Higher-Order unification for free!

Reusing the meta-language unification for the object language

Davide Fissore davide.fissore@inria.fr Université Côte d'Azur, Inria France

Enrico Tassi enrico.tassi@inria.fr Université Côte d'Azur, Inria France

ABSTRACT

Specifying and implementing a proof system from scratch requires significant effort. Logical Frameworks and Higher Order Logic Programming Languages provide dedicated, high-level Meta Languages (ML) to facilitate this task in two key ways: 1) variable binding and substitution are for free when ML binders represent object logic ones; 2) proof construction, and even proof search, are greatly simplified by leveraging the unification procedure provided by the ML. Notable examples of ML are Elf [14], Twelf [16], λ Prolog [9] and Isabelle [22] which have been utilized to implement various formal systems such as First Order Logic [4], Set Theory [13], Higher Order Logic [12], and even the Calculus of Constructions [3].

The object logic we are interested in is Coq's Calculus of Inductive Constructions (CIC)[20]. We aim to develop a higher-order unification-based proof search procedure for it using the ML Elpi [2], a dialect of λ Prolog. Elpi's equational theory includes $\eta\beta$ equivalence and features a higher-order unification procedure \simeq_{λ} restricted to the pattern fragment [8]. Elpi offers an encoding of CIC suitable for meta-programming [19, 18, 6, 5] but restricts \simeq_{λ} to roughly first-order unification problems only. We refer to this basic encoding as \mathcal{F}_{0} .

In this paper we propose a more well-behaved encoding called \mathcal{H}_o , and show how to translate unification problems from \mathcal{F}_o to corresponding ones in \mathcal{H}_o . Consequently, we derive \simeq_o , the higher-order unification procedure of \mathcal{F}_o that honours $\eta\beta$ -equivalence (for CIC functions), addresses problems within the pattern fragment, and allows for the use of heuristics to deal with problems outside the pattern fragment. Moreover, as \simeq_o delegates most of the work to \simeq_λ , it can be used to efficiently simulate a logic program in \mathcal{F}_o by taking advantage of unification-related optimizations of the ML, such as clause indexing.

KEYWORDS

Logic Programming, Meta-Programming, Higher-Order Unification

ACM Reference Format:

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than the author(s) must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

Conference'17, July 2017, Washington, DC, USA

© 2024 Copyright held by the owner/author(s). Publication rights licensed to ACM. ACM ISBN 978-x-xxxx-xxxx-x/YY/MM

https://doi.org/10.1145/nnnnnnnnnnnnn

1 INTRODUCTION

Meta languages such as Elf [14], Twelf [16], λ Prolog [9], and Isabelle [22] have been utilized to specify various logics [4, 12, 13, 3]. The use of these meta languages facilitates this task in two key ways. The first and most well-know one is that variable binding and substitution come for free. The second one is that these meta languages come equipped with some form of unification, a cornerstone of proof construction and proof search.

The object logic we are interested in is Coq's [20] Calculus of Inductive Constructions (CIC), and we aim to implement a form of proof search known as type-class [21, 17] resolution. Type-class solvers are unification based on proof search procedures reminiscent of Prolog, which back-chain lemmas taken from a database of "type-class instances". Given this analogy with Logic Programming we want to leverage the Elpi [19] meta-programming language, a dialect of λ Prolog, already used to extend Coq in various ways [19, 18, 6, 5]. In this paper, we focus on one aspect of this work, precisely how to reuse the higher-order unification procedure of the meta language in order to simulate a higher-order logic program for the object language.

We take as an example the Decision and Finite type classes from the Stdpp [7] library. The class Decision identifies predicates equipped with a decision procedure, while Finite identifies types whose inhabitants can be enumerated in a (finite) list. The following three type-class instances state that: 1) the type of natural numbers smaller than n, called fin n, is finite; 2) the predicate nfact n nf, relating a natural number n to the number of its prime factors nf, is decidable; 3) the universal closure of a predicate has a decision procedure if its domain is finite and if the predicate is decidable.

```
Instance fin_fin: \foralln, Finite (fin n). (* r1 *)
Instance nfact_dec: \foralln nf, Decision (nfact n nf). (* r2 *)
Instance forall_dec: \forallA P, Finite A \rightarrow (* r3 *)
\forallX:A, Decision (P x) \rightarrow Decision (\forallX:A, P x).
```

Given this database, a type-class solver is expected to prove the following statement automatically:

```
Decision (\forall x: fin 7, nfact x 3) (* g *)
```

The proof found by the solver back-chains on rule 3 (the only rule about the \forall quantifier), and then solves the premises with rules 1 and 2 respectively. Note that rule 3 features a second-order parameter P that represents a function of type A \rightarrow Prop (a predicate over A). The solver has to infer a value for P by unifying the conclusion of rule 3 with the goal, and in particular, it has to solve the unification problem P x = nfact x 3. This higher-order problem falls in the so-called pattern-fragment \mathcal{L} [8] and admits a unique solution ρ that assigns the term λx . nfact x 3 to P.

In order to implement such a search in Elpi, we shall describe the encoding of CIC terms and then the encoding of instances as rules. Elpi comes equipped with an Higher Order Abstract Syntax (HOAS [15]) datatype of CIC terms, called tm, that includes (among others) the following constructors:

Following the standard syntax of $\lambda Prolog$ [9], the meta-level binding of a variable x in an expression e is written as «x\ e», while square brackets delimit a list of terms separated by comma. For example, the term « $\forall y:t$, nfact y 3» is encoded as follows:

```
all (con"t") y\ app [con"nfact", y, con"3"]
```

We now illustrate the encoding of the three instances above as higher-order logic programming rules: capital letters denote rule parameters; :- separates the rule's head from the premises, and pi w\ p introduces a fresh nominal constant w for the premise p.

```
finite (app [con"fin", N]). (r1)
```

decision (all A
$$\times$$
 \ app [P, \times]) :- finite A, (r3) pi \times \ decision (app [P, \times]).

Unfortunately this intuitive encoding of rule (r3) does not work since it uses the predicate P as a first order term: for the meta language its type is tm. If we try to back-chain the rule (r3) on the encoding of the goal (g) given below

```
decision (all (app [con"fin", con"7"]) x\
    app [con"nfact", x, con"3"]).
```

we obtain an unsolvable unification problem (p): the two lists of terms have different lengths!

```
app [con"nfact", x, con"3"] = app [P, x] (p)
```

In this paper we study a more sophisticated encoding of CIC terms and rules that, on a first approximation, would reshape (r3) as follows:

```
decision (all A \times Pm \times) :- link Pm P A, finite A, (r3') pi \times decision (app [P, \times]).
```

Since Pm is a higher-order unification variable of type tm \rightarrow tm, with x in its scope, the unification problem (p') admits one solution:

```
app [con"nfact", x, con"3"] = Pm x (p')
```

```
Pm = x \land app [con"nfact", x, con"3"]  (\sigma)
```

Once the head of rule (r3') unifies with the goal (g), the premise «link Pm A P» brings the assignment (σ) back to the domain tm of Coq terms, obtaining the expected solution ρ :

```
P = lam A x\ app [con"nfact", x, con"3"]
```

This simple example is sufficient to show that the encoding we seek is not trivial and does not only concern the head of rules, but the entire sequence of unification problems that constitute the execution of a logic program. In fact the solution for P above generates a (Coq) β -redex in the second premise (the predicate under the Pi w\).

In turn, this redex prevents rule (r2) from backchaining properly since the following unification problem has no solution:

The root cause of the problems we outlined in this example is a subtle mismatch between the equational theories of the meta language and the object language, which in turn makes the unification procedures of the meta language weak. The equational theory of the meta language Elpi encompasses $\eta\beta$ -equivalence and its unification procedure can solve higher-order problems in the pattern fragment. Although the equational theory of CIC is much richer, for efficiency and predictability reasons, automatic proof search procedures typically employ a unification procedure that only captures a $\eta\beta$ -equivalence and only operates in \mathcal{L} . The similarity is striking, but one needs to exercise some caution in order to simulate a logic program in CIC using the unification of Elpi.

Contributions. In this paper we identify a minimal language \mathcal{F}_0 in which the problems sketched in the introduction can be formally described. We detail an encoding of a logic program in \mathcal{F}_0 to a strongly related logic program in \mathcal{H}_0 (the language of the metalanguage) and we show that the higher-order unification procedure of the meta language \simeq_λ can be efficiently used to simulate a higher-order unification procedure \simeq_0 for the object language that features $\eta\beta$ -conversion. We show how \simeq_0 can be extended with heuristics to deal with problems outside the pattern fragment.

Section 2 formally states the problem and gives the intuition behind our solution; section 3 sets up a basic simulation of first-order logic programs, section 4 and section 5 extend it to higher-order logic programs in the pattern fragment while section 7 goes beyond the pattern fragment. Section 8 discusses the implementation in Elpi. The λ Prolog code discussed in the paper can be accessed at the address https://github.com/FissoreD/ho-unif-for-free.

2 PROBLEM STATEMENT AND SOLUTION

Even if we encountered the problem working on CIC, we devise a minimal setting to ease its study. In this setting, we have a \mathcal{F}_0 language (for first order) with a rich equational theory and a \mathcal{H}_0 meta language with a simpler one.

2.1 Preliminaries: \mathcal{F}_o and \mathcal{H}_o

To reason about unification, we provide a description of the \mathcal{F}_o and \mathcal{H}_o languages where unification variables are first-class terms, i.e. they have a concrete syntax as shown in fig. 1. Unification variables in \mathcal{F}_o (fuva term constructor) have no explicit scope: the arguments of a higher-order variable are given via the fapp constructor. For example the term «P x» is represented as «fapp [fuva N, x]», where N is the memory address of P and x is a bound variable. In \mathcal{H}_o , the representation of «P x» is instead «uva N [x]», since unification variables are higher-order and come equipped with an explicit scope.

```
kind fm type.
type fapp list fm -> fm.
type flam (fm -> fm) -> fm.
type fcon string -> fm.
type fuva addr -> fm.
type fuva addr -> fm.
kind tm type.
type app list tm -> tm.
type lam (tm -> tm) -> tm.
type con string -> tm.
type uva addr -> list tm -> tm.
```

Figure 1: The \mathcal{F}_0 and \mathcal{H}_0 languages

292

293

296

297

298

299

300

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

252

253

254

255

257

258

259

f·a

explain

forall²

286

287

288

289

290

273

274

275

Notational conventions. When we write \mathcal{H}_o terms outside code blocks we follow the usual λ -calculus notation, reserving f, q, a, bfor constants, x, y, z for bound variables and X, Y, Z, F, G, H for unification variables. However, we need to distinguish between the "application" of a unification variable to its scope and the application of a term to a list of arguments. We write the scope of unification variables in subscript while we use juxtaposition for regular application. Here are few examples:

```
app [con "f", con "a"]
\lambda x.\lambda y.F_{xy}
                  lam x\ lam y\ uva F [x, y]
\lambda x.F_x \cdot a
                  lam x\ app [uva F [x], con "a"]
                  lam x \land app [uva F [x], x]
\lambda x.F_x \cdot x
```

When it is clear from the context, we shall use the same syntax for \mathcal{F}_0 terms (although we never subscripts unification variables). We use $s, s_1, ...$ for terms in \mathcal{F}_0 and $t, t_1, ...$ for terms in \mathcal{H}_0 .

2.2 Equational theories an unification

In order to specify unification, we need to define the equational theory and substitution (unification-variable assignment).

2.2.1 Term equality: $=_0$ and $=_{\lambda}$. For both languages, we extend the equational theory over ground terms to the full language by adding the reflexivity for unification variables (a variable is equal to itself).

The first four rules are common to both equalities and define the usual congruence over terms. Since we use an HOAS encoding, they also capture α -equivalence. In addition to that, $=_{0}$ has rules for η and β -equivalence.

```
type (=_o) fm -> o.
                                                                     (=_o)
fcon X =_{o} fcon X.
fapp A =_{\alpha} fapp B := forall2 (=_{\alpha}) A B.
flam F =_o flam G := pi x \setminus x =_o x => F x =_o G x.
fuva N =_{o} fuva N.
flam F =_o T :=
                                                                     (\eta_l)
  pi x beta T [x] (T' x), x =_o x \Rightarrow F x =_o T' x.
T =_o flam F :=
                                                                     (\eta_r)
  pi x \land beta T [x] (T' x), x =_o x \Rightarrow T' x =_o F x.
fapp [flam X | L] = _{o} T :- beta (flam X) L R, R = _{o} T. (\beta_{l})
T =_o fapp [flam X | L] :- beta (flam X) L R, T =_o R. (\beta_r)
type (=_{\lambda}) tm -> tm -> o.
con C =_{\lambda} fcon C.
app A =_{\lambda} fapp B :- forall2 (=_{\lambda}) A B.
lam F =_{\lambda} flam G :- pi x\ x =_{\lambda} x => F x =_{\lambda} G x.
```

The main point in showing these equality tests is to remark how weaker $=_{\lambda}$ is, and to identify the four rules that need special treatment in the implementation of \simeq_o . For brevity, we omit the code of beta: it is sufficient to know that «beta F L R» computes in R the weak head normal form of «app [F|L]». Note that the symbol | separates the head of a list from the tail.

uva N A = $_{\lambda}$ fuva N B :- forall2 (= $_{\lambda}$) A B.

Substitution: ρ *s and* σ *t.* We write $\sigma = \{X \mapsto t\}$ for the substitution that assigns the term t to the variable X. We write σt for the application of the substitution to a term t, and $\sigma X = \{ \sigma t \mid t \in X \}$ when *X* is a set of terms. We write $\sigma \subseteq \sigma'$ when σ is more general than σ' . We shall use ρ for \mathcal{F}_0 substitutions, and σ for the \mathcal{H}_0 ones.

For brevity, in this section, we consider the substitution for \mathcal{F}_0 and \mathcal{H}_0 identical. We defer to section 3.1 a more precise description pointing out their differences.

Term unification: $\simeq_o vs. \simeq_{\lambda} \mathcal{H}_o$'s unification signature is:

```
type (\simeq_{\lambda}) tm -> tm -> subst -> subst -> o.
```

We write $\sigma t_1 \simeq_{\lambda} \sigma t_2 \mapsto \sigma'$ when σt_1 and σt_2 unify with substitution σ' . Note that σ' is a refined (i.e. extended) version of σ ; this is reflected by the signature above that relates two substitutions. We write $t_1 \simeq_{\lambda} t_2 \mapsto \sigma'$ when the initial substitution σ is empty. We write \mathcal{L} as the set of terms that are in the pattern-fragment, i.e. every higher-order variable is applied to a list of distinct names.

The meta language of choice is expected to provide an implementation of \simeq_{λ} that satisfies the following properties:

$$\{t_1, t_2\} \subseteq \mathcal{L} \Rightarrow t_1 \simeq_{\lambda} t_2 \mapsto \rho \Rightarrow \rho t_1 =_{\lambda} \rho t_2$$
 (1)

$$\{t_1, t_2\} \subseteq \mathcal{L} \Rightarrow \rho t_1 =_{\lambda} \rho t_2 \Rightarrow \exists \rho', t_1 \simeq_{\lambda} t_2 \mapsto \rho' \wedge \rho' \subseteq \rho \quad (2)$$

Even if we provide an implementation of the object-language unification \simeq_0 in section 3.6, our real goal is the simulation of an entire logic program.

