HO unification from object language to meta language

Davide Fissore davide.fissore@inria.fr Université Côte d'Azur, Inria France Enrico Tassi enrico.tassi@inria.fr Université Côte d'Azur, Inria France

ABSTRACT

Specifying and implementing a logic from scratch requires significant effort. Logical Frameworks and Higher Order Logic Programming Languages provide dedicated, high-level Meta Languages (ML) to facilitate this task in two key ways: 1) variable binding and substitution are simplified when ML binders represent object logic ones; 2) proof construction, and even proof search, is greatly simplified by leveraging the unification procedure provided by the ML. Notable examples of ML are Elf [13], Twelf [14], λ Prolog [10] and Isabelle [20] which have been utilized to implement various formal systems such as First Order Logic [5], Set Theory [12], Higher Order Logic [11], and even the Calculus of Constuctions [4].

The object logic we are interested in is Coq's [18] Dependent Type Theory (DTT), for which we aim to implement a unification procedure \simeq_o using the ML Elpi [3], a dialect of λ Prolog. Elpi's equational theory comprises $\eta\beta$ equivalence and comes equipped with a higher order unification procedure \simeq_λ restricted to the pattern fragment [9]. We want \simeq_o to be as powerful as \simeq_λ but on the object logic DTT. Elpi also comes with an encoding for DTT that works well for meta-programming [17, 16, 7, 6]. Unfortunately this encoding, which we refer to as \mathcal{F}_o , "underuses" \simeq_λ by restricting it to first-order unification problems only. To address this issue, we propose a better-behaved encoding, \mathcal{H}_o , demonstrate how to map unification problems in \mathcal{F}_o to related problems in \mathcal{H}_o , and illustrate how to map back the unifiers found by \simeq_λ , effectively implementing \simeq_o on top of \simeq_λ for the encoding \mathcal{F}_o .

We apply this technique to the implementation of a type-class [19] solver for Coq [18]. Type-class solvers are proof search procedures based on unification that back-chain designated lemmas, providing essential automation to widely used Coq libraries such as Stdpp/Iris [8] and TLC [1]. These two libraries constitute our test bed.

KEYWORDS

Logic Programming, Meta-Programming, Higher-Order Unification, Proof Automation

ACM Reference Format:

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than the author(s) must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

Conference'17, July 2017, Washington, DC, USA

© 2024 Copyright held by the owner/author(s). Publication rights licensed to ACM. ACM ISBN 978-x-xxxx-xxxx-x/YY/MM

https://doi.org/ZZZZZZZZZZZZZ

1 INTRODUCTION

Specifying and implementing a logic from scratch requires significant effort. Logical Frameworks and Higher Order Logic Programming Languages provide dedicated, high-level Meta Languages (ML) to facilitate this task in two key ways: 1) variable binding and substitution are simplified when ML binders represent object logic ones; 2) proof construction, and even proof search, is greatly simplified by leveraging the unification procedure provided by the ML. Notable examples of ML are Elf [13], Twelf [14], λ Prolog [10] and Isabelle [20] which have been utilized to implement various formal systems such as First Order Logic [5], Set Theory [12], Higher Order Logic [11], and even the Calculus of Constuctions [4].

The object logic we are interested in is Coq's [18] Dependent Type Theory (DTT), and we want to code a type-class [19] solver for Coq [18] using the Coq-Elpi [17] meta programming framework. Type-class solvers are unification based proof search procedures that combine a set of designated lemmas in order to providing essential automation to widely used Coq libraries.

As the running example we take the Decide type class, from the Stdpp [8] library. The class identifies predicates equipped with a decision procedure. The following three designated lemmas (called Instances in the type-class jargon) state that: 1) the type fin n, of natural numbers smaller than n is finite; 2) the predicate nfact n nf, linking a natural number n to its prime factors nf, is decidable; 3) the universal closure of a predicate has a decision procedure if the predicate has and if its domain is finite.

```
Instance fin_fin n : Finite (fin n). (* r1 *)
Instance nfact_dec n nf : Decision (nfact n nf). (* r2 *)
Instance forall_dec A P : Finite A \rightarrow (* r3 *)
\forall x:A, \ Decision \ (P \ x) \rightarrow Decision \ (\forall x:A, \ P \ x).
```

Under this context of instances a type-class solver is able to prove the following statement automatically by back-chaining.

```
Check _ : Decision (forall y: fin 7, nfact y 3). (g)
```

The encoding of DTT provided by Elpi, that we will discuss at length later in section ?? and ??, is an Higher Order Abstract Syntax (HOAS) datatype tm featuring (among others) the following constructors:

Following standard $\lambda Prolog~[10]$ the concrete syntax to abstract, at the meta level, an expression e over a variable x is «x\ e», and square brackets denote a list of terms separated by comma. As an example we show the encoding of the Coq term « $\forall y:t,~nfact~y~3$ »:

```
all (con"t") y\ app[con"nfact", y, con"3"]
```

We now illustrate the encoding of the three instances above as higher-order logic-programming rules: capital letters denote rule parameters; :- separates the rule's head from the premises; pi w\ p introduces a fresh nominal constant w for the premise p.

finite (app[con"fin", N]). (r1)

decision (app [con"nfact", N, NF]). (r2)

decision (all A x\ app[P, x]) :- finite A, (r3)

pi w\ decision (app[P, w]).

Unfortunately this translation of rule (r3) uses the predicate P as a first order term: for the meta language its type is tm. If we try to backchain the rule (r3) on the encoding of the goal (g) given below

```
decision (all (app[con"fin", con"7"]) y\
  app[con"nfact", y, con"3"]).
```

we obtain an unsolvable unification problem (p): the two lists of terms have different lengths!

```
app[con"nfact", y, con"3"] = app[P, y] (p)
```

In this paper we study a more sophisticated encoding of Coq terms allowing us to rephrase the problematic rule (r3) as follows:

```
decision (all A \times Pm \times) :- decomp Pm P A, finite A, (r3a) pi \times decision (app[P, \times]).
```

Since Pm is an higher-order unification variable of type tm -> tm, with x in its scope, the unification problem (p') admits one solution:

After unifying the head of rule (r3a) with the goal, Elpi runs the premise «decomp Pm A P» that is in charge of bringing the assignment for Pm back to the domain tm of Coq terms:

```
P = lam A a\ app[con"nfact", a, con"3"]
```

This simple example is sufficient to show that the encoding we seek is not trivial and does not only concern the head of rules, but the entire sequence of unification problems that constitute the execution of a logic program. In fact the solution for P above generates a (Coq) β -redex in the second premise (the predicate under the pi w\):

```
decision (app[lam A (a\ app[con"nfact", a, con"3"]), w])
```

In turn this redex prevents the rule (r2) to backchain properly since the following unification problem has no solution:

```
app[lam A (a\ app[con"nfact", a, con"3"]), x] =
app[con"nfact", N, NF]
```

The root cause of the problems we sketched in the running example is that the unification procedure \simeq_{λ} of the meta language is not aware of the equational theory of the object logic, even if both theories include $\eta\beta$ -conversion and admit most general unifiers for unification problems in the pattern fragment \mathcal{L}_{λ} [9].

Contributions. In this paper we discuss alternative encodings of Coq in Elpi (Section ??), then we identify a minimal language \mathcal{F}_0 in which the problems sketched here can be fully described. We then detail an encoding comp from \mathcal{F}_0 to \mathcal{H}_0 (the language of the meta language) and a decoding decomp to relate the unifiers bla

bla.. TODO citare Teyjus. The code discussed in the paper can be accessed at the URL: https://github.com/FissoreD/paper-ho.

2 PROBLEM STATEMENT

The equational theory of Coq's Dependent Type Theory is very rich. In addition to the usual $\eta\beta$ -equivalence for functions, terms (hence types) are compared up to proposition unfolding and fixpoint unrolling. Still, for efficiency and predictability reasons, most form of automatic proof search employ a unification procedure that captures a simpler one, just $\eta\beta$, and that solves higher-order problems restricted to the pattern fragment \mathcal{L}_{λ} [9]. We call this unification procedure \simeq_o .

The equational theory of the meta language Elpi that we want to use to implement a form of proof automation is strikingly similar, since it it comprises $\eta\beta$ (for the meta language functions), and the unification procedure \simeq_{λ} solves higher-order problems in \mathcal{L}_{λ} .

In spite of the similarity the link between \simeq_{λ} and \simeq_{o} is not trivial, since the abstraction and application term constructors the two unification procedures deal with are different. For example

One could ignore this similarity, and "just" describe the object language unification procedure in the meta language, that is crafting a unif predicate to be used as follows in rule (r3):

```
decision X :- unif X (all A x\ app[P, x]), finite A,
  pi x\ decision (app[P, x]).
```

This choice would underuse the logic programming engine provided by the metalanguage since by removing any datum from the head of rules indexing degenerates. Moreover the unification procedure built in the meta language is likely to be faster than one implemented in it, especially if the meta language is interpreted as Elpi is.

To state precisely the problem we solve we need a \mathcal{F}_0 representation of DTT terms and a \mathcal{H}_0 one. We call $=_0$ the equality over ground terms in \mathcal{F}_0 , $=_{\lambda}$ the equality over ground terms in \mathcal{H}_0 , \simeq_0 the unification procedure we want to implement and \simeq_{λ} the one provided by the meta language. TODO extend $=_0$ and $=_{\lambda}$ with reflexivity on uvars.

We write $t_1 \simeq_{\lambda} t_2 \mapsto \sigma$ when t_1 and t_2 unify with substitution σ ; we write σt for the application of the substitution to t, and $\sigma X = \{\sigma t | t \in X\}$ when X is a set; we write $\sigma \subseteq \sigma'$ when σ is more general than σ' . We assume that the unification of our meta language is correct:

$$t_i \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda} \Rightarrow t_1 \simeq_{\lambda} t_2 \mapsto \rho \Rightarrow \rho t_1 =_{\lambda} \rho t_2$$
 (1)

$$t_i \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda} \Rightarrow \rho t_1 =_{\lambda} \rho t_2 \Rightarrow \exists \rho', t_1 \simeq_{\lambda} t_2 \mapsto \rho' \wedge \rho' \subseteq \rho$$
 (2)

We illustrate a compilation $\langle s \rangle \mapsto (t, m, l)$ that maps a term s in \mathcal{F}_0 to a term t in \mathcal{H}_0 , a variable mapping m and list of links l. The variable map connects unification variables in \mathcal{H}_0 with variables in \mathcal{F}_0 and is used to "decompile" the assignment, $\langle \sigma, m, l \rangle^{-1} \mapsto \rho$. Links represent problematic sub-terms which are linked to the

fix300

unification variable that stands in their place in the compiled term. These links are checked for or progress XXX improve....

We represent a logic program run in \mathcal{F}_o as a list $steps\ p$ of length \mathcal{N} . Each made of a unification problem between terms \mathcal{S}_{p_I} and \mathcal{S}_{p_r} taken from the set of all terms \mathcal{S} . The composition of these steps starting from the empty substitution ρ_0 produces the final substitution $\rho_{\mathcal{N}}$. The initial here ρ_0 is the empty substitution

$$\begin{split} \text{fstep}(\mathcal{S}, p, \rho) &\mapsto \rho^{\prime\prime} \stackrel{def}{=\!\!\!=} \rho \mathcal{S}_{p_l} \simeq_o \rho \mathcal{S}_{p_r} \mapsto \rho^{\prime} \wedge \rho^{\prime\prime} = \rho \cup \rho^{\prime} \\ \text{frun}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{N}) &\mapsto \rho_{\mathcal{N}} \stackrel{def}{=\!\!\!=} \bigwedge_{p=1}^{\mathcal{N}} \text{fstep}(\mathcal{S}, p, \rho_{p-1}) \mapsto \rho_p \end{split}$$

We simulate each run in \mathcal{F}_0 with a run in \mathcal{H}_0 as follows. Note that σ_0 is the empty substitution.

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{hstep}(\mathcal{T}, p, \sigma, \mathbb{L}) &\mapsto (\sigma'', \mathbb{L}') \stackrel{def}{=} \\ \sigma \mathcal{T}_{p_{l}} &\simeq_{\lambda} \sigma \mathcal{T}_{p_{r}} \mapsto \sigma' \wedge \operatorname{progress}(\mathbb{L}, \sigma \cup \sigma') \mapsto (\mathbb{L}', \sigma'') \\ \operatorname{hrun}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{N}) &\mapsto \rho_{\mathcal{N}} \stackrel{def}{=} \\ \mathcal{T} &\times \mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{L}_{0} = \{(t_{j}, m_{j}, l_{j}) | s_{j} \in \mathcal{S}, \langle s_{j} \rangle \mapsto (t_{j}, m_{j}, l_{j})\} \\ &\wedge_{p=1}^{\mathcal{N}} \operatorname{hstep}(\mathcal{T}, p, \sigma_{p-1}, \mathbb{L}_{p-1}) \mapsto (\sigma_{p}, \mathbb{L}_{p}) \\ &\langle \sigma_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathbb{M}, \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{N}} \rangle^{-1} \mapsto \rho_{\mathcal{N}} \end{split}$$

Here hstep is made of two sub-steps: a call to \approx_{λ} (on the compiled terms) and a call to progress on the set of links. We claim the following:

Proposition 2.1 (Simulation). $\forall S, \forall N$,

$$frun(S, N) \mapsto \rho_N \Leftrightarrow hrun(S, N) \mapsto \rho_N$$

That is, the two executions give the same result. Moreover:

Proposition 2.2 (Simulation fidelity). In the context of hrun, if $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$ we have that $\forall p \in 1 ... \mathcal{N}$,

$$\mathsf{fstep}(\mathcal{S}, p, \rho_{p-1}) \mapsto \rho_p \Leftrightarrow \mathsf{hstep}(\mathcal{T}, p, \sigma_{p-1}, \mathbb{L}) \mapsto (\sigma_p, _)$$

In particular this property guarantees that a *failure* in the \mathcal{F}_o run is matched by a failure in \mathcal{H}_o at the same step. We consider this property very important from a practical point of view since it guarantees that the execution traces are strongly related and in turn this enables a user to debug a logic program in \mathcal{F}_o by looking at its execution trace in \mathcal{H}_o .

