by the substitution $\sigma = \{A \mapsto \lambda x.B\}$. The β -link becomes $\vdash C =_{\beta} ((\lambda x.B) \cdot a)$ whose rhs can be β -reduced to B. B is in \mathcal{L}_{λ} and is unified with C. The resolution of the second unification problem gives the final substitution $\sigma = \{A \mapsto \lambda x.B, B \mapsto C, C \mapsto a\}$ which is decompiled into $\rho = \{X \mapsto \lambda x.a, Y \mapsto a\}$.

8.3 Relaxing lemma 8.1: tobe renamed

Working with terms in \mathcal{L}_{λ} is sometime too restrictive. There exists systems such as λProlog [11], Abella [6], which delay the resolution of $\overline{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}}$ unification problems if the substitution is not able to put them in \mathcal{L}_{λ} .

$$\mathbb{P} = \{ \ (X \cdot a) \simeq_o \ a \qquad X \simeq_o \ \lambda x.Y \ \}$$

In the example above, \mathbb{P}_1 is in $\overline{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}}$ and the object language cannot solve it, and, by proposition 2.2, the meta language neither. However, we can be more permissive, and relax lemma 8.1. This modification is quite simple to manage: we are introducing a new $\overline{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}}$ progress rule, say $\operatorname{progress-beta-}\overline{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}}$, by which, if lhs is rigid and rhs is flexible, the considered β -link is kept in the store and no progression is

done⁴. *progress-beta-* \mathcal{L}_{λ} makes occur-check-links partial, since the check is possible only on links with a variable on the lhs. This means that we can have two links $\vdash X =_{\beta} Y \cdot a$ and $\vdash f \cdot X =_{\beta} Y \cdot a$ where the occur check does not throw an error. Note however, that the decompilation of the two links will force the unification of X to $Y \cdot a$ and then the unification of $f \cdot (Y \cdot a)$ to $Y \cdot a$, which fails by the occur check of \simeq_{λ} .

A second strategy to deal with problem that are in $\overline{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}}$ is to make some approximation. This is the case for example of the unification algorithm of Coq used in its type class solver [17]. The approximation consists in forcing a choice (among the others) when the unification problem is in $\overline{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}}$. For instance, in $X \cdot a \cdot b = Y \cdot b$, the last argument of the two terms is the same, therefore Y is assigned to Xa. Note that this is of course an approximation, since $\sigma = \{X = \lambda x.Y, Y = _\}$ is another valid substitution for the original problem. This approximation can be easily introduced in our unification procedure, by adding new custom β -link progress rules.

D:Dire qualcosa sulla commit-links

9 PRACTICAL EXAMPLE

10 CONCLUSION

REFERENCES

- [1] Cvetan Dunchev, Claudio Sacerdoti Coen, and Enrico Tassi. "Implementing HOL in an Higher Order Logic Programming Language". In: Proceedings of the Eleventh Workshop on Logical Frameworks and Meta-Languages: Theory and Practice. LFMTP '16. Porto, Portugal: Association for Computing Machinery, 2016. ISBN: 9781450347778. DOI: 10.1145/2966268. 2966272. URL: https://doi.org/10.1145/2966268.2966272.
- [2] Cvetan Dunchev et al. "ELPI: Fast, Embeddable, λProlog Interpreter". In: Logic for Programming, Artificial Intelligence, and Reasoning 20th International Conference, LPAR-20 2015, Suva, Fiji, November 24-28, 2015, Proceedings. Ed. by Martin Davis et al. Vol. 9450. 2015, pp. 460-468. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-662-48899-7_32. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-48899-7%5C 32.
- [3] Amy Felty. "Encoding the Calculus of Constructions in a Higher-Order Logic". In: ed. by M. Vardi. IEEE, June 1993, pp. 233–244. DOI: 10.1109/LICS.1993.287584.
- [4] Amy Felty and Dale Miller. "Specifying theorem provers in a higher-order logic programming language". In: Ninth International Conference on Automated Deduction. Ed. by Ewing Lusk and Ross Overbeck. 310. Argonne, IL: Springer, May 1988, pp. 61–80. DOI: 10.1007/BFb0012823.
- [5] Davide Fissore and Enrico Tassi. "A new Type-Class solver for Coq in Elpi". In: *The Coq Workshop 2023*. Bialystok, Poland, July 2023. URL: https://inria.hal.science/hal-04467855.
- [6] Andrew Gacek. The Abella Interactive Theorem Prover (System Description). 2008. arXiv: 0803.2305 [cs.L0].
- Benjamin Grégoire, Jean-Christophe Léchenet, and Enrico Tassi. "Practical and sound equality tests, automatically –

⁴This new rule trivially guarantees the termination of progress

- Deriving eqType instances for Jasmin's data types with Coq-Elpi". In: *CPP '23: 12th ACM SIGPLAN International Conference on Certified Programs and Proofs.* CPP 2023: Proceedings of the 12th ACM SIGPLAN International Conference on Certified Programs and Proofs. Boston MA USA, France: ACM, Jan. 2023, pp. 167–181. DOI: 10.1145/3573105.3575683. URL: https://inria.hal.science/hal-03800154.
- [8] RALF JUNG et al. "Iris from the ground up: A modular foundation for higher-order concurrent separation logic". In: Journal of Functional Programming 28 (2018), e20. DOI: 10.1017/S0956796818000151.
- [9] Dale Miller. "Unification under a mixed prefix". In: Journal of Symbolic Computation 14.4 (1992), pp. 321–358. DOI: 10. 1016/0747-7171(92)90011-R.
- [10] Dale Miller and Gopalan Nadathur. Programming with Higher-Order Logic. Cambridge University Press, 2012. DOI: 10.1017/ CBO9781139021326.
- [11] Gopalan Nadathur and Dale Miller. "An Overview of Lambda-Prolog". In: June 1988, pp. 810–827.
- [12] Tobias Nipkow, Lawrence C. Paulson, and Markus Wenzel. Isabelle/HOL A Proof Assistant for Higher-Order Logic. Vol. 2283. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer, 2002. ISBN: 3-540-43376-7.
- [13] Lawrence C. Paulson. "Set theory for verification. I: from foundations to functions". In: J. Autom. Reason. 11.3 (Dec. 1993), pp. 353–389. ISSN: 0168-7433. DOI: 10.1007/BF00881873. URL: https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00881873.
- [14] F. Pfenning. "Elf: a language for logic definition and verified metaprogramming". In: Proceedings of the Fourth Annual Symposium on Logic in Computer Science. Pacific Grove, California, USA: IEEE Press, 1989, pp. 313–322. ISBN: 0818619546.
- [15] Frank Pfenning and Carsten Schürmann. "System Description: Twelf A Meta-Logical Framework for Deductive Systems". In: *Automated Deduction CADE-16.* Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1999, pp. 202–206. ISBN: 978-3-540-48660-2.
- [16] Colin Rothgang, Florian Rabe, and Christoph Benzmüller. "Theorem Proving in Dependently-Typed Higher-Order Logic". In: Automated Deduction – CADE 29. Ed. by Brigitte Pientka and Cesare Tinelli. Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland, 2023, pp. 438–455. ISBN: 978-3-031-38499-8.
- [17] Matthieu Sozeau and Nicolas Oury. "First-Class Type Classes". In: Theorem Proving in Higher Order Logics. Ed. by Otmane Ait Mohamed, César Muñoz, and Sofiène Tahar. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2008, pp. 278–293. ISBN: 978-3-540-71067-7.
- [18] Enrico Tassi. "Deriving proved equality tests in Coq-elpi: Stronger induction principles for containers in Coq". In: *ITP 2019 10th International Conference on Interactive Theorem Proving.* Portland, United States, Sept. 2019. DOI: 10.4230/LIPIcs.CVIT.2016.23. URL: https://inria.hal.science/hal-01897468.
- [19] Enrico Tassi. "Elpi: an extension language for Coq (Metaprogramming Coq in the Elpi λProlog dialect)". In: *The Fourth International Workshop on Coq for Programming Languages.*Los Angeles (CA), United States, Jan. 2018. URL: https://inria. hal.science/hal-01637063.

