## Higher-Order unification for free

Reusing the meta-language unification for the object language

Davide Fissore & Enrico Tassi

September 10, 2024

Supported by ANR-17-EURE-0004





## Metaprogramming for type-class resolution

- Our goal:
  - Type-class solver for Coq in Elpi
  - ► The goal of a type-class solver is to back-chain lemmas taken from a database of 'type-class instances'.
- Our problem:
  - ► Elpi cannot unify correctly Coq's HO terms
  - ▶ But we want/need to use Elpi's unification algorithm
- Our contribution:
  - Reusing the meta-language unification for the object language

### A type-class problem in Coq

```
Instance forall_dec: \forall A P, Finite A \rightarrow (* r3 *) (\forall x : A, Decision (P x)) \rightarrow Decision (\forall x : A, P x).

Goal Decision (\forall x : fin \ 7, nfact \ x \ 3). (* \ q \ *)
```

### A type-class problem in Coq

```
Instance forall_dec: \forall A P, Finite A \rightarrow (* \ r3 \ *) (\forall x : A, Decision (P x)) \rightarrow Decision (\forall x : A, P x).

Goal Decision (\forall x : fin \ 7, nfact \ x \ 3).

(* \ g \ *)

\{A \mapsto fin \ 7; P \mapsto \lambda x. (nfact \ x \ 3)\}
```

### A type-class problem in Coq

```
Instance forall_dec: \forall A \ P, Finite A \rightarrow (* \ r3 \ *) (\forall x:A, \ Decision \ (P \ x)) \rightarrow Decision \ (\forall x:A, \ P \ x).
```

Goal Decision (
$$\forall x$$
: fin 7, nfact x 3). (\*  $g$  \*)

- $\{A \mapsto fin \ 7; P \mapsto \lambda x. (nfact \ x \ 3)\}$
- subgoals:

```
Finite (fin 7) and (\forall x:A, Decision ((\lambda x.(nfact x 3)) x))
```

### Coq terms in elpi: HOAS

Coq	Elpi
f	c"f"
f∙a	app[c <mark>"f"</mark> , c <mark>"a"</mark> ]
$\lambda(x:T).F \times$	<pre>fun T (x\ app[F, x])</pre>
$\forall (x:T), F \cdot x$	<pre>app[c"f", c"a"] fun T (x\ app[F, x]) all T (x\ app[F, x])</pre>

### Benefits of this encoding:

- variable bindings and substitutions are for free
- easy term inspection (no need of the functor/3 and arg/3 primitives)

# The above type-class problem in elpi

```
Instance forall_dec: \forall A P, Finite A \rightarrow (* r3 *) (\forall x:A, Decision (P x)) \rightarrow Decision (\forall x:A, P x).

Goal Decision (\forall x: fin 7, nfact x 3). (* g *)
```

### The above type-class problem in elpi

```
Instance forall_dec: \forall A \ P, Finite A \rightarrow (* \ r3 \ *) (\forall x:A, \ Decision \ (P \ x)) \rightarrow Decision \ (\forall x:A, \ P \ x).

Goal Decision (\forall x: \ fin \ 7, \ nfact \ x \ 3). (* \ g \ *)

decision (all A \ (x \setminus app \ [P, \ x])) :- \ finite \ A, % \ r3 pi \ w \setminus decision \ (app \ [P, \ w]).

?- decision (all (app \ [c"fin", \ c"7"]) % \ g (x \setminus app \ [c"nfact", \ x, \ c"3"])).
```

## Solving the goal in elpi

### What we propose

- Compilation:
  - ► Recognize problematic subterms  $p_1, \ldots, p_n$ There are three kinds:  $\Diamond \beta, \Diamond \eta, \Diamond \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$
  - ▶ Replace  $p_i$  with fresh unification variables  $X_i$
  - ► Link p<sub>i</sub> with X<sub>i</sub>
    A link is a suspended unification problem
- 2 Runtime:
  - Execute unification of terms
  - ▶ If some condition hold, trigger links
- Lastly:
  - Decompile remaining links

### The idea

### Some notations

- P: the unification problems in coq (ol)
- Q: the unification problems in elpi (ml)
- L, M: the link store, the unification-variable map

- $\operatorname{run}_o(\mathbb{P}, n) \mapsto \rho$ : the run of n unif pb in the ol
- $\operatorname{run}_m(\mathbb{P}, n) \mapsto \rho'$ : the run of n unif pb in the ml
- $\operatorname{step}_o(\mathbb{P}, i, \rho_{i-1}) \mapsto \rho_i$ : the execution of the  $i^{th}$  unif pb in ol
- $\operatorname{step}_m(\mathbb{Q}, i, \sigma_{i-1}, \mathbb{L}_{i-1}) \mapsto (\sigma_i, \mathbb{L}_i)$ : the exec of the  $i^{th}$  unif pb in ml

