# HO unification from object language to meta language

Davide Fissore davide.fissore@inria.fr Université Côte d'Azur, Inria France Enrico Tassi enrico.tassi@inria.fr Université Côte d'Azur, Inria France 

#### **ABSTRACT**

Specifying and implementing a logic from scratch requires significant effort. Logical Frameworks and Higher Order Logic Programming Languages provide dedicated, high-level Meta Languages (ML) to facilitate this task in two key ways: 1) variable binding and substitution are simplified when ML binders represent object logic ones; 2) proof construction, and even proof search, is greatly simplified by leveraging the unification procedure provided by the ML. Notable examples of ML are Elf [13], Twelf [14],  $\lambda$ Prolog [10] and Isabelle [20] which have been utilized to implement various formal systems such as First Order Logic [5], Set Theory [12], Higher Order Logic [11], and even the Calculus of Constuctions [4].

The object logic we are interested in is Coq's [18] Dependent Type Theory (DTT), for which we aim to implement a unification procedure  $\simeq_o$  using the ML Elpi [3], a dialect of  $\lambda$ Prolog. Elpi's equational theory comprises  $\eta\beta$  equivalence and comes equipped with a higher order unification procedure  $\simeq_\lambda$  restricted to the pattern fragment [9]. We want  $\simeq_o$  to be as powerful as  $\simeq_\lambda$  but on the object logic DTT. Elpi also comes with an encoding for DTT that works well for meta-programming [17, 16, 7, 6]. Unfortunately this encoding, which we refer to as  $\mathcal{F}_o$ , "underuses"  $\simeq_\lambda$  by restricting it to first-order unification problems only. To address this issue, we propose a better-behaved encoding,  $\mathcal{H}_o$ , demonstrate how to map unification problems in  $\mathcal{F}_o$  to related problems in  $\mathcal{H}_o$ , and illustrate how to map back the unifiers found by  $\simeq_\lambda$ , effectively implementing  $\simeq_o$  on top of  $\simeq_\lambda$  for the encoding  $\mathcal{F}_o$ .

We apply this technique to the implementation of a type-class [19] solver for Coq [18]. Type-class solvers are proof search procedures based on unification that back-chain designated lemmas, providing essential automation to widely used Coq libraries such as Stdpp/Iris [8] and TLC [1]. These two libraries constitute our test bed.

### **KEYWORDS**

Logic Programming, Meta-Programming, Higher-Order Unification, Proof Automation

# **ACM Reference Format:**

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than the author(s) must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

Conference'17, July 2017, Washington, DC, USA

© 2024 Copyright held by the owner/author(s). Publication rights licensed to ACM. ACM ISBN 978-x-xxxx-xxxx-x/YY/MM

https://doi.org/ZZZZZZZZZZZZZ

#### 1 INTRODUCTION

Specifying and implementing a logic from scratch requires significant effort. Logical Frameworks and Higher Order Logic Programming Languages provide dedicated, high-level Meta Languages (ML) to facilitate this task in two key ways: 1) variable binding and substitution are simplified when ML binders represent object logic ones; 2) proof construction, and even proof search, is greatly simplified by leveraging the unification procedure provided by the ML. Notable examples of ML are Elf [13], Twelf [14],  $\lambda$ Prolog [10] and Isabelle [20] which have been utilized to implement various formal systems such as First Order Logic [5], Set Theory [12], Higher Order Logic [11], and even the Calculus of Constuctions [4].

The object logic we are interested in is Coq's [18] Dependent Type Theory (DTT), and we want to code a type-class [19] solver for Coq [18] using the Coq-Elpi [17] meta programming framework. Type-class solvers are unification based proof search procedures that combine a set of designated lemmas in order to providing essential automation to widely used Coq libraries.

As the running example we take the Decide type class, from the Stdpp [8] library. The class identifies predicates equipped with a decision procedure. The following three designated lemmas (called Instances in the type-class jargon) state that: 1) the type fin n, of natural numbers smaller than n is finite; 2) the predicate nfact n nf, linking a natural number n to its prime factors nf, is decidable; 3) the universal closure of a predicate has a decision procedure if the predicate has and if its domain is finite.

```
Instance fin_fin n : Finite (fin n). (* r1 *)
Instance nfact_dec n nf : Decision (nfact n nf). (* r2 *)
Instance forall_dec A P : Finite A \rightarrow (* r3 *)
\forall x:A, \ Decision \ (P \ x) \rightarrow Decision \ (\forall x:A, \ P \ x).
```

Under this context of instances a type-class solver is able to prove the following statement automatically by back-chaining.

```
Check \_: Decision (forall y: fin 7, nfact y 3). (g)
```

The encoding of DTT provided by Elpi, that we will discuss at length later in section ?? and ??, is an Higher Order Abstract Syntax (HOAS) datatype tm featuring (among others) the following constructors:

Following standard  $\lambda$ Prolog [10] the concrete syntax to abstract, at the meta level, an expression e over a variable x is «x\ e», and square brackets denote a list of terms separated by comma. As an example we show the encoding of the Coq term « $\forall$ y:t, nfact y 3»:

```
all (con"t") y\ app[con"nfact", y, con"3"]
```

We now illustrate the encoding of the three instances above as higher-order logic-programming rules: capital letters denote rule parameters; :- separates the rule's head from the premises; pi w\ p introduces a fresh nominal constant w for the premise p.

finite (app[con"fin", N]). (r1)
decision (app [con"nfact", N, NF]). (r2)
decision (all A x\ app[P, x]) :- finite A, (r3)
pi w\ decision (app[P, w]).

Unfortunately this translation of rule (r3) uses the predicate P as a first order term: for the meta language its type is tm. If we try to backchain the rule (r3) on the encoding of the goal (g) given below

```
decision (all (app[con"fin", con"7"]) y\
  app[con"nfact", y, con"3"]).
```

we obtain an unsolvable unification problem (p): the two lists of terms have different lengths!

```
app[con"nfact", y, con"3"] = app[P, y] (p)
```

In this paper we study a more sophisticated encoding of Coq terms allowing us to rephrase the problematic rule (r3) as follows:

```
decision (all A x\ Pm x) :- link Pm P A, finite A, (r3a) pi x\ decision (app[P, x]).
```

Since Pm is an higher-order unification variable of type tm -> tm, with x in its scope, the unification problem (p') admits one solution:

After unifying the head of rule (r3a) with the goal, Elpi runs the premise «link Pm A P» that is in charge of bringing the assignment for Pm back to the domain tm of Coq terms:

```
P = lam A a\ app[con"nfact", a, con"3"]
```

This simple example is sufficient to show that the encoding we seek is not trivial and does not only concern the head of rules, but the entire sequence of unification problems that constitute the execution of a logic program. In fact the solution for P above generates a (Coq)  $\beta$ -redex in the second premise (the predicate under the pi w\):

```
decision (app[lam A (a\ app[con"nfact", a, con"3"]), w])
```

In turn this redex prevents the rule (r2) to backchain properly since the following unification problem has no solution:

```
app[lam A (a\ app[con"nfact", a, con"3"]), x] =
app[con"nfact", N, NF]
```

The root cause of the problems we sketched in the running example is that the unification procedure  $\simeq_{\lambda}$  of the meta language is not aware of the equational theory of the object logic, even if both theories include  $\eta\beta$ -conversion and admit most general unifiers for unification problems in the pattern fragment  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$  [9].

Contributions. In this paper we discuss alternative encodings of Coq in Elpi (Section ??), then we identify a minimal language  $\mathcal{F}_0$  in which the problems sketched here can be fully described. We then detail an encoding comp from  $\mathcal{F}_0$  to  $\mathcal{H}_0$  (the language of the meta language) and a decoding decomp to relate the unifiers bla

bla.. TODO citare Teyjus. The code discussed in the paper can be accessed at the URL: https://github.com/FissoreD/paper-ho.

#### 2 PROBLEM STATEMENT

The equational theory of Coq's Dependent Type Theory is very rich. In addition to the usual  $\eta\beta$ -equivalence for functions, terms (hence types) are compared up to proposition unfolding and fixpoint unrolling. Still, for efficiency and predictability reasons, most form of automatic proof search employ a unification procedure that captures a simpler one, just  $\eta\beta$ , and that solves higher-order problems restricted to the pattern fragment  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$  [9]. We call this unification procedure  $\simeq_o$ .

The equational theory of the meta language Elpi that we want to use to implement a form of proof automation is strikingly similar, since it it comprises  $\eta\beta$  (for the meta language functions), and the unification procedure  $\simeq_{\lambda}$  solves higher-order problems in  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$ .

In spite of the similarity the link between  $\simeq_{\lambda}$  and  $\simeq_{o}$  is not trivial, since the abstraction and application term constructors the two unification procedures deal with are different. For example

One could ignore this similarity, and "just" describe the object language unification procedure in the meta language, that is crafting a unif predicate to be used as follows in rule (r3):

```
decision X :- unif X (all A x\ app[P, x]), finite A,
  pi x\ decision (app[P, x]).
```

This choice would underuse the logic programming engine provided by the metalanguage since by removing any datum from the head of rules indexing degenerates. Moreover the unification procedure built in the meta language is likely to be faster than one implemented in it, especially if the meta language is interpreted as Elpi is.

To state precisely the problem we solve we need a  $\mathcal{F}_0$  representation of DTT terms and a  $\mathcal{H}_0$  one. We call  $=_0$  the equality over ground terms in  $\mathcal{F}_0$ ,  $=_{\lambda}$  the equality over ground terms in  $\mathcal{H}_0$ ,  $\simeq_0$  the unification procedure we want to implement and  $\simeq_{\lambda}$  the one provided by the meta language. TODO extend  $=_0$  and  $=_{\lambda}$  with reflexivity on uvars.

We write  $t_1 \simeq_{\lambda} t_2 \mapsto \sigma$  when  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  unify with substitution  $\sigma$ ; we write  $\sigma t$  for the application of the substitution to t, and  $\sigma X = {\sigma t | t \in X}$  when X is a set; we write  $\sigma \subseteq \sigma'$  when  $\sigma$  is more general than  $\sigma'$ . We assume that the unification of our meta language is correct:

$$t_i \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda} \Rightarrow t_1 \simeq_{\lambda} t_2 \mapsto \rho \Rightarrow \rho t_1 =_{\lambda} \rho t_2$$
 (1)

$$t_i \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda} \Rightarrow \rho t_1 =_{\lambda} \rho t_2 \Rightarrow \exists \rho', t_1 \simeq_{\lambda} t_2 \mapsto \rho' \wedge \rho' \subseteq \rho$$
 (2)

We illustrate a compilation  $\langle s \rangle \mapsto (t, m, l)$  that maps a term s in  $\mathcal{F}_0$  to a term t in  $\mathcal{H}_0$ , a variable mapping m and list of links l. The variable map connects unification variables in  $\mathcal{H}_0$  with variables in  $\mathcal{F}_0$  and is used to "decompile" the assignment,  $\langle \sigma, m, l \rangle^{-1} \mapsto \rho$ . Links represent problematic sub-terms which are linked to the

unification variable that stands in their place in the compiled term. These links are checked for or progress XXX improve....

We represent a logic program run in  $\mathcal{F}_0$  as a list steps p of length  $\mathcal{N}$ . Each made of a unification problem between terms  $\mathcal{S}_{p_l}$  and  $\mathcal{S}_{p_r}$  taken from the set of all terms  $\mathcal{S}$ . The composition of these steps starting from the empty substitution  $\rho_0$  produces the final

substitution  $\rho_N$ . The initial here  $\rho_0$  is the empty substitution

$$\begin{aligned} \text{fstep}(\mathcal{S}, p, \rho) &\mapsto \rho^{\prime\prime} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=\!\!\!=\!\!\!=} \rho \mathcal{S}_{p_l} \simeq_o \rho \mathcal{S}_{p_r} \mapsto \rho^{\prime} \wedge \rho^{\prime\prime} = \rho \cup \rho^{\prime} \\ \text{frun}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{N}) &\mapsto \rho_{\mathcal{N}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=\!\!\!=\!\!\!=} \bigwedge_{n=1}^{\mathcal{N}} \text{fstep}(\mathcal{S}, p, \rho_{p-1}) \mapsto \rho_p \end{aligned}$$

We simulate each run in  $\mathcal{F}_0$  with a run in  $\mathcal{H}_0$  as follows. Note that  $\sigma_0$  is the empty substitution.

