HO unification from object language to meta language

Davide Fissore davide.fissore@inria.fr Université Côte d'Azur, Inria France Enrico Tassi enrico.tassi@inria.fr Université Côte d'Azur, Inria France

ABSTRACT

Specifying and implementing a logic from scratch requires significant effort. Logical Frameworks and Higher Order Logic Programming Languages provide dedicated, high-level Meta Languages (ML) to facilitate this task in two key ways: 1) variable binding and substitution are simplified when ML binders represent object logic ones; 2) proof construction, and even proof search, is greatly simplified by leveraging the unification procedure provided by the ML. Notable examples of ML are Elf [13], Twelf [14], λ Prolog [10] and Isabelle [20] which have been utilized to implement various formal systems such as First Order Logic [5], Set Theory [12], Higher Order Logic [11], and even the Calculus of Constuctions [4].

The object logic we are interested in is Coq's [18] Dependent Type Theory (DTT), for which we aim to implement a unification procedure \simeq_o using the ML Elpi [3], a dialect of λ Prolog. Elpi's equational theory comprises $\eta\beta$ equivalence and comes equipped with a higher order unification procedure \simeq_λ restricted to the pattern fragment [9]. We want \simeq_o to be as powerful as \simeq_λ but on the object logic DTT. Elpi also comes with an encoding for DTT that works well for meta-programming [17, 16, 7, 6]. Unfortunately this encoding, which we refer to as \mathcal{F}_o , "underuses" \simeq_λ by restricting it to first-order unification problems only. To address this issue, we propose a better-behaved encoding, \mathcal{H}_o , demonstrate how to map unification problems in \mathcal{F}_o to related problems in \mathcal{H}_o , and illustrate how to map back the unifiers found by \simeq_λ , effectively implementing \simeq_o on top of \simeq_λ for the encoding \mathcal{F}_o .

We apply this technique to the implementation of a type-class [19] solver for Coq [18]. Type-class solvers are proof search procedures based on unification that back-chain designated lemmas, providing essential automation to widely used Coq libraries such as Stdpp/Iris [8] and TLC [1]. These two libraries constitute our test bed.

KEYWORDS

Logic Programming, Meta-Programming, Higher-Order Unification, Proof Automation

ACM Reference Format:

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than the author(s) must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

Conference'17, July 2017, Washington, DC, USA

© 2024 Copyright held by the owner/author(s). Publication rights licensed to ACM. ACM ISBN 978-x-xxxx-xxxx-x/YY/MM

https://doi.org/ZZZZZZZZZZZZZ

1 INTRODUCTION

Specifying and implementing a logic from scratch requires significant effort. Logical Frameworks and Higher Order Logic Programming Languages provide dedicated, high-level Meta Languages (ML) to facilitate this task in two key ways: 1) variable binding and substitution are simplified when ML binders represent object logic ones; 2) proof construction, and even proof search, is greatly simplified by leveraging the unification procedure provided by the ML. Notable examples of ML are Elf [13], Twelf [14], λ Prolog [10] and Isabelle [20] which have been utilized to implement various formal systems such as First Order Logic [5], Set Theory [12], Higher Order Logic [11], and even the Calculus of Constuctions [4].

The object logic we are interested in is Coq's [18] Dependent Type Theory (DTT), and we want to code a type-class [19] solver for Coq [18] using the Coq-Elpi [17] meta programming framework. Type-class solvers are unification based proof search procedures that combine a set of designated lemmas in order to providing essential automation to widely used Coq libraries.

As the running example we take the Decide type class, from the Stdpp [8] library. The class identifies predicates equipped with a decision procedure. The following three designated lemmas (called Instances in the type-class jargon) state that: 1) the type fin n, of natural numbers smaller than n is finite; 2) the predicate nfact n nf, linking a natural number n to its prime factors nf, is decidable; 3) the universal closure of a predicate has a decision procedure if the predicate has and if its domain is finite.

```
Instance fin_fin n : Finite (fin n). (* r1 *)
Instance nfact_dec n nf : Decision (nfact n nf). (* r2 *)
Instance forall_dec A P : Finite A \rightarrow (* r3 *)
\forall x:A, \ Decision \ (P \ x) \rightarrow Decision \ (\forall x:A, \ P \ x).
```

Under this context of instances a type-class solver is able to prove the following statement automatically by back-chaining.

```
Check \_: Decision (forall y: fin 7, nfact y 3). (g)
```

The encoding of DTT provided by Elpi, that we will discuss at length later in section ?? and ??, is an Higher Order Abstract Syntax (HOAS) datatype tm featuring (among others) the following constructors:

Following standard λ Prolog [10] the concrete syntax to abstract, at the meta level, an expression e over a variable x is «x\ e», and square brackets denote a list of terms separated by comma. As an example we show the encoding of the Coq term « \forall y:t, nfact y 3»:

```
all (con"t") y\ app[con"nfact", y, con"3"]
```

176

177

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

227

228

229

230

231

232

117

118

119

120 121

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

We now illustrate the encoding of the three instances above as higher-order logic-programming rules: capital letters denote rule parameters; :- separates the rule's head from the premises; pi w\ p introduces a fresh nominal constant w for the premise p. finite (app[con"fin", N]). (r1)decision (app [con"nfact", N, NF]). (r2)decision (all A $x \neq p[P, x]$) :- finite A, (r3)pi w\ decision (app[P, w]).

Unfortunately this translation of rule (r3) uses the predicate P as a first order term: for the meta language its type is tm. If we try to backchain the rule (r3) on the encoding of the goal (g) given below

```
decision (all (app[con"fin", con"7"]) y\
 app[con"nfact", y, con"3"]).
```

we obtain an unsolvable unification problem (p): the two lists of terms have different lengths!

```
app[con"nfact", y, con"3"] = app[P, y]
```

In this paper we study a more sophisticated encoding of Coq terms allowing us to rephrase the problematic rule (r3) as follows:

```
decision (all A x \in Pm x) :- link Pm P A, finite A,
 pi x\ decision (app[P, x]).
```

Since Pm is an higher-order unification variable of type tm -> tm, with x in its scope, the unification problem (p') admits one solution:

```
app[con"nfact", y, con"3"] = Pm y
                                                      (p')
Pm = x\ app[con"nfact", x, con"3"]
                                       % assignment for Pm
A = app[con"fin", con"7"]
                                       % assignment for A
```

After unifying the head of rule (r3a) with the goal, Elpi runs the premise «link Pm A P» that is in charge of bringing the assignment for Pm back to the domain tm of Coq terms:

```
P = lam A a\ app[con"nfact", a, con"3"]
```

This simple example is sufficient to show that the encoding we seek is not trivial and does not only concern the head of rules, but the entire sequence of unification problems that constitute the execution of a logic program. In fact the solution for P above generates a (Coq) β -redex in the second premise (the predicate under the pi w\):

```
decision (app[lam A (a\ app[con"nfact", a, con"3"]), w])
```

In turn this redex prevents the rule (r2) to backchain properly since the following unification problem has no solution:

```
app[lam A (a\ app[con"nfact", a, con"3"]), x] =
app[con"nfact", N, NF]
```

The root cause of the problems we sketched in the running example is that the unification procedure \simeq_{λ} of the meta language is not aware of the equational theory of the object logic, even if both theories include $\eta\beta$ -conversion and admit most general unifiers for unification problems in the pattern fragment \mathcal{L}_{λ} [9].

Contributions. In this paper we discuss alternative encodings of Coq in Elpi (Section 2), then we identify a minimal language \mathcal{F}_0 in which the problems sketched here can be fully described. We then detail an encoding comp from \mathcal{F}_o to \mathcal{H}_o (the language of the meta language) and a decoding decomp to relate the unifiers bla

bla.. TODO citare Teyjus. The code discussed in the paper can be accessed at the URL: https://github.com/FissoreD/paper-ho.

PROBLEM STATEMENT

The equational theory of Coq's Dependent Type Theory is very rich. In addition to the usual $\eta\beta$ -equivalence for functions, terms (hence types) are compared up to proposition unfolding and fixpoint unrolling. Still, for efficiency and predictability reasons, most form of automatic proof search employ a unification procedure that captures a simpler one, just $\eta\beta$, and that solves higher-order problems restricted to the pattern fragment \mathcal{L}_{λ} [9]. We call this unification procedure \simeq_o .

The equational theory of the meta language Elpi that we want to use to implement a form of proof automation is strikingly similar, since it it comprises $\eta\beta$ (for the meta language functions), and the unification procedure \simeq_{λ} solves higher-order problems in \mathcal{L}_{λ} .

In spite of the similarity the link between \simeq_{λ} and \simeq_{o} is not trivial, since the abstraction and application term constructors the two unification procedures deal with are different. For example

```
x\ f x
lam A x\ app[con"f", x] \simeq_o con"f"
lam A x\ app[con"f", x] \neq_{\lambda} con"f"
P x
app[P, x]
                             \simeq_o
app[P, x]
                             ≄λ
```

One could ignore this similarity, and "just" describe the object language unification procedure in the meta language, that is crafting a unif predicate to be used as follows in rule (r3):

```
decision X := unif X (all A x \cap app[P, x]), finite A,
  pi x\ decision (app[P, x]).
```

This choice would underuse the logic programming engine provided by the metalanguage since by removing any datum from the head of rules indexing degenerates. Moreover the unification procedure built in the meta language is likely to be faster than one implemented in it, especially if the meta language is interpreted as Elpi is.

To state precisely the problem we solve we need a \mathcal{F}_0 representation of DTT terms and a \mathcal{H}_o one. We call $=_o$ the equality over ground terms in \mathcal{F}_o , $=_{\lambda}$ the equality over ground terms in \mathcal{H}_o , \simeq_o the unification procedure we want to implement and \simeq_{λ} the one provided by the meta language. TODO extend $=_o$ and $=_\lambda$ with reflexivity on uvars.

We write $t_1 \simeq_{\lambda} t_2 \mapsto \sigma$ when t_1 and t_2 unify with substitution σ ; we write σt for the application of the substitution to t, and $\sigma X = {\sigma t | t \in X}$ when X is a set; we write $\sigma \subseteq \sigma'$ when σ is more general than σ' . We assume that the unification of our meta language is correct:

$$t_i \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda} \Rightarrow t_1 \simeq_{\lambda} t_2 \mapsto \rho \Rightarrow \rho t_1 =_{\lambda} \rho t_2$$
 (1)

$$t_i \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda} \Rightarrow \rho t_1 =_{\lambda} \rho t_2 \Rightarrow \exists \rho', t_1 \simeq_{\lambda} t_2 \mapsto \rho' \wedge \rho' \subseteq \rho$$
 (2)

We illustrate a compilation $\langle s \rangle \mapsto (t, m, l)$ that maps a term s in \mathcal{F}_0 to a term t in \mathcal{H}_0 , a variable mapping m and list of links l. The variable map connects unification variables in \mathcal{H}_o with variables in \mathcal{F}_0 and is used to "decompile" the assignment, $\langle \sigma, m, l \rangle^{-1} \mapsto \rho$. Links represent problematic sub-terms which are linked to the

unification variable that stands in their place in the compiled term. These links are checked for or progress XXX improve....

We represent a logic program run in \mathcal{F}_0 as a list steps p of length \mathcal{N} . Each made of a unification problem between terms \mathcal{S}_{p_l} and \mathcal{S}_{p_r} taken from the set of all terms \mathcal{S} . The composition of these steps starting from the empty substitution ρ_0 produces the final

substitution ρ_N . The initial here ρ_0 is the empty substitution

$$\begin{aligned} \text{fstep}(\mathcal{S}, p, \rho) &\mapsto \rho^{\prime\prime} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=\!\!\!=\!\!\!=} \rho \mathcal{S}_{p_l} \simeq_o \rho \mathcal{S}_{p_r} \mapsto \rho^{\prime} \wedge \rho^{\prime\prime} = \rho \cup \rho^{\prime} \\ \text{frun}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{N}) &\mapsto \rho_{\mathcal{N}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=\!\!\!=\!\!\!=} \bigwedge_{n=1}^{\mathcal{N}} \text{fstep}(\mathcal{S}, p, \rho_{p-1}) \mapsto \rho_p \end{aligned}$$

We simulate each run in \mathcal{F}_0 with a run in \mathcal{H}_0 as follows. Note that σ_0 is the empty substitution.