2.3 The problem: logic-program simulation

We represent a logic program run in \mathcal{F}_0 as a sequence of stepsof length N. At each step, p we unify two terms, \mathbb{P}_{p_l} and \mathbb{P}_{p_r} , taken from the list of all unification problems \mathbb{P} . The composition of these steps starting from the empty substitution ρ_0 produces the final substitution ρ_N , which is the result of the logic program

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{fstep}(\mathbb{P}, p, \rho) &\mapsto \rho' \stackrel{\operatorname{def}}{=\!\!\!=} \rho \mathbb{P}_{p_l} \simeq_o \rho \mathbb{P}_{p_r} \mapsto \rho' \\ \operatorname{frun}(\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{N}) &\mapsto \rho_{\mathcal{N}} \stackrel{\operatorname{def}}{=\!\!\!=} \bigwedge_{p=1}^{\mathcal{N}} \operatorname{fstep}(\mathbb{P}, p, \rho_{p-1}) \mapsto \rho_p \end{split}$$

In order to simulate a \mathcal{F}_0 logic program in \mathcal{H}_0 , we compile each \mathcal{F}_o term s in \mathbb{P} to a \mathcal{H}_o term t. We write this translation as $\langle s \rangle \mapsto$ (t, m, l). The implementation of the compiler is detailed in sections 3, 5 and 7, here we just point out that it additionally produces a variable mapping m and a list of links l. The variable map connects unification variables in \mathcal{H}_0 to variables in \mathcal{F}_0 and is used to "decompile" the assignment, $\langle \sigma, m, l \rangle^{-1} \mapsto \rho$. Links are an accessory piece of information whose description is deferred to section 2.4. We write $\mathbb{T}_p = \{ \mathbb{T}_{p_l}, \mathbb{T}_{p_r} \}$ and $s \in \mathbb{P} \Leftrightarrow \exists p, s \in \mathbb{P}_p$. We simulate each run in \mathcal{F}_0 with a run in \mathcal{H}_0 as follows:

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{hstep}(\mathbb{T}, p, \sigma, \mathbb{L}) &\mapsto (\sigma'', \mathbb{L}') \stackrel{def}{=} \\ \sigma \mathbb{T}_{p_{l}} &\simeq_{\lambda} \sigma \mathbb{T}_{p_{r}} \mapsto \sigma' \wedge \operatorname{progress}(\mathbb{L}, \sigma') \mapsto (\mathbb{L}', \sigma'') \\ \operatorname{hrun}(\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{N}) &\mapsto \rho_{\mathcal{N}} \stackrel{def}{=} \\ \mathbb{T} &\times \mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{L}_{0} = \{(t, m, l) | s \in \mathbb{P}, \langle s \rangle \mapsto (t, m, l) \} \\ &\wedge_{p=1}^{\mathcal{N}} \operatorname{hstep}(\mathbb{T}, p, \sigma_{p-1}, \mathbb{L}_{p-1}) \mapsto (\sigma_{p}, \mathbb{L}_{p}) \\ &\langle \sigma_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathbb{M}, \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{N}} \rangle^{-1} \mapsto \rho_{\mathcal{N}} \end{split}$$

By analogy with \mathbb{P} , we write \mathbb{T}_{p_l} and \mathbb{T}_{p_r} for the two \mathcal{H}_o terms being unified at step p, and we write \mathbb{T}_p for the set $\{\mathbb{T}_{p_l}, \mathbb{T}_{p_r}\}$. hstep is made of two sub-steps: a call to the meta language unification and a check for progress on the set of links, that intuitively will compensate for the weaker equational theory honored by \simeq_{λ} . hrun compiles all terms in \mathbb{P} , then executes each step, and finally decompiles the solution. We claim:

Proposition 2.1 (Simulation). $\forall \mathbb{P}, \forall \mathcal{N}, if \mathbb{P} \subseteq \mathcal{L}$

$$\operatorname{frun}(\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{N}) \mapsto \rho_{\mathcal{N}} \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{hrun}(\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{N}) \mapsto \rho_{\mathcal{N}}$$

That is, the two executions give the same result if all terms in \mathbb{P} are in the pattern fragment. Moreover:

Proposition 2.2 (Simulation fidelity). In the context of hrun, if $\mathbb{P} \subseteq \mathcal{L}$ we have that $\forall p \in 1...N$,

$$fstep(\mathbb{P}, p, \rho_{p-1}) \mapsto \rho_p \Leftrightarrow hstep(\mathbb{T}, p, \sigma_{p-1}, \mathbb{L}_{p-1}) \mapsto (\sigma_p, \mathbb{L}_p)$$

In particular, this property guarantees that a *failure* in the \mathcal{F}_o run is matched by a failure in \mathcal{H}_o at the same step. We consider this property very important from a practical point of view since it guarantees that the execution traces are strongly related, and in turn, this enables a user to debug a logic program in \mathcal{F}_o by looking at its execution trace in \mathcal{H}_o .

We also claim that hrun handles terms outside ${\cal L}$ in the following sense:

Proposition 2.3 (Fidelity recovery). In the context of hrun, if $\rho_{p-1}\mathbb{P}_p \in \mathcal{L}$ (even if $\mathbb{P}_p \notin \mathcal{L}$) then

$$\mathsf{fstep}(\mathbb{P}, p, \rho_{p-1}) \mapsto \rho_p \Leftrightarrow \mathsf{hstep}(\mathbb{T}, p, \sigma_{p-1}, \mathbb{L}_{p-1}) \mapsto (\sigma_p, \mathbb{L}_p)$$

In other words, if the two terms involved in a step re-enter \mathcal{L} , then hstep and fstep are again related, even if $\mathbb{P} \not\subseteq \mathcal{L}$ and hence proposition 2.2 does not apply. Indeed, the main difference between proposition 2.2 and proposition 2.3 is that the assumption of the former is purely static, it can be checked upfront. When this assumption is not satisfied, one can still simulate a logic program and have guarantees of fidelity if, at run time, decidability of higher-order unification is restored.

This property has practical relevance since in many logic programming implementations, including Elpi, the order in which unification problems are tackled does matter. The simplest example is the sequence $F \simeq \lambda x.a$ and $F \cdot a \simeq a$: the second problem is not in \mathcal{L} and has two unifiers, namely $\sigma_1 = \{ F \mapsto \lambda x.x \}$ and $\sigma_2 = \{ F \mapsto \lambda x.a \}$. The first problem picks σ_2 , making the second problem re-enter \mathcal{L} .

Backtracking. We omit it from our model of a logic program's execution since it plays a very minor role, orthogonal to higher-order unification. We point out that each *run* corresponds to a (proof search) branch in the logic program that either fails at some point, or succeeds. A computation that succeeds by backtracking, exploring multiple branches, could be modeled as a set of runs with (possibly non-empty) common prefixes.

2.4 The solution (in a nutshell)

A term s is compiled to a term t where every "problematic" sub term p is replaced by a fresh unification variable h with an accessory link that represents a suspended unification problem $h \simeq_{\lambda} p$. As a result \simeq_{λ} is "well behaved" on t, in the sense that it does not contradict $=_{o}$ as it would otherwise do on the "problematic" sub-terms.

We now define "problematic" and "well behaved" more formally We use the \diamond symbol since it stands for "possibly" in modal logic and all problematic terms are characterized by some "uncertainty".

Definition 2.4 ($\diamond \beta$). $\diamond \beta$ is the set of terms of the form X $x_1 \dots x_n$ such that $x_1 \dots x_n$ are distinct names (of bound variables).

An example of a $\Diamond \beta$ term is the application F.x. This term is problematic since the application node of its syntax tree cannot be used to justify a unification failure, i.e. by properly instantiating F the term head constructor may become a λ , or a constant, or remain an application.

Definition 2.5 ($\Diamond \eta$). $\Diamond \eta$ is the set of terms s such that $\exists \rho, \rho s$ is an eta expansion.

An example of a term s in $\diamond \eta$ is $\lambda x.\lambda y.F.y.x$ since the substitution $\rho = \{F \mapsto \lambda a.\lambda b.f.b.a\}$ makes $\rho s = \lambda x.\lambda y.f.x.y$, which is the eta long form of f. This term is problematic since its leading λ abstraction cannot justify a unification failure against a constant f.

Definition 2.6 ($\Diamond \mathcal{L}$). $\Diamond \mathcal{L}$ is the set of terms of the form $X \cdot t_1 \dots t_n$ such that $t_1 \dots t_n$ are not distinct names.

These terms are problematic for the very same reason terms in $\Diamond \beta$ are, but they cannot be handled directly by the unification of the meta language, which is only required to handle terms in \mathcal{L} . Still, there exists a substitution ρ such that $\rho s \in \mathcal{L}$.

We write $\mathcal{P}(t)$ the set of sub-terms of t, and we write $\mathcal{P}(X) = \bigcup_{t \in X} \mathcal{P}(t)$ when X is a set of terms.

Definition 2.7 (Well behaved set). Given a set of terms $X \subseteq \mathcal{H}_0$,

$$W(X) \Leftrightarrow \forall t \in \mathcal{P}(X), t \notin (\Diamond \beta \cup \Diamond \eta \cup \Diamond \mathcal{L})$$

We write W(t) as a short for $W(\{t\})$. We claim our compiler validates the following property:

Proposition 2.8 (W-enforcing). Given two terms s_1 and s_2 , if $\exists \rho, \rho s_1 =_{\varrho} \rho s_2$, then

$$\langle s_i \rangle \mapsto (t_i, m_i, l_i) \text{ for } i \in \{1, 2\} \Rightarrow t_1 \simeq_{\lambda} t_2 \mapsto \sigma$$

In other words the compiler outputs terms in \mathcal{W} , even if its input is not. Note that the property holds for any substitution. ρ could be given by an oracle and/or not necessarily be a most general one: in $\mathcal{W} \simeq_{\lambda}$ simply does not contradict $=_{o}$.

Proposition 2.9 (*W*-preservation). $\forall \mathbb{T}, \forall \mathbb{L}, \forall p, \forall \sigma, \forall \sigma'$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{W}(\sigma\mathbb{T}) \wedge \sigma\mathbb{T}_{p_l} &\simeq_{\lambda} \sigma\mathbb{T}_{p_r} \mapsto \sigma' \Rightarrow \mathcal{W}(\sigma'\mathbb{T}) \\ \mathcal{W}(\sigma\mathbb{T}) \wedge \operatorname{progress}(\mathbb{L}, \sigma) \mapsto (_, \sigma') \Rightarrow \mathcal{W}(\sigma'\mathbb{T}) \end{split}$$

Proposition 2.9 is key to proving propositions 2.1 and 2.2. Informally, it says that the problematic terms moved on the side by the compiler are not reintroduced by hstep, hence \approx_{λ} can continue to operate properly. In sections 3, 5 and 7 we describe how the compiler recognizes terms in $\diamond \beta$, $\diamond \eta$ and $\diamond \mathcal{L}$ and how progress takes care of them preserving W and ensuring propositions 2.1 to 2.3.

3 BASIC COMPILATION AND SIMULATION

3.1 Memory map (M) and substitution (ρ and σ)

Unification variables are identified by a (unique) memory address. The memory and its associated operations are described below:

```
typeabbrev (mem A) (list (option A)).
type set? addr -> mem A -> A -> o.
type unset? addr -> mem A -> o.
type assign addr -> mem A -> A -> mem A -> o.
type new mem A -> addr -> mem A -> o.
```

D:nor

mi

pi-

If a memory cell is none, then the corresponding unification variable is not set. assign sets an unset cell to the given value, while new finds the first unused address and sets it to none.

Since each \mathcal{H}_o unification variable occurs together with a scope, its assignment needs to be abstracted over it to enable the instantiation of the same assignment to different scopes. This is expressed by the inetx container, and in particular its abs binding constructor.

A solution to a \mathcal{F}_0 variable is a plain term, that is fsubst is an abbreviation for mem fm.

The compiler establishes a mapping between variables of the two languages.

```
kind fvariable type.
type fv addr -> fvariable.
kind hvariable type.
type hv addr -> arity -> hvariable.
kind mapping type.
type (<->) fvariable -> hvariable -> mapping.
typeabbrev mmap (list mapping).
```

Each hvariable is stored in the mapping together with its arity (a number) so that the code of (*malloc*) below can preserve:

Invariant 1 (Unification-variable Arity). Each variable A in \mathcal{H}_o has a (unique) arity N and each occurrence (uva A L) is such that L has length N.

When a single fvariable occurs multiple times with different numbers of arguments, the compiler generates multiple mappings for it, on a first approximation, and then ensures the mapping are bijective by introducing η -link; this detail is discussed in section 6.

It is worth examining the code of deref, which applies the substitution to a \mathcal{H}_o term. Notice how assignments are moved to the current scope, i.e. the abs-bound variables are renamed with the names in the scope of the unification variable occurrence.

Note that move strongly relies on invariant 1: the length of the arguments of all occurrences of a unification variable is the same. Hence, they have the same simple type for the meta-level, and

therefore the number of abs nodes in the assignment matches that length. This guarantees that move never fails.

```
type move assignment -> list tm -> tm -> o.
move (abs Bo) [H|L] R :- move (Bo H) L R.
move (val A) [] A.
```

We write $\sigma = \{ A_{xy} \mapsto y \}$ for the assignment «abs x\abs y\y » and $\sigma = \{ A \mapsto \lambda x. \lambda y. y \}$ for «lam x\lam y\y ».

3.2 Links (\mathbb{L})

As mentioned in section 2.4, the compiler replaces terms in $\Diamond \eta$, $\Diamond \beta$, and $\Diamond \mathcal{L}$ with fresh variables linked to the problematic terms. Terms in $\Diamond \beta$ do not need a link since \mathcal{H}_o variables faithfully represent the problematic term thanks to their scope.

```
kind baselink type.
type link-eta tm -> tm -> baselink.
type link-llam tm -> tm -> baselink.
typeabbrev link (inctx baselink).
typeabbrev links (list link).
```

The right-hand side of a link, the problematic term, can occur under binders. To accommodate this situation, the compiler wraps baselink using the inctx container (see \cdot \vdash \cdot also used for subst).

Invariant 2 (Link left hand side). The left-hand side of a suspended link is a variable.

New links are suspended by construction. If the left-hand side is assigned during a step, then the link is considered for progress and possibly eliminated. This is discussed in section 5 and section 7.

When detailing examples, we represent links as equations between two terms under a context. The equality sign is subscripted with the kind of baselink. For example $x \vdash A_x = _{\mathcal{L}} F_x$ a corresponds to:

```
abs x\ val (link-llam (uva A [x]) (app[uva F [x],con "a"]))
```

3.3 Compilation

The simple compiler described in this section serves as a base for the extensions in sections 4, 5 and 7. Its main task is to beta normalize the term and map one syntax tree to the other. In order to bring back the substitution from \mathcal{H}_0 to \mathcal{F}_0 the compiler builds a "memory map" connecting the kind of variables using routine (*malloc*).

The signature of the comp predicate below allows for the generation of links (suspended unification problems), which play no role in this section but play a major role in sections 4, 5 and 7. With respect to section 2, the signature also allows for updates to the substitution.

```
type comp fm -> tm -> mmap -> mmap -> links -> links -> subst -> o.  
comp (fcon C) (con C) M M L L S S.  
comp (flam F) (lam F1) M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2 :-  
    comp-lam F F1 M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2.  
comp (fuva A) (uva B []) M1 M2 L L S1 S2 :-  
    m-alloc (fv A) (hv B (arity z)) M1 M2 S1 S2.  
comp (fapp A) (app A1) M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2 :-  
    fold6 comp A A1 M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2.
```

640

643

644

645

646

647

651

652

653

654

655

656

657

658

659

660

663

664

665

666

667

669

670

671

672

also

de-

com-

pile

links.

679

680

681

683

684

685

686

687

691

692

693

694

695

696

D:Should

581

582

583

584

585

586

fold6

590

592

593

594

595

596

597

598

599

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611

612

613

614

615

616

617

618

619

620

621

622

623

624

625

626

627

628

629

631

632

633

634

635

636

637

638

```
type compile fm -> tm -> mmap -> mmap -> links -> links ->
 subst -> subst -> o.
compile F G M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2 :-
 beta-normal F F', comp F' G M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2.
```

The code above uses that possibility in order to allocate space for the variables, i.e. it sets their memory address to none (a details not explain worth mentioning in the previous sections).

```
type comp-lam (fm \rightarrow fm) \rightarrow (tm \rightarrow tm) \rightarrow
  mmap -> mmap -> links -> links -> subst -> subst -> o.
comp-lam F G M1 M2 L1 L3 S1 S2 :-
  pi x y\ (pi M L S\ comp x y M M L L S S) =>
                                                                 (H_{\lambda})
    comp (F x) (G y) M1 M2 L1 (L2 y) S1 S2,
  close-links L2 L3.
```

In the code above, the syntax pi x y\.. is syntactic sugar for iterated pi abstraction, as in pi x\ pi y\...

The auxiliary function close-links tests if the bound variable v really occurs in the link. If it does, the link is wrapped into an additional abs node binding v. In this way links generated deep inside the compiled terms can be moved outside their original context of binders.

```
type close-links (tm -> links) -> links -> o.
close-links (v\setminus[X \mid L \mid v]) [X|R] :- !, close-links L R.
close-links (v\[X\ v\]L\ v\]) [abs X|R] :- close-links L R.
close-links (_\[]) [].
```

Note that we could remove the first rule, whose sole purpose is to make links more readable by pruning unused context entries.

3.4 Execution

A step in \mathcal{H}_o consists of unifying two terms and reconsidering all links for progress. If either of these tasks fails, we consider the entire step to fail. It is at this granularity that we can relate steps in the two languages.

```
type hstep tm -> tm -> links -> links -> subst -> o.
hstep T1 T2 L1 L2 S1 S3 :-
  (T1 \simeq_{\lambda} T2) S1 S2,
 progress L1 L2 S2 S3.
```

Note that the infix notation ((A \simeq_{λ} B) C D) is syntactic sugar for $((\simeq_{\lambda}) A B C D).$

Reconsidering links is a fixpoint process because the progress of a link can update the substitution, which may then enable another link to progress.

```
type progress links -> links -> subst -> o.
progress L L2 S1 S3 :-
 progress1 L L1 S1 S2,
 occur-check-links L1,
 if (L = L1, S1 = S2)
    (L2 = L1, S3 = S1)
    (progress L1 L2 S2 S3).
```

3.4.1 Progress. In the base compilation scheme, progress1 is the identity function on both the links and the substitution, so the fixpoint trivially terminates. Sections 5 and 7 add rules to progress1 and explain why the don't hinder termination.