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{hstep}(\mathcal{T}, p, \sigma, \mathbb{L}) &\mapsto \sigma'' \stackrel{def}{=} \\ &\sigma \mathcal{T}_{p_{l}} \simeq_{\lambda} \sigma \mathcal{T}_{p_{r}} \mapsto \sigma' \wedge \operatorname{check}(\mathbb{L}, \sigma \cup \sigma') \mapsto \sigma'' \\ \operatorname{hrun}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{N}) &\mapsto \rho_{N} \stackrel{def}{=} \\ &\mathcal{T} \times \mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{L} = \{(t_{j}, m_{j}, l_{j}) | s_{j} \in \mathcal{S}, \langle s_{j} \rangle \mapsto (t_{j}, m_{j}, l_{j})\} \\ &\wedge_{p=1}^{N} \operatorname{hstep}(\mathcal{T}, p, \sigma_{p-1}, \mathbb{L}) \mapsto \sigma_{p} \\ &\langle \sigma_{N}, \mathbb{M}, \mathbb{L} \rangle^{-1} \mapsto \rho_{N} \end{split}$$

Here hstep is made of two sub-steps: a call to  $\simeq_{\lambda}$  (on the compiled terms) and a call to check on the set of links. We claim the following:

Proposition 2.1 (Simulation). 
$$\forall \mathcal{S}, \forall \mathcal{N}$$

$$\operatorname{frun}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{N}) \mapsto \rho_{\mathcal{N}} \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{hrun}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{N}) \mapsto \rho_{\mathcal{N}}$$

That is, the two executions give the same result. Moreover:

Proposition 2.2 (Simulation fidelity). In the context of hrun, if  $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$  we have that  $\forall p \in 1 \dots N$ 

$$fstep(S, p, \rho_{p-1}) \mapsto \rho_p \Leftrightarrow hstep(T, p, \sigma_{p-1}, \mathbb{L}) \mapsto \sigma_p$$

In particular this property guarantees that a *failure* in the  $\mathcal{F}_0$  run is matched by a failure in  $\mathcal{H}_0$  at the same step. We consider this property very important from a practical point of view since it guarantees that the execution traces are strongly related and in turn this enables a user to debug a logic program in  $\mathcal{F}_0$  by looking at its execution trace in  $\mathcal{H}_0$ .

XXX permuting hrun does not change the final result if check dooes not fail eagerly

XXX if we want to apply heuristics, we can apply them in decomp to avoid committing to a non MGU too early

We can define  $s_1 \simeq_o s_2$  by specializing the code of hrun to  $S = \{s_1, s_2\}$  as follows:

$$\begin{split} s_1 &\simeq_{\sigma} s_2 \mapsto \rho \stackrel{def}{=} \\ &\langle s_1 \rangle \mapsto (t_1, m_1, l_1) \wedge \langle s_2 \rangle \mapsto (t_2, m_2, l_2) \\ &t_1 \simeq_{\lambda} t_2 \mapsto \sigma' \wedge \operatorname{check} \left(\{l_1, l_2\}, \sigma'\right) \mapsto \sigma'' \wedge \\ &\langle \sigma'', \{m_1, m_2\}, \{l_1, l_2\} \rangle^{-1} \mapsto \rho \end{split}$$

Proposition 2.3 (Properties of  $\simeq_0$ ).

$$s_i \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda} \Rightarrow s_1 \simeq_o s_2 \mapsto \rho \Rightarrow \rho s_1 =_o \rho s_2$$
 (3)

$$s_i \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda} \Rightarrow \rho s_1 =_{\rho} \rho s_2 \Rightarrow \exists \rho', s_1 \simeq_{\rho} s_2 \mapsto \rho' \land \rho' \subseteq \rho$$
 (4)

$$\rho s_1 =_{\rho} \rho s_2 \Rightarrow \rho' \subseteq \rho \Rightarrow \rho' s_i \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda} \Rightarrow \rho' s_1 \simeq_{\rho} \rho' s_2 \tag{5}$$

Properties 3 and 4 state, respectively, that in  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$  the implementation of  $\simeq_{o}$  is correct, complete and returns the most general unifier.

Property 5 states that  $\simeq_o$ , hence our compilation scheme, is resilient to unification problems outside  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$  solved by a third party. We believe this property is of practical interest since we want the user to be able to add heuristics via hand written rules to the ones obtained by our compilation scheme. A Typical example is the following problem (q) that is outside  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$ :

$$\mathsf{app} \ \texttt{[F, con"a"] = app[con"f", con"a", con"a"]} \qquad \qquad (q)$$

$$F = lam x \land app[con"f",x,x]$$
 (h)

Instead of rejecting it our scheme accepts it and guarantees that if (h) is given (after the compilation part of the scheme, as a run time hint) then ...

#### 2.1 The intuition in a nutshell

A term s is compiled in a term t where every "problematic" sub term p is replaced by a fresh unification variable h and an accessory link that represent a suspended unification problem  $h \simeq_{\lambda} p$ . As a result  $\simeq_{\lambda}$  is "well behaved" on t, that is it does not contradict  $=_{0}$  as it would otherwise do on "problematic" terms. We now define "problematic" and "well behaved" more formally.

*Definition 2.4* (
$$\Diamond \eta$$
).  $\Diamond \eta = \{t \mid \exists \rho, \rho t \text{ is an eta expansion}\}$ 

An example of term t in  $\Diamond \eta$  is  $\lambda x. \lambda y. F$  y x since the substitution  $\rho = \{F \mapsto \lambda a. \lambda b. fba\}$  makes  $\rho t = \lambda x. \lambda y. fxy$  that is the eta long form of f. This term is problematic since its rigid part, the  $\lambda$ -abstractions, cannot justify a unification failure against, say, a constant.

Definition 2.5 
$$(\lozenge \beta)$$
.  $\lozenge \beta = \{Xt_1 \dots t_n \mid Xt_1 \dots t_n \notin \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}\}.$ 

An example of t in  $\Diamond \beta$  is Fa for a constant a. Note however tha an oracle could provide an assignment  $\rho = \{F \mapsto \lambda x.x\}$  that makes the resulting term fall outside of  $\Diamond \beta$ .

*Definition 2.6 (Subterms*  $\mathcal{P}(t)$ ). The set of sub terms of t is the largest set  $\mathcal{P}(\sqcup)$  that can be obtained by the following rules.

$$t \in \mathcal{P}(t)$$
  

$$t = ft_1 \dots t_n \Rightarrow \mathcal{P}(t_i) \subseteq \mathcal{P}(t) \land f \in \mathcal{P}(t)$$
  

$$t = \lambda x.t' \Rightarrow \mathcal{P}(t') \subseteq \mathcal{P}(t)$$

We write  $\mathcal{P}(X) = \bigcup_{t \in X} \mathcal{P}(t)$  when X is a set of terms.

Definition 2.7 (Well behaved set). Given a set of terms  $X \subseteq \mathcal{H}_0$ ,

$$\mathcal{W}(X) \Leftrightarrow \forall t \in \mathcal{P}(X), t \notin (\Diamond \beta \cup \Diamond \eta)$$

Proposition 2.8 (*W*-preservation).  $\forall \mathcal{T}, \forall \mathbb{L}, \forall p, \forall \sigma, \forall \sigma'$ 

$$\mathcal{W}(\sigma\mathcal{T}) \wedge \text{hstep}(\mathcal{T}, p, \sigma, \mathbb{L}) \mapsto \sigma' \Rightarrow \mathcal{W}(\sigma'\mathcal{T})$$

A less formal way to state 2.8 is that hstep never "commits" an unneeded  $\lambda$ -abstraction in  $\sigma$  (a  $\lambda$  that could be erased by an  $\eta$ -contraction), nor puts in  $\sigma$  a flexible application outside  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$  (an application node that could be erased by a  $\beta$ -reduction).

Note that proposition 2.8 does not hold for  $\simeq_o$  since decompilation can introduce (actually restore) terms in  $\diamond \eta$  or  $\diamond \beta$  that were move out of the way (put in  $\mathbb L$ ) during compilation.

 $<sup>^1\</sup>mathrm{If}$  the same rule is used multiple time in a run we just consider as many copies as needed of the terms composing the rules, with fresh unification variables each time

408

409

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

is new

used?

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

457

459

460

461

462

463

464

# ALTERNATIVE ENCODINGS AND RELATED **WORK**

Paper [2] introduces semi-shallow.

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

Our encoding of DTT may look "semi shallow" since we use the meta-language lambda abstraction but not its application (for the terms of type tm). A fully shallow encoding unfortunately does not fit our use case, although it would make the running example work:

```
finite (fin N).
decision (nfact N NF).
decision (all A x \setminus P x) :- finite A, pi x \setminus decision (P x).
```

There are two reasons for dismissing this encoding. The first one is that in DTT it is not always possible to adopt it since the type system of the meta language is too weak to accommodate terms with a variable arity, like the following example:

```
Fixpoint arr T n := if n is S m then T -> arr T m else T.
Definition sum n : arr nat n := ...
Check sum 2 78 : nat.
Check sum 3 7 8 9 : nat.
```

The second reason is the encoding for Coq is used for meta programming the system, hence it must accommodate the manipulation of terms that are now know in advance (not even defined in Coq) without using introspection primitives such as Prologs's functor and arg.

In the literature we could find a few related encoding of DTT. TODO In [4] is related and make the discrepancy between the types of ML and DTT visible. In this case one needs 4 application nodes. Moreover the objective is an encoding of terms, proofs, not proof search. Also note the conv predicate, akin to the unif we rule out.

TODO This other paper [15] should also be cited.

None of the encodings above provide a solution to our problem.

# 4 PRELIMINARIES: $\mathcal{F}_o$ AND $\mathcal{H}_o$

In order to reason about unification we provide a description of the  $\mathcal{F}_o$  and  $\mathcal{H}_o$  languages where unification variables are first class terms, i.e. they have a concrete syntax. We keep these languages minimal, for example, we omit the all quantifier of DTT we used in the example in Section 1 together with the type notation of terms carried by the lam constructor.

```
kind fm type.
                             kind tm type.
type fapp list fm -> fm.
                             type app list tm -> tm.
type flam (fm -> fm) -> fm. type lam (tm -> tm) -> tm.
type fcon string -> fm.
                             type con string -> tm.
type fuva addr -> fm.
                             type uva addr -> list tm -> tm.
```

Figure 1: The  $\mathcal{F}_0$  and  $\mathcal{H}_0$  languages

Unification variables (fuva term constructor) in  $\mathcal{F}_0$  have no explicit scope: the arguments of an higher order variable are given via the fapp constructor. For example the term  $P \times is$  represented as fapp[fuva N, x], where N is a memory address and x is a bound

In  $\mathcal{H}_o$  the representation of P x is instead uva N [x], since unification variables come equipped with an explicit scope. We say that the unification variable occurrence uva N L is in  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$  if and only if

L is made of distinct names. The predicate to test this condition is called pattern-fragment:

```
type pattern-fragment list A -> o.
```

The name builtin predicate tests if a term is a bound variable. <sup>2</sup>

In both languages unification variables are identified by a natural number representing a memory address. The memory and its associated operations are described below:

```
kind addr type.
type addr nat -> addr.
typeabbrev (mem A) (list (option A)).
type set? addr -> mem A -> A -> o.
type unset? addr -> mem A -> o.
type assign addr -> mem A -> A -> mem A -> o.
type new mem A -> addr -> mem A -> o.
```

If a memory cell is none, then the corresponding unification variable is not set. assign sets an unset cell to the given value, while new finds the first unused address and sets it to none.

Since in  $\mathcal{H}_0$  unification variables have a scope, their solution needs to be abstracted over it to enable the instantiation of a single solution to different scopes. This is obtained via the inctx container, and in particular via its abs binding constructor. On the contrary a solution to a  $\mathcal{F}_{o}$  variable is a plain term.

```
typeabbrev fsubst (mem fm).
kind inctx type -> type.
type abs (tm -> inctx A) -> inctx A.
type val A -> inctx A.
typeabbrev assignment (inctx tm).
typeabbrev subst (mem assignment).
```

We call fsubst the memory of  $\mathcal{F}_0$ , while we call subst the one of  $\mathcal{H}_o$ . Both have the invariant that they are not cyclic, TODO explain. Other invariant: the terms in ho\_subst never contains eta and beta expansion

```
kind arity type.
type arity nat -> arity.
kind fvariable type.
type fv addr -> fvariable.
kind hvariable type.
type hv addr -> arity -> hvariable.
kind mapping type.
type mapping fvariable -> hvariable -> mapping.
typeabbrev map (list mapping).
```

INVARIANT 1 (UNIFICATION VARIABLE ARITY). Each variable A in  $\mathcal{H}_0$  has a (unique) arity N and each occurrence (uva A L) is such that (len L N) holds

The compiler establishes a mapping between variables of the two languages. In order to preserve invariant 1 we store the arity of

<sup>2</sup>one could always load name x for every x under a pi and get rid of the name builtin

each hvariable in the mapping and we reuse an existing mapping only if the arity matches.

```
type m-alloc fvariable -> hvariable -> map -> map ->
   subst -> subst -> o.
m-alloc Fv Hv Map Map S S :- mem Map (mapping Fv Hv), !.
m-alloc Fv Hv Map [mapping Fv Hv | Map] S S1 :- Hv = hv N _,
   alloc S N S1.
```

When a single fvariable occurs multiple times with different numbers of arguments the compiler generates multiple mappings for it, on a first approximation, and then makes the mapping bijective by introducing link- $\eta$ ; this detail is discussed in section 6.1.