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{hstep}(\mathcal{T}, p, \sigma, \mathbb{L}) &\mapsto \sigma'' \stackrel{def}{=} \\ &\sigma \mathcal{T}_{p_{l}} \simeq_{\lambda} \sigma \mathcal{T}_{p_{r}} \mapsto \sigma' \wedge \operatorname{check}(\mathbb{L}, \sigma \cup \sigma') \mapsto \sigma'' \\ \operatorname{hrun}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{N}) &\mapsto \rho_{N} \stackrel{def}{=} \\ &\mathcal{T} \times \mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{L} = \{(t_{j}, m_{j}, l_{j}) | s_{j} \in \mathcal{S}, \langle s_{j} \rangle \mapsto (t_{j}, m_{j}, l_{j})\} \\ &\wedge_{p=1}^{N} \operatorname{hstep}(\mathcal{T}, p, \sigma_{p-1}, \mathbb{L}) \mapsto \sigma_{p} \\ &\langle \sigma_{N}, \mathbb{M}, \mathbb{L} \rangle^{-1} \mapsto \rho_{N} \end{split}$$

Here hstep is made of two sub-steps: a call to \simeq_{λ} (on the compiled terms) and a call to check on the set of links. We claim the following:

Proposition 2.1 (Simulation).
$$\forall \mathcal{S}, \forall \mathcal{N}$$

$$\operatorname{frun}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{N}) \mapsto \rho_{\mathcal{N}} \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{hrun}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{N}) \mapsto \rho_{\mathcal{N}}$$

That is, the two executions give the same result. Moreover:

Proposition 2.2 (Simulation fidelity). In the context of hrun, if $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$ we have that $\forall p \in 1 \dots N$

$$fstep(S, p, \rho_{p-1}) \mapsto \rho_p \Leftrightarrow hstep(T, p, \sigma_{p-1}, \mathbb{L}) \mapsto \sigma_p$$

In particular this property guarantees that a *failure* in the \mathcal{F}_0 run is matched by a failure in \mathcal{H}_0 at the same step. We consider this property very important from a practical point of view since it guarantees that the execution traces are strongly related and in turn this enables a user to debug a logic program in \mathcal{F}_0 by looking at its execution trace in \mathcal{H}_0 .

XXX permuting hrun does not change the final result if check dooes not fail eagerly

XXX if we want to apply heuristics, we can apply them in decomp to avoid committing to a non MGU too early

We can define $s_1 \simeq_o s_2$ by specializing the code of hrun to $S = \{s_1, s_2\}$ as follows:

$$\begin{split} s_1 &\simeq_{\sigma} s_2 \mapsto \rho \stackrel{def}{=} \\ &\langle s_1 \rangle \mapsto (t_1, m_1, l_1) \wedge \langle s_2 \rangle \mapsto (t_2, m_2, l_2) \\ &t_1 \simeq_{\lambda} t_2 \mapsto \sigma' \wedge \operatorname{check} \left(\{l_1, l_2\}, \sigma'\right) \mapsto \sigma'' \wedge \\ &\langle \sigma'', \{m_1, m_2\}, \{l_1, l_2\} \rangle^{-1} \mapsto \rho \end{split}$$

Proposition 2.3 (Properties of \simeq_0).

$$s_i \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda} \Rightarrow s_1 \simeq_o s_2 \mapsto \rho \Rightarrow \rho s_1 =_o \rho s_2$$
 (3)

$$s_i \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda} \Rightarrow \rho s_1 =_{\rho} \rho s_2 \Rightarrow \exists \rho', s_1 \simeq_{\rho} s_2 \mapsto \rho' \land \rho' \subseteq \rho$$
 (4)

$$\rho s_1 =_{\rho} \rho s_2 \Rightarrow \rho' \subseteq \rho \Rightarrow \rho' s_i \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda} \Rightarrow \rho' s_1 \simeq_{\rho} \rho' s_2 \tag{5}$$

Properties 3 and 4 state, respectively, that in \mathcal{L}_{λ} the implementation of \simeq_{o} is correct, complete and returns the most general unifier.

Property 5 states that \simeq_o , hence our compilation scheme, is resilient to unification problems outside \mathcal{L}_{λ} solved by a third party. We believe this property is of practical interest since we want the user to be able to add heuristics via hand written rules to the ones obtained by our compilation scheme. A Typical example is the following problem (q) that is outside \mathcal{L}_{λ} :

$$\mathsf{app} \ \texttt{[F, con"a"] = app[con"f", con"a", con"a"]} \qquad \qquad (q)$$

$$F = lam x \land app[con"f",x,x]$$
 (h)

Instead of rejecting it our scheme accepts it and guarantees that if (h) is given (after the compilation part of the scheme, as a run time hint) then ...

2.1 The intuition in a nutshell

A term s is compiled in a term t where every "problematic" sub term p is replaced by a fresh unification variable h and an accessory link that represent a suspended unification problem $h \simeq_{\lambda} p$. As a result \simeq_{λ} is "well behaved" on t, that is it does not contradict $=_{0}$ as it would otherwise do on "problematic" terms. We now define "problematic" and "well behaved" more formally.

Definition 2.4 (
$$\Diamond \eta$$
). $\Diamond \eta = \{t \mid \exists \rho, \rho t \text{ is an eta expansion}\}$

An example of term t in $\Diamond \eta$ is $\lambda x.\lambda y.F$ y x since the substitution $\rho = \{F \mapsto \lambda a.\lambda b.fba\}$ makes $\rho t = \lambda x.\lambda y.fxy$ that is the eta long form of f. This term is problematic since its rigid part, the λ -abstractions, cannot justify a unification failure against, say, a constant.

Definition 2.5
$$(\lozenge \beta)$$
. $\lozenge \beta = \{Xt_1 \dots t_n \mid Xt_1 \dots t_n \notin \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}\}.$

An example of t in $\Diamond \beta$ is Fa for a constant a. Note however tha an oracle could provide an assignment $\rho = \{F \mapsto \lambda x.x\}$ that makes the resulting term fall outside of $\Diamond \beta$.

Definition 2.6 (Subterms $\mathcal{P}(t)$). The set of sub terms of t is the largest set $\mathcal{P}(\sqcup)$ that can be obtained by the following rules.

$$t \in \mathcal{P}(t)$$

$$t = ft_1 \dots t_n \Rightarrow \mathcal{P}(t_i) \subseteq \mathcal{P}(t) \land f \in \mathcal{P}(t)$$

$$t = \lambda x.t' \Rightarrow \mathcal{P}(t') \subseteq \mathcal{P}(t)$$

We write $\mathcal{P}(X) = \bigcup_{t \in X} \mathcal{P}(t)$ when X is a set of terms.

Definition 2.7 (Well behaved set). Given a set of terms $X \subseteq \mathcal{H}_0$,

$$\mathcal{W}(X) \Leftrightarrow \forall t \in \mathcal{P}(X), t \notin (\Diamond \beta \cup \Diamond \eta)$$

Proposition 2.8 (*W*-preservation). $\forall \mathcal{T}, \forall \mathbb{L}, \forall p, \forall \sigma, \forall \sigma'$

$$\mathcal{W}(\sigma\mathcal{T}) \wedge \text{hstep}(\mathcal{T}, p, \sigma, \mathbb{L}) \mapsto \sigma' \Rightarrow \mathcal{W}(\sigma'\mathcal{T})$$

A less formal way to state 2.8 is that hstep never "commits" an unneeded λ -abstraction in σ (a λ that could be erased by an η -contraction), nor puts in σ a flexible application outside \mathcal{L}_{λ} (an application node that could be erased by a β -reduction).

Note that proposition 2.8 does not hold for \simeq_o since decompilation can introduce (actually restore) terms in $\diamond \eta$ or $\diamond \beta$ that were move out of the way (put in $\mathbb L$) during compilation.

 $^{^1\}mathrm{If}$ the same rule is used multiple time in a run we just consider as many copies as needed of the terms composing the rules, with fresh unification variables each time

3 ALTERNATIVE ENCODINGS AND RELATED WORK

Paper [2] introduces semi-shallow.

Our encoding of DTT may look "semi shallow" since we use the meta-language lambda abstraction but not its application (for the terms of type tm). A fully shallow encoding unfortunately does not fit our use case, although it would make the running example work:

```
finite (fin N). decision (nfact N NF). decision (all A x\ P x) :- finite A, pi x\ decision (P x).
```

There are two reasons for dismissing this encoding. The first one is that in DTT it is not always possible to adopt it since the type system of the meta language is too weak to accommodate terms with a variable arity, like the following example:

```
Fixpoint arr T n := if n is S m then T \rightarrow arr T m else T. Definition sum n : arr nat n := ... Check sum 2 7 8 : nat. Check sum 3 7 8 9 : nat.
```

The second reason is the encoding for Coq is used for meta programming the system, hence it must accommodate the manipulation of terms that are now know in advance (not even defined in Coq) without using introspection primitives such as Prologs's functor and arg.

In the literature we could find a few related encoding of DTT. TODO In [4] is related and make the discrepancy between the types of ML and DTT visible. In this case one needs 4 application nodes. Moreover the objective is an encoding of terms, proofs, not proof search. Also note the conv predicate, akin to the unif we rule out.

TODO This other paper [15] should also be cited.

None of the encodings above provide a solution to our problem.

4 PRELIMINARIES: \mathcal{F}_o AND \mathcal{H}_o

In order to reason about unification we provide a description of the \mathcal{F}_0 and \mathcal{H}_0 languages where unification variables are first class terms, i.e. they have a concrete syntax. We keep these languages minimal, for example, we omit the all quantifier of DTT we used in the example in Section 1 together with the type notation of terms carried by the lam constructor.

```
kind fm type.
type fapp list fm -> fm.
type flam (fm -> fm) -> fm.
type fcon string -> fm.
type fuva addr -> fm.
type uva addr -> tm.
type uva addr -> tm.
type lam (tm -> tm) -> tm.
type con string -> tm.
type uva addr -> list tm -> tm.
```

Figure 1: \mathcal{F}_0 and \mathcal{H}_0 language

Unification variables (fuva term constructor) in \mathcal{F}_0 have no explicit scope: the arguments of an higher order variable are given via the fapp constructor. For example the term $P \times is$ represented as fapp[fuva N, x], where N is a memory address and x is a bound variable.

In \mathcal{H}_0 the representation of P x is instead uva N [x], since unification variables come equipped with an explicit scope. We say that the unification variable occurrence uva N L is in \mathcal{L}_{λ} if and only if

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{L}}$ is made of distinct names. The predicate to test this condition is called pattern-fragment:

```
type pattern-fragment list A -> o.
```

The name builtin predicate tests if a term is a bound variable. ²

In both languages unification variables are identified by a natural number representing a memory address. The memory and its associated operations are described below:

```
kind addr type.
type addr nat -> addr.
typeabbrev (mem A) (list (option A)).

type set? addr -> mem A -> A -> o.
type unset? addr -> mem A -> o.
type assign addr -> mem A -> A -> mem A -> o.
type new mem A -> addr -> mem A -> o.
```

If a memory cell is none, then the corresponding unification variable is not set. assign sets an unset cell to the given value, while new finds the first unused address and sets it to none.

Since in \mathcal{H}_o unification variables have a scope, their solution needs to be abstracted over it to enable the instantiation of a single solution to different scopes. On the contrary a solution to a \mathcal{F}_o variable is a plain term.

```
typeabbrev fsubst (mem fm).
kind inctx type -> type.
type abs (tm -> inctx A) -> inctx A.
type val A -> inctx A.
typeabbrev assignment (inctx tm).
typeabbrev subst (mem assignment).
```

We call fsubst the memory of \mathcal{F}_0 , while we call subst the one of \mathcal{H}_0 . Both have the invariant that they are not cyclic, TODO explain. Other invariant: the terms in ho_subst never contains eta and beta expansion

```
kind arity type.
type arity nat -> arity.

kind fvariable type.
type fv addr -> fvariable.

kind hvariable type.
type hv addr -> arity -> hvariable.

kind mapping type.
type mapping fvariable -> hvariable -> mapping.
typeabbrev map (list mapping).
```

Invariant 1 (Unification variable A rity). Each variable A in \mathcal{H}_o has a (unique) arity N and each occurrence (uva A L) is such that (len L N) holds

The arity of a variable in \mathcal{H}_o (a hvariable is stored in the mapping. In particular m-alloc bla bla explain. Multiple mappings for the same fvariable are handled in section 6.1.

is new used?