XXX permuting hrun does not change the final result if check dooes not fail eagerly

XXX if we want to apply heuristics, we can apply them in decomp to avoid committing to a non MGU too early

We can define $s_1 \simeq_o s_2$ by specializing the code of hrun to $S = \{s_1, s_2\}$ as follows:

$$s_{1} \simeq_{o} s_{2} \mapsto \rho \stackrel{def}{=}$$

$$\langle s_{1} \rangle \mapsto (t_{1}, m_{1}, l_{1}) \land \langle s_{2} \rangle \mapsto (t_{2}, m_{2}, l_{2})$$

$$t_{1} \simeq_{\lambda} t_{2} \mapsto \sigma' \land \text{progress} (\{l_{1}, l_{2}\}, \sigma') \mapsto (L, \sigma'') \land$$

$$\langle \sigma'', \{m_{1}, m_{2}\}, L \rangle^{-1} \mapsto \rho$$

Proposition 2.3 (Properties of \simeq_o).

$$s_{i} \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda} \Rightarrow s_{1} \simeq_{o} s_{2} \mapsto \rho \Rightarrow \rho s_{1} =_{o} \rho s_{2}(correct)$$
(3)
$$s_{i} \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda} \Rightarrow \rho s_{1} =_{o} \rho s_{2} \Rightarrow \exists \rho', s_{1} \simeq_{o} s_{2} \mapsto \rho' \land \rho' \subseteq \rho(complete)$$
(4)

$$\rho s_1 =_{\rho} \rho s_2 \Rightarrow \rho' \subseteq \rho \Rightarrow \rho' s_i \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda} \Rightarrow \rho' s_1 \simeq_{\rho} \rho' s_2 \tag{5}$$

Properties (correct) and (complete) state, respectively, that in \mathcal{L}_{λ} the implementation of \simeq_{o} is correct, complete and returns the most general unifier.

Property 2.1 states that \simeq_o , hence our compilation scheme, is resilient to unification problems outside \mathcal{L}_{λ} solved by a third party. We believe this property is of practical interest since we want the user to be able to add heuristics via hand written rules to the ones obtained by our compilation scheme. A Typical example is the following problem (q) that is outside \mathcal{L}_{λ} :

app [F, con"a"] = app[con"f", con"a", con"a"]
$$(q)$$

$$F = lam x \land app[con"f",x,x]$$
 (h)

Instead of rejecting it our scheme accepts it and guarantees that if (h) is given (after the compilation part of the scheme, as a run time hint) then ...

2.1 The intuition in a nutshell

A term s is compiled in a term t where every "problematic" sub term p is replaced by a fresh unification variable h and an accessory link that represent a suspended unification problem $h \simeq_{\lambda} p$. As a result \simeq_{λ} is "well behaved" on t, that is it does not contradict $=_{0}$ as it would otherwise do on "problematic" terms. We now define "problematic" and "well behaved" more formally.

Definition 2.4 (
$$\Diamond \eta$$
). $\Diamond \eta = \{t \mid \exists \rho, \rho t \text{ is an eta expansion}\}\$

An example of term t in $\Diamond \eta$ is $\lambda x.\lambda y.F$ y x since the substitution $\rho = \{F \mapsto \lambda a.\lambda b.fba\}$ makes $\rho t = \lambda x.\lambda y.fxy$ that is the eta long form of f. This term is problematic since its rigid part, the λ -abstractions, cannot justify a unification failure against, say, a constant.

Definition 2.5 (
$$\Diamond \beta$$
). $\Diamond \beta = \{Xt_1 \dots t_n \mid Xt_1 \dots t_n \notin \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}\}.$

An example of t in $\Diamond \beta$ is Fa for a constant a. Note however tha an oracle could provide an assignment $\rho = \{F \mapsto \lambda x.x\}$ that makes the resulting term fall outside of $\Diamond \beta$.

Definition 2.6 (Subterms $\mathcal{P}(t)$). The set of sub terms of t is the largest set $\mathcal{P}(\sqcup)$ that can be obtained by the following rules.

$$t \in \mathcal{P}(t)$$

$$t = ft_1 \dots t_n \Rightarrow \mathcal{P}(t_i) \subseteq \mathcal{P}(t) \land f \in \mathcal{P}(t)$$

$$t = \lambda x \cdot t' \Rightarrow \mathcal{P}(t') \subseteq \mathcal{P}(t)$$

We write $\mathcal{P}(X) = \bigcup_{t \in X} \mathcal{P}(t)$ when *X* is a set of terms.

Definition 2.7 (Well behaved set). Given a set of terms $X \subseteq \mathcal{H}_0$,

$$W(X) \Leftrightarrow \forall t \in \mathcal{P}(X), t \notin (\Diamond \beta \cup \Diamond \eta)$$

Proposition 2.8 (*W*-preservation). $\forall \mathcal{T}, \forall \mathbb{L}, \forall p, \forall \sigma, \forall \sigma'$

$$\mathcal{W}(\sigma\mathcal{T}) \wedge \text{hstep}(\mathcal{T}, p, \sigma, \mathbb{L}) \mapsto (\sigma', \Rightarrow) \mathcal{W}(\sigma'\mathcal{T})$$

¹If the same rule is used multiple time in a run we just consider as many copies as needed of the terms composing the rules, with fresh unification variables each time

is new

used?

A less formal way to state 2.8 is that hstep never "commits" an unneeded λ -abstraction in σ (a λ that could be erased by an η -contraction), nor puts in σ a flexible application outside \mathcal{L}_{λ} (an application node that could be erased by a β -reduction).

Note that proposition 2.8 does not hold for \simeq_o since decompilation can introduce (actually restore) terms in $\diamond \eta$ or $\diamond \beta$ that were move out of the way (put in $\mathbb L$) during compilation.

3 ALTERNATIVE ENCODINGS AND RELATED WORK

Paper [2] introduces semi-shallow.

Our encoding of DTT may look "semi shallow" since we use the meta-language lambda abstraction but not its application (for the terms of type tm). A fully shallow encoding unfortunately does not fit our use case, although it would make the running example work:

```
finite (fin N). decision (nfact N NF). decision (all A \times P \times) :- finite A, pi \times decision (P \times).
```

There are two reasons for dismissing this encoding. The first one is that in DTT it is not always possible to adopt it since the type system of the meta language is too weak to accommodate terms with a variable arity, like the following example:

```
Fixpoint arr T n := if n is S m then T -> arr T m else T. Definition sum n := arr nat n := ... Check sum 2 7 8 : nat. Check sum 3 7 8 9 : nat.
```

The second reason is the encoding for Coq is used for meta programming the system, hence it must accommodate the manipulation of terms that are now know in advance (not even defined in Coq) without using introspection primitives such as Prologs's functor and arg.

In the literature we could find a few related encoding of DTT. TODO In [4] is related and make the discrepancy between the types of ML and DTT visible. In this case one needs 4 application nodes. Moreover the objective is an encoding of terms, proofs, not proof search. Also note the conv predicate, akin to the unif we rule out.

TODO This other paper [15] should also be cited.

None of the encodings above provide a solution to our problem.

4 PRELIMINARIES: \mathcal{F}_o AND \mathcal{H}_o

In order to reason about unification we provide a description of the \mathcal{F}_0 and \mathcal{H}_0 languages where unification variables are first class terms, i.e. they have a concrete syntax. We keep these languages minimal, for example, we omit the all quantifier of DTT we used in the example in Section 1 together with the type notation of terms carried by the lam constructor.

```
kind fm type.
type fapp list fm -> fm.
type flam (fm -> fm) -> fm.
type fcon string -> fm.
type fuva addr -> fm.
type fuva addr -> fm.
type uva addr -> list tm -> tm.
type fuva addr -> fm.
```

Figure 1: The \mathcal{F}_o and \mathcal{H}_o languages

Unification variables (fuva term constructor) in \mathcal{F}_0 have no explicit scope: the arguments of an higher order variable are given via

the fapp constructor. For example the term $P \times is$ represented as fapp[fuva N, x], where N is a memory address and x is a bound variable.

In \mathcal{H}_o the representation of P x is instead uva N [x], since unification variables come equipped with an explicit scope. We say that the unification variable occurrence uva N L is in \mathcal{L}_λ if and only if L is made of distinct names. The predicate to test this condition is called pattern-fragment:

```
type pattern-fragment list A -> o.
```

The name builtin predicate tests if a term is a bound variable. ²

In both languages unification variables are identified by a natural number representing a memory address. The memory and its associated operations are described below:

```
kind addr type.
type addr nat -> addr.
typeabbrev (mem A) (list (option A)).

type set? addr -> mem A -> A -> o.
type unset? addr -> mem A -> o.
type assign addr -> mem A -> A -> mem A -> o.
type new mem A -> addr -> mem A -> o.
```

If a memory cell is none, then the corresponding unification variable is not set. assign sets an unset cell to the given value, while new finds the first unused address and sets it to none.

Since in \mathcal{H}_0 unification variables have a scope, their solution needs to be abstracted over it to enable the instantiation of a single solution to different scopes. This is obtained via the inctx container, and in particular via its abs binding constructor. On the contrary a solution to a \mathcal{F}_0 variable is a plain term.

```
typeabbrev fsubst (mem fm).
kind inctx type -> type.
type abs (tm -> inctx A) -> inctx A.
type val A -> inctx A.
typeabbrev assignment (inctx tm).
typeabbrev subst (mem assignment).
```

We call fsubst the memory of \mathcal{F}_0 , while we call subst the one of \mathcal{H}_0 . Both have the invariant that they are not cyclic, TODO explain. Other invariant: the terms in ho_subst never contains eta and beta expansion

```
kind arity type.
type arity nat -> arity.

kind fvariable type.
type fv addr -> fvariable.

kind hvariable type.
type hv addr -> arity -> hvariable.

kind mapping type.
type mapping fvariable -> hvariable -> mapping.
typeabbrev mmap (list mapping).
```

 $^{^{2}}$ one could always load name x for every x under a pi and get rid of the name builtin

Invariant 1 (Unification variable arity). Each variable A in \mathcal{H}_o has a (unique) arity N and each occurrence (uva A L) is such that (len L N) holds

The compiler establishes a mapping between variables of the two languages. In order to preserve invariant 1 we store the arity of each hvariable in the mapping and we reuse an existing mapping only if the arity matches.

TODO: add ref to section 7

is this

theo1

right

 $seg_{\overline{03}}$

tion?

When a single fvariable occurs multiple times with different numbers of arguments the compiler generates multiple mappings for it, on a first approximation, and then makes the mapping bijective by introducing $link-\eta$; this detail is discussed in section 6.

As we mentioned in section 2.1 the compiler replaces terms in $\Diamond \eta$ and $\Diamond \beta$ with fresh variables linked to the problematic terms. Each class of problematic terms has a dedicated link.

```
kind baselink type.
type link-eta tm -> tm -> baselink.
type link-beta tm -> tm -> baselink.
typeabbrev link (inctx baselink).
typeabbrev links (list link).
```

The right hand side of a link, the problematic term, can occur under binders. To accommodate this situation the compiler wraps baselink using the inctx container.

Invariant 2 (Link left hand side of a new link is a variable.

If the variable is assigned during a run the link is considered for progress and possibly eliminated. This is discussed in section 6.

4.1 Notational conventions

When we write \mathcal{H}_0 terms outside code blocks we follow the usual λ -calculus notation, reserving f,g,a,b for constants, x,y,z for bound variables and X,Y,Z,F,G,H for unification variables. However we need to distinguish between the "application" of a unification variable to its scope and the application of a term to a list of arguments. We write the scope of unification variables in subscript while we use juxtaposition for regular application. Here a few examples:

```
\begin{array}{lll} f \ a & & \operatorname{app[con "f", \ con "a"]} \\ \lambda x.F_{X} \ a & & \operatorname{lam \ x \setminus app[uva \ F \ [x], \ con "a"]} \\ \lambda x.\lambda y.F_{X} y & & \operatorname{lam \ x \setminus lam \ y \setminus uva \ F \ [x, \ y]} \\ \lambda x.F_{X} \ x & & \operatorname{lam \ x \setminus app[uva \ F \ [x], \ x]} \end{array}
```

When detailing examples we write links as equations between terms under a context. The equality sign is subscripted with kind of baselink. For example $x \vdash A =_{\beta} F_x$ a corresponds to:

```
abs x\ val (link-beta (uva A []) (app[uva F [x],con "a"]))
```

When it is clear from the context we shall use the same syntax for \mathcal{F}_0 terms (although we never subscripts unification variables).

4.2 Equational theory and Unification

In order to express properties ?? we need to equip \mathcal{F}_o and \mathcal{H}_o with term equality, substitution application and unification.

Term equality: $=_o vs. =_{\lambda}$. We extend the equational theory over ground terms to the full languages by adding the reflexivity of unification variables (a variable is equal to itself).