As we mentioned in section 2.1 the compiler replaces terms in  $\Diamond \beta$  and  $\Diamond \beta$  with fresh variables linked to the problematic terms. Each class of problematic terms has a dedicated link.

```
kind baselink type.
type link-eta tm -> tm -> baselink.
type link-beta tm -> tm -> baselink.
typeabbrev link (inctx baselink).
typeabbrev links (list link).
```

Invariant 2 (Link left hand side of a new link is a flexible term.

The right hand side of a link, the problematic term, can occur under binders. To accommodate this situation the compiler wraps baselink using the inctx container.

#### 4.1 Notational conventions

When we write  $\mathcal{H}_0$  terms outside code blocks we follow the usual  $\lambda$ -calculus notation, reserving f, g, a, b for constants, x, y, z for bound variables and X, Y, Z, F, G, H for unification variables. However we need to distinguish between the "application" of a unification variable to its scope and the application of a term to a list of arguments. We write the scope of unification variables in subscript while we use juxtaposition for regular application. Here a few examples:

```
\begin{array}{lll} f \ a & & \operatorname{app[con "f", \ con "a"]} \\ \lambda x.F_{X} \ a & & \operatorname{lam \ x \setminus app[uva \ F \ [x], \ con "a"]} \\ \lambda x.\lambda y.F_{X} y & & \operatorname{lam \ x \setminus lam \ y \setminus uva \ F \ [x, \ y]} \\ \lambda x.F_{X} \ x & & \operatorname{lam \ x \setminus app[uva \ F \ [x], \ x]} \end{array}
```

When detailing examples we write links as equations between terms under a context. The equality sign is subscripted with kind of baselink. For example  $x \vdash A =_{\beta} F_x$  a corresponds to:

```
abs x\ val (link-beta (uva A []) (app[uva F [x],con "a"]))
```

#### 4.2 Equational theory and Unification

In order to express properties ?? we need to equip  $\mathcal{F}_o$  and  $\mathcal{H}_o$  with term equality, substitution application and unification.

*Term equality:*  $=_0$  *vs.*  $=_{\lambda}$ . We extend the equational theory over ground terms to the full languages by adding the reflexivity of unification variables (a variable is equal to itself).

The first four rules are common to both equalities and correspond to  $\alpha$ -equivalence. In addition to that  $=_0$  has rules for  $\eta$  and  $\beta$ -equivalence.

```
type (=_o) fm -> fm -> o.
                                                                     (=_o)
fcon X =_{o} fcon X.
fapp A =_o fapp B := forall2 (=_o) A B.
flam F =_{o} flam G := pi x \setminus x =_{o} x \Rightarrow F x =_{o} G x.
fuva N =_{o} fuva N.
flam F =_o T :=
                                                                     (\eta_1)
  pi x \land beta T [x] (T' x), x =_o x \Rightarrow F x =_o T' x.
T =_o flam F :=
                                                                     (\eta_r)
  pi x\ beta T [x] (T' x), x =_o x \Rightarrow T' x =_o F x.
fapp [flam X | L] =_o T :- beta (flam X) L R, R =_o T. (\beta_l)
T = 0 fapp [flam X | L] :- beta (flam X) L R, T = 0 R. (\beta_r)
type (=_{\lambda}) tm -> tm -> o.
con C =_{\lambda} fcon C.
app A =_{\lambda} fapp B :- forall2 (=_{\lambda}) A B.
lam F =_{\lambda} flam G := pi x \ x =_{\lambda} x => F x =_{\lambda} G x.
uva N A =_{\lambda} fuva N B :- forall2 (=_{\lambda}) A B.
```

The main point in showing these equality tests is to remark how weaker  $=_{\lambda}$  is, and to identify the four rules that need special treatment in the implementation of  $\simeq_{\lambda}$ .

For reference, (beta T A R) reduces away 1am nodes in head position in T whenever the list A provides a corresponding argument.

```
type beta fm -> list fm -> fm -> o.
beta A [] A.
beta (flam Bo) [H | L] R :- beta (Bo H) L R.
beta (fapp A) L (fapp X) :- append A L X.
beta (fuva N) L (fapp [fuva N | L]).
beta (fcon H) L (fapp [fcon H | L]).
beta N L (fapp [N | L]) :- name N.
```

The name predicate holds only on nominal constants (i.e. bound variables). Elpi provides it as a builtin, but one could implement it by systematically loading the hypothetical rule name  $\ x$  every time a nominal constant is postulated via pi  $\ x \$ .

Substitution application:  $\rho s$  and  $\sigma t$ . Applying the substitution corresponds to dereferencing a term with respect to the memory. To ease the comparison we split  $\mathcal{F}_0$  dereferencing into a fder step and a napp one. The former step replaces references to memory cells that are set with their values, ans has a corresponding operation in  $\mathcal{H}_0$ , namely deref. On the contrary napp, in charge of "flattening" fapp nodes, has no corresponding operation in  $\mathcal{H}_0$ . The reasons for this asymmetry is that an fapp node with a flexible head is always mapped to a uva (as per sections ??), preventing nested applications to materialize.

640

641

661

663

664

665

666

667

670

671

672

673

674

677

678

679

680

681

683

696

```
581
         napp (fcon C) (fcon C).
582
         napp (fuva A) (fuva A).
583
         napp (flam F) (flam F1) :-
           pi x \rightarrow pi x = napp (F x) (F1 x).
585
         napp (fapp [fapp L1 |L2]) T :- !,
           append L1 L2 L3, napp (fapp L3) T.
586
         napp (fapp L) (fapp L1) :- map napp L L1.
587
588
       TODO: about the cut
589
590
         type deref subst -> tm -> tm -> o.
                                                                    (\sigma t)
         deref _ (con C) (con C).
         deref S (app A) (app B) :- map (deref S) A B.
593
         deref S (lam F) (lam G) :-
           pi x \cdot deref S x x \Rightarrow deref S (F x) (G x).
594
595
         deref S (uva N L) R :- set? N S A,
596
           move A L T, deref S T R.
         deref S (uva N A) (uva N B) :- unset? N S,
597
598
           map (deref S) A B.
599
600
         type move assignment -> list tm -> tm -> o.
601
         move (abs Bo) [H|L] R :- move (Bo H) L R.
602
         move (val A) [] A.
603
```

Note that move strongly relies on invariant 1: the length of the arguments of all occurrences of a unification variable and the number of abstractions in its assignment have to match.

*Term unification:*  $\simeq_o vs. \simeq_{\lambda}$ . In this paper we assume to have an implementation of  $\simeq_{\lambda}$  that satisfies properties 1 and 2. Although we provide an implementation in the appendix (that we used for testing purposes) we only describe its signature here. Elpi is expected to provide this brick, as well as any other implementation of  $\lambda$ Prolog.

```
type (\simeq_{\lambda}) tm -> tm -> subst -> o.
```

The only detail worth discussing is the fact that the procedure updates a substitution, rather than just crafting one as presented in section 2. The reason is that the algorithm folds over a term, updating a substitution while it traverses it.

# 5 BASIC SIMULATION OF $\mathcal{F}_o$ IN $\mathcal{H}_o$

In this section we describe a basic compilation scheme that we refine later, in the following sections. This scheme is sufficient to implement an  $\simeq_o$  that respects  $\beta$ -conversion for terms in  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$ . The extension to  $\eta\beta$ -conversion is described in Section 6.1 and the support for terms outside  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$  in Section 6.2.

#### 5.1 Compilation

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611

612

613

614

615

616

explain

better

620

621

622

623

624

625

626

627

628

629

630

631

632

633

634

635

636

637

638

The objective of the compilation is to recognize the higher-order variables available in  $\mathcal{H}_0$  when expressed in a first order way in  $\mathcal{F}_0$ . The compiler also generates a map to bring back the substitution from  $\mathcal{H}_0$  to  $\mathcal{F}_0$ .

```
type m-alloc fvariable -> hvariable -> map -> map ->
   subst -> subst -> o.
m-alloc Fv Hv Map Map S S :- mem Map (mapping Fv Hv), !.
m-alloc Fv Hv Map [mapping Fv Hv | Map] S S1 :- Hv = hv N _,
   alloc S N S1.
```

The signature of the comp predicate below allows for the generation of links (suspended unification problems) that play no role in this section but play a major role in Sections 6.1 and 6.2.

```
type comp fm \rightarrow tm \rightarrow map \rightarrow links \rightarrow links \rightarrow
                                                                      643
  subst -> subst -> o.
                                                                      644
comp (fcon C) (con C)
                              M1 M1 L1 L1 S1 S1.
                                                                      645
comp (flam F) (lam F1)
                              M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2 :-
                                                                      646
  comp-lam F F1 M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2.
                                                                      647
comp (fuva A) (uva B [])
                              M1 M2 L L S S1 :-
  m-alloc (fv A) (hv B (arity z)) M1 M2 S S1.
comp (fapp [fuva A|Ag]) (uva B Ag1) M1 M2 L1 L1 S1 S2 :-
  pattern-fragment Ag, !,
                                                                      651
    fold6 comp Ag Ag1 M1 M1 L1 L1 S1 S1,
                                                                      652
    len Ag Arity,
                                                                      653
    m-alloc (fv A) (hv B (arity Arity)) M1 M2 S1 S2.
                                                                      654
comp (fapp A) (app A1)
                              M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2 :-
                                                                      655
  fold6 comp A A1 M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2.
                                                                      656
```

This preliminary version of comp simply recognizes  $\mathcal{F}_0$  variables applied to a (possibly empty) duplicate free list of names (i.e. pattern-fragment detects variables in  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$ ). Note tha compiling Ag cannot create new mappings nor links, see the comp-lam hyp rule.

```
The auxiliary function close-links
```

type comp-lam (fm -> fm) -> (tm -> tm) ->

```
map -> map -> links -> links -> subst -> o.
comp-lam F F1 M1 M2 L L2 S S1 :-
    pi x y\ (pi M L S\ comp x y M M L L S S) =>
        comp (F x) (F1 y) M1 M2 L (L1 y) S S1,
        close-links L1 L2.

type close-links (tm -> links) -> links -> o.
close-links (_\[] [].
close-links (v\[L|XS v]) [L|YS] :- !, close-links XS YS.
close-links (v\[(L v)|XS v]) [abs L|YS] :- !,
        close-links XS YS.
```

since we want links to bubble up we use the abs constructor of the inctx data type to bind back the variable just crossed, and we do so only if the variable v occurs in L.

# 5.2 Execution

#### 5.3 Decompilation

#### 5.4 Example

OK

```
Terms [ flam x\ fapp[fcon"g",fapp[fuva z, x]]
                                                                        684
                                                                        685
      , flam x\ fapp[fcon"g", fcon"a"] ]
                                                                        686
Problems = [ pr z (s z) ] % \lambda x.g(Fx) = \lambda x.ga
                                                                        687
lam x \sim app[con"g", uva z [x]] \simeq_o lam x \sim app[con"g", con"a"]
link z z (s z)
HS = [some (abs x con"a")]
                                                                        690
S = [some (flam x \land fcon a)]
                                                                        691
                                                                        692
  Terms [ flam x\ fapp[fcon"g",fapp[fuva z, x]]
                                                                        693
  , flam x\ fapp[fcon"g", fcon"a"] ]
                                                                        694
Problems = [ pr 0 1 % A = \lambda x.x
                                                                        695
```

756

760

761

762

763

766

767

768

769

770

771

772

773

774

775

776

777

```
HO unification from object language to meta language
697
                      , pr 2 3 ] % Aa = a
         lam x\ app[con"g",uva z [x]] \simeq_o lam x\ app[con"g", con"a"]
698
699
         link z z (s z)
         HS = [some (abs x con"a")]
701
          S = [some (flam x \setminus fcon a)]
         lam x \approx app[f, app[X, x]] = Y,
702
            lam x \setminus x) = X.
703
704
          TODO: Goal: s_1 \simeq_o s_2 is compiled into t_1 \simeq_{\lambda} t_2
705
       TODO: What is done: uvars fo_uv of OL are replaced into
706
       uvars ho_uv of the ML
       TODO: Each fo_uv is linked to an ho_uv of the OL
708
       TODO: Example needing the compiler v0 (tra l'altro lo scope
709
710
       lam x\ app[con"g",app[uv 0, x]] \simeq_o lam x\ app[con"g", c"a"]
711
       TODO: Links used to instantiate vars of elpi
712
       TODO: After all links, the solution in links are compacted
713
       and given to coq
714
       TODO: It is not so simple, see next sections (multi-vars, eta,
715
       beta)
716
717
          The compilation step is meant to recover the higher-order vari-
718
       ables of the OL, expressed in a first order way, by replacing them
719
       with higher-order variables in the ML. In particular, every time a
720
       variable of the OL is encountered in the original term, it is replaced
721
       with a meta variable, and if the OL variable is applied to a list of
722
       distinct names L, then this list becomes the scope of the variable.
723
       For all the other constructors of tm, the same term constructor is
724
       returned and its arguments are recursively compiled. The predicate
725
       in charge for term compilation is:
726
          type comp tm -> tm -> links -> links -> subst -> subst -> o.
727
       where, we take the term of the OL, produce the term of the ML,
728
729
       and return a new substitution.
730
731
732
       new declared meta-variables.
733
```

take a list of link and produce a list of new links, take a substitution In particular, due to programming constraints, we need to drag

the old subst and return a new one extended, if needed, with the

The following code

```
kind link type.
type link nat -> nat -> nat -> subst.
```

defines a link, which is a relation between to variables indexes, the first being the index of a OL variable and the second being the index of a ML variable. The third integer is the number of term in the scope of the two variables, or equivalently, in a typed language, their arity.