 $^{^2}$ one could always load name x for every x under a pi and get rid of the name builtin

```
kind baselink type.
type link-eta tm -> tm -> baselink.
type link-beta tm -> tm -> baselink.
typeabbrev link (inctx baselink).
typeabbrev links (list link).
```

4.1 Notations

When we write \mathcal{H}_o terms outside code blocks we prefer to use the usual and more compact mathematical notation. However we need to distinguish between the "application" of a unification variable to its scope and the application of a term (possibly a unification variable) to a list of arguments. We write the scope in subscript and we use juxtaposition for application. Here a few examples:

```
\lambda x.\lambda y.F_{xy} lam x\ lam y\ uva F [x, y]

f a app[con "f", con "a"]

\lambda x.F_x a lam x\ app[uva F [x], con "a"]

\lambda x.F_x x lam x\ app[uva F [x], x]
```

When detailing example we prefer to write links in mathematical notation, as equations between terms in a context. The equality sign is subscripted with kind of baselink. For example $x \vdash A =_{\beta} F_x$ a corresponds to:

```
abs x\ val (link-beta (uva A []) (app[uva F [x],con "a"]))
```

4.2 Equational theory and Unification

here we give the functions/signatures to express the properties 3-5 in the problem statement

Together with the description of the terms of the language, we need some auxiliary functions to perform operations like term equality, unification, dereferencing. There predicates are supposed to be implemented in the OL and the ML following respectively their specification. In the following few paragraphs we give a brief proposition of them.

Term dereferencing: ρs and σt . Since in our encoding we explicitly carry a substitution we need to define the operation that applies it to a term. Its proposition takes care to normalize (flatten) applications, for example it turns (app [app [con"f",con"a"],con"b"]) into (app [con"f",con"a",con"b"]).

dereference variables of the two languages. This is particularly useful to check if two terms in the OL (resp. in the ML) are equal. The constructors representing rigid terms, namely app, lam and con, make the dereferencing procedure to recurse over the their subterms. The code below display this behavior for the dereferencing performed by the OL:

```
type fder subst -> fm -> o.
fder _ (fcon C) (fcon C).
fder S (fapp A) (fapp B) :- forall2 (fder S) A B.
fder S (flam F) (flam G) :-
   pi x\ fder S x x => fder S (F x) (G x).
fder S (fuva N) R :- set? N S T, fder S T R.
fder S (fuva N) (fuva N) :- unset? N S.

type fderef subst -> fm -> fm -> o. (ρs)
fderef S T T2 :- fder S T T1, napp T1 T2.
```

TODO explain napp. We use the cut operator to keep the code compact. It is possible to rewrite the rule for application traversal so that it is mutually exclusive with the first one, but that requires a rather verbose analysis of the head of A.

The corresponding code for \mathcal{H}_o is similar, we only show the last two rules that differ in a substantial way:

```
type deref subst -> tm -> tm -> o.
                                                          (\sigma t)
                                                                    538
deref _ (con C) (con C).
                                                                    539
deref S (app A) (app B) :- forall2 (deref S) A B.
                                                                    540
deref S (lam F) (lam G) :-
                                                                    541
  pi x \cdot deref S x x \Rightarrow deref S (F x) (G x).
                                                                    542
deref S (uva N L) R :- set? N S A, move A L T, deref S T R.
                                                                    543
deref S (uva X A) (uva X B) :- unset? X S, forall2 (deref S) A
type move assignment -> list tm -> tm -> o.
move (abs Bo) [H|L] R :- move (Bo H) L R.
                                                                    547
move (val A) [] A :- !.
                                                                    548
```

TODO: no need to napp, see the beta section. Note that when the substitution S maps a unification variable N to an assignment F we

TODO: invariant: variables in subst are always fully applied, and length of scope is the arity of the HO variable.

Important!!! A different reasoning is to be addressed to the variables of the ML. Firstly, a meta variable cannot appear in the app node as the first element of the list, we will explain why in section 5

Term equality: $=_{o}$ vs. $=_{\lambda}$. We can test if two terms are equal following the equational theory of the language being considered. In ?? we provide an implementation of the $=_{o}$ predicate. The first four rules check if the two terms are equal regarding the structure of the current node, that is, two terms are equal if they have same head and if recursively each subterm is two by two equal. Moreover, since the theory of the OL accepts η- and β-equivalence, then we implement the corresponding rules.

```
type (=_o) fm -> fm -> o. (=_o) fcon X =_o fcon X. fapp A =_o fapp B :- forall2 (=_o) A B. flam F =_o flam G :- pi x\ x =_o x => F x =_o G x. fuva N =_o fuva N. flam F =_o T :- (\eta_l) pi x\ beta T [x] (T' x), x =_o x => F x =_o T' x. T =_o flam F :- (\eta_r) pi x\ beta T [x] (T' x), x =_o x => T' x =_o F x. fapp [flam X | L] =_o T :- beta (flam X) L R, R =_o T. (\beta_l) T =_o fapp [flam X | L] :- beta (flam X) L R, T =_o R. (\beta_r) type (=_\lambda) tm -> tm -> o. app A =_\lambda fapp B :- map (=\lambda) A B.
```

```
lam F =_{\lambda} flam G := pi x \setminus x =_{\lambda} x \Rightarrow F x =_{\lambda} G x.

con C =_{\lambda} fcon C.

uva N A =_{\lambda} fuva N B := map (=_{\lambda}) A B.
```

The equality relation for the ML, accepts $\eta\beta$ -equivalence between terms of the ML. Recall that abs x\ f x, is a valid η expansion of the function f and that lam x\ app[f, x] is not that equivalent to f at meta level. However, since we are interested in using the unification procure of the ML, by eq. (1), we can use the \approx_{λ} relation to test, when needed if two terms are equal in the ML.

Term unification: $\simeq_o vs. \simeq_\lambda$. The last but not least important relation we should take care of before presenting our full algorithm aiming to unify terms of the OL in the ML and provide the substitution produced in the ML to the OL, is term unification. This procedure is a more powerful version of the equal predicate, since unification checks if two terms can be equal be assigning unification variables. In our representation, variable assignment (or refinement) is performed by modifying the corresponding substitution mapping. We will not give an implementation of \simeq_o , since we are giving an implementation of it using our algorithm, see ??.

```
type (\simeq_{\lambda}) tm -> tm -> subst -> o.
```

On the other hand, unification in the ML needs to be defined. In ??, we give an implementation of \simeq_{λ} but that is actually what our meta language provides as a builtin.

This predicate has four arguments, the two terms t_1 and t_2 to unify, the old substitution map ρ_1 , and the new substitution map ρ_2 , with the invariant $\rho_1 \subseteq \rho_2$.

5 BASIC SIMULATION OF \mathcal{F}_0 IN \mathcal{H}_0

In this section we describe a basic compilation scheme that we refine later, in the following sections. This scheme is sufficient to implement an \simeq_0 that respects β -conversion for terms in \mathcal{L}_{λ} . The extension to $\eta\beta$ -conversion is described in Section 6.1 and the support for terms outside \mathcal{L}_{λ} in Section 6.2.

5.1 Compilation

The objective of the compilation is to recognize the higher-order variables available in \mathcal{H}_0 when expressed in a first order way in \mathcal{F}_0 . The compiler also generates a map to bring back the substitution from \mathcal{H}_0 to \mathcal{F}_0 .

```
type m-alloc fvariable -> hvariable -> map -> map ->
   subst -> subst -> o.
m-alloc Fv Hv Map Map S S :- mem Map (mapping Fv Hv), !.
m-alloc Fv Hv Map [mapping Fv Hv|Map] S S1 :- Hv = hv N _,
   alloc S N S1.
```

The signature of the comp predicate below allows for the generation of links (suspended unification problems) that play no role in this section but play a major role in Sections 6.1 and 6.2.

This preliminary version of comp simply recognizes \mathcal{F}_0 variables applied to a (possibly empty) duplicate free list of names (i.e. pattern-fragment detects variables in \mathcal{L}_{λ}). Note tha compiling Ag cannot create new mappings nor links, see the comp-lam hyp rule.

The auxiliary function close-links

```
type comp-lam (fm \rightarrow fm) \rightarrow (tm \rightarrow tm) \rightarrow
                                                                         652
  map -> map -> links -> links -> subst -> subst -> o.
                                                                         653
comp-lam F F1 M1 M2 L L2 S S1 :-
                                                                         654
  pi x y\ (pi M L S\ comp x y M M L L S S) =>
                                                                         655
    comp (F x) (F1 y) M1 M2 L (L1 y) S S1,
                                                                         656
  close-links L1 L2.
                                                                         657
                                                                         658
type close-links (tm -> links) -> links -> o.
                                                                         659
close-links (_\[]) [].
                                                                         660
close-links (v\[L]XS\ v]) [L]YS] :- !, close-links XS YS.
close-links (v\setminus[(L\ v)|XS\ v]) [abs L|YS] :- !,
  close-links XS YS.
                                                                         663
```

since we want links to bubble up we use the abs constructor of the inctx data type to bind back the variable just crossed, and we do so only if the variable v occurs in L.

5.2 Execution

5.3 Decompilation

5.4 Example

OK

```
Terms [ flam x\ fapp[fcon"g",fapp[fuva z, x]]
      , flam x\ fapp[fcon"g", fcon"a"] ]
Problems = [ pr z (s z) ] % \lambda x.g(Fx) = \lambda x.ga
lam x \sim app[con"g", uva z [x]] \simeq_o lam x \sim app[con"g", con"a"]
link z z (s z)
HS = [some (abs x con"a")]
S = [some (flam x \land fcon a)]
KO
  Terms [ flam x\ fapp[fcon"g",fapp[fuva z, x]]
  , flam x\ fapp[fcon"g", fcon"a"] ]
Problems = [ pr 0 1 % A = \lambda x.x
            , pr 2 3 ] % Aa = a
lam x \sim app[con"g", uva z [x]] \simeq_o lam x \sim app[con"g", con"a"]
link z z (s z)
HS = [some (abs x con"a")]
S = [some (flam x \land fcon a)]
lam x \cdot app[f, app[X, x]] = Y,
  lam x \setminus x) = X.
TODO: Goal: s_1 \simeq_o s_2 is compiled into t_1 \simeq_{\lambda} t_2
```

TODO: What is done: uvars fo_uv of OL are replaced into uvars ho_uv of the ML

756

757

760

761

762

763

764

766

767

768

769

770

771

772

773

774

775

776

781

782

783

784

787

788

789

790

794

795

796

797

798

799

800

801

802

803

804

806

807

808

809

810

811

812

TODO: Each fo_uv is linked to an ho_uv of the OL

751

752

753

754

697

698

699

700

```
TODO: Example needing the compiler v0 (tra l'altro lo scope
è ignorato):
lam x\ app[con"g",app[uv 0, x]] \simeq_0 lam x\ app[con"g", c"a"]
TODO: Links used to instantiate vars of elpi
```

TODO: After all links, the solution in links are compacted and given to coq

TODO: It is not so simple, see next sections (multi-vars, eta,

The compilation step is meant to recover the higher-order variables of the OL, expressed in a first order way, by replacing them with higher-order variables in the ML. In particular, every time a variable of the OL is encountered in the original term, it is replaced with a meta variable, and if the OL variable is applied to a list of distinct names *L*, then this list becomes the scope of the variable. For all the other constructors of tm, the same term constructor is returned and its arguments are recursively compiled. The predicate in charge for term compilation is:

type comp tm -> tm -> links -> links -> subst -> o. where, we take the term of the OL, produce the term of the ML, take a list of link and produce a list of new links, take a substitution and return a new substitution.

In particular, due to programming constraints, we need to drag the old subst and return a new one extended, if needed, with the new declared meta-variables.

