Higher order unification for free!

Reusing the meta-language unification for the object language

Davide Fissore davide.fissore@inria.fr Université Côte d'Azur, Inria France Enrico Tassi enrico.tassi@inria.fr Université Côte d'Azur, Inria France

ABSTRACT

Specifying and implementing a proof system from scratch requires significant effort. Logical Frameworks and Higher Order Logic Programming Languages provide dedicated, high-level Meta Languages (ML) to facilitate this task in two key ways: 1) variable binding and substitution are simplified when ML binders represent object logic ones; 2) proof construction, and even proof search, is greatly simplified by leveraging the unification procedure provided by the ML. Notable examples of ML are Elf [13], Twelf [15], λ Prolog [9] and Isabelle [21] which have been utilized to implement various formal systems such as First Order Logic [3], Set Theory [12], Higher Order Logic [11], and even the Calculus of Constructions [2].

The object logic we are interested in is Coq's [19] Calculus of Inductive Constructions (CIC), for which we aim to implement a unification procedure \simeq_o using the ML Elpi [1], a dialect of λ Prolog. Elpi's equational theory comprises $\eta\beta$ equivalence and comes equipped with a higher order unification procedure \simeq_λ restricted to the pattern fragment [8]. We want \simeq_o to be as powerful as \simeq_λ but on the object logic CIC. Elpi also comes with an encoding for CIC that works well for meta-programming [18, 17, 6, 4]. Unfortunately this encoding, which we refer to as \mathcal{F}_o , "underuses" \simeq_λ by restricting it to first-order unification problems only. To address this issue, we propose a better-behaved encoding, \mathcal{H}_o , demonstrate how to map unification problems in \mathcal{F}_o to related problems in \mathcal{H}_o , and illustrate how to map back the unifiers found by \simeq_λ , effectively implementing \simeq_o on top of \simeq_λ for the encoding \mathcal{F}_o .

KEYWORDS

Logic Programming, Meta-Programming, Higher-Order Unification, Proof Automation

ACM Reference Format:

1 INTRODUCTION

Specifying and implementing a logic or a proof system from scratch requires significant effort. Logical Frameworks and Higher Order

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than the author(s) must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

Conference'17, July 2017, Washington, DC, USA

© 2024 Copyright held by the owner/author(s). Publication rights licensed to ACM. ACM ISBN 978-x-xxxx-xxxx-x/YY/MM

https://doi.org/10.1145/nnnnnnn.nnnnnnn

Logic Programming Languages provide dedicated, high-level Meta Languages (ML) to facilitate this task in two key ways. The first and most well know one is that variable binding and substitution come for free is one uses the ML binders to represent the object language ones. The second one that comes to mind is unification, a cornerstone for proof construction and proof search. However reusing that brick may require some additional work that we carry out in this paper.

Meta languages such as Elf [13], Twelf [15], λProlog [9] and Isabelle [21] have been utilized to specify various logics [3, 11, 12, 2]. In the notable of Higher Order Logic [11], the ML Isabelle is such a good fit that it implements an interactive proof system for the object logic, and not just a specification. The object logic we are interested in is Coq's [19] Calculus of Inductive Constructions (CIC) and we want to implement a form of proof search known as type-class [20, 16] resolution. We want to use the Elpi [18] meta programming language, a dialect of λ Prolog already used to extend Coq in various ways [18, 17, 6, 4]. Type-class solvers are unification based proof search procedures reminiscent of Prolog: they back-chain lemmas taken from a designated database of "type class instances". For this reason we believe that $\lambda Prolog$, hence Elpi, is a good fit for implementing such as form of automation. In this paper we focus on one aspect of this work, namely how to reuse the unification of the ML Elpi in order to implement the one used by the type-class solver.

We take as an example the Decision and Finite type classes from the Stdpp [7] library. The class Decision identifies predicates equipped with a decision procedure, while Finite the types whose inhabitants can be enumerated in a (finite) list. The following three designated Instances state that: 1) the type fin n, of natural numbers smaller than n, is finite; 2) the predicate nfact n nf, linking a natural number n to the number of its prime factors nf, is decidable; 3) the universal closure of a predicate has a decision procedure if its domain is finite and if the predicate is decidable.

```
Instance fin_fin: \foralln, Finite (fin n). (* r1 *)
Instance nfact_dec: \foralln nf, Decision (nfact n nf). (* r2 *)
Instance forall_dec: \forallA P, Finite A \rightarrow (* r3 *)
\forallX:A, Decision (P x) \rightarrow Decision (\forallX:A, P x).
```

Given this database a type-class solver is expected to prove the following statement automatically:

```
Decision (\forall x: fin 7, nfact x 3) (* g *)
```

The proof found by the solver back-chains on rule 3 (the only rule about the \forall quantifier), and then solves the premises with rules rules 1 and 2 respectively. Note that rule 3 features a second order parameter P that stands for a function of type A \rightarrow **Prop** (a predicate over A). The solver has to infer a value for P by unifying the

conclusion of rule 3 with the goal, and in particular by solving the unification problem $P \times P$ nfact $\times P$ 3. This higher order problem falls in the so called pattern-fragment \mathcal{L}_{λ} [8] and hence admits a unique solution $P = \lambda \times P$ 3.

In order to implement such a search in Elpi we shall describe the encoding of CIC terms and then the encoding of rules. Elpi comes with an Higher Order Abstract Syntax [14] datatype of CIC terms, called tm, that features (among others) the following constructors:

Following λ Prolog [9]'s standard syntax, the meta level binding of a variable x in an expression e is written e0, and square brackets denote a list of terms separated by comma. For example the term e0, e1, e3 is encoded as follows:

```
all (con"t") y\ app[con"nfact", y, con"3"]
```

We now illustrate the encoding of the three instances above as higher-order logic-programming rules: capital letters denote rule parameters; :- separates the rule's head from the premises and pi w\ p introduces a fresh nominal constant w for the premise p.

```
finite (app [con"fin", N]). (r1)
```

decision (all A x\ app [P, x]) :- finite A,
$$(r3)$$

pi w\ decision (app [P, w]).

Unfortunately this intuitive encoding of rule (r3) does not work, since it uses the predicate P as a first order term: for the meta language its type is tm. If we try to back-chain the rule (r3) on the encoding of the goal g given below

```
decision (all (app [con"fin", con"7"]) y\
    app [con"nfact", y, con"3"]).
```

we obtain an unsolvable unification problem (p): the two lists of terms have different lengths!

```
app [con"nfact", y, con"3"] = app [P, y] (p)
```

In this paper we study a more sophisticated encoding of rules that, on a first approximation, would shape (r3) as follows:

```
decision (all A \times Pm \times) :- link Pm P A, finite A, (r3') pi \times decision (app [P, \times]).
```

Since Pm is an higher-order unification variable of type tm -> tm, with x in its scope, the unification problem (p') admits one solution:

app [con"nfact", y, con"3"] = Pm y
$$(p')$$

$$Pm = x \land app [con"nfact", x, con"3"]$$
 (\rho)

Once the head of rule (r3') unifies with the goal (g) the premise «link Pm A P» brings the assignment (ρ) back to the domain tm of Coq terms:

```
P = lam A a\ app [con"nfact", a, con"3"]
```

This simple example is sufficient to show that the encoding we seek is not trivial and does not only concern the head of rules, but the entire sequence of unification problems that constitute the execution of a logic program. In fact the solution for P above generates a (Coq) β -redex in the second premise (the predicate

under the pi w\). We show below the premise before and after the instantiation of P:

```
decision (app[ P , w])
decision (app[ lam A (a\ app [con"nfact", a, con"3"]) , w])
```

In turn this redex prevents the rule (r2) to backchain properly since the following unification problem has no solution:

```
app[ lam A (a\ app [con"nfact", a, con"3"]) , x] =
app[ con"nfact" , N, NF]
```

The root cause of the problems we sketched in this example is that the unification procedure \simeq_{λ} of the meta language is not aware of the equational theory of the object logic, even if both theories include $\eta\beta$ -conversion and admit most general unifiers for unification problems in the pattern-fragment.

Contributions. In this paper we identify a minimal language \mathcal{F}_0 in which the problems sketched in the introduction can be formally described. We detail an encoding of a logic program on \mathcal{F}_0 to a strongly related logic program in \mathcal{H}_0 (the language of the meta language) and we show that the unification procedure of the meta language \simeq_{λ} can be effectively used to simulate a unification procedure \simeq_0 for the object language that features $\eta\beta$ -conversion in the pattern-fragment.

section 2 formally states the problem and gives the intuition behind our solution. section 3 discusses alternative term encodings and related works. section 4 introduces the languages \mathcal{F}_0 and \mathcal{H}_0 , section 5 describes a basic simulation of higher order logic programs. sections 6 and 7 completes its equational theory with support for η -conversion. section 8 deals with the practical necessity of "tolerating" terms outside of the pattern-fragment and discusses how heuristic can be applied. Finally section 9 discusses the implementation in Elpi.

The λ Prolog code discussed in the paper can be accessed at the URL: https://github.com/FissoreD/paper-ho.

2 PROBLEM STATEMENT AND SOLUTION

The equational theory of Coq's Dependent Type Theory is very rich. In addition to the usual $\eta\beta$ -equivalence for functions, terms (hence types) are compared up to proposition unfolding and fixpoint unrolling. Still, for efficiency and predictability reasons, most form of automatic proof search employ a unification procedure that captures a simpler one, just $\eta\beta$, and that solves higher-order problems restricted to the pattern fragment \mathcal{L}_{λ} . We call this unification procedure \simeq_{o} .

The equational theory of the meta language Elpi is strikingly similar, since it it comprises $\eta\beta$ (for the meta language functions), and the unification procedure \simeq_{λ} solves problems in \mathcal{L}_{λ} as well.

In spite of the similarity the link between \simeq_{λ} and \simeq_{o} is not trivial, since the abstraction and application term constructors the two unification procedures deal with are different. For example:

To state precisely the problem we solve we need a \mathcal{F}_0 representation of CIC terms and a \mathcal{H}_0 one. We extend the equality over ground

292

293

297

298

299

300

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

terms to open ones with the reflexivity of unification variables (that is unification variable X is equal to itself, not to another one such a Y). We call $=_o$ that equality in \mathcal{F}_o and $=_{\lambda}$ its counterpart in \mathcal{H}_o . We call \simeq_0 the unification procedure we want to implement and \simeq_{λ} the one provided by the meta language. We assume that the unification of our meta language is correct and complete in \mathcal{L}_{λ} .

We write $t_1 \simeq_{\lambda} t_2 \mapsto \sigma$ when t_1 and t_2 unify with substitution σ ; we write σt for the application of the substitution to t, and $\sigma X = {\sigma t \mid t \in X}$ when X is a set; we write $\sigma \subseteq \sigma'$ when σ is more general than σ' and we use set union to denote the concatenation of two substitutions. We shall use ρ for \mathcal{F}_0 substitutions, and σ for the \mathcal{H}_0 ones.

We illustrate a compilation $\langle s \rangle \mapsto (t, m, l)$ that maps a term s in \mathcal{F}_0 to a term t in \mathcal{H}_0 and produces a variable mapping m and list of links l. The variable map connects unification variables in \mathcal{H}_o with variables in \mathcal{F}_o and is used to "decompile" the assignment, $\langle \sigma, m, l \rangle^{-1} \mapsto \rho$. Links are an accessory piece of information whose description is deferred to section 2.1.

We represent a logic program run in \mathcal{F}_0 as a list steps p of length \mathcal{N} . Each step p represents a unification problem between two terms \mathbb{P}_{p_l} and \mathbb{P}_{p_r} taken from the set of all terms \mathbb{P} . The composition of these steps starting from the empty substitution ρ_0 produces the final substitution ρ_N . 1

$$\begin{split} \text{fstep}(\mathbb{P},p,\rho) &\mapsto \rho^{\prime\prime} \stackrel{def}{==} \rho \mathbb{P}_{p_l} \simeq_o \rho \mathbb{P}_{p_r} \mapsto \rho^{\prime} \wedge \rho^{\prime\prime} = \rho \cup \rho^{\prime} \\ \text{frun}(\mathbb{P},\mathcal{N}) &\mapsto \rho_{\mathcal{N}} \stackrel{def}{==} \bigwedge_{p=1}^{\mathcal{N}} \text{fstep}(\mathbb{P},p,\rho_{p-1}) \mapsto \rho_p \end{split}$$

We simulate each run in \mathcal{F}_0 with a run in \mathcal{H}_0 as follows.

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{hstep}(\mathbb{T}, p, \sigma, \mathbb{L}) &\mapsto (\sigma'', \mathbb{L}') \stackrel{def}{=} \\ \sigma \mathbb{T}_{p_{l}} &\simeq_{\lambda} \sigma \mathbb{T}_{p_{r}} \mapsto \sigma' \wedge \operatorname{progress}(\mathbb{L}, \sigma \cup \sigma') \mapsto (\mathbb{L}', \sigma'') \\ \operatorname{hrun}(\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{N}) &\mapsto \rho_{\mathcal{N}} \stackrel{def}{=} \\ &\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{L}_{0} = \{(t_{j}, m_{j}, l_{j}) | s_{j} \in \mathbb{P}, \langle s_{j} \rangle \mapsto (t_{j}, m_{j}, l_{j})\} \\ &\wedge_{p=1}^{\mathcal{N}} \operatorname{hstep}(\mathbb{T}, p, \sigma_{p-1}, \mathbb{L}_{p-1}) \mapsto (\sigma_{p}, \mathbb{L}_{p}) \\ &\langle \sigma_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathbb{M}, \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{N}} \rangle^{-1} \mapsto \rho_{\mathcal{N}} \end{split}$$

Here hstep is made of two sub-steps: a call to the meta language unification and a check for progress on the set of links. We claim:

Proposition 2.1 (Simulation).
$$\forall \mathbb{P}, \forall \mathcal{N}, \textit{if } \mathbb{P} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$$

$$\operatorname{frun}(\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{N}) \mapsto \rho_{\mathcal{N}} \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{hrun}(\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{N}) \mapsto \rho_{\mathcal{N}}$$

That is, the two executions give the same result. Moreover:

PROPOSITION 2.2 (SIMULATION FIDELITY). In the context of hrun, if $\mathbb{P} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$ we have that $\forall p \in 1 \dots N$,

$$fstep(\mathbb{P}, p, \rho_{p-1}) \mapsto \rho_p \Leftrightarrow hstep(\mathbb{T}, p, \sigma_{p-1}, \mathbb{L}_{p-1}) \mapsto (\sigma_p, \mathbb{L}_p)$$

In particular this property guarantees that a *failure* in the \mathcal{F}_0 run is matched by a failure in \mathcal{H}_o at the same step. We consider this property very important from a practical point of view since it guarantees that the execution traces are strongly related and in turn this enables a user to debug a logic program in \mathcal{F}_0 by looking at its execution trace in \mathcal{H}_o .

We can define $s_1 \simeq_o s_2$ as follows:

$$s_{1} \simeq_{o} s_{2} \mapsto \rho \stackrel{def}{=}$$

$$\langle s_{1} \rangle \mapsto (t_{1}, m_{1}, l_{1}) \land \langle s_{2} \rangle \mapsto (t_{2}, m_{2}, l_{2})$$

$$t_{1} \simeq_{\lambda} t_{2} \mapsto \sigma' \land \operatorname{progress}(\{l_{1}, l_{2}\}, \sigma') \mapsto (L, \sigma'') \land$$

$$\langle \sigma'', \{m_{1}, m_{2}\}, L \rangle^{-1} \mapsto \rho$$

Proposition 2.3 (Properties of \simeq_0 in \mathcal{L}_{λ}).

$$s_i \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda} \Rightarrow s_1 \simeq_o s_2 \mapsto \rho \Rightarrow \rho s_1 =_o \rho s_2$$
 (1)

$$s_i \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda} \Rightarrow \rho s_1 =_o \rho s_2 \Rightarrow \exists \rho', s_1 \simeq_o s_2 \mapsto \rho' \land \rho' \subseteq \rho$$
 (2)

In addition to correctness and completeness in \mathcal{L}_{λ} , we claim that hrun handles terms outside \mathcal{L}_{λ} in the following sense:

Proposition 2.4 (Properties of hrun outside \mathcal{L}_{λ}).

$$\exists \rho, \rho s_1 =_{\rho} \rho s_2 \Rightarrow \langle s_i \rangle \mapsto (t_i, m_i, l_i) \Rightarrow t_1 \simeq_{\lambda} t_2 \mapsto \sigma$$
 (3)

$$\sigma_{p-1}\mathbb{T}_p \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda} \Rightarrow \operatorname{hstep}(\mathbb{T}, p, \sigma_{p-1}, \mathbb{L}_{p-1}) \mapsto (\sigma_p, \mathbb{L}_p) \mapsto \sigma$$
 (4)

Property 3 states that two terms for which there is a unifier (given by an oracle, not necessarily a most general one), then the compiler generates two terms that unify in \mathcal{H}_o . In other words the compiler moves out of the way all problematic terms.