As an example, let's study the following unification problem (a slightly modified version from section 1):

```
lam x\ app[c"decision", app[c"nfact", x, c"3"]] \simeq_o
  lam x\ app [c"decision", app[uv 0, x]]
```

we have the main unification problem where the nested app nodes have lists of different lengths making the unification to fail. The compilation of these terms produces a new unification problem with the following shape:

```
lam x\ app[c"decision", app[c"nfact", x, c"3"]] \simeq_{\lambda}
  lam x\ app [c"decision", uv 1 [x]]
```

The main difference is the replacement of the subterm app[uv 0, x] of the OL with the subterm uv 0 [x]. Variable indexes are chosen by the ML, that is, the index 0 for that unification variable of the OL term has not the sam meaning of the index ∅ in the ML. There exists two different substitution mapping, one for the OL and one for the ML and the indexes of variable point to the respective substitution.

decomp che mappa abs verso lam TODO: An other example: lam x\ app[f, app[X, x]] = Y, (lam x\ x) = X.

#### **6 USE OF MULTIVARS**

Se il termine initziale è della forma

```
app[con"xxx", (lam x\ lam y\ Y y x), (lam x\ f)]
app[con"xxx",X,X]
```

allora se non uso due X diverse non ho modo di recuperare il quoziente che mi manca.

a sto punto consideriamo liste di problemi e così da eliminare sta xxx senza perdità di generalità (e facciamo problemi più corti, e modellizziamo anche la sequenza)

# 6.1 Problems with $\eta$

7

```
comp (flam F) (uva A Scope) M1 M2 L1 L3 S1 S3 :-
  (pi x maybe-eta x (F x) [x]), !,
                                                                        780
    alloc S1 A S2,
                                                                        781
    comp-lam F F1 M1 M2 L1 L2 S2 S3,
                                                                        782
    get-scope (lam F1) Scope,
                                                                        783
    L3 = [@val-link-eta (uva A Scope) (lam F1) | L2].
%% x occurs rigidly in t iff \forall \sigma, \forall t', t' =_{\sigma} \sigma t \Rightarrow x \in \mathcal{P}(t')
                                                                        787
type occurs-rigidly fm \rightarrow fm \rightarrow o.
                                                                        788
occurs-rigidly N N.
                                                                        789
occurs-rigidly _ (fapp [fuva _|_]) :- !, fail.
                                                                        790
occurs-rigidly N (fapp L) :- exists (occurs-rigidly N) L.
occurs-rigidly N (flam B) :- pi x\ occurs-rigidly N (B x).
/* maybe-eta N T L succeeds iff T could be an eta expasions for 7₺,
%% is \exists \sigma, \sigma(\lambda n.t) = \lambda n.t'n and n
                                                                        795
\% does not occur rigidly in t'
                                                                        796
type maybe-eta fm -> fm -> list fm -> o.
                                                                        797
maybe-eta N (fapp[fuva _|Args]) _ :- !,
  exists (x\ maybe-eta-of [] N x) Args, !.
maybe-eta N (flam B) L :- !, pi x\ maybe-eta N (B x) [x | L].
                                                                        800
maybe-eta _ (fapp [fcon _|Args]) L :-
                                                                        801
  split-last-n {len L} Args First Last,
                                                                        802
  forall1 (x\ forall1 (y\ not (occurs-rigidly x y)) First) L,
                                                                        803
  forall2 (maybe-eta-of []) {rev L} Last.
%% is \exists \sigma, \sigma t =_{\sigma} n
                                                                        806
type maybe-eta-of list fm \rightarrow fm \rightarrow o.
                                                                        807
maybe-eta-of \_ N N :- !.
                                                                        808
maybe-eta-of L N (fapp[fuva _|Args]) :- !,
                                                                        809
  forall1 (x\ exists (maybe-eta-of [] x) Args) [N|L].
                                                                        810
maybe-eta-of L N (flam B) :- !,
                                                                        811
                                                                        812
```

integer or nat?

743

744

745

746 747

748

749

750

751

752

753

754

734 735

736

737

```
pi x\ maybe-eta-of [x | L] N (B x).
maybe-eta-of L N (fapp [N|Args]) :-
last-n {len L} Args R,
forall2 (maybe-eta-of []) R {rev L}.

TODO: The following goal necessita v1 (lo scope è usato):
X = lam x\ lam y\ Y y x, X = lam x\ f
TODO: The snd unif pb, we have to unif lam x\ lam y\ Y x y
with lam x\ f
TODO: It is not doable, with the same elpi var
```

Invarianti: A destra della eta abbiamo sempre un termine che comincia per  $\lambda x.bla$ 

## 6.2 Problems with $\beta$

 $\beta$ -reduction problems  $(\Diamond \beta)$  appears any time we deal with a subterm  $t = Xt_1 \dots t_n$ , where X is flexible and the list  $[t_1 \dots t_n]$  in not in  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$ . This unification problem is not solvable without loss of generality, since there is not a most general unifier. If we take back the example given in section 2.1, the unification Fa = a admits two solutions for  $F: \rho_1 = \{F \mapsto \lambda x.x\}$  and  $\rho_2 = \{F \mapsto \lambda_-a\}$ . Despite this, it is possible to work with  $\Diamond \beta$  if an oracle provides a substitution  $\rho$  such that  $\rho t$  falls again in the  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$ .

On the other hand, the  $\simeq_{\lambda}$  is not designed to understand how the  $\beta$ -redexes work in the object language. Therefore, even if we know that F is assigned to  $\lambda x.x. \simeq_{\lambda}$  is not able to unify Fa with a. On the other hand, the problem Fa = G is solvable by  $\simeq_{\lambda}$ , but the final result is that G is assigned to  $(\lambda x.x)a$  which breaks the invariant saying that the substitution of the meta language does not generate terms outide  $\mathcal{W}$  (Property 2.8).

The solution to this problem is to modify the compiler such that any sub-term t considered as a potential  $\beta$ -redex is replaced with a hole h and a new dedicated link, called link- $\beta$ .

```
type link-beta tm -> tm -> link.
```

This link carries two terms, the former representing the variable h for the new created hole and the latter containing the subterm t. As for the link- $\eta$ , we will call h and t respectively the left hand side (lhs) and the right hand side (rhs) of the link- $\beta$ .

In order to build a link- $\beta$ , we need to adapt the compiler so that it can recognize these "problematic" subterms. The following code snippet illustrate such behavior, we suppose the rule to be added just after ??.

A term is  $\diamond \beta$  if it has the shape <code>fapp[fuva A|Ag]</code> and <code>distinct Ag</code> does not hold. In that case, <code>Ag</code> is split in two sublist <code>Pf</code> and <code>Extra</code> such that former is the longest prefix of <code>Ag</code> such that <code>distinct Pf</code> holds. <code>Extra</code> is the list such that append <code>Pf Extra Ag</code>. Next important step is to compile recursively the terms of these lists and allocate a memory adress <code>B</code> from the substitution in order to map the  $\mathcal{F}_o$  variable <code>fuva A</code> to the  $\mathcal{H}_o$  variable <code>uva B</code>. The <code>link-\beta</code> to return in the end is given by the term <code>Beta = app[uva B Scope1 | Extra1]</code> constituting the <code>rhs</code>, and a fresh variable <code>C</code> having in <code>scope</code> all the free variables occurring in <code>Beta</code> (this is <code>lhs</code>). We point out that the <code>rhs</code> is intentionally built as an <code>uva</code> where <code>Extra1</code> are not in <code>scope</code>, since by invariant, we want all the variables appearing in  $\mathcal{H}_o$  to be in  $\mathcal{L}_\lambda$ .

One created, there exist two main situations waking up a suspended link- $\beta$ . The former is strictly connected to the definition of  $\beta$ -redex and occurs when the head of rhs is materialized by the oracle (see eq. (5)). In this case rhs is safely  $\beta$ -reduced to a new term t' and the result can be unified with lhs. In this scenario the link- $\beta$  has accomplished its goal and can be removed from  $\mathbb{L}$ .

The second circumstance making the link- $\beta$  to progress is the instantiation of the variables in the Extra1 making the corresponding arguments to reduce to names. In this case, we want to take the list Scope1 and append to it the largest prefix of Extra1 in a new variable Scope2 such that Scope2 remains in  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$ ; we call Extra2 the suffix of Extra1 such that the concatenation of Scope1 and Extra1 is the same as the concatenation of Scope2 and Extra2.

An example justifying this last link manipulation is given by the following unification problem:

```
f = flam x\ fapp[F, (X x), a] % f = \lambda x.F(Xx)a
```

under the substitution  $\rho = \{X \mapsto \lambda x.x\}$ .

The links generated from this unification problem are:

$$X \mapsto X1^1; F \mapsto X2^0(\text{Themap})$$
 (6)

$$+ X0 =_{\eta} \lambda x. X3_{\chi} \tag{7}$$

$$x \vdash X3_x =_{\beta} X2 X1_x \ a \tag{8}$$

where the first link is a link- $\eta$  between the variable X0, representing the right side of the unification problem (it is a  $\Diamond \eta$ ) and X3; and a link- $\beta$  between the variable X3 and the subterm  $\lambda x.X1_x$  a (it is a  $\Diamond \beta$ ). The substitution tells that  $x \vdash X1_x = x$ .

We can now represent the hrun execution from this configuration which will, at first, dereference all the links, and then try to solve them. The only link being modified is the second one, which is set to  $x \vdash X3 =_{\beta} X2xa$ . The rhs of the link has now a variable which is partially in the PF, we can therefore remove the original link- $\beta$  and replace it with the following couple on links:

explain why

904
A 905
bit to 906
fast, 908
we 909
first compile, 11 912

then the manip... 

```
\vdash X1 =\eta= x\ `X4 x'
x \vdash X3 x =\beta= x\ `X4 x' a
```

By these links we say that X1 is now  $\eta$ -linked to a fresh variable X4 with arity one. This new variable is used in the new link- $\beta$  where the name x is in its scope. This allows

# 6.3 Tricky examples

```
triple ok (@lam x\ @app[@f, @app[@X, x]]) @Y,
triple ok @X (@lam x\ x),
triple ok @Y @f

% @okl 22 [
%    triple ok (@lam x\ @lam y\ @app[@Y, y, x]) @X,
%    triple ok (@lam x\ @f) @X,
% ].
```

#### 7 FIRST ORDER APPROXIMATION

**TODO:** Coq can solve this: f 1 2 = X 2, by setting X to f 1 **TODO:** We can re-use part of the algo for  $\beta$  given before

#### 8 UNIF ENCODING IN REAL LIFE

TODO: Il ML presentato qui è esattamente elpi TODO: Il OL presentato qui è esattamente coq TODO: Come implementatiamo tutto ciò nel solver

#### 9 RESULTS: STDPP AND TLC

TODO: How may rule are we solving?
TODO: Can we do some perf test

#### 10 CONCLUSION

#### **REFERENCES**

- [1] Arthur Charguéraud. "The Optimal Fixed Point Combinator". In: *Interactive Theorem Proving*. Ed. by Matt Kaufmann and Lawrence C. Paulson. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2010, pp. 195–210. ISBN: 978-3-642-14052-5.
- [2] Cvetan Dunchev, Claudio Sacerdoti Coen, and Enrico Tassi. "Implementing HOL in an Higher Order Logic Programming Language". In: Proceedings of the Eleventh Workshop on Logical Frameworks and Meta-Languages: Theory and Practice. LFMTP '16. Porto, Portugal: Association for Computing Machinery, 2016. ISBN: 9781450347778. DOI: 10.1145/2966268. 2966272. URL: https://doi.org/10.1145/2966268.2966272.
- [3] Cvetan Dunchev et al. "ELPI: Fast, Embeddable, λProlog Interpreter". In: Logic for Programming, Artificial Intelligence, and Reasoning 20th International Conference, LPAR-20 2015, Suva, Fiji, November 24-28, 2015, Proceedings. Ed. by Martin Davis et al. Vol. 9450. 2015, pp. 460–468. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-662-48899-7\\_32. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-48899-7%5C\_32.
- [4] Amy Felty. "Encoding the Calculus of Constructions in a Higher-Order Logic". In: ed. by M. Vardi. IEEE, June 1993, pp. 233–244. DOI: 10.1109/LICS.1993.287584.

