HO unification from object language to meta language

Davide Fissore davide.fissore@inria.fr Université Côte d'Azur, Inria France Enrico Tassi enrico.tassi@inria.fr Université Côte d'Azur, Inria France

ABSTRACT

Specifying and implementing a logic from scratch requires significant effort. Logical Frameworks and Higher Order Logic Programming Languages provide dedicated, high-level Meta Languages (ML) to facilitate this task in two key ways: 1) variable binding and substitution are simplified when ML binders represent object logic ones; 2) proof construction, and even proof search, is greatly simplified by leveraging the unification procedure provided by the ML. Notable examples of ML are Elf [13], Twelf [14], λ Prolog [10] and Isabelle [20] which have been utilized to implement various formal systems such as First Order Logic [5], Set Theory [12], Higher Order Logic [11], and even the Calculus of Constuctions [4].

The object logic we are interested in is Coq's [18] Dependent Type Theory (DTT), for which we aim to implement a unification procedure \simeq_o using the ML Elpi [3], a dialect of λ Prolog. Elpi's equational theory comprises $\eta\beta$ equivalence and comes equipped with a higher order unification procedure \simeq_λ restricted to the pattern fragment [9]. We want \simeq_o to be as powerful as \simeq_λ but on the object logic DTT. Elpi also comes with an encoding for DTT that works well for meta-programming [17, 16, 7, 6]. Unfortunately this encoding, which we refer to as \mathcal{F}_o , "underuses" \simeq_λ by restricting it to first-order unification problems only. To address this issue, we propose a better-behaved encoding, \mathcal{H}_o , demonstrate how to map unification problems in \mathcal{F}_o to related problems in \mathcal{H}_o , and illustrate how to map back the unifiers found by \simeq_λ , effectively implementing \simeq_o on top of \simeq_λ for the encoding \mathcal{F}_o .

We apply this technique to the implementation of a type-class [19] solver for Coq [18]. Type-class solvers are proof search procedures based on unification that back-chain designated lemmas, providing essential automation to widely used Coq libraries such as Stdpp/Iris [8] and TLC [1]. These two libraries constitute our test bed.

KEYWORDS

Logic Programming, Meta-Programming, Higher-Order Unification, Proof Automation

ACM Reference Format:

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than the author(s) must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

Conference'17, July 2017, Washington, DC, USA

© 2024 Copyright held by the owner/author(s). Publication rights licensed to ACM. ACM ISBN 978-x-xxxx-xxxx-x/YY/MM

https://doi.org/ZZZZZZZZZZZZZ

1 INTRODUCTION

Specifying and implementing a logic from scratch requires significant effort. Logical Frameworks and Higher Order Logic Programming Languages provide dedicated, high-level Meta Languages (ML) to facilitate this task in two key ways: 1) variable binding and substitution are simplified when ML binders represent object logic ones; 2) proof construction, and even proof search, is greatly simplified by leveraging the unification procedure provided by the ML. Notable examples of ML are Elf [13], Twelf [14], λ Prolog [10] and Isabelle [20] which have been utilized to implement various formal systems such as First Order Logic [5], Set Theory [12], Higher Order Logic [11], and even the Calculus of Constuctions [4].

The object logic we are interested in is Coq's [18] Dependent Type Theory (DTT), and we want to code a type-class [19] solver for Coq [18] using the Coq-Elpi [17] meta programming framework. Type-class solvers are unification based proof search procedures that combine a set of designated lemmas in order to providing essential automation to widely used Coq libraries.

As the running example we take the Decide type class, from the Stdpp [8] library. The class identifies predicates equipped with a decision procedure. The following three designated lemmas (called Instances in the type-class jargon) state that: 1) the type fin n, of natural numbers smaller than n is finite; 2) the predicate nfact n nf, linking a natural number n to its prime factors nf, is decidable; 3) the universal closure of a predicate has a decision procedure if the predicate has and if its domain is finite.

```
Instance fin_fin n : Finite (fin n). (* r1 *)
Instance nfact_dec n nf : Decision (nfact n nf). (* r2 *)
Instance forall_dec A P : Finite A \rightarrow (* r3 *)
\forall x:A, \ Decision \ (P \ x) \rightarrow Decision \ (\forall x:A, \ P \ x).
```

Under this context of instances a type-class solver is able to prove the following statement automatically by back-chaining.

```
Check \_: Decision (forall y: fin 7, nfact y 3). (g)
```

The encoding of DTT provided by Elpi, that we will discuss at length later in section ?? and ??, is an Higher Order Abstract Syntax (HOAS) datatype tm featuring (among others) the following constructors:

Following standard λ Prolog [10] the concrete syntax to abstract, at the meta level, an expression e over a variable x is «x\ e», and square brackets denote a list of terms separated by comma. As an example we show the encoding of the Coq term « \forall y:t, nfact y 3»:

```
all (con"t") y\ app[con"nfact", y, con"3"]
```

We now illustrate the encoding of the three instances above as higher-order logic-programming rules: capital letters denote rule parameters; :- separates the rule's head from the premises; pi w\ p introduces a fresh nominal constant w for the premise p.

finite (app[con"fin", N]). (r1)
decision (app [con"nfact", N, NF]). (r2)
decision (all A x\ app[P, x]) :- finite A, (r3)
pi w\ decision (app[P, w]).

Unfortunately this translation of rule (r3) uses the predicate P as a first order term: for the meta language its type is tm. If we try to backchain the rule (r3) on the encoding of the goal (g) given below

```
decision (all (app[con"fin", con"7"]) y\
  app[con"nfact", y, con"3"]).
```

we obtain an unsolvable unification problem (p): the two lists of terms have different lengths!

```
app[con"nfact", y, con"3"] = app[P, y] (p)
```

In this paper we study a more sophisticated encoding of Coq terms allowing us to rephrase the problematic rule (r3) as follows:

```
decision (all A x\ Pm x) :- link Pm P A, finite A, (r3a) pi x\ decision (app[P, x]).
```

Since Pm is an higher-order unification variable of type tm -> tm, with x in its scope, the unification problem (p') admits one solution:

After unifying the head of rule (r3a) with the goal, Elpi runs the premise «link Pm A P» that is in charge of bringing the assignment for Pm back to the domain tm of Coq terms:

```
P = lam A a\ app[con"nfact", a, con"3"]
```

This simple example is sufficient to show that the encoding we seek is not trivial and does not only concern the head of rules, but the entire sequence of unification problems that constitute the execution of a logic program. In fact the solution for P above generates a (Coq) β -redex in the second premise (the predicate under the pi w\):

```
decision (app[lam A (a\ app[con"nfact", a, con"3"]), w])
```

In turn this redex prevents the rule (r2) to backchain properly since the following unification problem has no solution:

```
app[lam A (a\ app[con"nfact", a, con"3"]), x] =
app[con"nfact", N, NF]
```

The root cause of the problems we sketched in the running example is that the unification procedure \simeq_{λ} of the meta language is not aware of the equational theory of the object logic, even if both theories include $\eta\beta$ -conversion and admit most general unifiers for unification problems in the pattern fragment \mathcal{L}_{λ} [9].

Contributions. In this paper we discuss alternative encodings of Coq in Elpi (Section ??), then we identify a minimal language \mathcal{F}_0 in which the problems sketched here can be fully described. We then detail an encoding comp from \mathcal{F}_0 to \mathcal{H}_0 (the language of the meta language) and a decoding decomp to relate the unifiers bla

bla.. TODO citare Teyjus. The code discussed in the paper can be accessed at the URL: https://github.com/FissoreD/paper-ho.