- [20] The Coq Development Team. *The Coq Reference Manual Release 8.18.0.* https://coq.inria.fr/doc/V8.18.0/refman. 2023.
- [21] P. Wadler and S. Blott. "How to Make Ad-Hoc Polymorphism Less Ad Hoc". In: Proceedings of the 16th ACM SIGPLAN-SIGACT Symposium on Principles of Programming Languages. POPL '89. Austin, Texas, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, 1989, pp. 60–76. ISBN: 0897912942. DOI: 10.1145/ 75277.75283. URL: https://doi.org/10.1145/75277.75283.
- [22] Makarius Wenzel, Lawrence C. Paulson, and Tobias Nipkow. "The Isabelle Framework". In: *Theorem Proving in Higher Order Logics*. Ed. by Otmane Ait Mohamed, César Muñoz, and Sofiène Tahar. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2008, pp. 33–38. ISBN: 978-3-540-71067-7.

typeabbrev fsubst (mem fm).

1565

1566

APPENDIX 1509 1567 type fder fsubst -> fm -> o. 1510 1568 This appendix contains the entire code described in this paper. The 1511 fder _ (fcon C) (fcon C). 1569 code can also be accessed at the URL: https://github.com/FissoreD/ fder S (fapp A) (fapp B) :- map (fder S) A B. 1512 1513 fder S (flam F) (flam G) :-1571 Note that (a infix b) c d de-sugars to (infix) a b c d. 1514 $pi x \land fder S x x \Rightarrow fder S (F x) (G x).$ 1572 Explain builtin name (can be implemented by loading name after fder S (fuva N) R :- set? N S T, fder S T R. 1515 1573 each pi) fder S (fuva N) (fuva N) :- unset? N S. 1516 1574 1517 1575 11 THE MEMORY 1518 type fderef fsubst -> fm -> o. (ρs) 1576 kind addr type. fderef S T T2: - fder S T T1, napp T1 T2. 1519 1577 type addr nat -> addr. 1520 typeabbrev (mem A) (list (option A)). 1579 1521 type $(=_o)$ fm -> fm -> o. 1522 $(=_o)$ 1580 type set? addr -> mem A -> A -> o. 1523 fcon $X =_{o} f$ con X. 1581 set? (addr A) Mem Val :- get A Mem Val. 1524 fapp $A =_{o} fapp B := forall2 (=_{o}) A B$. 1582 flam $F =_o$ flam $G := pi x \setminus x =_o x => F x =_o G x.$ 1525 1583 type unset? addr -> mem A -> o. 1526 fuva $N =_{0}$ fuva N. 1584 unset? Addr Mem :- not (set? Addr Mem _). flam $F =_{\alpha} T :=$ 1527 1585 (η_l) $pi x \land beta T [x] (T' x), x =_o x \Rightarrow F x =_o T' x.$ 1528 1586 type assign-aux nat -> mem A -> A -> mem A -> o. $T =_{o} flam F :=$ 1529 (η_r) 1587 assign-aux z (none :: L) Y (some Y :: L). $pi x \ beta T [x] (T' x), x =_o x \Rightarrow T' x =_o F x.$ 1530 1588 assign-aux (s N) (X :: L) Y (X :: L1) :- assign-aux N L Y L1. 1531 fapp [flam X | L] = $_{o}$ T :- beta (flam X) L R, R = $_{o}$ T. (β_{l}) 1589 $T =_{o} fapp [flam X | L] := beta (flam X) L R, <math>T =_{o} R. (\beta_{r})$ type assign addr -> mem A -> A -> mem A -> o. assign (addr A) Mem1 Val Mem2 :- assign-aux A Mem1 Val Mem2. type extend-subst fm -> fsubst -> fsubst -> o. 1534 extend-subst (fuva N) S S' :- mem.alloc N S S'. 1535 1593 type get nat -> mem A -> A -> o. 1536 extend-subst (flam F) S S' :-1594 get z (some Y :: _) Y. 1537 $pi x \ (pi S\extend-subst x S S) => extend-subst (F x) S S'.$ get (s N) (_ :: L) X :- get N L X. 1538 extend-subst (fcon _) S S. extend-subst (fapp L) S S1 :- fold extend-subst L S S1. 1539 type alloc-aux nat -> mem A -> mem A -> o. 1540 alloc-aux z [] [none] :- !. type beta fm -> list fm -> fm -> o. 1599 1541 alloc-aux z L L. beta A [] A. 1600 1542 alloc-aux (s N) [] [none | M] :- alloc-aux N [] M. 1543 beta (flam Bo) [H | L] R :- napp (Bo H) F, beta F L R. 1601 alloc-aux (s N) [X | L] [X | M] :- alloc-aux N L M. 1544 beta (fapp A) L (fapp X) :- append A L X. 1602 beta (fuva N) L (fapp [fuva N | L]). type alloc addr -> mem A -> mem A -> o. beta (fcon H) L (fapp [fcon H | L]). alloc (addr A as Ad) Mem1 Mem2 :- unset? Ad Mem1, beta N L (fapp [N | L]) :- name N. 1605 alloc-aux A Mem1 Mem2. 1548 1606 type napp fm -> fm -> o. 1549 1607 type new-aux mem A -> nat -> mem A -> o. 1550 napp (fcon C) (fcon C). 1608 new-aux [] z [none]. 1551 napp (fuva A) (fuva A). 1609 new-aux [A | As] (s N) [A | Bs] :- new-aux As N Bs. 1552 1553 napp (fapp [fapp L1 |L2]) T :- !, 1611 type new mem A -> addr -> mem A -> o. 1554 append L1 L2 L3, napp (fapp L3) T. 1612 new Mem1 (addr Ad) Mem2 :- new-aux Mem1 Ad Mem2. 1555 napp (fapp L) (fapp L1) :- map napp L L1. 1613 1556 napp N N :- name N. 1614 1557 1615 12 THE OBJECT LANGUAGE 1558 type beta-reduce fm -> fm -> o. kind fm type. beta-reduce (uvar _ _) _ :- halt "Passed uvar to beta-reduce". type fapp list fm -> fm. beta-reduce A A :- name A. 1560 type flam (fm -> fm) -> fm. beta-reduce (fcon A) (fcon A). 1619 1561 beta-reduce (fuva A) (fuva A). 1562 type fcon string -> fm. 1620 1563 type fuva addr -> fm. beta-reduce (flam A) (flam B) :-1621 pi x\ beta-reduce (A x) (B x). 1564 1622

