## HO unification from object language to meta language

Enrico Tassi enrico.tassi@inria.fr Université Côte d'Azur, Inria France Davide Fissore davide.fissore@inria.fr Université Côte d'Azur, Inria France 

#### **ABSTRACT**

Specifying and implementing a logic from scratch requires significant effort. Logical Frameworks and Higher Order Logic Programming Languages provide dedicated, high-level Meta Languages (ML) to facilitate this task in two key ways: 1) variable binding and substitution are simplified when ML binders represent object logic ones; 2) proof construction, and even proof search, is greatly simplified by leveraging the unification procedure provided by the ML. Notable examples of ML are Elf [13], Twelf [14],  $\lambda$ Prolog [10] and Isabelle [20] which have been utilized to implement various formal systems such as First Order Logic [5], Set Theory [12], Higher Order Logic [11], and even the Calculus of Constuctions [4].

The object logic we are interested in is Coq's [18] Dependent Type Theory (DTT), for which we aim to implement a unification procedure  $\simeq_o$  using the ML Elpi [3], a dialect of  $\lambda$ Prolog. Elpi's equational theory comprises  $\eta\beta$  equivalence and comes equipped with a higher order unification procedure  $\simeq_\lambda$  restricted to the pattern fragment [9]. We want  $\simeq_o$  to be as powerful as  $\simeq_\lambda$  but on the object logic DTT. Elpi also comes with an encoding for DTT that works well for meta-programming [17, 16, 7, 6]. Unfortunately this encoding, which we refer to as  $\mathcal{F}_o$ , "underuses"  $\simeq_\lambda$  by restricting it to first-order unification problems only. To address this issue, we propose a better-behaved encoding,  $\mathcal{H}_o$ , demonstrate how to map unification problems in  $\mathcal{F}_o$  to related problems in  $\mathcal{H}_o$ , and illustrate how to map back the unifiers found by  $\simeq_\lambda$ , effectively implementing  $\simeq_o$  on top of  $\simeq_\lambda$  for the encoding  $\mathcal{F}_o$ .

We apply this technique to the implementation of a type-class [19] solver for Coq [18]. Type-class solvers are proof search procedures based on unification that back-chain designated lemmas, providing essential automation to widely used Coq libraries such as Stdpp/Iris [8] and TLC [1]. These two libraries constitute our test bed.

## **KEYWORDS**

Logic Programming, Meta-Programming, Higher-Order Unification, Proof Automation

## **ACM Reference Format:**

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than the author(s) must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

Conference'17, July 2017, Washington, DC, USA

© 2024 Copyright held by the owner/author(s). Publication rights licensed to ACM. ACM ISBN 978-x-xxxx-x/YY/MM

https://doi.org/ZZZZZZZZZZZZZ

#### 1 INTRODUCTION

Specifying and implementing a logic from scratch requires significant effort. Logical Frameworks and Higher Order Logic Programming Languages provide dedicated, high-level Meta Languages (ML) to facilitate this task in two key ways: 1) variable binding and substitution are simplified when ML binders represent object logic ones; 2) proof construction, and even proof search, is greatly simplified by leveraging the unification procedure provided by the ML. Notable examples of ML are Elf [13], Twelf [14],  $\lambda$ Prolog [10] and Isabelle [20] which have been utilized to implement various formal systems such as First Order Logic [5], Set Theory [12], Higher Order Logic [11], and even the Calculus of Constuctions [4].

The object logic we are interested in is Coq's [18] Dependent Type Theory (DTT), and we want to code a type-class [19] solver for Coq [18] using the Coq-Elpi [17] meta programming framework. Type-class solvers are unification based proof search procedures that combine a set of designated lemmas in order to providing essential automation to widely used Coq libraries.

As the running example we take the Decide type class, from the Stdpp [8] library. The class identifies predicates equipped with a decision procedure. The following three designated lemmas (called Instances in the type-class jargon) state that: 1) the type fin n, of natural numbers smaller than n is finite; 2) the predicate nfact n nf, linking a natural number n to its prime factors nf, is decidable; 3) the universal closure of a predicate has a decision procedure if the predicate has and if its domain is finite.

```
Instance fin_fin n : Finite (fin n). (* r1 *)
Instance nfact_dec n nf : Decision (nfact n nf). (* r2 *)
Instance forall_dec A P : Finite A \rightarrow (* r3 *)
\forall x:A, \ Decision \ (P \ x) \ \rightarrow \ Decision \ (\forall x:A, \ P \ x).
```

Under this context of instances a type-class solver is able to prove the following statement automatically by back-chaining.

```
Check \_: Decision (forall y: fin 7, nfact y 3). (g)
```

The encoding of DTT provided by Elpi, that we will discuss at length later in section ?? and ??, is an Higher Order Abstract Syntax (HOAS) datatype tm featuring (among others) the following constructors:

Following standard  $\lambda Prolog~[10]$  the concrete syntax to abstract, at the meta level, an expression e over a variable x is «x\ e», and square brackets denote a list of terms separated by comma. As an example we show the encoding of the Coq term « $\forall y:t,~nfact~y~3$ »:

```
all (con"t") y\ app[con"nfact", y, con"3"]
```

We now illustrate the encoding of the three instances above as higher-order logic-programming rules: capital letters denote rule parameters; :- separates the rule's head from the premises; pi w\ p introduces a fresh nominal constant w for the premise p.

```
finite (app[con"fin", N]).
(r1)
```

decision (all A x\ app[P, x]) :- finite A, 
$$(r3)$$
 pi w\ decision (app[P, w]).

Unfortunately this translation of rule (r3) uses the predicate P as a first order term: for the meta language its type is tm. If we try to backchain the rule (r3) on the encoding of the goal (g) given below

```
decision (all (app[con"fin", con"7"]) y\
  app[con"nfact", y, con"3"]).
```

we obtain an unsolvable unification problem (p): the two lists of terms have different lengths!

```
app[con"nfact", y, con"3"] = app[P, y] (p)
```

In this paper we study a more sophisticated encoding of Coq terms allowing us to rephrase the problematic rule (r3) as follows:

```
decision (all A x\ Pm x) :- link Pm P A, finite A, (r3a) pi x\ decision (app[P, x]).
```

Since Pm is an higher-order unification variable of type tm -> tm, with x in its scope, the unification problem (p') admits one solution:

After unifying the head of rule (r3a) with the goal, Elpi runs the premise «link Pm A P» that is in charge of bringing the assignment for Pm back to the domain tm of Coq terms:

```
P = lam A a\ app[con"nfact", a, con"3"]
```

This simple example is sufficient to show that the encoding we seek is not trivial and does not only concern the head of rules, but the entire sequence of unification problems that constitute the execution of a logic program. In fact the solution for P above generates a (Coq)  $\beta$ -redex in the second premise (the predicate under the Pi w\):

```
decision (app[lam A (a\ app[con"nfact", a, con"3"]), w])
```

In turn this redex prevents the rule (r2) to backchain properly since the following unification problem has no solution:

```
app[lam A (a\ app[con"nfact", a, con"3"]), x] =
app[con"nfact", N, NF]
```

The root cause of the problems we sketched in the running example is that the unification procedure  $\simeq_{\lambda}$  of the meta language is not aware of the equational theory of the object logic, even if both theories include  $\eta\beta$ -conversion and admit most general unifiers for unification problems in the pattern fragment  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$  [9].

Contributions. In this paper we discuss alternative encodings of Coq in Elpi (Section 2), then we identify a minimal language  $\mathcal{F}_0$  in which the problems sketched here can be fully described. We then detail an encoding comp from  $\mathcal{F}_0$  to  $\mathcal{H}_0$  (the language of the

meta language) and a decoding decomp to relate the unifiers bla bla.. TODO citare Teyjus.

#### 2 PROBLEM STATEMENT

The equational theory of Coq's Dependent Type Theory is very rich. In addition to the usual  $\eta\beta$ -equivalence for functions, terms (hence types) are compared up to proposition unfolding and fixpoint unrolling. Still, for efficiency and predictability reasons, most form of automatic proof search employ a unification procedure that captures a simpler one, just  $\eta\beta$ , and that solves higher-order problems restricted to the pattern fragment  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$  [9]. We call this unification procedure  $\simeq_o$ .

The equational theory of the meta language Elpi that we want to use to implement a form of proof automation is strikingly similar, since it it comprises  $\eta\beta$  (for the meta language functions), and the unification procedure  $\simeq_{\lambda}$  solves higher-order problems in  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$ .

In spite of the similarity the link between  $\simeq_{\lambda}$  and  $\simeq_{o}$  is not trivial, since the abstraction and application term constructors the two unification procedures deal with are different. For example

One could ignore this similarity, and "just" describe the object language unification procedure in the meta language, that is crafting a unif predicate to be used as follows in rule (r3):

```
decision X :- unif X (all A x\ app[P, x]), finite A,
  pi x\ decision (app[P, x]).
```

This choice would underuse the logic programming engine provided by the metalanguage since by removing any datum from the head of rules indexing degenerates. Moreover the unification procedure built in the meta language is likely to be faster than one implemented in it, especially if the meta language is interpreted as Elpi is.

To state precisely the problem we solve we need a  $\mathcal{F}_o$  representation of DTT terms and a  $\mathcal{H}_o$  one. We call  $=_o$  the equality over ground terms in  $\mathcal{F}_o$ ,  $=_\lambda$  the equality over ground terms in  $\mathcal{H}_o$ ,  $\simeq_o$  the unification procedure we want to implement and  $\simeq_\lambda$  the one provided by the meta language. TODO extend  $=_o$  and  $=_\lambda$  with reflexivity on uvars.

We write  $t_1 \simeq_{\lambda} t_2 \mapsto \sigma$  when  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  unify with substitution  $\sigma$ ; we write  $\sigma t$  for the application of the substitution to t, and  $\sigma X = \{\sigma t | t \in X\}$  when X is a set; we write  $\sigma \subseteq \sigma'$  when  $\sigma$  is more general than  $\sigma'$ . We assume that the unification of our meta language is correct:

$$t_i \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda} \Rightarrow t_1 \simeq_{\lambda} t_2 \mapsto \rho \Rightarrow \rho t_1 =_{\lambda} \rho t_2$$
 (1)

$$t_i \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda} \Rightarrow \rho t_1 =_{\lambda} \rho t_2 \Rightarrow \exists \rho', t_1 \simeq_{\lambda} t_2 \mapsto \rho' \wedge \rho' \subseteq \rho$$
 (2)

We illustrate a compilation  $\langle s \rangle \mapsto (t, m, l)$  that maps a term s in  $\mathcal{F}_0$  to a term t in  $\mathcal{H}_0$ , a variable mapping m and list of links l. The variable map connects unification variables in  $\mathcal{H}_0$  with variables in  $\mathcal{F}_0$  and is used to "decompile" the assignment,  $\langle \sigma, m, l \rangle^{-1} \mapsto \rho$ . Links represent problematic sub-terms which are linked to the

unification variable that stands in their place in the compiled term. These links are checked for or progress XXX improve....

We represent a logic program run in  $\mathcal{F}_0$  as a list  $steps\ p$  of length N. Each made of a unification problem between terms  $S_{pl}$  and  $S_{pr}$  taken from the set of all terms S. The composition of these steps starting from the empty substitution  $\rho_0$  produces the final substitution  $\rho_N$ . The initial here  $\rho_0$  is the empty substitution

$$\begin{split} \text{fstep}(\mathcal{S}, p, \rho) &\mapsto \rho^{\prime\prime} \stackrel{def}{=\!\!\!=\!\!\!=\!\!\!=} \rho \mathcal{S}_{p_l} \simeq_o \rho \mathcal{S}_{p_r} \mapsto \rho^{\prime} \wedge \rho^{\prime\prime} = \rho \cup \rho^{\prime} \\ \text{frun}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{N}) &\mapsto \rho_{\mathcal{N}} \stackrel{def}{=\!\!\!=\!\!\!=\!\!\!=} \bigwedge_{p=1}^{\mathcal{N}} \text{fstep}(\mathcal{S}, p, \rho_{p-1}) \mapsto \rho_p \end{split}$$

We simulate each run in  $\mathcal{F}_0$  with a run in  $\mathcal{H}_0$  as follows. Note that  $\sigma_0$  is the empty substitution.