The first four rules are common to both equalities and correspond to α -equivalence. In addition to that $=_o$ has rules for η and β -equivalence.

```
type (=_o) fm -> fm -> o.
                                                                       (=_o)
fcon X =_o fcon X.
fapp A =_o fapp B := forall2 (=_o) A B.
flam F =_o flam G := pi x \setminus x =_o x => F x =_o G x.
fuva N =_o fuva N.
\mathsf{flam} \ \mathsf{F} \ =_o \ \mathsf{T} \ :\text{-}
                                                                       (\eta_l)
  pi x \ beta T [x] (T' x), x =_o x \Rightarrow F x =_o T' x.
T =_o flam F :=
                                                                       (\eta_r)
  pi x \land beta T [x] (T' x), x =_o x \Rightarrow T' x =_o F x.
fapp [flam X | L] = T: beta (flam X) L R, R = T. (\beta_l)
T =_o fapp [flam X | L] :- beta (flam X) L R, T =_o R. (\beta_r)
type (=_{\lambda}) tm -> tm -> o.
con C =_{\lambda} fcon C.
app A = \lambda fapp B :- forall2 (= \lambda) A B.
lam F =_{\lambda} flam G := pi x \ x =_{\lambda} x => F x =_{\lambda} G x.
uva N A =_{\lambda} fuva N B :- forall2 (=_{\lambda}) A B.
```

The main point in showing these equality tests is to remark how weaker $=_{\lambda}$ is, and to identify the four rules that need special treatment in the implementation of \simeq_{λ} .

For reference, (beta T A R) reduces away lam nodes in head position in T whenever the list A provides a corresponding argument.

```
type beta fm -> list fm -> o.
beta A [] A.
beta (flam Bo) [H | L] R :- beta (Bo H) L R.
beta (fapp A) L (fapp X) :- append A L X.
beta (fuva N) L (fapp [fuva N | L]).
beta (fcon H) L (fapp [fcon H | L]).
beta N L (fapp [N | L]) :- name N.
```

The name predicate holds only on nominal constants (i.e. bound variables). Elpi provides it as a builtin, but one could implement it by systematically loading the hypothetical rule name $\ x$ every time a nominal constant is postulated via pi $\ x \$.

Substitution application: ρs and σt . Applying the substitution corresponds to dereferencing a term with respect to the memory. To ease the comparison we split \mathcal{F}_0 dereferencing into a fder step and a napp one. The former step replaces references to memory cells that are set with their values, and has a corresponding operation in \mathcal{H}_0 , namely deref. On the contrary napp, in charge of "flattening" fapp nodes, has no corresponding operation in \mathcal{H}_0 . The reasons for this asymmetry is that an fapp node with a flexible head is always mapped to a uva (as per sections ??), preventing nested applications to materialize.

```
type fder fsubst -> fm -> o.
fder _ (fcon C) (fcon C).
```

explain

better

```
fder S (fapp A) (fapp B) :- map (fder S) A B.
fder S (flam F) (flam G) :-
  pi x \land fder S x x \Rightarrow fder S (F x) (G x).
fder S (fuva N) R :- set? N S T, fder S T R.
fder S (fuva N) (fuva N) :- unset? N S.
type fderef fsubst -> fm -> fm -> o.
                                                          (\rho s)
fderef S T T2: - fder S T T1, napp T1 T2.
type napp fm \rightarrow fm \rightarrow o.
napp (fcon C) (fcon C).
napp (fuva A) (fuva A).
napp (flam F) (flam F1) :-
  pi x \rightarrow pi x = napp (F x) (F1 x).
napp (fapp [fapp L1 |L2]) T :- !,
  append L1 L2 L3, napp (fapp L3) T.
napp (fapp L) (fapp L1) :- map napp L L1.
```

Note that the cut operator is inessential, it could be removed at the cost of a verbose test on the head of L in the last rule (L head can be fcon, flam or a name).

Applying the substitution in \mathcal{H}_o is very similar, with the caveat that assignments have to be moved to the current scope, i.e. renaming the abs-bound variables with the names in the scope of the unification variable occurrence.

Note that move strongly relies on invariant 1: the length of the arguments of all occurrences of a unification variable and the number of abstractions in its assignment have to match. In turn this grants that move never fails.

```
type move assignment -> list tm -> tm -> o. move (abs Bo) [H|L] R :- move (Bo H) L R. move (val A) [] A.
```

Term unification: $\simeq_0 vs. \simeq_\lambda$. In this paper we assume to have an implementation of \simeq_λ that satisfies properties 1 and 2. Although we provide an implementation in the appendix (that we used for testing purposes) we only describe its signature here. Elpi is expected to provide this brick, as well as any other implementation of λProlog.

```
type (\simeq_{\lambda}) tm -> tm -> subst -> o.
```

The only detail worth discussing is the fact that the procedure updates a substitution, rather than just crafting one as presented in section 2. The reason is that the algorithm folds over a term, updating a substitution while it traverses it.

5 BASIC SIMULATION OF \mathcal{F}_o IN \mathcal{H}_o

In this section we describe a basic compilation scheme that we refine later, in the following sections. This scheme is sufficient to implement an \simeq_0 that respects β -conversion for terms in \mathcal{L}_{λ} . The extension to $\eta\beta$ -conversion is described in Section 6 and the support for terms outside \mathcal{L}_{λ} in Section 8.

5.1 Compilation

The main task of the compiler is to recognize \mathcal{F}_o variables standing for functions and map them to higher order variables in \mathcal{H}_o . In order to bring back the substitution from \mathcal{H}_o to \mathcal{F}_o the compiler builds a "memory map" connecting the the kind of variables using routine (*malloc*).

The signature of the comp predicate below allows for the generation of links (suspended unification problems) that play no role in this section but play a major role in Sections 6 and 8. With respect to 2 the signature also allows for updates to the substitution. The code below only allocates space for the variables, i.e. sets their memory address to none, a details not worth mentioning in the previous discussion

```
type comp fm -> tm -> mmap -> mmap -> links -> links ->
    subst -> subst -> o.
comp (fcon C) (con C) M M L L S S.
comp (flam F) (lam F1) M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2 :-
    comp-lam F F1 M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2.
comp (fuva A) (uva B []) M1 M2 L L S1 S2 :-
    m-alloc (fv A) (hv B (arity z)) M1 M2 S1 S2.
comp (fapp [fuva A|Ag]) (uva B Ag1) M1 M2 L L S1 S2 :-
    pattern-fragment Ag, !,
    fold6 comp Ag Ag1 M1 M1 L L S1 S1,
    len Ag Arity,
    m-alloc (fv A) (hv B (arity Arity)) M1 M2 S1 S2.
comp (fapp A) (app A1) M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2 :-
    fold6 comp A A1 M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2.
```

This preliminary version of comp recognizes \mathcal{F}_o variables applied to a (possibly empty) duplicate free list of names (i.e. pattern-fragment detects variables in \mathcal{L}_{λ}). Note tha compiling Ag cannot create new mappings nor links, since Ag is made of bound variables and the hypothetical rule loaded by comp-lam (see below) grants this property.

```
type comp-lam (fm -> fm) -> (tm -> tm) ->
    mmap -> mmap -> links -> links -> subst -> o.
comp-lam F G M1 M2 L1 L3 S1 S2 :-
    pi x y\ (pi M L S\ comp x y M M L L S S) =>
        comp (F x) (G y) M1 M2 L1 (L2 y) S1 S2,
    close-links L2 L3.
```

In the code above the syntax $pi \times y \setminus ...$ is syntactic sugar for iterated pi abstraction, as in $pi \times pi y \setminus ...$

The auxiliary function close-links tests if the bound variable ν really occurs in the link. If it is the case the link is wrapped into an additional abs node binding ν . In this way links generated deep inside the compiled terms can be moved outside their original context of binders.

```
type close-links (tm -> links) -> links -> o.
close-links (_\[]) [].
close-links (v\[X |L v]) [X|R] :- !, close-links L R.
close-links (v\[X v|L v]) [abs X|R] :- close-links L R.
```

manca betas noras mal₇ in 64entrata

Note that we could remove the second rule, whose purpose is to make links more readable by pruning unneeded abstractions (unused context entries).

5.2 Execution

A step in \mathcal{H}_o consists in unifying two terms and reconsidering all links for progress. If any of the two tasks fail we say that the entire step fails, and it is at this granularity that we can relate steps in the two languages.

```
type hstep tm -> tm ->
  links -> links -> subst -> o.
hstep T1 T2 L1 L2 S1 S3 :-
  (T1 ~\(\text{T2}\) T2) S1 S2,
  progress L1 L2 S2 S3.
```

Note that he notation ((A \simeq_{λ} B) C D) is syntactic sugar for ((\simeq_{λ}) A B C D). Reconsidering links is a fixpoint, since the progress of a link can update the substitution and in turn enable another link to progress.

```
type progress links -> links -> subst -> o.
progress L L2 S1 S3 :-
    progress1 L L1 S1 S2, !,
    occur-check-links L1,
    if (L = L1, S1 = S2)
        (L2 = L1, S3 = S1)
        (progress L1 L2 S2 S3).
```

In the base compilation scheme progress1 is the identity on both the links and the substitution, so the fixpoint trivially terminates. Sections 6 and 8 add rules to progress1 and justify why the don't hinder termination.

TODO: discuss occur check

5.3 Substitution decompilation

Decompiling the substitution requires to first force the progress of links and then allocating new unassigned variables in the substitution for \mathcal{F}_0 and finally decompiling all assignments. Note that 2 and the occur check allows us to update the subst.

```
type decompile mmap -> links -> subst ->
  fsubst -> fsubst -> o.
decompile M1 L S F1 F3 :-
  commit-links L S S1,
  complete-mapping S1 S1 M1 M2 F1 F2,
  decompm M2 M2 S1 F2 F3.
```

Decompiling an assignment requires to turn abstractions into lambdas. For aestetic purposes we also eta-contract the result (not needed since Fo equality can do that)

```
type decompm mmap -> mmap -> subst -> fsubst -> o.
decompm _ [] _ F F.
decompm M [mapping (fv V) (hv H _) |MS] S F1 F3 :- set? H S A,
    deref-assmt S A A1,
    abs->lam A1 T, decomp M T T1,
    eta-contract T1 T2,
    assign V F1 T2 F2,
    decompm M MS S F2 F3.
decompm M [mapping _ (hv H _) |MS] S F1 F2 :- unset? H S,
    decompm M MS S F1 F2.
```

Finally decompiling a term is trivial, now that we have an extended mapping containing all unassigned variables \simeq_{λ} may have introduced.

```
type decomp mmap -> tm -> fm -> o.
decomp _ (con C) (fcon C).
decomp M (app A) (fapp B) :- map (decomp M) A B.
decomp M (lam F) (flam G) :-
   pi x y\ (pi M\ decomp M x y) => decomp M (F x) (G y).
decomp M (uva Hv Ag) R :-
   mem M (mapping (fv Fv) (hv Hv _)),
   map (decomp M) Ag Bg,
   beta (fuva Fv) Bg R.
```

Note that we use beta to build fapp nodes when needed (if Ag is empty no fapp node should appear).

5.4 Definition of \simeq_o and its properties

```
type (\simeq_o) fm -> fm -> fsubst -> o. (A \simeq_o B) F :- comp A A' [] M1 [] [] [] S1, comp B B' M1 M2 [] [] S1 S2, hstep A' B' [] [] S2 S3, decompm M2 M2 S3 [] F.
```

The code given so far applies to terms in $\beta\eta$ -normal form where unification variables in \mathcal{F}_o can occur non linearly but always with the same number of arguments, and where their arguments are distinct names (as per \mathcal{L}_{λ}).

```
Lemma 5.1 (Compilation round trip). If comp s t [] M [] _ [] _ then decomp M T s
```

Proof sketch. trivial, since the terms are beta normal beta just builds an app. \Box

Lemma 5.2. Properties (correct) and (complete) hold for the implementation of \simeq_0 above

Proof sketch. In this setting $=_{\lambda}$ is as strong as $=_{o}$ on ground terms. What we have to show is that whenever two different \mathcal{F}_{0} terms can be made equal by a substitution ρ (plus the β_{l} and β_{r} if needed) we can find this ρ by finding a σ via \approx_{λ} on the corresponding \mathcal{H}_{o} terms and by decompiling it. If we look at the \mathcal{F}_{o} terms, the are two interesting cases:

- fuva $X \simeq_{\sigma}$ s. In this case after comp we have $Y \simeq_{\lambda} t$ that succeeds with $\sigma = \{Y \mapsto t\}$ and σ is decompiled to $\rho = \{Y \mapsto s\}$.
- fapp[fuva X|L] \simeq_o s. In this case we have $Y_{\vec{x}} \simeq_\lambda t$ that succeeds with $\sigma = \{\vec{y} \vdash Y \mapsto t[\vec{x}/\vec{y}]\}$ that in turn is decompiled to $\rho = \{Y \mapsto \lambda \vec{y}.s[\vec{x}/\vec{y}]\}$. Thanks to $\beta_l (\lambda \vec{y}.s[\vec{x}/\vec{y}]) \vec{x} =_o$ s.

Since the mapping is a bijection occur check in \mathcal{H}_o corresponds to occur check in \mathcal{F}_o .

LEMMA 5.3. Properties simulation (2.1) and fidelity (2.2) hold

PROOF SKETCH. Since progress1 is trivial fstep and hstep are the same, that is in this context where input terms are $\beta\eta$ -normal and we disregard η -equivalence \simeq_{λ} is equivalent to \simeq_{o} .