beta-reduce (fapp [flam B | L]) T2 :- !,

1623

```
1625
           beta (flam B) L T1, beta-reduce T1 T2.
                                                                                  prune! N A N A S S :- !.
                                                                                                                                                        1683
1626
         beta-reduce (fapp L) (fapp L1) :-
                                                                                  prune! M A N A S1 S2 :- !, bind (uva M A) A Ass,
                                                                                                                                                        1684
1627
           map beta-reduce L L1.
                                                                                     assign N S1 Ass S2.
                                                                                                                                                        1685
                                                                                  /* prune different arguments */
         type mk-app fm -> list fm -> fm -> o.
                                                                                  prune! N A1 N A2 S1 S3 :- !,
1629
         mk-app T L S :- beta T L S.
                                                                                     new S1 W S2, prune-same-variable W A1 A2 [] Ass,
1630
                                                                                                                                                        1688
1631
                                                                                     assign N S2 Ass S3.
                                                                                                                                                        1689
         type eta-contract fm -> fm -> o.
                                                                                  /* prune to the intersection of scopes */
1632
                                                                                                                                                        1690
1633
         eta-contract (fcon X) (fcon X).
                                                                                  prune! N A1 M A2 S1 S4 :- !,
                                                                                                                                                        1691
         eta-contract (fapp L) (fapp L1) :- map eta-contract L L1.
                                                                                     new S1 W S2, prune-diff-variables W A1 A2 Ass1 Ass2,
                                                                                                                                                        1692
         eta-contract (flam F) T :- eta-contract-aux [] (flam F) T.
                                                                                     assign N S2 Ass1 S3,
         eta-contract (flam F) (flam F1) :-
                                                                                     assign M S3 Ass2 S4.
           pi x \le eta-contract x x \implies eta-contract (F x) (F1 x).
1637
                                                                                                                                                        1695
         eta-contract (fuva X) (fuva X).
                                                                                  type prune-same-variable addr -> list tm -> list tm ->
1638
                                                                                                                                                        1696
1639
         eta-contract X X :- name X.
                                                                                                              list tm -> assignment -> o.
                                                                                                                                                        1697
                                                                                  prune-same-variable N [] [] ACC (val (uva N Args)) :-
                                                                                                                                                        1698
1640
         type eta-contract-aux list fm -> fm -> o.
1641
                                                                                     rev ACC Args.
                                                                                                                                                        1699
1642
         eta-contract-aux L (flam F) T :-
                                                                                  prune-same-variable N [X|XS] [X|YS] ACC (abs F) :-
                                                                                                                                                        1700
           pi x\ eta-contract-aux [x]L] (F x) T. % also checks H Prefix does muit x\empressee-variable N XS YS [x]ACC] (F x).
                                                                                                                                                        1701
1643
         eta-contract-aux L (fapp [H|Args]) T :-
                                                                                  prune-same-variable N [_|XS] [_|YS] ACC (abs F) :-
                                                                                                                                                        1702
1644
           rev L LRev, append Prefix LRev Args,
1645
                                                                                     pi x\ prune-same-variable N XS YS ACC (F x).
                                                                                                                                                        1703
1646
           if (Prefix = []) (T = H) (T = fapp [H|Prefix]).
                                                                                                                                                        1704
1647
                                                                                  type permute list nat -> list tm -> list tm -> o.
                                                                                                                                                        1705
1648
                                                                                  permute [] _ [].
       13 THE META LANGUAGE
1649
                                                                                  permute [PIPS] Args [TITS] :-
         kind inctx type -> type.
                                                                     (⋅ ⊦ ⋅)
                                                                                                                                                        1708
1650
                                                                                     nth P Args T,
         type abs (tm -> inctx A) -> inctx A.
                                                                                     permute PS Args TS.
1651
                                                                                                                                                        1709
1652
         type val A -> inctx A.
                                                                                                                                                        1710
1653
         typeabbrev assignment (inctx tm).
                                                                                  type build-perm-assign addr -> list tm -> list bool ->
                                                                                                                                                        1711
         typeabbrev subst (mem assignment).
                                                                                                        list nat -> assignment -> o.
1654
                                                                                                                                                        1712
1655
                                                                                  build-perm-assign N ArgsR [] Perm (val (uva N PermutedArgs)) :-1713
         kind tm type.
                                                                                     rev ArgsR Args, permute Perm Args PermutedArgs.
1656
         type app list tm -> tm.
                                                                                  build-perm-assign N Acc [tt|L] Perm (abs T) :-
                                                                                                                                                        1715
1657
         type lam (tm \rightarrow tm) \rightarrow tm.
                                                                                     pi x\ build-perm-assign N [x|Acc] L Perm (T x).
                                                                                                                                                        1716
1658
1659
         type con string -> tm.
                                                                                  build-perm-assign N Acc [ff|L] Perm (abs T) :-
                                                                                                                                                        1717
         type uva addr -> list tm -> tm.
                                                                                     pi x\ build-perm-assign N Acc L Perm (T x).
                                                                                                                                                        1718
                                                                                                                                                        1719
         type (\simeq_{\lambda}) tm -> tm -> subst -> o.
                                                                                  type keep list A -> A -> bool -> o.
                                                                                                                                                        1720
                                                                                  keep L A tt :- mem L A, !.
                                                                                                                                                        1721
1663
         (con C \simeq_{\lambda} con C) S S.
         (app L1 \simeq_{\lambda} app L2) S S1 :- fold2 (\simeq_{\lambda}) L1 L2 S S1.
                                                                                  keep _ _ ff.
                                                                                                                                                        1722
1664
         (lam F1 \simeq_{\lambda} lam F2) S S1 :-
1665
                                                                                                                                                        1723
1666
           pi x\ (pi S\ (x \simeq_{\lambda} x) S S) => (F1 x \simeq_{\lambda} F2 x) S S1.
                                                                                  type prune-diff-variables addr -> list tm -> list tm ->
                                                                                                                                                        1724
         (uva N Args \simeq_{\lambda} T) S S1 :-
                                                                                                               assignment -> assignment -> o.
1667
                                                                                                                                                        1725
           set? N S F,!, move F Args T1, (T1 \simeq_{\lambda} T) S S1.
                                                                                  prune-diff-variables N Args1 Args2 Ass1 Ass2 :-
1668
                                                                                                                                                        1726
         (T \simeq_{\lambda} uva N Args) S S1 :-
                                                                                     map (keep Args2) Args1 Bits1,
                                                                                                                                                        1727
1669
           set? N S F,!, move F Args T1, (T \simeq_{\lambda} T1) S S1.
                                                                                     map (keep Args1) Args2 Bits2,
                                                                                                                                                        1728