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{hstep}(\mathcal{T}, p, \sigma, \mathbb{L}) &\mapsto \sigma'' \stackrel{def}{=} \\ \sigma \mathcal{T}_{p_{l}} &\simeq_{\lambda} \sigma \mathcal{T}_{p_{r}} \mapsto \sigma' \wedge \operatorname{check}(\mathbb{L}, \sigma \cup \sigma') \mapsto \sigma'' \\ \operatorname{hrun}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{N}) &\mapsto \rho_{N} \stackrel{def}{=} \\ \mathcal{T} &\times \mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{L} = \{(t_{j}, m_{j}, l_{j}) | s_{j} \in \mathcal{S}, \langle s_{j} \rangle \mapsto (t_{j}, m_{j}, l_{j})\} \\ &\wedge_{p=1}^{N} \operatorname{hstep}(\mathcal{T}, p, \sigma_{p-1}, \mathbb{L}) \mapsto \sigma_{p} \\ &\langle \sigma_{N}, \mathbb{M}, \mathbb{L} \rangle^{-1} \mapsto \rho_{N} \end{split}$$

Here hstep is made of two sub-steps: a call to  $\simeq_{\lambda}$  (on the compiled terms) and a call to check on the set of links. We claim the following:

Proposition 2.1 (Simulation).  $\forall S, \forall N$ 

$$\operatorname{frun}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{N}) \mapsto \rho_{\mathcal{N}} \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{hrun}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{N}) \mapsto \rho_{\mathcal{N}}$$

That is, the two executions give the same result. Moreover:

Proposition 2.2 (Simulation fidelity). In the context of hrun, if  $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$  we have that  $\forall p \in 1 \dots \mathcal{N}$ 

$$\mathsf{fstep}(\mathcal{S}, p, \rho_{p-1}) \mapsto \rho_p \Leftrightarrow \mathsf{hstep}(\mathcal{T}, p, \sigma_{p-1}, \mathbb{L}) \mapsto \sigma_p$$

In particular this property guarantees that a *failure* in the  $\mathcal{F}_0$  run is matched by a failure in  $\mathcal{H}_0$  at the same step. We consider this property very important from a practical point of view since it guarantees that the execution traces are strongly related and in turn this enables a user to debug a logic program in  $\mathcal{F}_0$  by looking at its execution trace in  $\mathcal{H}_0$ .

XXX permuting hrun does not change the final result if check dooes not fail eagerly

XXX if we want to apply heuristics, we can apply them in decomp to avoid committing to a non MGU too early

We can define  $s_1 \simeq_o s_2$  by specializing the code of hrun to  $S = \{s_1, s_2\}$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} s_1 &\simeq_o s_2 \mapsto \rho \stackrel{def}{=} \\ &\langle s_1 \rangle \mapsto (t_1, m_1, l_1) \land \langle s_2 \rangle \mapsto (t_2, m_2, l_2) \\ &t_1 &\simeq_\lambda t_2 \mapsto \sigma' \land \operatorname{check} (\{l_1, l_2\}, \sigma') \mapsto \sigma'' \land \\ &\langle \sigma'', \{m_1, m_2\}, \{l_1, l_2\} \rangle^{-1} \mapsto \rho \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.3 (Properties of  $\simeq_o$ ).

$$s_i \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda} \Rightarrow s_1 \simeq_{\rho} s_2 \mapsto \rho \Rightarrow \rho s_1 =_{\rho} \rho s_2$$
 (3)

$$s_i \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda} \Rightarrow \rho s_1 =_o \rho s_2 \Rightarrow \exists \rho', s_1 \simeq_o s_2 \mapsto \rho' \wedge \rho' \subseteq \rho$$
 (4)

$$\rho s_1 =_{\rho} \rho s_2 \Rightarrow \rho' \subseteq \rho \Rightarrow \rho' s_i \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda} \Rightarrow \rho' s_1 \simeq_{\rho} \rho' s_2 \tag{5}$$

Properties 3 and 4 state, respectively, that in  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$  the implementation of  $\simeq_{o}$  is correct, complete and returns the most general unifier.

Property 5 states that  $\simeq_o$ , hence our compilation scheme, is resilient to unification problems outside  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$  solved by a third party. We believe this property is of practical interest since we want the user to be able to add heuristics via hand written rules to the ones obtained by our compilation scheme. A Typical example is the following problem (q) that is outside  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$ :

app [F, con"a"] = app[con"f", con"a", con"a"] 
$$(q)$$
  
F = lam x\ app[con"f",x,x]  $(h)$ 

Instead of rejecting it our scheme accepts it and guarantees that if (h) is given (after the compilation part of the scheme, as a run time hint) then ...

#### 2.1 The intuition in a nutshell

A term s is compiled in a term t where any "problematic" subterm p is replaced by a fresh unification variable h and an accessory link that represent a suspended unification problem  $h \simeq_{\lambda} p$ . As a result  $\simeq_{\lambda}$  is "well behaved" on t, meaning it does not contradict  $=_{o}$  (as it would do on "problematic" terms). We now define "problematic" and "well behaved" more formally.

*Definition 2.4* (
$$\Diamond \eta$$
).  $\Diamond \eta = \{t \mid \exists \rho, \rho t \text{ is an eta expansion}\}$ 

An example of term t in  $\Diamond \eta$  is  $\lambda x.\lambda y.F$  y x since the substitution  $\rho = \{F \mapsto \lambda a.\lambda b.fba\}$  makes  $\rho t = \lambda x.\lambda y.fxy$  that is the eta long form of f.

Definition 2.5 
$$(\lozenge \beta)$$
.  $\lozenge \beta = \{Xt_1 \dots t_n \mid Xt_1 \dots t_n \notin \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}\}.$ 

An example of t in  $\Diamond \beta$  is Fa for a constant a. Note however tha an oracle could provide an assignment  $\rho = \{F \mapsto \lambda x.x\}$  that makes the resulting term fall outside of  $\Diamond \beta$ .

Definition 2.6 (Subterm  $\mathcal{P}(t)$ ).

$$t \in \mathcal{P}(t)$$
  

$$t = ft_1 \dots t_n \Rightarrow \mathcal{P}(t_i) \subseteq \mathcal{P}(t) \land f \in \mathcal{P}(t)$$
  

$$t = \lambda x.t' \Rightarrow \mathcal{P}(t') \subseteq \mathcal{P}(t)$$

We write  $\mathcal{P}(X) = \bigcup_{t \in X} \mathcal{P}(t)$ .

Definition 2.7 (Well behaved set). Given a set of terms  $X \subseteq \mathcal{H}_o$ ,

$$\mathcal{W}(X) \Leftrightarrow \forall t \in \mathcal{P}(X), t \notin (\Diamond \beta \cup \Diamond \eta)$$

Proposition 2.8 (*W*-preservation).  $\forall \mathcal{T}, \forall \mathbb{L}, \forall p, \forall \sigma, \forall \sigma'$ 

$$\mathcal{W}(\sigma\mathcal{T}) \wedge \text{hstep}(\mathcal{T}, p, \sigma, \mathbb{L}) \mapsto \sigma' \Rightarrow \mathcal{W}(\sigma'\mathcal{T})$$

A less formal way to state 2.8 is that hstep never "commits" an unneeded  $\lambda$ -abstraction in  $\sigma$  (a  $\lambda$  that could be erased by an  $\eta$ -contraction), nor puts in  $\sigma$  a flexible application outside  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$  (an application node that could be erased by a  $\beta$ -reduction).

Note that proposition 2.8 does not hold for  $\simeq_o$  since decompilation can introduce (actually restore) terms in  $\diamond \eta$  or  $\diamond \beta$  that were move out of the way (put in  $\mathbb L$ ) by compilation.

# 3 ALTERNATIVE ENCODINGS AND RELATED WORK

Paper [2] introduces semi-shallow.

 $<sup>^1\</sup>mathrm{If}$  the same rule is used multiple time in a run we just consider as many copies as needed of the terms composing the rules, with fresh unification variables each time

Our encoding of DTT may look "semi shallow" since we use the meta-language lambda abstraction but not its application (for the terms of type tm). A fully shallow encoding unfortunately does not fit our use case, although it would make the running example work:

```
finite (fin N). decision (nfact N NF). decision (all A \times P \times) :- finite A, pi \times decision (P \times).
```

There are two reasons for dismissing this encoding. The first one is that in DTT it is not always possible to adopt it since the type system of the meta language is too weak to accommodate terms with a variable arity, like the following example:

```
Fixpoint arr T n := if n is S m then T \rightarrow arr T m else T. Definition sum n := arr nat n := \dots Check sum 2 = 7 \cdot 8 = r nat. Check sum 3 = 7 \cdot 8 \cdot 9 := nat.
```

The second reason is the encoding for Coq is used for meta programming the system, hence it must accommodate the manipulation of terms that are now know in advance (not even defined in Coq) without using introspection primitives such as Prologs's functor and arg.

In the literature we could find a few related encoding of DTT. TODO In [4] is related and make the discrepancy between the types of ML and DTT visible. In this case one needs 4 application nodes. Moreover the objective is an encoding of terms, proofs, not proof search. Also note the conv predicate, akin to the unif we rule out.

TODO This other paper [15] should also be cited.

None of the encodings above provide a solution to our problem.

#### 4 PRELIMINARIES: $\mathcal{F}_o$ AND $\mathcal{H}_o$

In order to reason about unification we provide a description of the  $\mathcal{F}_0$  and  $\mathcal{H}_0$  languages where unification variables are first class terms, i.e. they have a concrete syntax. We keep these languages minimal, for example, we omit the all quantifier of DTT we used in the example in Section 1 together with the type notation of terms carried by the lam constructor.

```
kind fm type. kind tm type. 

type fapp list fm -> fm. type app list tm -> tm. 

type flam (fm -> fm) -> fm. type lam (tm -> tm) -> tm. 

type fcon string -> fm. type con string -> tm. 

type fuva nat -> fm. type uva nat -> list tm -> tm.
```

Figure 1:  $\mathcal{F}_0$  and  $\mathcal{H}_0$  language

In the case of  $\mathcal{F}_0$  unification variables fuva have no explicit scope: the arguments of an higher order unification variable are via the fapp constructor. For example in the statement of the instance forall\_dec the term P x is represented as fapp[fuva N, x], where N is a memory address and x is a bound variable.

In  $\mathcal{H}_o$  the representation of P x is instead uva N [x]. We say that the unification variable uva N L is in  $\mathcal{L}_\lambda$  iff distinct L holds.

```
type distinct list A -> o.
distinct [].
distinct [X|XS] :- name X, not(mem X XS), distinct XS.
```

The name builtin predicate tests if a term is a bound variable. <sup>2</sup> The compiler ?? needs to support terms outside  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$  for practical reasons, so we don't assume all out terms are in  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$  but rather test. what??

In both languages unification variables are identified by a natural number, which can be seen as a memory address. The memory and its associated operations are described below:

```
typeabbrev memory A (list (option A)).
type set?    nat -> memory A -> A -> o.
type unset? nat -> memory A -> o.
type assign nat -> memory A -> A -> memory A -> o.
```

If a memory cell is none, then the corresponding unification variable is not set. assign sets an unset cell to the given value.

Since in  $\mathcal{H}_o$  unification variables have a scope, their solution needs to be abstracted over it in order to enable the instantiation of a single solution to different scopes. On the contrary solutions to  $\mathcal{F}_o$  variables are plain terms.

```
typeabbrev fsubst (memory ftm).
kind assmt type.
type abs (tm -> assmt) -> assmt.
type val tm -> assmt.
typeabbrev subst (memory assmt).
```

We call fsubst the memory of  $\mathcal{F}_0$ , while we call subst the one of  $\mathcal{H}_0$ . Both have the invariant that they are not cyclic, TODO explain. Other invariant: the terms in ho\_subst never contains eta and beta expansion

#### 4.1 Notations

we use math mode for ho.

## 4.2 Equational theory and Unification

here we give the functions/signatures to express the properties 3-5 in the problem statement

Together with the description of the terms of the language, we need some auxiliary functions to perform operations like term equality, unification, dereferencing. There predicates are supposed to be implemented in the OL and the ML following respectively their specification. In the following few paragraphs we give a brief proposition of them.