Property 4 says that if the two terms involved in a step re-enter \mathcal{L}_{λ} , then hstep succeeds. This is a typical example in which the order of the unification problems in a logic program run do matter. The simplest example is the sequence $F = \lambda x.a$ and $F \cdot a = a$: the second problem is not in \mathcal{L}_{λ} and has two unifiers, namely $F = \lambda x.x$ and $F = \lambda x.a$. The first problem picks one of the two, making the second problem re-enter \mathcal{L}_{λ} .

The intuition in a nutshell 2.1

A term s is compiled in a term t where every "problematic" sub term p is replaced by a fresh unification variable h and an accessory *link* that represent a suspended unification problem $h \simeq_{\lambda} p$. As a result \simeq_{λ} is "well behaved" on t, that is it does not contradict $=_{0}$ as it would otherwise do on "problematic" terms. We now define "problematic" and "well behaved" more formally.

Definition 2.5 (
$$\Diamond \eta$$
). $\Diamond \eta = \{t \mid \exists \rho, \rho t \text{ is an eta expansion}\}$

An example of term t in $\Diamond \eta$ is $\lambda x. \lambda y. F \cdot y \cdot x$ since the substitution $\rho = \{F \mapsto \lambda a.\lambda b.fba\}$ makes $\rho t = \lambda x.\lambda y.fxy$ that is the eta long form of f. This term is problematic since its rigid part, the λ abstractions, cannot justify a unification failure against a constant or an application.

Definition 2.6 (
$$\Diamond \beta_0$$
). $\Diamond \beta_0 = \{X | x_1 \dots x_n | x_1 \dots x_n \text{ are distinct names}\}_{335}^{334}$

An example of term t in $\Diamond \beta_0$ is the application $F \cdot x$. This term is problematic since the application node of its syntax tree cannot be used to justify a unification failure, i.e. by properly instantiating F the term head constructor may become a λ , or a constant or stay an application.

Definition 2.7
$$(\overline{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}})$$
. $\overline{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}} = \{X \cdot t_1 \dots t_n \mid X \cdot t_1 \dots t_n \notin \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}\}.$

These terms are problematic for the very same reason terms in $\Diamond \beta_0$ are, but cannot be handled directly by the unification of the meta language, that is only required to handle terms in \mathcal{L}_{λ} .

We write $\mathcal{P}(t)$ the set of sub-terms of t, and we write $\mathcal{P}(X) =$ $\bigcup_{t \in X} \mathcal{P}(t)$ when *X* is a set of terms.

¹If the same rule is used multiple time in a run we just consider as many copies as needed of the terms composing the rules, with fresh unification variables each time

suck

Definition 2.8 (Well behaved set). Given a set of terms $X \subseteq \mathcal{H}_o$, $W(X) \Leftrightarrow \forall t \in \mathcal{P}(X), t \notin (\overline{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}} \cup \Diamond \eta \cup \Diamond \beta_0)$

Proposition 2.9 (*W*-preservation of hstep). $\forall \mathbb{T}, \forall \mathbb{L}, \forall p, \forall \sigma, \forall \sigma'$

```
\begin{array}{l} \mathcal{W}(\sigma\mathbb{T}) \wedge \sigma\mathbb{T}_{p_l} \simeq_{\lambda} \sigma\mathbb{T}_{p_r} \mapsto \sigma' \Rightarrow \mathcal{W}(\sigma'\mathbb{T}) \\ \mathcal{W}(\sigma\mathbb{T}) \wedge \operatorname{progress}(\mathbb{L},\sigma) \mapsto (\_,\sigma') \Rightarrow \mathcal{W}(\sigma'\mathbb{T}) \end{array}
```

A less formal way to state 2.9 is that hstep and progress never "commit" an unneeded λ -abstraction in σ (a λ that could be erased by an η -contraction), nor put in σ an application whose head is flexible (an application node that could be erased by a β -reduction).

Note that proposition 2.9 does not hold for \simeq_o as a whole since decompilation can introduce (actually restore) terms in $\Diamond \eta$, $\Diamond \beta_0$ or $\overline{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}}$ that were moved out of the way during compilation.

3 OTHER ENCODINGS AND RELATED WORK

One could ignore the similarity between \simeq_0 and \simeq_λ and "just" describe the object language unification procedure in the meta language by crafting a unif routine and using it as follows in rule (r3):

```
decision X := unif X (all A x \ app [P, x]), finite A, pi x \ decision (app [P, x]).
```

This choice would underuse the logic programming engine provided by the meta language since, by removing any datum from the head of rules, indexing degenerates. Moreover the unification procedure unif programmed in the meta language is likely to be an order of magnitude slower than one that is built-in.

Another possibility is to avoid having the application and abstraction nodes in the syntax tree, and use the ones of meta language, as in the following:

```
finite (fin N). decision (nfact N NF). decision (all A x\ P x) :- finite A, pi x\ decision (P x).
```

There are two reasons for dismissing this encoding. The first one is that in CIC it is not always possible to adopt it since the type system of the meta language is too weak to accommodate for the one of the object language. In CIC the lambda abstraction has to carry a type in order to make type checking decidable. Moreover CIC allows for functions with a variable arity, like the following example:

```
Fixpoint arr T n := if n is S m then T -> arr T m else T. Definition sum n : arr nat n := ... Check sum 2 7 8 : nat. Check sum 3 7 8 9 : nat.
```

The type system of the $\lambda Prolog$ is too stringent to accept this terms. The second reason is that the CIC encoding provided by Elpi is used for meta programming (extending) the Coq system, hence it must accommodate the manipulation of terms that are now know in advance (not even defined in Coq) without using introspection primitives such as Prologs's functor and arg. In this sense constants have to live in an open world, like the string data type used in the examples so far.

In the literature we could find related encoding of the Calculus of Constructions [2]. The goal of that work was to exhibit a

logic program performing proof checking in CC and hence relate the proof system of intuitionistic higher-order logic (that animates λ Prolog programs) with the Calculus of Constructions. The encoding is hence tailored toward a different goal, and utilizes three relations to represent the equational theory of CC. Section 6 contains a discussion about the use of the unification procedure of the meta language in presence of non ground goals, but the authors do not aim at exploiting it to the degree we want.

4 PRELIMINARIES: \mathcal{F}_0 AND \mathcal{H}_0

In order to reason about unification we provide a description of the \mathcal{F}_0 and \mathcal{H}_0 languages where unification variables are first class terms, i.e. they have a concrete syntax. We keep these languages minimal, for example, we omit the all quantifier of CIC we used in the example in Section 1 together with the type notation of terms carried by the lam constructor.

```
kind fm type. kind tm type. 

type fapp list fm -> fm. type app list tm -> tm. 

type flam (fm -> fm) -> fm. type lam (tm -> tm) -> tm. 

type fcon string -> fm. type con string -> tm. 

type fuva addr -> fm. type uva addr -> list tm -> tm.
```

Figure 1: The \mathcal{F}_o and \mathcal{H}_o languages

Unification variables (fuva term constructor) in \mathcal{F}_0 have no explicit scope: the arguments of an higher order variable are given via the fapp constructor. For example the term $P \times is$ represented as fapp[fuva N, x], where N is a memory address and x is a bound variable.

In \mathcal{H}_o the representation of P x is instead uva N [x], since unification variables come equipped with an explicit scope. We say that the unification variable occurrence uva N L is in \mathcal{L}_λ if and only if L is made of distinct names. The predicate to test this condition is called pattern-fragment:

```
type pattern-fragment list A -> o.
```

Natural numbers represent the memory addresses that identify unification variables in both languages. The memory and its associated operations are described below:

```
kind addr type.
type addr nat -> addr.
typeabbrev (mem A) (list (option A)).

type set? addr -> mem A -> A -> o.
type unset? addr -> mem A -> o.
type assign addr -> mem A -> A -> mem A -> o.
type new mem A -> addr -> mem A -> o.
```

If a memory cell is none, then the corresponding unification variable is not set. assign sets an unset cell to the given value, while new finds the first unused address and sets it to none.

```
E:is new used?
```

Since in \mathcal{H}_o unification variables have a scope, their solution needs to be abstracted over it to enable the instantiation of a single solution to different scopes. This is obtained via the inctx container, and in particular via its abs binding constructor. On the contrary a solution to a \mathcal{F}_0 variable is a plain term.

524

525

527

528

529

530

531

532

535

536

537

538

539

540

541

542

543

544

545

548

549

550

551

552

554

555

556

557

558

560

561

562

563

564

565

567

568

569

570

571

572

574

575

576

577

578

579

580

465

474

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

D:ado

ref

to

sec-

tion 7

500

503

504

505

506

507

508

509

510

511

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

```
typeabbrev fsubst (mem fm).
466
          kind inctx type -> type.
                                                                          (⋅ ⊦ ⋅)
467
          type abs (tm -> inctx A) -> inctx A.
          type val A -> inctx A.
469
          typeabbrev assignment (inctx tm).
470
          typeabbrev subst (mem assignment).
471
472
       We call fsubst the memory of \mathcal{F}_o, while we call subst the one of \mathcal{H}_o.
473
```

Both have the invariant that they are not cyclic, TODO: explain.

```
kind arity type.
type arity nat -> arity.
kind fvariable type.
type fv addr -> fvariable.
kind hvariable type.
type hv addr -> arity -> hvariable.
kind mapping type.
type mapping fvariable -> hvariable -> mapping.
typeabbrev mmap (list mapping).
```

INVARIANT 1 (UNIFICATION VARIABLE ARITY). Each variable A in \mathcal{H}_o has a (unique) arity N and each occurrence (uva A L) is such that (len L N) holds

The compiler establishes a mapping between variables of the two languages. In order to preserve invariant 1 we store the arity of each hvariable in the mapping and we reuse an existing mapping only if the arity matches.

```
type m-alloc fvariable -> hvariable -> mmap -> mmap ->
  subst -> subst -> o.
                                                   (malloc)
m-alloc Fv Hv M M S S :- mem M (mapping Fv Hv), !.
m-alloc Fv Hv M [mapping Fv Hv M] S S1 :- Hv = hv N _,
  alloc S N S1.
```

When a single fvariable occurs multiple times with different numbers of arguments the compiler generates multiple mappings for it, on a first approximation, and then makes the mapping bijective by introducing η -link; this detail is discussed in section 6.

As we mentioned in section 2.1 the compiler replaces terms in $\Diamond \eta$ and \mathcal{L}_{λ} with fresh variables linked to the problematic terms. Each class of problematic terms has a dedicated link.

```
kind baselink type.
type link-eta tm -> tm -> baselink.
type link-beta tm -> tm -> baselink.
typeabbrev link (inctx baselink).
typeabbrev links (list link).
```

The right hand side of a link, the problematic term, can occur under binders. To accommodate this situation the compiler wraps baselink using the inctx container (see, $\cdot \vdash \cdot$).

INVARIANT 2 (LINK LEFT HAND SIDE). The left hand side of a suspended link is a variable.

New links are suspended by construction. If the left hand side variable is assigned during a step, then the link is considered for progress and possibly eliminated. This is discussed in section 6 and section 8.

4.1 Notational conventions

When we write \mathcal{H}_0 terms outside code blocks we follow the usual λ calculus notation, reserving f, q, a, b for constants, x, y, z for bound variables and X, Y, Z, F, G, H for unification variables. However we need to distinguish between the "application" of a unification variable to its scope and the application of a term to a list of arguments. We write the scope of unification variables in subscript while we use juxtaposition for regular application. Here a few examples:

```
app[con "f", con "a"]
\lambda x.\lambda y.F_{xy} lam x\ lam y\ uva F [x, y]
\lambda x.F_x \cdot a lam x\ app[uva F [x], con "a"]
\lambda x.F_x\cdot x lam x\ app[uva F [x], x]
```

When variables x and y can occur in term t we shall write t_{xy} to stress this fact.

We write $\sigma = \{ A_{xy} \mapsto y \}$ for the assignment abs x\abs y\y and $\sigma = \{ A \mapsto \lambda x. \lambda y. y \}$ for lam x\lam y\y .

When detailing examples we write links as equations between two terms under a context. The equality sign is subscripted with kind of baselink. For example $x \vdash A_x =_{\beta} F_x$ a corresponds to:

```
abs x\ val (link-beta (uva A [x]) (app[uva F [x],con "a"]))
```

When it is clear from the context we shall use the same syntax for \mathcal{F}_{o} terms (although we never subscripts unification variables).

4.2 Equational theory and Unification

In order to express properties ?? we need to equip \mathcal{F}_0 and \mathcal{H}_0 with term equality, substitution application and unification.

Term equality: $=_0 vs. =_{\lambda}$. We extend the equational theory over ground terms to the full languages by adding the reflexivity of unification variables (a variable is equal to itself).

The first four rules are common to both equalities and just define the usual congruence over terms, and since we use an HOAS encoding they also capture α -equivalence. In addition to that $=_0$ has rules for η and β -equivalence.

```
type (=_o) fm -> fm -> o.
                                                                   (=_o)
fcon X =_{o} fcon X.
fapp A =_o fapp B :- forall2 (=_o) A B.
flam F =_o flam G := pi x \ x =_o x => F x =_o G x.
fuva N =_o fuva N.
flam F =_{o} T :=
                                                                   (\eta_l)
  pi x\ beta T [x] (T' x), x =_o x \Rightarrow F x =_o T' x
T =_{o} flam F :=
  pi x \ beta T [x] (T' x), x =_o x \Rightarrow T' x =_o F x.
fapp [flam X | L] =_o T :- beta (flam X) L R, R =_o T. (\beta_l)
T =_o fapp [flam X | L] :- beta (flam X) L R, T =_o R. (\beta_r)
type (=_{\lambda}) tm -> tm -> o.
con C =_{\lambda} fcon C.
app A =_{\lambda} fapp B :- forall2 (=_{\lambda}) A B.
lam F =_{\lambda} flam G := pi x \ x =_{\lambda} x => F x =_{\lambda} G x.
uva N A =_{\lambda} fuva N B :- forall2 (=_{\lambda}) A B.
```

The main point in showing these equality tests is to remark how weaker $=_{\lambda}$ is, and to identify the four rules that need special treatment in the implementation of \simeq_{o} .

For reference, (beta T A R) reduces away lam nodes in head position in T whenever the list A provides a corresponding argument.

```
type beta fm -> list fm -> fm -> o.
beta A [] A.
beta (flam Bo) [H | L] R :- napp (Bo H) F, beta F L R.
beta (fapp A) L (fapp X) :- append A L X.
beta (fuva N) L (fapp [fuva N | L]).
beta (fcon H) L (fapp [fcon H | L]).
beta N L (fapp [N | L]) :- name N.

type napp fm -> fm -> o.
napp (fcon C) (fcon C).
napp (fuva A) (fuva A).
napp (flam F) (flam G) :- pi x\ napp (F x) (G x).
napp (fapp [fapp L1 | L2]) T :- !,
  append L1 L2 L3, napp (fapp L3) T.
napp (fapp L) (fapp L1) :- map napp L L1.
napp N N :- name N.
```

The name predicate holds only on nominal constants (i.e. bound variables). The choice of using n-ary application, rather than binary, is to make it easy to access the application's head. The price we pay is that substituting an application in the head of an application should be amended by "flattening" fapp nodes, that is the job of napp. Thinally note that the cut operator is inessential, it could be removed at the cost of a verbose test on the head of L in the second rule about fapp: L's head can be fcon, flam or a name.

Substitution application: ρs and σt . Applying the substitution corresponds to dereferencing a term with respect to the memory. To ease the comparison we split \mathcal{F}_0 dereferencing into a fder step and a napp one. The former step replaces references to memory cells that are set with their values, and has a corresponding operation in \mathcal{H}_0 , namely deref. On the contrary napp has no corresponding operation in \mathcal{H}_0 . The reasons for this asymmetry is that an fapp node with a flexible head is always mapped to a uva (as per section 5.1 and section 8), preventing nested applications to materialize.

```
type fder fsubst -> fm -> o.
fder _ (fcon C) (fcon C).
fder S (fapp A) (fapp B) :- map (fder S) A B.
fder S (flam F) (flam G) :-
   pi x\ fder S x x => fder S (F x) (G x).
fder S (fuva N) R :- set? N S T, fder S T R.
fder S (fuva N) (fuva N) :- unset? N S.

type fderef fsubst -> fm -> o. (ρs)
fderef S T T2 :- fder S T T1, napp T1 T2.
```

Applying the substitution in \mathcal{H}_0 is very similar, with the caveat that assignments have to be moved to the current scope, i.e. renaming the

abs-bound variables with the names in the scope of the unification variable occurrence.