The following code

```
kind link type.
type link nat -> nat -> nat -> subst.
```

defines a link, which is a relation between to variables indexes, the first being the index of a OL variable and the second being the index of a ML variable. The third integer is the number of term in the scope of the two variables, or equivalently, in a typed language,

As an example, let's study the following unification problem (a slightly modified version from section 1):

```
lam x\ app[c"decision", app[c"nfact", x, c"3"]] \simeq_o
  lam x\ app [c"decision", app[uv 0, x]]
```

we have the main unification problem where the nested app nodes have lists of different lengths making the unification to fail. The compilation of these terms produces a new unification problem with the following shape:

```
lam x\ app[c"decision", app[c"nfact", x, c"3"]] \simeq_{\lambda}
  lam x\ app [c"decision", uv 1 [x]]
```

The main difference is the replacement of the subterm app[uv 0, x] of the OL with the subterm uv 0 [x]. Variable indexes are chosen by the ML, that is, the index 0 for that unification variable of the OL term has not the sam meaning of the index 0 in the ML. There exists two different substitution mapping, one for the OL and one for the ML and the indexes of variable point to the respective substitution.

decomp che mappa abs verso lam TODO: An other example: lam x\ app[f, app[X, x]] = Y, (lam x\ x) = X.

```
6 USE OF MULTIVARS
```

```
Se il termine initziale è della forma
```

```
app[con"xxx", (lam x\ lam y\ Y y x), (lam x\ f)]
app[con"xxx",X,X]
```

allora se non uso due X diverse non ho modo di recuperare il quoziente che mi manca.

a sto punto consideriamo liste di problemi e così da eliminare sta xxx senza perdità di generalità (e facciamo problemi più corti, e modellizziamo anche la sequenza)

comp (flam F) (uva A Scope) M1 M2 L1 L3 S1 S3 :-

```
6.1 Problems with \eta
```

```
(pi x\ maybe-eta x (F x) [x]), !,
      alloc S1 A S2,
      comp-lam F F1 M1 M2 L1 L2 S2 S3,
       get-scope (lam F1) Scope,
      L3 = [@val-link-eta (uva A Scope) (lam F1)| L2].
  and aux
  %% x occurs rigidly in t iff \forall \sigma, \forall t', t' =_o \sigma t \Rightarrow x \in \mathcal{P}(t')
  type occurs-rigidly fm -> fm -> o.
  occurs-rigidly N N.
  occurs-rigidly _ (fapp [fuva _|_]) :- !, fail.
  occurs-rigidly N (fapp L) :- exists (occurs-rigidly N) L.
  occurs-rigidly N (flam B) :- pi x\ occurs-rigidly N (B x).
  /* maybe-eta N T L succeeds iff T could be an eta expasions for ^{78},
  %% is \exists \sigma, \sigma(\lambda n.t) = \lambda n.t'n and n
  \%\% does not occur rigidly in t'
  type maybe-eta fm -> fm -> list fm -> o.
  maybe-eta N (fapp[fuva _|Args]) _ :- !,
    exists (x\ maybe-eta-of [] N x) Args, !.
  maybe-eta N (flam B) L :- !, pi x \in \mathbb{N} (B x) [x \mid L].
  maybe-eta _ (fapp [fcon _|Args]) L :-
    split-last-n {len L} Args First Last,
    forall1 (x\ forall1 (y\ not (occurs-rigidly x y)) First) L,
    forall2 (maybe-eta-of []) {rev L} Last.
  %% is \exists \sigma, \sigma t =_{o} n
  type maybe-eta-of list fm \rightarrow fm \rightarrow o.
  maybe-eta-of _ N N :- !.
  maybe-eta-of L N (fapp[fuva _|Args]) :- !,
    forall1 (x\ exists (maybe-eta-of [] x) Args) [N|L].
  maybe-eta-of L N (flam B) :- !,
    pi x\ maybe-eta-of [x | L] N (B x).
  maybe-eta-of L N (fapp [N|Args]) :-
    last-n {len L} Args R,
    forall2 (maybe-eta-of []) R {rev L}.
  TODO: The following goal necessita v1 (lo scope è usato):
X = lam x \setminus lam y \setminus Y y x, X = lam x \setminus f
```

TODO: The snd unif pb, we have to unif lam x\ lam y\ Y x y

7

with lam x\ f

814

815

816 817

818

819

820

821

825

826

827

828

829

830

831

832

833

834

835

837

838

839

840

841

842

843

844

845

846

847

850

851

852

853

854

855

856

857

858

859

860

861

864

865

866

867

868

869

870

TODO: It is not doable, with the same elpi var

Invarianti: A destra della eta abbiamo sempre un termine che comincia per $\lambda x.bla$

```
- viene chiamata che della forma [variable] -> [eta1] e
(a destra non c'è mai un termine con testa rigida)
- i due termini a dx vengono unificati con la unif e uno

→ dei due link viene buttato

 NOTA!! A dx abbiamo sempre un termine della forma lam
  \hookrightarrow x.VAR x!!!
 Altrimenti il link sarebbe stato risolto!!
- dopo l'unificazione rimane un link [variabile] -> [etaX]
- nella progress-eta, se a sx abbiamo una constante o
```

 un'app, allora eta-espandiamo di uno per poter unificare con il termine di dx.

6.2 Problems with β

La deduplicate eta:

 β -reduction problems ($\Diamond \beta$) appears any time we deal with a subterm $t = Xt_1 \dots t_n$, where X is flexible and the list $[t_1 \dots t_n]$ in not in \mathcal{L}_{λ} . This unification problem is not solvable without loss of generality, since there is not a most general unifier. If we take back the example given in section 2.1, the unification Fa = a admits two solutions for $F: \rho_1 = \{F \mapsto \lambda x.x\}$ and $\rho_2 = \{F \mapsto \lambda_a\}$. Despite this, it is possible to work with $\Diamond \beta$ if an oracle provides a substitution ρ such that ρt falls again in the \mathcal{L}_{λ} .

On the other hand, the \simeq_{λ} is not designed to understand how the β -redexes work in the object language. Therefore, even if we know that *F* is assigned to $\lambda x.x$, \simeq_{λ} is not able to unify *Fa* with a. On the other hand, the problem Fa = G is solvable by \simeq_{λ} , but the final result is that G is assigned to $(\lambda x.x)a$ which breaks the invariant saying that the substitution of the meta language does not generate terms outide W (Property 2.8).

The solution to this problem is to modify the compiler such that any sub-term t considered as a potential β -redex is replaced with a hole h and a new dedicated link, called link- β .

```
type link-beta tm -> tm -> link.
```

This link carries two terms, the former representing the variable *h* for the new created hole and the latter containing the subterm t. As for the link- η , we will call h and t respectively the left hand side (*lhs*) and the right hand side (*rhs*) of the link- β .

In order to build a link- β , we need to adapt the compiler so that it can recognize these "problematic" subterms. The following code snippet illustrate such behavior, we suppose the rule to be added just after ??.

```
comp (fapp [fuva A|Ag]) (uva C Scope) M1 M3 L1 L3 S1 S4 :- !,
 pattern-fragment-prefix Ag Pf Extra,
  fold6 comp Pf
                  Scope1 M1 M1 L1 L1 S1 S1,
 fold6 comp Extra Extra1 M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2,
 len Pf Arity,
 m-alloc (fv A) (hv B (arity Arity)) M2 M3 S2 S3,
 Beta = app [uva B Scope1 | Extra1],
 get-scope Beta Scope,
 alloc S3 C S4,
 L3 = [@val-link-beta (uva C Scope) Beta | L2].
```

A term is $\Diamond \beta$ if it has the shape fapp[fuva A[Ag]] and distinct Ag does not hold. In that case, $\operatorname{\mathsf{Ag}}$ is split in two sublist $\operatorname{\mathsf{Pf}}$ and $\operatorname{\mathsf{Extra}}$ such that former is the longest prefix of Ag such that distinct Pf holds. Extra is the list such that append Pf Extra Ag. Next important step is to compile recursively the terms of these lists and allocate a memory adress B from the substitution in order to map the \mathcal{F}_0 variable fuva A to the \mathcal{H}_o variable uva B. The link- β to return in the end is given by the term Beta = app[uva B Scope1 | Extra1] constituting the rhs, and a fresh variable C having in scope all the free variables occurring in Beta (this is lhs). We point out that the rhs is intentionally built as an uva where Extral are not in scope, since by invariant, we want all the variables appearing in \mathcal{H}_0 to be in \mathcal{L}_{λ} .

One created, there exist two main situations waking up a suspended link- β . The former is strictly connected to the definition of β -redex and occurs when the head of *rhs* is materialized by the oracle (see eq. (5)). In this case rhs is safely β -reduced to a new term t' and the result can be unified with lhs. In this scenario the link- β has accomplished its goal and can be removed from \mathbb{L} .

The second circumstance making the link- β to progress is the instantiation of the variables in the Extral making the corresponding arguments to reduce to names. In this case, we want to take the list Scope1 and append to it the largest prefix of Extra1 in a new variable Scope2 such that Scope2 remains in \mathcal{L}_{λ} ; we call Extra2 the suffix of Extral such that the concatenation of Scopel and Extral is the same as the concatenation of Scope2 and Extra2.

An example justifying this last link manipulation is given by the following unification problem:

```
f = flam x\ fapp[F, (X x), a] % f = \lambda x.F(Xx)a
```

under the substitution $\rho = \{X \mapsto \lambda x.x\}$.

The links generated from this unification problem are:

$$X \mapsto X1^1 : F \mapsto X2^0 \text{ (Themap)}$$
 (6)

$$+X0 =_{\eta} \lambda x. X3_{\chi} \tag{7}$$

$$x \vdash X3_x =_{\beta} X2 X1_x a \tag{8}$$

where the first link is a link- η between the variable X0, representing the right side of the unification problem (it is a $\Diamond \eta$) and X3; and a link- β between the variable X3 and the subterm $\lambda x.X1_x$ a (it is a $\Diamond \beta$). The substitution tells that *x* ⊢ *X*1_{*x*} = *x*.

We can now represent the hrun execution from this configuration which will, at first, dereference all the links, and then try to solve them. The only link being modified is the second one, which is set to $x + X3 =_{\beta} X2xa$. The *rhs* of the link has now a variable which is partially in the PF, we can therefore remove the original link- β and replace it with the following couple on links:

$$\vdash$$
 X1 = η = x\ `X4 x'
x \vdash X3 x = β = x\ `X4 x' a

By these links we say that X1 is now η -linked to a fresh variable X4 with arity one. This new variable is used in the new link- β where the name x is in its scope. This allows

6.3 Tricky examples

```
triple ok (@lam x\ @app[@f, @app[@X, x]]) @Y,
triple ok @X (@lam x \ x),
triple ok @Y @f
```

885 explain why7 888

889

871

872

873

875

876

877

878

879

882

883

884

894

A 89bit to@96 fasto. W6898 first9 com pile then unify, then

the05 oracle, then the08

ma₉₉ nipin 911 912

918 919

921 922

988

989

992

993

994

995

999

1000

1001

1002

1003

1004

1005

1006

1007

1008

1011

1012

1013

1014

1015

1016

1017

1018

1019

1020

1021

1022

1024

1025

1026

1027

1028

1029

1030

1031

1032

1033

1034

1035

1037

1038

1039

1040

1041

1042

1043 1044

929

930

931

932 933

934

935

936

937

938

940

941

942

943

944

945

946

947

948

949

950

951

952

953

954

955

956

957

958

959

960

961

962

963

966

967

968

969

970

971

972

973

974

975

976

977

979

980

981

982

983

984

985

986

```
% @okl 22 [
% triple ok (@lam x\ @lam y\ @app[@Y, y, x]) @X,
% triple ok (@lam x\ @f) @X,
% ].
```

7 FIRST ORDER APPROXIMATION

TODO: Coq can solve this: f 1 2 = X 2, by setting X to f 1 **TODO:** We can re-use part of the algo for β given before

8 UNIF ENCODING IN REAL LIFE

TODO: Il ML presentato qui è esattamente elpi TODO: Il OL presentato qui è esattamente coq TODO: Come implementatiamo tutto ciò nel solver

9 RESULTS: STDPP AND TLC

TODO: How may rule are we solving?
TODO: Can we do some perf test

10 CONCLUSION

REFERENCES

- [1] Arthur Charguéraud. "The Optimal Fixed Point Combinator". In: *Interactive Theorem Proving*. Ed. by Matt Kaufmann and Lawrence C. Paulson. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2010, pp. 195–210. ISBN: 978-3-642-14052-5.
- [2] Cvetan Dunchev, Claudio Sacerdoti Coen, and Enrico Tassi. "Implementing HOL in an Higher Order Logic Programming Language". In: Proceedings of the Eleventh Workshop on Logical Frameworks and Meta-Languages: Theory and Practice. LFMTP '16. Porto, Portugal: Association for Computing Machinery, 2016. ISBN: 9781450347778. DOI: 10.1145/2966268. 2966272. URL: https://doi.org/10.1145/2966268.2966272.
- [3] Cvetan Dunchev et al. "ELPI: Fast, Embeddable, λProlog Interpreter". In: Logic for Programming, Artificial Intelligence, and Reasoning 20th International Conference, LPAR-20 2015, Suva, Fiji, November 24-28, 2015, Proceedings. Ed. by Martin Davis et al. Vol. 9450. 2015, pp. 460-468. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-662-48899-7_32. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-48899-7%5C_32.
- [4] Amy Felty. "Encoding the Calculus of Constructions in a Higher-Order Logic". In: ed. by M. Vardi. IEEE, June 1993, pp. 233–244. DOI: 10.1109/LICS.1993.287584.
- [5] Amy Felty and Dale Miller. "Specifying theorem provers in a higher-order logic programming language". In: *Ninth International Conference on Automated Deduction*. Ed. by Ewing Lusk and Ross Overbeck. 310. Argonne, IL: Springer, May 1988, pp. 61–80. DOI: 10.1007/BFb0012823.
- [6] Davide Fissore and Enrico Tassi. "A new Type-Class solver for Coq in Elpi". In: *The Coq Workshop 2023*. Bialystok, Poland, July 2023. URL: https://inria.hal.science/hal-04467855.
- [7] Benjamin Grégoire, Jean-Christophe Léchenet, and Enrico Tassi. "Practical and sound equality tests, automatically –

Deriving eqType instances for Jasmin's data types with Coq-Elpi". In: *CPP '23: 12th ACM SIGPLAN International Conference on Certified Programs and Proofs.* CPP 2023: Proceedings of the 12th ACM SIGPLAN International Conference on Certified Programs and Proofs. Boston MA USA, France: ACM, Jan. 2023, pp. 167–181. DOI: 10.1145/3573105.3575683. URL: https://inria.hal.science/hal-03800154.