Term dereferencing:  $\rho s$  and  $\sigma t$ . Since in our encoding we explicitly carry a substitution we need to define the operation that applies it to a term. Its proposition takes care to normalize (flatten) applications, for example it turns (app [app [con"f",con"a"],con"b"]) into (app [con"f",con"a",con"b"]).

dereference variables of the two languages. This is particularly useful to check if two terms in the OL (resp. in the ML) are equal. The constructors representing rigid terms, namely app, lam and con, make the dereferencing procedure to recurse over the their subterms. The code below display this behavior for the dereferencing performed by the OL:

```
type fder fsubst -> fm -> o.
fder S (fapp A) (fapp B) :- map (fder S) A B.
fder S (flam F) (flam G) :-
  pi x\ fder S x x => fder S (F x) (G x).
```

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  one could always load name  $\boldsymbol{x}$  for every  $\boldsymbol{x}$  under a pi and get rid of the name builtin

```
type (=_{\lambda}) tm -> tm -> o.

app A =_{\lambda} fapp B :- map (=_{\lambda}) A B.

lam F =_{\lambda} flam G :- pi x\ x =_{\lambda} x => F x =_{\lambda} G x.

con C =_{\lambda} fcon C.

uva N A =_{\lambda} fuva N B :- map (=_{\lambda}) A B.

Figure 2: Equal predicate ML

fder _ (fcon C) (fcon C).

fder S (fuva N) R :- set? N S T, fder S T R.

fder S (fuva N) (fuva N) :- unset? N S.

type fderef fsubst -> fm -> o. (\rho s)

fderef S T R :- fder S T T', napp T' R.
```

TODO explain napp. We use the cut operator to keep the code compact. It is possible to rewrite the rule for application traversal so that it is mutually exclusive with the first one, but that requires a rather verbose analysis of the head of A.

The corresponding code for  $\mathcal{H}_o$  is similar, we only show the last two rules that differ in a substantial way:

```
type deref subst -> tm -> tm -> o.
                                                        (\sigma t)
deref S (app A) (app B) :- map (deref S) A B.
deref S (lam F) (lam G) :-
 pi x \cdot deref S x x \Rightarrow deref S (F x) (G x).
deref _ (con C) (con C).
deref S (uva N A) R :- set? N S F, move F A T, deref S T R.
deref S (uva N A) (uva N B) :- unset? N S, map (deref S) A B.
type move assignment -> list tm -> tm -> o.
move (abs Bo)
                      [H|L] R :- move (Bo H) L R.
move (val A)
                      A :- !.
move (val (uva N A)) L
                            (uva N X) :- append A L X.
```

TODO: no need to napp, see the beta section. Note that when the substitution S maps a unification variable N to an assignment F we

TODO: invariant: variables in subst are always fully applied, and length of scope is the arity of the HO variable.

Important!!! A different reasoning is to be addressed to the variables of the ML. Firstly, a meta variable cannot appear in the app node as the first element of the list, we will explain why in section 5

Term equality:  $=_o vs. =_{\lambda}$ . We can test if two terms are equal following the equational theory of the language being considered. In ?? we provide an implementation of the  $=_o$  predicate. The first four rules check if the two terms are equal regarding the structure

of the current node, that is, two terms are equal if they have same head and if recursively each subterm is two by two equal. Moreover, since the theory of the OL accepts  $\eta$ - and  $\beta$ -equivalence, then we implement the corresponding rules.

The equality relation for the ML, accepts  $\eta\beta$ -equivalence between terms of the ML. Recall that abs x\ f x, is a valid  $\eta$  expansion of the function f and that lam x\ app[f, x] is not that equivalent to f at meta level. However, since we are interested in using the unification procure of the ML, by eq. (1), we can use the  $\approx_{\lambda}$  relation to test, when needed if two terms are equal in the ML.

Term unification:  $\simeq_o vs. \simeq_\lambda$ . The last but not least important relation we should take care of before presenting our full algorithm aiming to unify terms of the OL in the ML and provide the substitution produced in the ML to the OL, is term unification. This procedure is a more powerful version of the equal predicate, since unification checks if two terms can be equal be assigning unification variables. In our representation, variable assignment (or refinement) is performed by modifying the corresponding substitution mapping. We will not give an implementation of  $\simeq_o$ , since we are giving an implementation of it using our algorithm, see ??.

```
type (\simeq_{\lambda}) tm -> tm -> subst -> subst -> o.
```

On the other hand, unification in the ML needs to be defined. In ??, we give an implementation of  $\simeq_{\lambda}$  but that is actually what our meta language provides as a builtin.

This predicate has four arguments, the two terms  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  to unify, the old substitution map  $\rho_1$ , and the new substitution map  $\rho_2$ , with the invariant  $\rho_1 \subseteq \rho_2$ . The first three rules unify terms with same rigid heads, and call the unification relation on the sub-terms. If  $t_1$  (resp.  $t_2$ ) is an assigned variables,  $t_1$  is dereferenced to  $t_1'$  (resp.  $t_2'$ ) and the unification is called between  $t_1'$  and  $t_2$  (resp.  $t_1$  and  $t_2'$ ). If both terms are unification variables, we test that their arguments are in the pattern fragment, we allocate a new variable w in  $\rho_1$  such that w is the pruning of the arguments of  $t_1$  and  $t_2$ , we assign both  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  to w and return the new mapping  $\rho_2$  containing all the new variable assignment. Finally, if only one of the two terms is an unification variable v, after having verified that v does not occur in the other term t, we bind v to t and return the new substitution mapping.

\_OLD \_\_

A key property needed in unification is being able to verify if two terms are equal wrt a given equational theory. This relation allow to compare terms under a certain substitution mapping, so that any time a variable v is assigned in a subterm, a dereferencing of v is performed. After variable dereferencing, the test for equality is continued on the new-created subterm.

The base equality function over terms can be defined as follows: The solution we are proposing aim to overcome these unification issues by 1) compiling the terms t and u of the OL into an internal version t' and u' in the ML; 2) unifying t' and u' at the meta level instantiating meta variables; 3) decompiling the meta variable into terms of the OL; 4) assigning the variables of the OL with the decompiled version of their corresponding meta variables. We claim that t and u unify if and only if t' and u' unify and that the substitution in the object language is the same as the one returned by the ML.

582

583

584

585

586

587

588

589

590

593

594

595

596

597

599

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

sawo7

when

this is

needed

611

612

613

614

615

618

620

621

622

623

624

625

626

627

628

629

632

633

634

635

636

637

638

639

640

code

unif

650

651

652

653

654

655

656

657

658

679

680

681

682

683

684

685

686

687

691

692

693

694

695

696

In the following section we explain how we deal with term (de)compilation and links between unification variables.

## 5 BASIC COMPILATION $\mathcal{F}_0$ TO $\mathcal{H}_0$

In this section we describe a basic compilation scheme that we refine later, in the following sections.

The objective of the compilation is to recognize the higher-order variables available in  $\mathcal{H}_o$  when expressed in a first order way in  $\mathcal{F}_0$ . The compiler also generates a list of links that are used to bring back the substitution from  $\mathcal{H}_o$  to  $\mathcal{F}_o$  and allocates in the memory a cell for each variable.

```
kind link type.
  type link nat -> nat -> nat -> subst. % link Fo Ho Arity
  typeabbrev links list link.
  type comp fm -> tm -> links -> links -> subst -> o.
  comp (fcon X) (con X) L L S S.
  comp (flam F) (lam G) K L R S :- pi x y\
    (pi A S\ comp x y L L S S) \Rightarrow comp (F x) (G y) K L R S.
  comp (fuva M) (uva N []) K [link M N z [K] R S :- new R N S.
  comp (fapp[fuva M|A]) (uva N B) K L R S :- distinct A, !,
    fold4 comp A B K K R R,
    new R N S, len A Arity,
    L = [link N M Arity | K].
  comp (fapp A) (app B) K L R S :- fold4 comp A B K L R S.
Note that link carries the arity (number of expected arguments) of
```

the variable.

```
type solve-links links -> links -> subst -> subst -> o.
solve-links L L S S.
Then decomp
type decompile links -> subst -> fsubst -> o.
decompile L S O :-
 map (\_\r = none) S O1, % allocate empty fsubst
  (pi \ N \ X \setminus knil \ N \ X :- mem \ L \ (link \ X \ N \ \_) ; \ N = \ X) \Rightarrow
    decompl S L 01 0.
type knil nat -> nat -> o.
type decompl links -> subst -> fsubst -> o.
decompl S [] [].
decompl S [link _ N _ |L] O P :- unset? N S X,
 decompl S L O P.
decompl S [link M N _ |L] O P :- set? N S X,
  decomp-assignment S X T, assign M O (some T) O1,
 decompl S L 01 P.
type decomp-assignment subst -> assignment -> fm -> o.
decomp-assignment S (abs F) (flam G) :-
 pi \times y \setminus decomp-tm S \times y \Rightarrow decomp-assignment S (F x) (G y).
```

decomp-assignment S (val T) T1 :- decomp S T T1.

decomp S (app A) (app B) :- map (decomp S) A B.

 $pi \times y \setminus decomp S \times y \Rightarrow decomp S (F x) (G y).$ 

type decomp subst -> tm -> fm. decomp \_ (con C) (fcon C).

decomp S (lam F) (flam G) :-

decomp S (uva N A) R :- set? N S F,

```
move F A T, decomp S T R.
decomp S (uva N A) R :- unset? N S,
  map (decomp S) A B, knil N M, napp (fapp[fuva M|B]) R.
                                                                 TODO
Now unif
                                                                 link,
type (\simeq_{0}) fm -> fm -> subst -> subst -> o.
                                                                 TODO
(X \simeq_o Y) S S1 :-
                                                                 nuove
  fderef S X X0, fderef S Y Y0,
                                                       (norm)
                                                                 subst
  comp X0 X1 [] S0 [] L0,
                                                                 TODO:
                                                     (compile)
  comp Y0 Y1 S0 S1 L0 L1,
  (X1 \simeq_{\lambda} Y1) [] HS0,
                                                      (unif y)
  solve-links L1 L2 HS0 HS1,
                                                        (link)
  decompile L2 HS1 S1.
                                                   (decompile)
```

## 5.1 Prolog simulation

Allows us to express the properties. we take all terms involved in a search (if a rule is used twice we simply take a copy of it), we compile all of them, and then we pick the unification prblems among these terms and step trough them.

```
type pick list A -> (pair nat nat) -> (pair A A) -> o.
                                                                   659
pick L (pr X Y) (pr TX TY) :- nth X L TX, nth Y L TY.
                                                                   660
type prolog-fo list fm -> list (pair nat nat) -> subst -> o.
prolog-fo Terms Problems S :-
                                                                   663
  map (pick Terms) Problems FoProblems,
                                                                   664
  fold4 (\simeq_a) FoProblems [] S.
                                                                   665
type step-ho (pair tm tm) -> links -> links -> subst -> subst
step-ho (pr X Y) L0 L1 S0 S2 :-
                                                                   668
  (X1 \simeq_{\lambda} Y1) S0 S1,
                                                                   669
  solve-links L0 L1 S1 S2.
                                                                   670
                                                                   671
type prolog-ho list fm -> list (pair nat nat) -> subst -> o.
                                                                   672
prolog-ho Terms Problems S :-
                                                                   673
  fold4 comp Terms HoTerms [] L0 [] HS0,
                                                                   674
  map (pick HoTerms) Problems HoProblems,
  fold4 step-ho HoProblems L0 L HS0 HS,
                                                                   676
  decompile L HS S.
                                                                   677
                                                                   678
```

the proprty is that if a step for Fo succeds then the Ho one does, and if Fo fails then the Ho fails ()

## 5.2 Example

6

```
OK
  Terms [ flam x\ fapp[fcon"g",fapp[fuva z, x]]
          , flam x\ fapp[fcon"g", fcon"a"] ]
  Problems = [ pr z (s z) ] % \lambda x.g(Fx) = \lambda x.ga
  \label{eq:lam_x} $\operatorname{lam} x\to \operatorname{app[con"g",uva} z [x]] \simeq_o \operatorname{lam} x\to \operatorname{app[con"g", con"a"]} $
  link z z (s z)
  HS = [some (abs x con"a")]
  S = [some (flam x \land fcon a)]
     Terms [ flam x\ fapp[fcon"g",fapp[fuva z, x]]
     , flam x\ fapp[fcon"g", fcon"a"] ]
  Problems = [ pr 0 1 % A = \lambda x.x
                 , pr 2 3 ] % Aa = a
```

756

760

761

762

763

766

767

768

769

770

771

772

773

774

775

776

780

781

782

783

787

788

789

793

794

795

796

797

798

799

800

801

802

803

804

806

807

808

809

810

811

812

```
703
 704
  705
  706
  708
  709
 710
 711
 712
 713
 714
 715
 716
 717
 718
 719
  720
  721
  722
 723
 724
 725
 726
 727
 728
  729
  730
 731
  732
  733
  734
 735
 736
 737
 738
integer
or
nat?
 742
 743
 744
 745
 746
 747
 748
 749
 750
 751
```

752

753

754

697

698

699

700

701

702

```
lam x \rightarrow app[con"g", uva z [x]] \simeq_o lam x \rightarrow app[con"g", con"a"]
link z z (s z)
HS = [some (abs x con"a")]
S = [some (flam x \land fcon a)]
lam x \land app[f, app[X, x]] = Y,
  lam x \setminus x = X.
TODO: Goal: s_1 \simeq_o s_2 is compiled into t_1 \simeq_{\lambda} t_2
```

TODO: What is done: uvars fo\_uv of OL are replaced into uvars ho\_uv of the ML

TODO: Each fo\_uv is linked to an ho\_uv of the OL

TODO: Example needing the compiler v0 (tra l'altro lo scope è ignorato):

lam x\ app[con"g",app[uv 0, x]]  $\simeq_o$  lam x\ app[con"g", c"a"]

TODO: Links used to instantiate vars of elpi

TODO: After all links, the solution in links are compacted and given to coq

TODO: It is not so simple, see next sections (multi-vars, eta,

The compilation step is meant to recover the higher-order variables of the OL, expressed in a first order way, by replacing them with higher-order variables in the ML. In particular, every time a variable of the OL is encountered in the original term, it is replaced with a meta variable, and if the OL variable is applied to a list of distinct names *L*, then this list becomes the scope of the variable. For all the other constructors of tm, the same term constructor is returned and its arguments are recursively compiled. The predicate in charge for term compilation is:

type comp tm -> tm -> links -> links -> subst -> o. where, we take the term of the OL, produce the term of the ML, take a list of link and produce a list of new links, take a substitution and return a new substitution.