2 PROBLEM STATEMENT

The equational theory of Coq's Dependent Type Theory is very rich. In addition to the usual $\eta\beta$ -equivalence for functions, terms (hence types) are compared up to proposition unfolding and fixpoint unrolling. Still, for efficiency and predictability reasons, most form of automatic proof search employ a unification procedure that captures a simpler one, just $\eta\beta$, and that solves higher-order problems restricted to the pattern fragment \mathcal{L}_{λ} [9]. We call this unification procedure \simeq_o .

The equational theory of the meta language Elpi that we want to use to implement a form of proof automation is strikingly similar, since it it comprises $\eta\beta$ (for the meta language functions), and the unification procedure \simeq_{λ} solves higher-order problems in \mathcal{L}_{λ} .

In spite of the similarity the link between \simeq_{λ} and \simeq_{o} is not trivial, since the abstraction and application term constructors the two unification procedures deal with are different. For example

One could ignore this similarity, and "just" describe the object language unification procedure in the meta language, that is crafting a unif predicate to be used as follows in rule (r3):

```
decision X :- unif X (all A x\ app[P, x]), finite A,
  pi x\ decision (app[P, x]).
```

This choice would underuse the logic programming engine provided by the metalanguage since by removing any datum from the head of rules indexing degenerates. Moreover the unification procedure built in the meta language is likely to be faster than one implemented in it, especially if the meta language is interpreted as Elpi is.

To state precisely the problem we solve we need a \mathcal{F}_0 representation of DTT terms and a \mathcal{H}_0 one. We call $=_0$ the equality over ground terms in \mathcal{F}_0 , $=_{\lambda}$ the equality over ground terms in \mathcal{H}_0 , \simeq_0 the unification procedure we want to implement and \simeq_{λ} the one provided by the meta language. TODO extend $=_0$ and $=_{\lambda}$ with reflexivity on uvars.

We write $t_1 \simeq_{\lambda} t_2 \mapsto \sigma$ when t_1 and t_2 unify with substitution σ ; we write σt for the application of the substitution to t, and $\sigma X = {\sigma t | t \in X}$ when X is a set; we write $\sigma \subseteq \sigma'$ when σ is more general than σ' . We assume that the unification of our meta language is correct:

$$t_i \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda} \Rightarrow t_1 \simeq_{\lambda} t_2 \mapsto \rho \Rightarrow \rho t_1 =_{\lambda} \rho t_2$$
 (1)

$$t_i \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda} \Rightarrow \rho t_1 =_{\lambda} \rho t_2 \Rightarrow \exists \rho', t_1 \simeq_{\lambda} t_2 \mapsto \rho' \wedge \rho' \subseteq \rho$$
 (2)

We illustrate a compilation $\langle s \rangle \mapsto (t, m, l)$ that maps a term s in \mathcal{F}_0 to a term t in \mathcal{H}_0 , a variable mapping m and list of links l. The variable map connects unification variables in \mathcal{H}_0 with variables in \mathcal{F}_0 and is used to "decompile" the assignment, $\langle \sigma, m, l \rangle^{-1} \mapsto \rho$. Links represent problematic sub-terms which are linked to the

fix300

unification variable that stands in their place in the compiled term. These links are checked for or progress XXX improve....

We represent a logic program run in \mathcal{F}_0 as a list $steps\ p$ of length \mathcal{N} . Each made of a unification problem between terms \mathcal{S}_{p_l} and \mathcal{S}_{p_r} taken from the set of all terms \mathcal{S} . The composition of these steps starting from the empty substitution ρ_0 produces the final substitution $\rho_{\mathcal{N}}$. The initial here ρ_0 is the empty substitution

$$\begin{split} \text{fstep}(\mathcal{S}, p, \rho) &\mapsto \rho^{\prime\prime} \stackrel{def}{=\!\!\!=} \rho \mathcal{S}_{p_l} \simeq_o \rho \mathcal{S}_{p_r} \mapsto \rho^{\prime} \wedge \rho^{\prime\prime} = \rho \cup \rho^{\prime} \\ \text{frun}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{N}) &\mapsto \rho_{\mathcal{N}} \stackrel{def}{=\!\!\!=} \bigwedge_{p=1}^{\mathcal{N}} \text{fstep}(\mathcal{S}, p, \rho_{p-1}) \mapsto \rho_p \end{split}$$

We simulate each run in \mathcal{F}_0 with a run in \mathcal{H}_0 as follows. Note that σ_0 is the empty substitution.

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{hstep}(\mathcal{T}, p, \sigma, \mathbb{L}) &\mapsto \sigma'' \stackrel{def}{=} \\ &\sigma \mathcal{T}_{p_{l}} \simeq_{\lambda} \sigma \mathcal{T}_{p_{r}} \mapsto \sigma' \wedge \operatorname{progress}(\mathbb{L}, \sigma \cup \sigma') \mapsto \sigma'' \\ \operatorname{hrun}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{N}) &\mapsto \rho_{\mathcal{N}} \stackrel{def}{=} \\ &\mathcal{T} \times \mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{L} = \{(t_{j}, m_{j}, l_{j}) | s_{j} \in \mathcal{S}, \langle s_{j} \rangle \mapsto (t_{j}, m_{j}, l_{j}) \} \\ &\wedge_{p=1}^{\mathcal{N}} \operatorname{hstep}(\mathcal{T}, p, \sigma_{p-1}, \mathbb{L}) \mapsto \sigma_{p} \\ &\langle \sigma_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathbb{M}, \mathbb{L} \rangle^{-1} \mapsto \rho_{\mathcal{N}} \end{split}$$

Here hstep is made of two sub-steps: a call to \simeq_{λ} (on the compiled terms) and a call to progress on the set of links. We claim the following:

Proposition 2.1 (Simulation). $\forall S, \forall N$,

$$frun(S, N) \mapsto \rho_N \Leftrightarrow hrun(S, N) \mapsto \rho_N$$

That is, the two executions give the same result. Moreover:

PROPOSITION 2.2 (SIMULATION FIDELITY). In the context of hrun, if $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$ we have that $\forall p \in 1 ... \mathcal{N}$,

$$fstep(\mathcal{S}, p, \rho_{p-1}) \mapsto \rho_p \Leftrightarrow hstep(\mathcal{T}, p, \sigma_{p-1}, \mathbb{L}) \mapsto \sigma_p$$

In particular this property guarantees that a *failure* in the \mathcal{F}_o run is matched by a failure in \mathcal{H}_o at the same step. We consider this property very important from a practical point of view since it guarantees that the execution traces are strongly related and in turn this enables a user to debug a logic program in \mathcal{F}_o by looking at its execution trace in \mathcal{H}_o .