814

815

816 817

818

819

820

821

822

824

825

826

827

828

829

830

831

832

833

834

835

837

838

839

840

841

842

843

844

845

846

847

849

850

851

852

853

854

855

856

857

858

859

860

861

862

863

864

865

866

867

868

869

870

5.5 Limitations of by this basic scheme

$$\lambda x y . F \ y \ x = \lambda x y . x \tag{6}$$

$$\lambda x. f(F x) x = f(\lambda y. y) \tag{7}$$

Note that here F is used with different arities, moreover in the second problem the left hand side happens to be an eta expansion (of $f(\lambda y.y)$) only after we discover that $F = \lambda x \lambda y.y$ (i.e. that F discards the x argument). Both problems are addressed in the next section.

6 HANDLING OF $\Diamond \eta$

 η -reduction is an equivalence relation over terms where a term of the form $\lambda x.t \cdot x$ can be converted to t any time x does not occur as a free variable in t. We call $\lambda x.t \cdot x$ the η -expanded version of t. The implementation of the comp relation given in section 5 compiles the \mathcal{F}_0 terms t_1 =flam \times \ fapp [fuva A, \times] and t_2 = fcon "f" into the \mathcal{H}_0 terms t_1' =lam \times \ uva A' \times and t_2' = fcon "f" with mapping $A \mapsto A'^1$. However, if the oracle sets A' to the constant "f", the unification of t_1' and t_2' in the meta language will fail even though $t_1 = 0$ t_2 . The reason of this failure is attributed to the fact that t_1' =lam \times \ app[con "f", \times] cannot be unified with t_2' =con "f" since the two terms have different rigid heads. We solve this unification problem by adapting the comp relation such that it recognizes 0 0 subterms 0 and replaces them with fresh 0 0 variables 0. This link between the variable 0 and the subterm 00 to store 01 in what we call 01 in 02.

type link-eta tm -> tm -> baselink
where, as sketched in section 4, the term on the left hand side (lhs)
is linked with its left counterpart (rhs).

link- η are added in the link store ($\mathbb L$) and activated when special conditions are satisfied on lhs or rhs. These link activations are managed by extending the progress1 predicate (see section 5.2). We claim that link- η progression does not contradict invariant 2 and we add the following invariant:

Invariant 3 (link- η rhs). The rhs of a link- η having the shape $\lambda x.F_x$ where F_x is a term not starting with the lam constructor.

In the next three subsections we explain how we detect $\Diamond \eta$ terms, how we compile them and how link- η are activated during the execution of the program and provide justification for why these two invariants remain true.

6.1 Detection of $\Diamond \eta$

6.2 Compilation

Detection of $\Diamond \eta$. The main modification of the compiler to solve this unification issue consists in identifying all the subterms of a term t that are $\Diamond \eta$. In particular, a term t is a $\Diamond \eta$, if it can reduce to a η -expansion under a certain substitution σ . The code verifying this property is given below:

```
type occurs-rigidly fm -> fm -> o.
occurs-rigidly N N.
occurs-rigidly _ (fapp [fuva _|_]) :- !, fail.
occurs-rigidly N (fapp L) :- exists (occurs-rigidly N) L.
occurs-rigidly N (flam B) :- pi x\ occurs-rigidly N (B x).
```

```
type reducible-to list fm -> fm -> o.
reducible-to _ N N :- !.
reducible-to L N (fapp[fuva _|Args]) :- !,
  forall1 (x\ exists (reducible-to [] x) Args) [N|L].
reducible-to L N (flam B) :- !,
  pi x \land reducible-to [x | L] N (B x).
reducible-to L N (fapp [N|Args]) :-
  last-n {len L} Args R,
  forall2 (reducible-to []) R {rev L}.
type maybe-eta fm -> list fm -> o.
                                             (\Diamond \eta)
maybe-eta (fapp[fuva _|Args]) L :- !,
  forall1 (x\ exists (reducible-to [] x) Args) L, !.
maybe-eta (flam B) L :- !, pi x maybe-eta (B x) [x | L].
maybe-eta (fapp [fcon _|Args]) L :-
  split-last-n {len L} Args First Last,
  none (x\ exists (y\ occurs-rigidly x y) First) L,
  forall2 (reducible-to []) {rev L} Last.
```

The entry point is depicted by the rule $(\lozenge\eta)$ which takes a name n, a term t and a list of bound variables L (originally it is the singleton containing n). This rule checks if t is a term of the form T n (for a term T), together with the auxiliary predicate reducible-to which ensures if a term t can reduce to a name n. The maybe-eta predicate dispatches the calls to reducible-to; three cases should be considered: 1) t is a variable v, then t can be an η -expansion if at least one of the terms in the scope of v is a $\lozenge\eta$ of n; 2) t is a lambdaterm, then we recursively call maybe-eta on the body of t under a local name t which is added to the list t; 3) t is an application, then t is an t0 expansion if i) the last arguments of t1 can be reduced one by one to the binders in the list t2 (we reverse the list in rule, since, by construction, this list is built in reversed order) and ii) none of the first arguments of the application contain a rigid occurrence of name in t1.

As rapidly said before, reducible-to tells if a term t reduce to a name n, or equivalently if $\exists \sigma, \sigma t = n$. This predicate also takes the list of all the binders explored (this list is originally empty). A term t reduces to a name n if 1) n = t; 2) t is a variable v, then t reduce to a n if it exists an arugment in the scope of v reducing to v and forall name v in v, there is an argument reducing to v is a lambda abstraction, then we call recursively reducible-to on the body of the abstraction with a new local name added to the list v, v is an application of v to a list of arguments v, then all the arguments should reduce to the respective name in the list v.

Finally, a name n occurs rigidly in a term t if n occurs in a subterm t' of t such that t' does not appear in the scope of a variable.

An example of $\Diamond \eta$ detection over the bound variable x is the following:

$$T = \lambda y. f \cdot A_{xy} \cdot (B \cdot a \cdot (\lambda z. y \cdot C_z)) \cdot D_x \tag{3}$$

The correct call to maybe-eta is maybe-eta $x \top L$ with L = [x]. At first we go under the abstraction λy adding y to L. Then we find an application, where we verify that 1) A_{xy} does not contain x and y rigidly which is the case; 2) $B \cdot a \cdot (\lambda z.y \cdot (C_z))$ and D_x can respectively reduce to y and x. The latter reduction is evident, since D has x in scope; the former subterm can reduce to y since B is a variable and it exists an argument $(\lambda z.y \cdot C_z)$ reducible to y: under

explain better: we start from 901 902 903 904 905 906 907 908 Note that this function is par-

tial:

the

arg

in the

scope

should

be dis-

maybe

more

exam-

ples in

appen-

dix

tinct

871

872

873

877

878

882

883

884

885

886

887

888

889

890

891

the binder z, we have the application of y with a variable with z in scope. Note that $\Diamond \eta$, only tells if it exists a substitution making a term an eta expansion on any term t, i.e, t can be in $\Diamond \eta \cup \Diamond \beta$ without the constraint of being in \mathcal{L}_{λ} , as our example shows. A possible substitution making the term T in the example an η -expansion is $\sigma = \{A \mapsto \lambda x. \lambda y. a, B \mapsto \lambda x. \lambda y. y, C \mapsto \lambda x. x, D \mapsto \lambda x. x\}.$

Compilation with link- η . Thanks to the maybe-eta predicate, we can detect " η -problematic" terms and, consequently replace them with a fresh \mathcal{H}_0 unification variable at compilation time. The code below illustrate this dedicated compilation:

```
comp (flam F) (uva A Scope) M1 M2 L1 L3 S1 S3 :-
maybe-eta (flam F) [], !,
   alloc S1 A S2,
   comp-lam F F1 M1 M2 L1 L2 S2 S3,
   get-scope (lam F1) Scope,
   L3 = [@val-link-eta (uva A Scope) (lam F1) | L2].
```

This rule is applied on \mathcal{F}_o lambda-abstractions, tests if their body F is an $\Diamond \eta$ wrt to a local fresh binder x, and if so, it compiles F to the \mathcal{H}_o term F1 and returns a \mathcal{H}_o fresh variable A having in scope the free names occurring in F1. As sketch at the very end of section 4, each time a subterm t in \mathcal{F}_o is replaced with a \mathcal{H}_o variable v, we build a link-eta between v and and the term t' obtained by the compilation of t.

```
A link-eta<sup>3</sup> is defined as

type link-eta tm -> tm -> baselink
```

We call the two terms carried by the link respectively left and right hand side, called respectively lhs and rhs.

6.3 Progress

link- η are meant to suspend the unification of two terms, that is, if we have a $\Diamond \eta$ term t which should be unified with a term t' we don't want to unify them with \simeq_{λ} . As said before this would break unification but it can also introduce $\Diamond \eta$ in the substitution of \mathcal{H}_0 .

In order to activate a link- η , we need to implement new rules for the progress1 predicate. There are two cases making a link- η to progress, 1) lhs is instantiated to a rigid term, in this case lhs is unified with rhs. TODO: the right eta hand side is eta-expanbled if it is an app/con; 2) rhs can be η -reduced to a term with rigid head, in this case lhs and rhs are again unified. If one of these two condition is satisfied, the link has fulfilled is task and can be removed from the list of suspended links; if none the condition succeeds, the link is kept for a further iteration of progress.

TODO: dire che scendiamo sotto i vari abs che formano il contesto? TODO: example for case 1: $\lambda x.\lambda y.F \cdot y \cdot x = f$ TODO: example for case 2: $\lambda x.\lambda y.F \cdot y \cdot x = G, F = \lambda x.\lambda y.a$

A second way to progress link- η , that we call link- η deduplication, is to check if $\mathbb L$ contains two link- η l_1 and l_2 with same lhs. This situation occurs if two $\Diamond \eta$ terms are unified with a same unification variable. In this case, we can unify the l_1 and l_2 rhs (that, by construction are both on the form $\lambda x.T_x$) and remove one of the two links.

TODO: example for this: $\lambda x.\lambda y.F.y.x = X, \lambda x.\lambda y.F.y.x = Y$

We can note that the 1) insertion of these rules for progress1 do not prevent the termination of progress, since, a link activation runs

terminating operations (such as term unification and link-removal); 2) link- η deduplication runs again terminating operations and 3) if none of these two situations is performed, then the substitution and $\mathbb L$ Note that if the link remain suspended progress continues to terminate, since, it would mean that the condition of the ifbranchement succeds and the progress terminates its execution.

LEMMA 6.1. We never add eta-expansions in the substitution

```
Proof sketch.
```

TODO: we can however have $\lambda x.F_X$ if we know that F does not reduce to Tx where x is not free in T.

7 ENFORCING INVARIANT 1

Deduplicate mapping code etc...

8 HANDLING OF $\Diamond \beta$

 β -reduction problems $(\diamond \beta)$ appears any time we deal with a subterm $t = Xt_1 \dots t_n$, where X is flexible and the list $[t_1 \dots t_n]$ in not in \mathcal{L}_{λ} . This unification problem is not solvable without loss of generality, since there is not a most general unifier. If we take back the example given in section 2.1, the unification Fa = a admits two solutions for $F: \rho_1 = \{F \mapsto \lambda x.x\}$ and $\rho_2 = \{F \mapsto \lambda_-a\}$. Despite this, it is possible to work with $\diamond \beta$ if an oracle provides a substitution ρ such that ρt falls again in the \mathcal{L}_{λ} .

On the other hand, the \simeq_{λ} is not designed to understand how the β -redexes work in the object language. Therefore, even if we know that F is assigned to $\lambda x.x$, \simeq_{λ} is not able to unify Fa with a. On the other hand, the problem Fa = G is solvable by \simeq_{λ} , but the final result is that G is assigned to $(\lambda x.x)a$ which breaks the invariant saying that the substitution of the meta language does not generate terms outide \mathcal{W} (Property 2.8).

The solution to this problem is to modify the compiler such that any sub-term t considered as a potential β -redex is replaced with a hole h and a new dedicated link, called link- β .

```
type link-beta tm -> tm -> link.
```

This link carries two terms, the former representing the variable h for the new created hole and the latter containing the subterm t. As for the link- η , we will call h and t respectively the left hand side (lhs) and the right hand side (rhs) of the link- β .

8.1 Compilation

Detection of $\Diamond \beta$ *.* TODO: . . .

Compilation with link- β . In order to build a link- β , we need to adapt the compiler so that it can recognize these "problematic" subterms. The following code snippet illustrate such behavior, we suppose the rule to be added just after ??.

³@val-link-eta A B is syntactic sugar for val (link-eta A B)

```
alloc S3 C S4,
L3 = [@val-link-beta (uva C Scope) Beta | L2].
```

A term is $\diamond \beta$ if it has the shape fapp[fuva A|Ag] and distinct Ag does not hold. In that case, Ag is split in two sublist Pf and Extra such that former is the longest prefix of Ag such that distinct Pf holds. Extra is the list such that append Pf Extra Ag. Next important step is to compile recursively the terms of these lists and allocate a memory adress B from the substitution in order to map the \mathcal{F}_0 variable fuva A to the \mathcal{H}_0 variable uva B. The link- β to return in the end is given by the term Beta = app[uva B Scope1 | Extra1] constituting the rhs, and a fresh variable C having in scope all the free variables occurring in Beta (this is lhs). We point out that the rhs is intentionally built as an uva where Extra1 are not in scope, since by invariant, we want all the variables appearing in \mathcal{H}_0 to be in \mathcal{L}_{λ} .

8.2 Progress

explain

 $\operatorname{dir}_{\overline{1079}}^{e}$

chesi

adatta

bene,

nelle,

ap₁₀₈₄

prox-

ima₈₆

tion₈₇

why

Once created, there exist two main situations waking up a suspended link- β . The former is strictly connected to the definition of β -redex and occurs when the head of rhs is materialized by the oracle (see proposition 2.1). In this case rhs is safely β -reduced to a new term t' and the result can be unified with lhs. In this scenario the link- β has accomplished its goal and can be removed from \mathbb{L} .