- [5] Amy Felty and Dale Miller. "Specifying theorem provers in a higher-order logic programming language". In: Ninth International Conference on Automated Deduction. Ed. by Ewing Lusk and Ross Overbeck. 310. Argonne, IL: Springer, May 1988, pp. 61–80. DOI: 10.1007/BFb0012823.
- [6] Davide Fissore and Enrico Tassi. "A new Type-Class solver for Coq in Elpi". In: *The Coq Workshop 2023*. Bialystok, Poland, July 2023. URL: https://inria.hal.science/hal-04467855.
- [7] Benjamin Grégoire, Jean-Christophe Léchenet, and Enrico Tassi. "Practical and sound equality tests, automatically Deriving eqType instances for Jasmin's data types with Coq-Elpi". In: CPP '23: 12th ACM SIGPLAN International Conference on Certified Programs and Proofs. CPP 2023: Proceedings of the 12th ACM SIGPLAN International Conference on Certified Programs and Proofs. Boston MA USA, France: ACM, Jan. 2023, pp. 167–181. DOI: 10.1145/3573105.3575683. URL: https://inria.hal.science/hal-03800154.
- [8] RALF JUNG et al. "Iris from the ground up: A modular foundation for higher-order concurrent separation logic". In: Journal of Functional Programming 28 (2018), e20. DOI: 10.1017/S0956796818000151.
- [9] Dale Miller. "Unification under a mixed prefix". In: Journal of Symbolic Computation 14.4 (1992), pp. 321–358. DOI: 10. 1016/0747-7171(92)90011-R.
- [10] Dale Miller and Gopalan Nadathur. Programming with Higher-Order Logic. Cambridge University Press, 2012. DOI: 10.1017/ CBO9781139021326.
- [11] Tobias Nipkow, Lawrence C. Paulson, and Markus Wenzel. Isabelle/HOL A Proof Assistant for Higher-Order Logic. Vol. 2283. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer, 2002. ISBN: 3-540-43376-7.
- [12] Lawrence C. Paulson. "Set theory for verification. I: from foundations to functions". In: J. Autom. Reason. 11.3 (Dec. 1993), pp. 353–389. ISSN: 0168-7433. DOI: 10.1007/BF00881873. URL: https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00881873.
- [13] F. Pfening. "Elf: a language for logic definition and verified metaprogramming". In: *Proceedings of the Fourth Annual Symposium on Logic in Computer Science*. Pacific Grove, California, USA: IEEE Press, 1989, pp. 313–322. ISBN: 0818619546.
- [14] Frank Pfenning and Carsten Schürmann. "System Description: Twelf A Meta-Logical Framework for Deductive Systems". In: *Automated Deduction CADE-16*. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1999, pp. 202–206. ISBN: 978-3-540-48660-2.
- [15] Colin Rothgang, Florian Rabe, and Christoph Benzmüller. "Theorem Proving in Dependently-Typed Higher-Order Logic". In: Automated Deduction – CADE 29. Ed. by Brigitte Pientka and Cesare Tinelli. Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland, 2023, pp. 438–455. ISBN: 978-3-031-38499-8.
- [16] Enrico Tassi. "Deriving proved equality tests in Coq-elpi: Stronger induction principles for containers in Coq". In: *ITP 2019 10th International Conference on Interactive Theorem Proving.* Portland, United States, Sept. 2019. Doi: 10.4230/LIPIcs.CVIT.2016.23. URL: https://inria.hal.science/hal-01897468.
- [17] Enrico Tassi. "Elpi: an extension language for Coq (Metaprogramming Coq in the Elpi λProlog dialect)". In: The Fourth

- International Workshop on Coq for Programming Languages. Los Angeles (CA), United States, Jan. 2018. URL: https://inria.hal.science/hal-01637063.
- [18] The Coq Development Team. *The Coq Reference Manual Release 8.18.0.* https://coq.inria.fr/doc/V8.18.0/refman. 2023.
- [19] P. Wadler and S. Blott. "How to Make Ad-Hoc Polymorphism Less Ad Hoc". In: *Proceedings of the 16th ACM SIGPLAN-SIGACT Symposium on Principles of Programming Languages.* POPL '89. Austin, Texas, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, 1989, pp. 60–76. ISBN: 0897912942. DOI: 10.1145/75277.75283. URL: https://doi.org/10.1145/75277.75283.
- [20] Makarius Wenzel, Lawrence C. Paulson, and Tobias Nipkow. "The Isabelle Framework". In: *Theorem Proving in Higher Order Logics*. Ed. by Otmane Ait Mohamed, César Muñoz, and Sofiène Tahar. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2008, pp. 33–38. ISBN: 978-3-540-71067-7.

#### APPENDIX

1161

1162

1163

1164 1165

1166

1167

1168

1169

1170

1171

1172

1173

1174

1175

1176

1177

1178

1179

1180

1181

1182

1183

1185

1186

1187

1188

1189

1190

1191

1192

1193

1194

1195

1200

1201

1202

1203

1204 1205

1206

1207

1208

1209

1210 1211

1212

1213

1214

1215

1216

1217

1218

This appendix contains the entire code described in this paper. The code can also be accessed at the URL: https://github.com/FissoreD/paper-ho

Note that (a infix b) c d de-sugars to (infix) a b c d. Explain builtin name (can be implemented by loading name after each pi)

#### 11 THE MEMORY

```
kind addr type.
type addr nat -> addr.
typeabbrev (mem A) (list (option A)).
type set? addr -> mem A -> A -> o.
set? (addr A) Mem Val :- get A Mem Val.
type unset? addr -> mem A -> o.
unset? Addr Mem :- not (set? Addr Mem _).
type assign-aux nat -> mem A -> A -> mem A -> o.
assign-aux z (none :: L) Y (some Y :: L).
assign-aux (s N) (X :: L) Y (X :: L1) :- assign-aux N L Y L1.
type assign addr -> mem A -> A -> mem A -> o.
assign (addr A) Mem1 Val Mem2 :- assign-aux A Mem1 Val Mem2.
type get nat -> mem A -> A -> o.
get z (some Y :: _) Y.
get (s N) (_ :: L) X :- get N L X.
type alloc-aux nat -> mem A -> mem A -> o.
alloc-aux z [] [none] :- !.
alloc-aux z L L.
alloc-aux (s N) [] [none | M] :- alloc-aux N [] M.
alloc-aux (s N) [X | L] [X | M] :- alloc-aux N L M.
type alloc addr -> mem A -> mem A -> o.
alloc (addr A as Ad) Mem1 Mem2 :- unset? Ad Mem1,
 alloc-aux A Mem1 Mem2.
type new-aux mem A -> nat -> mem A -> o.
new-aux [] z [none].
new-aux [A | As] (s N) [A | Bs] :- new-aux As N Bs.
type new mem A -> addr -> mem A -> o.
new Mem1 (addr Ad) Mem2 :- new-aux Mem1 Ad Mem2.
```