XXX permuting hrun does not change the final result if check dooes not fail eagerly

XXX if we want to apply heuristics, we can apply them in decomp to avoid committing to a non MGU too early

We can define $s_1 \simeq_o s_2$ by specializing the code of hrun to $S = \{s_1, s_2\}$ as follows:

$$\begin{split} s_1 &\simeq_o s_2 \mapsto \rho \stackrel{def}{=} \\ &\langle s_1 \rangle \mapsto (t_1, m_1, l_1) \land \langle s_2 \rangle \mapsto (t_2, m_2, l_2) \\ &t_1 \simeq_{\lambda} t_2 \mapsto \sigma' \land \text{progress} (\{l_1, l_2\}, \sigma') \mapsto \sigma'' \land \\ &\langle \sigma'', \{m_1, m_2\}, \{l_1, l_2\} \rangle^{-1} \mapsto \rho \end{split}$$

Proposition 2.3 (Properties of \simeq_0).

$$s_{i} \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda} \Rightarrow s_{1} \simeq_{o} s_{2} \mapsto \rho \Rightarrow \rho s_{1} =_{o} \rho s_{2} (correct)$$
(3)
$$s_{i} \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda} \Rightarrow \rho s_{1} =_{o} \rho s_{2} \Rightarrow \exists \rho', s_{1} \simeq_{o} s_{2} \mapsto \rho' \land \rho' \subseteq \rho (complete)$$
(4)

$$\rho s_1 =_{\rho} \rho s_2 \Rightarrow \rho' \subseteq \rho \Rightarrow \rho' s_i \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda} \Rightarrow \rho' s_1 \simeq_{\rho} \rho' s_2 \tag{5}$$

Properties (correct) and (complete) state, respectively, that in \mathcal{L}_{λ} the implementation of \simeq_{o} is correct, complete and returns the most general unifier.

Property 2.1 states that \simeq_o , hence our compilation scheme, is resilient to unification problems outside \mathcal{L}_{λ} solved by a third party. We believe this property is of practical interest since we want the user to be able to add heuristics via hand written rules to the ones obtained by our compilation scheme. A Typical example is the following problem (q) that is outside \mathcal{L}_{λ} :

app [F, con"a"] = app[con"f", con"a", con"a"]
$$(q)$$

$$F = lam x \land app[con"f",x,x]$$
 (h)

Instead of rejecting it our scheme accepts it and guarantees that if (h) is given (after the compilation part of the scheme, as a run time hint) then ...

2.1 The intuition in a nutshell

A term s is compiled in a term t where every "problematic" sub term p is replaced by a fresh unification variable h and an accessory link that represent a suspended unification problem $h \simeq_{\lambda} p$. As a result \simeq_{λ} is "well behaved" on t, that is it does not contradict $=_{0}$ as it would otherwise do on "problematic" terms. We now define "problematic" and "well behaved" more formally.

Definition 2.4 (
$$\Diamond \eta$$
). $\Diamond \eta = \{t \mid \exists \rho, \rho t \text{ is an eta expansion}\}\$

An example of term t in $\Diamond \eta$ is $\lambda x.\lambda y.F$ y x since the substitution $\rho = \{F \mapsto \lambda a.\lambda b.fba\}$ makes $\rho t = \lambda x.\lambda y.fxy$ that is the eta long form of f. This term is problematic since its rigid part, the λ -abstractions, cannot justify a unification failure against, say, a constant.

Definition 2.5 (
$$\Diamond \beta$$
). $\Diamond \beta = \{Xt_1 \dots t_n \mid Xt_1 \dots t_n \notin \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}\}.$

An example of t in $\Diamond \beta$ is Fa for a constant a. Note however tha an oracle could provide an assignment $\rho = \{F \mapsto \lambda x.x\}$ that makes the resulting term fall outside of $\Diamond \beta$.

Definition 2.6 (Subterms $\mathcal{P}(t)$). The set of sub terms of t is the largest set $\mathcal{P}(\sqcup)$ that can be obtained by the following rules.

$$t \in \mathcal{P}(t)$$

$$t = ft_1 \dots t_n \Rightarrow \mathcal{P}(t_i) \subseteq \mathcal{P}(t) \land f \in \mathcal{P}(t)$$

$$t = \lambda x.t' \Rightarrow \mathcal{P}(t') \subseteq \mathcal{P}(t)$$

We write $\mathcal{P}(X) = \bigcup_{t \in X} \mathcal{P}(t)$ when *X* is a set of terms.

Definition 2.7 (Well behaved set). Given a set of terms $X \subseteq \mathcal{H}_o$,

$$W(X) \Leftrightarrow \forall t \in \mathcal{P}(X), t \notin (\Diamond \beta \cup \Diamond \eta)$$

Proposition 2.8 (*W*-preservation). $\forall \mathcal{T}, \forall \mathbb{L}, \forall p, \forall \sigma, \forall \sigma'$

$$\mathcal{W}(\sigma\mathcal{T}) \wedge \text{hstep}(\mathcal{T}, p, \sigma, \mathbb{L}) \mapsto \sigma' \Rightarrow \mathcal{W}(\sigma'\mathcal{T})$$

 $^{^1\}mathrm{If}$ the same rule is used multiple time in a run we just consider as many copies as needed of the terms composing the rules, with fresh unification variables each time

is new

used?

A less formal way to state 2.8 is that hstep never "commits" an unneeded λ -abstraction in σ (a λ that could be erased by an η -contraction), nor puts in σ a flexible application outside \mathcal{L}_{λ} (an application node that could be erased by a β -reduction).

Note that proposition 2.8 does not hold for \simeq_o since decompilation can introduce (actually restore) terms in $\diamond \eta$ or $\diamond \beta$ that were move out of the way (put in $\mathbb L$) during compilation.

3 ALTERNATIVE ENCODINGS AND RELATED WORK

Paper [2] introduces semi-shallow.

Our encoding of DTT may look "semi shallow" since we use the meta-language lambda abstraction but not its application (for the terms of type tm). A fully shallow encoding unfortunately does not fit our use case, although it would make the running example work:

```
finite (fin N). decision (nfact N NF). decision (all A \times P \times) :- finite A, pi \times decision (P \times).
```

There are two reasons for dismissing this encoding. The first one is that in DTT it is not always possible to adopt it since the type system of the meta language is too weak to accommodate terms with a variable arity, like the following example:

```
Fixpoint arr T n := if n is S m then T -> arr T m else T. Definition sum n := arr nat n := ... Check sum 2 7 8 : nat. Check sum 3 7 8 9 : nat.
```

The second reason is the encoding for Coq is used for meta programming the system, hence it must accommodate the manipulation of terms that are now know in advance (not even defined in Coq) without using introspection primitives such as Prologs's functor and arg.

In the literature we could find a few related encoding of DTT. TODO In [4] is related and make the discrepancy between the types of ML and DTT visible. In this case one needs 4 application nodes. Moreover the objective is an encoding of terms, proofs, not proof search. Also note the conv predicate, akin to the unif we rule out.

TODO This other paper [15] should also be cited.

None of the encodings above provide a solution to our problem.

4 PRELIMINARIES: \mathcal{F}_o AND \mathcal{H}_o

In order to reason about unification we provide a description of the \mathcal{F}_0 and \mathcal{H}_0 languages where unification variables are first class terms, i.e. they have a concrete syntax. We keep these languages minimal, for example, we omit the all quantifier of DTT we used in the example in Section 1 together with the type notation of terms carried by the lam constructor.

```
kind fm type.
type fapp list fm -> fm.
type flam (fm -> fm) -> fm.
type fcon string -> fm.
type fuva addr -> fm.
type fuva addr -> fm.
type uva addr -> list tm -> tm.
type fuva addr -> fm.
```

Figure 1: The \mathcal{F}_o and \mathcal{H}_o languages

Unification variables (fuva term constructor) in \mathcal{F}_0 have no explicit scope: the arguments of an higher order variable are given via

the fapp constructor. For example the term $P \times is$ represented as fapp[fuva N, x], where N is a memory address and x is a bound variable.