3.4.2 Occur check. Since compilation moves problematic terms out of the sight of \simeq_{λ} , that procedure can only perform a partial occur check. For example, the unification problem $X \simeq_{\lambda} f Y$ cannot generate a cyclic substitution alone, but should be disallowed if a L contains a link like $\vdash Y =_{\eta} \lambda z. X_z$: we don't know yet if Y will feature a lambda in head position, but we surely know it contains X, hence f Y and that fails the occur check. The procedure occur-check-links is in charge of performing this check that is needed in order to guarantee proposition 2.2 (SIMULATION FIDELITY).

3.5 Substitution decompilation

Decompiling the substitution involves three steps.

First and foremost, problematic terms stored in L have to be moved back into the game: a suspended link must be turned into a valid assignment. This operation is possible thanks to invariant 2 (LINK LEFT HAND SIDE), which ensures that no link causes an occurcheck (3.4.2) and the fact that \mathbb{L} is duplicate-free (??).

The second step involves allocating new variables in the memory of \mathcal{F}_0 . This technicality is required because some higher-order unifications may require pruning a variable. For example, $F \cdot x \cdot y = F \cdot x \cdot z$ requires allocating a variable G in order to express the assignment $F_{ab} \mapsto G_a$.

The final step is to decompile each assignment. Decompiling a term is straightforward since M is a bijection. The only complex part concerns the abs node. In our simple setting, the flam node carries no additional information (other than the function body), so each abs node can be trivially converted to a flam one. However, in the case of CIC, where lambdas carry the type of the bound variable, one must store this information somewhere. Note that this information is similar to the arity of variables; that in CIC, unification variables have a (function) type, and this type can be used to annotate the lambdas needed to express their assignment.

LEMMA 3.1 (COMPILATION ROUND TRIP). If compile S T [] M [] _ [] then decompile M T S

3.6 Definition of \simeq_o and its properties

We already have all the pieces to show the code of \simeq_{λ} .

```
type (\simeq_o) fm -> fm -> fsubst -> o.
(A \simeq_o B) F :=
  compile A A' [] M1 [] L1 [] S1,
  compile B B' M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2,
  hstep A' B' L2 L3 S2 S3,
  decompile M2 L3 S3 [] F.
```

So far the compiler is very basic. It does not really enforce that the terms passed to hstep are in W, and indeed makes no use of the higher-order capabilities of the meta language (all generated variables have an empty scope). Still, we can prove that \simeq_0 is a good "first-order" unification algorithm if the input already happens to be in W. Later, when the compiler will enforce proposition 2.8 the proof will be adjusted to cover for the new cases.

Lemma 3.2 (Properties of \simeq_o). The following properties hold for \simeq_o :

$$\mathcal{W}(\{t_1, t_2\}) \Rightarrow t_1 \simeq_{\lambda} t_2 \mapsto \rho \Rightarrow \rho t_1 =_{\lambda} \rho t_2 \tag{3}$$

$$\mathcal{W}(\{t_1, t_2\}) \Rightarrow \rho t_1 =_{\lambda} \rho t_2 \Rightarrow \exists \rho', t_1 \simeq_{\lambda} t_2 \mapsto \rho' \wedge \rho' \subseteq \rho \quad (4)$$

756

760

761

762

763

764

766

767

768

769

770

771

772

773

774

W

en-

force

ment

is

not

here

785

786

787

788

789

790 791

792

793

794

795

796

797

799

800

801

802

803

804

805

806

807

808

809

810

811

812

needed

finish/may

fix

in-

ta-

751

752

753

754

PROOF SKETCH. In this setting, $=_{\lambda}$ is as strong as $=_{o}$ on ground terms. What we have to show is that whenever two different \mathcal{F}_0 terms can be made equal by a substitution ρ (plus the β_l and β_r if needed), we can produce this ρ by finding a σ via \simeq_{λ} on the corresponding \mathcal{H}_o terms and by decompiling it. If we look at the syntax of \mathcal{F}_0 , terms the only interesting case is fuva $X \simeq_0 S$. In this case, after compilation, we have $Y \simeq_{\lambda} t$ that succeeds with $\sigma = \{Y \mapsto t\}$ and σ is decompiled to $\rho = \{Y \mapsto s\}$ by lemma 3.1. \square

Theorem 3.3 (Fidelity in W). Proposition 2.1 (Simulation) and proposition 2.2 (Simulation fidelity) hold if $W(\mathbb{P})$.

PROOF SKETCH. Trivial since progress1 is a no-op and fstep and hstep are the same, and by lemma $3.2 \simeq_{\lambda}$ is equivalent to \simeq_{o} .

3.7 Notational conventions

In the following sections, we adopt this notation discuss the compiler's output: \mathbb{P} represents the input set of problems that compiled to \mathbb{T} with memory mapping \mathbb{M} and links \mathbb{L} . For example:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathbb{P} = \{ \ p_1 \simeq_o \ p_2 & p_3 \simeq_o \ p_4 \ \} \\ \mathbb{T} = \{ \ t_1 \simeq_\lambda \ t_2 & t_3 \simeq_\lambda \ t_4 \ \} \\ \mathbb{M} = \{ \ X_1 \mapsto A_1^x & X_2 \mapsto A_2^y \ \} \\ \mathbb{L} = \{ \ \Gamma \vdash a =_\eta \ b \ \} \end{array}$$

We index each sub-problem, sub-mapping, and sub-link with its position starting from 1 and counting from left to right, top to bottom. For example, \mathbb{T}_2 corresponds to the \mathcal{H}_0 problem $t_3 \simeq_{\lambda} t_4$.

4 HANDLING OF $\Diamond \beta$

In order to make \simeq_o higher-order, we need to take care of terms in $\Diamond \beta$. In the example below, we can see that the basic compilation given in the previous section is not able to make the \mathcal{H}_0 unification problem succeeds.

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P} &= \{ \ \lambda x. (f \cdot (X \cdot x) \cdot a) \ \simeq_o \ \lambda x. (f \cdot x \cdot a) \ \} \\ \mathbb{T} &= \{ \ \lambda x. (f \cdot (A \cdot x) \cdot a) \ \simeq_\lambda \ \lambda x. (f \cdot x \cdot a) \ \} \\ \mathbb{M} &= \{ \ X \mapsto A^0 \ \} \end{split}$$

The unification problem \mathbb{T}_1 fails while trying to unify A x and x, which is equivalent to app [uva A [], x] versus x. In order to exploit the higher-order unification algorithm of the meta language, we need to compile the \mathcal{F}_0 term X x into the \mathcal{H}_0 term A_x , which is uva A [x].

Compilation and decompilation

We add the following rule before rule (c_{Θ}) , where pattern-fragment is a predicate checking if a list of terms is a list of distinct names.

```
comp (fapp [fuva A|Ag]) (uva B Ag1) M1 M2 L L S1 S2 :-
 pattern-fragment Ag, !,
    fold6 comp Ag Ag1 M1 M1 L L S1 S1,
   len Ag Arity.
   m-alloc (fv A) (hv B (arity Arity)) M1 M2 S1 S2.
```

Note that compiling Ag cannot create new mappings nor links, since Ag is made of bound variables, and the hypothetical rule (H_{λ}) loaded by comp-lam grants this property.

Decompilation. Since no link is created by the compilation of $\Diamond \beta$ terms, no modification to the commit-link is needed.

Progress. Similarly to decompilation, since no link is produced, no modification to the progress predicate is needed.

Definition 4.1
$$(W/_{\beta})$$
. $W/_{\beta}(X) \Leftrightarrow \forall t \in \mathcal{P}(X), t \notin (\Diamond \eta \cup \Diamond \mathcal{L})$

Lemma 4.2 (Properties of \simeq_o). The following properties hold for \simeq_0 where

$$\mathcal{W}/_{\beta}(\{t_1, t_2\}) \Rightarrow t_1 \simeq_{\lambda} t_2 \mapsto \rho \Rightarrow \rho t_1 =_{\lambda} \rho t_2 \tag{5}$$

$$\mathcal{W}/_{\beta}(\{t_1, t_2\}) \Rightarrow \rho t_1 =_{\lambda} \rho t_2 \Rightarrow \exists \rho', t_1 \simeq_{\lambda} t_2 \mapsto \rho' \wedge \rho' \subseteq \rho \quad (6)$$

PROOF SKETCH. If we look at the \mathcal{F}_0 terms, there is one more interesting case, namely fapp[fuva X|W] \simeq_o s when W are distinct names compiled to \vec{w} . In this case the \mathcal{H}_0 problem is $Y_{\vec{w}} \simeq_{\lambda} t$ that succeeds with $\sigma = \{Y_{\vec{y}} \mapsto t[\vec{w}/\vec{y}]\}$, which in turn is decompiled to $\rho = \{Y \mapsto \lambda \vec{y}.s[\vec{w}/\vec{y}]\}. \text{ Thanks to } \beta_l \ (\lambda \vec{y}.s[\vec{w}/\vec{y}]) \ \vec{w} =_o s.$

Lemma 4.3 ($W/_{\beta}$ -enforcement). Given two terms s_1 and s_2 in $\Diamond \beta$, if $\exists \rho, \rho s_1 =_o \rho s_2$, then

$$\langle s_i \rangle \mapsto (t_i, m_i, l_i) \text{ for } i \in \{1, 2\} \Rightarrow t_1 \simeq_{\lambda} t_2 \mapsto \sigma$$

PROOF SKETCH. problematic terms are mapped to uva by comp, the problematic fapp node is gone. if F x = t then F = lam x.t[]

Theorem 4.4 (Fidelity in $W/_{\beta}$). Proposition 2.1 (Simulation) and proposition 2.2 (Simulation fidelity) hold if $W/_{\beta}(\mathbb{P})$

Proof sketch. thanks to lemma $4.3 \simeq_{\lambda}$ is as powerful as \simeq_{o} in $\Diamond \beta$, as well as in \mathcal{W} by lemma 4.2.

HANDLING OF $\Diamond n$

A term $\lambda x.t \cdot x$ is said to be the η -expansion of t if x does not occur free in t, and conversely t is the η -contraction of $\lambda x.t \cdot x$. The equational theory of \mathcal{F}_0 identifies these terms, but the current compilation scheme does not, as shown by the following example:

While $\lambda x. X. x \simeq_{o} f$ does admit the solution $\rho = \{X \mapsto f\}$, the corresponding problem in \mathbb{T} does not: lam x\ uva A [x] and con"f" start with different, rigid, term constructors hence \simeq_{λ} fails.

In order to guarantee proposition 2.1 we detect lambda abstractions that can disappear by η -contraction (section 5.1) and we modify the compiler so that it generates fresh unification variables in their place and moves the problematic term from \mathbb{T} to \mathbb{L} (section 5.2). The compilation of the problem \mathbb{P} above is refined to:

$$\mathbb{P} = \{ \lambda x. X \cdot x \simeq_{o} f \}$$

$$\mathbb{T} = \{ A \simeq_{\lambda} f \}$$

$$\mathbb{M} = \{ X \mapsto B^{1} \}$$

$$\mathbb{L} = \{ \vdash A =_{\eta} \lambda x. B_{x} \}$$

As per invariant 2 the η -link left hand side is a variable while the right hand side is a term in $\Diamond \eta$ that has the following property:

INVARIANT 3 (η -link RHs). The rhs of any η -link has the shape $\lambda x.t$ and t is not a lambda.

Each η -link is kept in the link store \mathbb{L} during execution and is activated under some conditions. Activation is implemented by extending the progress1 predicate defined in section 3.4.

5.1 Detection of $\Diamond \eta$

When compiling a term t we need to determine if any subterm $s \in \mathcal{P}(t)$ that is of the form $\lambda x.r$, where x occurs in r, can be a η -expansion, i.e. if there exists a substitution ρ such that $\rho(\lambda x.r) =_{o} s$. The detection of lambda abstractions that can "disappear" is not as trivial as it may seems, here a few examples:

```
\begin{array}{lll} \lambda x.f\cdot (A\cdot x) & \in \Diamond \eta & \rho = \{\,A \mapsto \lambda x.x\,\} \\ \lambda x.f\cdot (A\cdot x)\cdot x & \in \Diamond \eta & \rho = \{\,A \mapsto \lambda x.a\,\} \\ \lambda x.f\cdot x\cdot (A\cdot x) & \notin \Diamond \eta \\ \lambda x.\lambda y.f\cdot (A\cdot x)\cdot (B\cdot y\cdot x) & \in \Diamond \eta & \rho = \{\,A \mapsto \lambda x.x,\,B \mapsto \lambda y.\lambda x.y\,\} \end{array}
```

The first two examples are easy, and show how a unification variable can expose or erase a variable in their scope and turn the resulting term in an η -expansion or not.

The third example shows that when a variable occurs outside the scope of a unification variable it cannot be erased and can hence prevent a term from being an η -expansion.

The last example shows the recursive nature of the check we need to implement. The term starts with a spine of two lambdas hence the whole term is in $\Diamond \eta$ iff the inner term $\lambda y.f\cdot (A\cdot x)\cdot (B\cdot y\cdot x)$ is in $\Diamond \eta$ itself. If it is, it could η -contract to $f\cdot (A\cdot x)$ making $\lambda x.f\cdot (A\cdot x)$ a potential η -expansion.

We can now define more formally how $\Diamond \eta$ terms are detected together with its auxiliary functions:

Definition 5.1 (may-contract-to). A β -normal term s may-contract-to a name x if there exists a substitution ρ such that $\rho s =_{\varrho} x$.

LEMMA 5.2. A β -normal term $s = \lambda x_1 \dots x_n .t$ may-contract-to x only if one of the following three conditions holds:

- (1) n = 0 and t = x;
- (2) t is the application of x to a list of terms l and each l_i may-contract-to x_i (e.g. $\lambda x_1 \dots x_n \cdot x_1 \dots x_n = 0$ x);
- (3) t is a unification variable with scope W, and for any $v \in \{x, x_1 \dots x_n\}$, there exists a $w_i \in W$, such that w_i maycontract-to v (if n = 0 this is equivalent to $x \in W$).

PROOF SKETCH. Since our terms are in β -normal form there is only one rule that can play a role (namely η_l), hence if the term s is not exactly x (case 1) it can only be an η -expansion of x, or a unification variable that can be assigned to x, or a combination of both. If s begins with a lambda, then the lambda can only disappear by η contraction. In that case the term t is under the spine of binders $x_1 \dots x_n$, t can either be x applied to terms that can may-contract-to these variables (case 2), or a unification variable that can be assigned to that application (case 3).

Definition 5.3 (occurs-rigidly). A name x occurs-rigidly in a β -normal term t, if $\forall \rho, x \in \mathcal{P}(\rho t)$

In other words x occurs-rigidly in t if it occurs in t outside of the scope of a unification variable X, otherwise an instantiation of X can make x disappears from t. Moreover, note that η -contracting t cannot make x disappear, since x is not a locally bound variable inside t.

We can now describe the implementation of $\Diamond \eta$ detection:

Definition 5.4 (maybe-eta). Given a β -normal term $s = \lambda x_1 \dots x_n . t$, *maybe-eta s* holds if any of the following holds:

- (1) t is a constant or a name applied to the arguments $l_1 \dots l_m$ such that $m \ge n$ and for every i such that $m n < i \le m$ the term l_i may-contract-to x_i , and no x_i occurs-rigidly in $l_1 \dots l_{m-n}$;
- (2) t is a unification variable with scope W and for each x_i there exists a $w_i \in W$ such that w_i may-contract-to x_i .

Lemma 5.5 ($\Diamond \eta$ detection). If t is a β -normal term and $t \in \Diamond \eta$ then maybe-eta t holds.

Proof sketch. Follows from definition 5.3 and lemma 5.2 □

Remark that the converse of lemma 5.5 does not hold: there exists a term t satisfying the criteria (1) of definition 5.4 that is not in $\Diamond \eta$, i.e. there exists no substitution ρ such that ρt is an η -expansion. A simple counter example is $\lambda x.f\cdot (A\cdot x)\cdot (A\cdot x)$ since x does not occurrigidly in the first argument of f, and the second argument of f may-contract-to x. In other words $A\cdot x$ may either use or discard x, but our analysis does not take into account that the same term cannot have two contrasting behaviors.

As we will see in the rest of this section this is not a problem since it does not break proposition 2.1 nor proposition 2.2.

5.2 Compilation and decompilation

Compilation. The following rule is inserted just before rule (c_{λ}) from the code in section 3.3.

```
comp (flam F) (uva A Scope) M1 M2 L1 L3 S1 S3 :-
maybe-eta (flam F) [], !,
   alloc S1 A S2,
   comp-lam F F1 M1 M2 L1 L2 S2 S3,
   get-scope (lam F1) Scope,
   L3 = [val (link-eta (uva A Scope) (lam F1)) | L2].
```

TWhenever flam F is detected to be in $\Diamond \eta$ it is compiled to lam F1 and replaced by the fresh variable A. This variable sees all the names free in lam F1. The variable is connected to lam F1 via a η -link. Invariant invariant 2 (Link left hand side) holds for this link, moreover:

Corollary 5.6. The rhs of any η -link has exactly one lambda abstraction, hence the rule above respects invariant 3 (η -link rhs).