In particular, due to programming constraints, we need to drag the old subst and return a new one extended, if needed, with the new declared meta-variables.

The following code

```
kind link type.
type link nat -> nat -> nat -> subst.
```

defines a link, which is a relation between to variables indexes, the first being the index of a OL variable and the second being the index of a ML variable. The third integer is the number of term in the scope of the two variables, or equivalently, in a typed language, their arity.

As an example, let's study the following unification problem (a slightly modified version from section 1):

```
lam x\ app[c"decision", app[c"nfact", x, c"3"]] \simeq_o
  lam x\ app [c"decision", app[uv 0, x]]
```

we have the main unification problem where the nested app nodes have lists of different lengths making the unification to fail. The compilation of these terms produces a new unification problem with the following shape:

```
lam x\ app[c"decision", app[c"nfact", x, c"3"]] \simeq_{\lambda}
  lam x\ app [c"decision", uv 1 [x]]
```

The main difference is the replacement of the subterm app[uv 0, x] of the OL with the subterm uv 0 [x]. Variable indexes are chosen by the ML, that is, the index 0 for that unification variable of the OL term has not the sam meaning of the index 0 in the ML. There exists two different substitution mapping, one for the OL and one for the ML and the indexes of variable point to the respective substitution.

decomp che mappa abs verso lam TODO: An other example: lam x\ app[f, app[X, x]] = Y, (lam x\ x) = X.

#### USE OF MULTIVARS

Se il termine initziale è della forma

```
app[con"xxx",X,X]
```

allora se non uso due X diverse non ho modo di recuperare il quoziente che mi manca.

a sto punto consideriamo liste di problemi e così da eliminare sta xxx senza perdità di generalità (e facciamo problemi più corti, e modellizziamo anche la sequenza)

## 6.1 Problems with $\eta$

```
TODO: The following goal necessita v1 (lo scope è usato):
X = lam x \setminus lam y \setminus Y y x, X = lam x \setminus f
```

TODO: The snd unif pb, we have to unif lam x\ lam y\ Y x y with lam x\ f

TODO: It is not doable, with the same elpi var

Invarianti: A destra della eta abbiamo sempre un termine che comincia per  $\lambda x.bla$ 

```
La deduplicate eta:
```

- viene chiamata che della forma [variable] -> [eta1] e

(a destra non c'è mai un termine con testa rigida)

- i due termini a dx vengono unificati con la unif e uno
- $\hookrightarrow$  dei due link viene buttato

NOTA!! A dx abbiamo sempre un termine della forma lam  $\hookrightarrow$  x.VAR x!!!

Altrimenti il link sarebbe stato risolto!!

- dopo l'unificazione rimane un link [variabile] -> [etaX]
- nella progress-eta, se a sx abbiamo una constante o
- un'app, allora eta-espandiamo
- di uno per poter unificare con il termine di dx.

## 6.2 Problems with $\beta$

 $\beta$ -reduction problems ( $\Diamond \beta$ ) appears any time we deal with a subterm  $t = Xt_1 \dots t_n$ , where X is flexible and the list  $[t_1 \dots t_n]$  in not in  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$ . This unification problem is not solvable without loss of generality, since there is not a most general unifier. If we take back the example given in section 2.1, the unification Fa = a admits two solutions for  $F: \rho_1 = \{F \mapsto \lambda x.x\}$  and  $\rho_2 = \{F \mapsto \lambda_a.a\}$ . Despite this, it is possible to work with  $\Diamond \beta$  if an oracle provides a substitution  $\rho$  such that  $\rho t$  falls again in the  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$ .

On the other hand, the  $\simeq_{\lambda}$  is not designed to understand how the  $\beta$ -redexes work in the object language. Therefore, even if we know that *F* is assigned to  $\lambda x.x$ ,  $\simeq_{\lambda}$  is not able to unify *Fa* with

814

815

816

817

818

819

820

821 822

824

825

826

827

828

829

830

831

a link

is <sub>835</sub>

sid-

ered

in two

cases.

then

make

2 para-

graphs

A bit

too 847

fast,

we R49

first

com-

pile,

then

unify,

then

the

oracle.

then

the

ma-

nip...

863

864

865

866

867

868

869

870

recon-

871

872

873

875

876

877

878

882

883

884

885

886

887

888

889

890

891

892

896

897

898

899

900

901

902

903

904

905

906

908

909

910

911

912

913

914

915

916

917

918

919

921

922

923

924

925

926

927

928

a. On the other hand, the problem Fa = G is solvable by  $\simeq_{\lambda}$ , but the final result is that G is assigned to  $(\lambda x.x)a$  which breaks the invariant saying that the substitution of the meta language does not generate terms outide W (Property 2.8).

The solution to this problem is to modify the compiler such that any sub-term t considered as a potential  $\beta$ -redex is replaced with a hole *h* and a new dedicated link, called link- $\beta$ .

```
type link-beta tm -> tm -> link.
```

This link carries two terms, the former representing the variable *h* for the new created hole and the latter containing the subterm t. As for the link- $\eta$ , we will call h and t respectively the left hand side (LHS) and the right hand side (RHS) of the link- $\beta$ .

A subterm is in  $\Diamond \beta$  if it has the shape fapp[fuva N | L] and distinct L does not hold. In that case, L is split in two sublist PF and NPF such that former is the longest prefix of L such that distinct PF holds. NPF is the list such that append PF NPF L. The LHS is set to a new variable named M with PF in scope whereas the RHS is given by the term app[uva N' PF | NPF] where the  $\mathcal{H}_o$  variable identified signpostiffly N' is mapped to the  $\mathcal{F}_0$  variable named N.

> After its creation, a link- $\beta$  remain suspended until the head of the RHS is instantiated by the oracle (see eq. (5)). In this case the RHS is  $\beta$ -reduced to a new term t. t is either a term in  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$ , in which case t is unified with the LHS, otherwise, the link remain suspended and no progress is performed. Another way to wake a link- $\beta$  up is when the LHS is a term  $\top$  and RHS has the shape app[uva N PF | NPF] and some of the arguments in the NPF list become names. This is possible after the resolution of other links. In this case, the list L obtained by the concatenation between PF and NPF is split again in to lists PF' and NPF'. If PF is not that same as PF', then we can 1) remove the current link- $\beta$ , 2) create a new link- $\beta$  between T and app[uva N' PF' | NPF'] and 3) create a new link- $\eta$  between the variables N and N'.

> An example justifying this last link manipulation is given by the following unification problem:

```
f = flam x\ fapp[F, (X x), a] % f = \lambda x.F(Xx)a
```

under the substitution  $\rho = \{X \mapsto \lambda x.x\}$ .

The links generated from this unification problem are:

```
X \mapsto X1; F \mapsto X2 % The mappings
  \vdash X0 =\eta= x\ `X3 x'
x \vdash X3 \quad x = \beta = X2 \quad X1 \quad x' \quad a
```

where the first link is a link- $\eta$  between the variable X0, representing the right side of the unification problem (it is a  $\Diamond \eta$ ) and X3; and a link- $\beta$  between the variable X3 and the subterm c0\ X2 'X1 c0' a (it is a  $\Diamond \beta$ ). The substitution tells that  $x + X1 \times x = x$ .

We can now represent the hrun execution from this configuration which will, at first, dereference all the links, and then try to solve them. The only link being modified is the second one, which is set to X3 x =  $\beta$ = X2 x a. The RHS of the link has now a variable which is partially in the PF, we can therefore remove the original link- $\beta$  and replace it with the following couple on links:

```
\vdash X1 = \eta = x \ `X4 x'
x \vdash X3 \quad x = \beta = x \land `X4 \quad x' \quad a
```

By these links we say that X1 is now  $\eta$ -linked to a fresh variable X4 with arity one. This new variable is used in the new link- $\beta$ where the name x is in its scope. This allows

## 6.3 Tricky examples

```
triple ok (@lam x\ @app[@f, @app[@X, x]]) @Y,
triple ok @X (@lam x\ x),
triple ok @Y @f
% @okl 22 F
 triple ok (@lam x\ @lam y\ @app[@Y, y, x]) @X,
   triple ok (@lam x\ @f) @X,
```

## 7 FIRST ORDER APPROXIMATION

TODO: Coq can solve this: f 1 2 = X 2, by setting X to f 1 **TODO:** We can re-use part of the algo for  $\beta$  given before

#### UNIF ENCODING IN REAL LIFE

TODO: Il ML presentato qui è esattamente elpi TODO: Il OL presentato qui è esattamente coq TODO: Come implementatiamo tutto ciò nel solver

#### **RESULTS: STDPP AND TLC**

**TODO:** How may rule are we solving? TODO: Can we do some perf test

#### 10 CONCLUSION

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Arthur Charguéraud. "The Optimal Fixed Point Combinator". In: Interactive Theorem Proving. Ed. by Matt Kaufmann and Lawrence C. Paulson. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2010, pp. 195-210. ISBN: 978-3-642-14052-5.
- Cvetan Dunchev, Claudio Sacerdoti Coen, and Enrico Tassi. "Implementing HOL in an Higher Order Logic Programming Language". In: Proceedings of the Eleventh Workshop on Logical Frameworks and Meta-Languages: Theory and Practice. LFMTP '16. Porto, Portugal: Association for Computing Machinery, 2016. ISBN: 9781450347778. DOI: 10.1145/2966268. 2966272. URL: https://doi.org/10.1145/2966268.2966272.
- Cvetan Dunchev et al. "ELPI: Fast, Embeddable, λProlog Interpreter". In: Logic for Programming, Artificial Intelligence, and Reasoning - 20th International Conference, LPAR-20 2015, Suva, Fiji, November 24-28, 2015, Proceedings. Ed. by Martin Davis et al. Vol. 9450. 2015, pp. 460–468. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-662-48899-7\\_32. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-48899-7%5C\_32.
- Amy Felty. "Encoding the Calculus of Constructions in a Higher-Order Logic". In: ed. by M. Vardi. IEEE, June 1993, pp. 233-244. doi: 10.1109/LICS.1993.287584.