1670
         (uva M A1 \simeq_{\lambda} uva N A2) S1 S2 :- !,
                                                                                     filter Args1 (mem Args2) ToKeep1,
                                                                                                                                                        1729
1671
1672
           pattern-fragment A1, pattern-fragment A2,
                                                                                     filter Args2 (mem Args1) ToKeep2,
                                                                                                                                                        1730
1673
           prune! M A1 N A2 S1 S2.
                                                                                     map (index ToKeep1) ToKeep1 IdPerm,
                                                                                                                                                        1731
1674
         (uva N Args \simeq_{\lambda} T) S S1 :- not_occ N S T, pattern-fragment Args,
                                                                                     map (index ToKeep1) ToKeep2 Perm21,
                                                                                                                                                        1732
           bind T Args T1, assign N S T1 S1.
                                                                                     build-perm-assign N [] Bits1 IdPerm Ass1,
                                                                                                                                                        1733
1676
         (T \simeq_{\lambda} uva N Args) S S1 :- not_occ N S T, pattern-fragment Args,
                                                                                     build-perm-assign N [] Bits2 Perm21 Ass2.
                                                                                                                                                        1734
           bind T Args T1, assign N S T1 S1.
                                                                                                                                                        1735
1677
1678
                                                                                  type beta tm -> list tm -> tm -> o.
                                                                                                                                                        1736
1679
         type prune! addr -> list tm -> addr ->
                                                                                  beta A [] A :- !.
                                                                                                                                                        1737
                      list tm -> subst -> subst -> o.
                                                                                  beta (lam Bo) [H | L] R :- beta (Bo H) L R1, beta-aux R1 R.
1680
                                                                                                                                                        1738
1681
         /* no pruning needed */
                                                                                  beta (app A) L (app X) :- append A L X.
                                                                                                                                                        1739
1682
                                                                                                                                                        1740
                                                                            15
```

```
1741
         beta (con H) L (app [con H | L]).
                                                                                                                                                   1799
1742
         beta X L (app[X|L]) :- name X.
                                                                                                                                                   1800
1743
                                                                               type deref-assmt subst -> assignment -> o.
                                                                                                                                                   1801
         type beta-aux tm -> tm -> o.
                                                                               deref-assmt S (abs T) (abs R) :- pi x\ deref-assmt S (T x) (R x)802
1744
1745
         beta-aux (app [HD|TL]) R :- !, beta HD TL R.
                                                                               deref-assmt S (val T) (val R) :- deref S T R.
         beta-aux A A.
1746
                                                                                                                                                   1804
1747
                                                                                                                                                   1805
                                                                             14 THE COMPILER
         /* occur check for N before crossing a functor */
1748
                                                                                                                                                   1806
1749
         type not_occ addr -> subst -> tm -> o.
                                                                               kind arity type.
                                                                                                                                                   1807
         not_occ N S (uva M Args) :- set? M S F,
                                                                               type arity nat -> arity.
                                                                                                                                                   1808
           move F Args T, not_occ N S T.
         not_occ N S (uva M Args) :- unset? M S, not (M = N),
                                                                               kind fvariable type.
           forall1 (not_occ_aux N S) Args.
                                                                               type fy addr -> fyariable.
1753
                                                                                                                                                   1811
1754
         not_occ _ _ (con _).
                                                                                                                                                   1812
1755
         not_occ N S (app L) :- not_occ_aux N S (app L).
                                                                               kind hvariable type.
                                                                                                                                                   1813
         /* Note: lam is a functor for the meta language! */
                                                                               type hv addr -> arity -> hvariable.
                                                                                                                                                   1814
1756
         not_occ N S (lam L) :- pi x\ not_occ_aux N S (L x).
1757
                                                                                                                                                   1815
1758
         not_occ _ _ X :- name X.
                                                                               kind mapping type.
                                                                                                                                                   1816
         /* finding N is ok */
                                                                               type mapping fyariable -> hyariable -> mapping.
                                                                                                                                                   1817
1759
         not_occ N _ (uva N _).
                                                                               typeabbrev mmap (list mapping).
1760
                                                                                                                                                   1818
1761
                                                                                                                                                   1819
1762
         /* occur check for X after crossing a functor */
                                                                               typeabbrev scope (list tm).
                                                                                                                                                   1820
         type not_occ_aux addr -> subst -> tm -> o.
                                                                               typeabbrev inctx ho.inctx.
                                                                                                                                                   1821
         not_occ_aux N S (uva M _) :- unset? M S, not (N = M).
                                                                               kind baselink type.
                                                                                                                                                   1822
1765
         not_occ_aux N S (uva M Args) :- set? M S F,
                                                                               type link-eta tm -> tm -> baselink.
                                                                                                                                                   1824
1766
           move F Args T, not_occ_aux N S T.
                                                                               type link-beta tm -> tm -> baselink.
         not_occ_aux N S (app L) :- forall1 (not_occ_aux N S) L.
                                                                               typeabbrev link (inctx baselink).
1767
                                                                                                                                                   1825
1768
         not_occ_aux N S (lam F) :- pi x\ not_occ_aux N S (F x).
                                                                               typeabbrev links (list link).
                                                                                                                                                   1826
1769
         not_occ_aux _ _ (con _).
                                                                                                                                                   1827
         not_occ_aux _ _ X :- name X.
                                                                               macro @val-link-eta T1 T2 :- ho.val (link-eta T1 T2).
1770
                                                                                                                                                   1828
                                                                               macro @val-link-beta T1 T2 :- ho.val (link-beta T1 T2).
1771
         /* finding N is ko, hence no rule */
1772
                                                                                                                                                   1830
1773
         /* copy T T' vails if T contains a free variable, i.e. it
                                                                                                                                                   1831
            performs scope checking for bind */
                                                                                                                                                   1832
1774
1775
         type copy tm \rightarrow tm \rightarrow o.
                                                                               type occurs-rigidly fm -> fm -> o.
                                                                                                                                                   1833
1776
         copy (con C) (con C).
                                                                               occurs-rigidly N N.
                                                                                                                                                   1834
         copy (app L)
                        (app L') :- map copy L L'.
                                                                               occurs-rigidly _ (fapp [fuva _|_]) :- !, fail.
                                                                                                                                                   1835