PROOF SKETCH. By contradiction, suppose that the rule above is applied and that the rhs of the link is $\lambda x.\lambda y.t$, where x and y occur in t. If $maybe-eta\ \lambda y.t$ holds then the recursive call to comp (made by comp-lam) must have put a fresh variable in its place, so this case is impossible. Otherwise, if $maybe-eta\ \lambda y.t$ does not hold, also $maybe-eta\ \lambda x.\lambda y.t$ does not hold, contradicting the assumption that the rule was applied.

Decompilation. The decompilation of a η -link is performed by unifying the lhs with the rhs. Note that this unification never fails, since lhs is a flexible term not appearing in any other η -link (by definition 5.9).

5.3 Progress

 η -links are meant to delay the unification of "problematic" terms until we know for sure if the term has to be η -contracted or not.

930

931

932

933

934

935

936

937

938

939

940

941

942

943

944

945

946

947

948

949

950

951

952

953

954

955

956

is w

sig-

maa

de-

fined

what does as a functor mean? 970 971 972 973 974 975 976 977 978 shall we move the proof

hereí

985

986

Definition 5.7 (η -progress-lhs). A link $\Gamma \vdash X =_{\eta} T$ is removed from $\mathbb L$ when X becomes rigid. Let $y \in \Gamma$, there are two cases:

- (1) if X = a or X = y or $X = f \cdot a_1 \dots a_n$ we unify the η -expansion of X with T, that is we run $\lambda x. X \cdot x \simeq_{\lambda} T$
- (2) if $X = \lambda x.t$ we run $X \simeq_{\lambda} T$.

Definition 5.8 (η -progress-rhs). A link $\Gamma \vdash X =_{\eta} T$ is removed from \mathbb{L} when either 1) *maybe-eta* T does not hold (anymore) or 2) by η -contracting T to T' where T' does not start with the lam constructor. In the first case, X is unified with T and in the second one, X is unified with T' (under the context Γ).

There is a third case in which a link is removed from \mathbb{L} , namely when the lhs is assigned to a variable that is the lhs of another η -link.

Definition 5.9 (η-progress-deduplicate). A link $\Gamma \vdash X_{\vec{s}} =_{\eta} T$ is removed from $\mathbb L$ when another link $\Delta \vdash X_{\vec{r}} =_{\eta} T'$ is in $\mathbb L$. By invariant 1 the length of \vec{s} and \vec{r} is the same hence we can move the term T' from Δ to Γ by renaming its bound variables, i.e. $T'' = T'[\vec{r}/\vec{s}]$. We then run $T \simeq_{\lambda} T''$ (under the context Γ).

LEMMA 5.10. Let $\lambda x.t$ the rhs of a η -link, then W(t).

PROOF SKETCH. By construction, every "problematic" term in \mathcal{F}_0 is replaced with a variable in the corresponding \mathcal{H}_0 term. Therefore, t is W.

LEMMA 5.11. Given a η -link l, the unification done by η -progress-lhs is between terms in W

PROOF SKETCH. Let σ be the substitution, which is $\mathcal{W}(\sigma)$ (by proposition 2.9). $lhs \in \sigma$, therefore $\mathcal{W}(lhs)$. By η -progress-lhs, if 1) the lhs is a name, a constant or an application, then, $\lambda x. lhs \cdot x$ is unified with the rhs. By invariant 3 and lemma 5.10, $rhs = \lambda x.t$ and $\mathcal{W}(t)$. Otherwise, 2) the lhs has lam as functor. In both cases, unification is performed between terms in \mathcal{W} .

Lemma 5.12. Given a η -link l, the unification done by η -progressrhs is between terms in W.

PROOF SKETCH. Ihs is variable, and, by definition 5.8, rhs is either no more a $\Diamond \eta$, i.e. rhs is not a η -expansion and, so, W(rhs), otherwise, rhs can reduce to a term which cannot be a η -expansion, and, so, W(rhs). In both cases, the unification between rhs and lhs is done between terms that are in W.

Lemma 5.13. Given a η -link l, the unification done by η -progress-deduplicate is between terms in W.

PROOF. We unify the rhs of two η -link. Both have the shape $\lambda x.t$ and by lemma 5.10, W(t).

Lemma 5.14. The introduction of η -link guarantees proposition 2.8 (W-enforcing)

PROOF SKETCH. By definition 5.4.

Lemma 5.15. The progress of η -link guarantees proposition 2.9 (W-preservation)

PROOF SKETCH. By lemmas 5.11 to 5.13, every unification performed by the activation of a η -link is done between terms in \mathcal{W} , therefore, the substitution remains \mathcal{W} .

LEMMA 5.16. progress terminates.

Proof sketch. Rules definitions 5.7 and 5.8 and definition 5.9 remove one link from \mathbb{L} , hence they cannot be applied indefinitely. Moreover each rule only relies on terminating operations such as \simeq_{λ} , η -contraction, η -expansion, relocation (a recursive copy of a finite term).

Theorem 5.17 (Fidelity in $\Diamond \eta$). Given a list of unification problems \mathbb{P} , if $\forall t, t \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{P}) \Rightarrow t \notin \Diamond \mathcal{L}$ and if the memory map is bijective, then the introduction of η -link guarantees proposition 2.2 (SIMULATION FIDELITY). ¹

PROOF SKETCH. η -progress-lhs and η -progress-deduplicate activate a η -link when, in the original unification problem, a $\Diamond \eta$ term is unified with respectively a well-behaved term or another $\Diamond \eta$ term. In both cases, the links trigger a unification which succeeds iff the same unification in \mathcal{F}_0 succeeds, guaranteeing proposition 2.2. η -progress-rhs never fails, in fact, this progression refines a variable to a rigid term and plays no role in proposition 2.2.

Example of η -progress-lhs. The example at the beginning of section 5, once $\sigma = \{A \mapsto f\}$, triggers η -progress-lhs since the link becomes $\vdash f = \eta \lambda x.B_X$ and the lhs is a constant. In turn the rule runs $\lambda x.f : x \simeq_{\lambda} \lambda x.B_X$, resulting in $\sigma = \{A \mapsto f : B_X \mapsto f\}$. Decompilation the generates $\rho = \{X \mapsto f\}$, since X is mapped to B and f is the η -contracted version of $\lambda x.f \cdot x$.

Example of η -progress-deduplicate. A very basic example of η -link deduplication, is given below:

$$\mathbb{P} = \{ \lambda x.(X \cdot x) \simeq_{o} \lambda x.(Y \cdot x) \}$$

$$\mathbb{T} = \{ A \simeq_{\lambda} C \}$$

$$\mathbb{M} = \{ X \mapsto B^{1} \quad Y \mapsto D^{1} \}$$

$$\mathbb{L} = \{ \vdash A =_{\eta} \lambda x.B_{X} \vdash C =_{\eta} \lambda x.D_{X} \}$$

The result of $A \simeq_{\lambda} C$ is that the two η -link share the same lhs. By unifying the two rhs we get $\sigma = \{A \mapsto C, B \mapsto D \}$. In turn, given the map \mathbb{M} , this second assignment is decompiled to $\rho = \{X \mapsto Y\}$ as expected.

We give an example for rule η -progress-rhs in the next section.

6 MAKING M A BIJECTION

In section 3.1, we introduced the definition of "memory map" (\mathbb{M}). This memory allows to decompile the \mathcal{H}_0 terms back to the object language. It is the case that, while solving unification problems, a same unification variable X is used multiple times with different arities.

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathbb{P} = \left\{ \begin{array}{lll} \lambda x.\lambda y.(X\cdot y\cdot x) & \simeq_o & \lambda x.\lambda y.x & \lambda x.(f\cdot (X\cdot x)\cdot x) & \simeq_o & Y \end{array} \right\} \\ \mathbb{T} = \left\{ \begin{array}{lll} A & \simeq_\lambda & \lambda x.\lambda y.x & D & \simeq_\lambda & F \end{array} \right\} \\ \mathbb{M} = \left\{ \begin{array}{lll} X \mapsto E^1 & Y \mapsto F^0 & X \mapsto C^2 \end{array} \right\} \\ \mathbb{L} = \left\{ \begin{array}{lll} & \mapsto D & =_\eta & \lambda x.(f\cdot E_X \cdot x) & \mapsto A & =_\eta & \lambda x.B_X \\ x \mapsto B_x & =_\eta & \lambda y.C_{yx} \end{array} \right\} \end{array}$$

In the unification problems \mathbb{P} above, we see that X is used with arity 2 in \mathbb{P}_1 and with arity 1 in \mathbb{P}_2 . By invariant 1 (UNIFICATION-VARIABLE ARITY), we are not allowed to use a same \mathcal{H}_o variable to represent the two occurrences of X. If we execute hrun, we remark that the unification fails. There is in fact a major problem: hstep

D:riprende sec 3.1

987

988

992

993

994

999

1000 1001 1002 Fix: show that 5.7

an 5.8 compensate for missing

which rule

explain

eta

rule

why
decompile
does
so
and
which
eta?

1036 1037 1038

1039 1040 1041

1042 1043 1044

¹This premise is fulfilled in section 6

1046

1047

1048 1049

1050

1051

1052

1053

1054

1056

1057

1058

1059

1060

1061

1062

1063

1064

1065

1066

1067

1069

1070

1071

1072

1073

1074

1075

1076

1077

1078

1079

1080

1081

1082

1083

1084

1085

1086

1087

1088

1089

1090

1091

1092

1093

1094

1095

1096 1097

1098

1099

1100

1101

1102

1103

1104

1105

1107

1108

1109

1110

1111

1112

1113

1114

1115

1116

1117 1118

1119

1120

1121

1122

1123

1124

1125

1127

1128

1129

1130

1131

1132

1133

1134

1135

1136

1137

1138

1139

1140

1141

1142

1143

1144

1147

1148

1149

1150

1151

1152

1153

1156

1157

1158

1159

1160

is not conscious of the connection between the variables C and *E* (both corresponding to *X*), since no link in \mathbb{L} puts *C* and *E* in relation and decompilation does not work properly if a \mathcal{F}_o variable is mapped to two distinct \mathcal{H}_0 variables. The two main drawbacks connected to this situation are firstly the lost of proposition 2.2 (Simulation fidelity) and secondly, if we want to guarantee at least proposition 2.1 (Simulation), we should overcomplicate the decompilation phase. In order to ease the second drawback, we pose the following property:

PROPOSITION 6.1 (M IS A BIJECTION). Given a list of unification problems \mathbb{P} , then the memory map \mathbb{M} compiled from \mathbb{P} is a bijection relating the \mathcal{F}_o and the \mathcal{H}_o variables.

We finally adjust the compiler's output with a map-deduplication

Definition 6.2 (align-arity). Given two mappings $m_1: X \mapsto A^m$ and $m_2: X \mapsto C^n$ where m < n and d = n - m, align-arity $m_1 m_2$ generates the following d links, one for each i such that $0 \le i < d$,

$$x_0 \dots x_{m+i} \vdash B^i_{x_0 \dots x_{m+i}} =_{\eta} \lambda x_{m+i+1} . B^{i+1}_{x_0 \dots x_{m+i+1}}$$

where B^i is a fresh variable of arity m + i, and $B^0 = A$ as well as

The intuition is that we η -expand the occurrence of the variable with lower arity to match the higher arity. Since each η -link can add exactly one lambda, we need as many links as the difference between the two arities.

Definition 6.3 (map-deduplication). For all mappings $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{M}$ such that $m_1: X \mapsto A^m$ and $m_2: X \mapsto C^n$ and m < n we remove m_1 from M and add to L the result of align-arity m_1 m_2 .

THEOREM 6.4 (FIDELITY WITH MAP-DEDUPLICATION). Given a list of unification problems \mathbb{P} , such that $\forall t, t \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{P}) \Rightarrow \mathcal{W}(t) \lor t \in \Diamond \eta$, if \mathbb{P} contains two same \mathcal{F}_0 variables with different arities, then mapdeduplication guarantees proposition 2.2 (SIMULATION FIDELITY)

PROOF SKETCH. By the definition of map-deduplication, any two occurrencies of the same \mathcal{F}_0 variables X_1, X_2 with different arities are related with η -link. If one of the two variables is instantiated, the corresponding η -link is triggered instantiating the related variable. This allows to make unification fail if X_1 and X_2 are unified with different terms. Finally, since \mathbb{P} contains only terms thar are either W or $\Diamond \eta$, by theorem 5.17, we can conclude the proof.

If we look back the example give at the beginning of this section, we can deduplicate $X \mapsto E^1, X \mapsto C^2$ by removing the first mapping and adding the auxiliary η -link: $x \vdash E_x =_{\eta} \lambda y.C_{xy}$. After deduplication the compiler output is as follows:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathbb{P} = \left\{ \begin{array}{lll} \lambda x.\lambda y.(X\cdot y\cdot x) & \simeq_o & \lambda x.\lambda y.x & \lambda x.(f\cdot (X\cdot x)\cdot x) & \simeq_o & Y \end{array} \right\} \\ \mathbb{T} = \left\{ \begin{array}{lll} A & \simeq_\lambda & \lambda x.\lambda y.x & D & \simeq_\lambda & F \end{array} \right\} \\ \mathbb{M} = \left\{ \begin{array}{lll} Y \mapsto F^0 & X \mapsto C^2 \end{array} \right\} \\ \mathbb{L} = \left\{ \begin{array}{lll} x \vdash E_x & =_\eta & \lambda y.C_{xy} & \vdash D & =_\eta & \lambda x.(f\cdot E_x\cdot x) \\ \vdash A & =_\eta & \lambda x.B_x & x \vdash B_x & =_\eta & \lambda y.C_{yx} \end{array} \right\} \end{array}$$

In this example, \mathbb{T}_1 assigns A which triggers \mathbb{L}_3 and then \mathbb{L}_4 by η *progress-lhs.* C_{yx} is therefore assigned to x (the second variable of its scope). We can finally see the η -progress-rhs of \mathbb{L}_1 : its rhs is now $\lambda y.y$ (the term C_{xy} reduces to y). Since it is no more in $\Diamond \eta$, $\lambda y.y$ is unified

with E_x . After the execution of the remaining hstep, we obtain the following \mathcal{F}_0 substitution $\rho = \{X := \lambda x . \lambda y . y, Y := (f \lambda x . x)\}.$

7 HANDLING OF $\Diamond \mathcal{L}$

In this section we suppose the unification of the object language between two terms t_1 and t_2 to fail each time at least one of the between t_1 or t_2 is outside \mathcal{L} . This means for instance that $X \not\simeq_o Y \cdot Z$ and $X \cdot Y \not\simeq_o X \cdot Y$.

In general, unification between $\diamond \mathcal{L}$ terms admits more then one solution and committing one of them in the substitution does not guarantee property (2). For instance, $X \cdot a \simeq_{o} a$ admits two different substitutions: $\rho_1 = \{X \mapsto \lambda x.x\}$ and $\rho_2 = \{X \mapsto \lambda_{-}a\}$. Prefer one over the other may break future unifications.

Given a list of unification problems, $\mathbb{P}_1 \dots \mathbb{P}_n$ with \mathbb{P}_n in $\diamondsuit \mathcal{L}$, it is often the case that the resolution of $\bigwedge_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{P}_i$ gives a partial substitution ρ , such that $\rho \mathbb{P}_n$ falls again in \mathcal{L} .

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P} &= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} X \simeq_o \lambda x.a & (X \cdot a) \simeq_o a \end{array} \right\} \\ \mathbb{T} &= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} A \simeq_\lambda \lambda x.a & (A \cdot a) \simeq_\lambda a \end{array} \right\} \\ \mathbb{M} &= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} X \mapsto A^0 \end{array} \right\} \end{split}$$

In the example above, we see that \mathbb{P}_1 instantiates X so that \mathbb{P}_2 can be solved in \mathcal{L} . On the other hand, we see that, \simeq_{λ} can't solve the compiled problems \mathbb{T} . In fact, the resolution of \mathbb{T}_1 gives the substitution $\sigma = \{A \mapsto \lambda x.a\}$, but the dereferencing of \mathbb{T}_2 gives the non-unifiable problem $(\lambda x.a)$ $a \neq_{\lambda} a$.

To address this unification problem, term compilation must recognize and replace $\diamond \mathcal{L}$ terms with fresh variables. This replacement produces links that we call \mathcal{L} -link.

 \mathcal{L} -link respects invariant 2 and the term on the rhs has the following property:

INVARIANT 4 (\mathcal{L} -link RHS). The rhs of any \mathcal{L} -link has the shape $X_{s_1...s_n}$ $t_1...t_m$ such that X is a unification variable with scope $s_1 ldots s_n^2$ and $t_1 ldots t_m$ is a list of terms. This is equivalent to app[uva X S | L], where $S = s_1 \dots s_n$ and $L = t_1 \dots t_m$.