# 12 THE OBJECT LANGUAGE

```
kind fm type.
type fapp list fm -> fm.
type flam (fm -> fm) -> fm.
type fcon string -> fm.
type fuva addr -> fm.

typeabbrev fsubst (mem fm).
```

```
typeabbrev subst fsubst.
                                                                           1219
                                                                           1220
                                                                          1221
type fder subst -> fm -> o.
fder _ (fcon C) (fcon C).
fder S (fapp A) (fapp B) :- map (fder S) A B.
fder S (flam F) (flam G) :-
                                                                           1224
  pi x \land fder S x x \Rightarrow fder S (F x) (G x).
                                                                           1225
fder S (fuva N) R :- set? N S T, fder S T R.
                                                                           1226
fder S (fuva N) (fuva N) :- unset? N S.
                                                                           1227
type fderef subst -> fm -> o.
                                                              (\rho s)
fderef S T T2: - fder S T T1, napp T1 T2.
                                                                           1231
type napp fm \rightarrow fm \rightarrow o.
                                                                           1232
napp (fcon C) (fcon C).
                                                                           1233
napp (fuva A) (fuva A).
                                                                           1234
napp (flam F) (flam F1) :-
                                                                           1235
  pi x \rightarrow pi x = napp (F x) (F1 x).
                                                                           1236
napp (fapp [fapp L1 |L2]) T :- !,
                                                                           1237
  append L1 L2 L3, napp (fapp L3) T.
                                                                           1238
napp (fapp L) (fapp L1) :- map napp L L1.
                                                                           1239
                                                                           1240
type (=_{o}) fm -> fm -> o.
                                                               (=_o)
                                                                           1241
fcon X =_{o} fcon X.
                                                                           1242
fapp A =_o fapp B := forall2 (=_o) A B.
                                                                           1244
\mathsf{flam}\;\mathsf{F} =_o \;\mathsf{flam}\;\mathsf{G}\;\text{:-}\;\mathsf{pi}\;\mathsf{x}\backslash\;\mathsf{x} =_o \;\mathsf{x}\;\text{=-}\;\mathsf{F}\;\mathsf{x} =_o \;\mathsf{G}\;\mathsf{x}.
fuva N =_o fuva N.
                                                                           1245
flam F =_o T :=
                                                               (\eta_l)
                                                                           1246
  pi x\ beta T [x] (T' x), x =_o x \Rightarrow F x =_o T' x.
                                                                           1247
T =_{\alpha} flam F :=
                                                               (\eta_r)
                                                                           1248
  pi x\ beta T [x] (T' x), x =_0 x \Rightarrow T' x =_0 F x.
fapp [flam X | L] = T: beta (flam X) L R, R = T. (\beta_l)
                                                                           1250
T =_o fapp [flam X | L] :- beta (flam X) L R, T =_o R. (\beta_r)
                                                                           1251
                                                                           1252
type extend-subst fm -> subst -> o.
                                                                           1253
extend-subst (fuva N) S S' :- mem.alloc N S S'.
                                                                           1254
extend-subst (flam F) S S' :-
  pi x\ (pi S\extend-subst x S S) => extend-subst (F x) S S'.
extend-subst (fcon _) S S.
extend-subst (fapp L) S S1 :- fold extend-subst L S S1.
                                                                           1258
                                                                           1259
type beta fm -> list fm -> fm -> o.
                                                                           1260
beta A [] A.
                                                                           1261
beta (flam Bo) [H | L] R :- beta (Bo H) L R.
beta (fapp A) L (fapp X) :- append A L X.
                                                                           1263
beta (fuva N) L (fapp [fuva N | L]).
                                                                           1264
beta (fcon H) L (fapp [fcon H | L]).
                                                                           1265
beta N L (fapp [N | L]) :- name N.
                                                                           1266
                                                                           1267
type mk-app fm \rightarrow list <math>fm \rightarrow fm \rightarrow o.
mk-app T L S :- beta T L S.
type eta-contract fm -> fm -> o.
                                                                           1271
eta-contract (fcon X) (fcon X).
                                                                           1272
eta-contract (fapp L) (fapp L1) :- map eta-contract L L1.
eta-contract (flam F) T := eta-contract-aux [] (flam F) T.
                                                                           1274
eta-contract (flam F) (flam F1) :-
                                                                           1275
                                                                           1276
```

```
pi x \le eta-contract x x \Rightarrow eta-contract (F x) (F1 x).
1277
                                                                                                                                                        1335
                                                                                   type prune-same-variable addr -> list tm -> list tm ->
1278
         eta-contract (fuva X) (fuva X).
                                                                                                                                                        1336
1279
         eta-contract X X :- name X.
                                                                                                               list tm -> assignment -> o.
                                                                                                                                                        1337
                                                                                   prune-same-variable N [] [] ACC (val (uva N Args)) :-
1281
         type eta-contract-aux list fm -> fm -> o.
                                                                                     rev ACC Args.
                                                                                                                                                        1339
         eta-contract-aux L (flam F) T :-
                                                                                   prune-same-variable N [XIXS] [XIYS] ACC (abs F) :-
                                                                                                                                                        1340
1282
           pi x\ eta-contract-aux [x|L] (F x) T. % also checks H Prefix does poitx3eprune-same-variable N XS YS [x|ACC] (F x).
                                                                                                                                                        1341
1283
         eta-contract-aux L (fapp [H|Args]) T :-
                                                                                   prune-same-variable N [_|XS] [_|YS] ACC (abs F) :-
                                                                                                                                                        1342
1284
1285
           rev L LRev, append Prefix LRev Args,
                                                                                     pi x\ prune-same-variable N XS YS ACC (F x).
                                                                                                                                                        1343
            if (Prefix = []) (T = H) (T = fapp [H|Prefix]).
                                                                                                                                                        1344
                                                                                   type permute list nat -> list tm -> list tm -> o.
                                                                                                                                                        1345
                                                                                   permute [] _ [].
       13 THE META LANGUAGE
                                                                                   permute [P|PS] Args [T|TS] :-
1289
                                                                                                                                                        1347
         kind inctx type -> type.
                                                                                     nth P Args T,
1290
                                                                                                                                                        1348
1291
         type abs (tm -> inctx A) -> inctx A.
                                                                                     permute PS Args TS.
                                                                                                                                                        1349
1292
         type val A -> inctx A.
                                                                                                                                                        1350
         typeabbrev assignment (inctx tm).
                                                                                   type build-perm-assign addr -> list tm -> list bool ->
1293
                                                                                                                                                        1351
1294
         typeabbrev subst (mem assignment).
                                                                                                        list nat -> assignment -> o.
                                                                                   build-perm-assign N ArgsR [] Perm (val (uva N PermutedArgs)) :-1353
1295
         kind tm type.
                                                                                     rev ArgsR Args, permute Perm Args PermutedArgs.
1296
                                                                                                                                                        1354
         type app list tm -> tm.
                                                                                   build-perm-assign N Acc [tt|L] Perm (abs T) :-
1297
                                                                                                                                                        1355
1298
          type lam (tm -> tm) -> tm.
                                                                                     pi x\ build-perm-assign N [x|Acc] L Perm (T x).
                                                                                                                                                        1356
          type con string -> tm.
                                                                                   build-perm-assign N Acc [ff|L] Perm (abs T) :-
                                                                                                                                                        1357
         type uva addr -> list tm -> tm.
                                                                                     pi x\ build-perm-assign N Acc L Perm (T x).
                                                                                                                                                        1358
         type (\simeq_{\lambda}) tm -> tm -> subst -> subst -> o.
                                                                                   type keep list A -> A -> bool -> o.
                                                                                                                                                        1360
1302
                                                                                  keep L A tt :- mem L A, !.
                                                                                                                                                        1361
1303
         (con C \simeq_{\lambda} con C) S S.
1304
          (app L1 \simeq_{\lambda} app L2) S S1 :- fold2 (\simeq_{\lambda}) L1 L2 S S1.
                                                                                  keep _ _ ff.
                                                                                                                                                        1362
1305
          (lam F1 \simeq_{\lambda} lam F2) S S1 :-
                                                                                                                                                        1363
           pi x\ (pi S\ (x \simeq_{\lambda} x) S S) => (F1 x \simeq_{\lambda} F2 x) S S1.
                                                                                   type prune-diff-variables addr -> list tm -> list tm ->
1306
                                                                                                               assignment -> assignment -> o.
1307
          (uva N Args \simeq_{\lambda} T) S S1 :-
           set? N S F,!, move F Args T1, (T1 \simeq_{\lambda} T) S S1.
                                                                                  prune-diff-variables N Args1 Args2 Ass1 Ass2 :-
1308
                                                                                                                                                        1366
         (T \simeq_{\lambda} uva \ N \ Args) \ S \ S1 :-
                                                                                                                                                        1367
1309
                                                                                     map (keep Args2) Args1 Bits1,
           set? N S F,!, move F Args T1, (T \simeq_{\lambda} T1) S S1.
                                                                                     map (keep Args1) Args2 Bits2,
                                                                                                                                                        1368
1310
1311
          (uva M A1 \simeq_{\lambda} uva N A2) S1 S2 :- !,
                                                                                     filter Args1 (mem Args2) ToKeep1,
                                                                                                                                                        1369
1312
           pattern-fragment A1, pattern-fragment A2,
                                                                                     filter Args2 (mem Args1) ToKeep2,
                                                                                                                                                        1370
            prune! M A1 N A2 S1 S2.
                                                                                     map (index ToKeep1) ToKeep1 IdPerm,
                                                                                                                                                        1371
1314
          (uva N Args \simeq_{\lambda} T) S S1 :- not_occ N S T, pattern-fragment Args,
                                                                                     map (index ToKeep1) ToKeep2 Perm21,
1315
           bind T Args T1, assign N S T1 S1.
                                                                                     build-perm-assign N [] Bits1 IdPerm Ass1,
                                                                                                                                                        1373
         (T \simeq_{\lambda} \text{ uva N Args}) \text{ S S1 :- not_occ N S T, pattern-fragment Args,}
                                                                                     build-perm-assign N [] Bits2 Perm21 Ass2.
                                                                                                                                                        1374
1316
1317
           bind T Args T1, assign N S T1 S1.
                                                                                                                                                        1375
1318
                                                                                   type beta tm -> list tm -> tm -> o.
                                                                                                                                                        1376
          type prune! addr -> list tm -> addr ->
                                                                                   beta A [] A.
                                                                                                                                                        1377
1319
                      list tm -> subst -> subst -> o.
                                                                                   beta (lam Bo) [H | L] R :- beta (Bo H) L R.
1320
                                                                                                                                                        1378
1321
         /* no pruning needed */
                                                                                  beta (app A) L (app X) :- append A L X.
                                                                                                                                                        1379
         prune! N A N A S S :- !.
                                                                                  beta (con H) L (app [con H | L]).
                                                                                                                                                        1380
1322
1323
         prune! M A N A S1 S2 :- !, bind (uva M A) A Ass,
                                                                                  beta X L (app[X|L]) := name X.
                                                                                                                                                        1381
1324
           assign N S1 Ass S2.
                                                                                                                                                        1382
                                                                                                                                                        1383
1325
          /* prune different arguments */
                                                                                   /* occur check for N before crossing a functor */
                                                                                   type not_occ addr -> subst -> tm -> o.
         prune! N A1 N A2 S1 S3 :- !,
                                                                                                                                                        1384
           new S1 W S2, prune-same-variable W A1 A2 [] Ass,
                                                                                   not_occ N S (uva M Args) :- set? M S F,
           assign N S2 Ass S3.
                                                                                     move F Args T, not_occ N S T.
                                                                                  not_occ N S (uva M Args) :- unset? M S, not (M = N),
         /* prune to the intersection of scopes */
                                                                                                                                                        1387
1329
         prune! N A1 M A2 S1 S4 :- !,
1330
                                                                                     forall1 (not_occ_aux N S) Args.
                                                                                                                                                        1388
1331
           new S1 W S2, prune-diff-variables W A1 A2 Ass1 Ass2,
                                                                                   not_occ _ _ (con _).
                                                                                                                                                        1389
                                                                                                                                                        1390
           assign N S2 Ass1 S3,
                                                                                   not_occ N S (app L) :- not_occ_aux N S (app L).
1332
            assign M S3 Ass2 S4.
1333
                                                                                   /* Note: lam is a functor for the meta language! */
                                                                                                                                                        1391
                                                                                                                                                        1392
                                                                            12
```

```
1393
         not\_occ\ N\ S\ (lam\ L)\ :-\ pi\ x\ not\_occ\_aux\ N\ S\ (L\ x).
                                                                                                                                                         1451
1394
         not_occ _ _ X :- name X.
                                                                                   kind mapping type.
                                                                                                                                                         1452
1395
          /* finding N is ok */
                                                                                   type mapping fvariable -> hvariable -> mapping.
                                                                                                                                                         1453
          not_occ N _ (uva N _).
                                                                                   typeabbrev map (list mapping).
                                                                                                                                                         1454
1397
                                                                                                                                                         1455
          /* occur check for X after crossing a functor */
                                                                                                                                                         1456
1398
                                                                                   typeabbrev scope (list tm).
          type not_occ_aux addr -> subst -> tm -> o.
                                                                                   typeabbrev inctx ho.inctx.
                                                                                                                                                         1457
1399
         not\_occ\_aux N S (uva M \_) := unset? M S, not (N = M).
                                                                                   kind baselink type.
                                                                                                                                                         1458
1400
1401
         not_occ_aux N S (uva M Args) :- set? M S F,
                                                                                   type link-eta tm -> tm -> baselink.
                                                                                                                                                         1459
           move F Args T, not_occ_aux N S T.
                                                                                   type link-beta tm -> tm -> baselink.