         copy (lam T)
                        (lam T') := pi x copy x x => copy (T x) (T' x).
                                                                               occurs-rigidly N (fapp L) :- exists (occurs-rigidly N) L.
         copy (uva A L) (uva A L') :- map copy L L'.
                                                                               occurs-rigidly N (flam B) :- pi x\ occurs-rigidly N (B x).
                                                                                                                                                   1838
1780
1781
         type bind tm -> list tm -> assignment -> o.
                                                                               type reducible-to list fm -> fm -> o.
                                                                                                                                                   1839
1782
         bind T [] (val T') :- copy T T'.
                                                                               reducible-to _ N N :- !.
                                                                                                                                                   1840
         bind T [X | TL] (abs T') :- pi \times copy \times x \Rightarrow bind T TL (T' x).
                                                                               reducible-to L N (fapp[fuva _|Args]) :- !,
                                                                                                                                                   1841
1783
1784
                                                                                  forall1 (x\ exists (reducible-to [] x) Args) [N|L].
                                                                                                                                                   1842
1785
         type deref subst -> tm -> tm -> o.
                                                                               reducible-to L N (flam B) :- !,
                                                                                                                                                   1843
                                                                 (\sigma t)
         deref _ (con C) (con C).
                                                                                  pi x\ reducible-to [x | L] N (B x).
                                                                                                                                                   1844
1786
1787
         deref S (app A) (app B) :- map (deref S) A B.
                                                                               reducible-to L N (fapp [N|Args]) :-
                                                                                                                                                   1845
1788
         deref S (lam F) (lam G) :-
                                                                                 last-n {len L} Args R,
                                                                                                                                                   1846
1789
           pi x \cdot deref S x x \Rightarrow deref S (F x) (G x).
                                                                                 forall2 (reducible-to []) R {rev L}.
                                                                                                                                                   1847
         deref S (uva N L) R :- set? N S A,
                                                                                                                                                   1848
           move A L T, deref S T R.
                                                                               type maybe-eta fm -> list fm -> o.
                                                                                                                                       (\Diamond \eta)
                                                                               maybe-eta (fapp[fuva _|Args]) L :- !,
1792
         deref S (uva N A) (uva N B) :- unset? N S,
           map (deref S) A B.
                                                                                  forall1 (x\ exists (reducible-to [] x) Args) L, !.
                                                                                                                                                   1851
1793
                                                                                                                                                   1852
1794
                                                                               maybe-eta (flam B) L := !, pi x \in B (B x) [x \mid L].
1795
         type move assignment -> list tm -> tm -> o.
                                                                               maybe-eta (fapp [T|Args]) L :- (name T; T = fcon _),
                                                                                                                                                   1853
                                                                                 split-last-n {len L} Args First Last,
         move (abs Bo) [H|L] R :- move (Bo H) L R.
                                                                                                                                                   1854
1796
1797
         move (val A) [] A.
                                                                                  none (x\ exists (y\ occurs-rigidly x y) First) L,
                                                                                                                                                   1855
1798
                                                                                                                                                   1856
                                                                         16
```

```
1857
           forall2 (reducible-to []) {rev L} Last.
                                                                                     len Ag Arity,
                                                                                                                                                      1915
1858
                                                                                     m-alloc (fv A) (hv B (arity Arity)) M1 M2 S1 S2.
                                                                                                                                                      1916
1859
                                                                                 comp (fapp [fuva A[Ag]) (uva B Scope) M1 M3 L1 L3 S1 S4 :- !,
         type locally-bound tm -> o.
                                                                                   pattern-fragment-prefix Ag Pf Extra,
1861
         type get-scope-aux tm -> list tm -> o.
                                                                                   len Pf Arity.
         get-scope-aux (con _) [].
                                                                                   alloc S1 B S2.
                                                                                                                                                      1920
1862
                                                                                   m-alloc (fv A) (hv C (arity Arity)) M1 M2 S2 S3,
                                                                                                                                                      1921
1863
         get-scope-aux (uva _ L) L1 :-
           forall2 get-scope-aux L R,
                                                                                   fold6 comp Pf Pf1 M2 M2 L1 L1 S3 S3,
                                                                                                                                                      1922
1864
1865
           flatten R L1.
                                                                                   fold6 comp Extra Extra1 M2 M3 L1 L2 S3 S4,
                                                                                                                                                      1923
         get-scope-aux (lam B) L1 :-
                                                                                   Beta = app [uva C Pf1 | Extra1],
                                                                                                                                                      1924
           pi x \setminus locally-bound x \Rightarrow get-scope-aux (B x) L1.
                                                                                   get-scope Beta Scope,
                                                                                                                                                      1925
         get-scope-aux (app L) L1 :-
                                                                                   L3 = [val (link-beta (uva B Scope) Beta) | L2].
                                                                                                                                                      1926
                                                                                 comp (fapp A) (app A1) M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2 :-
           forall2 get-scope-aux L R.
                                                                                                                                                      1927
1869
                                                                                   fold6 comp A A1 M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2.
           flatten R L1.
1870
                                                                                                                                                      1928
1871
         get-scope-aux X [X] :- name X, not (locally-bound X).
                                                                                                                                                      1929
1872
         get-scope-aux X [] :- name X, (locally-bound X).
                                                                                 type alloc mem A -> addr -> mem A -> o.
                                                                                                                                                      1930
                                                                                 alloc S N S1 :- mem.new S N S1.
1873
                                                                                                                                                      1931
1874
         type names1 list tm -> o.
                                                                                                                                                      1932
1875
         names1 L :-
                                                                                 type compile-terms-diagnostic
                                                                                                                                                      1933
           names L1.
                                                                                   triple diagnostic fm fm ->
                                                                                                                                                      1934
1876
           new int N.
                                                                                   triple diagnostic tm tm ->
                                                                                                                                                      1935
1877
1878
           if (1 is N mod 2) (L1 = L) (rev L1 L).
                                                                                   mmap -> mmap ->
                                                                                                                                                      1936
1879
                                                                                   links -> links ->
                                                                                                                                                      1937
         type get-scope tm -> list tm -> o.
                                                                                   subst -> subst -> o.
         get-scope T Scope :-
                                                                                 compile-terms-diagnostic (triple D FO1 FO2) (triple D HO1 HO2) MB9M3 L1
           get-scope-aux T ScopeDuplicata,
                                                                                   fo.beta-reduce FO1 FO1',
                                                                                   fo.beta-reduce FO2 FO2',
           undup ScopeDuplicata Scope.