Note that move strongly relies on invariant 1: the length of the arguments of all occurrences of a unification variable and the number of abstractions in its assignment have to match. In turn this grants that move never fails.

```
type move assignment -> list tm -> tm -> o. move (abs Bo) [H|L] R :- move (Bo H) L R. move (val A) [] A.
```

Term unification: $\simeq_o vs. \simeq_\lambda$. In this paper we assume to have an implementation of \simeq_λ that satisfies properties ?? and ??. Although we provide an implementation in the appendix (that we used for testing purposes) we only describe its signature here. Elpi is expected to provide this brick, as well as any other implementation of λProlog .

```
type (\simeq_{\lambda}) tm -> tm -> subst -> o.
```

The only detail worth discussing is the fact that the procedure updates a substitution, rather than just crafting one as presented in section 2. The reason is that the algorithm folds over a term, updating a substitution while it traverses it.

E:explain better

5 BASIC SIMULATION OF \mathcal{F}_o IN \mathcal{H}_o

In this section we describe a basic compilation scheme that we refine later, in the following sections. This scheme is sufficient to implement an \simeq_0 that respects β -conversion for terms in \mathcal{L}_{λ} . The extension to $\eta\beta$ -conversion is described in Section 6 and the support for terms outside \mathcal{L}_{λ} in Section 8.

5.1 Compilation

E:manca beta normal in entrata

The main task of the compiler is to recognize \mathcal{F}_o variables standing for functions and map them to higher order variables in \mathcal{H}_o . In order to bring back the substitution from \mathcal{H}_o to \mathcal{F}_o the compiler builds a "memory map" connecting the the kind of variables using routine (*malloc*).

The signature of the comp predicate below allows for the generation of links (suspended unification problems) that play no role in this section but play a major role in section 6 and section 8. With respect to section 2 the signature also allows for updates to the substitution.

```
type comp fm -> tm -> mmap -> mmap -> links -> links ->
   subst -> subst -> o.
comp (fcon C) (con C) M M L L S S.
```

²Elpi provides it as a builtin, but one could implement it by systematically loading the hypothetical rule name x every time a nominal constant is postulated via pi x\
³Note that napp is an artefact of formalization of \mathcal{F}_o we do in this presentation and, as we explain later, no equivalent of napp is needed in \mathcal{H}_o .

is

E:What

commit-

complete-

mapping?

links

and

The code above uses that possibility in order to allocate space for the variables, i.e. sets their memory address to none (a details not worth mentioning in the previous sections).

```
type comp-lam (fm -> fm) -> (tm -> tm) ->
    mmap -> mmap -> links -> links -> subst -> o.
comp-lam F G M1 M2 L1 L3 S1 S2 :-
    pi x y\ (pi M L S\ comp x y M M L L S S) =>
        comp (F x) (G y) M1 M2 L1 (L2 y) S1 S2,
    close-links L2 L3.
```

In the code above the syntax pi x y\.. is syntactic sugar for iterated pi abstraction, as in pi x\ pi y\...

The auxiliary function close-links tests if the bound variable v really occurs in the link. If it is the case the link is wrapped into an additional abs node binding v. In this way links generated deep inside the compiled terms can be moved outside their original context of binders.

```
type close-links (tm -> links) -> links -> o. close-links (v\[X \ |L \ v]) [X|R] :- !, close-links L R. close-links (v\[X \ v|L \ v]) [abs X|R] :- close-links L R. close-links (_\[]) [].
```

Note that we could remove the first rule, whose solve purpose is to make links more readable by pruning unused context entries.

5.1.1 Detection of $\Diamond \beta_0$. The following rule is inserted just before rule $(c_{(\widehat{\omega})})$.

```
comp (fapp [fuva A|Ag]) (uva B Ag1) M1 M2 L L S1 S2 :-
pattern-fragment Ag, !,
  fold6 comp Ag Ag1 M1 M1 L L S1 S1,
  len Ag Arity,
  m-alloc (fv A) (hv B (arity Arity)) M1 M2 S1 S2.
```

Note that compiling Ag cannot create new mappings nor links, since Ag is made of bound variables and the hypothetical rule loaded by comp-lam (see below) grants this property. Also note that this rule generates no links.

5.2 Execution

A step in \mathcal{H}_0 consists in unifying two terms and reconsidering all links for progress. If any of the two tasks fail we say that the entire step fails, and it is at this granularity that we can relate steps in the two languages.

```
type hstep tm -> tm -> links -> links -> subst -> subst -> o. hstep T1 T2 L1 L2 S1 S3 :-  (T1 \simeq_{\lambda} T2) \ S1 \ S2, \\ progress L1 L2 \ S2 \ S3.
```

Note that he infix notation ((A \simeq_{λ} B) C D) is syntactic sugar for ((\simeq_{λ}) A B C D).

Reconsidering links is a fixpoint, since the progress of a link can update the substitution and in turn enable another link to progress.

```
type progress links -> links -> subst -> o.
progress L L2 S1 S3 :-
  progress1 L L1 S1 S2,
  occur-check-links L1,
  if (L = L1, S1 = S2)
    (L2 = L1, S3 = S1)
    (progress L1 L2 S2 S3).
```

In the base compilation scheme progress1 is the identity on both the links and the substitution, so the fixpoint trivially terminates. Sections 6 and 8 add rules to progress1 and justify why the don't hinder termination. For brevity we omit the code that applies the substitution S1 to all terms in \mathbb{L} .

Since compilation moves problematic terms out of the sigh of \simeq_{λ} , that procedure can only perform a partial occur check. For example the unification problem $X \simeq_{\lambda} f Y$ cannot generate a cyclic substitution alone, but should be disallowed if a \mathbb{L} contains a link like $\vdash Y =_{\eta} \lambda z. X_z$: We don't know yet if Y will feature a lambda in head position, but we surely know it contains X, hence f Y and that fails the occur check. The procedure occur-check-links is in charge of ensuring that each link does not represent a (suspended) unification problem doomed to fail because of occur check. This check is needed in order to guarantee proposition 2.2 (simulation fidelity).

5.3 Substitution decompilation

Decompiling the substitution requires to first force the progress of links and then allocating new unassigned variables in the substitution for \mathcal{F}_0 and finally decompiling all assignments. Note that invariant 2 and the occur check allows us to update the subst.

```
type decompile mmap -> links -> subst ->
  fsubst -> fsubst -> o.
decompile M1 L S F1 F3 :-
  commit-links L S S1,
  complete-mapping S1 S1 M1 M2 F1 F2,
  decompm M2 M2 S1 F2 F3.
```

Decompiling an assignment requires to turn abstractions into lambdas. For aesthetic purposes we also eta-contract the result (not needed since \mathcal{F}_0 equality can do that)

```
type decompm mmap -> mmap -> subst -> fsubst -> o.
decompm _ [] _ F F.
decompm M [mapping (fv V) (hv H _)|MS] S F1 F3 :- set? H S A,
deref-assmt S A A1,
abs->lam A1 T, decomp M T T1,
eta-contract T1 T2,
assign V F1 T2 F2,
decompm M MS S F2 F3.
decompm M [mapping _ (hv H _)|MS] S F1 F2 :- unset? H S,
decompm M MS S F1 F2.
```

Finally decompiling a term is trivial, now that we have an extended mapping containing all unassigned variables \simeq_{λ} may have introduced.

872

875

876

877

878

879

882

883

884

885

886

887

888

889

890

891

892

893

896

897

898

899

900

901

902

903

904

905

906

908

909

910

911

912

913

914

915

916

917

918

919

921

922

923

924

925

926

927

928

818

819

820

821

822

823

824

825

826

827

828

829

830

831

832

833

834

835

836

837

838

839

840

841

844

845

846

847

848

849

851

852

853

854

855

856

857

858

859

860

861

862

863

864

865

866

867

868

869

870

```
813
             pi \times y \setminus (pi M \setminus decomp M \times y) \Rightarrow decomp M (F x) (G y).
           decomp M (uva Hv Ag) R :-
814
815
             mem M (mapping (fv Fv) (hv Hv _)),
             map (decomp M) Ag Bg,
816
817
             beta (fuva Fv) Bg R.
```

Note that we use beta to build fapp nodes when needed (if Ag is empty no fapp node should appear).

INVARIANT 3. TODO: dire che il mapping è bijective

5.4 Definition of \simeq_0 and its properties

```
type (\simeq_o) fm -> fm -> fsubst -> o.
(A \simeq_o B) F :-
  fo.beta-reduce A A',
  fo.beta-reduce B B',
  comp A' A'' [] M1 [] [] [] S1,
  comp B' B'' M1 M2 [] [] S1 S2,
  hstep A'' B'' [] [] S2 S3,
 decompm M2 M2 S3 [] F.
```

The code given so far applies to terms in $\beta\eta$ -normal form where unification variables in \mathcal{F}_0 can occur non linearly but always with the same number of arguments, and where their arguments are distinct names (as per \mathcal{L}_{λ}).

Lemma 5.1 (Compilation round trip). If comp s t [] m [] $_{-}$ [] $_{-}$ then decomp M T S

PROOF SKETCH. trivial, since the terms are beta normal beta just D:Refornbulides?an app.

> LEMMA 5.2. Properties 1 and 2 hold for the implementation of \simeq_0 above

> PROOF SKETCH. In this setting $=_{\lambda}$ is as strong as $=_{o}$ on ground terms. What we have to show is that whenever two different \mathcal{F}_0 terms can be made equal by a substitution ρ (plus the β_I and β_r if needed) we can find this ρ by finding a σ via \simeq_{λ} on the corresponding \mathcal{H}_{o} terms and by decompiling it. If we look at the \mathcal{F}_o terms, the are two interesting cases:

- fuva $X \simeq_o s$. In this case after comp we have $Y \simeq_{\lambda} t$ that succeeds with $\sigma = \{Y \mapsto t\}$ and σ is decompiled to $\rho =$
- fapp[fuva X|L] \simeq_o s. In this case we have $Y_{\vec{x}} \simeq_{\lambda} t$ that succeeds with $\sigma = \{\vec{y} \vdash Y \mapsto t[\vec{x}/\vec{y}]\}$ that in turn is decompiled to $\rho = \{Y \mapsto \lambda \vec{y}.s[\vec{x}/\vec{y}]\}$. Thanks to $\beta_I(\lambda \vec{y}.s[\vec{x}/\vec{y}])\vec{x} = 0$

Since the mapping is a bijection occur check in \mathcal{H}_0 corresponds to occur check in \mathcal{F}_0 .

LEMMA 5.3. Properties simulation (2.1) and fidelity (2.2) hold

PROOF SKETCH. Since progress1 is trivial fstep and hstep are the same, that is in this context where input terms are $\beta\eta$ -normal and we disregard η -equivalence \simeq_{λ} is equivalent to \simeq_{o} .

5.5 Limitations of by this basic scheme

The basic compilation scheme is not about to deal wit the following problem:

$$\mathbb{P} = \{ \lambda x y . X \cdot y \cdot x \simeq_o \lambda x y . x \quad \lambda x . f \cdot (X \cdot x) \cdot x \simeq_o Y \}$$

Note that here X is used with different arities, moreover in the second problem the left hand side happens to be an eta expansion (of $f(\lambda y.y)$) only after we discover (at run time) that $X = \lambda x \lambda y.y$ (i.e. that *X* discards the *x* argument). Both problems are addressed in the next two sections.

6 HANDLING OF $\Diamond \eta$

 η -reduction is an equivalence relation where a term of the form $\lambda x.t \cdot x$ can be converted to t any time x does not occur as a free variable in *t*. We call *t* the η -contraction of $\lambda x.t \cdot x$.

Following the compilation scheme of section 5.1 the unification problem \mathbb{P} is compiled as follows:

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P} &= \{ \ \lambda x. X \ x \simeq_o f \ \} \\ \mathbb{T} &= \{ \ \lambda x. A_x \simeq_\lambda f \ \} \\ \mathbb{M} &= \{ \ X \mapsto A^1 \ \} \end{split}$$

While $\lambda x. X \cdot x \simeq_0 f$ does admit the solution $\rho = \{X \mapsto f\}$, the corresponding problem in T does not: lam x\ uva A [x] and con"f" start with different, rigid, term constructors hence \simeq_{λ} fails.

In order to guarantee proposition 2.1 we detect lambdas that can disappear by eta contraction (section 6.1) and we modify the compiled terms by putting fresh unification variables in their place: the problematic term is moved from \mathbb{T} to \mathbb{L} (section 6.2). The compilation of the problem \mathbb{P} above is refined to:

$$\mathbb{P} = \{ \lambda x. X \ x \simeq_0 f \}$$

$$\mathbb{T} = \{ A \simeq_{\lambda} f \}$$

$$\mathbb{M} = \{ X \mapsto B^1 \}$$

$$\mathbb{L} = \{ \vdash A =_{\eta} \lambda x. B_x \}$$

As per invariant 2 the term on the left is a variable, and its right counterpart is the term in $\Diamond \eta$. That term has the following property:

INVARIANT 4 (η -link rhs). The rhs of any η -link has the shape $\lambda x.t$ and t is not a lambda.

 η -link are kept in the link store \mathbb{L} during execution and activated when some conditions hold on lhs or rhs. Link activation is implemented by extending the progress1 predicate (defined in section 5.2).

6.1 Detection of $\Diamond \eta$

When compiling a term t we need to determine if any subterm $s \in \mathcal{P}(t)$ that is of the form $\lambda x.r$, where x occurs in r, can be a η expansion, i.e. if there exists a substitution ρ such that $\rho(\lambda x.r) = 0$ s. The detection of lambda abstractions that can "disappear" is not as trivial as it may seems, here a few examples:

```
\lambda x. f \cdot (A \cdot x)
                                                      \in \Diamond \eta \ \rho = \{ A \mapsto \lambda x.x \}
\lambda x. f \cdot (A \cdot x) \cdot x
                                                      \in \Diamond \eta \ \rho = \{ A \mapsto \lambda x.a \}
\lambda x. f \cdot x \cdot (A \cdot x)
                                                      ∉ ◊η
\lambda x.\lambda y.f\cdot (A\cdot x)\cdot (B\cdot y\cdot x)\ \in \Diamond \eta\ \rho=\{\ A\mapsto \lambda x.x,\ B\mapsto \lambda y.\lambda x.y\ \}
```

The first two examples are easy, and show how a unification variable can expose or erase a variable in their scope and turn the resulting

term in an η -expansion or not.

The third example shows that when a variable occurs outside the scope of a unification variable it cannot be erased and can hence prevent a term from being an η -expansion.

The last example shows the recursive nature of the check we need to implement. The term starts with a spine of two lambdas hence the whole term is in $\Diamond \eta$ iff the inner term $\lambda y.f\cdot (A\cdot x)\cdot (B\cdot y\cdot x)$ is in $\Diamond \eta$ itself. If it is, it could η -contract to $f\cdot (A\cdot x)$ making $\lambda x.f\cdot (A\cdot x)$ a potential η -expansion.

We can now define more formally how $\Diamond \eta$ terms are detected together with its auxiliary functions:

Definition 6.1 (may-contract-to). A β -normal term s may-contract-to a name x if there exists a substitution ρ such that $\rho s =_{\rho} x$.

LEMMA 6.2. A β -normal term $s = \lambda x_1 \dots x_n . t$ may-contract-to x only if one of the following three conditions holds:

- (1) n = 0 and t = x;
- (2) t is the application of x to a list of terms l and each l_i maycontract-to x_i (e.g. $\lambda x_1 \dots x_n x_1 \dots x_n = 0$ x);
- (3) t is a unification variable with scope W, and for any $v \in \{x, x_1 \dots x_n\}$, there exists a $w_i \in W$, such that w_i may-contract-to v (if n = 0 this is equivalent to $x \in W$).

PROOF SKETCH. Since our terms are in β -normal form there is only one rule that can play a role (namely η_I), hence if the term s is not exactly x (case 1) it can only be an η -expansion of x, or a unification variable that can be assigned to x, or a combination of both. If s begins with a lambda, then the lambda can only disappear by η contraction. In that case the term t is under the spine of binders $x_1 \dots x_n$, t can either be x applied to terms that can may-contract-to these variables (case 2), or a unification variable that can be assigned to that application (case 3).

Definition 6.3 (occurs-rigidly). A name x occurs-rigidly in a β-normal term t, if ∀ρ, x ∈ 𝒫(ρt)

In other words x occurs-rigidly in t if it occurs in t outside of the scope of unification variables since an instantiation is allowed to discard x from the scope of the unification variable. Note that η -contraction cannot make x disappear, since the variables being erased by η -contraction are locally bound inside t.