- [5] Amy Felty and Dale Miller. "Specifying theorem provers in a higher-order logic programming language". In: Ninth International Conference on Automated Deduction. Ed. by Ewing Lusk and Ross Overbeck. 310. Argonne, IL: Springer, May 1988, pp. 61–80. DOI: 10.1007/BFb0012823.
- [6] Davide Fissore and Enrico Tassi. "A new Type-Class solver for Coq in Elpi". In: *The Coq Workshop 2023*. Bialystok, Poland, July 2023. URL: https://inria.hal.science/hal-04467855.
- [7] Benjamin Grégoire, Jean-Christophe Léchenet, and Enrico Tassi. "Practical and sound equality tests, automatically Deriving eqType instances for Jasmin's data types with Coq-Elpi". In: *CPP '23: 12th ACM SIGPLAN International Conference on Certified Programs and Proofs.* CPP 2023: Proceedings of the 12th ACM SIGPLAN International Conference on Certified Programs and Proofs. Boston MA USA, France: ACM, Jan. 2023, pp. 167–181. DOI: 10.1145/3573105.3575683. URL: https://inria.hal.science/hal-03800154.
- [8] RALF JUNG et al. "Iris from the ground up: A modular foundation for higher-order concurrent separation logic". In: Journal of Functional Programming 28 (2018), e20. DOI: 10.1017/S0956796818000151.
- [9] Dale Miller. "Unification under a mixed prefix". In: Journal of Symbolic Computation 14.4 (1992), pp. 321–358. DOI: 10. 1016/0747-7171(92)90011-R.
- [10] Dale Miller and Gopalan Nadathur. Programming with Higher-Order Logic. Cambridge University Press, 2012. DOI: 10.1017/ CBO9781139021326.
- [11] Tobias Nipkow, Lawrence C. Paulson, and Markus Wenzel. Isabelle/HOL A Proof Assistant for Higher-Order Logic. Vol. 2283. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer, 2002. ISBN: 3-540-43376-7.
- [12] Lawrence C. Paulson. "Set theory for verification. I: from foundations to functions". In: J. Autom. Reason. 11.3 (Dec. 1993), pp. 353–389. ISSN: 0168-7433. DOI: 10.1007/BF00881873. URL: https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00881873.
- [13] F. Pfening. "Elf: a language for logic definition and verified metaprogramming". In: Proceedings of the Fourth Annual Symposium on Logic in Computer Science. Pacific Grove, California, USA: IEEE Press, 1989, pp. 313–322. ISBN: 0818619546.
- [14] Frank Pfenning and Carsten Schürmann. "System Description: Twelf A Meta-Logical Framework for Deductive Systems". In: *Automated Deduction CADE-16*. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1999, pp. 202–206. ISBN: 978-3-540-48660-2.
- [15] Colin Rothgang, Florian Rabe, and Christoph Benzmüller. "Theorem Proving in Dependently-Typed Higher-Order Logic". In: Automated Deduction – CADE 29. Ed. by Brigitte Pientka and Cesare Tinelli. Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland, 2023, pp. 438–455. ISBN: 978-3-031-38499-8.
- [16] Enrico Tassi. "Deriving proved equality tests in Coq-elpi: Stronger induction principles for containers in Coq". In: ITP 2019 - 10th International Conference on Interactive Theorem Proving. Portland, United States, Sept. 2019. DOI: 10.4230/ LIPIcs.CVIT.2016.23. URL: https://inria.hal.science/hal-01897468.
- [17] Enrico Tassi. "Elpi: an extension language for Coq (Metaprogramming Coq in the Elpi  $\lambda$ Prolog dialect)". In: *The Fourth*

- International Workshop on Coq for Programming Languages. Los Angeles (CA), United States, Jan. 2018. URL: https://inria.hal.science/hal-01637063.
- [18] The Coq Development Team. The Coq Reference Manual Release 8.18.0. https://coq.inria.fr/doc/V8.18.0/refman. 2023.
- [19] P. Wadler and S. Blott. "How to Make Ad-Hoc Polymorphism Less Ad Hoc". In: *Proceedings of the 16th ACM SIGPLAN-SIGACT Symposium on Principles of Programming Languages.* POPL '89. Austin, Texas, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, 1989, pp. 60–76. ISBN: 0897912942. DOI: 10.1145/75277.75283. URL: https://doi.org/10.1145/75277.75283.
- [20] Makarius Wenzel, Lawrence C. Paulson, and Tobias Nipkow. "The Isabelle Framework". In: *Theorem Proving in Higher Order Logics*. Ed. by Otmane Ait Mohamed, César Muñoz, and Sofiène Tahar. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2008, pp. 33–38. ISBN: 978-3-540-71067-7.

```
APPENDIX
1045
1046
      Note that (a infix b) c d de-sugars to (infix) a b c d.
1047
         Explain builtin name (can be implemented by loading name after
      each pi)
1049
1050
      11 THE MEMORY
1051
         kind address type.
1052
         type addr nat -> address.
1053
1054
         typeabbrev (mem A) (list (option A)).
         type get nat -> mem A -> A -> o.
1057
         get z (some Y :: _) Y.
1058
         get (s N) (_ :: L) X :- get N L X.
1059
1060
         type alloc-aux nat -> mem A -> mem A -> o.
1061
         alloc-aux z [] [none] :- !.
1062
         alloc-aux z L L
1063
         alloc-aux (s N) [] [none | M] :- alloc-aux N [] M.
1064
         alloc-aux (s N) [X | L] [X | M] :- alloc-aux N L M.
1065
1066
         type alloc address -> mem A -> mem A -> o.
1067
         alloc (addr A as Ad) Mem1 Mem2 :- unset? Ad Mem1,
           alloc-aux A Mem1 Mem2.
         type new-aux mem A -> nat -> mem A -> o.
1071
         new-aux [] z [none].
1072
         new-aux [A | As] (s N) [A | Bs] :- new-aux As N Bs.
1073
1074
         type new mem A -> address -> mem A -> o.
         new Mem1 (addr Ad) Mem2 :- new-aux Mem1 Ad Mem2.
1076
1077
         type set? address -> mem A -> A -> o.
1078
         set? (addr A) Mem Val :- get A Mem Val.
1079
         type unset? address -> mem A -> o.
         unset? Addr Mem :- not (set? Addr Mem _).
         type assign-aux nat -> mem A -> A -> mem A -> o.
1084
         assign-aux z (none :: L) Y (some Y :: L).
1085
         assign-aux (s N) (X :: L) Y (X :: L1) :- assign-aux N L Y L1.
1086
1087
         type assign address -> mem A -> A -> mem A -> o.
1088
         assign (addr A) Mem1 Val Mem2 :- assign-aux A Mem1 Val Mem2.
1089
1090
1091
      12 THE OBJECT LANGUAGE
1092
         kind fm type.
1093
1094
         type fapp list fm -> fm.
         type flam (fm -> fm) -> fm.
         type fcon string -> fm.
1096
         type fuva address -> fm.
1097
1098
1099
         typeabbrev subst mem fm.
```