The second circumstance making the link- β to progress is the instantiation of the variables in the Extra1 making the corresponding arguments to reduce to names. In this case, we want to take the list Scope1 and append to it the largest prefix of Extra1 in a new variable Scope2 such that Scope2 remains in \mathcal{L}_{λ} ; we call Extra2 the suffix of Extra1 such that the concatenation of Scope1 and Extra1 is the same as the concatenation of Scope2 and Extra2. Finally, two cases should be considered: 1) Extra2 is the empty list, lhs and rhs can be unified: we have two terms in \mathcal{L}_{λ} ; otherwise 2) the link- β in question is replaced with a refined version where the rhs is app[uva C Scope2 | Extra2] and a new link- η is added between the lhs and the new-added variable C.

An example justifying this second link manipulation is given by the following unification problem:

```
f = flam x \land fapp[F, fapp[A, x]].
```

The compilation of these terms produces the new unification problem: f = X0

We obtain the mappings $F \mapsto \mathbf{F}^0$, $A \mapsto \mathbf{A}^1$ and the links:

$$c0 \vdash X3_{c0} =_{\beta} X2 X1_{c0} \tag{9}$$

$$+X0 =_{n} \lambda c 0.X3_{c0} \tag{10}$$

where the first link is a link- η between the variable X0, representing the right side of the unification problem (it is a $\Diamond \eta$) and X3; and a link- β between the variable X3 and the subterm $\lambda x.X1_X$ a (it is a $\Diamond \beta$). The substitution tells that $x \vdash X1_X = x$.

We can now represent the hrun execution from this configuration which will, at first, dereference all the links, and then try to solve them. The only link being modified is the second one, which is set to $x + X3 =_{\beta} X2xa$. The *rhs* of the link has now a variable which is partially in the PF, we can therefore remove the original $link-\beta$ and replace it with the following couple on links:

```
\vdash X1 =\eta= x\ `X4 x'
x \vdash X3 x =\beta= x\ `X4 x' a
```

By these links we say that X1 is now η -linked to a fresh variable X4 with arity one. This new variable is used in the new link- β where the name x is in its scope. This allows

8.3 Tricky examples

```
triple ok (@lam x\ @app[@f, @app[@X, x]]) @Y,
triple ok @X (@lam x\ x),
triple ok @Y @f

% @okl 22 [
%    triple ok (@lam x\ @lam y\ @app[@Y, y, x]) @X,
%    triple ok (@lam x\ @f) @X,
```

9 FIRST ORDER APPROXIMATION

TODO: Coq can solve this: f 1 2 = X 2, by setting X to f 1 **TODO:** We can re-use part of the algo for β given before

10 UNIF ENCODING IN REAL LIFE

TODO: Il ML presentato qui è esattamente elpi TODO: Il OL presentato qui è esattamente coq TODO: Come implementatiamo tutto ciò nel solver

11 RESULTS: STDPP AND TLC

TODO: How may rule are we solving?
TODO: Can we do some perf test

12 CONCLUSION

REFERENCES

- [1] Arthur Charguéraud. "The Optimal Fixed Point Combinator". In: *Interactive Theorem Proving*. Ed. by Matt Kaufmann and Lawrence C. Paulson. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2010, pp. 195–210. ISBN: 978-3-642-14052-5.
- [2] Cvetan Dunchev, Claudio Sacerdoti Coen, and Enrico Tassi. "Implementing HOL in an Higher Order Logic Programming Language". In: Proceedings of the Eleventh Workshop on Logical Frameworks and Meta-Languages: Theory and Practice. LFMTP '16. Porto, Portugal: Association for Computing Machinery, 2016. ISBN: 9781450347778. DOI: 10.1145/2966268. 2966272. URL: https://doi.org/10.1145/2966268.2966272.
- [3] Cvetan Dunchev et al. "ELPI: Fast, Embeddable, λProlog Interpreter". In: Logic for Programming, Artificial Intelligence, and Reasoning 20th International Conference, LPAR-20 2015, Suva, Fiji, November 24-28, 2015, Proceedings. Ed. by Martin Davis et al. Vol. 9450. 2015, pp. 460-468. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-662-48899-7_32. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-48899-7%5C_32.
- [4] Amy Felty. "Encoding the Calculus of Constructions in a Higher-Order Logic". In: ed. by M. Vardi. IEEE, June 1993, pp. 233–244. DOI: 10.1109/LICS.1993.287584.

- [5] Amy Felty and Dale Miller. "Specifying theorem provers in a higher-order logic programming language". In: Ninth International Conference on Automated Deduction. Ed. by Ewing Lusk and Ross Overbeck. 310. Argonne, IL: Springer, May 1988, pp. 61–80. DOI: 10.1007/BFb0012823.
 - [6] Davide Fissore and Enrico Tassi. "A new Type-Class solver for Coq in Elpi". In: *The Coq Workshop 2023*. Bialystok, Poland, July 2023. URL: https://inria.hal.science/hal-04467855.
 - [7] Benjamin Grégoire, Jean-Christophe Léchenet, and Enrico Tassi. "Practical and sound equality tests, automatically Deriving eqType instances for Jasmin's data types with Coq-Elpi". In: CPP '23: 12th ACM SIGPLAN International Conference on Certified Programs and Proofs. CPP 2023: Proceedings of the 12th ACM SIGPLAN International Conference on Certified Programs and Proofs. Boston MA USA, France: ACM, Jan. 2023, pp. 167–181. DOI: 10.1145/3573105.3575683. URL: https://inria.hal.science/hal-03800154.
 - [8] RALF JUNG et al. "Iris from the ground up: A modular foundation for higher-order concurrent separation logic". In: Journal of Functional Programming 28 (2018), e20. DOI: 10.1017/S0956796818000151.
 - [9] Dale Miller. "Unification under a mixed prefix". In: Journal of Symbolic Computation 14.4 (1992), pp. 321–358. DOI: 10. 1016/0747-7171(92)90011-R.
- [10] Dale Miller and Gopalan Nadathur. Programming with Higher-Order Logic. Cambridge University Press, 2012. DOI: 10.1017/ CBO9781139021326.
- [11] Tobias Nipkow, Lawrence C. Paulson, and Markus Wenzel. Isabelle/HOL A Proof Assistant for Higher-Order Logic. Vol. 2283. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer, 2002. ISBN: 3-540-43376-7.
- [12] Lawrence C. Paulson. "Set theory for verification. I: from foundations to functions". In: J. Autom. Reason. 11.3 (Dec. 1993), pp. 353–389. ISSN: 0168-7433. DOI: 10.1007/BF00881873. URL: https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00881873.
- [13] F. Pfening. "Elf: a language for logic definition and verified metaprogramming". In: *Proceedings of the Fourth Annual Symposium on Logic in Computer Science*. Pacific Grove, California, USA: IEEE Press, 1989, pp. 313–322. ISBN: 0818619546.
- [14] Frank Pfenning and Carsten Schürmann. "System Description: Twelf A Meta-Logical Framework for Deductive Systems". In: Automated Deduction CADE-16. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1999, pp. 202–206. ISBN: 978-3-540-48660-2.
- [15] Colin Rothgang, Florian Rabe, and Christoph Benzmüller. "Theorem Proving in Dependently-Typed Higher-Order Logic". In: Automated Deduction – CADE 29. Ed. by Brigitte Pientka and Cesare Tinelli. Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland, 2023, pp. 438–455. ISBN: 978-3-031-38499-8.
- [16] Enrico Tassi. "Deriving proved equality tests in Coq-elpi: Stronger induction principles for containers in Coq". In: *ITP 2019 10th International Conference on Interactive Theorem Proving.* Portland, United States, Sept. 2019. DOI: 10.4230/LIPIcs.CVIT.2016.23. URL: https://inria.hal.science/hal-01897468.
- [17] Enrico Tassi. "Elpi: an extension language for Coq (Metaprogramming Coq in the Elpi λ Prolog dialect)". In: *The Fourth*

- International Workshop on Coq for Programming Languages. Los Angeles (CA), United States, Jan. 2018. URL: https://inria.hal.science/hal-01637063.
- [18] The Coq Development Team. The Coq Reference Manual Release 8.18.0. https://coq.inria.fr/doc/V8.18.0/refman. 2023.
- [19] P. Wadler and S. Blott. "How to Make Ad-Hoc Polymorphism Less Ad Hoc". In: *Proceedings of the 16th ACM SIGPLAN-SIGACT Symposium on Principles of Programming Languages*. POPL '89. Austin, Texas, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, 1989, pp. 60–76. ISBN: 0897912942. DOI: 10.1145/75277.75283. URL: https://doi.org/10.1145/75277.75283.
- [20] Makarius Wenzel, Lawrence C. Paulson, and Tobias Nipkow. "The Isabelle Framework". In: *Theorem Proving in Higher Order Logics*. Ed. by Otmane Ait Mohamed, César Muñoz, and Sofiène Tahar. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2008, pp. 33–38. ISBN: 978-3-540-71067-7.

1336

1337

1339

1340

1341

1342

1343

1344

1346

1347

1348

1349

1350

1351

1352

1353

1354

1355

1356

1357

1360

1361

1362

1363

1364

1366

1367

1368

1369

1370

1374

1375

1376

1377

1378

1379

1380

1381

1382

1383

1387

1388

1389

1390

1391

1392

 (ρs)

 $(=_{o})$

 (η_l)

 (η_r)

type flam (fm -> fm) -> fm.

typeabbrev fsubst (mem fm).

type fcon string -> fm.

type fuva addr -> fm.

1329 1330

1331

1332

1333

1334

APPENDIX 1277 type fder fsubst -> fm -> o. 1278 This appendix contains the entire code described in this paper. The 1279 fder _ (fcon C) (fcon C). code can also be accessed at the URL: https://github.com/FissoreD/ fder S (fapp A) (fapp B) :- map (fder S) A B. 1280 1281 fder S (flam F) (flam G) :-Note that (a infix b) c d de-sugars to (infix) a b c d. $pi x \land fder S x x \Rightarrow fder S (F x) (G x).$ 1282 Explain builtin name (can be implemented by loading name after fder S (fuva N) R :- set? N S T, fder S T R. 1283 each pi) fder S (fuva N) (fuva N) :- unset? N S. 1284 1285 13 THE MEMORY 1286 type fderef fsubst -> fm -> o. kind addr type. fderef S T T2 :- fder S T T1, napp T1 T2. 1287 type addr nat -> addr. typeabbrev (mem A) (list (option A)). type napp $fm \rightarrow fm \rightarrow o$. 1289 napp (fcon C) (fcon C). 1290 type set? addr -> mem A -> A -> o. 1291 napp (fuva A) (fuva A). set? (addr A) Mem Val :- get A Mem Val. 1292 napp (flam F) (flam F1) : $pi x \rightarrow pi x = napp (F x) (F1 x).$ 1293 type unset? addr -> mem A -> o. 1294 napp (fapp [fapp L1 |L2]) T :- !, unset? Addr Mem :- not (set? Addr Mem _). append L1 L2 L3, napp (fapp L3) T. 1295 napp (fapp L) (fapp L1) :- map napp L L1. 1296 type assign-aux nat -> mem A -> A -> mem A -> o. 1297 assign-aux z (none :: L) Y (some Y :: L). type $(=_{o})$ fm -> fm -> o. 1298 assign-aux (s N) (X :: L) Y (X :: L1) :- assign-aux N L Y L1. fcon $X =_{o} f$ con X. fapp $A =_{o} fapp B := forall2 (=_{o}) A B$. type assign addr -> mem A -> A -> mem A -> o. flam $F =_o$ flam $G := pi x \setminus x =_o x \Rightarrow F x =_o G x.$ assign (addr A) Mem1 Val Mem2 :- assign-aux A Mem1 Val Mem2. 1302 fuva $N =_{\alpha}$ fuva N. flam $F =_o T :=$ 1303 type get nat -> mem A -> A -> o. pi x\ beta T [x] (T' x), $x =_o x \Rightarrow F x =_o T' x$. 1304 get z (some Y :: _) Y. 1305 $T =_{o} flam F :=$ get (s N) (_ :: L) X :- get N L X. pi x\ beta T [x] (T' x), $x =_o x \Rightarrow T' x =_o F x$. 1306 fapp [flam X | L] = T: beta (flam X) L R, R = T. (β_I) 1307 type alloc-aux nat -> mem A -> mem A -> o. $T =_o$ fapp [flam X | L] :- beta (flam X) L R, $T =_o$ R. (β_r) 1308 alloc-aux z [] [none] :- !. 1309 alloc-aux z L L. type extend-subst fm -> fsubst -> fsubst -> o. 1310 alloc-aux (s N) [] [none | M] :- alloc-aux N [] M. extend-subst (fuva N) S S' :- mem.alloc N S S'. 1311 alloc-aux (s N) [X | L] [X | M] :- alloc-aux N L M. 1312 extend-subst (flam F) S S' : $pi x \ (pi S\extend-subst x S S) => extend-subst (F x) S S'.$ type alloc addr -> mem A -> mem A -> o. 1314 extend-subst (fcon _) S S. alloc (addr A as Ad) Mem1 Mem2 :- unset? Ad Mem1, extend-subst (fapp L) S S1 :- fold extend-subst L S S1. 1315 alloc-aux A Mem1 Mem2. 1316 type beta fm -> list fm -> fm -> o. 1317 type new-aux mem A -> nat -> mem A -> o. 1318 beta A [] A. new-aux [] z [none]. beta (flam Bo) [H | L] R :- beta (Bo H) L R. 1319 new-aux [A | As] (s N) [A | Bs] :- new-aux As N Bs. beta (fapp A) L (fapp X) :- append A L X. 1320 1321 beta (fuva N) L (fapp [fuva N | L]). type new mem A -> addr -> mem A -> o. 1322 beta (fcon H) L (fapp [fcon H | L]). new Mem1 (addr Ad) Mem2 :- new-aux Mem1 Ad Mem2. 1323 beta N L (fapp [N | L]) :- name N. 1324 1325 type mk-app $fm \rightarrow list fm \rightarrow fm \rightarrow o$. 14 THE OBJECT LANGUAGE mk-app T L S :- beta T L S. 1326 kind fm type. 1328 type fapp list fm -> fm. type eta-contract fm -> fm -> o.

eta-contract (fcon X) (fcon X).

eta-contract (flam F) (flam F1) :-

eta-contract (fapp L) (fapp L1) :- map eta-contract L L1.

eta-contract (flam F) T :- eta-contract-aux [] (flam F) T.