- [8] RALF JUNG et al. "Iris from the ground up: A modular foundation for higher-order concurrent separation logic". In: Journal of Functional Programming 28 (2018), e20. DOI: 10.1017/S0956796818000151.
- [9] Dale Miller. "Unification under a mixed prefix". In: Journal of Symbolic Computation 14.4 (1992), pp. 321–358. DOI: 10. 1016/0747-7171(92)90011-R.
- [10] Dale Miller and Gopalan Nadathur. Programming with Higher-Order Logic. Cambridge University Press, 2012. DOI: 10.1017/ CBO9781139021326.
- [11] Tobias Nipkow, Lawrence C. Paulson, and Markus Wenzel. Isabelle/HOL A Proof Assistant for Higher-Order Logic. Vol. 2283. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer, 2002. ISBN: 3-540-43376-7.
- [12] Lawrence C. Paulson. "Set theory for verification. I: from foundations to functions". In: J. Autom. Reason. 11.3 (Dec. 1993), pp. 353–389. ISSN: 0168-7433. DOI: 10.1007/BF00881873. URL: https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00881873.
- [13] F. Pfening. "Elf: a language for logic definition and verified metaprogramming". In: Proceedings of the Fourth Annual Symposium on Logic in Computer Science. Pacific Grove, California, USA: IEEE Press, 1989, pp. 313–322. ISBN: 0818619546.
- [14] Frank Pfenning and Carsten Schürmann. "System Description: Twelf A Meta-Logical Framework for Deductive Systems". In: *Automated Deduction CADE-16*. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1999, pp. 202–206. ISBN: 978-3-540-48660-2.
- [15] Colin Rothgang, Florian Rabe, and Christoph Benzmüller. "Theorem Proving in Dependently-Typed Higher-Order Logic". In: Automated Deduction – CADE 29. Ed. by Brigitte Pientka and Cesare Tinelli. Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland, 2023, pp. 438–455. ISBN: 978-3-031-38499-8.
- [16] Enrico Tassi. "Deriving proved equality tests in Coq-elpi: Stronger induction principles for containers in Coq". In: *ITP 2019 10th International Conference on Interactive Theorem Proving.* Portland, United States, Sept. 2019. DOI: 10.4230/LIPIcs.CVIT.2016.23. URL: https://inria.hal.science/hal-01897468.
- [17] Enrico Tassi. "Elpi: an extension language for Coq (Metaprogramming Coq in the Elpi λProlog dialect)". In: *The Fourth International Workshop on Coq for Programming Languages.* Los Angeles (CA), United States, Jan. 2018. URL: https://inria. hal.science/hal-01637063.
- [18] The Coq Development Team. *The Coq Reference Manual Release 8.18.0.* https://coq.inria.fr/doc/V8.18.0/refman. 2023.
- [19] P. Wadler and S. Blott. "How to Make Ad-Hoc Polymorphism Less Ad Hoc". In: Proceedings of the 16th ACM SIGPLAN-SIGACT Symposium on Principles of Programming Languages. POPL '89. Austin, Texas, USA: Association for Computing

Machinery, 1989, pp. 60–76. ISBN: 0897912942. DOI: 10.1145/75277.75283. URL: https://doi.org/10.1145/75277.75283.

[20] Makarius Wenzel, Lawrence C. Paulson, and Tobias Nipkow. "The Isabelle Framework" In: Theorem Proving in Higher Or-

"The Isabelle Framework". In: *Theorem Proving in Higher Order Logics*. Ed. by Otmane Ait Mohamed, César Muñoz, and Sofiène Tahar. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2008, pp. 33–38. ISBN: 978-3-540-71067-7.

APPENDIX

1161

1162

1163

1164 1165

1166

1167

1168

1169

1170

1171

1172

1173

1174

1175

1176

1177

1178

1179

1180

1181

1182

1183

1185

1186

1187

1188

1189

1190

1191

1192

1193

1194

1195

1200

1201

1202

1203

1204 1205

1206

1207

1208

1209

1210 1211

1212

1213

1214

1215

1216

1217

1218

This appendix contains the entire code described in this paper. The code can also be accessed at the URL: https://github.com/FissoreD/paper-ho

Note that (a infix b) c d de-sugars to (infix) a b c d. Explain builtin name (can be implemented by loading name after each pi)

11 THE MEMORY

```
kind addr type.
type addr nat -> addr.
typeabbrev (mem A) (list (option A)).
type set? addr -> mem A -> A -> o.
set? (addr A) Mem Val :- get A Mem Val.
type unset? addr -> mem A -> o.
unset? Addr Mem :- not (set? Addr Mem _).
type assign-aux nat -> mem A -> A -> mem A -> o.
assign-aux z (none :: L) Y (some Y :: L).
assign-aux (s N) (X :: L) Y (X :: L1) :- assign-aux N L Y L1.
type assign addr -> mem A -> A -> mem A -> o.
assign (addr A) Mem1 Val Mem2 :- assign-aux A Mem1 Val Mem2.
type get nat -> mem A -> A -> o.
get z (some Y :: _) Y.
get (s N) (_ :: L) X :- get N L X.
type alloc-aux nat -> mem A -> mem A -> o.
alloc-aux z [] [none] :- !.
alloc-aux z L L.
alloc-aux (s N) [] [none | M] :- alloc-aux N [] M.
alloc-aux (s N) [X | L] [X | M] :- alloc-aux N L M.
type alloc addr -> mem A -> mem A -> o.
alloc (addr A as Ad) Mem1 Mem2 :- unset? Ad Mem1,
 alloc-aux A Mem1 Mem2.
type new-aux mem A -> nat -> mem A -> o.
new-aux [] z [none].
new-aux [A | As] (s N) [A | Bs] :- new-aux As N Bs.
type new mem A -> addr -> mem A -> o.
new Mem1 (addr Ad) Mem2 :- new-aux Mem1 Ad Mem2.
```