We can now derive the implementation for $\Diamond \eta$ detection:

Definition 6.4 (maybe-eta). Given a β -normal term $s = \lambda x_1 \dots x_n . t$, maybe-eta s holds if any of the following holds:

- (1) t is a constant or a name applied to the arguments $l_1 \dots l_m$ such that $m \ge n$ and for every i such that $m n < i \le m$ the term l_i may-contract-to x_i , and no x_i occurs-rigidly in $l_1 \dots l_{m-n}$;
- (2) t is a unification variable with scope W and for each x_i there exists a $w_i \in W$ such that w_i may-contract-to x_i .

Lemma 6.5 ($\Diamond \eta$ detection). If t is a β -normal term and maybeeta t holds, then $t \in \Diamond \eta$.

Proof sketch. Follows from definition 6.3 and lemma 6.2 □

Remark that the converse of lemma 6.5 does not hold: there exists a term t satisfying the criteria (1) of definition 6.4 that is not in $\Diamond \eta$, i.e. there exists no substitution ρ such that ρt is an η -expansion. A

simple counter example is $\lambda x.f.(A\cdot x)\cdot(A\cdot x)$ since x does not occurrigidly in the first argument of f, and the second argument of f may-contract-to x. In other words $A\cdot x$ may either use or discard x, but our analysis does not take into account that the same term cannot have two contrasting behaviors.

As we will see in the rest of this section this is not a problem since it does not break proposition 2.1 nor proposition 2.2.

6.2 Compilation and decompilation

Compilation. The following rule is inserted just before rule (c_{λ}) from the code in section 5.1.

```
comp (flam F) (uva A Scope) M1 M2 L1 L3 S1 S3 :-
maybe-eta (flam F) [], !,
   alloc S1 A S2,
   comp-lam F F1 M1 M2 L1 L2 S2 S3,
   get-scope (lam F1) Scope,
   L3 = [val (link-eta (uva A Scope) (lam F1)) ] L2].
```

The rule triggers when the input term flam F is in $\Diamond \eta$. It compiles flam F to lam F1 but puts the fresh variable A in its place. This variable sees all the names free in lam F1. The critical part of this rule is the creation of the η -link, which relates the variable A with lam F1. This link clearly validates invariant 2.

COROLLARY 6.6. The rhs of any η -link has exactly one lambda abstraction, hence the rule above respects invariant 4.

PROOF SKETCH. By contradiction, suppose that the rule above is triggered and that the rhs of the link is $\lambda x.\lambda y.t_{xy}$. If $maybe-eta\,\lambda y.t_{xy}$ holds the recursive call to comp (made by comp-lam) must have put a fresh variable in its place, so this case is impossible. Otherwise, if $maybe-eta\,\lambda y.t_{xy}$ does not hold, also $maybe-eta\,\lambda y.t_{xy}$ does not hold, contradicting the assumption that the rule triggered. \Box

Decompilation. Decompilation of η-link is performed by adding new rules to the commit-link predicate. In particular, given $\Gamma \vdash X =_{\eta} t$, we can note that this unification never fails, since X is a flexible term and no other η-link has X has lhs (by definition 6.9). The link is remove from $\mathbb L$ and commit-links terminates.

6.3 Progress

 η -link are meant to delay the unification of "problematic" terms until we know for sure if the term has to be η -contracted or not.

Definition 6.7 (progress- η -left). A link $\Gamma \vdash X =_{\eta} T$ is removed from $\mathbb L$ when X becomes rigid. Let $y \in \Gamma$, there are two cases:

- (1) if X = a or X = y or $X = f \cdot a_1 \dots a_n$ we unify the η -expansion of X with T, that is we run $\lambda x \cdot X \cdot x \simeq_{\lambda} T$
- (2) if $X = \lambda x.t$ we run $X \simeq_{\lambda} T$.

Definition 6.8 (progress- η -right). A link $\Gamma \vdash X =_{\eta} T$ is removed from \mathbb{L} when either 1) maybe-eta T does not hold (anymore) or 2) by η -contracting T to T', T' is a term not starting with the lam constructor. In the first case, X is unified with T and in the second one, X is unified with T' (under the context Γ).

There is a third case in which a link is removed from \mathbb{L} , namely when the lhs is assigned to a variable that is the lhs of another η -link.

Definition 6.9 (progress- η -deduplicate). A link $\Gamma \vdash X_{\vec{s}} =_{\eta} T$ is removed from $\mathbb L$ when another link $\Delta \vdash X_{\vec{r}} =_{\eta} T'$ is in $\mathbb L$. By invariant 1 the length of \vec{s} and \vec{r} is the same hence we can move the term T' from Δ to Γ by renaming its bound variables, i.e. $T'' = T'[\vec{r}/\vec{s}]$. We then run $T \simeq_{\lambda} T''$ (under the context Γ).

D:Below the proof of proposition 2.9, ho usato 3 lemmi ausiliari, forse si può compattare in una prova più piccola?

Lemma 6.10. Given a η -link l, the unification done by progress- η -left is between terms in W

PROOF SKETCH. Let σ be the substitution, such that $\mathcal{W}(\sigma)$. lhs $\in \sigma$, therefore $\mathcal{W}(\text{lhs})$. By definition 6.7, if 1) lhs is a name, a constant of an application, then, lhs is unified with the η -reduced term t obtain from rhs. By corollary 6.6, rhs has one lambda, therefore $\mathcal{W}(t)$. Otherwise, 2) lhs has lam as functor, rhs should not be an η -expansion ans, so, $\mathcal{W}(\text{rhs})$. In both cases, unification is performed between terms in \mathcal{W} .

Lemma 6.11. Given a η -link l, the unification done by progress- η -right is between terms in W.

PROOF SKETCH. Ihs is variable, and, by definition 6.8, rhs is either no more a $\Diamond \eta$, i.e. rhs is not a η -expansion and, so, $\mathcal{W}(\text{rhs})$. Otherwise, rhs can reduce to a term which cannot be a η -expansion, and, so, $\mathcal{W}(\text{rhs})$. In both cases, unification is done between terms in \mathcal{W} .

Lemma 6.12. Given a η -link l, the unification done by progress- η -deduplicate is between terms in W.

PROOF. Trivial, since the unification is done between unification variables, which are by definition in W.

Lemma 6.13. Proposition 2.9 holds, i.e., given a substitution σ and a η -link l, after the activation of l, $W(\sigma)$ holds.

PROOF SKETCH. By lemmas 6.10 to 6.12, every unification performed by the activation of a η -link is performed between terms in W, therefore, the substitution remains W.

D:Bisogna aggiungere un lemma nella section 2.1 che dice che unificare due termini in W, in una σ , tale che $W(\sigma)$, non invalida W

LEMMA 6.14. progress terminates.

Proof sketch. Rules definitions 6.7 and 6.8 and definition 6.9 remove one link from \mathbb{L} , hence they cannot be applied indefinitely. Moreover each rule only relies on terminating operations such as \simeq_{λ} , η -contraction, η -expansion, relocation (a recursive copy of a finite term).

D:Proove simulation fidelity, dicendo che *progress-η-right* è inutile

Example of progress-η-left. The example at the beginning of section 6, once $\sigma = \{A \mapsto f\}$, triggers this rule since the link becomes $\vdash f =_{\eta} \lambda x.B_X$ and the lhs is a constant. In turn the rule runs $\lambda x.f \cdot x \simeq_{\lambda} \lambda x.B_X$, resulting in $\sigma = \{A \mapsto f ; B_X \mapsto f\}$. Decompilation the generates $\rho = \{X \mapsto f\}$, since X is mapped to B and f is the η-contracted version of $\lambda x.f \cdot x$.

Example of progress- η -deduplicate. A very basic example of η -link deduplication, is given below:

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P} &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \lambda x.(X \cdot x) \simeq_{o} \lambda x.(Y \cdot x) \end{array} \right\} \\ \mathbb{T} &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} A \simeq_{\lambda} C \end{array} \right\} \\ \mathbb{M} &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} X \mapsto B^{1} & Y \mapsto D^{1} \end{array} \right\} \\ \mathbb{L} &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} + A =_{\eta} \lambda x.B_{X} & + C =_{\eta} \lambda x.D_{X} \end{array} \right\} \end{split}$$

The result of $A \simeq_{\lambda} C$ is that the two η -link share the same lhs. By unifying the two rhs we get $\sigma = \{A \mapsto C, B \mapsto D \}$. In turn, given the map \mathbb{M} , this second assignment is decompiled to $\rho = \{X \mapsto Y \}$ as expected.

We delay at the end of next section an example of η -link progression due to $progress-\eta$ -right

7 ENFORCING INVARIANT 1

We report here the problem given in section 5.5 where X is used with two different arities and the output of the compilation does not respect invariant 3 (merging the two mappings for s would break invariant 1). In this section we explain how to replace the duplicate mapping with some η -link in order to restore the invariants.

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P} &= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \lambda x.\lambda y.(X\cdot y\cdot x) &\simeq_o \lambda x.\lambda y.x & \lambda x.(f\cdot (X\cdot x)\cdot x) \simeq_o Y \right. \right\} \\ \mathbb{T} &= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} A &\simeq_\lambda \lambda x.\lambda y.x & D \simeq_\lambda F \right. \right\} \\ \mathbb{M} &= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} X \mapsto E^1 & Y \mapsto F^0 & X \mapsto C^2 \right. \right\} \\ \mathbb{L} &= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} + D &=_\eta \lambda x.(f\cdot E_X \cdot x) & \mapsto A =_\eta \lambda x.B_x \\ x \mapsto B_x &=_\eta \lambda y.C_{yx} \end{array} \right. \end{split}$$

We see that the maybe-eta as identified $\lambda xy.X\cdot y\cdot x$ and $\lambda x.f\cdot (X\cdot x)\cdot x$ and the compiler has replaced them with A and D respectively. However, the mapping $\mathbb M$ breaks invariant 3: the $\mathcal F_o$ variable X is mapped to two different $\mathcal H_o$ variables. To address this problem we adjust the compiler's output with a map-deduplication procedure.

Definition 7.1 (align-arity). Given two mappings $m_1: X \mapsto A^m$ and $m_2: X \mapsto C^n$ where m < n and d = n - m, align-arity $m_1 m_2$ generates the following d links, one for each i such that $0 \le i < d$,

$$x_0 \cdots x_{m+i} \vdash B^i_{x_0 \cdots x_{m+i}} =_{\eta} \lambda x_{m+i+1}.B^{i+1}_{x_0 \cdots x_{m+i+1}}$$

where B^i is a fresh variable of arity m + i, and $B^0 = A$ as well as $B^d = C$.

The intuition is that we η -expand the occurrence of the variable with lower arity to match the higher arity. Since each η -link can add exactly one lambda, we need as many links as the difference between the two arities.

Definition 7.2 (map-deduplication). For all mappings $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{M}$ such that $m_1: X \mapsto A^m$ and $m_2: X \mapsto C^n$ and m < n we remove m_1 from \mathbb{M} and add to \mathbb{L} the result of align-arity m_1 m_2 .

If we look back the example give at the beginning of this section, we can deduplicate $X \mapsto E^1, X \mapsto C^2$ by removing the first

1220

1221

1224

1225

1226

1230

1231

1232

1233

1234

1236

1237

1238

1239

1240

1243

1244

1247

1249

1250

1251

1252

1253

1256

1257

1258

1259

1260

1263

1264

1265

1266

1267

1270

1271

1272

1273

1274

1275

1276

1161

1162

1163

1164

1165

1166

1167

1168

1169

1170

1171

1173

1174

1175

1176

1177

1178

1179

1180

1181

1182

1183

1185

1186

1187

1188

1189

1190

1191

1192

1193

1194

1195

1197

1198

1199

1200

1201

1202

1203

1204

1205

1206

1207

1208

1209

1211

1212

1213

1214

1215

1216

1217

1218

mapping and adding the auxiliary η -link: $x \vdash E_x =_{\eta} \lambda y.C_{xy}$. After deduplication the compiler output is as follows:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathbb{P} = \left\{ \begin{array}{lll} \lambda x.\lambda y.(X \cdot y \cdot x) & \simeq_o & \lambda x.\lambda y.x & \lambda x.(f \cdot (X \cdot x) \cdot x) & \simeq_o & Y \end{array} \right\} \\ \mathbb{T} = \left\{ \begin{array}{lll} A & \simeq_\lambda & \lambda x.\lambda y.x & D & \simeq_\lambda & F \end{array} \right\} \\ \mathbb{M} = \left\{ \begin{array}{lll} Y \mapsto F^0 & X \mapsto C^2 \end{array} \right\} \\ \mathbb{L} = \left\{ \begin{array}{lll} x \vdash E_x =_\eta & \lambda y.C_{xy} & \vdash D & =_\eta & \lambda x.(f \cdot E_x \cdot x) \\ \vdash A & =_\eta & \lambda x.B_x & x \vdash B_x =_\eta & \lambda y.C_{yx} \end{array} \right\} \end{array}$$

In this example, \mathbb{T}_1 assigns A which triggers \mathbb{L}_3 and then \mathbb{L}_4 by definition 6.7. C_{yx} is therefore assigned to x (the second variable of its scope). We can finally see the *progress-\eta-right* of \mathbb{L}_1 : its rhs is now $\lambda y.y$ (C_{xy} gives y). Since it is no more in $\Diamond \eta$, $\lambda y.y$ is unified with E_x . Moreover, \mathbb{L}_2 is also triggered due to definition 6.8: $\lambda x.(f(\lambda y.y)x)$ is η -reducible to $f \cdot (\lambda y.y)$ which is a term not starting with the lam

8 HANDLING OF $\overline{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}}$

D:I've rewritten it, it is clearer?

Until now, we have only dealt we unification of terms in \mathcal{L}_{λ} . However, we want the unification relation to be more robust so that it can work with terms in $\overline{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}}$. In general, unification in $\overline{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}}$ admits more then one solution and committing one of them in the substitution does not guarantee prop. 2. For instance, $X \cdot a \simeq_o a$ is a unification problem admits two different substitutions: $\rho_1 = \{X \mapsto$ $\lambda x.x$ and $\rho_2 = \{X \mapsto \lambda_a\}$. Prefer one over the other may break future unifications.

It is the case that, given a list of unification problems, $\mathbb{P}_1 \dots \mathbb{P}_n$ with \mathbb{P}_n in $\overline{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}}$, the resolution of $\bigwedge_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{P}_i$ gives a partial substitution ρ , such that $\rho \mathbb{P}_n$ falls again in \mathcal{L}_{λ} .

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P} &= \{ \ X \simeq_o \ \lambda x. Y \quad (X \cdot a) \simeq_o \ a \ \} \\ \mathbb{T} &= \{ \ A \simeq_\lambda \ \lambda x. B \quad (A \cdot a) \simeq_\lambda \ a \ \} \\ \mathbb{M} &= \{ \ Y \mapsto B^0 \quad X \mapsto A^0 \ \} \end{split}$$

In the example above, we see that \mathbb{P}_1 instantiates X so that \mathbb{P}_2 , can be solved in \mathcal{L}_{λ} .

E:it is even a ground term, there is no unification left to perform actually

D:i don't understand the note

On the other hand, we see that, \simeq_{λ} can't solve the compiled problems \mathbb{T} . In fact, the resolution of \mathbb{T}_1 gives the substitution $\sigma =$ $\{A \mapsto \lambda x.B\}$, but the dereferencing of \mathbb{T}_2 gives the non-unifiable problem $(\lambda x.B)$ $a \simeq_{\lambda} a$.

To address this unification problem, term compilation should capture the terms t in $\overline{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}}$ and replace them with fresh variables X. The variables *X* and the terms *t* are linked through a β -link.

 β -link guarantees invariant 2 and the term on the rhs has the following property:

D:Is it clearer?

INVARIANT 5 (β -link rhs). The rhs of any β -link has the shape $X_{s_1...s_n}$ $t_1...t_m$ such that X is a unification variable with scope $s_1 \dots s_n$ and $t_1 \dots t_m$ is a list of terms. This is equivalent to app[uva X S | L] where $S = s_1 \dots s_n$ and $L = t_1 \dots t_m$.

LEMMA 8.1 (β -link with rigid lhs). *If the* lhs *of a* β -link *is* instantiated to a rigid term and its rhs counterpart is still in \mathcal{L}_{λ} , the original unification problem is not in \mathcal{L}_{λ} and the unification fails.