In \mathcal{H}_o the representation of P x is instead uva N [x], since unification variables come equipped with an explicit scope. We say that the unification variable occurrence uva N L is in \mathcal{L}_λ if and only if L is made of distinct names. The predicate to test this condition is called pattern-fragment:

```
type pattern-fragment list A -> o.
```

The name builtin predicate tests if a term is a bound variable. ²

In both languages unification variables are identified by a natural number representing a memory address. The memory and its associated operations are described below:

```
kind addr type.
type addr nat -> addr.
typeabbrev (mem A) (list (option A)).

type set? addr -> mem A -> A -> o.
type unset? addr -> mem A -> o.
type assign addr -> mem A -> A -> mem A -> o.
type new mem A -> addr -> mem A -> o.
```

If a memory cell is none, then the corresponding unification variable is not set. assign sets an unset cell to the given value, while new finds the first unused address and sets it to none.

Since in \mathcal{H}_0 unification variables have a scope, their solution needs to be abstracted over it to enable the instantiation of a single solution to different scopes. This is obtained via the inctx container, and in particular via its abs binding constructor. On the contrary a solution to a \mathcal{F}_0 variable is a plain term.

```
typeabbrev fsubst (mem fm).
kind inctx type -> type.
type abs (tm -> inctx A) -> inctx A.
type val A -> inctx A.
typeabbrev assignment (inctx tm).
typeabbrev subst (mem assignment).
```

We call fsubst the memory of \mathcal{F}_0 , while we call subst the one of \mathcal{H}_0 . Both have the invariant that they are not cyclic, TODO explain. Other invariant: the terms in ho_subst never contains eta and beta expansion

```
kind arity type.
type arity nat -> arity.

kind fvariable type.
type fv addr -> fvariable.

kind hvariable type.
type hv addr -> arity -> hvariable.

kind mapping type.
type mapping fvariable -> hvariable -> mapping.
typeabbrev mmap (list mapping).
```

 $^{^{2}}$ one could always load name x for every x under a pi and get rid of the name builtin

Invariant 1 (Unification variable arity). Each variable A in \mathcal{H}_o has a (unique) arity N and each occurrence (uva A L) is such that (len L N) holds

The compiler establishes a mapping between variables of the two languages. In order to preserve invariant 1 we store the arity of each hvariable in the mapping and we reuse an existing mapping only if the arity matches.

TODO: add ref to section 7

is this

theo1

right

 $seg_{\overline{03}}$

tion?

When a single fvariable occurs multiple times with different numbers of arguments the compiler generates multiple mappings for it, on a first approximation, and then makes the mapping bijective by introducing $link-\eta$; this detail is discussed in section 6.

As we mentioned in section 2.1 the compiler replaces terms in $\Diamond \beta$ and $\Diamond \beta$ with fresh variables linked to the problematic terms. Each class of problematic terms has a dedicated link.

```
kind baselink type.
type link-eta tm -> tm -> baselink.
type link-beta tm -> tm -> baselink.
typeabbrev link (inctx baselink).
typeabbrev links (list link).
```

The right hand side of a link, the problematic term, can occur under binders. To accommodate this situation the compiler wraps baselink using the inctx container.

Invariant 2 (Link left hand side of a new link is a variable.

If the variable is assigned during a run the link is considered for progress and possibly eliminated. This is discussed in section 6.

4.1 Notational conventions

When we write \mathcal{H}_0 terms outside code blocks we follow the usual λ -calculus notation, reserving f,g,a,b for constants, x,y,z for bound variables and X,Y,Z,F,G,H for unification variables. However we need to distinguish between the "application" of a unification variable to its scope and the application of a term to a list of arguments. We write the scope of unification variables in subscript while we use juxtaposition for regular application. Here a few examples:

```
\begin{array}{lll} f \ a & & \operatorname{app[con \ "f", \ con \ "a"]} \\ \lambda x.F_{x} \ a & & \operatorname{lam \ x\ app[uva \ F \ [x], \ con \ "a"]} \\ \lambda x.\lambda y.F_{xy} & & \operatorname{lam \ x\ lam \ y\ uva \ F \ [x, \ y]} \\ \lambda x.F_{x} \ x & & \operatorname{lam \ x\ app[uva \ F \ [x], \ x]} \end{array}
```

When detailing examples we write links as equations between terms under a context. The equality sign is subscripted with kind of baselink. For example $x \vdash A =_{\beta} F_x$ a corresponds to:

```
abs x\ val (link-beta (uva A []) (app[uva F [x],con "a"]))
```

When it is clear from the context we shall use the same syntax for \mathcal{F}_0 terms (although we never subscripts unification variables).

4.2 Equational theory and Unification

In order to express properties ?? we need to equip \mathcal{F}_o and \mathcal{H}_o with term equality, substitution application and unification.

Term equality: $=_o vs. =_{\lambda}$. We extend the equational theory over ground terms to the full languages by adding the reflexivity of unification variables (a variable is equal to itself).

The first four rules are common to both equalities and correspond to α -equivalence. In addition to that $=_o$ has rules for η and β -equivalence.

```
type (=_o) fm -> fm -> o.
                                                                       (=_o)
fcon X =_o fcon X.
fapp A =_o fapp B := forall2 (=_o) A B.
flam F =_o flam G := pi x \setminus x =_o x => F x =_o G x.
fuva N =_o fuva N.
\mathsf{flam} \ \mathsf{F} \ =_o \ \mathsf{T} \ :\text{-}
                                                                       (\eta_l)
  pi x \land beta T [x] (T' x), x =_o x \Rightarrow F x =_o T' x.
T =_o flam F :=
                                                                       (\eta_r)
  pi x \land beta T [x] (T' x), x =_o x \Rightarrow T' x =_o F x.
fapp [flam X | L] = T: beta (flam X) L R, R = T. (\beta_l)
T =_o fapp [flam X | L] :- beta (flam X) L R, T =_o R. (\beta_r)
type (=_{\lambda}) tm -> tm -> o.
con C =_{\lambda} fcon C.
app A = \lambda fapp B :- forall2 (= \lambda) A B.
lam F =_{\lambda} flam G := pi x \ x =_{\lambda} x => F x =_{\lambda} G x.
uva N A =_{\lambda} fuva N B :- forall2 (=_{\lambda}) A B.
```

The main point in showing these equality tests is to remark how weaker $=_{\lambda}$ is, and to identify the four rules that need special treatment in the implementation of \simeq_{λ} .

For reference, (beta T A R) reduces away lam nodes in head position in T whenever the list A provides a corresponding argument.

```
type beta fm -> list fm -> o.
beta A [] A.
beta (flam Bo) [H | L] R :- beta (Bo H) L R.
beta (fapp A) L (fapp X) :- append A L X.
beta (fuva N) L (fapp [fuva N | L]).
beta (fcon H) L (fapp [fcon H | L]).
beta N L (fapp [N | L]) :- name N.
```

The name predicate holds only on nominal constants (i.e. bound variables). Elpi provides it as a builtin, but one could implement it by systematically loading the hypothetical rule name $\ x$ every time a nominal constant is postulated via pi $\ x \$.