1101

1102

type fder subst -> fm -> o.

```
fder S (fuva N) T1 :- set? N S T, fder S T T1.
                                                                    1103
%fder S (fapp [fuva N|L]) R :- set? N S T, !, beta T L R', fder 104R' R.
fder S (fapp L1) (fapp L2) :- forall2 (fder S) L1 L2.
fder S (flam F1) (flam F2) :-
  pi x \setminus fder S x x \Rightarrow fder S (F1 x) (F2 x).
fder (fcon X) (fcon X).
                                                                    1108
fder _ (fuva N) (fuva N).
                                                                    1109
%fder _ N N :- name N.
                                                                    1110
                                                                    1111
type napp fm -> fm -> o.
                                                                    1112
napp (fcon C) (fcon C).
                                                                    1113
napp (fuva A) (fuva A).
napp (flam F) (flam F1) :- pi x \rightarrow pi x = napp (F x) (F1 x).1115
napp (fapp [fapp L1 |L2]) T :- !,
  append L1 L2 L3, napp (fapp L3) T.
                                                                    1117
napp (fapp L) (fapp L1) :- forall2 napp L L1.
                                                                    1118
                                                                    1119
type fderef subst -> fm -> o.
                                                                    1120
fderef S T T2: - fder S T T1, napp T1 T2.
                                                                    1121
                                                                    1122
type (=_o) fm \rightarrow fm \rightarrow o.
                                                                    1123
fapp L1 =_{o} fapp L2 :- forall2 (=_{o}) L1 L2.
                                                                    1124
flam F1 =_o flam F2 :- pi x\ x =_o x => F1 x =_o F2 x.
                                                                    1125
fcon X =_{o} fcon X.
fuva N =_{0} fuva N.
flam F =_o T := pi x \cdot beta T [x] (T' x), x =_o x => F x =_o T' x.1128
T =_o flam F := pi x \land beta T [x] (T' x), <math>x =_o x \Rightarrow T' x =_o F x. 1129
fapp [flam X | TL] =_{o} T :- beta (flam X) TL T', T' =_{o} T.
T =_o fapp [flam X | TL] :- beta (flam X) TL T', T =_o T'.
                                                                    1131
                                                                    1132
type extend-subst fm -> subst -> o.
extend-subst (fuva N) S S' :- mem.alloc N S S'.
extend-subst (flam F) S S' :-
                                                                    1135
  pi x\ (pi S\extend-subst x S S) => extend-subst (F x) S S'.
                                                                    1136
extend-subst (fcon _) S S.
                                                                    1137
extend-subst (fapp L) S S1 :- fold extend-subst L S S1.
                                                                    1138
                                                                    1139
type beta fm -> list fm -> fm -> o.
beta A [] A.
                                                                    1141
beta (flam Bo) [H | L] R :- beta (Bo H) L R.
                                                                    1142
beta (fapp A) L (fapp X) :- append A L X.
                                                                    1143
beta (fuva N) L (fapp [fuva N | L]).
                                                                    1144
beta (fcon H) L (fapp [fcon H | L]).
                                                                    1145
beta N L (fapp [N | L]) :- name N.
                                                                    1146
                                                                    1147
type mk-app fm -> list fm -> fm -> o.
                                                                    1148
mk-app T L S :- beta T L S.
                                                                    1149
                                                                    1150
type eta-contract fm -> fm -> o.
                                                                    1151
eta-contract (fcon X) (fcon X).
eta-contract (fapp L) (fapp L1) :- forall2 eta-contract L L1.
eta-contract (flam F) T :- eta-contract-aux [] (flam F) T.
eta-contract (flam F) (flam F1) :-
                                                                    1155
  pi x \le eta-contract x x \implies eta-contract (F x) (F1 x).
                                                                    1156
eta-contract (fuva X) (fuva X).
                                                                    1157
eta-contract X X :- name X.
                                                                    1158
                                                                    1159
                                                                    1160
```

```
1161
         type eta-contract-aux list fm -> fm -> fm -> o.
                                                                                                               list tm -> assignment -> o.
                                                                                                                                                        1219
1162
         eta-contract-aux L (flam F) T :-
                                                                                   prune-same-variable N [] [] ACC (val (uva N Args)) :-
                                                                                                                                                        1220
1163
           pi x\ eta-contract-aux [x|L] (F x) T. % also checks H Prefix does met shee Args.
                                                                                                                                                        1221
         eta-contract-aux L (fapp [H|Args]) T :-
                                                                                  prune-same-variable N [X|XS] [X|YS] ACC (abs F) :-
                                                                                                                                                        1222
1165
           rev L LRev, append Prefix LRev Args,
                                                                                     pi x\ prune-same-variable N XS YS [x|ACC] (F x).
                                                                                                                                                        1223
            if (Prefix = []) (T = H) (T = fapp [H[Prefix]).
                                                                                                                                                        1224
1166
                                                                                  prune-same-variable N [_|XS] [_|YS] ACC (abs F) :-
                                                                                     pi x\ prune-same-variable N XS YS ACC (F x).
                                                                                                                                                        1225
1167
1168
                                                                                                                                                        1226
       13 THE META LANGUAGE
1169
                                                                                   type permute list nat -> list tm -> list tm -> o.
                                                                                                                                                        1227
1170
         typeabbrev subst list (option assignment).
                                                                                   permute [] [].
                                                                                                                                                        1228
1171
                                                                                   permute [P|PS] Args [T|TS] :-
                                                                                                                                                        1229
1172
         kind inctx type -> type.
                                                                                     nth P Args T.
         type abs (tm -> inctx A) -> inctx A.
                                                                                     permute PS Args TS.
1173
                                                                                                                                                        1231
         type val A -> inctx A.
1174
                                                                                                                                                        1232
                                                                                   type build-perm-assign address -> list tm -> list bool ->
1175
                                                                                                                                                        1233
1176
         typeabbrev assignment (inctx tm).
                                                                                                         list nat -> assignment -> o.
                                                                                                                                                        1234
                                                                                   build-perm-assign N ArgsR [] Perm (val (uva N PermutedArgs)) :-1235
1177
1178
         kind tm type.
                                                                                     rev ArgsR Args, permute Perm Args PermutedArgs.
1179
         type app list tm -> tm.
                                                                                   build-perm-assign N Acc [tt]L1 Perm (abs T) :-
                                                                                                                                                        1237
         type lam (tm \rightarrow tm) \rightarrow tm.
                                                                                     pi x\ build-perm-assign N [x|Acc] L Perm (T x).
1180
                                                                                                                                                        1238
         type con string -> tm.
                                                                                   build-perm-assign N Acc [ff|L] Perm (abs T) :-
                                                                                                                                                        1239
1181
1182
         type uva address -> list tm -> tm.
                                                                                     pi x\ build-perm-assign N Acc L Perm (T x).
                                                                                                                                                        1240
                                                                                                                                                        1241
         type (\simeq_{\lambda}) tm -> tm -> subst -> o.
                                                                                   type keep list A -> A -> bool -> o.
                                                                                                                                                        1242
          (con C \simeq_{\lambda} con C) S S.
1185
                                                                                   keep L A tt :- mem L A, !.
         (app L1 \simeq_{\lambda} app L2) S S1 :- fold2 (\simeq_{\lambda}) L1 L2 S S1.
                                                                                  keep \_ \_ ff.
                                                                                                                                                        1244
1186
         (lam F1 \simeq_{\lambda} lam F2) S S1 :-
                                                                                                                                                        1245
1187
                                                                                   type prune-diff-variables address -> list tm -> list tm ->
1188
           pi x\ (pi S\ (x \simeq_{\lambda} x) S S) => (F1 x \simeq_{\lambda} F2 x) S S1.
                                                                                                                                                        1246
1189
          (uva N Args \simeq_{\lambda} T) S S1 :-
                                                                                                               assignment -> assignment -> o.
                                                                                                                                                        1247
           set? N S F,!, move F Args T1, (T1 \simeq_{\lambda} T) S S1.
                                                                                  prune-diff-variables N Args1 Args2 Ass1 Ass2 :-
1190
                                                                                                                                                        1248
1191
         (T \simeq_{\lambda} uva N Args) S S1 :-
                                                                                     forall2 (keep Args2) Args1 Bits1,
                                                                                                                                                        1249
1192
           set? N S F,!, move F Args T1, (T \simeq_{\lambda} T1) S S1.
                                                                                     forall2 (keep Args1) Args2 Bits2,
                                                                                                                                                        1250
         (uva M A1 \simeq_{\lambda} uva N A2) S1 S2 :- !,
                                                                                     filter Args1 (mem Args2) ToKeep1,
                                                                                                                                                        1251
1193
           pattern-fragment A1, pattern-fragment A2,
                                                                                     filter Args2 (mem Args1) ToKeep2,
                                                                                                                                                        1252
1194
1195
           prune! M A1 N A2 S1 S2.
                                                                                     forall2 (index ToKeep1) ToKeep1 IdPerm,
                                                                                                                                                        1253
1196
          (uva N Args \simeq_{\lambda} T) S S1 :- not_occ N S T, pattern-fragment Args,
                                                                                     forall2 (index ToKeep1) ToKeep2 Perm21,
                                                                                                                                                        1254
1197
           bind T Args T1, assign N S T1 S1.
                                                                                     build-perm-assign N [] Bits1 IdPerm Ass1,
                                                                                                                                                        1255
         (T \simeq_{\lambda} \text{ uva N Args}) \text{ S S1 :- not_occ N S T, pattern-fragment Args,}
                                                                                     build-perm-assign N [] Bits2 Perm21 Ass2.
           bind T Args T1, assign N S T1 S1.
1199
                                                                                   type move assignment -> list tm -> tm -> o.
                                                                                                                                                        1258
1200
          type prune! address -> list tm -> address ->
                                                                                                          [H|L] R :- move (Bo H) L R.
1201
                                                                                   move (abs Bo)
                                                                                                                                                        1259
1202
                      list tm -> subst -> subst -> o.
                                                                                   move (val A)
                                                                                                          []
                                                                                                              A :- !.
                                                                                                                                                        1260
         /* no pruning needed */
1203
                                                                                                                                                        1261
         prune! N A N A S S :- !.
                                                                                   type beta tm -> list tm -> tm -> o.
1204
                                                                                                                                                        1262
1205
         prune! M A N A S1 S2 :- !, bind (uva M A) A Ass,
                                                                                   beta A [] A.
                                                                                                                                                        1263
           assign N S1 Ass S2.
                                                                                   beta (lam Bo) [H | L] R :- beta (Bo H) L R.
1206
                                                                                                                                                        1264
         /* prune different arguments */
                                                                                  beta (app A) L (app X) :- append A L X.
                                                                                                                                                        1265
1207
1208
         prune! N A1 N A2 S1 S3 :- !,
                                                                                  beta (con H) L (app [con H | L]).
                                                                                                                                                        1266
1209
           new S1 W S2, prune-same-variable W A1 A2 [] Ass,
                                                                                  beta X L (app[X|L]) :- name X.
                                                                                                                                                        1267
1210
            assign N S2 Ass S3.
          /* prune to the intersection of scopes */
                                                                                   /* occur check for N before crossing a functor */
1212
         prune! N A1 M A2 S1 S4 :- !,
                                                                                   type not_occ address -> subst -> tm -> o.
           new S1 W S2, prune-diff-variables W A1 A2 Ass1 Ass2,
                                                                                   not_occ N S (uva M Args) :- set? M S F,
1213
                                                                                                                                                        1271
1214
            assign N S2 Ass1 S3,
                                                                                     move F Args T, not_occ N S T.
                                                                                                                                                        1272
1215
           assign M S3 Ass2 S4.
                                                                                   not_occ N S (uva M Args) :- unset? M S, not (M = N),
                                                                                                                                                        1273
                                                                                     forall1 (not_occ_aux N S) Args.
1216
                                                                                                                                                        1274
         type prune-same-variable address -> list tm -> list tm ->
1217
                                                                                  not_occ _ _ (con _).
                                                                                                                                                        1275
1218
                                                                                                                                                        1276
                                                                            11
```

```
1277
         not_occ N S (app L) :- not_occ_aux N S (app L).
                                                                                 typeabbrev mappings (list mapping).
                                                                                                                                                     1335
         /* Note: lam is a functor for the meta language! */
1278
                                                                                                                                                     1336
1279
         not_occ N S (lam L) :- pi x\ not_occ_aux N S (L x).
                                                                                 typeabbrev scope (list tm).
                                                                                                                                                     1337
         not_occ _ _ X :- name X.
1281
         /* finding N is ok */
                                                                                 kind linkctx type.
                                                                                                                                                     1339
         not_occ N _ (uva N _).
                                                                                 type link-eta tm -> tm -> linkctx.
1282
                                                                                                                                                     1340
1283
                                                                                 type link-beta tm -> tm -> linkctx.
                                                                                                                                                     1341
         /* occur check for X after crossing a functor */
                                                                                                                                                     1342
1284
1285
         type not_occ_aux address -> subst -> tm -> o.
                                                                                 macro @val-link-eta T1 T2 :- ho.val (link-eta T1 T2).
                                                                                                                                                     1343
         not_occ_aux N S (uva M _) :- unset? M S, not (N = M).
                                                                                 macro @val-link-beta T1 T2 :- ho.val (link-beta T1 T2).
                                                                                                                                                     1344
         not_occ_aux N S (uva M Args) :- set? M S F,
           move F Args T, not_occ_aux N S T.
                                                                                 typeabbrev link (ho.inctx linkctx).
                                                                                                                                                     1346
         not_occ_aux N S (app L) :- forall1 (not_occ_aux N S) L.
1289
                                                                                                                                                     1347
         not_occ_aux N S (lam F) :- pi x\ not_occ_aux N S (F x).
                                                                                 typeabbrev links (list link).
1290
                                                                                                                                                     1348
1291
         not_occ_aux _ _ (con _).
                                                                                                                                                     1349
1292
         not_occ_aux _ _ X :- name X.
                                                                                                                                                     1350
         /* finding N is ko, hence no rule */
                                                                                 %% x occurs rigidly in t iff \forall \sigma, \forall t', t' =_{\sigma} \sigma t \Rightarrow x \in \mathcal{P}(t')
1293
                                                                                                                                                     1351
         /* copy T T' vails if T contains a free variable. i.e. it
                                                                                 type occurs-rigidly fm -> fm -> o.
                                                                                                                                                     1353
1295
            performs scope checking for bind */
                                                                                                                                                     1354
1296
                                                                                 occurs-rigidly N N.
         type copy tm -> tm -> o.
                                                                                                                                                     1355
1297