                                                                                                                                                      1941
1883
                                                                                   comp F01' H01 M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2,
1884
         type rigid fm -> o.
                                                                                                                                                      1942
1885
         rigid X := not (X = fuva_).
                                                                                   comp F02' H02 M2 M3 L2 L3 S2 S3.
                                                                                                                                                      1943
1886
                                                                                                                                                      1944
         type comp-lam (fm \rightarrow fm) \rightarrow (tm \rightarrow tm) \rightarrow
1887
                                                                                 type compile-terms
           mmap -> mmap -> links -> links -> subst -> o.
                                                                                   list (triple diagnostic fm fm) ->
                                                                                                                                                      1946
1888
         comp-lam F G M1 M2 L1 L3 S1 S2 :-
                                                                                   list (triple diagnostic tm tm) ->
                                                                                                                                                      1947
1889
           pi x y\ (pi M L S\ comp x y M M L L S S) =>
                                                                                   mmap -> links -> subst -> o.
                                                                                                                                                      1948
1890
1891
             comp (F x) (G y) M1 M2 L1 (L2 y) S1 S2,
                                                                                 compile-terms T H M L S :-
                                                                                                                                                      1949
1892
           close-links L2 L3.
                                                                                   fold6 compile-terms-diagnostic T H [] M_ [] L_ [] S_,
                                                                                                                                                      1950
                                                                                   print-compil-result T H L_ M_,
                                                                                                                                                      1951
         type close-links (tm -> links) -> links -> o.
                                                                                   deduplicate-map M_ M S_ S L_ L.
                                                                                                                                                      1952
         close-links (v\setminus[X \mid L \mid v]) [X\mid R] :- !, close-links L R.
         close-links (v\setminus[X \ v\mid L \ v]) [abs X\mid R] :- close-links L R.
                                                                                 type make-eta-link-aux nat -> addr -> addr ->
                                                                                                                                                      1954
1896
                                                                                   list tm -> links -> subst -> subst -> o.
1897
         close-links (_\[]) [].
                                                                                                                                                      1955
1898
         type comp fm \rightarrow tm \rightarrow mmap \rightarrow links \rightarrow links \rightarrow
                                                                                 make-eta-link-aux z Ad1 Ad2 Scope1 L H1 H1 :-
                                                                                                                                                      1956
           subst -> subst -> o.
                                                                                   rev Scope1 Scope, eta-expand (uva Ad2 Scope) T1,
                                                                                                                                                      1957
1899
         comp (fcon C) (con C) M M L L S S.
                                                                                   L = [val (link-eta (uva Ad1 Scope) T1)].
1900
                                                                                                                                                      1958
1901
         comp (flam F) (uva A Scope) M1 M2 L1 L3 S1 S3 :-
                                                                                 make-eta-link-aux (s N) Ad1 Ad2 Scope1 L H1 H3 :-
                                                                                                                                                      1959
           maybe-eta (flam F) [], !,
                                                                                   rev Scope1 Scope, alloc H1 Ad H2,
                                                                                                                                                      1960
1902
1903
             alloc S1 A S2.
                                                                                   eta-expand (uva Ad Scope) T2,
                                                                                                                                                      1961
1904
             comp-lam F F1 M1 M2 L1 L2 S2 S3,
                                                                                   (pi x\ make-eta-link-aux N Ad Ad2 [x|Scope1] (L1 x) H2 H3),
                                                                                                                                                     1962
1905
             get-scope (lam F1) Scope,
                                                                                   close-links L1 L2,
                                                                                   L = [val (link-eta (uva Ad1 Scope) T2) | L2].
             L3 = [val (link-eta (uva A Scope) (lam F1)) | L2].
         comp (flam F) (lam F1) M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2 :-
                                                                     (c_{\lambda})
           comp-lam F F1 M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2.
                                                                                 type make-eta-link nat -> nat -> addr -> addr ->
                                                                                                                                                      1966
1908
                                                                                          list tm -> links -> subst -> o.
         comp (fuva A) (uva B []) M1 M2 L L S1 S2 :-
                                                                                                                                                      1967
1909
                                                                                 make-eta-link (s N) z Ad1 Ad2 Vars L H H1 :-
1910
           m-alloc (fv A) (hv B (arity z)) M1 M2 S1 S2.
                                                                                                                                                      1968
1911
         comp (fapp [fuva A|Ag]) (uva B Ag1) M1 M2 L L S1 S2 :-
                                                                                   make-eta-link-aux N Ad2 Ad1 Vars L H H1.
                                                                                                                                                      1969
           pattern-fragment Ag, !,
                                                                                 make-eta-link z (s N) Ad1 Ad2 Vars L H H1 :-
                                                                                                                                                      1970
1912
                                                                                   make-eta-link-aux N Ad1 Ad2 Vars L H H1.
                                                                                                                                                      1971
1913
             fold6 comp Ag Ag1 M1 M1 L L S1 S1,
1914
                                                                                                                                                      1972
                                                                          17
```

```
1973
         make-eta-link (s N) (s M) Ad1 Ad2 Vars Links H H1 :-
                                                                              occur-check-err (ho.app _) _ _ :- !.
                                                                                                                                                2031
                                                                              occur-check-err (ho.lam _) _ _ :- !.
1974
           (pi x\ make-eta-link N M Ad1 Ad2 [x|Vars] (L x) H H1),
                                                                                                                                                2032
1975
           close-links L Links.
                                                                              occur-check-err (ho.uva Ad _) T S :-
                                                                                                                                                2033
                                                                                not (ho.not_occ Ad S T).
1977
         type deduplicate-map mmap -> mmap ->
             subst -> subst -> links -> links -> o.
                                                                                                                                                2036
1978
                                                                              type progress-beta-link-aux ho.tm -> ho.tm ->
         deduplicate-map [] [] H H L L.
                                                                                       ho.subst -> ho.subst -> links -> o.
                                                                                                                                                2037
1979
         deduplicate-map [(mapping (fv 0) (hv M (arity LenM)) as X1) | Maplphogmesshbeta-link-aux T1 T2 S1 S2 [] :- is-in-pf T2, !,
                                                                                                                                                2038
1980
1981
           take-list Map1 (mapping (fv 0) (hv M' (arity LenM'))) _, !,
                                                                                (T1 == 1 T2) S1 S2.
                                                                                                                                                2039
           std.assert! (not (LenM = LenM')) "Deduplicate map, there is a bugphpgress-beta-link-aux T1 T2 S S [@val-link-beta T1 T2] :- !.
           print "arity-fix links:" {ppmapping X1} "~!~" {ppmapping (mapping (fv 0) (hv M' (arity LenM')))},
           make-eta-link LenM LenM' M M' [] New H1 H2,
                                                                              type progress-beta-link ho.tm -> ho.tm -> ho.subst ->
1984
           print "new eta link" {pplinks New},
                                                                                    ho.subst -> links -> o.
                                                                                                                                                2043
1985
           append New L1 L2,
                                                                              progress-beta-link T (ho.app[ho.uva V Scope | L] as T2) S S2 [@val-link-
1986
1987
           deduplicate-map Map1 Map2 H2 H3 L2 L3.
                                                                                arity T Arity, len L ArgsNb, ArgsNb >n Arity, !,
                                                                                                                                                2045
1988
         deduplicate-map [A|As] [A|Bs] H1 H2 L1 L2 :-
                                                                                minus ArgsNb Arity Diff, mem.new S V1 S1,