Substitution application: ρs and σt . Applying the substitution corresponds to dereferencing a term with respect to the memory. To ease the comparison we split \mathcal{F}_0 dereferencing into a fder step and a napp one. The former step replaces references to memory cells that are set with their values, and has a corresponding operation in \mathcal{H}_0 , namely deref. On the contrary napp, in charge of "flattening" fapp nodes, has no corresponding operation in \mathcal{H}_0 . The reasons for this asymmetry is that an fapp node with a flexible head is always mapped to a uva (as per sections ??), preventing nested applications to materialize.

```
type fder fsubst -> fm -> o.
fder _ (fcon C) (fcon C).
```

640

641

643

644

645

646

647

651

652

653

654

655

656

657

658

659

660

664

665

666

667

670

671

672

673

674

678

679

680

681

682

683

684

685

686

687

691

692

693

695

696

581

582

583

585

586

587

588

589

593

594

595

596

597

598

599

600

601

602

603

605

606

607

608

609

610

611

612

613

614

615

616

617

618

619

620

621

622

623

624

625

626

627

628

```
fder S (fapp A) (fapp B) :- map (fder S) A B.
                                                               manca
  fder S (flam F) (flam G) :-
                                                               beta
    pi x \land fder S x x \Rightarrow fder S (F x) (G x).
                                                               nor-
  fder S (fuva N) R :- set? N S T, fder S T R.
                                                               mal
  fder S (fuva N) (fuva N) :- unset? N S.
                                                               in en-
                                                            trata (\rho s)
  type fderef fsubst -> fm -> fm -> o.
  fderef S T T2: - fder S T T1, napp T1 T2.
  type napp fm \rightarrow fm \rightarrow o.
  napp (fcon C) (fcon C).
  napp (fuva A) (fuva A).
  napp (flam F) (flam F1) :-
    pi x \rightarrow pi x = napp (F x) (F1 x).
  napp (fapp [fapp L1 |L2]) T :- !,
    append L1 L2 L3, napp (fapp L3) T.
  napp (fapp L) (fapp L1) :- map napp L L1.
TODO: about the cut
```

```
type deref subst -> tm -> tm -> o.
                                                        (\sigma t)
deref _ (con C) (con C).
deref S (app A) (app B) :- map (deref S) A B.
deref S (lam F) (lam G) :-
  pi x \cdot deref S x x \Rightarrow deref S (F x) (G x).
deref S (uva N L) R :- set? N S A,
  move A L T, deref S T R.
deref S (uva N A) (uva N B) :- unset? N S,
  map (deref S) A B.
type move assignment -> list tm -> tm -> o.
move (abs Bo) [H|L] R :- move (Bo H) L R.
move (val A) [] A.
```

Note that move strongly relies on invariant 1: the length of the arguments of all occurrences of a unification variable and the number of abstractions in its assignment have to match.

Term unification: $\simeq_o vs. \simeq_{\lambda}$. In this paper we assume to have an implementation of \simeq_{λ} that satisfies properties 1 and 2. Although we provide an implementation in the appendix (that we used for testing purposes) we only describe its signature here. Elpi is expected to provide this brick, as well as any other implementation of λ Prolog.

```
type (\simeq_{\lambda}) tm -> tm -> subst -> subst -> o.
```

The only detail worth discussing is the fact that the procedure updates a substitution, rather than just crafting one as presented in section 2. The reason is that the algorithm folds over a term, updating a substitution while it traverses it.

5 BASIC SIMULATION OF \mathcal{F}_0 IN \mathcal{H}_0

In this section we describe a basic compilation scheme that we refine later, in the following sections. This scheme is sufficient to implement an \simeq_o that respects β -conversion for terms in \mathcal{L}_{λ} . The extension to $\eta\beta$ -conversion is described in Section 6 and the support for terms outside \mathcal{L}_{λ} in Section 8.

5.1 Compilation

The main task of the compiler is to recognize \mathcal{F}_0 variables standing for functions and map them to higher order variables in \mathcal{H}_o . In order to bring back the substitution from \mathcal{H}_0 to \mathcal{F}_0 the compiler builds a "memory map" connecting the the kind of variables using routine (malloc).

The signature of the comp predicate below allows for the generation of links (suspended unification problems) that play no role in this section but play a major role in Sections 6 and 8.

```
type comp fm -> tm -> mmap -> mmap -> links -> links ->
  subst -> subst -> o.
comp (fcon C) (con C) M M L L S S.
comp (flam F) (lam F1) M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2 :-
  comp-lam F F1 M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2.
comp (fuva A) (uva B []) M1 M2 L L S1 S2 :-
 m-alloc (fv A) (hv B (arity z)) M1 M2 S1 S2.
comp (fapp [fuva A|Ag]) (uva B Ag1) M1 M2 L L S1 S2 :-
  pattern-fragment Ag, !,
    fold6 comp Ag Ag1 M1 M1 L L S1 S1,
    len Ag Arity,
    m-alloc (fv A) (hv B (arity Arity)) M1 M2 S1 S2.
comp (fapp A) (app A1) M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2 :-
  fold6 comp A A1 M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2.
```

This preliminary version of comp recognizes \mathcal{F}_0 variables applied to a (possibly empty) duplicate free list of names (i.e. pattern-fragment detects variables in \mathcal{L}_{λ}). Note tha compiling Ag cannot create new mappings nor links, since Ag is made of bound variables and the hypothetical rule loaded by comp-lam (see below) grants this property.

```
type comp-lam (fm -> fm) -> (tm -> tm) ->
  mmap -> mmap -> links -> links -> subst -> subst -> o.
comp-lam F G M1 M2 L1 L3 S1 S2 :-
  pi x y\ (pi M L S\ comp x y M M L L S S) =>
    comp (F x) (G y) M1 M2 L1 (L2 y) S1 S2,
  close-links L2 L3.
```

In the code above the syntax pi x y\.. is syntactic sugar for iterated pi abstraction, as in pi x\ pi y\...

The auxiliary function close-links tests if the bound variable v really occurs in the link. If it is the case the link is wrapped into an additional abs node binding v. In this way links generated deep inside the compiled terms can be moved outside their original context of binders.

```
type close-links (tm -> links) -> links -> o.
close-links (_\[]) [].
close-links (v\[L|XS v]) [L|YS] :- !, close-links XS YS.
close-links (v\setminus[(L\ v)\mid XS\ v]) [abs L|YS] :-
  close-links XS YS.
```

Note that we could remove the second rule, whose purpose is to make links more readable by pruning unneeded abstractions (unused context entries).

5.2 Execution

XXX links are update unlike section 2

```
type hstep tm -> tm -> links -> links -> subst -> subst -> o.
hstep T1 T2 L1 L2 S1 S3 :-
```

explain beffer 631

632 633 634

635

636

637

638

6

```
(T1 \simeq_{\lambda} T2) S1 S2, progress L1 L2 S2 S3.

type progress links -> links -> subst -> o. PROOF SKETCH progress L0 L2 S1 S3 :- terms. What we have can be made equal progress L L1 S1 S2, !, we can find this \mu occur-check-links S2 L1, if (L = L1, S1 = S2) (L2 = L1, S3 = S1) (progress L1 L2 S2 S3)interesting cases:

Note thar ((A \simeq_{\lambda} B) C D) is syntactic sugar for ((\simeq_{\lambda}) A B C D).
```