                                                                                                                                                         1460
         not_occ_aux N S (app L) :- forall1 (not_occ_aux N S) L.
                                                                                   typeabbrev link (inctx baselink).
         not_occ_aux N S (lam F) :- pi x\ not_occ_aux N S (F x).
                                                                                   typeabbrev links (list link).
         not_occ_aux _ _ (con _).
1405
                                                                                                                                                         1463
                                                                                   macro @val-link-eta T1 T2 :- ho.val (link-eta T1 T2).
         not\_occ\_aux \_ \_ X := name X.
1406
                                                                                                                                                         1464
                                                                                   macro @val-link-beta T1 T2 :- ho.val (link-beta T1 T2).
1407
          /* finding N is ko, hence no rule */
                                                                                                                                                         1465
1408
                                                                                                                                                         1466
          /* copy T T' vails if T contains a free variable, i.e. it
1409
                                                                                                                                                         1467
1410
             performs scope checking for bind */
                                                                                                                                                         1468
          type copy tm \rightarrow tm \rightarrow o.
                                                                                   \% x occurs rigidly in t iff \forall \sigma, \forall t', t' =_{\sigma} \sigma t \Rightarrow x \in \mathcal{P}(t')
                                                                                                                                                         1469
1411
          copy (con C)
                                                                                   %%
                                                                                                                                                         1470
1412
                         (con C).
                         (app L') :- map copy L L'.
                                                                                   type occurs-rigidly fm -> fm -> o.
                                                                                                                                                         1471
1413
          copy (app L)
1414
          copy (lam T)
                         (lam T') :- pi x copy x x \Rightarrow copy (T x) (T' x).
                                                                                   occurs-rigidly N N.
                                                                                                                                                         1472
                                                                                   occurs-rigidly _ (fapp [fuva _|_]) :- !, fail.
1415
          copy (uva A L) (uva A L') :- map copy L L'.
                                                                                                                                                         1473
                                                                                   occurs-rigidly N (fapp L) :- exists (occurs-rigidly N) L.
                                                                                                                                                         1474
          type bind tm -> list tm -> assignment -> o.
                                                                                   occurs-rigidly N (flam B) :- pi x\ occurs-rigidly N (B x).
         bind T [] (val T') :- copy T T'.
1418
         bind T [X | TL] (abs T') :- pi x \land copy X x \Rightarrow bind T TL (T' x).
                                                                                   /* maybe-eta N T L succeeds iff T could be an eta expasions for¹₦७७ that
1419
1420
                                                                                   %% is \exists \sigma, \sigma(\lambda n.t) = \lambda n.t'n and n
                                                                                                                                                         1478
1421
          type deref subst -> tm -> tm -> o.
                                                                    (\sigma t)
                                                                                   \%\% does not occur rigidly in t'
                                                                                                                                                         1479
          deref _ (con C) (con C).
                                                                                   type maybe-eta fm -> fm -> list fm -> o.
1422
                                                                                                                                                         1480
          deref S (app A) (app B) :- map (deref S) A B.
1423
                                                                                   maybe-eta N (fapp[fuva _|Args]) _ :- !,
         deref S (lam F) (lam G) :-
                                                                                     exists (x\ maybe-eta-of [] N x) Args, !.
1424
           pi x \cdot deref S x x \Rightarrow deref S (F x) (G x).
                                                                                   maybe-eta N (flam B) L :- !, pi \times maybe-eta N (B \times L) [x \mid L].
1425
                                                                                                                                                        1483
          deref S (uva N L) R :- set? N S A,
                                                                                   maybe-eta _ (fapp [fcon _|Args]) L :-
                                                                                                                                                         1484
1426
1427
           move A L T, deref S T R.
                                                                                     split-last-n {len L} Args First Last,
                                                                                                                                                         1485
          deref S (uva N A) (uva N B) :- unset? N S,
                                                                                     forall1 (x\ forall1 (y\ not (occurs-rigidly x y)) First) L,
                                                                                                                                                         1486
           map (deref S) A B.
                                                                                     forall2 (maybe-eta-of []) {rev L} Last.
          type move assignment -> list tm -> tm -> o.
                                                                                   %% is \exists \sigma, \sigma t =_{\Omega} n
                                                                                   type maybe-eta-of list fm -> fm -> o.
         move (abs Bo) [H|L] R :- move (Bo H) L R.
                                                                                                                                                         1490
1432
1433
         move (val A) [] A.
                                                                                   maybe-eta-of _ N N :- !.
                                                                                                                                                         1491
1434
                                                                                   maybe-eta-of L N (fapp[fuva _|Args]) :- !,
                                                                                                                                                         1492
                                                                                     forall1 (x\ exists (maybe-eta-of [] x) Args) [N|L].
                                                                                                                                                         1493
1435
          type deref-assmt subst -> assignment -> o.
1436
                                                                                   maybe-eta-of L N (flam B) :- !,
                                                                                                                                                         1494
1437
         deref-assmt S (abs T) (abs R) :- pi x \cdot deref-assmt S (T x) (R x).
                                                                                     pi x\ maybe-eta-of [x | L] N (B x).
                                                                                                                                                         1495
1438
          deref-assmt S (val T) (val R) :- deref S T R.
                                                                                   maybe-eta-of L N (fapp [N|Args]) :-
                                                                                                                                                         1496
1439
                                                                                     last-n {len L} Args R,
                                                                                                                                                         1497
1440
                                                                                     forall2 (maybe-eta-of []) R {rev L}.
                                                                                                                                                         1498
       14 THE COMPILER
1441
                                                                                                                                                         1499
         kind arity type.
1442
                                                                                                                                                         1500
1443
          type arity nat -> arity.
                                                                                   type locally-bound tm -> o.
                                                                                   type get-scope-aux tm -> list tm -> o.
1444
          kind fyariable type.
1445
                                                                                   get-scope-aux (con _) [].
                                                                                                                                                         1503
          type fv addr -> fvariable.
1446
                                                                                   get-scope-aux (uva _ L) L1 :-
                                                                                                                                                         1504
1447
                                                                                     forall2 get-scope-aux L R,
                                                                                                                                                         1505
          kind hvariable type.
                                                                                     flatten R L1.
                                                                                                                                                         1506
1448
          type hv addr -> arity -> hvariable.
1449
                                                                                   get-scope-aux (lam B) L1 :-
                                                                                                                                                         1507
1450
                                                                                                                                                         1508
                                                                            13
```

```
pi x \setminus locally-bound x \Rightarrow get-scope-aux (B x) L1.
1509
         get-scope-aux (app L) L1 :-
1510
1511
           forall2 get-scope-aux L R,
           flatten R L1.
1513
         get-scope-aux X [X] :- name X, not (locally-bound X).
         get-scope-aux X [] :- name X, (locally-bound X).
1514
1515
         %% TODO: scrivere undup
                                                                                   map -> map ->
1516
1517
         type get-scope tm -> list tm -> o.
                                                                                   links -> links ->
1518
         get-scope T Scope :-
           get-scope-aux T ScopeDuplicata,
           names N, filter N (mem ScopeDuplicata) Scope.
1520
         type rigid fm -> o.
1521
         rigid X := not (X = fuva_).
1522
1523
                                                                                 type compile-terms
1524
         type comp-lam (fm \rightarrow fm) \rightarrow (tm \rightarrow tm) \rightarrow
           map -> map -> links -> links -> subst -> o.
1525
1526
         comp-lam F F1 M1 M2 L L2 S S1 :-
1527
           pi x y\ (pi M L S\ comp x y M M L L S S) =>
1528
             comp (F x) (F1 y) M1 M2 L (L1 y) S S1,
           close-links L1 L2.
1529
1530
1531
         type close-links (tm -> links) -> links -> o.
         close-links (_\[]) [].
         close-links (v\setminus[L|XS\ v]) [L|YS] :- !, close-links XS YS.
1534
         close-links (v\setminus[(L\ v)\mid XS\ v]) [abs L|YS] :-!,
           close-links XS YS.
1535
1536
         type comp fm -> tm -> map -> map -> links -> links ->
1537
           subst -> subst -> o.
                                       M1 M1 L1 L1 S1 S1.
1538
         comp (fcon C) (con C)
         comp (flam F) (uva A Scope) M1 M2 L1 L3 S1 S3 :-
1539
           (pi x\ maybe-eta x (F x) [x]), !,
1540
             alloc S1 A S2,
1541
             comp-lam F F1 M1 M2 L1 L2 S2 S3,
1542
1543
             get-scope (lam F1) Scope,
1544
             L3 = [@val-link-eta (uva A Scope) (lam F1) | L2].
1545
         comp (flam F) (lam F1)
                                     M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2 :-
1546
           comp-lam F F1 M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2.
1547
         comp (fuva A) (uva B []) M1 M2 L L S S1 :-
           m-alloc (fv A) (hv B (arity z)) M1 M2 S S1.
1548
1549
         comp (fapp [fuva A[Ag]) (uva B Ag1) M1 M2 L1 L1 S1 S2 :-
1550
           pattern-fragment Ag, !,
1551
             fold6 comp Ag Ag1 M1 M1 L1 L1 S1 S1,
1552
             len Ag Arity,
1553
             m-alloc (fv A) (hv B (arity Arity)) M1 M2 S1 S2.
         comp (fapp [fuva A|Ag]) (uva C Scope) M1 M3 L1 L3 S1 S4 :- !,
1554
1555
           pattern-fragment-prefix Ag Pf Extra,
1556
           fold6 comp Pf
                            Scope1 M1 M1 L1 L1 S1 S1,
1557
           fold6 comp Extra Extra1 M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2,
           m-alloc (fv A) (hv B (arity Arity)) M2 M3 S2 S3,
           Beta = app [uva B Scope1 | Extra1],
1560
           get-scope Beta Scope,
1561
1562
           alloc S3 C S4,
                                                                                   append New L1 L2,
1563
           L3 = [@val-link-beta (uva C Scope) Beta | L2].
                                    M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2 :-
1564
         comp (fapp A) (app A1)
           fold6 comp A A1 M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2.
1565
1566
                                                                          14
```

```
1567
type alloc mem A \rightarrow addr \rightarrow mem A \rightarrow o.
                                                                  1568
alloc S N S1 :- mem.new S N S1.
                                                                  1569
                                                                  1570
type compile-terms-diagnostic
                                                                  1571
 triple diagnostic fm fm ->
                                                                  1572
  triple diagnostic tm tm ->
                                                                  1573
                                                                  1574
                                                                  1575
  subst -> subst -> o.
compile-terms-diagnostic (triple D FO1 FO2) (triple D HO1 HO2) MATT M3 L1
  comp F01 H01 M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2,
  comp F02 H02 M2 M3 L2 L3 S2 S3.
                                                                  1579
                                                                  1580
                                                                  1581
  list (triple diagnostic fm fm) ->
                                                                  1582
  list (triple diagnostic tm tm) ->
                                                                  1583
  map -> links -> subst -> o.
                                                                  1584
compile-terms T H M L S :-
                                                                  1585
  fold6 compile-terms-diagnostic T H [] M_ [] L_ [] S_,
                                                                  1586
  deduplicate-map M_ M S_ S L_ L.
                                                                  1587
                                                                  1588
type make-eta-link-aux nat -> addr -> addr ->
                                                                  1589
  list tm -> links -> subst -> subst -> o.
make-eta-link-aux z Ad1 Ad2 Scope1 L H1 H1 :-
  rev Scope1 Scope, eta-expand (uva Ad2 Scope) @one T1,
  L = [@val-link-eta (uva Ad1 Scope) T1].
                                                                  1593
make-eta-link-aux (s N) Ad1 Ad2 Scope1 L H1 H3 :-
                                                                  1594
  rev Scope1 Scope, alloc H1 Ad H2,
                                                                  1595
  eta-expand (uva Ad Scope) @one T2,
                                                                  1596
  (pi x\ make-eta-link-aux N Ad Ad2 [x|Scope1] (L1 x) H2 H3),
  close-links L1 L2.
  L = [@val-link-eta (uva Ad1 Scope) T2 | L2].
                                                                  1599
                                                                  1600
type make-eta-link nat -> nat -> addr -> addr ->
                                                                  1601
        list tm -> links -> subst -> o.
                                                                  1602
make-eta-link (s N) z Ad1 Ad2 Vars L H H1 :-
                                                                  1603
  make-eta-link-aux N Ad2 Ad1 Vars L H H1.
make-eta-link z (s N) Ad1 Ad2 Vars L H H1 :-
  make-eta-link-aux N Ad1 Ad2 Vars L H H1.
                                                                  1606
make-eta-link (s N) (s M) Ad1 Ad2 Vars Links H H1 :-
                                                                  1607
  (pi x\ make-eta-link N M Ad1 Ad2 [x|Vars] (L x) H H1),
                                                                  1608
  close-links L Links.
                                                                  1609
                                                                  1610
type deduplicate-map map -> map ->
    subst -> subst -> links -> links -> o.
                                                                  1612
deduplicate-map [] [] H H L L.
                                                                  1613
deduplicate-map [(mapping (fv 0) (hv M (arity LenM)) as X1) | Map1] Map2
  take-list Map1 (mapping (fv 0) (hv M' (arity LenM'))) _, !, 1615
  std.assert! (not (LenM = LenM')) "Deduplicate map, there is aldog"
  print "arity-fix links:" {ppmapping X1} "~!~" {ppmapping (mapping (fv
  make-eta-link LenM LenM' M M' [] New H1 H2,
  print "new eta link" {pplinks New},
                                                                  1619
                                                                  1620
  deduplicate-map Map1 Map2 H2 H3 L2 L3.
                                                                  1621
deduplicate-map [A|As] [A|Bs] H1 H2 L1 L2 :-
                                                                  1622
  deduplicate-map As Bs H1 H2 L1 L2, !.
                                                                  1623
                                                                  1624
```

```
1625
         deduplicate-map [A|_] _ H _ _ _ :-
                                                                                ((ho.uva V Scope) == 1 T1) S1 S2.
                                                                                                                                                1683
1626
           halt "deduplicating mapping error" {ppmapping A} {ho.ppsubst H}.
                                                                                                                                                1684
1627
                                                                              progress-beta-link (ho.uva _ _ as T) (ho.app[ho.uva Ad1 Scope1 16861] as
                                                                                append Scope1 L1 Scope1L,
      15 THE PROGRESS FUNCTION
1629
                                                                                pattern-fragment-prefix Scope1L Scope2 L2,
         macro @one :- s z.
                                                                                not (Scope1 = Scope2). !.
                                                                                                                                                1688
1630
                                                                                mem.new S1 Ad2 S2,
                                                                                                                                                1689
1631
         type contract-rigid list ho.tm -> ho.tm -> ho.tm -> o.
                                                                                len Scope1 Scope1Len,
1632
                                                                                                                                                1690
1633
         contract-rigid L (ho.lam F) T :-
                                                                                len Scope2 Scope2Len,
                                                                                                                                                1691
           pi x\ contract-rigid [x|L] (F x) T. % also checks H Prefix does notmakee-eta-link Scope1Len Scope2Len Ad1 Ad2 [] LinkEta S2 S3,
         contract-rigid L (ho.app [H|Args]) T :-
                                                                                if (L2 = []) (NewLinks = LinkEta, T2 = ho.uva Ad2 Scope2)
           rev L LRev, append Prefix LRev Args,
                                                                                  (T2 = ho.app [ho.uva Ad2 Scope2 | L2],
1636
           if (Prefix = []) (T = H) (T = ho.app [H|Prefix]).
                                                                                  NewLinks = [@val-link-beta T T2 | LinkEtal).
                                                                                                                                                1695
1637
1638
                                                                                                                                                1696
1639
         type progress-eta-link ho.tm -> ho.tm -> ho.tm -> ho.subst -> ho.subst -> limmogressobeta-link T1 (ho.app[ho.uva _ _ | _] as T2) _ _ _ :-
1640
         progress-eta-link (ho.app _ as T) (ho.lam x\ _ as T1) H H1 [] :- !, not (T1 = ho.uva _ _), !, fail.
           ({eta-expand T @one} == 1 T1) H H1.
1641
1642
         progress-eta-link (ho.con _ as T) (ho.lam x\ _ as T1) H H1 [] :- !progress-beta-link (ho.uva _ _ as T) (ho.app[ho.uva _ _ | _] as I702) S1 .
           ({eta-expand T @one} == 1 T1) H H1.