12 THE OBJECT LANGUAGE

```
kind fm type.
type fapp list fm -> fm.
type flam (fm -> fm) -> fm.
type fcon string -> fm.
type fuva addr -> fm.

typeabbrev fsubst (mem fm).
```

```
typeabbrev subst fsubst.
                                                                           1219
                                                                           1220
type fder subst -> fm -> o.
                                                                           1221
fder _ (fcon C) (fcon C).
fder S (fapp A) (fapp B) :- forall2 (fder S) A B.
fder S (flam F) (flam G) :-
  pi x \land fder S x x \Rightarrow fder S (F x) (G x).
                                                                           1225
fder S (fuva N) R :- set? N S T, fder S T R.
                                                                           1226
fder S (fuva N) (fuva N) :- unset? N S.
                                                                           1227
type fderef subst -> fm -> o.
                                                              (\rho s)
fderef S T T2: - fder S T T1, napp T1 T2.
                                                                           1231
type napp fm \rightarrow fm \rightarrow o.
                                                                           1232
napp (fcon C) (fcon C).
                                                                           1233
napp (fuva A) (fuva A).
                                                                           1234
napp (flam F) (flam F1) :-
                                                                           1235
  pi x \rightarrow pi x = napp (F x) (F1 x).
                                                                           1236
napp (fapp [fapp L1 |L2]) T :- !,
                                                                           1237
  append L1 L2 L3, napp (fapp L3) T.
                                                                           1238
napp \ (fapp \ L) \ (fapp \ L1) \ :- \ forall 2 \ napp \ L \ L1 \, .
                                                                           1239
                                                                           1240
type (=_{o}) fm -> fm -> o.
                                                                (=_o)
                                                                           1241
fcon X =_{o} fcon X.
                                                                           1242
fapp A =_o fapp B := forall2 (=_o) A B.
                                                                           1244
\mathsf{flam}\;\mathsf{F} =_o \;\mathsf{flam}\;\mathsf{G}\;\text{:-}\;\mathsf{pi}\;\mathsf{x}\backslash\;\mathsf{x} =_o \;\mathsf{x}\;\text{=-}\;\mathsf{F}\;\mathsf{x} =_o \;\mathsf{G}\;\mathsf{x}.
fuva N =_o fuva N.
                                                                           1245
flam F =_o T :=
                                                                (\eta_l)
                                                                           1246
  pi x\ beta T [x] (T' x), x =_o x \Rightarrow F x =_o T' x.
                                                                           1247
T =_{\alpha} flam F :=
                                                                (\eta_r)
                                                                           1248
  pi x\ beta T [x] (T' x), x =_0 x \Rightarrow T' x =_0 F x.
fapp [flam X | L] = T: beta (flam X) L R, R = T. (\beta_l)
                                                                           1250
T =_o fapp [flam X | L] :- beta (flam X) L R, T =_o R. (\beta_r)
                                                                           1251
                                                                           1252
type extend-subst fm -> subst -> o.
                                                                           1253
extend-subst (fuva N) S S' :- mem.alloc N S S'.
                                                                           1254
extend-subst (flam F) S S' :-
  pi x\ (pi S\extend-subst x S S) => extend-subst (F x) S S'.
extend-subst (fcon _) S S.
extend-subst (fapp L) S S1 :- fold extend-subst L S S1.
                                                                           1258
                                                                           1259
type beta fm -> list fm -> fm -> o.
                                                                           1260
beta A [] A.
                                                                           1261
beta (flam Bo) [H | L] R :- beta (Bo H) L R.
beta (fapp A) L (fapp X) :- append A L X.
                                                                           1263
beta (fuva N) L (fapp [fuva N | L]).
                                                                           1264
beta (fcon H) L (fapp [fcon H | L]).
                                                                           1265
beta N L (fapp [N | L]) :- name N.
                                                                           1266
                                                                           1267
type mk-app fm \rightarrow list <math>fm \rightarrow fm \rightarrow o.
mk-app T L S :- beta T L S.
type eta-contract fm -> fm -> o.
                                                                           1271
eta-contract (fcon X) (fcon X).
                                                                           1272
eta-contract (fapp L) (fapp L1) :- forall2 eta-contract L L1.
eta-contract (flam F) T := eta-contract-aux [] (flam F) T.
eta-contract (flam F) (flam F1) :-
                                                                           1275
```

```
pi x \le eta-contract x x \Rightarrow eta-contract (F x) (F1 x).
1277
                                                                                                                                                        1335
                                                                                  type prune-same-variable addr -> list tm -> list tm ->
1278
         eta-contract (fuva X) (fuva X).
                                                                                                                                                        1336
1279
         eta-contract X X :- name X.
                                                                                                               list tm -> assignment -> o.
                                                                                                                                                        1337
                                                                                  prune-same-variable N [] [] ACC (val (uva N Args)) :-
1281
         type eta-contract-aux list fm -> fm -> o.
                                                                                     rev ACC Args.
                                                                                                                                                        1339
         eta-contract-aux L (flam F) T :-
                                                                                  prune-same-variable N [XIXS] [XIYS] ACC (abs F) :-
                                                                                                                                                        1340
1282
           pi x\ eta-contract-aux [x|L] (F x) T. % also checks H Prefix does poitx3eprune-same-variable N XS YS [x|ACC] (F x).
                                                                                                                                                        1341
1283
         eta-contract-aux L (fapp [H|Args]) T :-
                                                                                  prune-same-variable N [_|XS] [_|YS] ACC (abs F) :-
                                                                                                                                                        1342
1284
1285
           rev L LRev, append Prefix LRev Args,
                                                                                     pi x\ prune-same-variable N XS YS ACC (F x).
                                                                                                                                                        1343
            if (Prefix = []) (T = H) (T = fapp [H|Prefix]).
                                                                                                                                                        1344
                                                                                  type permute list nat -> list tm -> list tm -> o.
                                                                                                                                                        1345
                                                                                  permute [] _ [].
       13 THE META LANGUAGE
                                                                                  permute [P|PS] Args [T|TS] :-
1289
                                                                                                                                                        1347
         kind inctx type -> type.
                                                                                     nth P Args T,
1290
                                                                                                                                                        1348
1291
         type abs (tm -> inctx A) -> inctx A.
                                                                                     permute PS Args TS.
                                                                                                                                                        1349
1292
         type val A -> inctx A.
                                                                                                                                                        1350
         typeabbrev assignment (inctx tm).
                                                                                  type build-perm-assign addr -> list tm -> list bool ->
1293
                                                                                                                                                        1351
1294
         typeabbrev subst (mem assignment).
                                                                                                        list nat -> assignment -> o.
                                                                                  build-perm-assign N ArgsR [] Perm (val (uva N PermutedArgs)) :-1353
1295
         kind tm type.
                                                                                     rev ArgsR Args, permute Perm Args PermutedArgs.
1296
                                                                                                                                                        1354
         type app list tm -> tm.
                                                                                  build-perm-assign N Acc [tt|L] Perm (abs T) :-
1297
                                                                                                                                                        1355
1298
          type lam (tm -> tm) -> tm.
                                                                                     pi x\ build-perm-assign N [x|Acc] L Perm (T x).
                                                                                                                                                        1356
          type con string -> tm.
                                                                                  build-perm-assign N Acc [ff|L] Perm (abs T) :-
                                                                                                                                                        1357
         type uva addr -> list tm -> tm.
                                                                                     pi x\ build-perm-assign N Acc L Perm (T x).
                                                                                                                                                        1358
         type (\simeq_{\lambda}) tm -> tm -> subst -> subst -> o.
                                                                                  type keep list A -> A -> bool -> o.
                                                                                                                                                        1360
1302
                                                                                  keep L A tt :- mem L A, !.
                                                                                                                                                        1361
1303
         (con C \simeq_{\lambda} con C) S S.
1304
          (app L1 \simeq_{\lambda} app L2) S S1 :- fold2 (\simeq_{\lambda}) L1 L2 S S1.
                                                                                  keep _ _ ff.
                                                                                                                                                        1362
1305
          (lam F1 \simeq_{\lambda} lam F2) S S1 :-
                                                                                                                                                        1363
           pi x\ (pi S\ (x \simeq_{\lambda} x) S S) => (F1 x \simeq_{\lambda} F2 x) S S1.
                                                                                  type prune-diff-variables addr -> list tm -> list tm ->
1306
1307
          (uva N Args \simeq_{\lambda} T) S S1 :-
                                                                                                               assignment -> assignment -> o.
           set? N S F,!, move F Args T1, (T1 \simeq_{\lambda} T) S S1.
                                                                                  prune-diff-variables N Args1 Args2 Ass1 Ass2 :-
1308
                                                                                                                                                        1366
         (T \simeq_{\lambda} uva N Args) S S1 :-
                                                                                     forall2 (keep Args2) Args1 Bits1,
                                                                                                                                                        1367
1309
           set? N S F,!, move F Args T1, (T \simeq_{\lambda} T1) S S1.
                                                                                     forall2 (keep Args1) Args2 Bits2,
                                                                                                                                                        1368
1310
1311
          (uva M A1 \simeq_{\lambda} uva N A2) S1 S2 :- !,
                                                                                     filter Args1 (mem Args2) ToKeep1,
                                                                                                                                                        1369
1312
           pattern-fragment A1, pattern-fragment A2,
                                                                                     filter Args2 (mem Args1) ToKeep2,
                                                                                                                                                        1370
            prune! M A1 N A2 S1 S2.
                                                                                     forall2 (index ToKeep1) ToKeep1 IdPerm,
                                                                                                                                                        1371
1314
          (uva N Args \simeq_{\lambda} T) S S1 :- not_occ N S T, pattern-fragment Args,
                                                                                     forall2 (index ToKeep1) ToKeep2 Perm21,
                                                                                                                                                        1372
1315
           bind T Args T1, assign N S T1 S1.
                                                                                     build-perm-assign N [] Bits1 IdPerm Ass1,
         (T \simeq_{\lambda} \text{ uva N Args}) \text{ S S1 :- not_occ N S T, pattern-fragment Args,}
                                                                                     build-perm-assign N [] Bits2 Perm21 Ass2.
                                                                                                                                                        1374
1316
1317
           bind T Args T1, assign N S T1 S1.
                                                                                                                                                        1375
1318
                                                                                  type beta tm -> list tm -> tm -> o.
                                                                                                                                                        1376
          type prune! addr -> list tm -> addr ->
                                                                                  beta A [] A.
                                                                                                                                                        1377
1319
                      list tm -> subst -> subst -> o.
                                                                                  beta (lam Bo) [H | L] R :- beta (Bo H) L R.
1320
                                                                                                                                                        1378
1321
         /* no pruning needed */
                                                                                  beta (app A) L (app X) :- append A L X.
                                                                                                                                                        1379
         prune! N A N A S S :- !.
                                                                                  beta (con H) L (app [con H | L]).
                                                                                                                                                        1380
1322
1323
         prune! M A N A S1 S2 :- !, bind (uva M A) A Ass,
                                                                                  beta X L (app[X|L]) := name X.
                                                                                                                                                        1381
1324
           assign N S1 Ass S2.
                                                                                                                                                        1382
                                                                                                                                                        1383
1325
          /* prune different arguments */
                                                                                  /* occur check for N before crossing a functor */
                                                                                  type not_occ addr -> subst -> tm -> o.
         prune! N A1 N A2 S1 S3 :- !,
                                                                                                                                                        1384
           new S1 W S2, prune-same-variable W A1 A2 [] Ass,
                                                                                  not_occ N S (uva M Args) :- set? M S F,
           assign N S2 Ass S3.
                                                                                     move F Args T, not_occ N S T.
                                                                                  not_occ N S (uva M Args) :- unset? M S, not (M = N),
         /* prune to the intersection of scopes */
                                                                                                                                                        1387
1329
         prune! N A1 M A2 S1 S4 :- !,
1330
                                                                                     forall1 (not_occ_aux N S) Args.
                                                                                                                                                        1388
1331
           new S1 W S2, prune-diff-variables W A1 A2 Ass1 Ass2,
                                                                                  not_occ _ _ (con _).
                                                                                                                                                        1389
                                                                                                                                                        1390
           assign N S2 Ass1 S3,
                                                                                  not_occ N S (app L) :- not_occ_aux N S (app L).
1332
            assign M S3 Ass2 S4.
1333
                                                                                  /* Note: lam is a functor for the meta language! */
                                                                                                                                                        1391
                                                                                                                                                        1392
                                                                            12
```

```
1393
         not\_occ\ N\ S\ (lam\ L) :- pi\ x\ not\_occ\_aux\ N\ S\ (L\ x).
                                                                                   type mapping fvariable -> hvariable -> mapping.
                                                                                                                                                        1451
1394
         not_occ _ _ X :- name X.
                                                                                   typeabbrev map (list mapping).
                                                                                                                                                        1452
1395
         /* finding N is ok */
                                                                                                                                                        1453
         not_occ N _ (uva N _).
                                                                                   typeabbrev scope (list tm).
                                                                                                                                                        1454
1397
                                                                                   typeabbrev inctx ho.inctx.
                                                                                                                                                        1455
         /* occur check for X after crossing a functor */
                                                                                   kind baselink type.
                                                                                                                                                        1456
1398
                                                                                   type link-eta tm -> tm -> baselink.
         type not_occ_aux addr -> subst -> tm -> o.
                                                                                                                                                        1457
1399
         not\_occ\_aux N S (uva M \_) := unset? M S, not (N = M).
                                                                                   type link-beta tm -> tm -> baselink.