5.3 Decompilation

```
type decompile mmap -> links -> subst ->
  fsubst -> fsubst -> o.
decompile M1 L S F1 F3 :-
  commit-links L S S1,
  complete-mapping S1 S1 M1 M2 F1 F2,
  decompm M2 M2 S1 F2 F3.
type decompm mmap -> mmap -> subst -> fsubst -> fsubst -> o.
decompm _ [] _ F F.
decompm M [mapping (fv V) (hv H _) [MS] S F1 F3 :- set? H S A,
  deref-assmt S A A1,
  abs->lam A1 T, decomp M T T1,
  eta-contract T1 T2,
  assign V F1 T2 F2,
  decompm M MS S F2 F3.
decompm M [mapping _ (hv H _)|MS] S F1 F2 :- unset? H S,
  decompm M MS S F1 F2.
type decomp mmap \rightarrow tm \rightarrow fm \rightarrow o.
decomp _ (con C) (fcon C).
decomp M (app A) R :- map (decomp M) A [H|Ag], beta H Ag R.
decomp M (lam F) (flam G) :-
 pi \times y \setminus (pi M \setminus decomp M \times y) \Rightarrow decomp M (F x) (G y).
decomp M (uva Hv Ag) R :-
 mem M (mapping (fv Fv) (hv Hv _)),
 map (decomp M) Ag Bg,
 beta (fuva Fv) Bg R.
```

5.4 Definition of \simeq_o and its properties

```
type (\simeq_o) fm -> fm -> fsubst -> o. (A \simeq_o B) F :- comp A A' [] M1 [] [] [] S1, comp B B' M1 M2 [] [] S2 S3, decompm M2 M2 S3 [] F.
```

The code given so far applies to terms in $\beta\eta$ -normal form where unification variables in \mathcal{F}_0 can occur non linearly but always with the same number of arguments, and where their arguments are distinct names (as per \mathcal{L}_{λ}).

```
Lemma 5.1 (Compilation round trip). If comp s t [] M [] _ [] _ then decomp M T s
```

PROOF SKETCH. trivial, since the terms are beta normal beta just builds an app. \qed

Lemma 5.2. Properties (correct) and (complete) hold for the implementation of \simeq_0 above

PROOF SKETCH. In this setting $=_{\lambda}$ is as strong as $=_{o}$ on ground terms. What we have to show is that whenever two different \mathcal{F}_{0} terms can be made equal by a substitution ρ (plus the β_{l} and β_{r} if needed) we can find this ρ by finding a σ via \cong_{λ} on the corresponding \mathcal{H}_{0} terms and by decompiling it. If we look at the \mathcal{F}_{0} terms, the are two interesting cases:

- fuva $X \simeq_{\sigma}$ s. In this case after comp we have $Y \simeq_{\lambda} t$ that succeeds with $\sigma = \{Y \mapsto t\}$ and σ is decompiled to $\rho = \{Y \mapsto s\}$.
- fall[fuva X|L] \simeq_o s. In this case we have $Y_{\vec{x}} \simeq_\lambda t$ that succeeds with $\sigma = \{\vec{y} \vdash Y \mapsto t[\vec{x}/\vec{y}]\}$ that in turn is decompiled to $\rho = \{Y \mapsto \lambda \vec{y}.s[\vec{x}/\vec{y}]\}$. Thanks to $\beta_l \ (\lambda \vec{y}.s[\vec{x}/\vec{y}]) \ \vec{x} =_o$

Since the mapping is a bijection occur check in $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{C}}$, corresponds to ink occur check in \mathcal{F}_{0} .

LEMMA 5.3. Properties simulation (2.1) and fidelity (2.2) hold

PROOF SKETCH. Since progress1 is trivial fstep and hstep are the same, that is in this context where input terms are $\beta\eta$ -normal and we disregard η -equivalence \simeq_{λ} is equivalent to \simeq_{o} .

5.5 Limitations of by this basic scheme

$$\lambda x y F y x = \lambda x y x \tag{6}$$

$$\lambda x. f(F x) x = f(\lambda y. y) \tag{7}$$

Note that here F is used with different arities, moreover in the second problem the left hand side happens to be an eta expansion (of $f(\lambda y.y)$) only after we discover that $F = \lambda x \lambda y.y$ (i.e. that F discards the x argument). Both problems are addressed in the next section.

6 HANDLING OF $\Diamond \eta$

Even though the unification process explained in the previous sections is able to solve a large number of unification problems, it remains still incomplete: W is only a subset of terms in \mathcal{H}_o . In order to capture all the unification properties of $=_o$, we need ad-hoc compilation strategies over those subterms that have been defined as "problematic".

6.1 Compilation

6.2 Progress

```
comp (flam F) (uva A Scope) M1 M2 L1 L3 S1 S3 :- (pi x\ maybe-eta x (F x) [x]), !, alloc S1 A S2, comp-lam F F1 M1 M2 L1 L2 S2 S3, get-scope (lam F1) Scope, L3 = [@val-link-eta (uva A Scope) (lam F1)| L2]. and aux  
%% x occurs rigidly in t iff \forall \sigma, \forall t', t' =_o \sigma t \Rightarrow x \in \mathcal{P}(t')  
%% type occurs-rigidly fm -> fm -> o. occurs-rigidly N N.
```



```
occurs-rigidly _ (fapp [fuva _|_]) :- !, fail.
  occurs-rigidly N (fapp L) :- exists (occurs-rigidly N) L.
  occurs-rigidly N (flam B) :- pi x\ occurs-rigidly N (B x).
  /* maybe-eta N T L succeeds iff T could be an eta expasions for
  %% is \exists \sigma, \sigma(\lambda n, t) = \lambda n, t'n and n
  \%\% does not occur rigidly in t'
  type maybe-eta fm \rightarrow fm \rightarrow list fm \rightarrow o.
  maybe-eta N (fapp[fuva _|Args]) _ :- !,
    exists (x\ maybe-eta-of [] N x) Args, !.
  maybe-eta N (flam B) L :- !, pi \times maybe-eta N (B x) [x | L].
  maybe-eta _ (fapp [fcon _|Args]) L :-
    split-last-n {len L} Args First Last,
    forall1 (x\ forall1 (y\ not (occurs-rigidly x y)) First) L,
    forall2 (maybe-eta-of []) {rev L} Last.
  %% is \exists \sigma, \sigma t =_{\sigma} n
  type maybe-eta-of list fm -> fm -> o.
  maybe-eta-of _ N N :- !.
  maybe-eta-of L N (fapp[fuva _|Args]) :- !,
    forall1 (x\ exists (maybe-eta-of [] x) Args) [N|L].
  maybe-eta-of L N (flam B) :- !,
    pi x\ maybe-eta-of [x | L] N (B x).
  maybe-eta-of L N (fapp [N|Args]) :-
    last-n {len L} Args R,
    forall2 (maybe-eta-of []) R {rev L}.
  TODO: The following goal necessita v1 (lo scope è usato):
X = lam x \setminus lam y \setminus Y y x, X = lam x \setminus f
TODO: The snd unif pb, we have to unif lam x\ lam y\ Y x y
with lam x\ f
TODO: It is not doable, with the same elpi var
  Invarianti: A destra della eta abbiamo sempre un termine che
comincia per \lambda x.bla
  La deduplicate eta:
  - viene chiamata che della forma [variable] -> [eta1] e
  (a destra non c'è mai un termine con testa rigida)
  - i due termini a dx vengono unificati con la unif e uno

→ dei due link viene buttato

    NOTA!! A dx abbiamo sempre un termine della forma lam
    Altrimenti il link sarebbe stato risolto!!
  - dopo l'unificazione rimane un link [variabile] -> [etaX]
  - nella progress-eta, se a sx abbiamo una constante o

    un'app, allora eta-espandiamo

    di uno per poter unificare con il termine di dx.
```

7 ENFORCING INVARIANT 1

Deduplicate mapping code etc...