PROOF SKETCH. Given $X t_1 \dots t_n \simeq_{\lambda} t$ where t is a rigid term and $t_1 \dots t_n$ is not in \mathcal{L}_{λ} . By construction, $X t_1 \dots t_n$ is replaced with a variable Y, and the β -link $\Gamma \vdash Y =_{\beta} X \cdot t_1 \dots t_n$ is created. The unification instantiates Y to t, making the lhs of the link a rigid term, while rhs is still in $\overline{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}}$. The original problem is in fact outside

Compilation and decompilation

Detection of $\overline{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}}$ is quite simple to implement in the compiler, since it is sufficient to detect applications with flexible head and argument that are not in \mathcal{L}_{λ} .

```
comp (fapp [fuva A|Ag]) (uva B Scope) M1 M3 L1 L3 S1 S4 :- !,
  pattern-fragment-prefix Ag Pf Extra,
  len Pf Arity,
  alloc S1 B S2,
  m-alloc (fv A) (hv C (arity Arity)) M1 M2 S2 S3,
                Pf1 M2 M2 L1 L1 S3 S3.
  fold6 comp Pf
  fold6 comp Extra Extra1 M2 M3 L1 L2 S3 S4,
  Beta = app [uva C Pf1 | Extra1],
  get-scope Beta Scope,
  L3 = [val (link-beta (uva B Scope) Beta) | L2].
```

The list Ag is split into the list Pf and Extra such that append Pf Extra Ag245 and Pf is the largest prefix of Ag such that Pf is in \mathcal{L}_{λ} . The rhs of the β -link is the application of a fresh variable C having in scope all the free variables appearing in the compiled version of Pf and Extra. The variable B, returned has the compiled term, is a fresh variable having in scope all the free variables occurring in Pf1 and

Invariant 6. The rhs of a β -link has the shape $X_{s_1...s_n}$ $t_1...t_m$.

Corollary 8.2. Let $X_{s_1...s_n}$ $t_1...t_m$ be the rhs of a β -link, then m > 0.

PROOF SKETCH. Assume we have a β -link, by contradiction, if m = 0, then the original \mathcal{F}_0 term has the shape fapp[fuva M | Ag] where Ag is a list of distinct names (i.e. the list Extra is empty). This case is however captured by rule (c_1) (from section 5.1) and no β -link is produced which contradicts our initial assumption. \Box

Corollary 8.3. Let $X_{s_1...s_n}$ $t_1...t_m$ be the rhs of a β -link, then t_1 either appears in $s_1 \dots s_n$ or it is not a name.

PROOF SKETCH. By construction, the lists $s_1 ldots s_n$ and $t_1 ldots t_m$ are built by splitting the list Ag from the original term fapp [fuva A|Ag]. $s_1 \dots s_n$ is the longest prefix of the compiled terms in Ag which is in \mathcal{L}_{λ} . Therefore, by definition of \mathcal{L}_{λ} , t_1 must appear in $s_1 \dots s_n$, otherwise $s_1 \dots s_n$ is not the longest prefix in \mathcal{L}_{λ} , or it is a term with a constructor of tm as functor.

E:Dire che maybe eta fa il detect anche su termini che non sono il llambda, oppure dirlo in section of maybeeta + dare un esempio?

Decompilation. During progress, as claimed in invariant 5, the decompilation can only have β-link with not instantiated lhs. In this case, lhs is unified with rhs.

D:not really sure of this, we can have F $a = \lambda x.Gx$. In this case when do we fail: for sure in decompile. But to respect fidelity, we should fail immediately: we have a β -link and a η -link with same lhs

8.2 Progress

D:L'ho

ri-

for-

mu-

lato

the

def

be-

low

to

re-

spect

delity

D:da

finire

D:added

The activation of a β -link is performed when its rhs falls under \mathcal{L}_{λ} under a given substitution.

Definition 8.4 (progress-beta- \mathcal{L}_{λ}). Given a substitution σ and a β -link $\Gamma \vdash T =_{\beta} X_{s_1...s_n} \cdot t_1 \ldots t_m$ such that σt_1 is a name, say t, and $t \notin s_1 \ldots s_n$. If m = 0, then the β -link is removed and lhs is unified with $X_{s_1...s_n}$. If m > 0, then the β -link is replaced by a refined version $\Gamma \vdash T =_{\beta} Y_{s_1...s_n,t} \cdot t_2 \ldots t_m$ with reduced list of arguments and Y being a fresh variable. Moreover, the new link $\Gamma \vdash X_{s_1...s_n} =_{\eta} \lambda x. Y_{s_1...s_n,x}$ is added to \mathbb{L} .

Definition 8.5 (progress-beta-rigid-head). A link $\Gamma \vdash X =_{\beta} X_{s_1...s_n} \cdot t_1$ is removed from $\mathbb L$ if $X_{s_1...s_n}$ is instantiated to a term t and the β -reduced term t' obtained from the application of t to $l_1 \ldots l_m$ is in $\mathcal L_{\lambda}$. Moreover, X is unified to t.

Definition 8.6 (progress-beta-dedup). Given a β -link l_1 and second link $l_2 \in \mathbb{L}$, such that they share the same lhs. In this case, the two rhs are unified and a l_2 is removed from \mathbb{L} .

LEMMA 8.7. progress terminates

PROOF SKETCH. Let l a β -link in the store $\mathbb L$. If l is activated by progress-beta-rigid-head, then it disappears from $\mathbb L$ and progress terminates. Otherwise, the rhs of l is made by a variable applied to m arguments. At each activation of $progress-beta-\mathcal L_\lambda$, l is replaced by a new β -link l^1 having m-1 arguments. At the m^{th} iteration, the β -link l^m has no more arguments and is removed from $\mathbb L$. Note that at the m^{th} iteration, m new η -link have been added to $\mathbb L$, however, by lemma 6.14, the algorithm terminates. Finally progress-beta-dedup also guarantees termination since it makes a unification $\mathbb U$ and if $\mathbb U$ fails, then progress terminates and if $\mathbb U$ succeeds, the recursive calls to progress have a specialized β -link ...

E:funziona. per essere più precisi io parlerei di ordine lessicografico (tipico ordine ben fondato usato per dimostrare terminazione). NI nostro caso è la tripla (argomenti extra dei beta, numero di beta, numero di eta).

COROLLARY 8.8. Given a β -link, the variables occurring in its rhs are in \mathcal{L}_{λ} .

D:is it clearer?

PROOF SKETCH. By construction, the rhs of β -link has the shape $X_{s_1...s_n}$ $t_1 \ldots t_m$, $s_1 \ldots s_n$ is in \mathcal{L}_{λ} and all the terms $t_1 \ldots t_n$ are in \mathcal{L}_{λ} , too. If a β -link is triggered by *progress-beta-rigid-head*, then, by definition 8.5, that link is removed by \mathbb{L} , and the property is satisfied. If the η -link is activated by *progress-beta-* \mathcal{L}_{λ} , then, by

definition 8.4, the new β -link as a variable as a scope which is still in \mathcal{L}_{λ} .

Lemma 8.9. Given a $\mathbb T$ and a substitution σ then the resolution of $\sigma \mathbb T$ guarantees proposition 2.2

PROOF SKETCH. If $\sigma \mathbb{T}$ is in \mathcal{L}_{λ} , then by definitions 8.4 and 8.5, then β -link disappear and the unification done between terms in \mathcal{L}_{λ} . This problem unifies iff its corresponding \mathcal{F}_{0} problem unifies too. If $\sigma \mathbb{T}$ is in $\overline{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}}$, then, by lemma 8.1, the unification fails, as per the corresponding unification in \mathcal{F}_{0} .

Example of progress-beta- \mathcal{L}_{λ} . Consider the β -link below:

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P} &= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} X \simeq_o \lambda x.x & \lambda x.(Y \cdot (X \cdot x)) \simeq_o f \end{array} \right\} \\ \mathbb{T} &= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} A \simeq_\lambda \lambda x.x & B \simeq_\lambda f \end{array} \right\} \\ \mathbb{M} &= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} Y \mapsto D^0 & X \mapsto A^0 \end{array} \right\} \\ \mathbb{L} &= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} + A =_\eta \lambda x.E_x & \vdash B =_\eta \lambda x.C_x \\ x \vdash C_x =_\beta (D \cdot E_x) \end{array} \right\} \end{split}$$

Initially the β -link rhs is a variable D applied to the E_x . The first minimization problem results in $\sigma = \{A \mapsto \lambda x.x\}$. In turn this instantiation triggers \mathbb{L}_1 by progress- η -left and E_x is assigned to x. Under this substitution the β -link becomes $x \vdash C_x =_{\beta} (D \cdot x)$, and by progress-beta- \mathcal{L}_{λ} it is replaced with the link: $\vdash E =_{\eta} \lambda x.D_x$, while C_x is unified with D_x . The second unification problem assigns f to g, that in turn activates the second g-link (g is assigned to g), and then all the remaining links are solved. The final g0 substitution is g1 and is decompiled into g2 and is decompiled into g3.

Example of progress-beta-rigid-head. We can take the example provided in section 8. The problem is compiled into:

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P} &= \{ \ X \simeq_o \ \lambda x.Y \quad (X \cdot a) \simeq_o \ a \ \} \\ \mathbb{T} &= \{ \ A \simeq_\lambda \ \lambda x.B \qquad C \simeq_\lambda \ a \ \} \\ \mathbb{M} &= \{ \ Y \mapsto B^0 \quad X \mapsto A^0 \ \} \\ \mathbb{L} &= \{ \ \vdash C =_\beta \ (A \cdot a) \ \} \end{split}$$

The first unification problems is solved by the substitution $\sigma = \{A \mapsto \lambda x.B\}$. The β -link becomes $\vdash C =_{\beta} ((\lambda x.B) \cdot a)$ whose rhs can be β -reduced to B. B is in \mathcal{L}_{λ} and is unified with C. The resolution of the second unification problem gives the final substitution $\sigma = \{A \mapsto \lambda x.B, B \mapsto C, C \mapsto a\}$ which is decompiled into $\rho = \{X \mapsto \lambda x.a, Y \mapsto a\}$.

8.3 Relaxing lemma β -link with rigid lhs

Working with terms in \mathcal{L}_{λ} is sometime too restrictive. There exists systems such as λProlog [10], Abella [5], which delay the resolution of $\overline{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}}$ unification problems if the substitution is not able to put them in \mathcal{L}_{λ} .

$$\mathbb{P} = \{ \ (X \cdot a) \simeq_o \ a \quad X \simeq_o \lambda x.Y \ \}$$

In the example above, \mathbb{P}_1 is in $\overline{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}}$ and the object language cannot solve it, and, by proposition 2.2, the meta language neither. However, we can be more permissive, and relax lemma 8.1. This modification is quite simple to manage: we are introducing a new $\overline{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}}$ progress rule, say *progress-beta-* $\overline{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}}$, by which, if lhs is rigid and rhs is flexible, the considered β -link is kept in the store and no progression is

1452

1453

1455

1456

1457

1458

1459

1460

1462

1463

1464

1465

1466

1467

1468

1469

1470

1471

1472

1473

1475

1476

1477

1478

1479

1480

1481

1482

1483

1484

1485

1486

1488

1489

1490

1491

1492

1493

1494

1495

1496

1497

1498

1499

1501

1502

1503

1504

1505

1506

1507

1508

1393

1407

1408 for decompile in case of $\Diamond \beta_0$

1419

1420

1421

1435

finish

1429

1441

1442

1443 1444 1445

1446 1447 1448

1449

1450

done⁴. $progress-beta-\overline{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}}$ makes occur-check-links partial, since the check is possible only on links with a variable on the lhs. This means that we can have two links $\vdash X =_{\beta} Y \cdot a$ and $\vdash f \cdot X =_{\beta} Y \cdot a$ where the occur check does not throw an error. Note however, that the decompilation of the two links will force the unification of X to Y a and then the unification of f(Y a) to Y a, which fails by the occur check of \simeq_{λ} .

A second strategy to deal with problem that are in \mathcal{L}_{λ} is to make some approximation. This is the case for example of the unification algorithm of Coq used in its type class solver [16]. The approximation consists in forcing a choice (among the others) when the unification problem is in $\overline{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}}$. For instance, in X a b = Y b, the last argument of the two terms is the same, therefore Y is assigned $\lambda x. Y, Y =$ } is another valid substitution for the original problem. This approximation can be easily introduced in our unification D:Paragraphcedure, by adding new custom β -link progress rules.

> The commit-link predicate can be extended to add heuristics if during the decompilation phase β -link remain. For example, the same approximation explained above can be delayed and applied only if the terms in $\Diamond \beta_0$ never falls in \mathcal{L}_{λ} after the execution of all the unification problems. We want to point out, that we call this approximation, since we are making a choice among all the possible unifiers and therefore, we can pick the wrong one.

ACTUAL IMPLEMENTATION IN ELPI

In this paper we show a minimized example. The full code is there. But we also have to code things in Coq-Elpi.

The main difference between the presentation in the previous sections and the actual implementation for Coq is that the main loop hrun is replaced by the one of Prolog that chains calls to the unification procedure. In order implement the store of links we resort to Elpi's CLP engine and use constraints (suspended goals) to represent links, and constraint handling rules to implement progress operations involving more than one link.

about the progress of 1 link:

```
link-eta L R :- suspend-condition L R Holes, !,
  declare_constraint (link-eta L R) Holes.
link-eta L R :-
 progress. % e.g. L = R.
about the progress of 2 links:
constraint link-eta {
  rule (N1 ⊳ G1 ?- link-eta (uvar X LX1) T1) % match
    / (N2 ⊳ G2 ?- link-eta (uvar X LX2) T2) % remove
       (relocate LX1 LX2 T2 T2')
                                              % condition
   <=> (N1 ▶ G1 ?- T1 = T2').
                                              % new goal
```

Remark how the invariant about uvar arity makes this easy, since LX1 and LX2 have the same length. Also note that N1 only contains the names of the first link (while relocate runs in the disjoint union) and Elpi ensures that T2' can live in N1.

10 CONCLUSION

Benefits: less work, reuse efficient ho unif (3x faster), indexing, Future: tabling and static analysis (reuse for ML again).

Very little is Coq specific. Applies to all OL that are not a subsystem of HOL, or for ML that are used for meta programming.

REFERENCES

- [1] Cvetan Dunchev et al. "ELPI: Fast, Embeddable, λProlog Interpreter". In: Logic for Programming, Artificial Intelligence, and Reasoning - 20th International Conference, LPAR-20 2015, Suva, Fiji, November 24-28, 2015, Proceedings. Ed. by Martin Davis et al. Vol. 9450. 2015, pp. 460-468. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-662-48899-7_32. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-48899-7%5C 32.
- Amy Felty. "Encoding the Calculus of Constructions in a Higher-Order Logic". In: ed. by M. Vardi. IEEE, June 1993, pp. 233-244. DOI: 10.1109/LICS.1993.287584.
- Amy Felty and Dale Miller. "Specifying theorem provers in a higher-order logic programming language". In: Ninth International Conference on Automated Deduction. Ed. by Ewing Lusk and Ross Overbeck. 310. Argonne, IL: Springer, May 1988, pp. 61-80. DOI: 10.1007/BFb0012823.
- Davide Fissore and Enrico Tassi. "A new Type-Class solver for Coq in Elpi". In: The Coq Workshop 2023. Bialystok, Poland, July 2023. URL: https://inria.hal.science/hal-04467855.
- Andrew Gacek. The Abella Interactive Theorem Prover (System Description). 2008. arXiv: 0803.2305 [cs.L0].
- Benjamin Grégoire, Jean-Christophe Léchenet, and Enrico [6] Tassi. "Practical and sound equality tests, automatically -Deriving eqType instances for Jasmin's data types with Coq-Elpi". In: CPP '23: 12th ACM SIGPLAN International Conference on Certified Programs and Proofs. CPP 2023: Proceedings of the 12th ACM SIGPLAN International Conference on Certified Programs and Proofs. Boston MA USA, France: ACM, Jan. 2023, pp. 167-181. DOI: 10.1145/3573105.3575683. URL: https://inria.hal.science/hal-03800154.
- RALF JUNG et al. "Iris from the ground up: A modular foundation for higher-order concurrent separation logic". In: Journal of Functional Programming 28 (2018), e20. DOI: 10.1017/S0956796818000151.
- Dale Miller. "Unification under a mixed prefix". In: Journal of Symbolic Computation 14.4 (1992), pp. 321-358. DOI: 10. 1016/0747-7171(92)90011-R.
- Dale Miller and Gopalan Nadathur. Programming with Higher-Order Logic. Cambridge University Press, 2012. DOI: 10.1017/ CBO9781139021326.
- Gopalan Nadathur and Dale Miller. "An Overview of Lambda-Prolog". In: June 1988, pp. 810-827.
- Tobias Nipkow, Lawrence C. Paulson, and Markus Wen-[11] zel. Isabelle/HOL - A Proof Assistant for Higher-Order Logic. Vol. 2283. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer, 2002. ISBN: 3-540-43376-7.
- Lawrence C. Paulson. "Set theory for verification. I: from foundations to functions". In: J. Autom. Reason. 11.3 (Dec. 1993), pp. 353-389. ISSN: 0168-7433. DOI: 10.1007/BF00881873. URL: https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00881873.