                                                                                                                                                2046
                                                                                eta-expand (ho.uva V1 Scope) Diff T1,
                                                                                                                                                2047
1989
           deduplicate-map As Bs H1 H2 L1 L2, !.
1990
         deduplicate-map [A|_] _ H _ _ :-
                                                                                ((ho.uva V Scope) == 1 T1) S1 S2.
1991
           halt "deduplicating mapping error" {ppmapping A} {ho.ppsubst H}.
                                                                                                                                                2049
1992
                                                                              progress-beta-link (ho.uva _ _ as T) (ho.app[ho.uva Ad1 Scope1 40501] as
1993
                                                                                append Scope1 L1 Scope1L,
                                                                                                                                                2051
       15 THE PROGRESS FUNCTION
1994
                                                                                pattern-fragment-prefix Scope1L Scope2 L2,
                                                                                                                                                2052
1995
         macro @one :- s z.
                                                                                not (Scope1 = Scope2), !,
                                                                                                                                                2053
                                                                                mem.new S1 Ad2 S2,
                                                                                                                                                2054
         type contract-rigid list ho.tm -> ho.tm -> ho.tm -> o.
                                                                                len Scope1 Scope1Len,
1998
         contract-rigid L (ho.lam F) T :-
                                                                                len Scope2 Scope2Len.
           pi x\ contract-rigid [x|L] (F x) T. % also checks H Prefix does not makee eta-link Scope1Len Scope2Len Ad1 Ad2 [] LinkEta S2 S3,
1999
2000
         contract-rigid L (ho.app [H|Args]) T :-
                                                                                if (L2 = []) (NewLinks = LinkEta, T2 = ho.uva Ad2 Scope2)
2001
           rev L LRev, append Prefix LRev Args,
                                                                                  (T2 = ho.app [ho.uva Ad2 Scope2 | L2],
                                                                                                                                                2059
           if (Prefix = []) (T = H) (T = ho.app [H|Prefix]).
                                                                                  NewLinks = [@val-link-beta T T2 | LinkEta]).
2002
                                                                                                                                                2060
2003
         type progress-eta-link ho.tm -> ho.tm -> ho.subst -> ho.subst -> lpmlogress-obeta-link T1 (ho.app[ho.uva _ _ | _] as T2) _ _ _ :- 2062
2004
         progress-eta-link (ho.app _{\rm as} T) (ho.lam x\ _{\rm as} T1) H H1 [] :- !, not (T1 = ho.uva _{\rm as} ), !, fail.
2005
           (\{eta-expand T @one\} == 1 T1) H H1.
2006
2007
         progress-eta-link (ho.con _ as T) (ho.lam x\ _ as T1) H H1 [] :- !progress-beta-link (ho.uva _ _ as T) (ho.app[ho.uva _ _ | _] as2%2) S1 .
           (\{eta-expand T @one\} == 1 T1) H H1.
                                                                                occur-check-err T T2 S1, !, fail.
         progress-eta-link (ho.lam _ as T) T1 H H1 [] :- !,
           (T == 1 T1) H H1.
                                                                              progress-beta-link T1 (ho.app[ho.uva _ _ | _] as T2) H H [@val-106nk-beta
2010
2011
         progress-eta-link (ho.uva _ _ as X) T H H1 [] :-
           contract-rigid [] T T1, !, (X ==1 T1) H H1.
                                                                              progress-beta-link T1 (ho.app [Hd | Tl]) S1 S2 B :-
                                                                                                                                                2070
2012
2013
         progress-eta-link (ho.uva Ad _ as T1) T2 H H [@val-link-eta T1 T2] : ho! beta Hd T1 T3,
                                                                                                                                                2071
2014
           if (ho.not_occ Ad H T2) true fail.
                                                                                progress-beta-link-aux T1 T3 S1 S2 B.
                                                                                                                                                2072
2015
         type is-in-pf ho.tm -> o.
                                                                              type solve-link-abs link -> links -> ho.subst -> ho.subst -> o.2074
2016
         is-in-pf (ho.app [ho.uva _ _ | _]) :- !, fail.
                                                                              solve-link-abs (ho.abs X) R H H1 :-
2017
         is-in-pf (ho.lam B) :- !, pi x\ is-in-pf (B x).
                                                                                pi x\ ho.copy x x \Rightarrow (pi S\ ho.deref S x x) \Rightarrow
                                                                                                                                                2076
2018
2019
         is-in-pf (ho.con _).
                                                                                  solve-link-abs (X x) (R' x) H H1,
                                                                                                                                                2077
2020
         is-in-pf (ho.app L) :- forall1 is-in-pf L.
                                                                                close-links R' R.
                                                                                                                                                2078
         is-in-pf N :- name N.
                                                                                                                                                2079
                                                                              solve-link-abs (@val-link-eta A B) NewLinks S S1 :- !,
         is-in-pf (ho.uva _ L) :- pattern-fragment L.
                                                                                                                                                2080
                                                                                progress-eta-link A B S S1 NewLinks.
2024
         type arity ho.tm -> nat -> o.
                                                                              solve-link-abs (@val-link-beta A B) NewLinks S S1 :- !,
                                                                                                                                                2083
         arity (ho.con _) z.
2025
2026
         arity (ho.app L) A :- len L A.
                                                                                progress-beta-link A B S S1 NewLinks.
                                                                                                                                                2084
2027
                                                                                                                                                2085
         type occur-check-err ho.tm -> ho.tm -> ho.subst -> o.
                                                                              type take-link link -> links -> link -> links -> o.
                                                                                                                                                2086
2028
                                                                              take-link A [B|XS] B XS :- link-abs-same-lhs A B, !.
         occur-check-err (ho.con _) _ _ :- !.
                                                                                                                                                2087
2030
                                                                        18
```

```
2089
         take-link A [L|XS] B [L|YS] :- take-link A XS B YS.
                                                                                 decompl-subst Map Tl H F1 F2.
                                                                                                                                                 2147
2090
                                                                              decompl-subst Map [mapping _ (hv VM _)|T1] H F F2 :-
                                                                                                                                                 2148
2091
         type link-abs-same-lhs link -> link -> o.
                                                                                 mem.unset? VM H, decompl-subst Map Tl H F F2.
                                                                                                                                                 2149
         link-abs-same-lhs (ho.abs F) B :-
                                                                                                                                                 2150
2093
           pi x\ link-abs-same-lhs (F x) B.
                                                                              type tm\rightarrow fm map \rightarrow ho.tm \rightarrow fo.fm \rightarrow o.
                                                                                                                                                 2151
         link-abs-same-lhs A (ho.abs G) :-
                                                                              tm->fm (ho.con C) (fo.fcon C).
                                                                                                                                                 2152
2094
                                                                              tm->fm L (ho.lam B1) (fo.flam B2) :-
           pi x\ link-abs-same-lhs A (G x).
                                                                                                                                                 2153
2095
         link-abs-same-lhs (@val-link-eta (ho.uva N _) _) (@val-link-eta (ho.uva x y \_) tm}->fm _ x y => tm->fm L (B1 x) (B2 y).
                                                                                                                                                 2154
2096
2097
                                                                              tm->fm L (ho.app L1) T :- map (tm->fm L) L1 [Hd|T1],
                                                                                                                                                 2155
         type same-link-eta link -> link -> ho.subst -> ho.subst -> o.
                                                                                fo.mk-app Hd Tl T.
                                                                                                                                                 2156
         same-link-eta (ho.abs F) B H H1 :- !, pi x\ same-link-eta (F x) B HtmH>fm L (ho.uva VM TL) T :- mem L (mapping (fv VO) (hv VM _)),2157
         same-link-eta A (ho.abs G) H H1 :- !, pi x\ same-link-eta A (G x) H Hnap (tm->fm L) TL T1, fo.mk-app (fo.fuva VO) T1 T.
         same-link-eta (@val-link-eta (ho.uva N S1) A)
                                                                                                                                                 2159
2101
                                                                              type add-new-map-aux ho.subst -> list ho.tm -> map ->
                        (@val-link-eta (ho.uva N S2) B) H H1 :-
                                                                                                                                                 2160
2102
2103
           std.map2 S1 S2 (x\y\r\ r = ho.copy x y) Perm,
                                                                                     map -> fo.fsubst -> fo.fsubst -> o.
                                                                                                                                                 2161
2104
           Perm => ho.copy A A',