8 HANDLING OF $\Diamond \beta$

 β -reduction problems $(\diamond \beta)$ appears any time we deal with a subterm $t = Xt_1 \dots t_n$, where X is flexible and the list $[t_1 \dots t_n]$ in not in \mathcal{L}_{λ} . This unification problem is not solvable without loss of generality, since there is not a most general unifier. If we take back the example

given in section 2.1, the unification Fa=a admits two solutions for $F: \rho_1 = \{F \mapsto \lambda x.x\}$ and $\rho_2 = \{F \mapsto \lambda_-a\}$. Despite this, it is possible to work with $\diamond \beta$ if an oracle provides a substitution ρ such that ρt falls again in the \mathcal{L}_{λ} .

N, $\mathfrak{O}_{mathe/o}$ ther hand, the \simeq_{λ} is not designed to understand how the β -redexes work in the object language. Therefore, even if we know that F is assigned to $\lambda x.x$, \simeq_{λ} is not able to unify Fa with a. On the other hand, the problem Fa = G is solvable by \simeq_{λ} , but the final result is that G is assigned to $(\lambda x.x)a$ which breaks the invariant saying that the substitution of the meta language does not generate terms outide \mathcal{W} (Property 2.8).

The solution to this problem is to modify the compiler such that any sub-term t considered as a potential β -redex is replaced with a hole h and a new dedicated link, called link- β .

```
type link-beta tm -> tm -> link.
```

This link carries two terms, the former representing the variable h for the new created hole and the latter containing the subterm t. As for the link- η , we will call h and t respectively the left hand side (lhs) and the right hand side (rhs) of the link- β .

8.1 Compilation

In order to build a link- β , we need to adapt the compiler so that it can recognize these "problematic" subterms. The following code snippet illustrate such behavior, we suppose the rule to be added just after ??.

A term is $\Diamond \beta$ if it has the shape fapp[fuva A|Ag] and distinct Ag does not hold. In that case, Ag is split in two sublist Pf and Extra such that former is the longest prefix of Ag such that distinct Pf holds. Extra is the list such that append Pf Extra Ag. Next important step is to compile recursively the terms of these lists and allocate a memory adress B from the substitution in order to map the \mathcal{F}_0 variable fuva A to the \mathcal{H}_0 variable uva B. The link- β to return in the end is given by the term Beta = app[uva B Scope1 | Extra1] constituting the rhs, and a fresh variable C having in scope all the free variables occurring in Beta (this is lhs). We point out that the rhs is intentionally built as an uva where Extra1 are not in scope, since by invariant, we want all the variables appearing in \mathcal{H}_0 to be in \mathcal{L}_λ .

8.2 Progress

Once created, there exist two main situations waking up a suspended link- β . The former is strictly connected to the definition of β -redex and occurs when the head of rhs is materialized by the oracle (see proposition 2.1). In this case rhs is safely β -reduced to a new term t' and the result can be unified with lhs. In this scenario the link- β has accomplished its goal and can be removed from \mathbb{L} .

explain why6

dire0 che11 si adatta be**ne** nelle ap945 proximar ti0948

The second circumstance making the link- β to progress is the instantiation of the variables in the Extra1 making the corresponding arguments to reduce to names. In this case, we want to take the list Scope1 and append to it the largest prefix of Extra1 in a new variable Scope2 such that Scope2 remains in \mathcal{L}_{λ} ; we call Extra2 the suffix of Extra1 such that the concatenation of Scope1 and Extra1 is the same as the concatenation of Scope2 and Extra2. Finally, two cases should be considered: 1) Extra2 is the empty list, lhs and rhs can be unified: we have two terms in \mathcal{L}_{λ} ; otherwise 2) the link- β in question is replaced with a refined version where the rhs is app[uva C Scope2 | Extra2] and a new link- η is added between the lhs and the new-added variable C.

An example justifying this second link manipulation is given by the following unification problem:

$$f = flam x \land fapp[F, fapp[A, x]].$$

The compilation of these terms produces the new unification problem: f = X0

We obtain the mappings $F \mapsto \mathbf{F}^0$, $A \mapsto \mathbf{A}^1$ and the links:

$$c0 \vdash X3_{c0} =_{\beta} X2 X1_{c0} \tag{8}$$

$$+X0 =_{\eta} \lambda c 0.X3_{c0} \tag{9}$$

where the first link is a link- η between the variable X0, representing the right side of the unification problem (it is a $\Diamond \eta$) and X3; and a link- β between the variable X3 and the subterm $\lambda x.X1_x$ a (it is a $\Diamond \beta$). The substitution tells that $x \vdash X1_x = x$.

We can now represent the hrun execution from this configuration which will, at first, dereference all the links, and then try to solve them. The only link being modified is the second one, which is set to $x \vdash X3 =_{\beta} X2xa$. The rhs of the link has now a variable which is partially in the PF, we can therefore remove the original link- β and replace it with the following couple on links:

$$\vdash$$
 X1 = η = x\ `X4 x'
x \vdash X3 x = β = x\ `X4 x' a

By these links we say that X1 is now η -linked to a fresh variable X4 with arity one. This new variable is used in the new link- β where the name x is in its scope. This allows

8.3 Tricky examples

```
triple ok (@lam x\ @app[@f, @app[@X, x]]) @Y,
triple ok @X (@lam x\ x),
triple ok @Y @f

% @okl 22 [
%    triple ok (@lam x\ @lam y\ @app[@Y, y, x]) @X,
%    triple ok (@lam x\ @f) @X,
% ].
```

9 FIRST ORDER APPROXIMATION

TODO: Coq can solve this: f 1 2 = X 2, by setting X to f 1 **TODO:** We can re-use part of the algo for β given before

10 UNIF ENCODING IN REAL LIFE

TODO: Il ML presentato qui è esattamente elpi TODO: Il OL presentato qui è esattamente coq TODO: Come implementatiamo tutto ciò nel solver