 $pi x \le eta-contract x x \implies eta-contract (F x) (F1 x).$

```
eta-contract (fuva X) (fuva X).
1393
                                                                                  type prune-same-variable addr -> list tm -> list tm ->
                                                                                                                                                       1451
1394
         eta-contract X X :- name X.
                                                                                                              list tm -> assignment -> o.
                                                                                                                                                       1452
1395
                                                                                  prune-same-variable N [] [] ACC (val (uva N Args)) :-
                                                                                                                                                       1453
         type eta-contract-aux list fm -> fm -> o.
                                                                                    rev ACC Args.
                                                                                                                                                       1454
1397
         eta-contract-aux L (flam F) T :-
                                                                                  prune-same-variable N [X|XS] [X|YS] ACC (abs F) :-
                                                                                                                                                       1455
           pi x\ eta-contract-aux [x]L] (F x) T. % also checks H Prefix does muit x\empressee-variable N XS YS [x]ACC] (F x).
                                                                                                                                                       1456
1398
         eta-contract-aux L (fapp [H|Args]) T :-
                                                                                  prune-same-variable N [_|XS] [_|YS] ACC (abs F) :-
                                                                                                                                                       1457
1399
           rev L LRev, append Prefix LRev Args,
                                                                                    pi x\ prune-same-variable N XS YS ACC (F x).
                                                                                                                                                       1458
1400
1401
           if (Prefix = []) (T = H) (T = fapp [H|Prefix]).
                                                                                                                                                       1459
                                                                                  type permute list nat -> list tm -> list tm -> o.
                                                                                                                                                       1460
                                                                                  permute [] _ [].
       15 THE META LANGUAGE
                                                                                  permute [PIPS] Args [TITS] :-
         kind inctx type -> type.
                                                                                    nth P Args T.
1405
                                                                                                                                                       1463
                                                                                    permute PS Args TS.
         type abs (tm -> inctx A) -> inctx A.
1406
                                                                                                                                                       1464
1407
         type val A -> inctx A.
                                                                                                                                                       1465
1408
         typeabbrev assignment (inctx tm).
                                                                                  type build-perm-assign addr -> list tm -> list bool ->
                                                                                                                                                       1466
         typeabbrev subst (mem assignment).
                                                                                                        list nat -> assignment -> o.
1409
1410
                                                                                  build-perm-assign N ArgsR [] Perm (val (uva N PermutedArgs)) :-1468
         kind tm type.
                                                                                    rev ArgsR Args, permute Perm Args PermutedArgs.
1411
         type app list tm -> tm.
                                                                                  build-perm-assign N Acc [tt|L] Perm (abs T) :-
                                                                                                                                                       1470
1412
         type lam (tm \rightarrow tm) \rightarrow tm.
                                                                                    pi x\ build-perm-assign N [x|Acc] L Perm (T x).
                                                                                                                                                       1471
1413
1414
         type con string -> tm.
                                                                                  build-perm-assign N Acc [ff|L] Perm (abs T) :-
                                                                                                                                                       1472
1415
         type uva addr -> list tm -> tm.
                                                                                    pi x\ build-perm-assign N Acc L Perm (T x).
                                                                                                                                                       1473
                                                                                                                                                       1474
1417
         type (\simeq_{\lambda}) tm -> tm -> subst -> subst -> o.
                                                                                  type keep list A -> A -> bool -> o.
                                                                                  keep L A tt :- mem L A, !.
                                                                                                                                                       1476
1418
         (con C \simeq_{\lambda} con C) S S.
                                                                                  keep \_ \_ ff.
         (app L1 \simeq_{\lambda} app L2) S S1 :- fold2 (\simeq_{\lambda}) L1 L2 S S1.
                                                                                                                                                       1477
1419
1420
         (lam F1 \simeq_{\lambda} lam F2) S S1 :-
                                                                                                                                                       1478
1421
           pi x\ (pi S\ (x \simeq_{\lambda} x) S S) => (F1 x \simeq_{\lambda} F2 x) S S1.
                                                                                  type prune-diff-variables addr -> list tm -> list tm ->
                                                                                                                                                       1479
          (uva N Args \simeq_{\lambda} T) S S1 :-
                                                                                                              assignment -> assignment -> o.
1422
                                                                                                                                                       1480
           set? N S F,!, move F Args T1, (T1 \simeq_{\lambda} T) S S1.
                                                                                  prune-diff-variables N Args1 Args2 Ass1 Ass2 :-
1423
         (T \simeq_{\lambda} uva N Args) S S1 :-
                                                                                    map (keep Args2) Args1 Bits1,
                                                                                                                                                       1482
1424
           set? N S F,!, move F Args T1, (T \simeq_{\lambda} T1) S S1.
                                                                                    map (keep Args1) Args2 Bits2,
                                                                                                                                                       1483
1425
         (uva M A1 \simeq_{\lambda} uva N A2) S1 S2 :- !,
                                                                                    filter Args1 (mem Args2) ToKeep1,
                                                                                                                                                       1484
1426
1427
           pattern-fragment A1, pattern-fragment A2,
                                                                                    filter Args2 (mem Args1) ToKeep2,
                                                                                                                                                       1485
           prune! M A1 N A2 S1 S2.
                                                                                    map (index ToKeep1) ToKeep1 IdPerm,
                                                                                                                                                       1486
          (uva N Args \simeq_{\lambda} T) S S1 :- not_occ N S T, pattern-fragment Args,
                                                                                    map (index ToKeep1) ToKeep2 Perm21,
                                                                                                                                                       1487
           bind T Args T1, assign N S T1 S1.
                                                                                    build-perm-assign N [] Bits1 IdPerm Ass1,
          (T \simeq_{\lambda} uva N Args) S S1 :- not_occ N S T, pattern-fragment Args,
                                                                                    build-perm-assign N [] Bits2 Perm21 Ass2.
1431
           bind T Args T1, assign N S T1 S1.
                                                                                                                                                       1490
1432
                                                                                  type beta tm -> list tm -> tm -> o.
1433
                                                                                                                                                       1491
1434
         type prune! addr -> list tm -> addr ->
                                                                                  beta A [] A.
                                                                                                                                                       1492
                      list tm -> subst -> o.
                                                                                  beta (lam Bo) [H | L] R :- beta (Bo H) L R.
1435
                                                                                                                                                       1493
         /* no pruning needed */
1436
                                                                                  beta (app A) L (app X) :- append A L X.
                                                                                                                                                       1494
1437
         prune! N A N A S S :- !.
                                                                                  beta (con H) L (app [con H | L]).
                                                                                                                                                       1495
         prune! M A N A S1 S2 :- !, bind (uva M A) A Ass,
                                                                                  beta X L (app[X|L]) :- name X.
                                                                                                                                                       1496
1438
1439
           assign N S1 Ass S2.
                                                                                                                                                       1497
1440
         /* prune different arguments */
                                                                                  /* occur check for N before crossing a functor */
                                                                                                                                                       1498
1441
         prune! N A1 N A2 S1 S3 :- !,
                                                                                  type not_occ addr -> subst -> tm -> o.
                                                                                                                                                       1499
           new S1 W S2, prune-same-variable W A1 A2 [] Ass,
1442
                                                                                  not_occ N S (uva M Args) :- set? M S F,
           assign N S2 Ass S3.
                                                                                    move F Args T, not_occ N S T.
         /* prune to the intersection of scopes */
                                                                                  not_occ N S (uva M Args) :- unset? M S, not (M = N),
1444
         prune! N A1 M A2 S1 S4 :- !,
                                                                                    forall1 (not_occ_aux N S) Args.
                                                                                                                                                       1503
1445
           new S1 W S2, prune-diff-variables W A1 A2 Ass1 Ass2,
1446
                                                                                  not_occ _ _ (con _).
                                                                                                                                                       1504
1447
           assign N S2 Ass1 S3,
                                                                                  not_occ N S (app L) :- not_occ_aux N S (app L).
                                                                                                                                                       1505
           assign M S3 Ass2 S4.
                                                                                  /* Note: lam is a functor for the meta language! */
1448
                                                                                                                                                       1506
                                                                                  not_occ N S (lam L) :- pi x\ not_occ_aux N S (L x).
1449
                                                                                                                                                       1507
1450
                                                                                                                                                       1508
                                                                           13
```

```
1509
         not_occ _ _ X :- name X.
                                                                                kind mapping type.
                                                                                                                                                    1567
1510
         /* finding N is ok */
                                                                                type mapping fvariable -> hvariable -> mapping.
                                                                                                                                                    1568
1511
         not_occ N _ (uva N _).
                                                                                typeabbrev mmap (list mapping).
                                                                                                                                                    1569
1513
         /* occur check for X after crossing a functor */
                                                                                typeabbrev scope (list tm).
                                                                                                                                                    1571
         type not occ aux addr -> subst -> tm -> o.
                                                                                typeabbrev inctx ho.inctx.
                                                                                                                                                    1572
1514
         not_occ_aux N S (uva M _) :- unset? M S, not (N = M).
                                                                                kind baselink type.
1515
                                                                                                                                                    1573
         not_occ_aux N S (uva M Args) :- set? M S F,
                                                                                type link-eta tm -> tm -> baselink.
1516
                                                                                                                                                    1574
1517
           move F Args T, not_occ_aux N S T.
                                                                                type link-beta tm -> tm -> baselink.
                                                                                                                                                    1575
1518
         not_occ_aux N S (app L) :- forall1 (not_occ_aux N S) L.
                                                                                typeabbrev link (inctx baselink).
                                                                                                                                                    1576
         not_occ_aux N S (lam F) :- pi x\ not_occ_aux N S (F x).
                                                                                typeabbrev links (list link).
                                                                                                                                                    1577
         not_occ_aux _ _ (con _).
                                                                                macro @val-link-eta T1 T2 :- ho.val (link-eta T1 T2).
         not_occ_aux _ _ X :- name X.
                                                                                                                                                    1579
1521
                                                                                macro @val-link-beta T1 T2 :- ho.val (link-beta T1 T2).
         /* finding N is ko, hence no rule */
1522
                                                                                                                                                    1580
1523
                                                                                                                                                    1581
1524
         /* copy T T' vails if T contains a free variable, i.e. it
                                                                                                                                                    1582
            performs scope checking for bind */
1525
                                                                                                                                                    1583
1526
         type copy tm -> tm -> o.
                                                                                type occurs-rigidly fm -> fm -> o.
                                                                                                                                                    1584
         copy (con C) (con C).
1527
                                                                                occurs-rigidly N N.
                                                                                                                                                    1585
                        (app L') :- map copy L L'.
1528
         copy (app L)
                                                                                occurs-rigidly _ (fapp [fuva _|_]) :- !, fail.
                                                                                                                                                    1586
         copy (lam T) (lam T') :- pi x \cdot copy x x \Rightarrow copy (T x) (T' x).
                                                                                occurs-rigidly N (fapp L) :- exists (occurs-rigidly N) L.
1529
                                                                                                                                                    1587
1530
         copy (uva A L) (uva A L') :- map copy L L'.
                                                                                occurs-rigidly N (flam B) :- pi x\ occurs-rigidly N (B x).
                                                                                                                                                    1588
1531
                                                                                                                                                    1589
         type bind tm -> list tm -> assignment -> o.
                                                                                type reducible-to list fm -> fm -> o.
         bind T [] (val T') :- copy T T'.
                                                                                reducible-to _ N N :- !.
         bind T [X | TL] (abs T') :- pi x \land copy X x \Rightarrow bind T TL (T' x).
1534
                                                                                reducible-to L N (fapp[fuva _[Args]) :- !,
                                                                                   forall1 (x\ exists (reducible-to [] x) Args) [N|L].
1535
                                                                                                                                                    1593
1536
         type deref subst -> tm -> tm -> o.
                                                                  (\sigma t)
                                                                                reducible-to L N (flam B) :- !,
                                                                                                                                                    1594
1537
         deref _ (con C) (con C).
                                                                                  pi x\ reducible-to [x | L] N (B x).
                                                                                                                                                    1595
         deref S (app A) (app B) :- map (deref S) A B.
                                                                                reducible-to L N (fapp [N|Args]) :-
1538
                                                                                                                                                    1596
1539
         deref S (lam F) (lam G) :-
                                                                                  last-n {len L} Args R,
           pi x \leq S x x \Rightarrow S = S (F x) (G x).
                                                                                  forall2 (reducible-to []) R {rev L}.