                                                                                                                                                        1458
1400
1401
         not_occ_aux N S (uva M Args) :- set? M S F,
                                                                                   typeabbrev link (inctx baselink).
                                                                                                                                                        1459
           move F Args T, not_occ_aux N S T.
                                                                                   typeabbrev links (list link).
                                                                                                                                                        1460
         not_occ_aux N S (app L) :- forall1 (not_occ_aux N S) L.
         not_occ_aux N S (lam F) :- pi x\ not_occ_aux N S (F x).
                                                                                   macro @val-link-eta T1 T2 :- ho.val (link-eta T1 T2).
                                                                                   macro @val-link-beta T1 T2 :- ho.val (link-beta T1 T2).
         not_occ_aux _ _ (con _).
1405
                                                                                                                                                        1463
         not_occ_aux _ _ X :- name X.
1406
                                                                                                                                                        1464
1407
         /* finding N is ko, hence no rule */
                                                                                                                                                        1465
1408
                                                                                                                                                        1466
         /* copy T T' vails if T contains a free variable, i.e. it
                                                                                   %% x occurs rigidly in t iff \forall \sigma, \forall t', t' =_{\sigma} \sigma t \Rightarrow x \in \mathcal{P}(t')
1409
                                                                                                                                                        1467
1410
             performs scope checking for bind */
                                                                                                                                                        1468
         type copy tm \rightarrow tm \rightarrow o.
                                                                                   type occurs-rigidly fm -> fm -> o.
                                                                                                                                                        1469
1411
         copy (con C)
                                                                                   occurs-rigidly N N.
                                                                                                                                                        1470
1412
                         (con C).
                         (app L') :- forall2 copy L L'.
                                                                                                                                                        1471
1413
         copy (app L)
                                                                                   occurs-rigidly _ (fapp [fuva _|_]) :- !, fail.
1414
         copy (lam T)
                         (lam T') :- pi x copy x x \Rightarrow copy (T x) (T' x).
                                                                                   occurs-rigidly N (fapp L) :- exists (occurs-rigidly N) L.
                                                                                                                                                        1472
1415
         copy (uva A L) (uva A L') :- forall2 copy L L'.
                                                                                   occurs-rigidly N (flam B) :- pi x\ occurs-rigidly N (B x).
                                                                                                                                                        1473
                                                                                                                                                        1474
         type bind tm -> list tm -> assignment -> o.
                                                                                   /* maybe-eta N T L succeeds iff T could be an eta expasions for 145 that
         bind T [] (val T') :- copy T T'.
                                                                                       is \exists \sigma, \sigma(\lambda n.t) = \lambda n.t'n and n
1418
                                                                                   %%
         bind T [X | TL] (abs T') :- pi x \land copy X x \Rightarrow bind T TL (T' x).
                                                                                       does not occur rigidly in t'
                                                                                                                                                        1477
1419
                                                                                   type maybe-eta fm -> fm -> list fm -> o.
1420
                                                                                                                                                        1478
1421
         type deref subst -> tm -> tm -> o.
                                                                    (\sigma t)
                                                                                   maybe-eta N (fapp[fuva _|Args]) _ :- !,
                                                                                                                                                        1479
         deref _ (con C) (con C).
                                                                                     exists (x\ maybe-eta-of [] N x) Args, !.
1422
                                                                                                                                                        1480
         deref S (app A) (app B) :- forall2 (deref S) A B.
1423
                                                                                   maybe-eta N (flam B) L :- !, pi x\ maybe-eta N (B x) [x | L].
         deref S (lam F) (lam G) :-
                                                                                   maybe-eta _ (fapp [fcon _|Args]) L :-
1424
           pi x \cdot deref S x x \Rightarrow deref S (F x) (G x).
                                                                                     split-last-n {len L} Args First Last,
                                                                                                                                                        1483
1425
         deref S (uva N L) R :- set? N S A, move A L T, deref S T R.
                                                                                     forall1 (x\ forall1 (y\ not (occurs-rigidly x y)) First) L,
                                                                                                                                                        1484
1426
1427
         deref S (uva X A) (uva X B) :- unset? X S, forall2 (deref S) A B.
                                                                                     forall2 (maybe-eta-of []) {rev L} Last.
                                                                                                                                                        1485
                                                                                                                                                        1486
         type move assignment -> list tm -> tm -> o.
                                                                                   %% is \exists \sigma, \sigma t =_{o} n
                                                                                                                                                        1487
         move (abs Bo) [H|L] R :- move (Bo H) L R.
                                                                                   type maybe-eta-of list fm -> fm -> o.
         move (val A) [] A :- !.
                                                                                   maybe-eta-of _ N N :- !.
1431
                                                                                   maybe-eta-of L N (fapp[fuva _|Args]) :- !,
                                                                                                                                                        1490
1432
1433
                                                                                     forall1 (x\ exists (maybe-eta-of [] x) Args) [N|L].
                                                                                                                                                        1491
1434
         type deref-assmt subst -> assignment -> o.
                                                                                   maybe-eta-of L N (flam B) :- !,
                                                                                                                                                        1492
         deref-assmt S (abs T) (abs R) :- pi x\ deref-assmt S (T x) (R x).
                                                                                     pi x\ maybe-eta-of [x | L] N (B x).
                                                                                                                                                        1493
1435
         deref-assmt S (val T) (val R) :- deref S T R.
1436
                                                                                   maybe-eta-of L N (fapp [N|Args]) :-
                                                                                                                                                        1494
1437
                                                                                     last-n {len L} Args R,
                                                                                                                                                        1495
1438
                                                                                     forall2 (maybe-eta-of []) R {rev L}.
                                                                                                                                                        1496
       14 THE COMPILER
1439
                                                                                                                                                        1497
1440
         kind arity type.
                                                                                                                                                        1498
1441
         type arity nat -> arity.
                                                                                   type locally-bound tm -> o.
                                                                                                                                                        1499
1442
                                                                                   type get-scope-aux tm -> list tm -> o.
                                                                                                                                                        1500
         kind fvariable type.
                                                                                   get-scope-aux (con _) [].
         type fv addr -> fvariable.
1444
                                                                                   get-scope-aux (uva _ L) L1 :-
                                                                                     forall2 get-scope-aux L R,
                                                                                                                                                        1503
1445
1446
         kind hvariable type.
                                                                                     flatten R L1.
                                                                                                                                                        1504
1447
         type hv addr -> arity -> hvariable.
                                                                                   get-scope-aux (lam B) L1 :-
                                                                                                                                                        1505
                                                                                     pi x \setminus locally-bound x \Rightarrow get-scope-aux (B x) L1.
1448
                                                                                                                                                        1506
1449
         kind mapping type.
                                                                                   get-scope-aux (app L) L1 :-
                                                                                                                                                        1507
1450
                                                                                                                                                        1508
                                                                            13
```

```
1509
           forall2 get-scope-aux L R,
1510
           flatten R L1.
1511
         get-scope-aux X [X] :- name X, not (locally-bound X).
         get-scope-aux X [] :- name X, (locally-bound X).
1513
         %% TODO: scrivere undup
1514
         type get-scope tm -> list tm -> o.
1515
         get-scope T Scope :-
1516
1517
           get-scope-aux T ScopeDuplicata,
1518
           names N, filter N (mem ScopeDuplicata) Scope.
         type rigid fm -> o.
         rigid X :- not (X = fuva _).
1520
1521
         type comp-lam (fm \rightarrow fm) \rightarrow (tm \rightarrow tm) \rightarrow
1522
1523
           map -> map -> links -> links -> subst -> o.
1524
         comp-lam F F1 M1 M2 L L2 S S1 :-
           pi x y\ (pi M L S\ comp x y M M L L S S) =>
1525
1526
             comp (F x) (F1 y) M1 M2 L (L1 y) S S1,
1527
           close-links L1 L2.
1528
         type close-links (tm -> links) -> links -> o.
1529
1530
         close-links (_\[]) [].
1531
         close-links (v\[L]XS\ v]) [L|YS] :- !, close-links XS YS.
1532
         close-links (v\setminus[(L\ v)\mid XS\ v]) [abs L|YS] :-!,
           close-links XS YS.
         type comp fm -> tm -> map -> map -> links -> links ->
1534
           subst -> subst -> o.
1535
1536
         comp (fcon C) (con C)
                                      M1 M1 L1 L1 S1 S1.
1537
         comp (flam F) (uva A Scope) M1 M2 L1 L3 S1 S3 :-
           (pi x\ maybe-eta x (F x) [x]), !,
1538
             alloc S1 A S2,
             comp-lam F F1 M1 M2 L1 L2 S2 S3,
1540
             get-scope (lam F1) Scope,
1541
             L3 = [@val-link-eta (uva A Scope) (lam F1)| L2].
1542
1543
         comp (flam F) (lam F1)
                                     M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2 :-
1544
           comp-lam F F1 M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2.
         comp (fuva A) (uva B []) M1 M2 L L S S1 :-
1545
1546
           m-alloc (fv A) (hv B (arity z)) M1 M2 S S1.
         comp (fapp [fuva A|Ag]) (uva B Ag1) M1 M2 L1 L1 S1 S2 :-
1547
           pattern-fragment Ag, !,
1548
             fold6 comp Ag Ag1 M1 M1 L1 L1 S1 S1,
1549
1550
             len Ag Arity,
             m-alloc (fv A) (hv B (arity Arity)) M1 M2 S1 S2.
1551
1552
         comp (fapp [fuva A|Ag]) (uva C Scope) M1 M3 L1 L3 S1 S4 :- !,
1553
           pattern-fragment-prefix Ag Pf Extra,
           fold6 comp Pf
                            Scope1 M1 M1 L1 L1 S1 S1,
1554
1555
           fold6 comp Extra Extra1 M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2,
1556
           len Pf Arity,
1557
           m-alloc (fv A) (hv B (arity Arity)) M2 M3 S2 S3,
           Beta = app [uva B Scope1 | Extra1],
           get-scope Beta Scope,
           alloc S3 C S4,
1560
           L3 = [@val-link-beta (uva C Scope) Beta | L2].
1561
1562
         comp (fapp A) (app A1)
                                    M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2 :-
1563
           fold6 comp A A1 M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2.
1564
         type alloc mem A -> addr -> mem A -> o.
1565
1566
```

```
alloc S N S1 :- mem new S N S1.
                                                                 1567
                                                                 1568
type compile-terms-diagnostic
                                                                 1569
  triple diagnostic fm fm ->
  triple diagnostic tm tm ->
                                                                 1571
  map -> map ->
                                                                 1572
 links -> links ->
                                                                 1573
  subst -> subst -> o.
                                                                 1574
compile-terms-diagnostic (triple D FO1 FO2) (triple D HO1 HO2) M575M3 L1
  comp F01 H01 M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2,
  comp F02 H02 M2 M3 L2 L3 S2 S3.
type compile-terms
                                                                 1579
  list (triple diagnostic fm fm) ->
                                                                 1580
  list (triple diagnostic tm tm) ->
                                                                 1581
  map -> links -> subst -> o.
                                                                 1582
compile-terms T H M L S :-
                                                                 1583
  fold6 compile-terms-diagnostic T H [] M_ [] L_ [] S_,
                                                                 1584
  deduplicate-map M_ M S_ S L_ L.
                                                                 1585
                                                                 1586
type make-eta-link-aux nat -> addr -> addr ->
                                                                 1587
  list tm -> links -> subst -> subst -> o.
                                                                 1588
make-eta-link-aux z Ad1 Ad2 Scope1 L H1 H1 :-
                                                                 1589
  rev Scope1 Scope, eta-expand (uva Ad2 Scope) @one T1,
  L = [@val-link-eta (uva Ad1 Scope) T1].
make-eta-link-aux (s N) Ad1 Ad2 Scope1 L H1 H3 :-
  rev Scope1 Scope, alloc H1 Ad H2,
                                                                 1593
  eta-expand (uva Ad Scope) @one T2,
                                                                 1594
  (pi x\ make-eta-link-aux N Ad Ad2 [x|Scope1] (L1 x) H2 H3),
                                                                 1595
  close-links L1 L2,
  L = [@val-link-eta (uva Ad1 Scope) T2 | L2].
                                                                 1598
type make-eta-link nat -> nat -> addr -> addr ->
                                                                 1599
        list tm -> links -> subst -> o.
                                                                 1600
make-eta-link (s N) z Ad1 Ad2 Vars L H H1 :-
                                                                 1601
  make-eta-link-aux N Ad2 Ad1 Vars L H H1.
                                                                 1602
make-eta-link z (s N) Ad1 Ad2 Vars L H H1 :-
  make-eta-link-aux N Ad1 Ad2 Vars L H H1.
make-eta-link (s N) (s M) Ad1 Ad2 Vars Links H H1 :-
  (pi x\ make-eta-link N M Ad1 Ad2 [x|Vars] (L x) H H1),
                                                                 1606
  close-links L Links.
                                                                 1607
                                                                 1608
type deduplicate-map map -> map ->
                                                                 1609
    subst -> subst -> links -> links -> o.
                                                                 1610
deduplicate-map [] [] H H L L.
deduplicate-map [(mapping (fv 0) (hv M (arity LenM)) as X1) | Map1] Map2
  take-list Map1 (mapping (fv 0) (hv M' (arity LenM'))) _, !, 1613
  std.assert! (not (LenM = LenM')) "Deduplicate map, there is aloug",
  print "arity-fix links:" {ppmapping X1} "~!~" {ppmapping (mapping (fv
  make-eta-link LenM LenM' M M' [] New H1 H2,
  print "new eta link" {pplinks New},
                                                                 1617
  append New L1 L2,
  deduplicate-map Map1 Map2 H2 H3 L2 L3.
                                                                 1619
deduplicate-map [A|As] [A|Bs] H1 H2 L1 L2 :-
                                                                 1620
  deduplicate-map As Bs H1 H2 L1 L2, !.
                                                                 1621
deduplicate-map [A|_] _ H _ _ _ :-
                                                                 1622
  halt "deduplicating mapping error" {ppmapping A} {ho.ppsubst ₭}3
```

```
15 THE PROGRESS FUNCTION
1625
                                                                               append Scope1 L1 Scope1L,
                                                                                                                                             1683
1626
                                                                               pattern-fragment-prefix Scope1L Scope2 L2,
                                                                                                                                             1684
         macro @one :- s z.
1627
                                                                              not (Scope1 = Scope2), !,
                                                                                                                                             1685
                                                                               mem.new S1 Ad2 S2,
         type contract-rigid list ho.tm -> ho.tm -> o.
                                                                              len Scope1 Scope1Len,
1629
         contract-rigid L (ho.lam F) T :-
           \textbf{pi x} \land \textbf{contract-rigid [x|L] (F x) T. \% also checks H Prefix does not see Scope 2 Scope 2 Len, } \\ 
1630
                                                                               make-eta-link Scope1Len Scope2Len Ad1 Ad2 [] LinkEta S2 S3,
1631
         contract-rigid L (ho.app [H|Args]) T :-
                                                                              if (L2 = []) (NewLinks = LinkEta, T2 = ho.uva Ad2 Scope2)
1632
          rev L LRev, append Prefix LRev Args,
1633
                                                                                 (T2 = ho.app [ho.uva Ad2 Scope2 | L2],
          if (Prefix = []) (T = H) (T = ho.app [H|Prefix]).
                                                                                NewLinks = [@val-link-beta T T2 | LinkEta]).
         type progress-eta-link ho.tm -> ho.tm -> ho.subst -> ho.subst -> links -> o.
        progress-eta-link (ho.app _ as T) (ho.lam x\ _ as T1) H H1 [] :- !, progress-beta-link T1 (ho.app[ho.uva _ _ | _] as T2) _ _ _ :-
1636
                                                                              not (T1 = ho.uva _ _), !, fail.
1637
           ({eta-expand T @one} == 1 T1) H H1.
1638
         progress-eta-link (ho.con \_ as T) (ho.lam x\ \_ as T1) H H1 [] :- !,
1639
                                                                            progress-beta-link (ho.uva _ _ as T) (ho.app[ho.uva _ _ | _] as1692) S1 _
           (\{eta-expand T @one\} == 1 T1) H H1.
1640
                                                                              occur-check-err T T2 S1, !, fail.
                                                                                                                                             1698