7.1 Compilation and decompilation

Detection of $\diamond \mathcal{L}$ is quite simple to implement in the compiler, since it is sufficient to detect applications with flexible head and argument that are not in \mathcal{L} . The following rule for $\Diamond \mathcal{L}$ compilation is inserted just before rule (c_{\odot}) .

```
comp (fapp [fuva A[Ag]) (uva B Scope) M1 M3 L1 L3 S1 S4 :- !,
  pattern-fragment-prefix Ag Pf Extra,
  len Pf Arity.
  alloc S1 B S2,
  m-alloc (fv A) (hv C (arity Arity)) M1 M2 S2 S3,
  fold6 comp Pf Pf1 M2 M2 L1 L1 S3 S3,
  fold6 comp Extra Extra1 M2 M3 L1 L2 S3 S4,
  Beta = app [uva C Pf1 | Extra1],
  get-scope Beta Scope,
  L3 = [val (link-llam (uva B Scope) Beta) | L2].
```

The list Ag is split into the list Pf and Extra such that append Pf Extra $^{1134}_{8}$ and Pf is the largest prefix of Ag such that Pf is in \mathcal{L} . The rhs of the \mathcal{L} -link is the application of a fresh variable C having in scope all the free variables appearing in the compiled version of Pf and

²with $s_1 \dots s_n$ that are distinct names

1220

1221

1223

1224

1225

1226

1227

1230

1231

1232

1233

1234

1235

1236

1237

1238

1239

1240

1243

1244

1245

1246

1247

1249

1250

1251

1252

1253

1254

1256

1257

1258

1259

1260

1261

1263

1264

1265

1266

1269

1270

1271

1272

1273

1274

1275

1276

1162 D:Si puo togliere m > 0. se

1161

spazi_o D:Si puo togliere se serve

serve

spazio 1176

1177 1178

1179

1180

1181

1182

1190

1203

1204

1205

1197

1210 1211

1212 1213 1214

Extra. The variable B, returned has the compiled term, is a fresh variable having in scope all the free variables occurring in Pf1 and Extra1. Note that this construction enforce invariant 4.

COROLLARY 7.1. Let $X_{s_1...s_n}$ $t_1...t_m$ be the rhs of a \mathcal{L} -link, then

COROLLARY 7.2. Let $X_{s_1...s_n}$ $t_1...t_m$ be the rhs of a \mathcal{L} -link, then t_1 either appears in $s_1 \dots s_n$ or it is not a name.

Decompilation. All \mathcal{L} -link should be solved before decompilation. If any \mathcal{L} -link remains in \mathbb{L} , decompilation fails.

7.2 Progress

Given a \mathcal{L} -link l of the form $\Gamma \vdash T =_{\mathcal{L}} X_{s_1...s_n} \cdot t_1 \ldots t_m$, we provide 3 different activation rules:

Definition 7.3 (\mathcal{L} -progress-refine). Given a substitution σ , where σt_1 is a name, say t, and $t \notin s_1 \dots s_n$. If m = 0, then l is removed and lhs is unified with $X_{s_1...s_n}$. If m > 0, then l is replaced by a refined version $\Gamma \vdash T = \mathcal{L} Y_{s_1...s_n,t} \cdot t_2 \dots t_m$ with reduced list of arguments and Y being a fresh variable. Moreover, the new link $\Gamma \vdash X_{s_1...s_n} =_{\eta} \lambda x. Y_{s_1...s_n,x}$ is added to \mathbb{L} .

Definition 7.4 (\mathcal{L} -progress-rhs). l is removed from \mathbb{L} if $X_{s_1...s_n}$ is instantiated to a term t and the β -reduced term t' obtained from the application of t to $l_1 \dots l_m$ is in $\mathcal{L}.$ Moreover, X is unified with

Definition 7.5 (\mathcal{L} -progress-fail). If it exists a link $l' \in \mathbb{L}$ with same lhs as *l*, or the lhs of *l* become rigid, then unification fail.

LEMMA 7.6. progress terminates

PROOF SKETCH. Let l a \mathcal{L} -link in the store \mathbb{L} . If l is activated by \mathcal{L} -progress-rhs, then it disappears from \mathbb{L} and progress terminates. Otherwise, the rhs of l is made by a variable applied to marguments. At each activation of \mathcal{L} -progress-refine, l is replaced by a new \mathcal{L} -link l^1 having m-1 arguments. At the m^{th} iteration, the \mathcal{L} -link l^m has no more arguments and is removed from \mathbb{L} . Note that at the m^{th} iteration, m new η -link have been added to L, however, by lemma 5.16, the algorithm terminates. Finally \mathcal{L} -progress-fail also guarantees termination since it makes progress immediately fails.

THEOREM 7.7 (FIDELITY WITH \mathcal{L} -link). The introduction of \mathcal{L} -link guarantees proposition 2.3 (FIDELITY RECOVERY)

PROOF SKETCH. Let \mathbb{T} a unification problem and σ a substitution such that $\mathbb{T} \in \Diamond \mathcal{L}$. If $\sigma \mathbb{T}$ is in \mathcal{L} , then by definitions 7.3 and 7.4, the \mathcal{L} -link associated to the subterm of \mathbb{T} have been solved and removed. The unification is done between terms in $\mathcal L$ and by theorem 5.17 fidelity is guaranteed. If $\sigma \mathbb{T}$ is in $\diamondsuit \mathcal{L}$, then, by definition 7.5, the unification fails, as per the corresponding unification in \mathcal{F}_o . \Box

Example of \mathcal{L} -progress-refine. Consider the \mathcal{L} -link below:

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P} &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} X \simeq_o \ \lambda x.x & \lambda x.(Y\cdot(X\cdot x)) \simeq_o \ f \end{array} \right\} \\ \mathbb{T} &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} A \simeq_\lambda \ \lambda x.x & B \simeq_\lambda \ f \end{array} \right\} \\ \mathbb{M} &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} Y \mapsto D^0 & X \mapsto A^0 \end{array} \right\} \\ \mathbb{L} &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \vdash A =_\eta \ \lambda x.E_X & \vdash B =_\eta \ \lambda x.C_X \\ x \vdash C_X =_{\mathcal{L}} \ (D \cdot E_X) \end{array} \right\} \end{split}$$

Initially the \mathcal{L} -link rhs is a variable D applied to the E_x . The first unification problem results in $\sigma = \{A \mapsto \lambda x.x\}$. In turn this instantiation triggers \mathbb{L}_1 by η -progress-lhs and E_x is assigned to x. Under this substitution the \mathcal{L} -link becomes $x \vdash C_x =_{\mathcal{L}} (D \cdot x)$, and by \mathcal{L} -progress-refine it is replaced with the link: $\vdash E =_{\eta} \lambda x.D_x$, while C_x is unified with D_x . The second unification problem assigns f to B, that in turn activates the second η -link (f is assigned to C), and then all the remaining links are solved. The final \mathcal{H}_o substitution is $\sigma = \{A \mapsto \lambda x.x, B \mapsto f, C_x \mapsto (f \cdot x), D \mapsto f, E_x \mapsto x, F_x \mapsto C_x\}$ and is decompiled into $\rho = \{X \mapsto \lambda x.x, Y \mapsto f\}.$

Example of L-progress-rhs. We can take the example provided in section 7. The problem is compiled into:

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P} &= \{ \ X \simeq_o \ \lambda x. Y \quad (X \cdot a) \simeq_o \ a \ \} \\ \mathbb{T} &= \{ \ A \simeq_\lambda \ \lambda x. B \qquad C \simeq_\lambda \ a \ \} \\ \mathbb{M} &= \{ \ Y \mapsto B^0 \quad X \mapsto A^0 \ \} \\ \mathbb{L} &= \{ \ \vdash C =_{\mathcal{L}} \ (A \cdot a) \ \} \end{split}$$

The first unification problems is solved by the substitution σ = $\{A \mapsto \lambda x.B\}$. The \mathcal{L} -link becomes $\vdash C = f((\lambda x.B) \cdot a)$ whose rhs can be β -reduced to B. B is in \mathcal{L} and is unified with C. The resolution of the second unification problem gives the final substitution σ = $\{A \mapsto \lambda x.B, B \mapsto C, C \mapsto a\}$ which is decompiled into $\rho = \{X \mapsto a\}$ $\lambda x.a, Y \mapsto a$.

7.3 Relaxing definition 7.5 (\mathcal{L} -progress-fail)

Working with terms in \mathcal{L} is sometime too restrictive [1] and a there exist a few strategies to go bejond \mathcal{L} without implementing Huet's algorithm ??.

Some implementations of λProlog [11] such as Teyjus [10] delay the resolution of $\diamond \mathcal{L}$ unification problems until the substitution put them in \mathcal{L} . Other systems, for example of the unification algorithm of Coq used in its type-class solver [17], apply heuristics like preferring projection over mimic, and commit to that solution.

In this section we show how we can implement these strategies by simply adding (or removing) rules to the progress predicate. In the example below \mathbb{P}_1 is in $\diamondsuit \mathcal{L}$.

$$\mathbb{P} = \{ (X \cdot a) \simeq_{o} a \quad X \simeq_{o} \lambda x.a \}$$

If we want the object language unification to delay the first unification problem (waiting for *X* to be be instantiated by a future unification), we can relax definition 7.5. Instead of failing because the lhs of the considered \mathcal{L} -link l becomes rigid, we keep it in \mathbb{L} until the head of its rhs also become rigid. In this case, since lhs and rhs have rigid heads, they can be unified just before removing *l* from \mathbb{L} . We can note that this rule trivially guarantees proposition 2.2 (SIMULATION FIDELITY). On the other hand, the occur check becomes partial since we break invariant 2 (LINK LEFT HAND SIDE).

If we want to follow the second strategy and pick an arbitrary solution. For instance, in $X \cdot a \cdot b = Y \cdot b$, the last argument of the two terms is the same and unification can succeed by assigning Xa to *Y*. Note that this is not the only solution, since $\sigma = \{X \mapsto \lambda x.Y\}$ is another valid substitution. Our unification procedure can be modified to accommodate this behavior by adding a rule to progress that tries to align the rightmost arguments and unify the resulting heads whenever lhs of a \mathcal{L} -link is not in \mathcal{L} .

1336

1337

1339

1340

1341

1342

1343

1346

1347

1348

1349

sucks

cite

TC,

that

are

baked

1360

1361

1362

1363

1365

1366

1367

1368

1369

1370

1372

1373

1374

1375

1376

1377

1379

1380

1381

1382

1385

1386

1387

1388

1389

1390

1391

1392

1278 cita teyjus (1) era

1277

2nd order HO (huet al-

go-

rithm)

teyju 2 è llam ma sospende

disagre men pairs fuori da

1310

llam

finisl

1316

1324 1325

1323

1327

1328 1329 1330

1331 1332 1333

1334

Note that delaying unification outside \mathcal{L} can leave \mathcal{L} -link for the decompilation phase. Therefore commit-links should be modified accordingly.

ACTUAL IMPLEMENTATION IN ELPI

In this paper we show a minimized example. The full code is there. But we also have to code things in Coq-Elpi.

The main difference between the presentation in the previous sections and the actual implementation for Coq is that the main loop hrun is replaced by the one of Prolog that chains calls to the unification procedure. In order implement the store of links we resort to Elpi's CLP engine and use constraints (suspended goals) to represent links, and constraint handling rules to implement progress operations involving more than one link.

about the progress of 1 link:

```
link-eta L R :- suspend-condition L R Holes, !,
  declare_constraint (link-eta L R) Holes.
link-eta L R :-
  progress. % e.g. L = R.
about the progress of 2 links:
constraint link-eta {
  rule (N1 ▶ G1 ?- link-eta (uvar X LX1) T1) % match
    / (N2 ▶ G2 ?- link-eta (uvar X LX2) T2) % remove
    | (relocate LX1 LX2 T2 T2')
                                             % condition
   <=> (N1 ⊳ G1 ?- T1 = T2').
                                             % new goal
}
```

Remark how the invariant about uvar arity makes this easy, since LX1 and LX2 have the same length. Also note that N1 only contains the names of the first link (while relocate runs in the disjoint union) and Elpi ensures that T2' can live in N1.

RELATED WORK AND CONCLUSION

Different strategies can be used to unify terms of the object language. The first approach that comes to mind consist in implementing \simeq_0 as a regular routine in the ML as follows:

```
decision X := X \simeq_o (all A x\ app [P, x]), finite A,
 pi x\ decision (app [P, x]).
```

Opting for this method would result in a suboptimal utilization of the logic programming engine provided by the meta language, as it degrades indexing by eliminating all data from rule heads. Additionally, implementing an unification procedure in the meta language is likely to be significantly slower compared to the built-in

Another possibility is to avoid having application and abstraction nodes in the syntax tree, and use the ones of meta language, as in the following:

```
decision (all A x \setminus P x) :- finite A, pi x \setminus decision (P x).
```

However, this encoding has two big limitations. Firstly, in CIC, it is not always feasible to adopt it due to the meta language's type system being too limited to accommodate for that one the object language. E.g. CIC can typecheck variadic functions, see for exam-

Secondly, the CIC encoding provided by Elpi is primarily utilized

for meta programming, in order to extend the Coq system. Consequently, it must be able to manipulate terms that are not known in advance without relying on introspection primitives such as Prolog's functor and arg. In this context, constants need to live in an open world, akin to the string data type used in the preceding examples.

In the literature we could find a related encoding of the Calculus of Constructions (CC) [3]. The goal of that work was to exhibit a logic program performing proof checking for CC and hence relate the proof system of intuitionistic higher-order logic (that animates λProlog programs) with the one of CC. The encoding is hence tailored toward a different goal, for example it utilizes three relations to represent the equational theory of CC. Section 6 contains a discussion about the use of the unification procedure of the meta language in presence of non ground goals, but the authors do not aim at exploiting it to the degree we want.

The approach presented in this paper provides a third option that addresses all the concerns mentioned earlier. It capitalizes on the benefit of not requiring to fully implement the unification algorithm of the object language. Instead, it employs the unification capabilities of the meta language, facilitated by the various links to manage "problematic" subterms. As a result of this choice our encoding takes advantage of indexing data structures and mode analysis for clause filtering. It it worth mentioning that we only replace terms with variables only when it is strictly needed, leaving the rest of the term structure intact and hence indexable by the meta language logic programming engine. Moreover, the unification process we obtain is ready to take advantage of potential improvements to the programming engine, such as tabled search, and apply forms of static analysis for the meta language, such as determinacy, to the object language. Finally, our approach is flexible enough to accommodate different strategies and heuristics to handle terms outside the pattern fragment, and it is not tightly coupled with CIC.

REFERENCES

- [1] Andreas Abel and Brigitte Pientka. "Extensions to Miller's Pattern Unification for Dependent Types and Records". In: 2018. URL: https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID: 51885863.
- Cvetan Dunchev et al. "ELPI: Fast, Embeddable, λProlog Interpreter". In: Logic for Programming, Artificial Intelligence, and Reasoning - 20th International Conference, LPAR-20 2015, Suva, Fiji, November 24-28, 2015, Proceedings. Ed. by Martin Davis et al. Vol. 9450. 2015, pp. 460–468. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-662-48899-7_32. url: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-48899-7%5C 32.
- Amy Felty. "Encoding the Calculus of Constructions in a Higher-Order Logic". In: ed. by M. Vardi. IEEE, June 1993, pp. 233-244. doi: 10.1109/LICS.1993.287584.
- Amy Felty and Dale Miller. "Specifying theorem provers in a higher-order logic programming language". In: Ninth International Conference on Automated Deduction. Ed. by Ewing Lusk and Ross Overbeck. 310. Argonne, IL: Springer, May 1988, pp. 61-80. DOI: 10.1007/BFb0012823.

- [5] Davide Fissore and Enrico Tassi. "A new Type-Class solver for Coq in Elpi". In: *The Coq Workshop 2023*. Bialystok, Poland, July 2023. URL: https://inria.hal.science/hal-04467855.
- [6] Benjamin Grégoire, Jean-Christophe Léchenet, and Enrico Tassi. "Practical and sound equality tests, automatically Deriving eqType instances for Jasmin's data types with Coq-Elpi". In: CPP '23: 12th ACM SIGPLAN International Conference on Certified Programs and Proofs. CPP 2023: Proceedings of the 12th ACM SIGPLAN International Conference on Certified Programs and Proofs. Boston MA USA, France: ACM, Jan. 2023, pp. 167–181. DOI: 10.1145/3573105.3575683. URL: https://inria.hal.science/hal-03800154.
- [7] RALF JUNG et al. "Iris from the ground up: A modular foundation for higher-order concurrent separation logic". In: Journal of Functional Programming 28 (2018), e20. DOI: 10.1017/S0956796818000151.
- [8] Dale Miller. "Unification under a mixed prefix". In: Journal of Symbolic Computation 14.4 (1992), pp. 321–358. DOI: 10. 1016/0747-7171(92)90011-R.
- [9] Dale Miller and Gopalan Nadathur. Programming with Higher-Order Logic. Cambridge University Press, 2012. DOI: 10.1017/ CBO9781139021326.
- [10] Gopalan Nadathur. "The Metalanguage λprolog and Its Implementation". In: Functional and Logic Programming. Ed. by Herbert Kuchen and Kazunori Ueda. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2001, pp. 1–20. ISBN: 978-3-540-44716-0.
- [11] Gopalan Nadathur and Dale Miller. "An Overview of Lambda-Prolog". In: June 1988, pp. 810–827.
- [12] Tobias Nipkow, Lawrence C. Paulson, and Markus Wenzel. Isabelle/HOL A Proof Assistant for Higher-Order Logic. Vol. 2283. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer, 2002. ISBN: 3-540-43376-7.
- [13] Lawrence C. Paulson. "Set theory for verification. I: from foundations to functions". In: *J. Autom. Reason.* 11.3 (Dec. 1993), pp. 353–389. ISSN: 0168-7433. DOI: 10.1007/BF00881873. URL: https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00881873.
- [14] F. Pfenning. "Elf: a language for logic definition and verified metaprogramming". In: Proceedings of the Fourth Annual Symposium on Logic in Computer Science. Pacific Grove, California, USA: IEEE Press, 1989, pp. 313–322. ISBN: 0818619546.
- [15] F. Pfenning and C. Elliott. "Higher-order abstract syntax". In: Proceedings of the ACM SIGPLAN 1988 Conference on Programming Language Design and Implementation. PLDI '88. Atlanta, Georgia, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, 1988, pp. 199–208. ISBN: 0897912691. DOI: 10.1145/53990.54010. URL: https://doi.org/10.1145/53990.54010.
- [16] Frank Pfenning and Carsten Schürmann. "System Description: Twelf A Meta-Logical Framework for Deductive Systems". In: *Automated Deduction CADE-16*. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1999, pp. 202–206. ISBN: 978-3-540-48660-2.
- [17] Matthieu Sozeau and Nicolas Oury. "First-Class Type Classes". In: Theorem Proving in Higher Order Logics. Ed. by Otmane Ait Mohamed, César Muñoz, and Sofiène Tahar. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2008, pp. 278–293. ISBN: 978-3-540-71067-7.