                                                                                                                                                    1598
1540
         deref S (uva N L) R :- set? N S A,
                                                                                                                                                    1599
1541
           move A L T, deref S T R.
                                                                                type maybe-eta fm -> list fm -> o.
                                                                                                                                                    1600
1542
                                                                                                                                (\Diamond \eta)
1543
         deref S (uva N A) (uva N B) :- unset? N S,
                                                                                maybe-eta (fapp[fuva _|Args]) L :- !,
                                                                                                                                                    1601
1544
           map (deref S) A B.
                                                                                   forall1 (x\ exists (reducible-to [] x) Args) L, !.
                                                                                                                                                    1602
                                                                                maybe-eta (flam B) L := !, pi \times maybe-eta (B \times) [x \mid L].
         type move assignment -> list tm -> tm -> o.
                                                                                maybe-eta (fapp [fcon _|Args]) L :-
         move (abs Bo) [H|L] R :- move (Bo H) L R.
                                                                                  split-last-n {len L} Args First Last,
         move (val A) [] A.
                                                                                  none (x\ exists (y\ occurs-rigidly x y) First) L,
                                                                                                                                                    1606
1548
1549
                                                                                   forall2 (reducible-to []) {rev L} Last.
                                                                                                                                                    1607
1550
                                                                                                                                                    1608
         type deref-assmt subst -> assignment -> o.
1551
                                                                                                                                                    1609
         deref-assmt S (abs T) (abs R) :- pi x \cdot deref-assmt S (T x) (R x). type locally-bound tm -> o.
1552
                                                                                                                                                    1610
1553
         deref-assmt S (val T) (val R) :- deref S T R.
                                                                                type get-scope-aux tm -> list tm -> o.
                                                                                                                                                    1611
1554
                                                                                get-scope-aux (con _) [].
                                                                                                                                                    1612
1555
                                                                                get-scope-aux (uva _ L) L1 :-
                                                                                                                                                    1613
       16 THE COMPILER
1556
                                                                                   forall2 get-scope-aux L R,
                                                                                                                                                    1614
1557
         kind arity type.
                                                                                   flatten R L1.
                                                                                                                                                    1615
1558
         type arity nat -> arity.
                                                                                get-scope-aux (lam B) L1 :-
                                                                                                                                                    1616
                                                                                   pi x \setminus locally-bound x \Rightarrow get-scope-aux (B x) L1.
1560
         kind fvariable type.
                                                                                get-scope-aux (app L) L1 :-
         type fv addr -> fvariable.
                                                                                                                                                    1619
                                                                                  forall2 get-scope-aux L R,
1561
1562
                                                                                   flatten R L1.
                                                                                                                                                    1620
1563
         kind hvariable type.
                                                                                get-scope-aux X [X] := name X, not (locally-bound X).
                                                                                                                                                    1621
         type hv addr -> arity -> hvariable.
                                                                                get-scope-aux X [] :- name X, (locally-bound X).
1564
                                                                                                                                                    1622
1565
                                                                                                                                                    1623
1566
                                                                                                                                                    1624
                                                                          14
```

```
1625
         type names1 list tm -> o.
                                                                                                                                                  1683
1626
         names1 L :-
                                                                               type compile-terms-diagnostic
                                                                                                                                                  1684
1627
           names L1,
                                                                                 triple diagnostic fm fm ->
                                                                                                                                                  1685
                                                                                 triple diagnostic tm tm ->
           new int N.
           if (1 is N mod 2) (L1 = L) (rev L1 L).
                                                                                 mmap -> mmap ->
                                                                                                                                                  1687
1629
                                                                                 links -> links ->
1630
                                                                                                                                                  1688
         type get-scope tm -> list tm -> o.
                                                                                 subst -> subst -> o.
1631
                                                                                                                                                  1689
                                                                               compile-terms-diagnostic (triple D FO1 FO2) (triple D HO1 HO2) MPOM3 L1
1632
         get-scope T Scope :-
1633
           get-scope-aux T ScopeDuplicata,
                                                                                 comp F01 H01 M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2,
           names N, undup ScopeDuplicata Scope.
                                                                                 comp F02 H02 M2 M3 L2 L3 S2 S3.
         type rigid fm -> o.
                                                                                                                                                  1693
         rigid X := not (X = fuva_).
                                                                               type compile-terms
1636
                                                                                 list (triple diagnostic fm fm) ->
1637
                                                                                                                                                  1695
         type comp-lam (fm \rightarrow fm) \rightarrow (tm \rightarrow tm) \rightarrow
                                                                                 list (triple diagnostic tm tm) ->
1638
                                                                                                                                                  1696
1639
           mmap -> mmap -> links -> links -> subst -> o.
                                                                                 mmap -> links -> subst -> o.
                                                                                                                                                  1697
         comp-lam F G M1 M2 L1 L3 S1 S2 :-
                                                                               compile-terms T H M L S :-
                                                                                                                                                  1698
1640
           pi x y\ (pi M L S\ comp x y M M L L S S) =>
                                                                                 fold6 compile-terms-diagnostic T H [] M_ [] L_ [] S_,
1641
                                                                                                                                                  1699
1642
             comp (F x) (G y) M1 M2 L1 (L2 y) S1 S2,
                                                                                 deduplicate-map M_ M S_ S L_ L.
                                                                                                                                                  1700
           close-links L2 L3.
                                                                                                                                                  1701
1643
                                                                               type make-eta-link-aux nat -> addr -> addr ->
                                                                                                                                                  1702
1644
         type close-links (tm -> links) -> links -> o.
                                                                                 list tm -> links -> subst -> o.
1645
                                                                                                                                                  1703
1646
         close-links (_\[]) [].
                                                                               make-eta-link-aux z Ad1 Ad2 Scope1 L H1 H1 :-
                                                                                                                                                  1704
                                                                                 rev Scope1 Scope, eta-expand (uva Ad2 Scope) @one T1,
         close-links (v\[X\] | L v\]) [X\[R\] :- !, close-links L R.
                                                                                                                                                  1705
         close-links (v\setminus[X \ v\mid L \ v]) [abs X|R] :- close-links L R.
                                                                                 L = [@val-link-eta (uva Ad1 Scope) T1].
         type comp fm -> tm -> mmap -> mmap -> links -> links ->
                                                                               make-eta-link-aux (s N) Ad1 Ad2 Scope1 L H1 H3 :-
                                                                                                                                                  1708
1650
           subst -> subst -> o.
                                                                                 rev Scope1 Scope, alloc H1 Ad H2,
         comp (fcon C) (con C) M M L L S S.
                                                                                 eta-expand (uva Ad Scope) @one T2,
1651
                                                                                                                                                  1709
1652
         comp (flam F) (uva A Scope) M1 M2 L1 L3 S1 S3 :-
                                                                                 (pi x\ make-eta-link-aux N Ad Ad2 [x|Scope1] (L1 x) H2 H3),
                                                                                                                                                  1710
1653
           maybe-eta (flam F) [], !,
                                                                                 close-links L1 L2,
                                                                                                                                                  1711
             alloc S1 A S2,
                                                                                 L = [@val-link-eta (uva Ad1 Scope) T2 | L2].
1654
                                                                                                                                                  1712
             comp-lam F F1 M1 M2 L1 L2 S2 S3,
1655
                                                                                                                                                  1713
             get-scope (lam F1) Scope,
                                                                               type make-eta-link nat -> nat -> addr -> addr ->
                                                                                                                                                  1714
1656
             L3 = [@val-link-eta (uva A Scope) (lam F1) | L2].
                                                                                       list tm -> links -> subst -> subst -> o.
                                                                                                                                                  1715
1657
         comp (flam F) (lam F1) M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2 :-
                                                                               make-eta-link (s N) z Ad1 Ad2 Vars L H H1 :-
                                                                                                                                                  1716
1658
1659
           comp-lam F F1 M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2.
                                                                                 make-eta-link-aux N Ad2 Ad1 Vars L H H1.
                                                                                                                                                  1717
         comp (fuva A) (uva B []) M1 M2 L L S1 S2 :-
                                                                               make-eta-link z (s N) Ad1 Ad2 Vars L H H1 :-
                                                                                                                                                  1718
                                                                                 make-eta-link-aux N Ad1 Ad2 Vars L H H1.
           m-alloc (fv A) (hv B (arity z)) M1 M2 S1 S2.
                                                                                                                                                  1719
         comp (fapp [fuva A|Ag]) (uva B Ag1) M1 M2 L L S1 S2 :-
                                                                               make-eta-link (s N) (s M) Ad1 Ad2 Vars Links H H1 :-
                                                                                 (pi x\ make-eta-link N M Ad1 Ad2 [x|Vars] (L x) H H1),
                                                                                                                                                  1721
1663
           pattern-fragment Ag. !.
             fold6 comp Ag Ag1 M1 M1 L L S1 S1,
                                                                                 close-links L Links.
                                                                                                                                                  1722
1664
1665
             len Ag Arity,
                                                                                                                                                  1723
             m-alloc (fv A) (hv B (arity Arity)) M1 M2 S1 S2.
                                                                               type deduplicate-map mmap -> mmap ->
                                                                                                                                                  1724
1666
         comp (fapp [fuva A|Ag]) (uva C Scope) M1 M3 L1 L3 S1 S4 :- !,
                                                                                   subst -> subst -> links -> links -> o.
                                                                                                                                                  1725
1667
           pattern-fragment-prefix Ag Pf Extra,
                                                                               deduplicate-map [] [] H H L L.
           fold6 comp Pf
                           Scope1 M1 M1 L1 L1 S1 S1,
                                                                               deduplicate-map [(mapping (fv 0) (hv M (arity LenM)) as X1) | Map1] Map2
1669
           fold6 comp Extra Extra1 M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2,
                                                                                 take-list Map1 (mapping (fv 0) (hv M' (arity LenM'))) _, !, 1728
1670
                                                                                 std.assert! (not (LenM = LenM')) "Deduplicate map, there is albag",
           len Pf Arity.
1671
                                                                                 print "arity-fix links:" {ppmapping X1} "~!~" {ppmapping (mapping (fv
1672
           m-alloc (fv A) (hv B (arity Arity)) M2 M3 S2 S3,
                                                                                 make-eta-link LenM LenM' M M' [] New H1 H2,
1673
           Beta = app [uva B Scope1 | Extra1],
                                                                                 print "new eta link" {pplinks New},
                                                                                                                                                  1732
1674
           get-scope Beta Scope,
           alloc S3 C S4,
                                                                                 append New L1 L2,
                                                                                                                                                  1733
           L3 = [@val-link-beta (uva C Scope) Beta | L2].
                                                                                 deduplicate-map Map1 Map2 H2 H3 L2 L3.
                                                                                                                                                  1734
         comp (fapp A) (app A1) M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2 :-
                                                                               deduplicate-map [A|As] [A|Bs] H1 H2 L1 L2 :-
                                                                                                                                                  1735
1677
1678
           fold6 comp A A1 M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2.
                                                                                 deduplicate-map As Bs H1 H2 L1 L2, !.
                                                                                                                                                  1736
1679
                                                                               deduplicate-map [A|_] _ H _ _ :-
                                                                                                                                                  1737
         type alloc mem A -> addr -> mem A -> o.
                                                                                 halt "deduplicating mapping error" {ppmapping A} {ho.ppsubst ₩¾8
1680
         alloc S N S1 :- mem.new S N S1.
1681
                                                                                                                                                  1739
1682
                                                                                                                                                  1740
                                                                         15
```

```
17 THE PROGRESS FUNCTION
1741
                                                                              append Scope1 L1 Scope1L,
                                                                                                                                             1799
1742
                                                                              pattern-fragment-prefix Scope1L Scope2 L2,
                                                                                                                                             1800
         macro @one :- s z.
1743
                                                                              not (Scope1 = Scope2), !,
                                                                                                                                             1801
1744
                                                                              mem.new S1 Ad2 S2,
         type contract-rigid list ho.tm -> ho.tm -> o.
1745
                                                                              len Scope1 Scope1Len,
         contract-rigid L (ho.lam F) T :-
           \textbf{pi x} \land \textbf{contract-rigid [x|L] (F x) T. \% also checks H Prefix does not see Scope 2 Scope 2 Len, } \\ 
1746
                                                                              make-eta-link Scope1Len Scope2Len Ad1 Ad2 [] LinkEta S2 S3,
1747
         contract-rigid L (ho.app [H|Args]) T :-
                                                                              if (L2 = []) (NewLinks = LinkEta, T2 = ho.uva Ad2 Scope2)
1748
          rev L LRev, append Prefix LRev Args,
1749
                                                                                (T2 = ho.app [ho.uva Ad2 Scope2 | L2],
           if (Prefix = []) (T = H) (T = ho.app [H|Prefix]).
                                                                                NewLinks = [@val-link-beta T T2 | LinkEta]).
         type progress-eta-link ho.tm -> ho.tm -> ho.subst -> ho.subst -> links -> o.
        progress-eta-link (ho.app _ as T) (ho.lam x\ _ as T1) H H1 [] :- !,progress-beta-link T1 (ho.app[ho.uva _ _ | _] as T2) _ _ _ :-