### A zoom on run<sub>m</sub>

$$\operatorname{run}_{m}(\mathbb{P}, n) \mapsto \rho_{n} \xrightarrow{\operatorname{def}} \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{L}_{0} = \{(t, m, l) | s \in \mathbb{P}, \langle s \rangle \mapsto (t, m, l)\}$$

$$\bigwedge_{p=1}^{n} \operatorname{step}_{m}(\mathbb{Q}, p, \sigma_{p-1}, \mathbb{L}_{p-1}) \mapsto (\sigma_{p}, \mathbb{L}_{p})$$

$$\langle \sigma_{n}, \mathbb{M}, \mathbb{L}_{n} \rangle^{-1} \mapsto \rho_{n}$$

### Proven properties

Run Equivalence  $\forall \mathbb{P}, \forall n$ , if each subterm in  $\mathbb{P}$  is in the pattern fragment

$$\operatorname{run}_o(\mathbb{P},n)\mapsto\rho\wedge\operatorname{run}_m(\mathbb{P},n)\mapsto\rho'\Rightarrow\forall s\in\mathbb{P},\rho s=_o\rho' s$$

Simulation fidelity  $\forall \mathbb{P}$ , in the context of  $\operatorname{run}_o$  and  $\operatorname{run}_m$ ,  $\forall i \in 1 \dots n$ ,

$$\operatorname{step}_o(\mathbb{P},i,\rho_{i-1}) \mapsto \rho_i \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{step}_m(\mathbb{Q},i,\sigma_{i-1},\mathbb{L}_{i-1}) \mapsto \left(\sigma_i,\mathbb{L}_i\right)$$

Compilation round trip If  $\langle s \rangle \mapsto (t, m, l)$  and  $l \in \mathbb{L}$  and  $m \in \mathbb{M}$  and  $\sigma = \{A \mapsto t\}$  and  $X \mapsto A \in \mathbb{M}$  then  $\langle \sigma, \mathbb{M}, \mathbb{L} \rangle^{-1} \mapsto \rho$  and  $\rho X =_o \rho s$ .

Problematic subterm recognition

### Sketch of $\diamond \beta$ terms : the problem

• An example: given a bound variable x

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P} &= \{ & Y \cdot \mathbf{x} \simeq_o f \cdot \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{a} \\ \mathbb{Q} &= \{ \text{app}[\mathbb{A}, \mathbf{x}] \simeq_m \text{app}[\mathbf{c}'' \mathbf{f}'', \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{c}'' \mathbf{a}''] \ \} \\ \mathbb{M} &= \{ Y \mapsto \mathbb{A} \} \end{aligned}$$

Unification fails...

### Sketch of $\diamond \beta$ terms : the solution

• An example, let x be a bound variable:

- Unification of  $\mathbb{Q}_0$  gives:  $\{A \mapsto (w \setminus app[c"f", w, c"a"])\}$
- Decompilation of A gives  $\{Y \mapsto \lambda x.f \cdot x \cdot a\}$

## Sketch of $\diamond \eta$ terms

- $\lambda x.s \in \Diamond \eta$ , if  $\exists \rho, \rho(\lambda x.s)$  is an  $\eta$ -redex
- Detection of  $\diamond \eta$  terms is not trivial:

```
\lambda x.f \cdot (A \cdot x) \qquad \qquad \in \land \eta \quad \rho = \{ A \mapsto \lambda x.x \}
\lambda x.f \cdot (A \cdot x) \cdot x \qquad \qquad \in \land \eta \quad \rho = \{ A \mapsto \lambda x.a \}
\lambda x.\lambda y.f \cdot (A \cdot x) \cdot (B \cdot y \cdot x) \qquad \in \land \eta \quad \rho = \{ A \mapsto \lambda x.x ; B \mapsto \lambda y.\lambda x.y \}
\lambda x.f \cdot x \cdot (A \cdot x) \qquad \notin \land \eta
```

### Sketch of $\diamond \eta$ link : the problem

• An example:

- We have recognized the  $\diamond \beta$  subterm  $Y \cdot x$
- But the unification problem in Q raises a failure...

### Sketch of $\diamond \eta$ link: the solution

An example:

```
\begin{split} \mathbb{P} &= \{ & f \simeq_o \lambda x. (f \cdot (Y \cdot x)) \} \\ \mathbb{Q} &= \{ c "f" \simeq_m A \} \\ \mathbb{M} &= \{ Y \mapsto \mathbf{B} \} \\ \mathbb{L} &= \{ \text{ eta-link A (fun (x \ app[c"f", B x])) } \} \end{split}
```

- After unification of c"f" with A,
   its η-expansion is unified with fun (x\ app[c"f", B x])
   Hence B is assigned to x\x
- Decompilation will assign  $\lambda x.x$  to Y

### Going further: the Constraint Handling Rules

- Elpi has CHR for goal suspension and resumption
- This fits well our notion of link: a suspended unification problem

```
pred eta-link i:term, i:term.
eta-link A (fun _ B as T) :- not (var A), not (var B), !,
  unify-left-right A T.
eta-link A B :- progress-eta-right B B', !, A = B'.
eta-link A B :- progress-eta-left A A', !, A' = B.
eta-link A B :- scope-check A B, get-vars B Vars,
  declare_constraint (eta-link A B) [A|Vars].
```

#### This can easily introduce new unification behaviors

Add heuristic for HO unification outside the pattern fragment

```
% By def, R is not in the pattern fragment
llam-link L R :- not (var L), unif-heuristic L R.
```

### Conclusion

- Takes advantage of the unification capabilities of the meta language at the price of handling problematic sub-terms on the side.
- Our approach is flexible enough to accommodate different strategies and heuristics to handle terms outside the pattern fragment

Thanks!

Thanks!

Questions?