- [18] Enrico Tassi. "Deriving proved equality tests in Coq-elpi: Stronger induction principles for containers in Coq". In: ITP 2019 - 10th International Conference on Interactive Theorem Proving. Portland, United States, Sept. 2019. DOI: 10.4230/ LIPIcs.CVIT.2016.23. URL: https://inria.hal.science/hal-01897468.
- [19] Enrico Tassi. "Elpi: an extension language for Coq (Metaprogramming Coq in the Elpi λProlog dialect)". In: The Fourth International Workshop on Coq for Programming Languages. Los Angeles (CA), United States, Jan. 2018. URL: https://inria.hal.science/hal-01637063.
- [20] The Coq Development Team. *The Coq Reference Manual Release 8.18.0.* https://coq.inria.fr/doc/V8.18.0/refman. 2023.
- [21] P. Wadler and S. Blott. "How to Make Ad-Hoc Polymorphism Less Ad Hoc". In: Proceedings of the 16th ACM SIGPLAN-SIGACT Symposium on Principles of Programming Languages. POPL '89. Austin, Texas, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, 1989, pp. 60–76. ISBN: 0897912942. DOI: 10.1145/ 75277.75283. URL: https://doi.org/10.1145/75277.75283.
- [22] Makarius Wenzel, Lawrence C. Paulson, and Tobias Nipkow. "The Isabelle Framework". In: *Theorem Proving in Higher Order Logics*. Ed. by Otmane Ait Mohamed, César Muñoz, and Sofiène Tahar. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2008, pp. 33–38. ISBN: 978-3-540-71067-7.

APPENDIX 1509 1510 This appendix contains the entire code described in this paper. The 1511 code can also be accessed at the URL: https://github.com/FissoreD/ 1512 1513 Note that (a infix b) c d de-sugars to (infix) a b c d. 1514 Explain builtin name (can be implemented by loading name after 1515 each pi) 1516 1517 10 THE MEMORY 1518 kind addr type. 1519 type addr nat -> addr. 1520 typeabbrev (mem A) (list (option A)). 1521 type set? addr -> mem A -> A -> o. 1522 set? (addr A) Mem Val :- get A Mem Val. 1523 1524 type unset? addr -> mem A -> o. 1525 unset? Addr Mem :- not (set? Addr Mem _). 1526 1527 type assign-aux nat -> mem A -> A -> mem A -> o. 1528 assign-aux z (none :: L) Y (some Y :: L). 1529 assign-aux (s N) (X :: L) Y (X :: L1) :- assign-aux N L Y L1. 1530 1531 type assign addr -> mem A -> A -> mem A -> o. 1532 assign (addr A) Mem1 Val Mem2 :- assign-aux A Mem1 Val Mem2. 1534 type get nat -> mem A -> A -> o. 1535 get z (some Y :: _) Y. 1536 get (s N) (_ :: L) X :- get N L X. 1537 1538 type alloc-aux nat -> mem A -> mem A -> o. 1539 alloc-aux z [] [none] :- !. 1540 alloc-aux z L L. 1541 alloc-aux (s N) [] [none | M] :- alloc-aux N [] M. 1542 alloc-aux (s N) [X | L] [X | M] :- alloc-aux N L M. 1543 1544 type alloc addr -> mem A -> mem A -> o. alloc (addr A as Ad) Mem1 Mem2 :- unset? Ad Mem1, alloc-aux A Mem1 Mem2. 1548 type new-aux mem A -> nat -> mem A -> o. 1549 new-aux [] z [none]. 1550 new-aux [A | As] (s N) [A | Bs] :- new-aux As N Bs. 1551 1552 type new mem A -> addr -> mem A -> o. 1553 new Mem1 (addr Ad) Mem2 :- new-aux Mem1 Ad Mem2. 1554