⁴This new rule trivially guarantees the termination of progress

- [13] F. Pfenning. "Elf: a language for logic definition and verified metaprogramming". In: *Proceedings of the Fourth Annual Symposium on Logic in Computer Science*. Pacific Grove, California, USA: IEEE Press, 1989, pp. 313–322. ISBN: 0818619546.
- [14] F. Pfenning and C. Elliott. "Higher-order abstract syntax". In: Proceedings of the ACM SIGPLAN 1988 Conference on Programming Language Design and Implementation. PLDI '88. Atlanta, Georgia, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, 1988, pp. 199–208. ISBN: 0897912691. DOI: 10.1145/53990.54010. URL: https://doi.org/10.1145/53990.54010.
- [15] Frank Pfenning and Carsten Schürmann. "System Description: Twelf A Meta-Logical Framework for Deductive Systems". In: Automated Deduction CADE-16. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1999, pp. 202–206. ISBN: 978-3-540-48660-2.
- [16] Matthieu Sozeau and Nicolas Oury. "First-Class Type Classes". In: Theorem Proving in Higher Order Logics. Ed. by Otmane Ait Mohamed, César Muñoz, and Sofiène Tahar. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2008, pp. 278–293. ISBN: 978-3-540-71067-7.
- [17] Enrico Tassi. "Deriving proved equality tests in Coq-elpi: Stronger induction principles for containers in Coq". In: *ITP 2019 10th International Conference on Interactive Theorem Proving.* Portland, United States, Sept. 2019. DOI: 10.4230/LIPIcs.CVIT.2016.23. URL: https://inria.hal.science/hal-01897468.
- [18] Enrico Tassi. "Elpi: an extension language for Coq (Metaprogramming Coq in the Elpi λProlog dialect)". In: *The Fourth International Workshop on Coq for Programming Languages.*Los Angeles (CA), United States, Jan. 2018. URL: https://inria. hal.science/hal-01637063.
- [19] The Coq Development Team. *The Coq Reference Manual Release 8.18.0.* https://coq.inria.fr/doc/V8.18.0/refman. 2023.
- [20] P. Wadler and S. Blott. "How to Make Ad-Hoc Polymorphism Less Ad Hoc". In: Proceedings of the 16th ACM SIGPLAN-SIGACT Symposium on Principles of Programming Languages. POPL '89. Austin, Texas, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, 1989, pp. 60–76. ISBN: 0897912942. DOI: 10.1145/ 75277.75283. URL: https://doi.org/10.1145/75277.75283.
- [21] Makarius Wenzel, Lawrence C. Paulson, and Tobias Nipkow. "The Isabelle Framework". In: *Theorem Proving in Higher Order Logics*. Ed. by Otmane Ait Mohamed, César Muñoz, and Sofiène Tahar. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2008, pp. 33–38. ISBN: 978-3-540-71067-7.

APPENDIX

1625

1626

1627

1628 1629

1630

1631

1632

1633

1634

1636

1637

1638

1639

1640

1641

1642

1643

1644

1645

1646

1650

1651

1652

1653

1654

1655

1656

1657

1658

1659

1663

1664

1665

1666

1667

1668

1669

1670

1671

1672

1673

1674

1676

1677

1678

1679

1680

1681

1682

This appendix contains the entire code described in this paper. The code can also be accessed at the URL: https://github.com/FissoreD/paper-ho

Note that (a infix b) c d de-sugars to (infix) a b c d. Explain builtin name (can be implemented by loading name after each pi)

11 THE MEMORY

```
kind addr type.
type addr nat -> addr.
typeabbrev (mem A) (list (option A)).
type set? addr -> mem A -> A -> o.
set? (addr A) Mem Val :- get A Mem Val.
type unset? addr -> mem A -> o.
unset? Addr Mem :- not (set? Addr Mem _).
type assign-aux nat -> mem A -> A -> mem A -> o.
assign-aux z (none :: L) Y (some Y :: L).
assign-aux (s N) (X :: L) Y (X :: L1) :- assign-aux N L Y L1.
type assign addr -> mem A -> A -> mem A -> o.
assign (addr A) Mem1 Val Mem2 :- assign-aux A Mem1 Val Mem2.
type get nat -> mem A -> A -> o.
get z (some Y :: _) Y.
get (s N) (_ :: L) X :- get N L X.
type alloc-aux nat -> mem A -> mem A -> o.
alloc-aux z [] [none] :- !.
alloc-aux z L L.
alloc-aux (s N) [] [none | M] :- alloc-aux N [] M.
alloc-aux (s N) [X | L] [X | M] :- alloc-aux N L M.
type alloc addr -> mem A -> mem A -> o.
alloc (addr A as Ad) Mem1 Mem2 :- unset? Ad Mem1,
 alloc-aux A Mem1 Mem2.
type new-aux mem A -> nat -> mem A -> o.
new-aux [] z [none].
new-aux [A | As] (s N) [A | Bs] :- new-aux As N Bs.
type new mem A \rightarrow addr \rightarrow mem A \rightarrow o.
new Mem1 (addr Ad) Mem2 :- new-aux Mem1 Ad Mem2.
```