                                                                              add-new-map-aux _ [] _ [] S S.
                                                                                                                                                 2162
                                                                              add-new-map-aux H [T|Ts] L L2 S S2 :-
           (A' == 1 B) H H1.
2105
                                                                                                                                                 2163
2106
                                                                                 add-new-map H T L L1 S S1,
                                                                                                                                                 2164
         type progress1 links -> links -> ho.subst -> ho.subst -> o.
                                                                                add-new-map-aux H Ts L1 L2 S1 S2.
                                                                                                                                                 2165
2107
                                                                                                                                                 2166
2108
         progress1 [] [] X X.
         progress1 [A|L1] [A|L3] S S2 :- take-link A L1 B L2, !,
                                                                                                                                                 2167
2109
                                                                              type add-new-map ho.subst -> ho.tm -> map ->
2110
           same-link-eta A B S S1,
                                                                                   map -> fo.fsubst -> fo.fsubst -> o.
                                                                                                                                                 2168
2111
           progress1 L2 L3 S1 S2.
                                                                              add-new-map _ (ho.uva N _) Map [] F1 F1 :-
                                                                                                                                                 2169
         progress1 [L0|L1] L3 S S2 :- deref-link S L0 L,
2112
                                                                                 mem Map (mapping _ (hv N _)), !.
                                                                                                                                                 2170
2113
           solve-link-abs L R S S1, !,
                                                                              add-new-map H (ho.uva N L) Map [Map1 | MapL] F1 F3 :-
                                                                                                                                                 2171
           progress1 L1 L2 S1 S2, append R L2 L3.
2114
                                                                                                                                                 2172
                                                                                mem.new F1 M F2.
                                                                                len L Arity, Map1 = mapping (fv M) (hv N (arity Arity)),
2115
                                                                                                                                                 2173
2116
                                                                                 add-new-map H (ho.app L) [Map1 | Map] MapL F2 F3.
                                                                                                                                                 2174
       16 THE DECOMPILER
2117
                                                                              add-new-map H (ho.lam B) Map NewMap F1 F2 :-
                                                                                                                                                 2175
         type abs->lam ho.assignment -> ho.tm -> o.
                                                                                 pi x\ add-new-map H (B x) Map NewMap F1 F2.
2118
                                                                                                                                                 2176
2119
         abs->lam (ho.abs T) (ho.lam R) :- !, pi x\ abs->lam (T x) (R x). add-new-map H (ho.app L) Map NewMap F1 F3 :-
                                                                                                                                                 2177
2120
         abs->lam (ho.val A) A.
                                                                                add-new-map-aux H L Map NewMap F1 F3.
                                                                                                                                                 2178
                                                                              add-new-map _ (ho.con _) _ [] F F :- !.
                                                                                                                                                 2179
2121
         type commit-links-aux link -> ho.subst -> ho.subst -> o.
                                                                              add-new-map _ N _ [] F F :- name N.
                                                                                                                                                 2180
2122
2123
         commit-links-aux (@val-link-eta T1 T2) H1 H2 :-
                                                                                                                                                 2181
2124
           ho.deref H1 T1 T1', ho.deref H1 T2 T2',
                                                                              type complete-mapping-under-ass ho.subst -> ho.assignment ->
                                                                                                                                                 2182
           (T1' == 1 T2') H1 H2.
                                                                                 map -> map -> fo.fsubst -> fo.fsubst -> o.
                                                                                                                                                 2183
         commit-links-aux (@val-link-beta T1 T2) H1 H2 :-
                                                                              complete-mapping-under-ass H (ho.val Val) Map1 Map2 F1 F2 :-
           ho.deref H1 T1 T1', ho.deref H1 T2 T2',
                                                                                 add-new-map H Val Map1 Map2 F1 F2.
           (T1' == 1 T2') H1 H2.
                                                                              complete-mapping-under-ass H (ho.abs Abs) Map1 Map2 F1 F2 :-
                                                                                                                                                 2186
2128
2129
         commit-links-aux (ho.abs B) H H1 :-
                                                                                 pi x\ complete-mapping-under-ass H (Abs x) Map1 Map2 F1 F2.
                                                                                                                                                2187
2130
           pi x\ commit-links-aux (B x) H H1.
                                                                                                                                                 2188
                                                                               type complete-mapping ho.subst -> ho.subst ->
2131
                                                                                                                                                 2189
         type commit-links links -> links -> ho.subst -> ho.subst -> o.
2132
                                                                                map -> map -> fo.fsubst -> fo.fsubst -> o.
                                                                                                                                                 2190
2133
         commit-links [] [] H H.
                                                                              complete-mapping _ [] L L F F.
                                                                                                                                                 2191
2134
         commit-links [Abs | Links] L H H2 :-
                                                                              complete-mapping H [none | Tl] L1 L2 F1 F2 :-
                                                                                                                                                 2192
2135
           commit-links-aux Abs H H1, !, commit-links Links L H1 H2.
                                                                                 complete-mapping H Tl L1 L2 F1 F2.
                                                                                                                                                 2193
2136
                                                                              complete-mapping H [some T0 | T1] L1 L3 F1 F3 :-
                                                                                                                                                 2194
2137
         type decompl-subst map -> map -> ho.subst ->
                                                                                 ho.deref-assmt H T0 T,
                                                                                                                                                 2195
           fo.fsubst -> fo.fsubst -> o.
                                                                                 complete-mapping-under-ass H T L1 L2 F1 F2,
                                                                                                                                                 2196
         append L1 L2 LAll,
                                                                                                                                                 2197
                                                                                 complete-mapping H Tl LAll L3 F2 F3.
         decompl-subst _ [] _ F F.
         decompl-subst Map [mapping (fv VO) (hv VM _)|Tl] H F F2 :-
2141
                                                                                                                                                 2199
2142
           mem.set? VM H T, !,
                                                                              type decompile map -> links -> ho.subst ->
                                                                                                                                                 2200
2143
           ho.deref-assmt H T TTT,
                                                                                 fo.fsubst -> fo.fsubst -> o.
                                                                                                                                                 2201
           abs->lam TTT T', tm->fm Map T' T1,
                                                                              decompile Map1 L HO FO FO2 :-
2144
                                                                                                                                                 2202
2145
           fo.eta-contract T1 T2, mem.assign V0 F T2 F1,
                                                                                 commit-links L L1_ H0 H01, !,
                                                                                                                                                 2203
2146
                                                                                                                                                 2204
                                                                        19
```

```
Conference'17, July 2017, Washington, DC, USA
             complete-mapping HO1 HO1 Map1 Map2 FO FO1,
2205
2206
             decompl-subst Map2 Map2 H01 F01 F02.
2207
2208
        17 AUXILIARY FUNCTIONS
2209
           type fold4 (A \rightarrow A1 \rightarrow B \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow C \rightarrow o) \rightarrow list A \rightarrow
2210
             list A1 \rightarrow B \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow C \rightarrow o.
2211
           fold4 _ [] [] A A B B.
2212
           fold4 F [X|XS] [Y|YS] A A1 B B1 :- F X Y A A0 B B0,
2213
             fold4 F XS YS A0 A1 B0 B1.
2214
           type len list A -> nat -> o.
2216
           len [] z.
2217
           len [_|L] (s X) :- len L X.
2218
2219
2220
2221
2223
2224
2225
2226
2227
2231
2232
```