11 THE OBJECT LANGUAGE

1555

1556

1557

1558

1560

1561

1562

1563

1564

1565

1566

```
kind fm type.
type fapp list fm -> fm.
type flam (fm -> fm) -> fm.
type fcon string -> fm.
type fuva addr -> fm.
typeabbrev fsubst (mem fm).
```

```
type fder fsubst -> fm -> o.
                                                                     1567
fder _ (fcon C) (fcon C).
                                                                     1568
fder S (fapp A) (fapp B) :- map (fder S) A B.
                                                                     1569
fder S (flam F) (flam G) :-
  pi x \setminus fder S x x \Rightarrow fder S (F x) (G x).
                                                                     1571
fder S (fuva N) R :- set? N S T, fder S T R.
                                                                     1572
fder S (fuva N) (fuva N) :- unset? N S.
                                                                     1573
                                                                     1574
type fderef fsubst -> fm -> o.
                                                          (\rho s)
                                                                     1575
fderef S T T2:- fder S T T1, napp T1 T2.
                                                                     1576
                                                                     1577
                                                                     1578
type (=_o) fm -> fm -> o.
                                                                     1579
                                                          (=_{\alpha})
fcon X =_o fcon X.
                                                                     1580
fapp A =_o fapp B := forall2 (=_o) A B.
                                                                     1581
flam F =_o flam G := pi x \setminus x =_o x \Rightarrow F x =_o G x.
                                                                     1582
fuva N =_o fuva N.
                                                                     1583
flam F =_{\alpha} T :=
                                                                     1584
                                                          (\eta_l)
  pi x\ beta T [x] (T' x), x =_o x \Rightarrow F x =_o T' x.
                                                                     1585
T =_{\alpha} flam F :=
                                                                     1586
                                                          (\eta_r)
  pi x \land beta T [x] (T' x), x =_o x \Rightarrow T' x =_o F x.
                                                                     1587
fapp [flam X | L] = _{o} T :- beta (flam X) L R, R = _{o} T. (\beta_{l})
                                                                     1588
T =_o fapp [flam X | L] :- beta (flam X) L R, T =_o R. (\beta_r)
                                                                     1589
type extend-subst fm -> fsubst -> fsubst -> o.
extend-subst (fuva N) S S' :- mem.alloc N S S'.
extend-subst (flam F) S S' :-
                                                                     1593
  pi x \ (pi S\extend-subst x S S) => extend-subst (F x) S S'.
extend-subst (fcon _) S S.
                                                                     1595
extend-subst (fapp L) S S1 :- fold extend-subst L S S1.
                                                                     1596
type beta fm -> list fm -> fm -> o.
beta A [] A.
                                                                     1599
beta (flam Bo) [H | L] R :- napp (Bo H) F, beta F L R.
                                                                     1600
beta (fapp A) L (fapp X) :- append A L X.
                                                                     1601
beta (fuva N) L (fapp [fuva N | L]).
                                                                     1602
beta (fcon H) L (fapp [fcon H | L]).
beta N L (fapp [N | L]) :- name N.
                                                                     1605
type napp fm \rightarrow fm \rightarrow o.
                                                                     1606
napp (fcon C) (fcon C).
                                                                     1607
napp (fuva A) (fuva A).
                                                                     1608
1609
napp (fapp [fapp L1 |L2]) T :- !,
                                                                     1610
  append L1 L2 L3, napp (fapp L3) T.
                                                                     1611
napp (fapp L) (fapp L1) :- map napp L L1.
                                                                     1612
napp N N :- name N.
                                                                     1613
                                                                     1614
type beta-normal fm -> fm -> o.
                                                                     1615
beta-normal (uvar _ _) _ :- halt "Passed uvar to beta-normal".
beta-normal A A :- name A.
beta-normal (fcon A) (fcon A).
beta-normal (fuva A) (fuva A).
                                                                     1619
beta-normal (flam A) (flam B) :-
                                                                     1620
  pi x\ beta-normal (A x) (B x).
                                                                     1621
beta-normal (fapp [flam B | L]) T2 :- !,
                                                                     1622
  beta (flam B) L T1, beta-normal T1 T2.
                                                                     1623
```

```
1625
         beta-normal (fapp L) (fapp L1) :-
                                                                                   prune! M A N A S1 S2 :- !, bind (uva M A) A Ass,
                                                                                                                                                         1683
1626
           map beta-normal L L1.
                                                                                     assign N S1 Ass S2.
                                                                                                                                                         1684
1627
                                                                                   /* prune different arguments */
                                                                                                                                                         1685
         type mk-app fm -> list fm -> fm -> o.
                                                                                   prune! N A1 N A2 S1 S3 :- !,
         mk-app T L S :- beta T L S.
                                                                                     new S1 W S2, prune-same-variable W A1 A2 [] Ass,
1629
                                                                                     assign N S2 Ass S3.
                                                                                                                                                         1688
1630
         type eta-contract fm -> fm -> o.
                                                                                   /* prune to the intersection of scopes */
                                                                                                                                                         1689
1631
         eta-contract (fcon X) (fcon X).
                                                                                   prune! N A1 M A2 S1 S4 :- !,
                                                                                                                                                         1690
1632
1633
         eta-contract (fapp L) (fapp L1) :- map eta-contract L L1.
                                                                                     new S1 W S2, prune-diff-variables W A1 A2 Ass1 Ass2,
                                                                                                                                                         1691
         eta-contract (flam F) T :- eta-contract-aux [] (flam F) T.
                                                                                     assign N S2 Ass1 S3.
                                                                                                                                                         1692
                                                                                     assign M S3 Ass2 S4.
         eta-contract (flam F) (flam F1) :-
                                                                                                                                                         1693
           pi x = eta-contract x x = eta-contract (F x) (F1 x).
                                                                                   type prune-same-variable addr -> list tm -> list tm ->
         eta-contract (fuva X) (fuva X).
                                                                                                                                                         1695
1637
         eta-contract X X :- name X.
                                                                                                               list tm -> assignment -> o.
1638
                                                                                                                                                         1696
1639
                                                                                   prune-same-variable N [] [] ACC (val (uva N Args)) :-
                                                                                                                                                         1697
1640
         type eta-contract-aux list fm -> fm -> o.
                                                                                     rev ACC Args.
                                                                                                                                                         1698
         eta-contract-aux L (flam F) T :-
                                                                                   prune-same-variable N [X|XS] [X|YS] ACC (abs F) :-
1641
                                                                                                                                                         1699
1642
           pi x\ eta-contract-aux [x|L] (F x) T. % also checks H Prefix does muit x\emprune-same-variable N XS YS [x|ACC] (F x).
                                                                                                                                                         1700
1643
         eta-contract-aux L (fapp [HIArgs]) T :-
                                                                                   prune-same-variable N [_|XS] [_|YS] ACC (abs F) :-
                                                                                                                                                         1701
           rev L LRev, append Prefix LRev Args,
                                                                                                                                                         1702
1644
                                                                                     pi x\ prune-same-variable N XS YS ACC (F x).
1645
           if (Prefix = []) (T = H) (T = fapp [H|Prefix]).
                                                                                                                                                         1703
1646
                                                                                   type permute list nat -> list tm -> list tm -> o.
                                                                                                                                                         1704
1647
                                                                                   permute [] _ [].
                                                                                                                                                         1705
       12 THE META LANGUAGE
1648
                                                                                   permute [P|PS] Args [T|TS] :-
         kind inctx type -> type.
                                                                     (\cdot \vdash \cdot)
                                                                                     nth P Args T.
         type abs (tm -> inctx A) -> inctx A.
                                                                                     permute PS Args TS.
1650
1651
         type val A -> inctx A.
                                                                                                                                                         1709
                                                                                   type build-perm-assign addr -> list tm -> list bool ->
1652
         typeabbrev assignment (inctx tm).
                                                                                                                                                         1710
1653
         typeabbrev subst (mem assignment).
                                                                                                         list nat -> assignment -> o.
                                                                                                                                                         1711
                                                                                   build-perm-assign N ArgsR [] Perm (val (uva N PermutedArgs)) :-1712
1654
1655
         kind tm type.
                                                                                     rev ArgsR Args, permute Perm Args PermutedArgs.
         type app list tm -> tm.
                                                                                   build-perm-assign N Acc [tt|L] Perm (abs T) :-
                                                                                                                                                         1714
1656
         type lam (tm \rightarrow tm) \rightarrow tm.
                                                                                     pi x\ build-perm-assign N [x|Acc] L Perm (T x).
                                                                                                                                                         1715
1657
         type con string -> tm.
                                                                                   build-perm-assign N Acc [ff|L] Perm (abs T) :-
                                                                                                                                                         1716
1658
         type uva addr -> list tm -> tm.
1659
                                                                                     pi x\ build-perm-assign N Acc L Perm (T x).
                                                                                                                                                         1717
                                                                                                                                                         1718
         type (\simeq_{\lambda}) tm -> tm -> subst -> o.
                                                                                   type keep list A -> A -> bool -> o.
                                                                                                                                                         1719
          (con C \simeq_{\lambda} con C) S S.
                                                                                   keep L A tt :- mem L A, !.
          (app L1 \simeq_{\lambda} app L2) S S1 :- fold2 (\simeq_{\lambda}) L1 L2 S S1.
                                                                                   keep \_ \_ ff.
                                                                                                                                                         1721
1663
         (lam F1 \simeq_{\lambda} lam F2) S S1 :-
                                                                                                                                                         1722
1664
           pi x\ (pi S\ (x \simeq_{\lambda} x) S S) => (F1 x \simeq_{\lambda} F2 x) S S1.
                                                                                   type prune-diff-variables addr -> list tm -> list tm ->
1665
                                                                                                                                                         1723
1666
          (uva N Args \simeq_{\lambda} T) S S1 :-
                                                                                                               assignment -> assignment -> o.
                                                                                                                                                         1724
           set? N S F,!, move F Args T1, (T1 \simeq_{\lambda} T) S S1.
                                                                                   prune-diff-variables N Args1 Args2 Ass1 Ass2 :-
1667
                                                                                                                                                         1725
         (T \simeq_{\lambda} uva N Args) S S1 :-
1668
                                                                                     map (keep Args2) Args1 Bits1,
                                                                                                                                                         1726
           set? N S F,!, move F Args T1, (T \simeq_{\lambda} T1) S S1.
                                                                                     map (keep Args1) Args2 Bits2,
                                                                                                                                                         1727
1669
          (uva M A1 \simeq_{\lambda} uva N A2) S1 S2 :- !,
1670
                                                                                     filter Args1 (mem Args2) ToKeep1,
                                                                                                                                                         1728
1671
           pattern-fragment A1, pattern-fragment A2,
                                                                                     filter Args2 (mem Args1) ToKeep2,
                                                                                                                                                         1729
1672
           prune! M A1 N A2 S1 S2.
                                                                                     map (index ToKeep1) ToKeep1 IdPerm,
                                                                                                                                                         1730
1673
          (uva N Args \simeq_{\lambda} T) S S1 :- not_occ N S T, pattern-fragment Args,
                                                                                     map (index ToKeep1) ToKeep2 Perm21,
                                                                                                                                                         1731
1674
           bind T Args T1, assign N S T1 S1.
                                                                                     build-perm-assign N [] Bits1 IdPerm Ass1,
                                                                                                                                                         1732
          (T \simeq_{\lambda} \text{ uva N Args}) \text{ S S1 :- not\_occ N S T, pattern-fragment Args,}
                                                                                     build-perm-assign N [] Bits2 Perm21 Ass2.
                                                                                                                                                         1733
           bind T Args T1, assign N S T1 S1.
                                                                                                                                                         1734
                                                                                   type beta tm -> list tm -> tm -> o.
                                                                                                                                                         1735
1677
         type prune! addr -> list tm -> addr ->
1678
                                                                                   beta A [] A :- !.
                                                                                                                                                         1736
1679
                      list tm -> subst -> o.
                                                                                   beta (lam Bo) [H | L] R :- beta (Bo H) L R1, beta-aux R1 R.
                                                                                                                                                         1737
         /* no pruning needed */
                                                                                   beta (app A) L (app X) :- append A L X.
                                                                                                                                                         1738
1680
         prune! N A N A S S :- !.
1681
                                                                                   beta (con H) L (app [con H | L]).
                                                                                                                                                         1739
1682
                                                                                                                                                         1740
                                                                            15
```

```
1741
         beta X L (app[X|L]) :- name X.
                                                                                                                                                   1799
                                                                                type deref-assmt subst -> assignment -> o.
1742
                                                                                                                                                   1800
1743
         type beta-aux tm -> tm -> o.
                                                                               deref-assmt S (abs T) (abs R) :- pi x\ deref-assmt S (T x) (R x)801
         beta-aux (app [HD|TL]) R :- !, beta HD TL R.
                                                                               deref-assmt S (val T) (val R) :- deref S T R.
1744
1745
         beta-aux A A.
                                                                                                                                                   1804
1746
                                                                             13 THE COMPILER
         /* occur check for N before crossing a functor */
1747
                                                                                                                                                   1805
         type not_occ addr -> subst -> tm -> o.
                                                                               kind arity type.
1748
                                                                                                                                                   1806
1749
         not_occ N S (uva M Args) :- set? M S F,
                                                                                type arity nat -> arity.
                                                                                                                                                   1807
                                                                                kind fvariable type.
           move F Args T, not_occ N S T.
         not_occ N S (uva M Args) :- unset? M S, not (M = N),
                                                                                type fv addr -> fvariable.
           forall1 (not_occ_aux N S) Args.
                                                                                kind hvariable type.
                                                                                type hv addr -> arity -> hvariable.
         not_occ _ _ (con _).
1753
                                                                                                                                                   1811
         not_occ N S (app L) :- not_occ_aux N S (app L).
                                                                                kind mapping type.
                                                                                                                                                   1812
1754
1755
         /* Note: lam is a functor for the meta language! */
                                                                                type (<->) fvariable -> hvariable -> mapping.
                                                                                                                                                   1813
1756
         not_occ N S (lam L) :- pi x\ not_occ_aux N S (L x).
                                                                                typeabbrev mmap (list mapping).
                                                                                                                                                   1814
         not_occ _ _ X :- name X.
1757
                                                                                                                                                   1815
         /* finding N is ok */
                                                                                typeabbrev scope (list tm).
                                                                                                                                                   1816
1759
         not_occ N _ (uva N _).
                                                                                typeabbrev inctx ho.inctx.
                                                                                                                                                   1817
                                                                                kind baselink type.
1760
                                                                                                                                                   1818
                                                                                type link-eta tm -> tm -> baselink.
         /* occur check for X after crossing a functor */
                                                                                                                                                   1819
1761
1762
         type not_occ_aux addr -> subst -> tm -> o.
                                                                                type link-llam tm -> tm -> baselink.
                                                                                                                                                   1820
         not_occ_aux N S (uva M _) :- unset? M S, not (N = M).
                                                                                typeabbrev link (inctx baselink).
                                                                                                                                                   1821
         not_occ_aux N S (uva M Args) :- set? M S F,
                                                                                typeabbrev links (list link).
                                                                                                                                                   1822
           move F Args T, not_occ_aux N S T.
         not_occ_aux N S (app L) :- forall1 (not_occ_aux N S) L.
                                                                               macro @val-link-eta T1 T2 :- ho.val (link-eta T1 T2).
1766
                                                                               macro @val-link-llam T1 T2 :- ho.val (link-llam T1 T2).
         not_occ_aux N S (lam F) :- pi x\ not_occ_aux N S (F x).
1767
                                                                                                                                                   1825
1768
         not_occ_aux _ _ (con _).
                                                                                                                                                   1826
1769
         not_occ_aux _ _ X :- name X.
                                                                                                                                                   1827
         /* finding N is ko, hence no rule */
                                                                                type get-lhs link -> tm -> o.
1770
                                                                                                                                                   1828
                                                                                get-lhs (val (link-llam A _)) A.
1772
         /* copy T T' vails if T contains a free variable, i.e. it
                                                                                get-lhs (val (link-eta A _)) A.
                                                                                                                                                   1830
1773
            performs scope checking for bind */
                                                                                                                                                   1831
1774
         type copy tm -> tm -> o.
                                                                                type get-rhs link -> tm -> o.
                                                                                                                                                   1832
1775
         copy (con C) (con C).
                                                                                get-rhs (val (link-llam _ A)) A.
                                                                                                                                                   1833
1776
         copy (app L)
                        (app L') :- map copy L L'.
                                                                                get-rhs (val (link-eta _ A)) A.
                                                                                                                                                   1834
                        (lam T') := pi x copy x x => copy (T x) (T' x).
         copy (lam T)
                                                                                                                                                   1835
         copy (uva A L) (uva A L') :- map copy L L'.
                                                                                type occurs-rigidly fm -> fm -> o.
         type bind tm -> list tm -> assignment -> o.
                                                                                occurs-rigidly N N.
                                                                                                                                                   1838
1780
         bind T [] (val T') :- copy T T'.
1781
                                                                                occurs-rigidly _ (fapp [fuva _|_]) :- !, fail.
                                                                                                                                                   1839
1782
         bind T [X | TL] (abs T') :- pi x \land copy X x \Rightarrow bind T TL (T' x).
                                                                                occurs-rigidly N (fapp L) :- exists (occurs-rigidly N) L.
                                                                                                                                                   1840
                                                                                occurs-rigidly N (flam B) :- pi x\ occurs-rigidly N (B x).
1783
                                                                                                                                                   1841
         type deref subst -> tm -> tm -> o.
1784
                                                                 (\sigma t)
                                                                                                                                                   1842
1785
         deref _ (con C) (con C).
                                                                                type reducible-to list fm -> fm -> o.
                                                                                                                                                   1843
         deref S (app A) (app B) :- map (deref S) A B.
1786
                                                                                reducible-to _ N N :- !.
                                                                                                                                                   1844
1787
         deref S (lam F) (lam G) :-
                                                                                reducible-to L N (fapp[fuva _|Args]) :- !,
                                                                                                                                                   1845
1788
           pi x \cdot deref S x x \Rightarrow deref S (F x) (G x).
                                                                                  forall1 (x\ exists (reducible-to [] x) Args) [N|L].
                                                                                                                                                   1846
1789
         deref S (uva N L) R :- set? N S A,
                                                                                reducible-to L N (flam B) :- !,
                                                                                                                                                   1847
           move A L T, deref S T R.
                                                                                                                                                   1848
                                                                                  pi x\ reducible-to [x | L] N (B x).
         deref S (uva N A) (uva N B) :- unset? N S,
                                                                                reducible-to L N (fapp [N|Args]) :-
                                                                                                                                                   1849
1792
           map (deref S) A B.
                                                                                  last-n {len L} Args R,
                                                                                                                                                  1851
                                                                                  forall2 (reducible-to []) R {rev L}.
1793
         type move assignment \rightarrow list tm \rightarrow tm \rightarrow o.
                                                                                                                                                   1852
1794
1795
         move (abs Bo) [H|L] R :- move (Bo H) L R.
                                                                                type maybe-eta fm -> list fm -> o.
                                                                                                                                       (\Diamond \eta)
                                                                                                                                                   1853
         move (val A) [] A.
                                                                                maybe-eta (fapp[fuva _|Args]) L :- !,
                                                                                                                                                   1854
1796
                                                                                                                                                   1855
1797
                                                                                  forall1 (x\ exists (reducible-to [] x) Args) L, !.
                                                                         16
```

```
1857
         maybe-eta (flam B) L := !, pi \times maybe-eta (B \times) [x \mid L].
                                                                                   m-alloc (fv A) (hv B (arity z)) M1 M2 S1 S2.
                                                                                                                                                     1915
1858
         maybe-eta (fapp [T|Args]) L :- (name T; T = fcon _),
                                                                                 comp (fapp [fuva A[Ag]) (uva B Ag1) M1 M2 L L S1 S2 :-
                                                                                                                                                     1916
1859
           split-last-n {len L} Args First Last,
                                                                                   pattern-fragment Ag, !,
                                                                                                                                                     1917
           none (x\ exists (y\ occurs-rigidly x y) First) L,
                                                                                     fold6 comp Ag Ag1 M1 M1 L L S1 S1,
1861
           forall2 (reducible-to []) {rev L} Last.
                                                                                     len Ag Arity.
                                                                                     m-alloc (fv A) (hv B (arity Arity)) M1 M2 S1 S2.
1862
                                                                                                                                                     1920
                                                                                 comp (fapp [fuva A|Ag]) (uva B Scope) M1 M3 L1 L3 S1 S4 :- !,
1863
                                                                                                                                                     1921
         type locally-bound tm -> o.
                                                                                   pattern-fragment-prefix Ag Pf Extra,
1864
                                                                                                                                                     1922
1865
         type get-scope-aux tm -> list tm -> o.
                                                                                   len Pf Arity,
                                                                                                                                                     1923
         get-scope-aux (con _) [].
                                                                                   alloc S1 B S2,
                                                                                                                                                     1924
                                                                                   m-alloc (fv A) (hv C (arity Arity)) M1 M2 S2 S3,
         get-scope-aux (uva _ L) L1 :-
                                                                                                                                                     1925
           forall2 get-scope-aux L R,
                                                                                   fold6 comp Pf
                                                                                                   Pf1
                                                                                                            M2 M2 L1 L1 S3 S3.
                                                                                                                                                     1926
           flatten R L1.
                                                                                   fold6 comp Extra Extra1 M2 M3 L1 L2 S3 S4,
                                                                                                                                                     1927
1869
                                                                                   Beta = app [uva C Pf1 | Extra1],
         get-scope-aux (lam B) L1 :-
1870
                                                                                                                                                     1928
1871
           pi x \setminus locally-bound x \Rightarrow get-scope-aux (B x) L1.
                                                                                   get-scope Beta Scope,
                                                                                                                                                     1929
1872
         get-scope-aux (app L) L1 :-
                                                                                   L3 = [val (link-llam (uva B Scope) Beta) | L2].