12 THE OBJECT LANGUAGE

```
kind fm type.
type fapp list fm -> fm.
type flam (fm -> fm) -> fm.
type fcon string -> fm.
type fuva addr -> fm.

typeabbrev fsubst (mem fm).
```

```
1683
type fder fsubst -> fm -> o.
                                                                    1684
fder _ (fcon C) (fcon C).
                                                                    1685
fder S (fapp A) (fapp B) :- map (fder S) A B.
fder S (flam F) (flam G) :-
  pi x \land fder S x x \Rightarrow fder S (F x) (G x).
                                                                    1688
fder S (fuva N) R :- set? N S T, fder S T R.
                                                                    1689
fder S (fuva N) (fuva N) :- unset? N S.
                                                                    1690
                                                                    1691
type fderef fsubst -> fm -> o.
                                                          (\rho s)
                                                                    1692
fderef S T T2: - fder S T T1, napp T1 T2.
                                                                    1695
type (=_o) fm -> fm -> o.
                                                          (=_o)
                                                                    1696
fcon X =_{o} fcon X.
                                                                    1697
fapp A =_{o} fapp B := forall2 (=_{o}) A B.
                                                                    1698
flam F =_o flam G := pi x \setminus x =_o x => F x =_o G x.
                                                                    1699
fuva N =_{0} fuva N.
                                                                    1700
flam F =_{\alpha} T :=
                                                                    1701
                                                          (\eta_l)
  pi x \land beta T [x] (T' x), x =_o x \Rightarrow F x =_o T' x.
                                                                    1702
T =_{o} flam F :=
                                                          (\eta_r)
                                                                    1703
  pi x \ beta T [x] (T' x), x =_o x \Rightarrow T' x =_o F x.
                                                                    1704
fapp [flam X | L] =_{o} T :- beta (flam X) L R, R =_{o} T. (\beta_{l})
                                                                    1705
T =_{o} fapp [flam X | L] := beta (flam X) L R, <math>T =_{o} R. (\beta_{r})
type extend-subst fm -> fsubst -> fsubst -> o.
                                                                    1708
extend-subst (fuva N) S S' :- mem.alloc N S S'.
                                                                    1709
extend-subst (flam F) S S' :-
                                                                    1710
  pi x \ (pi S\extend-subst x S S) => extend-subst (F x) S S'.
extend-subst (fcon _) S S.
extend-subst (fapp L) S S1 :- fold extend-subst L S S1.
                                                                    1714
type beta fm -> list fm -> fm -> o.
                                                                    1715
beta A [] A.
                                                                    1716
beta (flam Bo) [H | L] R :- napp (Bo H) F, beta F L R.
                                                                    1717
beta (fapp A) L (fapp X) :- append A L X.
                                                                    1718
beta (fuva N) L (fapp [fuva N | L]).
                                                                    1719
beta (fcon H) L (fapp [fcon H | L]).
beta N L (fapp [N | L]) :- name N.
                                                                    1721
                                                                    1722
type napp fm -> fm -> o.
                                                                    1723
napp (fcon C) (fcon C).
                                                                    1724
napp (fuva A) (fuva A).
                                                                    1725
napp (fapp [fapp L1 |L2]) T :- !,
                                                                    1727
  append L1 L2 L3, napp (fapp L3) T.
                                                                    1728
napp (fapp L) (fapp L1) :- map napp L L1.
                                                                    1729
napp N N :- name N.
                                                                    1730
                                                                    1731
type beta-reduce fm -> fm -> o.
beta-reduce (uvar _ _) _ :- halt "Passed uvar to beta-reduce".
beta-reduce A A :- name A.
beta-reduce (fcon A) (fcon A).
                                                                    1735
beta-reduce (fuva A) (fuva A).
                                                                    1736
beta-reduce (flam A) (flam B) :-
                                                                    1737
  pi x\ beta-reduce (A x) (B x).
                                                                    1738
beta-reduce (fapp [flam B | L]) T2 :- !,
                                                                    1739
                                                                    1740
```

```
1741
           beta (flam B) L T1, beta-reduce T1 T2.
                                                                                  prune! N A N A S S :- !.
                                                                                                                                                        1799
1742
         beta-reduce (fapp L) (fapp L1) :-
                                                                                  prune! M A N A S1 S2 :- !, bind (uva M A) A Ass,
                                                                                                                                                        1800
1743
           map beta-reduce L L1.
                                                                                     assign N S1 Ass S2.
                                                                                                                                                        1801
                                                                                   /* prune different arguments */
1744
                                                                                                                                                        1802
1745
         type mk-app fm -> list fm -> fm -> o.
                                                                                  prune! N A1 N A2 S1 S3 :- !,
                                                                                                                                                        1803
         mk-app T L S :- beta T L S.
                                                                                     new S1 W S2, prune-same-variable W A1 A2 [] Ass,
1746
                                                                                                                                                        1804
                                                                                     assign N S2 Ass S3.
1747
                                                                                                                                                        1805
         type eta-contract fm -> fm -> o.
                                                                                   /* prune to the intersection of scopes */
1748
                                                                                                                                                        1806
1749
         eta-contract (fcon X) (fcon X).
                                                                                   prune! N A1 M A2 S1 S4 :- !,
                                                                                                                                                        1807
         eta-contract (fapp L) (fapp L1) :- map eta-contract L L1.
                                                                                     new S1 W S2, prune-diff-variables W A1 A2 Ass1 Ass2,
                                                                                                                                                        1808
         eta-contract (flam F) T :- eta-contract-aux [] (flam F) T.
                                                                                     assign N S2 Ass1 S3,
         eta-contract (flam F) (flam F1) :-
                                                                                     assign M S3 Ass2 S4.
                                                                                                                                                        1810
           pi x \le eta-contract x x \implies eta-contract (F x) (F1 x).
1753
                                                                                                                                                        1811
         eta-contract (fuva X) (fuva X).
                                                                                   type prune-same-variable addr -> list tm -> list tm ->
                                                                                                                                                        1812
1754
1755
         eta-contract X X :- name X.
                                                                                                               list tm -> assignment -> o.
                                                                                                                                                        1813
1756
                                                                                   prune-same-variable N [] [] ACC (val (uva N Args)) :-
                                                                                                                                                        1814
         type eta-contract-aux list fm -> fm -> o.
1757
                                                                                     rev ACC Args.
                                                                                                                                                        1815
1758
         eta-contract-aux L (flam F) T :-
                                                                                   prune-same-variable N [X|XS] [X|YS] ACC (abs F) :-
                                                                                                                                                        1816
1759
           pi x\ eta-contract-aux [x]L] (F x) T. % also checks H Prefix does muit x\empressee-variable N XS YS [x]ACC] (F x).
                                                                                                                                                        1817
         eta-contract-aux L (fapp [H|Args]) T :-
                                                                                   prune-same-variable N [_|XS] [_|YS] ACC (abs F) :-
1760
                                                                                                                                                        1818
           rev L LRev, append Prefix LRev Args,
                                                                                                                                                        1819
1761
                                                                                     pi x\ prune-same-variable N XS YS ACC (F x).
1762
            if (Prefix = []) (T = H) (T = fapp [H|Prefix]).
                                                                                                                                                        1820
1763
                                                                                   type permute list nat -> list tm -> list tm -> o.
                                                                                                                                                        1821
                                                                                   permute [] _ [].
                                                                                                                                                        1822
       13 THE META LANGUAGE
1765
                                                                                   permute [PIPS] Args [TITS] :-
         kind inctx type -> type.
                                                                     (⋅ ⊦ ⋅)
                                                                                                                                                        1824
1766
                                                                                     nth P Args T,
         type abs (tm -> inctx A) -> inctx A.
                                                                                     permute PS Args TS.
1767
                                                                                                                                                        1825
1768
         type val A -> inctx A.
                                                                                                                                                        1826
1769
         typeabbrev assignment (inctx tm).
                                                                                   type build-perm-assign addr -> list tm -> list bool ->
                                                                                                                                                        1827
         typeabbrev subst (mem assignment).
                                                                                                        list nat -> assignment -> o.
1770
                                                                                                                                                        1828
1771
                                                                                   build-perm-assign N ArgsR [] Perm (val (uva N PermutedArgs)) :-1829
1772
         kind tm type.
                                                                                    rev ArgsR Args, permute Perm Args PermutedArgs.
         type app list tm -> tm.
                                                                                  build-perm-assign N Acc [tt|L] Perm (abs T) :-
                                                                                                                                                        1831
1773
         type lam (tm \rightarrow tm) \rightarrow tm.
                                                                                     pi x\ build-perm-assign N [x|Acc] L Perm (T x).
                                                                                                                                                        1832
1774
1775
         type con string -> tm.
                                                                                   build-perm-assign N Acc [ff|L] Perm (abs T) :-
                                                                                                                                                        1833
1776
          type uva addr -> list tm -> tm.
                                                                                     pi x\ build-perm-assign N Acc L Perm (T x).
                                                                                                                                                        1834
                                                                                                                                                        1835
         type (\simeq_{\lambda}) tm -> tm -> subst -> o.
                                                                                   type keep list A -> A -> bool -> o.
                                                                                                                                                        1836
                                                                                   keep L A tt :- mem L A, !.
                                                                                                                                                        1837
          (con C \simeq_{\lambda} con C) S S.
          (app L1 \simeq_{\lambda} app L2) S S1 :- fold2 (\simeq_{\lambda}) L1 L2 S S1.
                                                                                  keep _ _ ff.
                                                                                                                                                        1838
1780
         (lam F1 \simeq_{\lambda} lam F2) S S1 :-
1781
                                                                                                                                                        1839
1782
           pi x\ (pi S\ (x \simeq_{\lambda} x) S S) => (F1 x \simeq_{\lambda} F2 x) S S1.
                                                                                  type prune-diff-variables addr -> list tm -> list tm ->
                                                                                                                                                        1840
          (uva N Args \simeq_{\lambda} T) S S1 :-
                                                                                                               assignment -> assignment -> o.
1783
                                                                                                                                                        1841
           set? N S F,!, move F Args T1, (T1 \simeq_{\lambda} T) S S1.
                                                                                  prune-diff-variables N Args1 Args2 Ass1 Ass2 :-
1784
                                                                                                                                                        1842
1785
         (T \simeq_{\lambda} uva N Args) S S1 :-
                                                                                     map (keep Args2) Args1 Bits1,
                                                                                                                                                        1843
1786
           set? N S F,!, move F Args T1, (T \simeq_{\lambda} T1) S S1.
                                                                                     map (keep Args1) Args2 Bits2,
                                                                                                                                                        1844
1787
          (uva M A1 \simeq_{\lambda} uva N A2) S1 S2 :- !,
                                                                                     filter Args1 (mem Args2) ToKeep1,
                                                                                                                                                        1845
1788
           pattern-fragment A1, pattern-fragment A2,
                                                                                     filter Args2 (mem Args1) ToKeep2,
                                                                                                                                                        1846
1789
           prune! M A1 N A2 S1 S2.
                                                                                     map (index ToKeep1) ToKeep1 IdPerm,
                                                                                                                                                        1847
          (uva N Args \simeq_{\lambda} T) S S1 :- not_occ N S T, pattern-fragment Args,
                                                                                     map (index ToKeep1) ToKeep2 Perm21,
                                                                                                                                                        1848
           bind T Args T1, assign N S T1 S1.
                                                                                     build-perm-assign N [] Bits1 IdPerm Ass1,
1792
          (T \simeq_{\lambda} uva N Args) S S1 :- not_occ N S T, pattern-fragment Args,
                                                                                     build-perm-assign N [] Bits2 Perm21 Ass2.
           bind T Args T1, assign N S T1 S1.
                                                                                                                                                        1851
1793
1794
                                                                                   type beta tm -> list tm -> tm -> o.
                                                                                                                                                        1852
1795
         type prune! addr -> list tm -> addr ->
                                                                                   beta A [] A :- !.
                                                                                                                                                        1853
                                                                                                                                                        1854
                      list tm -> subst -> subst -> o.
                                                                                   beta (lam Bo) [H | L] R :- beta (Bo H) L R1, beta-aux R1 R.
1796
1797
         /* no pruning needed */
                                                                                   beta (app A) L (app X) :- append A L X.
                                                                                                                                                        1855
1798
                                                                                                                                                        1856
                                                                            16
```

```
1857
         beta (con H) L (app [con H | L]).
                                                                                                                                                   1915
         beta X L (app[X|L]) := name X.
1858
                                                                                                                                                   1916
1859
                                                                                type deref-assmt subst -> assignment -> o.
                                                                                                                                                   1917
         type beta-aux tm -> tm -> o.
                                                                                deref-assmt S (abs T) (abs R) :- pi x\ deref-assmt S (T x) (R x)918
1861
         beta-aux (app [HD|TL]) R :- !, beta HD TL R.
                                                                                deref-assmt S (val T) (val R) :- deref S T R.
         beta-aux A A.
1862
                                                                                                                                                   1920
                                                                                                                                                   1921
1863
                                                                             14 THE COMPILER
         /* occur check for N before crossing a functor */
1864
                                                                                                                                                   1922
1865
         type not_occ addr -> subst -> tm -> o.
                                                                                kind arity type.
                                                                                                                                                   1923
         not_occ N S (uva M Args) :- set? M S F,
                                                                                type arity nat -> arity.
                                                                                                                                                   1924
           move F Args T, not_occ N S T.
         not_occ N S (uva M Args) :- unset? M S, not (M = N),
                                                                                kind fvariable type.
                                                                                                                                                   1926
           forall1 (not_occ_aux N S) Args.
                                                                                type fy addr -> fyariable.
                                                                                                                                                   1927
1869
1870
         not_occ _ _ (con _).
                                                                                                                                                   1928
1871
         not_occ N S (app L) :- not_occ_aux N S (app L).
                                                                                kind hvariable type.
                                                                                                                                                   1929
1872
         /* Note: lam is a functor for the meta language! */
                                                                                type hv addr -> arity -> hvariable.
                                                                                                                                                   1930
         not_occ N S (lam L) :- pi x\ not_occ_aux N S (L x).
1873
                                                                                                                                                   1931
1874
         not_occ _ _ X :- name X.
                                                                                kind mapping type.
                                                                                                                                                   1932
         /* finding N is ok */
                                                                                type mapping fyariable -> hyariable -> mapping.
                                                                                                                                                   1933
1875
         not_occ N _ (uva N _).
                                                                                typeabbrev mmap (list mapping).
                                                                                                                                                   1934
1876
                                                                                                                                                   1935
1877
1878
         /* occur check for X after crossing a functor */
                                                                                typeabbrev scope (list tm).
                                                                                                                                                   1936
1879
         type not_occ_aux addr -> subst -> tm -> o.
                                                                                typeabbrev inctx ho.inctx.
                                                                                                                                                   1937
         not_occ_aux N S (uva M _) :- unset? M S, not (N = M).
                                                                                kind baselink type.
                                                                                                                                                   1938
         not_occ_aux N S (uva M Args) :- set? M S F,
                                                                                type link-eta tm -> tm -> baselink.
           move F Args T, not_occ_aux N S T.
                                                                                type link-beta tm -> tm -> baselink.
         not_occ_aux N S (app L) :- forall1 (not_occ_aux N S) L.
                                                                                                                                                   1941
1883
                                                                                typeabbrev link (inctx baselink).
1884
         not_occ_aux N S (lam F) :- pi x\ not_occ_aux N S (F x).
                                                                                typeabbrev links (list link).
                                                                                                                                                   1942
1885
         not_occ_aux _ _ (con _).
                                                                                                                                                   1943
         not_occ_aux _ _ X :- name X.
                                                                                macro @val-link-eta T1 T2 :- ho.val (link-eta T1 T2).
1886
                                                                                                                                                   1944
                                                                                macro @val-link-beta T1 T2 :- ho.val (link-beta T1 T2).
1887
         /* finding N is ko, hence no rule */
                                                                                                                                                   1946
1888
         /* copy T T' vails if T contains a free variable, i.e. it
                                                                                                                                                   1947
1889
            performs scope checking for bind */
                                                                                                                                                   1948
1890
1891
         type copy tm \rightarrow tm \rightarrow o.
                                                                                type occurs-rigidly fm -> fm -> o.
                                                                                                                                                   1949
         copy (con C)
                       (con C).
                                                                                occurs-rigidly N N.
                                                                                                                                                   1950
         copy (app L)
                        (app L') :- map copy L L'.
                                                                                occurs-rigidly _ (fapp [fuva _|_]) :- !, fail.
                                                                                                                                                   1951
         copy (lam T)
                        (lam T') := pi x copy x x => copy (T x) (T' x).
                                                                                occurs-rigidly N (fapp L) :- exists (occurs-rigidly N) L.
         copy (uva A L) (uva A L') :- map copy L L'.
                                                                                occurs-rigidly N (flam B) :- pi x\ occurs-rigidly N (B x).
                                                                                                                                                   1954
1896
1897
         type bind tm -> list tm -> assignment -> o.
                                                                                type reducible-to list fm -> fm -> o.
                                                                                                                                                   1955
1898
         bind T [] (val T') :- copy T T'.
                                                                                reducible-to _ N N :- !.
                                                                                                                                                   1956
         bind T [X | TL] (abs T') :- pi \times copy X \times => bind T TL (T' \times).
                                                                                reducible-to L N (fapp[fuva _|Args]) :- !,
                                                                                                                                                   1957
1899
1900
                                                                                  forall1 (x\ exists (reducible-to [] x) Args) [N|L].
                                                                                                                                                   1958
         type deref subst -> tm -> tm -> o.
                                                                                reducible-to L N (flam B) :- !,
                                                                                                                                                   1959
1901
                                                                 (\sigma t)
         deref _ (con C) (con C).
                                                                                  pi x\ reducible-to [x | L] N (B x).
                                                                                                                                                   1960
1902
         deref S (app A) (app B) :- map (deref S) A B.
                                                                                reducible-to L N (fapp [N|Args]) :-
                                                                                                                                                   1961
1903
1904
         deref S (lam F) (lam G) :-
                                                                                  last-n {len L} Args R,
                                                                                                                                                   1962
1905
           pi x \cdot deref S x x \Rightarrow deref S (F x) (G x).
                                                                                  forall2 (reducible-to []) R {rev L}.
                                                                                                                                                   1963
         deref S (uva N L) R :- set? N S A,
                                                                                                                                                   1964
           move A L T, deref S T R.
                                                                                type maybe-eta fm -> list fm -> o.
                                                                                                                                       (\Diamond \eta)
         deref S (uva N A) (uva N B) :- unset? N S,
                                                                                maybe-eta (fapp[fuva _|Args]) L :- !,
                                                                                                                                                   1966
1908
           map (deref S) A B.
                                                                                  forall1 (x\ exists (reducible-to [] x) Args) L, !.
                                                                                                                                                   1967
1909
1910
                                                                                maybe-eta (flam B) L := !, pi \times maybe-eta (B \times) [x \mid L].
                                                                                                                                                   1968
1911
         type move assignment -> list tm -> tm -> o.
                                                                                maybe-eta (fapp [T|Args]) L :- (name T; T = fcon _),
                                                                                                                                                   1969
                                                                                  split-last-n {len L} Args First Last,
         move (abs Bo) [H|L] R :- move (Bo H) L R.
                                                                                                                                                   1970
1912
1913
         move (val A) [] A.
                                                                                  none (x\ exists (y\ occurs-rigidly x y) First) L,
                                                                                                                                                   1971
1914
                                                                         17
```

```
1973
           forall2 (reducible-to []) {rev L} Last.
                                                                                     len Ag Arity,
                                                                                                                                                     2031
1974
                                                                                     m-alloc (fv A) (hv B (arity Arity)) M1 M2 S1 S2.
                                                                                                                                                     2032
1975
                                                                                 comp (fapp [fuva A[Ag]) (uva B Scope) M1 M3 L1 L3 S1 S4 :- !,
         type locally-bound tm -> o.
                                                                                   pattern-fragment-prefix Ag Pf Extra,
1977
         type get-scope-aux tm -> list tm -> o.
                                                                                   len Pf Arity.
         get-scope-aux (con _) [].
                                                                                   alloc S1 B S2.
                                                                                                                                                     2036
1978
                                                                                   m-alloc (fv A) (hv C (arity Arity)) M1 M2 S2 S3,
                                                                                                                                                     2037
1979
         get-scope-aux (uva _ L) L1 :-
           forall2 get-scope-aux L R,
                                                                                   fold6 comp Pf Pf1 M2 M2 L1 L1 S3 S3,
                                                                                                                                                     2038
1980
1981
           flatten R L1.
                                                                                   fold6 comp Extra Extra1 M2 M3 L1 L2 S3 S4,
                                                                                                                                                     2039
         get-scope-aux (lam B) L1 :-
                                                                                   Beta = app [uva C Pf1 | Extra1],
                                                                                                                                                     2040
           pi x \setminus locally-bound x \Rightarrow get-scope-aux (B x) L1.
                                                                                   get-scope Beta Scope,
                                                                                                                                                     2041
         get-scope-aux (app L) L1 :-
                                                                                   L3 = [val (link-beta (uva B Scope) Beta) | L2].
                                                                                                                                                     2042
1984
                                                                                 comp (fapp A) (app A1) M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2 :-
           forall2 get-scope-aux L R.
                                                                                                                                            (c_@)