                                                                                occur-check-err T T2 S1, !, fail.
1643
         progress-eta-link (ho.lam _ as T) T1 H H1 [] :- !,
1644
                                                                                                                                                1702
                                                                              progress-beta-link T1 (ho.app[ho.uva _ _ | _] as T2) H H [@val-l7mk-beta
1645
           (T == 1 T1) H H1.
1646
         progress-eta-link (ho.uva _ _ as X) T H H1 [] :-
1647
           contract-rigid [] T T1, !, (X ==1 T1) H H1.
                                                                              progress-beta-link T1 (ho.app [Hd | T1]) S1 S2 B :-
         progress-eta-link (ho.uva Ad _ as T1) T2 H H [@val-link-eta T1 T2] :ho!beta Hd T1 T3,
1648
1649
           if (ho.not_occ Ad H T2) true fail.
                                                                                progress-beta-link-aux T1 T3 S1 S2 B.
1650
         type is-in-pf ho.tm -> o.
                                                                              type solve-link-abs link -> links -> ho.subst -> ho.subst -> o.1709
1651
1652
         is-in-pf (ho.app [ho.uva _ _ | _]) :- !, fail.
                                                                              solve-link-abs (ho.abs X) R H H1 :-
1653
         is-in-pf (ho.lam B) :- !, pi x\ is-in-pf (B x).
                                                                                pi x\ ho.copy x x \Rightarrow (pi S\ ho.deref S x x) \Rightarrow
                                                                                                                                                1711
                                                                                  solve-link-abs (X x) (R' x) H H1,
                                                                                                                                                1712
1654
         is-in-pf (ho.con _).
         is-in-pf (ho.app L) :- forall1 is-in-pf L.
1655
                                                                                close-links R' R.
         is-in-pf N :- name N.
                                                                                                                                                1714
1656
         is-in-pf (ho.uva _ L) :- pattern-fragment L.
                                                                              solve-link-abs (@val-link-eta A B) NewLinks S S1 :- !,
                                                                                                                                                1715
1657
                                                                                progress-eta-link A B S S1 NewLinks.
                                                                                                                                                1716
1658
1659
         type arity ho.tm -> nat -> o.
                                                                                                                                                1717
         arity (ho.con _) z.
                                                                              solve-link-abs (@val-link-beta A B) NewLinks S S1 :- !,
                                                                                                                                                1718
                                                                                progress-beta-link A B S S1 NewLinks.
         arity (ho.app L) A :- len L A.
                                                                                                                                                1719
         type occur-check-err ho.tm -> ho.tm -> ho.subst -> o.
                                                                              type take-link link -> links -> link -> links -> o.
                                                                                                                                                1721
1663
         occur-check-err (ho.con _) _ _ :- !.
                                                                              take-link A [B|XS] B XS :- link-abs-same-lhs A B, !.
                                                                                                                                                1722
1664
                                                                              take-link A [L|XS] B [L|YS] :- take-link A XS B YS.
1665
         occur-check-err (ho.app _) _ _ :- !.
                                                                                                                                                1723
1666
         occur-check-err (ho.lam _) _ _ :- !.
                                                                                                                                                1724
         occur-check-err (ho.uva Ad _) T S :-
                                                                              type link-abs-same-lhs link -> link -> o.
                                                                                                                                                1725
1667
                                                                              link-abs-same-lhs (ho.abs F) B :-
1668
           not (ho.not_occ Ad S T).
                                                                                                                                                1726
                                                                                pi x\ link-abs-same-lhs (F x) B.
                                                                                                                                                1727
1669
         type progress-beta-link-aux ho.tm -> ho.tm ->
                                                                              link-abs-same-lhs A (ho.abs G) :-
                                                                                                                                                1728
1670
                 ho.subst -> ho.subst -> links -> o.
                                                                                pi x\ link-abs-same-lhs A (G x).
                                                                                                                                                1729
1671
1672
         progress-beta-link-aux T1 T2 S1 S2 [] :- is-in-pf T2, !,
                                                                              link-abs-same-lhs (@val-link-eta (ho.uva N _) _) (@val-link-eta176ho.uva
1673
           (T1 == 1 T2) S1 S2.
         progress-beta-link-aux T1 T2 S S [@val-link-beta T1 T2] :- !.
                                                                              type same-link-eta link -> link -> ho.subst -> ho.subst -> o. 1732
1674
                                                                              same-link-eta (ho.abs F) B H H1 :- !, pi x\ same-link-eta (F x)!8^3H H1.
1676
         type progress-beta-link ho.tm -> ho.tm -> ho.subst ->
                                                                              same-link-eta A (ho.abs G) H H1 :- !, pi x\ same-link-eta A (G x)⁴H H1.
               ho.subst -> links -> o.
                                                                              same-link-eta (@val-link-eta (ho.uva N S1) A)
                                                                                                                                                1735
1677
         progress-beta-link T (ho.app[ho.uva V Scope | L] as T2) S S2 [@val-link-beta T T2(Pval-link-eta (ho.uva N S2) B) H H1:-
1678
                                                                                                                                                1736
1679
           arity T Arity, len L ArgsNb, ArgsNb >n Arity, !,
                                                                                std.map2 S1 S2 (x\y\r\ r = ho.copy x y) Perm,
                                                                                                                                                1737
           minus ArgsNb Arity Diff, mem.new S V1 S1,
                                                                                Perm => ho.copy A A',
                                                                                                                                                1738
1680
                                                                                (A' == 1 B) H H1.
1681
           eta-expand (ho.uva V1 Scope) Diff T1,
                                                                                                                                                1739
1682
                                                                                                                                                1740
                                                                        15
```

```
1741
                                                                                      add-new-map H T L L1 S S1.
                                                                                                                                                          1799
         type solve-links links -> links -> ho.subst -> ho.subst -> o.
1742
                                                                                      add-new-map-aux H Ts L1 L2 S1 S2.
                                                                                                                                                          1800
1743
         solve-links [] [] X X.
                                                                                                                                                          1801
         solve-links [A|L1] [A|L3] S S2 :- take-link A L1 B L2, !,
                                                                                   type add-new-map ho.subst -> ho.tm -> map ->
1744
1745
           same-link-eta A B S S1,
                                                                                        map -> fo.subst -> fo.subst -> o.
           solve-links L2 L3 S1 S2.
                                                                                   add-new-map _ (ho.uva N _) Map [] F1 F1 :-
                                                                                                                                                          1804
1746
1747
         solve-links [L0|L1] L3 S S2 :- deref-link S L0 L,
                                                                                     mem Map (mapping _ (hv N _)), !.
                                                                                                                                                          1805
           solve-link-abs L R S S1, !,
                                                                                   add-new-map H (ho.uva N L) Map [Map1 | MapL] F1 F3 :-
1748
                                                                                                                                                          1806
1749
            solve-links L1 L2 S1 S2, append R L2 L3.
                                                                                      mem.new F1 M F2,
                                                                                                                                                          1807
                                                                                      len L Arity, Map1 = mapping (fv M) (hv N (arity Arity)),
                                                                                      add-new-map H (ho.app L) [Map1 | Map] MapL F2 F3.
       16 THE DECOMPILER
                                                                                   add-new-map H (ho.lam B) Map NewMap F1 F2 :-
                                                                                      pi x\ add-new-map H (B x) Map NewMap F1 F2.
         type abs->lam ho.assignment -> ho.tm -> o.
1753
                                                                                                                                                          1811
         abs->lam (ho.abs T) (ho.lam R) :- !, pi x\ abs->lam (T x) (R x). add-new-map H (ho.app L) Map NewMap F1 F3 :-
                                                                                                                                                          1812
1754
1755
         abs->lam (ho.val A) A.
                                                                                     add-new-map-aux H L Map NewMap F1 F3.
                                                                                                                                                          1813
1756
                                                                                   add-new-map _ (ho.con _) _ [] F F :- !.
                                                                                                                                                          1814
         type commit-links-aux link -> ho.subst -> ho.subst -> o.
                                                                                   add-new-map _ N _ [] F F :- name N.
1757
                                                                                                                                                          1815
1758
         commit-links-aux (@val-link-eta T1 T2) H1 H2 :-
                                                                                                                                                          1816
1759
           ho.deref H1 T1 T1', ho.deref H1 T2 T2',
                                                                                   type complete-mapping-under-ass ho.subst -> ho.assignment ->
                                                                                                                                                          1817
           (T1' == 1 T2') H1 H2.
                                                                                      map -> map -> fo.subst -> fo.subst -> o.
1760
                                                                                                                                                          1818
         commit-links-aux (@val-link-beta T1 T2) H1 H2 :-
                                                                                   complete-mapping-under-ass H (ho.val Val) Map1 Map2 F1 F2 :-
1761
                                                                                                                                                          1819
1762
           ho.deref H1 T1 T1', ho.deref H1 T2 T2',
                                                                                      add-new-map H Val Map1 Map2 F1 F2.
                                                                                                                                                          1820
                                                                                   complete-mapping-under-ass H (ho.abs Abs) Map1 Map2 F1 F2 :-
            (T1' == 1 T2') H1 H2.
                                                                                                                                                          1821
         commit-links-aux (ho.abs B) H H1 :-
                                                                                      pi x\ complete-mapping-under-ass H (Abs x) Map1 Map2 F1 F2.
1765
           pi x\ commit-links-aux (B x) H H1.
                                                                                   type complete-mapping ho.subst -> ho.subst ->
1766
                                                                                      map -> map -> fo.subst -> fo.subst -> o.
         type commit-links links -> links -> ho.subst -> ho.subst -> o.
                                                                                                                                                          1825
1767
1768
         commit-links [] [] H H.
                                                                                   complete-mapping _ [] L L F F.
                                                                                                                                                          1826
1769
         commit-links [Abs | Links] L H H2 :-
                                                                                   complete-mapping H [none | Tl] L1 L2 F1 F2 :-
                                                                                                                                                          1827
           commit-links-aux Abs H H1, !, commit-links Links L H1 H2.
                                                                                      complete-mapping H Tl L1 L2 F1 F2.
1770
                                                                                                                                                          1828
                                                                                   complete-mapping H [some T0 | T1] L1 L3 F1 F3 :-
1771
1772
         type decompl-subst map -> map -> ho.subst ->
                                                                                     ho.deref-assmt H T0 T,
                                                                                                                                                          1830
           fo.subst -> fo.subst -> o.
                                                                                      complete-mapping-under-ass H T L1 L2 F1 F2,
                                                                                                                                                          1831
1773
         \label{eq:decomplex} \mbox{decompl-subst } \mbox{$\_$ [A|\_] $\_ $\_ $:- fail.}
                                                                                      append L1 L2 LAll,
                                                                                                                                                          1832
1774
1775
         decompl-subst _ [] _ F F.
                                                                                      complete-mapping H Tl LAll L3 F2 F3.
                                                                                                                                                          1833
1776
         decompl-subst Map [mapping (fv V0) (hv VM _)[T1] H F F2 :-
                                                                                                                                                          1834
                                                                                   type decompile map -> links -> ho.subst ->
           mem.set? VM H T, !,
                                                                                                                                                          1835
           ho.deref-assmt H T TTT,
                                                                                      fo.subst -> fo.subst -> o.
                                                                                                                                                          1836
           abs->lam TTT T', tm->fm Map T' T1,
                                                                                   decompile Map1 L HO FO FO2 :-
           fo.eta-contract T1 T2, mem.assign V0 F T2 F1,
                                                                                      commit-links L L1_ HO HO1, !,
                                                                                                                                                          1838
1780
           decompl-subst Map Tl H F1 F2.
                                                                                      complete-mapping HO1 HO1 Map1 Map2 FO FO1,
1781
                                                                                                                                                          1839
1782
         decompl-subst Map [mapping _ (hv VM _)|T1] H F F2 :-
                                                                                      decompl-subst Map2 Map2 H01 F01 F02.
                                                                                                                                                          1840
           mem.unset? VM H, decompl-subst Map Tl H F F2.
1783
                                                                                                                                                          1841
1784
                                                                                                                                                          1842
                                                                                 17 AUXILIARY FUNCTIONS
1785
         type tm\rightarrow fm map \rightarrow ho.tm \rightarrow fo.fm \rightarrow o.
                                                                                                                                                          1843
                                                                                   type fold4 (A \rightarrow A1 \rightarrow B \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow C \rightarrow o) \rightarrow list A \rightarrow
1786
         tm->fm _ (ho.con C) (fo.fcon C).
                                                                                                                                                          1844
                                                                                     list A1 \rightarrow B \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow C \rightarrow o.
1787
         tm->fm L (ho.lam B1) (fo.flam B2) :-
                                                                                                                                                          1845
                                                                                   fold4 _ [] [] A A B B.
1788
           pi \times y \setminus tm \rightarrow fm \ x \ y \Rightarrow tm \rightarrow fm \ L \ (B1 \ x) \ (B2 \ y).
                                                                                                                                                          1846
                                                                                   fold4 F [X|XS] [Y|YS] A A1 B B1 :- F X Y A A0 B B0,
1789
          tm\rightarrow fm L (ho.app L1) T := map (tm\rightarrow fm L) L1 [Hd|T1],
                                                                                                                                                          1847
                                                                                      fold4 F XS YS A0 A1 B0 B1.
            fo.mk-app Hd Tl T.
                                                                                                                                                          1848
          tm->fm L (ho.uva VM TL) T :- mem L (mapping (fv VO) (hv VM _)),
                                                                                   type len list A -> nat -> o.
           map (tm->fm L) TL T1, fo.mk-app (fo.fuva V0) T1 T.
1792
                                                                                   len [] z.
                                                                                                                                                          1851
1793
                                                                                   len [\_|L] (s X) :- len L X.
1794
          type add-new-map-aux ho.subst -> list ho.tm -> map ->
                                                                                                                                                          1852
1795
                map -> fo.subst -> fo.subst -> o.
                                                                                                                                                          1853
         add-new-map-aux _ [] _ [] S S.
                                                                                                                                                          1854
1796
         add-new-map-aux H [T|Ts] L L2 S S2 :-
1797
                                                                                                                                                          1855
1798
                                                                                                                                                          1856
                                                                            16
```