                                                                                                                                                     1930
                                                                                 comp (fapp A) (app A1) M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2 :-
1873
           forall2 get-scope-aux L R,
                                                                                                                                            (c_{@})
                                                                                                                                                     1931
1874
           flatten R L1.
                                                                                   fold6 comp A A1 M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2.
                                                                                                                                                     1932
         get-scope-aux X [X] :- name X, not (locally-bound X).
                                                                                                                                                     1933
1875
         get-scope-aux X [] :- name X, (locally-bound X).
                                                                                 type alloc mem A -> addr -> mem A -> o.
                                                                                                                                                     1934
1876
                                                                                 alloc S N S1 :- mem.new S N S1.
                                                                                                                                                     1935
1877
1878
         type names1 list tm -> o.
                                                                                                                                                     1936
1879
         names1 L :-
                                                                                 type compile-terms-diagnostic
                                                                                                                                                     1937
                                                                                   triple diagnostic fm fm ->
           names L1.
                                                                                                                                                     1938
           new_int N.
                                                                                   triple diagnostic tm tm ->
           if (1 is N mod 2) (L1 = L) (rev L1 L).
                                                                                   mmap -> mmap ->
                                                                                   links -> links ->
                                                                                                                                                     1941
1883
1884
         type get-scope tm -> list tm -> o.
                                                                                   subst -> subst -> o.
                                                                                                                                                     1942
1885
         get-scope T Scope :-
                                                                                 compile-terms-diagnostic (triple D FO1 FO2) (triple D HO1 HO2) MM3 M3 L1
           get-scope-aux T ScopeDuplicata,
                                                                                   beta-normal FO1 FO1',
1886
                                                                                                                                                     1944
1887
           undup ScopeDuplicata Scope.
                                                                                   beta-normal FO2 FO2'.
         type rigid fm -> o.
                                                                                   comp F01' H01 M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2,
                                                                                                                                                     1946
1888
         rigid X :- not (X = fuva _).
                                                                                   comp F02' H02 M2 M3 L2 L3 S2 S3.
                                                                                                                                                     1947
1889
                                                                                                                                                     1948
1890
1891
         type comp-lam (fm \rightarrow fm) \rightarrow (tm \rightarrow tm) \rightarrow
                                                                                 type compile-terms
                                                                                                                                                     1949
1892
           mmap -> mmap -> links -> links -> subst -> o.
                                                                                   list (triple diagnostic fm fm) ->
                                                                                                                                                     1950
         comp-lam F G M1 M2 L1 L3 S1 S2 :-
                                                                                   list (triple diagnostic tm tm) ->
                                                                                                                                                     1951
           pi x y\ (pi M L S\ comp x y M M L L S S) =>
                                                                   (H_{\lambda})
                                                                                   mmap -> links -> subst -> o.
                                                                                                                                                     1952
             comp (F x) (G y) M1 M2 L1 (L2 y) S1 S2,
                                                                                 compile-terms T H M L S :-
           close-links L2 L3.
                                                                                   fold6 compile-terms-diagnostic T H [] M_ [] L_ [] S_,
                                                                                                                                                     1954
1896
                                                                                   print-compil-result T H L_ M_,
1897
                                                                                                                                                     1955
1898
         type close-links (tm -> links) -> links -> o.
                                                                                   deduplicate-map M_ M S_ S L_ L.
                                                                                                                                                     1956
         close-links (v\setminus[X \mid L v]) [X\mid R] :- !, close-links L R.
                                                                                                                                                     1957
1899
         close-links (v\setminus[X\ v\mid L\ v]) [abs X|R] :- close-links L R.
                                                                                 type make-eta-link-aux nat -> addr -> addr ->
1900
                                                                                                                                                     1958
1901
                                                                                   list tm -> links -> subst -> o.
                                                                                                                                                     1959
         close-links (\\[1]) \[1].
         type comp fm -> tm -> mmap -> mmap -> links -> links ->
                                                                                 make-eta-link-aux z Ad1 Ad2 Scope1 L H1 H1 :-
                                                                                                                                                     1960
1902
           subst -> subst -> o.
                                                                                   rev Scope1 Scope, eta-expand (uva Ad2 Scope) T1,
                                                                                                                                                     1961
1903
1904
         comp (fcon C) (con C) M M L L S S.
                                                                                   L = [val (link-eta (uva Ad1 Scope) T1)].
                                                                                                                                                     1962
1905
         comp (flam F) (uva A Scope) M1 M2 L1 L3 S1 S3 :-
                                                                                 make-eta-link-aux (s N) Ad1 Ad2 Scope1 L H1 H3 :-
                                                                                                                                                     1963
           maybe-eta (flam F) [], !,
                                                                                   rev Scope1 Scope, alloc H1 Ad H2,
             alloc S1 A S2,
                                                                                   eta-expand (uva Ad Scope) T2,
             comp-lam F F1 M1 M2 L1 L2 S2 S3,
                                                                                   (pi x\ make-eta-link-aux N Ad Ad2 [x|Scope1] (L1 x) H2 H3),
1908
             get-scope (lam F1) Scope,
                                                                                   close-links L1 L2,
                                                                                                                                                     1967
1909
1910
             L3 = [val (link-eta (uva A Scope) (lam F1)) | L2].
                                                                                   L = [val (link-eta (uva Ad1 Scope) T2) | L2].
                                                                                                                                                     1968
1911
         comp (flam F) (lam F1) M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2 :-
                                                                                                                                                     1969
                                                                     (c_{\lambda})
1912
           comp-lam F F1 M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2.
                                                                                 type make-eta-link nat -> nat -> addr -> addr ->
                                                                                                                                                     1970
                                                                                         list tm -> links -> subst -> o.
                                                                                                                                                     1971
1913
         comp (fuva A) (uva B []) M1 M2 L L S1 S2 :-
1914
                                                                                                                                                     1972
                                                                          17
```

```
1973
         make-eta-link (s N) z Ad1 Ad2 Vars L H H1 :-
                                                                              arity (ho.app L) A :- len L A.
                                                                                                                                                2031
           make-eta-link-aux N Ad2 Ad1 Vars L H H1.
1974
                                                                                                                                                2032
1975
         make-eta-link z (s N) Ad1 Ad2 Vars L H H1 :-
                                                                              type occur-check-err ho.tm -> ho.tm -> ho.subst -> o.
                                                                                                                                                2033
           make-eta-link-aux N Ad1 Ad2 Vars L H H1.
                                                                              occur-check-err (ho.con _) _ _ :- !.
                                                                              occur-check-err (ho.app _) _ _ :- !.
1977
         make-eta-link (s N) (s M) Ad1 Ad2 Vars Links H H1 :-
           (pi x\ make-eta-link N M Ad1 Ad2 [x|Vars] (L x) H H1),
                                                                              occur-check-err (ho.lam _) _ _ :- !.
                                                                                                                                                2036
1978
                                                                              occur-check-err (ho.uva Ad _) T S :-
                                                                                                                                                2037
1979
           close-links L Links.
                                                                                not (ho.not_occ Ad S T).
                                                                                                                                                2038
1980
1981
         type deduplicate-map mmap -> mmap ->
                                                                                                                                                2039
             subst -> subst -> links -> links -> o.
                                                                              type progress-beta-link-aux ho.tm -> ho.tm ->
                                                                                                                                                2040
         deduplicate-map [] [] H H L L.
                                                                                      ho.subst -> ho.subst -> links -> o.
         deduplicate-map [((fv 0 <-> hv M (arity LenM)) as X1) | Map1] Map2phfbghfæsls-blefta:-link-aux T1 T2 S1 S2 [] :- is-in-pf T2,!,
1984
           take-list Map1 ((fv 0 <-> hv M' (arity LenM'))) _, !,
                                                                                (T1 == 1 T2) S1 S2.
1985
                                                                                                                                                2043
           std.assert! (not (LenM = LenM')) "Deduplicate map, there is a bugprogress-beta-link-aux T1 T2 S S [@val-link-llam T1 T2] :- !.
1986
                                                                                                                                               2044
           print "arity-fix links:" {ppmapping X1} "~!~" {ppmapping ((fv 0 <-> hv M' (arity LenM')))},
1987
1988
           make-eta-link LenM LenM' M M' [] New H1 H2,
                                                                              type progress-beta-link ho.tm -> ho.tm -> ho.subst ->
                                                                                                                                                2046
           print "new eta link" {pplinks New},
                                                                                    ho.subst -> links -> o.
1989
                                                                                                                                                2047
1990
           append New L1 L2,
                                                                              progress-beta-link T (ho.app[ho.uva V Scope | L] as T2) S S2 [@wal-link-
           deduplicate-map Map1 Map2 H2 H3 L2 L3.
                                                                                arity T Arity, len L ArgsNb, ArgsNb >n Arity, !,
1991
         deduplicate-map [A|As] [A|Bs] H1 H2 L1 L2 :-
                                                                                minus ArgsNb Arity Diff, mem.new S V1 S1,
                                                                                                                                                2050
1992
           deduplicate-map As Bs H1 H2 L1 L2, !.
                                                                                eta-expand (ho.uva V1 Scope) Diff T1,
                                                                                                                                                2051
1993
1994
         deduplicate-map [A|_] _ H _ _ :-
                                                                                ((ho.uva V Scope) == 1 T1) S1 S2.
                                                                                                                                                2052
1995
           halt "deduplicating mapping error" {ppmapping A} {ho.ppsubst H}.
                                                                              progress-beta-link (ho.uva _ _ as T) (ho.app[ho.uva Ad1 Scope1 40541] as
                                                                                append Scope1 L1 Scope1L,
       14 THE PROGRESS FUNCTION
1998
                                                                                pattern-fragment-prefix Scope1L Scope2 L2,
         macro @one :- s z.
                                                                                                                                                2057
1999
                                                                                not (Scope1 = Scope2). !.
2000
                                                                                mem.new S1 Ad2 S2,
                                                                                                                                                2058
2001
         type contract-rigid list ho.tm -> ho.tm -> ho.tm -> o.
                                                                                len Scope1 Scope1Len,
                                                                                                                                                2059
2002
         contract-rigid L (ho.lam F) T :-
                                                                                len Scope2 Scope2Len,
           pi x\ contract-rigid [x|L] (F x) T. % also checks H Prefix does not makee eta-link Scope1Len Scope2Len Ad1 Ad2 [] LinkEta S2 S3, 2061
2003
                                                                               if (L2 = []) (NewLinks = LinkEta, T2 = ho.uva Ad2 Scope2)
2004
         contract-rigid L (ho.app [H|Args]) T :-
                                                                                  (T2 = ho.app [ho.uva Ad2 Scope2 | L2],
                                                                                                                                                2063
2005
           rev L LRev, append Prefix LRev Args,
                                                                                  NewLinks = [@val-link-llam T T2 | LinkEta]).
           if (Prefix = []) (T = H) (T = ho.app [H|Prefix]).
                                                                                                                                                2064
2006
2007
         type progress-eta-link ho.tm -> ho.tm -> ho.subst -> limmogress-beta-link T1 (ho.app[ho.uva _ _ | _] as T2) _ _ _ :-
         progress-eta-link (ho.app _{\rm as} T) (ho.lam x\ _{\rm as} T1) H H1 [] :- !, not (T1 = ho.uva _{\rm as} ), !, fail.
           (\{eta-expand T @one\} == 1 T1) H H1.
2010
         progress-eta-link (ho.con _ as T) (ho.lam x\ _ as T1) H H1 [] :- !progress-beta-link (ho.uva _ _ as T) (ho.app[ho.uva _ _ | _] as2%2) S1 .
2011
                                                                                occur-check-err T T2 S1, !, fail.
           ({eta-expand T @one} == 1 T1) H H1.
2012
2013
         progress-eta-link (ho.lam _ as T) T1 H H1 [] :- !,
                                                                                                                                                2071
2014
           (T == 1 T1) H H1.
                                                                              progress-beta-link T1 (ho.app[ho.uva _ _ | _] as T2) H H [@val-limak-llar
         progress-eta-link (ho.uva _ _ as X) T H H1 [] :-
2015
                                                                                                                                                2073
2016
           contract-rigid [] T T1, !, (X ==1 T1) H H1.
                                                                              progress-beta-link T1 (ho.app [Hd | T1]) S1 S2 B :-
                                                                                                                                                2074
2017
         progress-eta-link (ho.uva Ad _ as T1) T2 H H [@val-link-eta T1 T2] :holpeta Hd T1 T3,
                                                                                                                                                2075
           if (ho.not_occ Ad H T2) true fail.
                                                                                progress-beta-link-aux T1 T3 S1 S2 B.
                                                                                                                                                2076
2018
                                                                                                                                                2077
2019
2020
         type is-in-pf ho.tm -> o.
                                                                              type solve-link-abs link -> links -> ho.subst -> ho.subst -> o.2078
2021
         is-in-pf (ho.app [ho.uva _ _ | _]) :- !, fail.
                                                                              solve-link-abs (ho.abs X) R H H1 :-
                                                                                pi x\ ho.copy x x \Rightarrow (pi S\ ho.deref S x x) \Rightarrow
         is-in-pf (ho.lam B) :- !, pi x\ is-in-pf (B x).
         is-in-pf (ho.con _).
                                                                                  solve-link-abs (X x) (R' x) H H1,
         is-in-pf (ho.app L) :- forall1 is-in-pf L.
                                                                                close-links R' R.
2024
         is-in-pf N :- name N.
                                                                                                                                                2083
2025
                                                                              solve-link-abs (@val-link-eta A B) NewLinks S S1 :- !,
2026
         is-in-pf (ho.uva _ L) :- pattern-fragment L.
                                                                                                                                                2084
2027
                                                                                progress-eta-link A B S S1 NewLinks.
                                                                                                                                                2085
         type arity ho.tm -> nat -> o.
                                                                                                                                                2086
2028
                                                                              solve-link-abs (@val-link-llam A B) NewLinks S S1 :- !,
         arity (ho.con _) z.
                                                                                                                                                2087
2030
                                                                        18
```

```
2089
           progress-beta-link A B S S1 NewLinks.
                                                                                 mem.set? VM H T, !,
                                                                                                                                                  2147
2090
                                                                                 ho.deref-assmt H T TTT,
                                                                                                                                                  2148
2091
         type take-link link -> links -> link -> links -> o.
                                                                                 abs->lam TTT T', tm->fm Map T' T1,
                                                                                                                                                  2149
         take-link A [B|XS] B XS :- link-abs-same-lhs A B, !.
                                                                                 fo.eta-contract T1 T2, mem.assign V0 F T2 F1,
                                                                                                                                                  2150
2093
         take-link A [L|XS] B [L|YS] :- take-link A XS B YS.
                                                                                 decompl-subst Map Tl H F1 F2.
                                                                                                                                                  2151
                                                                               decompl-subst Map [mapping _ (hv VM _)|T1] H F F2 :-
                                                                                                                                                  2152
2094
         type link-abs-same-lhs link -> link -> o.
                                                                                 mem.unset? VM H, decompl-subst Map T1 H F F2.
                                                                                                                                                  2153
2095
         link-abs-same-lhs (ho.abs F) B :-
                                                                                                                                                  2154
2096
2097
           pi x\ link-abs-same-lhs (F x) B.
                                                                               type tm\rightarrow fm map \rightarrow ho.tm \rightarrow fo.fm \rightarrow o.
                                                                                                                                                  2155
         link-abs-same-lhs A (ho.abs G) :-
                                                                               tm->fm _ (ho.con C) (fo.fcon C).
                                                                                                                                                  2156
                                                                               tm->fm L (ho.lam B1) (fo.flam B2) :-
           pi x\ link-abs-same-lhs A (G x).
                                                                                                                                                  2157
         link-abs-same-lhs (@val-link-eta (ho.uva N_) _) (@val-link-eta (ho.uvia xN y\) tm\>fm _ x y => tm->fm L (B1 x) (B2 y).
                                                                                                                                                  2158
                                                                               tm->fm L (ho.app L1) T :- map (tm->fm L) L1 [Hd|Tl],
                                                                                                                                                  2159
2101
         type same-link-eta link -> link -> ho.subst -> ho.subst -> o.
                                                                                 fo.mk-app Hd Tl T.
2102
                                                                                                                                                  2160
2103
         same-link-eta (ho.abs F) B H H1 :- !, pi x\ same-link-eta (F x) B HtmH>fm L (ho.uva VM TL) T :- mem L (mapping (fv VO) (hv VM _)),2161
2104
         same-link-eta A (ho.abs G) H H1 :- !, pi x\ same-link-eta A (G x) H Hnap (tm->fm L) TL T1, fo.mk-app (fo.fuva VO) T1 T.
                                                                                                                                                  2162
         same-link-eta (@val-link-eta (ho.uva N S1) A)
2105
2106
                        (@val-link-eta (ho.uva N S2) B) H H1 :-
                                                                               type add-new-map-aux ho.subst -> list ho.tm -> map ->
                                                                                                                                                  2164
           std.map2 S1 S2 (x\y\r\ r = ho.copy x y) Perm,
                                                                                     map -> fo.fsubst -> fo.fsubst -> o.
2107
                                                                                                                                                  2165
           Perm => ho.copy A A',
                                                                               add-new-map-aux _ [] _ [] S S.
2108
                                                                                                                                                  2166
           (A' == 1 B) H H1.
                                                                               add-new-map-aux H [T|Ts] L L2 S S2 :-
2109
                                                                                                                                                  2167
2110
                                                                                 add-new-map H T L L1 S S1,
                                                                                                                                                  2168
2111
         type progress1 links -> links -> ho.subst -> ho.subst -> o.
                                                                                 add-new-map-aux H Ts L1 L2 S1 S2.
                                                                                                                                                  2169
         progress1 [] [] X X.
                                                                                                                                                  2170
2113
         progress1 [A|L1] [A|L3] S S2 :- take-link A L1 B L2, !,
                                                                               type add-new-map ho.subst -> ho.tm -> map ->
                                                                                                                                                  2171
                                                                                   map -> fo.fsubst -> fo.fsubst -> o.
                                                                                                                                                  2172
2114
           same-link-eta A B S S1.
           progress1 L2 L3 S1 S2.
                                                                               add-new-map _ (ho.uva N _) Map [] F1 F1 :-
                                                                                                                                                  2173
2115
2116
         progress1 [L0|L1] L3 S S2 :- deref-link S L0 L,
                                                                                 mem Map (mapping _ (hv N _)), !.
                                                                                                                                                  2174
2117
           solve-link-abs L R S S1, !,
                                                                               add-new-map H (ho.uva N L) Map [Map1 | MapL] F1 F3 :-
                                                                                                                                                  2175
           progress1 L1 L2 S1 S2, append R L2 L3.
2118
                                                                                 mem.new F1 M F2,
                                                                                                                                                  2176
2119
                                                                                 len L Arity, Map1 = mapping (fv M) (hv N (arity Arity)),
2120
                                                                                 add-new-map H (ho.app L) [Map1 | Map] MapL F2 F3.
                                                                                                                                                  2178
       15 THE DECOMPILER
2121
                                                                               add-new-map H (ho.lam B) Map NewMap F1 F2 :-
                                                                                                                                                  2179
2122
         type abs->lam ho.assignment -> ho.tm -> o.
                                                                                 pi x\ add-new-map H (B x) Map NewMap F1 F2.
                                                                                                                                                  2180
2123
         abs->lam (ho.abs T) (ho.lam R) :- !, pi x\ abs->lam (T x) (R x). add-new-map H (ho.app L) Map NewMap F1 F3 :-
                                                                                                                                                  2181
2124
         abs->lam (ho.val A) A.
                                                                                 add-new-map-aux H L Map NewMap F1 F3.
                                                                                                                                                  2182
2125
                                                                               add-new-map _ (ho.con _) _ [] F F :- !.
                                                                                                                                                  2183
         type commit-links-aux link -> ho.subst -> ho.subst -> o.
                                                                               add-new-map _ N _ [] F F :- name N.
                                                                                                                                                  2184
         commit-links-aux (@val-link-eta T1 T2) H1 H2 :-
                                                                                                                                                  2185
2127
           ho.deref H1 T1 T1', ho.deref H1 T2 T2',
                                                                               type complete-mapping-under-ass ho.subst -> ho.assignment ->
2128
                                                                                                                                                  2186
           (T1' == 1 T2') H1 H2.
2129
                                                                                 map -> map -> fo.fsubst -> fo.fsubst -> o.
                                                                                                                                                  2187
2130
         commit-links-aux (@val-link-llam T1 T2) H1 H2 :-
                                                                               complete-mapping-under-ass H (ho.val Val) Map1 Map2 F1 F2 :-
                                                                                                                                                  2188
           ho.deref H1 T1 T1', ho.deref H1 T2 T2',
                                                                                 add-new-map H Val Map1 Map2 F1 F2.
2131
                                                                                                                                                  2189
           (T1' == 1 T2') H1 H2.
2132
                                                                               complete-mapping-under-ass H (ho.abs Abs) Map1 Map2 F1 F2 :-
2133
         commit-links-aux (ho.abs B) H H1 :-
                                                                                 pi x\ complete-mapping-under-ass H (Abs x) Map1 Map2 F1 F2.
           pi x\ commit-links-aux (B x) H H1.
                                                                                                                                                  2192
2134
2135
                                                                               type complete-mapping ho.subst -> ho.subst ->
                                                                                                                                                  2193
         type commit-links links -> links -> ho.subst -> ho.subst -> o.
                                                                                 map -> map -> fo.fsubst -> fo.fsubst -> o.
2136
                                                                                                                                                  2194
2137
         commit-links [] [] H H.
                                                                               complete-mapping _ [] L L F F.
                                                                                                                                                  2195
         commit-links [Abs | Links] L H H2 :-
                                                                               complete-mapping H [none | Tl] L1 L2 F1 F2 :-
                                                                                                                                                  2196
           commit-links-aux Abs H H1, !, commit-links Links L H1 H2.
                                                                                 complete-mapping H Tl L1 L2 F1 F2.
                                                                                                                                                  2197
                                                                               complete-mapping H [some T0 | T1] L1 L3 F1 F3 :-
         type decompl-subst map -> map -> ho.subst ->
                                                                                 ho.deref-assmt H T0 T,
                                                                                                                                                  2199
2141
           fo.fsubst -> fo.fsubst -> o.
2142
                                                                                 complete-mapping-under-ass H T L1 L2 F1 F2,
                                                                                                                                                  2200
2143
         decompl-subst _{-} [A|_] _{-} _{-} :- fail.
                                                                                 append L1 L2 LAll,
                                                                                                                                                  2201
         decompl-subst _ [] _ F F.
                                                                                 complete-mapping H Tl LAll L3 F2 F3.
2144
                                                                                                                                                  2202
         decompl-subst Map [mapping (fv VO) (hv VM _)|T1] H F F2 :-
2145
                                                                                                                                                  2203
2146
                                                                                                                                                  2204
                                                                         19
```

```
Conference'17, July 2017, Washington, DC, USA
2205
          type decompile map -> links -> ho.subst ->
             fo.fsubst -> o.
2206
2207
          decompile Map1 L HO FO FO2 :-
             commit-links L L1_ HO HO1, !,
2209
             complete-mapping HO1 HO1 Map1 Map2 FO FO1,
2210
             decompl-subst Map2 Map2 HO1 FO1 FO2.
2211
2212
        16 AUXILIARY FUNCTIONS
2213
          type fold4 (A \rightarrow A1 \rightarrow B \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow C \rightarrow o) \rightarrow list A \rightarrow
2214
             list A1 \rightarrow B \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow C \rightarrow o.
2215
           fold4 \_ [] [] A A B B.
2216
          fold4 F [X|XS] [Y|YS] A A1 B B1 :- F X Y A A0 B B0,
2217
             fold4 F XS YS A0 A1 B0 B1.
2218
2219
          type len list A -> nat -> o.
2220
          len [] z.
2221
          len [\_|L] (s X) :- len L X.
2223
2224
2225
2226
2231
2232
2233
```