        progress-eta-link (ho.lam _ as T) T1 H H1 [] :- !,
1641
                                                                                                                                             1699
           (T == 1 T1) H H1.
                                                                            progress-beta-link T1 (ho.app[ho.uva _ _ | _] as T2) H H [@val-link-beta
1642
        progress-eta-link (ho.uva _ _ as X) T H H1 [] :-
1643
          contract-rigid [] T T1, !, (X ==1 T1) H H1.
         progress-eta-link (ho.uva Ad _ as T1) T2 H H [@val-link-eta T1 T2] progress-beta-link T1 (ho.app [Hd | T1]) S1 S2 B :-
                                                                                                                                             1702
1644
                                                                              ho.beta Hd Tl T3.
                                                                                                                                             1703
1645
          if (ho.not_occ Ad H T2) true fail.
1646
                                                                              progress-beta-link-aux T1 T3 S1 S2 B.
                                                                                                                                             1704
1647
         type is-in-pf ho.tm -> o.
                                                                            type solve-link-abs link -> links -> ho.subst -> ho.subst -> o.1706
         is-in-pf (ho.app [ho.uva _ _ | _]) :- !, fail.
1649
                                                                            solve-link-abs (ho.abs X) R H H1 :-
         is-in-pf (ho.lam B) :- !, pi x\ is-in-pf (B x).
                                                                              pi x\ ho.copy x x \Rightarrow (pi S\ ho.deref S x x) \Rightarrow
1650
         is-in-pf (ho.con _).
                                                                                solve-link-abs (X x) (R' x) H H1,
                                                                                                                                             1709
1651
         is-in-pf (ho.app L) :- forall1 is-in-pf L.
                                                                              close-links R' R.
1652
                                                                                                                                             1710
         is-in-pf N :- name N.
1653
                                                                                                                                             1711
         is-in-pf (ho.uva _ L) :- pattern-fragment L.
                                                                            solve-link-abs (@val-link-eta A B) NewLinks S S1 :- !,
                                                                                                                                             1712
1654
1655
                                                                              progress-eta-link A B S S1 NewLinks.
         type arity ho.tm -> nat -> o.
1656
        arity (ho.con _) z.
                                                                            solve-link-abs (@val-link-beta A B) NewLinks S S1 :- !,
                                                                                                                                             1715
1657
        arity (ho.app L) A :- len L A.
                                                                               progress-beta-link A B S S1 NewLinks.
                                                                                                                                             1716
1658
1659
                                                                                                                                             1717
         type occur-check-err ho.tm -> ho.tm -> ho.subst -> o.
                                                                            type take-link link -> links -> link -> links -> o.
                                                                                                                                             1718
        occur-check-err (ho.con _) _ _ :- !.
                                                                             take-link A [B|XS] B XS :- link-abs-same-lhs A B, !.
                                                                                                                                             1719
        occur-check-err (ho.app _) _ _ :- !.
1662
                                                                            take-link A [L|XS] B [L|YS] :- take-link A XS B YS.
        occur-check-err (ho.lam _) _ _ :- !.
1663
        occur-check-err (ho.uva Ad _) T S :-
                                                                            type link-abs-same-lhs link -> link -> o.
                                                                                                                                             1722
1664
          not (ho.not_occ Ad S T).
                                                                            link-abs-same-lhs (ho.abs F) B :-
1665
                                                                                                                                             1723
1666
                                                                              pi x\ link-abs-same-lhs (F x) B.
                                                                                                                                             1724
         type progress-beta-link-aux ho.tm -> ho.tm ->
                                                                            link-abs-same-lhs A (ho.abs G) :-
1667
                                                                                                                                             1725
                 ho.subst -> ho.subst -> links -> o.
1668
                                                                              pi x\ link-abs-same-lhs A (G x).
        progress-beta-link-aux T1 T2 S1 S2 [] :- is-in-pf T2, !,
                                                                            link-abs-same-lhs (@val-link-eta (ho.uva N _) _) (@val-link-eta17@ho.uva
1669
          (T1 == 1 T2) S1 S2.
1670
         progress-beta-link-aux T1 T2 S S [@val-link-beta T1 T2] :- !.
                                                                            type same-link-eta link -> link -> ho.subst -> ho.subst -> o. 1729
1671
1672
                                                                            same-link-eta (ho.abs F) B H H1 :- !, pi x\ same-link-eta (F x)180H H1.
         type progress-beta-link ho.tm -> ho.tm -> ho.subst ->
                                                                            same-link-eta A (ho.abs G) H H1 :- !, pi x\ same-link-eta A (G x) H H1.
1673
              ho.subst -> links -> o
         1674
                                                                                           (@val-link-eta (ho.uva N S2) B) H H1 :-
                                                                                                                                             1733
          arity T Arity, len L ArgsNb, ArgsNb >n Arity, !,
1676
                                                                              std.map2 S1 S2 (x\y\r\ r = ho.copy x y) Perm,
                                                                                                                                             1734
          minus ArgsNb Arity Diff, mem.new S V1 S1,
                                                                              Perm => ho.copy A A',
                                                                                                                                             1735
1677
          eta-expand (ho.uva V1 Scope) Diff T1,
                                                                               (A' == 1 B) H H1.
1678
                                                                                                                                             1736
           ((ho.uva V Scope) ==1 T1) S1 S2.
1679
                                                                                                                                             1737
        progress-beta-link (ho.uva _ _ as T) (ho.app[ho.uva Ad1 Scope1 | Ltypes splyes-links -> links -> ho.subst -> ho.subst -> o.
1680
1681
                                                                             solve-links [] [] X X.
                                                                                                                                             1739
1682
                                                                                                                                             1740
                                                                      15
```

```
1741
         solve-links [A|L1] [A|L3] S S2 :- take-link A L1 B L2, !,
                                                                                  type add-new-map ho.subst -> ho.tm -> map ->
                                                                                                                                                      1799
                                                                                      map -> fo.subst -> fo.subst -> o.
1742
           same-link-eta A B S S1.
                                                                                                                                                      1800
1743
           solve-links L2 L3 S1 S2.
                                                                                  add-new-map _ (ho.uva N _) Map [] F1 F1 :-
                                                                                                                                                      1801
         solve-links [L0]L1] L3 S S2 :- deref-link S L0 L,
1744
                                                                                    mem Map (mapping _ (hv N _)), !.
1745
           solve-link-abs L R S S1, !,
                                                                                  add-new-map H (ho.uva N L) Map [Map1 | MapL] F1 F3 :-
           solve-links L1 L2 S1 S2, append R L2 L3.
1746
                                                                                    mem.new F1 M F2.
                                                                                                                                                      1804
1747
                                                                                    len L Arity, Map1 = mapping (fv M) (hv N (arity Arity)),
                                                                                                                                                      1805
                                                                                    add-new-map H (ho.app L) [Map1 | Map] MapL F2 F3.
1748
                                                                                                                                                      1806
       16 THE DECOMPILER
1749
                                                                                  add-new-map H (ho.lam B) Map NewMap F1 F2 :-
                                                                                                                                                      1807
1750
         type abs->lam ho.assignment -> ho.tm -> o.
                                                                                    pi x\ add-new-map H (B x) Map NewMap F1 F2.
                                                                                                                                                      1808
1751
         abs->lam (ho.abs T) (ho.lam R) :- !, pi x\ abs->lam (T x) (R x). add-new-map H (ho.app L) Map NewMap F1 F3 :-
         abs->lam (ho.val A) A.
                                                                                    add-new-map-aux H L Map NewMap F1 F3.
                                                                                  add-new-map _ (ho.con _) _ [] F F :- !.
1753
                                                                                                                                                      1811
         type commit-links-aux link -> ho.subst -> ho.subst -> o.
                                                                                  add-new-map _ N _ [] F F :- name N.
                                                                                                                                                      1812
1754
1755
         commit-links-aux (@val-link-eta T1 T2) H1 H2 :-
                                                                                                                                                      1813
1756
           ho.deref H1 T1 T1', ho.deref H1 T2 T2',
                                                                                  type complete-mapping-under-ass ho.subst -> ho.assignment ->
                                                                                                                                                      1814
           (T1' == 1 T2') H1 H2.
                                                                                    map -> map -> fo.subst -> fo.subst -> o.
1757
1758
         commit-links-aux (@val-link-beta T1 T2) H1 H2 :-
                                                                                  complete-mapping-under-ass H (ho.val Val) Map1 Map2 F1 F2 :-
                                                                                                                                                      1816
1759
           ho.deref H1 T1 T1', ho.deref H1 T2 T2',
                                                                                    add-new-map H Val Map1 Map2 F1 F2.
           (T1' == 1 T2') H1 H2.
                                                                                  complete-mapping-under-ass H (ho.abs Abs) Map1 Map2 F1 F2 :-
1760
                                                                                                                                                      1818
         commit-links-aux (ho.abs B) H H1 :-
                                                                                    pi x\ complete-mapping-under-ass H (Abs x) Map1 Map2 F1 F2.
1761
                                                                                                                                                      1819
1762
           pi x\ commit-links-aux (B x) H H1.
                                                                                                                                                      1820
                                                                                  type complete-mapping ho.subst -> ho.subst ->
                                                                                                                                                      1821
         type commit-links links -> links -> ho.subst -> ho.subst -> o.
                                                                                    map -> map -> fo.subst -> fo.subst -> o.
                                                                                                                                                      1822
         commit-links [] [] H H.
                                                                                  complete-mapping _ [] L L F F.
         commit-links [Abs | Links] L H H2 :-
                                                                                  complete-mapping H [none | Tl] L1 L2 F1 F2 :-
                                                                                                                                                      1824
1766
           commit-links-aux Abs H H1, !, commit-links Links L H1 H2.
                                                                                    complete-mapping H Tl L1 L2 F1 F2.
1767
                                                                                                                                                      1825
                                                                                  complete-mapping H [some T0 | T1] L1 L3 F1 F3 :-
1768
                                                                                                                                                      1826
1769
         type decompl-subst map -> map -> ho.subst ->
                                                                                    ho.deref-assmt H T0 T,
                                                                                                                                                      1827
           fo.subst -> o.
                                                                                    complete-mapping-under-ass H T L1 L2 F1 F2,
1770
                                                                                                                                                      1828
1771
         decompl-subst _ [A]_] _ _ :- fail.
                                                                                    append L1 L2 LAll.
1772
         decompl-subst _ [] _ F F.
                                                                                    complete-mapping H Tl LAll L3 F2 F3.
                                                                                                                                                      1830
1773
         decompl-subst Map [mapping (fv V0) (hv VM _)[T1] H F F2 :-
                                                                                                                                                      1831
           mem.set? VM H T, !,
                                                                                  type decompile map -> links -> ho.subst ->
                                                                                                                                                      1832
1774
1775
           ho.deref-assmt H T TTT,
                                                                                    fo.subst -> fo.subst -> o.
                                                                                                                                                      1833
1776
           abs->lam TTT T', tm->fm Map T' T1,
                                                                                  decompile Map1 L HO FO FO2 :-
                                                                                                                                                      1834
           fo.eta-contract T1 T2, mem.assign V0 F T2 F1,
                                                                                    commit-links L L1_ HO HO1, !,
                                                                                                                                                      1835
           decompl-subst Map Tl H F1 F2.
                                                                                    complete-mapping HO1 HO1 Map1 Map2 FO FO1,
         decompl-subst Map [mapping _ (hv VM _)|T1] H F F2 :-
                                                                                    decompl-subst Map2 Map2 H01 F01 F02.
           mem.unset? VM H, decompl-subst Map T1 H F F2.
                                                                                                                                                      1838
1780
1781
                                                                                                                                                      1839
                                                                               17 AUXILIARY FUNCTIONS
1782
         type tm\rightarrow fm map \rightarrow ho.tm \rightarrow fo.fm \rightarrow o.
                                                                                                                                                      1840
                                                                                  type fold4 (A \rightarrow A1 \rightarrow B \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow C \rightarrow o) \rightarrow list A \rightarrow
         tm->fm _ (ho.con C) (fo.fcon C).
1783
                                                                                                                                                      1841
                                                                                    list A1 \rightarrow B \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow C \rightarrow o.
         tm->fm L (ho.lam B1) (fo.flam B2) :-
1784
                                                                                                                                                      1842
                                                                                  fold4 _ [] [] A A B B.
1785
           pi \times y \to tm \rightarrow fm x y \Rightarrow tm \rightarrow fm L (B1 x) (B2 y).
                                                                                                                                                      1843
                                                                                  fold4 F [X|XS] [Y|YS] A A1 B B1 :- F X Y A A0 B B0,
1786
         tm->fm L (ho.app L1) T :- forall2 (tm->fm L) L1 [Hd|T1],
                                                                                                                                                      1844
                                                                                    fold4 F XS YS A0 A1 B0 B1.
1787
           fo.mk-app Hd Tl T.
                                                                                                                                                      1845
1788
         tm->fm L (ho.uva VM TL) T :- mem L (mapping (fv VO) (hv VM _)),
                                                                                                                                                      1846
                                                                                  type len list A -> nat -> o.
1789
           forall2 (tm->fm L) TL T1, fo.mk-app (fo.fuva V0) T1 T.
                                                                                                                                                      1847
                                                                                  len [] z.
                                                                                                                                                      1848
                                                                                  len [\_|L] (s X) :- len L X.
         type add-new-map-aux ho.subst -> list ho.tm -> map ->
                map -> fo.subst -> fo.subst -> o.
         add-new-map-aux \_ [] \_ [] S S.
                                                                                                                                                      1851
1793
         add-new-map-aux H [T|Ts] L L2 S S2 :-
1794
                                                                                                                                                      1852
1795
           add-new-map H T L L1 S S1,
                                                                                                                                                      1853
           add-new-map-aux H Ts L1 L2 S1 S2.
                                                                                                                                                      1854
1796
1797
                                                                                                                                                      1855
                                                                                                                                                      1856
                                                                           16
```