                                                                                 occurs-rigidly N (fapp [fuva _|_]) :- !, fail.
         copy (con C)
                        (con C).
                                                                                 occurs-rigidly N (fapp L) :- exists (occurs-rigidly N) L.
                                                                                                                                                     1356
1298
         copy (app L)
                         (app L') :- forall2 copy L L'.
                                                                                 occurs-rigidly N (flam B) :- pi x\ occurs-rigidly N (B x).
                                                                                                                                                     1357
         copy (lam T)
                        (lam T') := pi x copy x x => copy (T x) (T' x).
1301
         copy (uva A L) (uva A L') :- forall2 copy L L'.
                                                                                 /* maybe-eta N T L succeeds iff T could be an eta expasions for ™ that
                                                                                 %% is \exists \sigma, \sigma(\lambda n.t) = \lambda n.t'n and n
         type bind tm -> list tm -> assignment -> o.
                                                                                 \%\% does not occur rigidly in t'
                                                                                                                                                     1361
1303
1304
         bind T [] (val T') :- copy T T'.
                                                                                 type maybe-eta fm -> fm -> list fm -> o.
                                                                                                                                                     1362
1305
         bind T [X | TL] (abs T') :- pi x \land copy X x \Rightarrow bind T TL (T' x).
                                                                                 maybe-eta N (fapp[fuva _[Args]) _ :- !,
                                                                                                                                                     1363
                                                                                   exists (x\ maybe-eta-of [] N x) Args, !.
1306
1307
         type deref subst -> tm -> tm -> o.
                                                                                 maybe-eta N (flam B) L :- !, pi x\ maybe-eta N (B x) [x | L]. 1365
         deref H (uva N L) X
                                                                                 maybe-eta _ (fapp [fcon _|Args]) L :-
1308
                                       :- set? N H T.
           move T L X', deref H X' X.
                                                                                   split-last-n {len L} Args First Last,
1309
                                                                                                                                                     1367
         deref H (app L) (app L1) :- forall2 (deref H) L L1.
                                                                                   forall1 (x\ forall1 (y\ not (occurs-rigidly x y)) First) L,
1310
                                                                                                                                                     1368
1311
         deref \_ (con X) (con X).
                                                                                   forall2 (maybe-eta-of []) {rev L} Last.
                                                                                                                                                     1369
1312
         deref H (uva X L) (uva X L1) :- unset? X H,
                                                                                                                                                     1370
1313
           forall2 (deref H) L L1.
                                                                                 %% is \exists \sigma, \sigma t =_{\sigma} n
                                                                                                                                                     1371
1314
         deref H (lam F) (lam G)
                                        :- pi x\ deref H (F x) (G x).
                                                                                 type maybe-eta-of list fm -> fm -> o.
                                                                                                                                                     1372
                                                                                 maybe-eta-of _ N N :- !.
         deref _ N
                            N
1315
                                        :- name N.
                                                                                 maybe-eta-of L N (fapp[fuva _[Args]) :- !,
                                                                                                                                                     1374
1316
         type deref-assmt subst -> assignment -> o.
1317
                                                                                   forall1 (x\ exists (maybe-eta-of [] x) Args) [N|L].
                                                                                                                                                     1375
1318
         deref-assmt S (abs T) (abs R) :- pi \times deref-assmt S (T \times x) (R \times x). maybe-eta-of L N (flam B) :- !,
                                                                                                                                                     1376
         deref-assmt S (val T) (val R) :- deref S T R.
                                                                                   pi x\ maybe-eta-of [x | L] N (B x).
                                                                                                                                                     1377
1319
1320
                                                                                 maybe-eta-of L N (fapp [N|Args]) :-
                                                                                                                                                     1378
1321
                                                                                   last-n {len L} Args R,
                                                                                                                                                     1379
       14 THE COMPILER
1322
                                                                                   forall2 (maybe-eta-of []) R {rev L}.
                                                                                                                                                     1380
1323
         kind arity type.
                                                                                                                                                     1381
1324
         type arity nat -> arity.
                                                                                 type locally-bound tm -> o.
                                                                                                                                                     1382
1325
                                                                                 type get-scope-aux tm -> list tm -> o.
                                                                                                                                                     1383
         kind fvariable type.
1326
                                                                                 get-scope-aux (con _) [].
                                                                                                                                                     1384
         type fv address -> fvariable.
                                                                                 get-scope-aux (uva _ L) L1 :-
                                                                                   forall2 get-scope-aux L R,
         kind hvariable type.
                                                                                   flatten R L1.
                                                                                                                                                     1387
1329
         type hv address -> arity -> hvariable.
1330
                                                                                 get-scope-aux (lam B) L1 :-
                                                                                                                                                     1388
1331
                                                                                   pi x \setminus locally-bound x \Rightarrow get-scope-aux (B x) L1.
                                                                                                                                                     1389
                                                                                                                                                     1390
         kind mapping type.
1332
                                                                                 get-scope-aux (app L) L1 :-
         type mapping fvariable -> hvariable -> mapping.
1333
                                                                                   forall2 get-scope-aux L R,
                                                                                                                                                     1391
1334
                                                                                                                                                     1392
                                                                          12
```

```
1393
           flatten R I 1
                                                                               type compile-terms-diagnostic
                                                                                                                                                  1451
1394
         get-scope-aux X [X] :- name X, not (locally-bound X).
                                                                                 triple diagnostic fm fm ->
                                                                                                                                                  1452
1395
         get-scope-aux X [] :- name X, (locally-bound X).
                                                                                 triple diagnostic tm tm ->
                                                                                                                                                  1453
                                                                                 mappings -> mappings ->
                                                                                                                                                  1454
1397
         %% TODO: scrivere undup
                                                                                 links -> links ->
                                                                                                                                                  1455
         get-scope T Scope :-'
                                                                                 subst -> subst -> o.
1398
                                                                                                                                                  1456
1399
           get-scope-aux T ScopeDuplicata,
                                                                               compile-terms-diagnostic (triple D FO1 FO2) (triple D HO1 HO2) M457M3 L1
           names N, filter N (mem ScopeDuplicata) Scope.
                                                                                 comp F01 H01 M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2,
1400
                                                                                                                                                  1458
1401
                                                                                 comp F02 H02 M2 M3 L2 L3 S2 S3.
                                                                                                                                                  1459
         type close-links (tm -> links) -> links -> o.
                                                                                                                                                  1460
         close-links (_\[]) [].
                                                                               type compile-terms
         close-links (v \in XS  v) [L|YS] :- !, close-links XS YS.
                                                                                 list (triple diagnostic fm fm) ->
                                                                                                                                                  1462
1404
         close-links (v\setminus[(L\ v)\mid XS\ v]) [ho.abs L|YS] :- !,
                                                                                 list (triple diagnostic tm tm) ->
1405
                                                                                                                                                  1463
           close-links XS YS.
                                                                                 mappings -> links -> subst -> o.
1406
                                                                                                                                                  1464
1407
                                                                               compile-terms T H M L S :-
                                                                                                                                                  1465
1408
         type comp-lam (fm \rightarrow fm) \rightarrow (tm \rightarrow tm) \rightarrow
                                                                                 fold6 compile-terms-diagnostic T H [] M_ [] L_ [] S_,
                                                                                                                                                  1466
           mappings -> mappings -> links -> links -> subst ->
                                                                                 deduplicate-mappings M_ M S_ S L_ L.
1409
                                                                                                                                                  1467
1410
             subst -> o.
                                                                                                                                                  1468
         comp-lam F F1 M1 M2 L L2 S S1 :-
                                                                               type make-eta-link-aux nat -> address -> address ->
                                                                                                                                                  1469
1411
           pi x y\ (pi M L S\ comp x y M M L L S S) =>
                                                                                 list tm -> links -> subst -> o.
                                                                                                                                                  1470
1412
             comp (F x) (F1 y) M1 M2 L (L1 y) S S1,
                                                                               make-eta-link-aux z Ad1 Ad2 Scope1 L H1 H1 :-
                                                                                                                                                  1471
1413
1414
               close-links L1 L2.
                                                                                 rev Scope1 Scope, eta-expand (uva Ad2 Scope) @one T1,
                                                                                                                                                  1472
1415
                                                                                 L = [@val-link-eta (uva Ad1 Scope) T1].
                                                                                                                                                  1473
1416
         type comp fm -> tm -> mappings -> mappings -> links -> links ->
                                                                               make-eta-link-aux (s N) Ad1 Ad2 Scope1 L H1 H3 :-
                                                                                                                                                  1474
1417
           subst -> subst -> o.
                                                                                 rev Scope1 Scope, alloc H1 Ad H2,
                                                                                                                                                  1476
1418
         comp (fcon C) (con C)
                                      M1 M1 L1 L1 S1 S1.
                                                                                 eta-expand (uva Ad Scope) @one T2,
                                                                                 (pi x\ make-eta-link-aux N Ad Ad2 [x|Scope1] (L1 x) H2 H3),
         comp (flam F) (uva A Scope) M1 M2 L1 L3 S1 S3 :-
1419
                                                                                                                                                  1477
1420
           (pi x\ maybe-eta x (F x) [x]), !,
                                                                                 close-links L1 L2,
1421
             alloc S1 A S2,
                                                                                 L = [@val-link-eta (uva Ad1 Scope) T2 | L2].
                                                                                                                                                  1479
             comp-lam F F1 M1 M2 L1 L2 S2 S3,
1422
                                                                                                                                                  1480
                                                                               type make-eta-link nat -> nat -> address -> address ->
1423
             get-scope (lam F1) Scope,
                                                                                                                                                  1481
             L3 = [@val-link-eta (uva A Scope) (lam F1) | L2].
                                                                                       list tm -> links -> subst -> o.
                                                                                                                                                  1482
1424
                                                                               make-eta-link (s N) z Ad1 Ad2 Vars L H H1 :-
         comp (flam F) (lam F1)
                                     M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2 :-
                                                                                                                                                  1483
1425
           comp-lam F F1 M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2.
                                                                                 make-eta-link-aux N Ad2 Ad1 Vars L H H1.
                                                                                                                                                  1484
1426
1427
         comp (fuva A) (uva B []) M1 M2 L L S S1 :-
                                                                               make-eta-link z (s N) Ad1 Ad2 Vars L H H1 :-
                                                                                                                                                  1485
           alloc-mapping M1 M2 (fv A) (hv B (arity z)) S S1.
                                                                                 make-eta-link-aux N Ad1 Ad2 Vars L H H1.
                                                                                                                                                  1486
                                                                               make-eta-link (s N) (s M) Ad1 Ad2 Vars Links H H1 :-
         comp (fapp [fuva A|Scope]) (uva B Scope1) M1 M2 L1 L1 S1 S2 :-
                                                                                                                                                  1487
           pattern-fragment Scope, !,
                                                                                 (pi x\ make-eta-link N M Ad1 Ad2 [x|Vars] (L x) H H1),
             fold6 comp Scope Scope1 M1 M1 L1 L1 S1 S1,
                                                                                 close-links L Links.
1431
             len Scope Arity,
                                                                                                                                                  1490
1432
             alloc-mapping M1 M2 (fv A) (hv B (arity Arity)) S1 S2.
1433
                                                                               type deduplicate-mappings mappings -> mappings ->
                                                                                                                                                  1491
1434
         comp (fapp [fuva A|Args]) (uva C Scope) M1 M3 L1 L3 S1 S4 :- !,
                                                                                   subst -> subst -> links -> o.
                                                                                                                                                  1492
           pattern-fragment-prefix Args Pf Extra,
                                                                               deduplicate-mappings [] [] H H L L.
1435
1436
             fold6 comp Pf
                               Scope1 M1 M1 L1 L1 S1 S1,
                                                                               deduplicate-mappings [(mapping (fv 0) (hv M (arity LenM)) as X1)49 Map1]
1437
             fold6 comp Extra Extra1 M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2,
                                                                                 take-list Map1 (mapping (fv 0) (hv M' (arity LenM'))) _, !, 1495
             len Pf Arity,
                                                                                 std.assert! (not (LenM = LenM')) "Deduplicate mappings, there1⊕s a bug
1438
                                                                                 print "arity-fix links:" {ppmapping X1} "~!~" {ppmapping (mappeng (fv
             alloc-mapping M2 M3 (fv A) (hv B (arity Arity)) S2 S3,
1439
                                                                                 make-eta-link LenM LenM' M M' [] New H1 H2,
1440
             Beta = app [uva B Scope1 | Extra1],
                                                                                                                                                  1498
1441
             get-scope Beta Scope,
                                                                                 print "new eta link" {pplinks New},
                                                                                                                                                  1499
1442
             alloc S3 C S4.
                                                                                 append New L1 L2.
                                                                                                                                                  1500
1443
             L3 = [@val-link-beta (uva C Scope) Beta | L2].
                                                                                 deduplicate-mappings Map1 Map2 H2 H3 L2 L3.
                                     M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2 :-
                                                                               deduplicate-mappings [A|As] [A|Bs] H1 H2 L1 L2 :-
1444
         comp (fapp A) (app A1)
                                                                                 deduplicate-mappings As Bs H1 H2 L1 L2, !.
           fold6 comp A A1 M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2.
                                                                                                                                                  1503
1445
1446
                                                                               deduplicate-mappings [A|_] _ H _ _ _ :-
                                                                                                                                                  1504
1447
         type alloc mem A -> address -> mem A -> o.
                                                                                 halt "deduplicating mapping error" {ppmapping A} {ho.ppsubst ₺₱₹
         alloc S N S1 :- mem.new S N S1.
1448
                                                                                                                                                  1506
1449
                                                                                                                                                  1507
1450
                                                                                                                                                  1508
                                                                         13
```

```
15 THE PROGRESS FUNCTION
1509
                                                                            append Scope1 L1 Scope1L,
                                                                                                                                         1567
1510
                                                                            pattern-fragment-prefix Scope1L Scope2 L2,
                                                                                                                                         1568
        macro @one :- s z.
1511
                                                                            not (Scope1 = Scope2), !,
                                                                                                                                         1569
1512
                                                                            mem.new S1 Ad2 S2,
        type contract-rigid list ho.tm -> ho.tm -> o.
1513