                                                                              not (T1 = ho.uva _ _), !, fail.
1753
           ({eta-expand T @one} == 1 T1) H H1.
1754
         progress-eta-link (ho.con \_ as T) (ho.lam x\ \_ as T1) H H1 [] :- !,
1755
                                                                            progress-beta-link (ho.uva _ _ as T) (ho.app[ho.uva _ _ | _] as142) S1 _
           (\{eta-expand T @one\} == 1 T1) H H1.
1756
                                                                              occur-check-err T T2 S1, !, fail.
                                                                                                                                            1814
         progress-eta-link (ho.lam _ as T) T1 H H1 [] :- !,
1757
           (T == 1 T1) H H1.
1758
                                                                            progress-beta-link T1 (ho.app[ho.uva _ _ | _] as T2) H H [@val-lank-beta
         progress-eta-link (ho.uva _ _ as X) T H H1 [] :-
1759
           contract-rigid [] T T1, !, (X ==1 T1) H H1.
         progress-eta-link (ho.uva Ad _ as T1) T2 H H [@val-link-eta T1 T2] progress-beta-link T1 (ho.app [Hd | T1]) S1 S2 B :-
1760
                                                                                                                                             1818
                                                                              ho.beta Hd Tl T3.
                                                                                                                                             1819
1761
          if (ho.not_occ Ad H T2) true fail.
                                                                              progress-beta-link-aux T1 T3 S1 S2 B.
1762
                                                                                                                                             1820
1763
         type is-in-pf ho.tm -> o.
                                                                            type solve-link-abs link -> links -> ho.subst -> ho.subst -> o.1822
         is-in-pf (ho.app [ho.uva _ _ | _]) :- !, fail.
1765
                                                                            solve-link-abs (ho.abs X) R H H1 :-
         is-in-pf (ho.lam B) :- !, pi x\ is-in-pf (B x).
                                                                              pi x\ ho.copy x x \Rightarrow (pi S\ ho.deref S x x) \Rightarrow
1766
         is-in-pf (ho.con _).
                                                                                solve-link-abs (X x) (R' x) H H1,
                                                                                                                                             1825
1767
         is-in-pf (ho.app L) :- forall1 is-in-pf L.
                                                                              close-links R' R.
1768
                                                                                                                                             1826
         is-in-pf N :- name N.
1769
                                                                                                                                             1827
         is-in-pf (ho.uva _ L) :- pattern-fragment L.
                                                                            solve-link-abs (@val-link-eta A B) NewLinks S S1 :- !,
1770
1771
                                                                              progress-eta-link A B S S1 NewLinks.
         type arity ho.tm -> nat -> o.
1772
         arity (ho.con _) z.
1773
                                                                            solve-link-abs (@val-link-beta A B) NewLinks S S1 :- !,
                                                                                                                                             1831
         arity (ho.app L) A :- len L A.
                                                                              progress-beta-link A B S S1 NewLinks.
1774
                                                                                                                                             1832
1775
                                                                                                                                             1833
         type occur-check-err ho.tm -> ho.tm -> ho.subst -> o.
1776
                                                                            type take-link link -> links -> link -> links -> o.
                                                                                                                                             1834
         occur-check-err (ho.con _) _ _ :- !.
                                                                            take-link A [B|XS] B XS :- link-abs-same-lhs A B, !.
                                                                                                                                             1835
         occur-check-err (ho.app _) _ _ :- !.
                                                                            take-link A [L|XS] B [L|YS] :- take-link A XS B YS.
         occur-check-err (ho.lam _) _ _ :- !.
         occur-check-err (ho.uva Ad _) T S :-
                                                                            type link-abs-same-lhs link -> link -> o.
                                                                                                                                             1838
1780
          not (ho.not_occ Ad S T).
                                                                            link-abs-same-lhs (ho.abs F) B :-
1781
                                                                                                                                             1839
1782
                                                                              pi x\ link-abs-same-lhs (F x) B.
                                                                                                                                             1840
         type progress-beta-link-aux ho.tm -> ho.tm ->
                                                                            link-abs-same-lhs A (ho.abs G) :-
1783
                                                                                                                                             1841
                 ho.subst -> ho.subst -> links -> o.
1784
                                                                              pi x\ link-abs-same-lhs A (G x).
         progress-beta-link-aux T1 T2 S1 S2 [] :- is-in-pf T2, !,
1785
                                                                            link-abs-same-lhs (@val-link-eta (ho.uva N _) _) (@val-link-eta1%ho.uva
           (T1 == 1 T2) S1 S2.
1786
         progress-beta-link-aux T1 T2 S S [@val-link-beta T1 T2] :- !.
1787
                                                                            type same-link-eta link -> link -> ho.subst -> ho.subst -> o. 1845
1788
                                                                            same-link-eta (ho.abs F) B H H1 :- !, pi x\ same-link-eta (F x)!86H H1.
         type progress-beta-link ho.tm -> ho.tm -> ho.subst ->
                                                                            same-link-eta A (ho.abs G) H H1 :- !, pi x\ same-link-eta A (G x≱7H H1.
1789
              ho.subst -> links -> o
         (@val-link-eta (ho.uva N S2) B) H H1 :-
                                                                                                                                             1849
          arity T Arity, len L ArgsNb, ArgsNb >n Arity, !,
1792
                                                                              std.map2 S1 S2 (x\y\r\ r = ho.copy x y) Perm,
          minus ArgsNb Arity Diff, mem.new S V1 S1,
                                                                              Perm => ho.copy A A',
                                                                                                                                             1851
1793
           eta-expand (ho.uva V1 Scope) Diff T1,
                                                                              (A' == 1 B) H H1.
                                                                                                                                             1852
1794
           ((ho.uva V Scope) ==1 T1) S1 S2.
1795
                                                                                                                                             1853
         progress-beta-link (ho.uva _ _ as T) (ho.app[ho.uva Ad1 Scope1 | L‡ypes progress-1 links -> ho.subst -> ho.subst -> o.
                                                                                                                                             1854
1796
1797
                                                                            progress1 [] [] X X.
                                                                                                                                             1855
1798
                                                                                                                                             1856
                                                                      16
```

```
1857
         progress1 [A|L1] [A|L3] S S2 :- take-link A L1 B L2, !,
                                                                                 type add-new-map ho.subst -> ho.tm -> map ->
                                                                                                                                                      1915
           same-link-eta A B S S1,
                                                                                      map -> fo.fsubst -> fo.fsubst -> o.
1858
                                                                                                                                                      1916
1859
           progress1 L2 L3 S1 S2.
                                                                                 add-new-map _ (ho.uva N _) Map [] F1 F1 :-
                                                                                                                                                      1917
         progress1 [L0|L1] L3 S S2 :- deref-link S L0 L,
                                                                                   mem Map (mapping _ (hv N _)), !.
1861
           solve-link-abs L R S S1, !,
                                                                                 add-new-map H (ho.uva N L) Map [Map1 | MapL] F1 F3 :-
           progress1 L1 L2 S1 S2, append R L2 L3.
                                                                                   mem.new F1 M F2.
                                                                                                                                                      1920
1862
                                                                                   len L Arity, Map1 = mapping (fv M) (hv N (arity Arity)),
                                                                                                                                                      1921
1863
                                                                                    add-new-map H (ho.app L) [Map1 | Map] MapL F2 F3.
                                                                                                                                                      1922
1864
       18 THE DECOMPILER
1865
                                                                                 add-new-map H (ho.lam B) Map NewMap F1 F2 :-
                                                                                                                                                      1923
         type abs->lam ho.assignment -> ho.tm -> o.
                                                                                    pi x\ add-new-map H (B x) Map NewMap F1 F2.
                                                                                                                                                      1924
         abs->lam (ho.abs T) (ho.lam R) :- !, pi x\ abs->lam (T x) (R x). add-new-map H (ho.app L) Map NewMap F1 F3 :-
         abs->lam (ho.val A) A.
                                                                                    add-new-map-aux H L Map NewMap F1 F3.
1868
                                                                                 add-new-map _ (ho.con _) _ [] F F :- !.
                                                                                                                                                      1927
1869
         type commit-links-aux link -> ho.subst -> ho.subst -> o.
                                                                                 add-new-map _ N _ [] F F :- name N.
1870
                                                                                                                                                      1928
1871
         commit-links-aux (@val-link-eta T1 T2) H1 H2 :-
                                                                                                                                                      1929
1872
           ho.deref H1 T1 T1', ho.deref H1 T2 T2',
                                                                                 type complete-mapping-under-ass ho.subst -> ho.assignment ->
                                                                                                                                                      1930
           (T1' == 1 T2') H1 H2.
                                                                                    map -> map -> fo.fsubst -> fo.fsubst -> o.
1873
                                                                                                                                                      1931
1874
         commit-links-aux (@val-link-beta T1 T2) H1 H2 :-
                                                                                 complete-mapping-under-ass H (ho.val Val) Map1 Map2 F1 F2 :-
1875
           ho.deref H1 T1 T1', ho.deref H1 T2 T2',
                                                                                    add-new-map H Val Map1 Map2 F1 F2.
           (T1' == 1 T2') H1 H2.
                                                                                 complete-mapping-under-ass H (ho.abs Abs) Map1 Map2 F1 F2 :-
                                                                                                                                                      1934
1876
         commit-links-aux (ho.abs B) H H1 :-
                                                                                    pi x\ complete-mapping-under-ass H (Abs x) Map1 Map2 F1 F2.
                                                                                                                                                      1935
1877
1878
           pi x\ commit-links-aux (B x) H H1.
                                                                                                                                                      1936
                                                                                                                                                      1937
1879
                                                                                 type complete-mapping ho.subst -> ho.subst ->
         type commit-links links -> links -> ho.subst -> ho.subst -> o.
                                                                                    map -> map -> fo.fsubst -> fo.fsubst -> o.
                                                                                                                                                      1938
         commit-links [] [] H H.
                                                                                 complete-mapping _ [] L L F F.
                                                                                                                                                      1939
         commit-links [Abs | Links] L H H2 :-
                                                                                 complete-mapping H [none | Tl] L1 L2 F1 F2 :-
           commit-links-aux Abs H H1, !, commit-links Links L H1 H2.
                                                                                    complete-mapping H Tl L1 L2 F1 F2.
                                                                                                                                                      1941
1883
                                                                                 complete-mapping H [some T0 | T1] L1 L3 F1 F3 :-
1884
                                                                                                                                                      1942
1885
         type decompl-subst map -> map -> ho.subst ->
                                                                                   ho.deref-assmt H T0 T,
                                                                                                                                                      1943
           fo.fsubst -> fo.fsubst -> o.
                                                                                    complete-mapping-under-ass H T L1 L2 F1 F2,
1886
                                                                                                                                                      1944
1887
         decompl-subst _ [A]_] _ _ :- fail.
                                                                                    append L1 L2 LAll.
         decompl-subst _ [] _ F F.
                                                                                   complete-mapping H Tl LAll L3 F2 F3.
                                                                                                                                                      1946
1888
         decompl-subst Map [mapping (fv V0) (hv VM _)[T1] H F F2 :-
                                                                                                                                                      1947
1889
           mem.set? VM H T, !,
                                                                                 type decompile map -> links -> ho.subst ->
                                                                                                                                                      1948
1890
1891
           ho.deref-assmt H T TTT,
                                                                                    fo.fsubst -> fo.fsubst -> o.
                                                                                                                                                      1949
                                                                                                                                                      1950
           abs->lam TTT T', tm->fm Map T' T1,
                                                                                 decompile Map1 L HO FO FO2 :-
           fo.eta-contract T1 T2, mem.assign V0 F T2 F1,
                                                                                    commit-links L L1_ HO HO1, !,
                                                                                                                                                      1951
           decompl-subst Map Tl H F1 F2.
                                                                                    complete-mapping HO1 HO1 Map1 Map2 FO FO1,
         decompl-subst Map [mapping _ (hv VM _)|T1] H F F2 :-
                                                                                    decompl-subst Map2 Map2 H01 F01 F02.
           mem.unset? VM H, decompl-subst Map T1 H F F2.
                                                                                                                                                      1954
1896
1897
                                                                                                                                                      1955
                                                                               19 AUXILIARY FUNCTIONS
1898
         type tm\rightarrow fm map \rightarrow ho.tm \rightarrow fo.fm \rightarrow o.
                                                                                                                                                      1956
                                                                                 type fold4 (A \rightarrow A1 \rightarrow B \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow C \rightarrow o) \rightarrow list A \rightarrow
         tm->fm _ (ho.con C) (fo.fcon C).
                                                                                                                                                      1957
1899
                                                                                   list A1 \rightarrow B \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow C \rightarrow o.
         tm->fm L (ho.lam B1) (fo.flam B2) :-
1900
                                                                                                                                                      1958
                                                                                 fold4 _ [] [] A A B B.
1901
           pi \times y \to fm _x y \Rightarrow tm \to fm L (B1 x) (B2 y).
                                                                                                                                                      1959
                                                                                 fold4 F [X|XS] [Y|YS] A A1 B B1 :- F X Y A A0 B B0,
1902
         tm->fm L (ho.app L1) T :- map (tm->fm L) L1 [Hd[T1],
                                                                                                                                                      1960
                                                                                    fold4 F XS YS A0 A1 B0 B1.
1903
           fo.mk-app Hd Tl T.
                                                                                                                                                      1961
1904
         tm->fm L (ho.uva VM TL) T :- mem L (mapping (fv VO) (hv VM _)),
                                                                                                                                                      1962
                                                                                 type len list A -> nat -> o.
1905
           map (tm->fm L) TL T1, fo.mk-app (fo.fuva V0) T1 T.
                                                                                                                                                      1963
                                                                                 len [] z.
                                                                                 len [\_|L] (s X) :- len L X.
         type add-new-map-aux ho.subst -> list ho.tm -> map ->
                map -> fo.fsubst -> fo.fsubst -> o.
1908
         add-new-map-aux \_ [] \_ [] S S.
                                                                                                                                                      1967
1909
         add-new-map-aux H [T|Ts] L L2 S S2 :-
1910
                                                                                                                                                      1968
1911
           add-new-map H T L L1 S S1,
                                                                                                                                                      1969
           add-new-map-aux H Ts L1 L2 S1 S2.
                                                                                                                                                      1970
1912
1913
                                                                                                                                                      1971
1914
                                                                                                                                                      1972
                                                                           17
```