                                                                                                                                                     2043
1985
                                                                                   fold6 comp A A1 M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2.
           flatten R L1.
                                                                                                                                                     2044
1986
1987
         get-scope-aux X [X] :- name X, not (locally-bound X).
                                                                                                                                                     2045
1988
         get-scope-aux X [] :- name X, (locally-bound X).
                                                                                 type alloc mem A -> addr -> mem A -> o.
                                                                                                                                                     2046
                                                                                 alloc S N S1 :- mem.new S N S1.
1989
                                                                                                                                                     2047
         type names1 list tm -> o.
                                                                                                                                                     2048
         names1 L :-
                                                                                 type compile-terms-diagnostic
                                                                                                                                                     2049
1991
           names L1.
                                                                                   triple diagnostic fm fm ->
                                                                                                                                                     2050
1992
           new int N.
                                                                                   triple diagnostic tm tm ->
                                                                                                                                                     2051
1993
1994
           if (1 is N mod 2) (L1 = L) (rev L1 L).
                                                                                   mmap -> mmap ->
                                                                                                                                                     2052
1995
                                                                                   links -> links ->
                                                                                                                                                     2053
         type get-scope tm -> list tm -> o.
                                                                                   subst -> subst -> o.
         get-scope T Scope :-
                                                                                 compile-terms-diagnostic (triple D FO1 FO2) (triple D HO1 HO2) MD5M3 L1
           get-scope-aux T ScopeDuplicata,
                                                                                   fo.beta-reduce FO1 FO1',
1998
                                                                                   fo.beta-reduce FO2 FO2',
           undup ScopeDuplicata Scope.
                                                                                                                                                     2057
1999
                                                                                   comp F01' H01 M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2,
2000
         type rigid fm -> o.
                                                                                                                                                     2058
2001
         rigid X := not (X = fuva_).
                                                                                   comp F02' H02 M2 M3 L2 L3 S2 S3.
                                                                                                                                                     2059
2002
                                                                                                                                                     2060
         type comp-lam (fm \rightarrow fm) \rightarrow (tm \rightarrow tm) \rightarrow
2003
                                                                                 type compile-terms
           mmap -> mmap -> links -> links -> subst -> o.
                                                                                   list (triple diagnostic fm fm) ->
                                                                                                                                                     2062
2004
         comp-lam F G M1 M2 L1 L3 S1 S2 :-
                                                                                   list (triple diagnostic tm tm) ->
                                                                                                                                                     2063
2005
           pi x y\ (pi M L S\ comp x y M M L L S S) =>
                                                                                   mmap -> links -> subst -> o.
                                                                                                                                                     2064
2006
2007
             comp (F x) (G y) M1 M2 L1 (L2 y) S1 S2,
                                                                                 compile-terms T H M L S :-
                                                                                                                                                     2065
           close-links L2 L3.
                                                                                   fold6 compile-terms-diagnostic T H [] M_ [] L_ [] S_,
                                                                                                                                                     2066
                                                                                   print-compil-result T H L_ M_,
         type close-links (tm -> links) -> links -> o.
                                                                                   deduplicate-map M_ M S_ S L_ L.
         close-links (v\setminus[X \mid L \mid v]) [X\mid R] :- !, close-links L R.
2011
         close-links (v\setminus[X \ v\mid L \ v]) [abs X\mid R] :- close-links L R.
                                                                                 type make-eta-link-aux nat -> addr -> addr ->
                                                                                                                                                     2070
2012
                                                                                   list tm -> links -> subst -> o.
2013
         close-links (_\[]) [].
                                                                                                                                                     2071
2014
         type comp fm \rightarrow tm \rightarrow mmap \rightarrow links \rightarrow links \rightarrow
                                                                                 make-eta-link-aux z Ad1 Ad2 Scope1 L H1 H1 :-
                                                                                                                                                     2072
           subst -> subst -> o.
                                                                                   rev Scope1 Scope, eta-expand (uva Ad2 Scope) T1,
                                                                                                                                                     2073
2015
         comp (fcon C) (con C) M M L L S S.
2016
                                                                                   L = [val (link-eta (uva Ad1 Scope) T1)].
                                                                                                                                                     2074
2017
         comp (flam F) (uva A Scope) M1 M2 L1 L3 S1 S3 :-
                                                                                 make-eta-link-aux (s N) Ad1 Ad2 Scope1 L H1 H3 :-
                                                                                                                                                     2075
2018
           maybe-eta (flam F) [], !,
                                                                                   rev Scope1 Scope, alloc H1 Ad H2,
                                                                                                                                                     2076
             alloc S1 A S2.
                                                                                   eta-expand (uva Ad Scope) T2,
                                                                                                                                                     2077
2019
2020
             comp-lam F F1 M1 M2 L1 L2 S2 S3,
                                                                                   (pi x\ make-eta-link-aux N Ad Ad2 [x|Scope1] (L1 x) H2 H3),
                                                                                                                                                     2078
2021
             get-scope (lam F1) Scope,
                                                                                   close-links L1 L2,
                                                                                   L = [val (link-eta (uva Ad1 Scope) T2) | L2].
             L3 = [val (link-eta (uva A Scope) (lam F1)) | L2].
         comp (flam F) (lam F1) M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2 :-
                                                                     (c_{\lambda})
           comp-lam F F1 M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2.
                                                                                 type make-eta-link nat -> nat -> addr -> addr ->
2024
                                                                                         list tm -> links -> subst -> subst -> o.
         comp (fuva A) (uva B []) M1 M2 L L S1 S2 :-
                                                                                                                                                     2083
2025
                                                                                 make-eta-link (s N) z Ad1 Ad2 Vars L H H1 :-
2026
           m-alloc (fv A) (hv B (arity z)) M1 M2 S1 S2.
                                                                                                                                                     2084
2027
         comp (fapp [fuva A|Ag]) (uva B Ag1) M1 M2 L L S1 S2 :-
                                                                                   make-eta-link-aux N Ad2 Ad1 Vars L H H1.
                                                                                                                                                     2085
           pattern-fragment Ag, !,
                                                                                 make-eta-link z (s N) Ad1 Ad2 Vars L H H1 :-
                                                                                                                                                     2086
2028
                                                                                   make-eta-link-aux N Ad1 Ad2 Vars L H H1.
             fold6 comp Ag Ag1 M1 M1 L L S1 S1,
                                                                                                                                                     2087
                                                                          18
```

```
make-eta-link (s N) (s M) Ad1 Ad2 Vars Links H H1 :-
2089
                                                                              occur-check-err (ho.app _) _ _ :- !.
                                                                                                                                               2147
2090
           (pi x\ make-eta-link N M Ad1 Ad2 [x|Vars] (L x) H H1),
                                                                              occur-check-err (ho.lam _) _ _ :- !.
                                                                                                                                               2148
2091
           close-links L Links.
                                                                              occur-check-err (ho.uva Ad _) T S :-
                                                                                                                                               2149
                                                                                not (ho.not_occ Ad S T).
                                                                                                                                               2150
2093
         type deduplicate-map mmap -> mmap ->
                                                                                                                                               2151
             subst -> subst -> links -> links -> o.
                                                                                                                                               2152
2094
                                                                              type progress-beta-link-aux ho.tm -> ho.tm ->
         deduplicate-map [] [] H H L L.
                                                                                      ho.subst -> ho.subst -> links -> o.
                                                                                                                                               2153
2095
         deduplicate-map [(mapping (fv 0) (hv M (arity LenM)) as X1) | Maplphogmesshbeta-link-aux T1 T2 S1 S2 [] :- is-in-pf T2, !,
                                                                                                                                               2154
2096
2097
           take-list Map1 (mapping (fv 0) (hv M' (arity LenM'))) _, !,
                                                                                (T1 == 1 T2) S1 S2.
                                                                                                                                               2155
           std.assert! (not (LenM = LenM')) "Deduplicate map, there is a bugphpgress-beta-link-aux T1 T2 S S [@val-link-beta T1 T2] :- !.
           print "arity-fix links:" {ppmapping X1} "~!~" {ppmapping (fv 0) (hv M' (arity LenM')))},
           make-eta-link LenM LenM' M M' [] New H1 H2,
                                                                              type progress-beta-link ho.tm -> ho.tm -> ho.subst ->
           print "new eta link" {pplinks New},
                                                                                    ho.subst -> links -> o.
                                                                                                                                               2159
2101
           append New L1 L2,
                                                                              progress-beta-link T (ho.app[ho.uva V Scope | L] as T2) S S2 [@val-link-
2102
2103
           deduplicate-map Map1 Map2 H2 H3 L2 L3.
                                                                                arity T Arity, len L ArgsNb, ArgsNb >n Arity, !,
                                                                                                                                               2161
2104
         deduplicate-map [A|As] [A|Bs] H1 H2 L1 L2 :-
                                                                                minus ArgsNb Arity Diff, mem.new S V1 S1,
                                                                                                                                               2162
                                                                                eta-expand (ho.uva V1 Scope) Diff T1,
                                                                                                                                               2163
2105
           deduplicate-map As Bs H1 H2 L1 L2, !.
2106
         deduplicate-map [A|_] _ H _ _ :-
                                                                                ((ho.uva V Scope) == 1 T1) S1 S2.
           halt "deduplicating mapping error" {ppmapping A} {ho.ppsubst H}.
2107
                                                                                                                                               2165
2108
                                                                              progress-beta-link (ho.uva _ _ as T) (ho.app[ho.uva Ad1 Scope1 1661] as
2109
                                                                                append Scope1 L1 Scope1L,
                                                                                                                                               2167
       15 THE PROGRESS FUNCTION
2110
                                                                                pattern-fragment-prefix Scope1L Scope2 L2,
                                                                                                                                               2168
2111
         macro @one :- s z.
                                                                                not (Scope1 = Scope2), !,
                                                                                                                                               2169
2112
                                                                                mem.new S1 Ad2 S2,
                                                                                                                                               2170
2113
         type contract-rigid list ho.tm -> ho.tm -> ho.tm -> o.
                                                                                len Scope1 Scope1Len,
2114
         contract-rigid L (ho.lam F) T :-
                                                                                len Scope2 Scope2Len.
2115
           pi x\ contract-rigid [x|L] (F x) T. % also checks H Prefix does notmakee eta-link Scope1Len Scope2Len Ad1 Ad2 [] LinkEta S2 S3, 2173
2116
         contract-rigid L (ho.app [H|Args]) T :-
                                                                               if (L2 = []) (NewLinks = LinkEta, T2 = ho.uva Ad2 Scope2)
2117
           rev L LRev, append Prefix LRev Args,
                                                                                 (T2 = ho.app [ho.uva Ad2 Scope2 | L2],
                                                                                                                                               2175
           if (Prefix = []) (T = H) (T = ho.app [H|Prefix]).
                                                                                 NewLinks = [@val-link-beta T T2 | LinkEta]).
2118
                                                                                                                                               2176
2119
         type progress-eta-link ho.tm -> ho.tm -> ho.subst -> ho.subst -> lpmlogress-obeta-link T1 (ho.app[ho.uva _ _ | _] as T2) _ _ _ :- 2178
2120
         progress-eta-link (ho.app \_ as T) (ho.lam x\ \_ as T1) H H1 [] :- !, not (T1 = ho.uva \_ \_), !, fail.
2121
           (\{eta-expand T @one\} == 1 T1) H H1.
2122
2123
         progress-eta-link (ho.con _ as T) (ho.lam x\ _ as T1) H H1 [] :- !progress-beta-link (ho.uva _ _ as T) (ho.app[ho.uva _ _ | _] as2B2) S1 .
2124
           (\{eta-expand T @one\} == 1 T1) H H1.
                                                                                occur-check-err T T2 S1, !, fail.
2125
         progress-eta-link (ho.lam _ as T) T1 H H1 [] :- !,
           (T == 1 T1) H H1.
                                                                              progress-beta-link T1 (ho.app[ho.uva _ _ | _] as T2) H H [@val-link-beta
2127
         progress-eta-link (ho.uva _ _ as X) T H H1 [] :-
                                                                              progress-beta-link T1 (ho.app [Hd | Tl]) S1 S2 B :-
           contract-rigid [] T T1, !, (X ==1 T1) H H1.
                                                                                                                                               2186
2128
2129
         progress-eta-link (ho.uva Ad _ as T1) T2 H H [@val-link-eta T1 T2] : ho! beta Hd T1 T3,
                                                                                                                                               2187
2130
           if (ho.not_occ Ad H T2) true fail.
                                                                                progress-beta-link-aux T1 T3 S1 S2 B.
                                                                                                                                               2188
2131
         type is-in-pf ho.tm -> o.
                                                                              type solve-link-abs link -> links -> ho.subst -> ho.subst -> o.2190
2132
2133
         is-in-pf (ho.app [ho.uva _ _ | _]) :- !, fail.
                                                                              solve-link-abs (ho.abs X) R H H1 :-
2134
         is-in-pf (ho.lam B) :- !, pi x\ is-in-pf (B x).
                                                                                pi x\ ho.copy x x \Rightarrow (pi S\ ho.deref S x x) \Rightarrow
                                                                                                                                               2192
2135
         is-in-pf (ho.con _).
                                                                                  solve-link-abs (X x) (R' x) H H1,
                                                                                                                                               2193
2136
         is-in-pf (ho.app L) :- forall1 is-in-pf L.
                                                                                close-links R' R.
                                                                                                                                               2194
2137
         is-in-pf N :- name N.
                                                                                                                                               2195
                                                                              solve-link-abs (@val-link-eta A B) NewLinks S S1 :- !,
         is-in-pf (ho.uva _ L) :- pattern-fragment L.
                                                                                                                                               2196
                                                                                progress-eta-link A B S S1 NewLinks.
                                                                                                                                               2197
         type arity ho.tm -> nat -> o.
                                                                              solve-link-abs (@val-link-beta A B) NewLinks S S1 :- !,
2141
         arity (ho.con _) z.
                                                                                                                                               2199
2142
         arity (ho.app L) A :- len L A.
                                                                                progress-beta-link A B S S1 NewLinks.
                                                                                                                                               2200
2143
                                                                                                                                               2201
         type occur-check-err ho.tm -> ho.tm -> ho.subst -> o.
                                                                              type take-link link -> links -> link -> links -> o.
                                                                                                                                               2202
2144
                                                                              take-link A [B|XS] B XS :- link-abs-same-lhs A B, !.
2145
         occur-check-err (ho.con _) _ _ :- !.
                                                                                                                                               2203
2146
                                                                                                                                               2204
                                                                       19
```

```
2205
         take-link A [L|XS] B [L|YS] :- take-link A XS B YS.
                                                                                decompl-subst Map Tl H F1 F2.
                                                                                                                                                2263
2206
                                                                              decompl-subst Map [mapping _ (hv VM _)|T1] H F F2 :-
                                                                                                                                                2264
2207
         type link-abs-same-lhs link -> link -> o.
                                                                                mem.unset? VM H, decompl-subst Map Tl H F F2.
                                                                                                                                                2265
         link-abs-same-lhs (ho.abs F) B :-
2209
           pi x\ link-abs-same-lhs (F x) B.
                                                                              type tm\rightarrow fm map \rightarrow ho.tm \rightarrow fo.fm \rightarrow o.
                                                                                                                                                2267
         link-abs-same-lhs A (ho.abs G) :-
                                                                              tm->fm (ho.con C) (fo.fcon C).
2210
                                                                                                                                                2268
                                                                              tm->fm L (ho.lam B1) (fo.flam B2) :-
2211
           pi x\ link-abs-same-lhs A (G x).
                                                                                                                                                2269
         link-abs-same-lhs (@val-link-eta (ho.uva N _) _) (@val-link-eta (ho.uva x y \_) tm}->fm _ x y => tm->fm L (B1 x) (B2 y).
2212
                                                                                                                                                2270
2213
                                                                              tm->fm L (ho.app L1) T :- map (tm->fm L) L1 [Hd|Tl],
                                                                                                                                                2271
2214
         type same-link-eta link -> link -> ho.subst -> ho.subst -> o.
                                                                                fo.mk-app Hd Tl T.
         same-link-eta (ho.abs F) B H H1 :- !, pi x\ same-link-eta (F x) B HtmH>fm L (ho.uva VM TL) T :- mem L (mapping (fv VO) (hv VM _)),2273
         same-link-eta A (ho.abs G) H H1 :- !, pi x\ same-link-eta A (G x) H Hnap (tm->fm L) TL T1, fo.mk-app (fo.fuva VO) T1 T.
2216
         same-link-eta (@val-link-eta (ho.uva N S1) A)
                                                                                                                                                2275
2217
                       (@val-link-eta (ho.uva N S2) B) H H1 :-
                                                                              type add-new-map-aux ho.subst -> list ho.tm -> map ->
2218
                                                                                                                                                2276
2219
           std.map2 S1 S2 (x\y\r\ r = ho.copy x y) Perm,
                                                                                    map -> fo.fsubst -> fo.fsubst -> o.
                                                                                                                                                2277
           Perm => ho.copy A A',
                                                                              add-new-map-aux _ [] _ [] S S.
                                                                                                                                                2278
2220
                                                                              add-new-map-aux H [T|Ts] L L2 S S2 :-
           (A' == 1 B) H H1.
2221
                                                                                                                                                2279
                                                                                add-new-map H T L L1 S S1,
                                                                                                                                                2280
         type progress1 links -> links -> ho.subst -> ho.subst -> o.
                                                                                add-new-map-aux H Ts L1 L2 S1 S2.
2223
                                                                                                                                                2281
2224
         progress1 [] [] X X.
                                                                                                                                                2282
         progress1 [A|L1] [A|L3] S S2 :- take-link A L1 B L2, !,
2225
                                                                              type add-new-map ho.subst -> ho.tm -> map ->
                                                                                                                                                2283
2226
           same-link-eta A B S S1,
                                                                                  map -> fo.fsubst -> fo.fsubst -> o.
                                                                                                                                                2284
           progress1 L2 L3 S1 S2.
                                                                              add-new-map _ (ho.uva N _) Map [] F1 F1 :-
                                                                                                                                                2285
         progress1 [L0|L1] L3 S S2 :- deref-link S L0 L,
                                                                                mem Map (mapping _ (hv N _)), !.
           solve-link-abs L R S S1, !,
                                                                              add-new-map H (ho.uva N L) Map [Map1 | MapL] F1 F3 :-
           progress1 L1 L2 S1 S2, append R L2 L3.
                                                                                mem.new F1 M F2.
                                                                                len L Arity, Map1 = mapping (fv M) (hv N (arity Arity)),
2231
                                                                                                                                                2289
2232
                                                                                add-new-map H (ho.app L) [Map1 | Map] MapL F2 F3.
                                                                                                                                                2290
       16 THE DECOMPILER
2233
                                                                              add-new-map H (ho.lam B) Map NewMap F1 F2 :-
                                                                                                                                                2291
         type abs->lam ho.assignment -> ho.tm -> o.
                                                                                pi x\ add-new-map H (B x) Map NewMap F1 F2.
2234
                                                                                                                                                2292
2235
         abs->lam (ho.abs T) (ho.lam R) :- !, pi x\ abs->lam (T x) (R x). add-new-map H (ho.app L) Map NewMap F1 F3 :-
         abs->lam (ho.val A) A.
                                                                                add-new-map-aux H L Map NewMap F1 F3.
                                                                                                                                                2294
2236
                                                                              add-new-map _ (ho.con _) _ [] F F :- !.
                                                                                                                                                2295
2237
         type commit-links-aux link -> ho.subst -> ho.subst -> o.
                                                                              add-new-map _ N _ [] F F :- name N.
2238
                                                                                                                                                2296
2239
         commit-links-aux (@val-link-eta T1 T2) H1 H2 :-
                                                                                                                                                2297
           ho.deref H1 T1 T1', ho.deref H1 T2 T2',
                                                                              type complete-mapping-under-ass ho.subst -> ho.assignment ->
           (T1' == 1 T2') H1 H2.
                                                                                map -> map -> fo.fsubst -> fo.fsubst -> o.
         commit-links-aux (@val-link-beta T1 T2) H1 H2 :-
                                                                              complete-mapping-under-ass H (ho.val Val) Map1 Map2 F1 F2 :-
           ho.deref H1 T1 T1', ho.deref H1 T2 T2',
                                                                                add-new-map H Val Map1 Map2 F1 F2.
           (T1' == 1 T2') H1 H2.
                                                                              complete-mapping-under-ass H (ho.abs Abs) Map1 Map2 F1 F2 :-
2244
                                                                                                                                                2302
2245
         commit-links-aux (ho.abs B) H H1 :-
                                                                                pi x\ complete-mapping-under-ass H (Abs x) Map1 Map2 F1 F2.
                                                                                                                                                2303
2246
           pi x\ commit-links-aux (B x) H H1.
                                                                                                                                                2304
                                                                              type complete-mapping ho.subst -> ho.subst ->
2247
                                                                                                                                                2305
         type commit-links links -> links -> ho.subst -> ho.subst -> o.
2248
                                                                                map -> map -> fo.fsubst -> fo.fsubst -> o.
                                                                                                                                                2306
2249
         commit-links [] [] H H.
                                                                              complete-mapping _ [] L L F F.
                                                                                                                                                2307
         commit-links [Abs | Links] L H H2 :-
                                                                              complete-mapping H [none | Tl] L1 L2 F1 F2 :-
                                                                                                                                                2308
2250
           commit-links-aux Abs H H1, !, commit-links Links L H1 H2.
                                                                                complete-mapping H Tl L1 L2 F1 F2.
                                                                                                                                                2309
2251
2252
                                                                              complete-mapping H [some T0 | T1] L1 L3 F1 F3 :-
                                                                                                                                                2310
2253
         type decompl-subst map -> map -> ho.subst ->
                                                                                ho.deref-assmt H T0 T,
                                                                                                                                                2311
           fo.fsubst -> fo.fsubst -> o.
                                                                                complete-mapping-under-ass H T L1 L2 F1 F2,
                                                                                                                                                2312
         append L1 L2 LAll,
                                                                                complete-mapping H Tl LAll L3 F2 F3.
                                                                                                                                                2314
         decompl-subst _ [] _ F F.
         decompl-subst Map [mapping (fv V0) (hv VM _)|Tl] H F F2 :-
                                                                                                                                                2315
2257
2258
           mem.set? VM H T, !,
                                                                              type decompile map -> links -> ho.subst ->
                                                                                                                                                2316
2259
           ho.deref-assmt H T TTT,
                                                                                fo.fsubst -> fo.fsubst -> o.
                                                                                                                                                2317
           abs->lam TTT T', tm->fm Map T' T1,
                                                                              decompile Map1 L HO FO FO2 :-
                                                                                                                                                2318
2260
2261
           fo.eta-contract T1 T2, mem.assign V0 F T2 F1,
                                                                                commit-links L L1_ H0 H01, !,
                                                                                                                                                2319
2262
                                                                                                                                                2320
                                                                        20
```

```
complete-mapping HO1 HO1 Map1 Map2 FO FO1,
2321
2322
              decompl-subst Map2 Map2 H01 F01 F02.
2323
2324
        17 AUXILIARY FUNCTIONS
2325
           type fold4 (A \rightarrow A1 \rightarrow B \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow C \rightarrow o) \rightarrow list A \rightarrow
2326
              list A1 \rightarrow B \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow C \rightarrow o.
2327
           fold4 _ [] [] A A B B.
2328
           fold4 F [X|XS] [Y|YS] A A1 B B1 :- F X Y A A0 B B0,
2329
              fold4 F XS YS A0 A1 B0 B1.
2330
           type len list A -> nat -> o.
           len [] z.
2333
           len [_|L] (s X) :- len L X.
2334
2335
2336
2337
2338
2339
2340
2341
2342
2343
2346
2347
2348
2349
2350
2351
2352
2353
2354
2355
2356
2359
2360
2361
2362
2363
2364
2365
2366
2367
2368
2369
2373
2374
2375
```