                                                                            len Scope1 Scope1Len,
                                                                                                                                         1571
        contract-rigid L (ho.lam F) T :-
          1514
                                                                            make-eta-link Scope1Len Scope2Len Ad1 Ad2 [] LinkEta S2 S3,
1515
        contract-rigid L (ho.app [H|Args]) T :-
                                                                            if (L2 = []) (NewLinks = LinkEta, T2 = ho.uva Ad2 Scope2)
                                                                                                                                         1574
1516
          rev L LRev, append Prefix LRev Args,
1517
                                                                               (T2 = ho.app [ho.uva Ad2 Scope2 | L2],
          if (Prefix = []) (T = H) (T = ho.app [H|Prefix]).
1518
                                                                              NewLinks = [@val-link-beta T T2 | LinkEta]).
                                                                                                                                         1576
        type progress-eta-link ho.tm -> ho.tm -> ho.subst -> ho.subst -> links -> o.
        progress-eta-link (ho.app _ as T) (ho.lam x\ _ as T1) H H1 [] :- !,progress-beta-link T1 (ho.app[ho.uva _ _ | _] as T2) _ _ _ :-
1520
                                                                            not (T1 = ho.uva _ _), !, fail.
1521
           ({eta-expand T @one} == 1 T1) H H1.
1522
        progress-eta-link (ho.con \_ as T) (ho.lam x\ \_ as T1) H H1 [] :- !,
1523
                                                                          progress-beta-link (ho.uva _ _ as T) (ho.app[ho.uva _ _ | _] as152) S1 _
           (\{eta-expand T @one\} == 1 T1) H H1.
1524
                                                                            occur-check-err T T2 S1, !, fail.
                                                                                                                                         1582
        progress-eta-link (ho.lam _ as T) T1 H H1 [] :- !,
1525
                                                                                                                                         1583
           (T == 1 T1) H H1.
1526
                                                                          progress-beta-link T1 (ho.app[ho.uva _ _ | _] as T2) H H [@val-limak-beta
        progress-eta-link (ho.uva _ _ as X) T H H1 [] :-
1527
          contract-rigid [] T T1, !, (X ==1 T1) H H1.
        progress-eta-link (ho.uva Ad _ as T1) T2 H H [@val-link-eta T1 T2] progress-beta-link T1 (ho.app [Hd | T1]) S1 S2 B :-
1528
                                                                                                                                         1586
                                                                            ho.beta Hd Tl T3.
                                                                                                                                         1587
1529
          if (ho.not_occ Ad H T2) true fail.
                                                                            progress-beta-link-aux T1 T3 S1 S2 B.
1530
                                                                                                                                         1588
1531
        type is-in-pf ho.tm -> o.
                                                                          type solve-link-abs link -> links -> ho.subst -> ho.subst -> o.1590
        is-in-pf (ho.app [ho.uva _ _ | _]) :- !, fail.
1533
                                                                          solve-link-abs (ho.abs X) R H H1 :-
        is-in-pf (ho.lam B) :- !, pi x\ is-in-pf (B x).
                                                                            pi x\ ho.copy x x \Rightarrow (pi S\ ho.deref S x x) \Rightarrow
1534
        is-in-pf (ho.con _).
                                                                              solve-link-abs (X x) (R' x) H H1,
                                                                                                                                         1593
1535
        is-in-pf (ho.app L) :- forall1 is-in-pf L.
                                                                            close-links R' R.
1536
                                                                                                                                         1594
        is-in-pf N :- name N.
1537
                                                                                                                                         1595
        is-in-pf (ho.uva _ L) :- pattern-fragment L.
                                                                          solve-link-abs (@val-link-eta A B) NewLinks S S1 :- !,
1538
                                                                                                                                         1596
1539
                                                                            progress-eta-link A B S S1 NewLinks.
        type arity ho.tm -> nat -> o.
1540
        arity (ho.con _) z.
                                                                          solve-link-abs (@val-link-beta A B) NewLinks S S1 :- !,
                                                                                                                                         1599
1541
        arity (ho.app L) A :- len L A.
                                                                            progress-beta-link A B S S1 NewLinks.
1542
                                                                                                                                         1600
1543
                                                                                                                                         1601
        type occur-check-err ho.tm -> ho.tm -> ho.subst -> o.
1544
                                                                          type take-link link -> links -> link -> links -> o.
                                                                                                                                         1602
        occur-check-err (ho.con _) _ _ :- !.
                                                                           take-link A [B|XS] B XS :- link-abs-same-lhs A B, !.
1545
                                                                                                                                         1603
        occur-check-err (ho.app _) _ _ :- !.
1546
                                                                          take-link A [L|XS] B [L|YS] :- take-link A XS B YS.
        occur-check-err (ho.lam _) _ _ :- !.
1547
        occur-check-err (ho.uva Ad _) T S :-
                                                                          type link-abs-same-lhs link -> link -> o.
                                                                                                                                         1606
1548
          not (ho.not_occ Ad S T).
                                                                          link-abs-same-lhs (ho.abs F) B :-
1549
                                                                                                                                         1607
1550
                                                                            pi x\ link-abs-same-lhs (F x) B.
                                                                                                                                         1608
        type progress-beta-link-aux ho.tm -> ho.tm ->
1551
                                                                          link-abs-same-lhs A (ho.abs G) :-
                                                                                                                                         1609
                ho.subst -> ho.subst -> links -> o.
1552
                                                                            pi x\ link-abs-same-lhs A (G x).
        progress-beta-link-aux T1 T2 S1 S2 [] :- is-in-pf T2, !,
1553
                                                                          link-abs-same-lhs (@val-link-eta (ho.uva N _) _) (@val-link-eta1@ho.uva
          (T1 == 1 T2) S1 S2.
1554
        progress-beta-link-aux T1 T2 S S [@val-link-beta T1 T2] :- !.
1555
                                                                          type same-link-eta link -> link -> ho.subst -> ho.subst -> o. 1613
1556
                                                                          same-link-eta (ho.abs F) B H H1 :- !, pi x\ same-link-eta (F x)164H H1.
        type progress-beta-link ho.tm -> ho.tm -> ho.subst ->
                                                                          same-link-eta A (ho.abs G) H H1 :- !, pi x\ same-link-eta A (G x₀)5H H1.
1557
              ho.subst -> links -> o
        1558
                                                                                        (@val-link-eta (ho.uva N S2) B) H H1 :-
                                                                                                                                         1617
          arity T Arity, len L ArgsNb, ArgsNb >n Arity, !,
                                                                            std.map2 S1 S2 (x\y\r\ r = ho.copy x y) Perm,
1560
          minus ArgsNb Arity Diff, mem.new S V1 S1,
                                                                            Perm => ho.copy A A',
                                                                                                                                         1619
1561
          eta-expand (ho.uva V1 Scope) Diff T1,
                                                                            (A' == 1 B) H H1.
1562
                                                                                                                                         1620
           ((ho.uva V Scope) ==1 T1) S1 S2.
1563
                                                                                                                                         1621
        progress-beta-link (ho.uva _ _ as T) (ho.app[ho.uva Ad1 Scope1 | Ltypes splyeslinks -> links -> ho.subst -> ho.subst -> o.
1564
1565
                                                                           solve-links [] [] X X.
1566
                                                                                                                                         1624
                                                                    14
```

```
1625
         solve-links [A|L1] [A|L3] S S2 :- take-link A L1 B L2, !,
                                                                                 type add-new-mappings ho.subst -> ho.tm -> mappings ->
                                                                                                                                                     1683
1626
           same-link-eta A B S S1.
                                                                                     mappings -> fo.subst -> fo.subst -> o.
                                                                                                                                                     1684
1627
           solve-links L2 L3 S1 S2.
                                                                                 add-new-mappings _ (ho.uva N _) Map [] F1 F1 :-
                                                                                                                                                     1685
         solve-links [L0]L1] L3 S S2 :- deref-link S L0 L,
                                                                                   mem Map (mapping _ (hv N _)), !.
           solve-link-abs L R S S1, !,
                                                                                 add-new-mappings H (ho.uva N L) Map [Map1 | MapL] F1 F3 :-
                                                                                                                                                     1687
1629
           solve-links L1 L2 S1 S2, append R L2 L3.
1630
                                                                                   mem.new F1 M F2.
                                                                                                                                                     1688
                                                                                   len L Arity, Map1 = mapping (fv M) (hv N (arity Arity)),
                                                                                                                                                     1689
1631
                                                                                   add-new-mappings H (ho.app L) [Map1 | Map] MapL F2 F3.
1632
                                                                                                                                                     1690
       16 THE DECOMPILER
1633
                                                                                 add-new-mappings H (ho.lam B) Map NewMap F1 F2 :-
                                                                                                                                                     1691
1634
         type abs->lam ho.assignment -> ho.tm -> o.
                                                                                   pi x\ add-new-mappings H (B x) Map NewMap F1 F2.
                                                                                                                                                     1692
1635
         abs->lam (ho.abs T) (ho.lam R) :- !, pi x\ abs->lam (T x) (R x). add-new-mappings H (ho.app L) Map NewMap F1 F3 :-
                                                                                                                                                     1693
         abs->lam (ho.val A) A.
                                                                                   add-new-mappings-aux H L Map NewMap F1 F3.
1636
                                                                                 add-new-mappings _ (ho.con _) _ [] F F :- !.
1637
                                                                                                                                                     1695
         type commit-links-aux link -> ho.subst -> ho.subst -> o.
                                                                                 add-new-mappings _ N _ [] F F :- name N.
1638
                                                                                                                                                     1696
1639
         commit-links-aux (@val-link-eta T1 T2) H1 H2 :-
                                                                                                                                                     1697
           ho.deref H1 T1 T1', ho.deref H1 T2 T2',
                                                                                 type complete-mapping-under-ass ho.subst -> ho.assignment ->
                                                                                                                                                     1698
1640
           (T1' == 1 T2') H1 H2.
                                                                                   mappings -> mappings -> fo.subst -> fo.subst -> o.
1641
                                                                                                                                                     1699
1642
         commit-links-aux (@val-link-beta T1 T2) H1 H2 :-
                                                                                 complete-mapping-under-ass H (ho.val Val) Map1 Map2 F1 F2 :-
                                                                                                                                                     1700
           ho.deref H1 T1 T1', ho.deref H1 T2 T2',
                                                                                   add-new-mappings H Val Map1 Map2 F1 F2.
1643
                                                                                                                                                     1701
           (T1' == 1 T2') H1 H2.
                                                                                 complete-mapping-under-ass H (ho.abs Abs) Map1 Map2 F1 F2 :-
1644
                                                                                                                                                     1702
         commit-links-aux (ho.abs B) H H1 :-
                                                                                   pi x\ complete-mapping-under-ass H (Abs x) Map1 Map2 F1 F2.
1645
                                                                                                                                                    1703
1646
           pi x\ commit-links-aux (B x) H H1.
                                                                                                                                                     1704
                                                                                 type complete-mapping ho.subst -> ho.subst ->
                                                                                                                                                     1705
         type commit-links links -> links -> ho.subst -> ho.subst -> o.
                                                                                   mappings -> mappings -> fo.subst -> fo.subst -> o.
         commit-links [] [] H H.
                                                                                 complete-mapping _ [] L L F F.
                                                                                 complete-mapping H [none | T1] L1 L2 F1 F2 :-
         commit-links [Abs | Links] L H H2 :-
                                                                                                                                                     1708
1650
           commit-links-aux Abs H H1, !, commit-links Links L H1 H2.
                                                                                   complete-mapping H Tl L1 L2 F1 F2.
1651
                                                                                                                                                     1709
1652
                                                                                 complete-mapping H [some T0 | T1] L1 L3 F1 F3 :-
                                                                                                                                                     1710
1653
         type decompl-subst mappings -> mappings -> ho.subst ->
                                                                                   ho.deref-assmt H T0 T,
                                                                                                                                                     1711
                                                                                   complete-mapping-under-ass H T L1 L2 F1 F2,
           fo.subst -> o.
1654
                                                                                                                                                     1712
1655
         decompl-subst _ [A]_] _ _ :- fail.
                                                                                   append L1 L2 LAll,
                                                                                                                                                     1713
         decompl-subst _ [] _ F F.
                                                                                   complete-mapping H Tl LAll L3 F2 F3.
                                                                                                                                                     1714
1656
         decompl-subst Map [mapping (fv V0) (hv VM _)[T1] H F F2 :-
                                                                                                                                                     1715
1657
           mem.set? VM H T, !,
                                                                                 type decompile mappings -> links -> ho.subst ->
                                                                                                                                                     1716
1658
1659
           ho.deref-assmt H T TTT,
                                                                                   fo.subst -> fo.subst -> o.
                                                                                                                                                     1717
           abs->lam TTT T', tm->fm Map T' T1,
                                                                                 decompile Map1 L HO FO FO2 :-
                                                                                                                                                     1718
           fo.eta-contract T1 T2, mem.assign V0 F T2 F1,
                                                                                   commit-links L L1_ HO HO1, !,
                                                                                                                                                     1719
           decompl-subst Map Tl H F1 F2.
                                                                                   complete-mapping HO1 HO1 Map1 Map2 FO FO1,
         decompl-subst Map [mapping _ (hv VM _)|T1] H F F2 :-
                                                                                   decompl-subst Map2 Map2 H01 F01 F02.
                                                                                                                                                     1721
1663
           mem.unset? VM H, decompl-subst Map T1 H F F2.
1664
                                                                                                                                                     1722
1665
                                                                                                                                                     1723
                                                                              17 AUXILIARY FUNCTIONS
1666
         type tm->fm mappings -> ho.tm -> fo.fm -> o.
                                                                                                                                                     1724
                                                                                 type fold4 (A \rightarrow A1 \rightarrow B \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow C \rightarrow o) \rightarrow list A \rightarrow
         tm->fm _ (ho.con C) (fo.fcon C).
1667
                                                                                                                                                     1725
                                                                                   list A1 \rightarrow B \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow C \rightarrow o.
         tm->fm L (ho.lam B1) (fo.flam B2) :-
1668
                                                                                                                                                     1726
                                                                                 fold4 _ [] [] A A B B.
           pi \times y \to m->fm x y \Rightarrow tm->fm L (B1 x) (B2 y).
                                                                                                                                                     1727
1669
                                                                                 fold4 F [X|XS] [Y|YS] A A1 B B1 :- F X Y A A0 B B0,
1670
         tm->fm L (ho.app L1) T :- forall2 (tm->fm L) L1 [Hd|T1],
                                                                                                                                                     1728
                                                                                   fold4 F XS YS A0 A1 B0 B1.
1671
           fo.mk-app Hd Tl T.
                                                                                                                                                     1729
1672
         tm->fm L (ho.uva VM TL) T :- mem L (mapping (fv VO) (hv VM _)),
                                                                                                                                                     1730
                                                                                 type len list A -> nat -> o.
1673
           forall2 (tm->fm L) TL T1, fo.mk-app (fo.fuva V0) T1 T.
                                                                                                                                                     1731
                                                                                 len [] z.
1674
                                                                                                                                                     1732
                                                                                 len [\_|L] (s X) :- len L X.
         type add-new-mappings-aux ho.subst -> list ho.tm -> mappings ->
               mappings -> fo.subst -> fo.subst -> o.
                                                                                                                                                     1734
         add-new-mappings-aux _ [] _ [] S S.
1677
                                                                                                                                                     1735
1678
         add-new-mappings-aux H [T|Ts] L L2 S S2 :-
                                                                                                                                                     1736
1679
           add-new-mappings H T L L1 S S1,
                                                                                                                                                     1737
           add-new-mappings-aux H Ts L1 L2 S1 S2.
1680
                                                                                                                                                     1738
1681
                                                                                                                                                     1739
1682
                                                                                                                                                     1740
                                                                          15
```