11 RESULTS: STDPP AND TLC

TODO: How may rule are we solving?
TODO: Can we do some perf test

12 CONCLUSION

REFERENCES

- [1] Arthur Charguéraud. "The Optimal Fixed Point Combinator". In: *Interactive Theorem Proving*. Ed. by Matt Kaufmann and Lawrence C. Paulson. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2010, pp. 195–210. ISBN: 978-3-642-14052-5.
- [2] Cvetan Dunchev, Claudio Sacerdoti Coen, and Enrico Tassi. "Implementing HOL in an Higher Order Logic Programming Language". In: *Proceedings of the Eleventh Workshop on Logical Frameworks and Meta-Languages: Theory and Practice.* LFMTP '16. Porto, Portugal: Association for Computing Machinery, 2016. ISBN: 9781450347778. DOI: 10.1145/2966268. 2966272. URL: https://doi.org/10.1145/2966268.2966272.
- [3] Cvetan Dunchev et al. "ELPI: Fast, Embeddable, λProlog Interpreter". In: Logic for Programming, Artificial Intelligence, and Reasoning 20th International Conference, LPAR-20 2015, Suva, Fiji, November 24-28, 2015, Proceedings. Ed. by Martin Davis et al. Vol. 9450. 2015, pp. 460-468. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-662-48899-7_32. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-48899-7%5C 32.
- [4] Amy Felty. "Encoding the Calculus of Constructions in a Higher-Order Logic". In: ed. by M. Vardi. IEEE, June 1993, pp. 233–244. DOI: 10.1109/LICS.1993.287584.
- [5] Amy Felty and Dale Miller. "Specifying theorem provers in a higher-order logic programming language". In: Ninth International Conference on Automated Deduction. Ed. by Ewing Lusk and Ross Overbeck. 310. Argonne, IL: Springer, May 1988, pp. 61–80. DOI: 10.1007/BFb0012823.
- [6] Davide Fissore and Enrico Tassi. "A new Type-Class solver for Coq in Elpi". In: *The Coq Workshop 2023*. Bialystok, Poland, July 2023. URL: https://inria.hal.science/hal-04467855.
- [7] Benjamin Grégoire, Jean-Christophe Léchenet, and Enrico Tassi. "Practical and sound equality tests, automatically Deriving eqType instances for Jasmin's data types with Coq-Elpi". In: CPP '23: 12th ACM SIGPLAN International Conference on Certified Programs and Proofs. CPP 2023: Proceedings of the 12th ACM SIGPLAN International Conference on Certified Programs and Proofs. Boston MA USA, France: ACM, Jan. 2023, pp. 167–181. DOI: 10.1145/3573105.3575683. URL: https://inria.hal.science/hal-03800154.
- [8] RALF JUNG et al. "Iris from the ground up: A modular foundation for higher-order concurrent separation logic". In: *Journal of Functional Programming* 28 (2018), e20. DOI: 10.1017/S0956796818000151.
- [9] Dale Miller. "Unification under a mixed prefix". In: *Journal of Symbolic Computation* 14.4 (1992), pp. 321–358. DOI: 10. 1016/0747-7171(92)90011-R.

- [10] Dale Miller and Gopalan Nadathur. Programming with Higher-Order Logic. Cambridge University Press, 2012. DOI: 10.1017/ CBO9781139021326.
 - [11] Tobias Nipkow, Lawrence C. Paulson, and Markus Wenzel. Isabelle/HOL A Proof Assistant for Higher-Order Logic. Vol. 2283. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer, 2002. ISBN: 3-540-43376-7.
 - [12] Lawrence C. Paulson. "Set theory for verification. I: from foundations to functions". In: J. Autom. Reason. 11.3 (Dec. 1993), pp. 353–389. ISSN: 0168-7433. DOI: 10.1007/BF00881873. URL: https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00881873.
 - [13] F. Pfening. "Elf: a language for logic definition and verified metaprogramming". In: Proceedings of the Fourth Annual Symposium on Logic in Computer Science. Pacific Grove, California, USA: IEEE Press, 1989, pp. 313–322. ISBN: 0818619546.
 - [14] Frank Pfenning and Carsten Schürmann. "System Description: Twelf A Meta-Logical Framework for Deductive Systems". In: Automated Deduction CADE-16. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1999, pp. 202–206. ISBN: 978-3-540-48660-2.
 - [15] Colin Rothgang, Florian Rabe, and Christoph Benzmüller. "Theorem Proving in Dependently-Typed Higher-Order Logic". In: Automated Deduction – CADE 29. Ed. by Brigitte Pientka and Cesare Tinelli. Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland, 2023, pp. 438–455. ISBN: 978-3-031-38499-8.
 - [16] Enrico Tassi. "Deriving proved equality tests in Coq-elpi: Stronger induction principles for containers in Coq". In: ITP 2019 - 10th International Conference on Interactive Theorem Proving. Portland, United States, Sept. 2019. DOI: 10.4230/ LIPIcs.CVIT.2016.23. URL: https://inria.hal.science/hal-01897468.
 - [17] Enrico Tassi. "Elpi: an extension language for Coq (Metaprogramming Coq in the Elpi λProlog dialect)". In: The Fourth International Workshop on Coq for Programming Languages. Los Angeles (CA), United States, Jan. 2018. URL: https://inria.hal.science/hal-01637063.
- [18] The Coq Development Team. The Coq Reference Manual Release 8.18.0. https://coq.inria.fr/doc/V8.18.0/refman. 2023.
- [19] P. Wadler and S. Blott. "How to Make Ad-Hoc Polymorphism Less Ad Hoc". In: Proceedings of the 16th ACM SIGPLAN-SIGACT Symposium on Principles of Programming Languages. POPL '89. Austin, Texas, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, 1989, pp. 60–76. ISBN: 0897912942. DOI: 10.1145/ 75277.75283. URL: https://doi.org/10.1145/75277.75283.
- [20] Makarius Wenzel, Lawrence C. Paulson, and Tobias Nipkow. "The Isabelle Framework". In: *Theorem Proving in Higher Order Logics*. Ed. by Otmane Ait Mohamed, César Muñoz, and Sofiène Tahar. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2008, pp. 33–38. ISBN: 978-3-540-71067-7.

HO unification from object language to meta language **APPENDIX** 1161 1162 This appendix contains the entire code described in this paper. The 1163 code can also be accessed at the URL: https://github.com/FissoreD/ 1165 Note that (a infix b) c d de-sugars to (infix) a b c d. Explain builtin name (can be implemented by loading name after each pi) 1168 1169 13 THE MEMORY 1170 kind addr type. type addr nat -> addr. typeabbrev (mem A) (list (option A)). 1173 1174 type set? addr -> mem A -> A -> o. 1175 set? (addr A) Mem Val :- get A Mem Val. 1176 1177 type unset? addr -> mem A -> o. 1178 unset? Addr Mem :- not (set? Addr Mem _). 1179 1180 type assign-aux nat -> mem A -> A -> mem A -> o. 1181 assign-aux z (none :: L) Y (some Y :: L). 1182 assign-aux (s N) (X :: L) Y (X :: L1) :- assign-aux N L Y L1. 1183 type assign addr -> mem A -> A -> mem A -> o. assign (addr A) Mem1 Val Mem2 :- assign-aux A Mem1 Val Mem2. 1186 type get nat -> mem A -> A -> o. 1188 get z (some Y :: _) Y. 1189 get (s N) (_ :: L) X :- get N L X. 1190 type alloc-aux nat -> mem A -> mem A -> o. 1192

```
alloc-aux z [] [none] :- !.
```

alloc-aux z L L. 1194 alloc-aux (s N) [] [none | M] :- alloc-aux N [] M. 1195

1164

1166

1167

1171

1172

1185

1187

1191

1193

1200

1201

1202

1203

1204 1205

1206

1207

1208

1209

1210 1211

1212

1213

1214

1215

1216

1217

1218

alloc-aux (s N) [X | L] [X | M] :- alloc-aux N L M. type alloc addr -> mem A -> mem A -> o.

alloc (addr A as Ad) Mem1 Mem2 :- unset? Ad Mem1, alloc-aux A Mem1 Mem2.

type new-aux mem A -> nat -> mem A -> o. new-aux [] z [none]. new-aux [A | As] (s N) [A | Bs] :- new-aux As N Bs.

type new mem A \rightarrow addr \rightarrow mem A \rightarrow o. new Mem1 (addr Ad) Mem2 :- new-aux Mem1 Ad Mem2.

14 THE OBJECT LANGUAGE

```
kind fm type.
type fapp list fm -> fm.
type flam (fm -> fm) -> fm.
type fcon string -> fm.
type fuva addr -> fm.
typeabbrev fsubst (mem fm).
```

```
type fder fsubst -> fm -> o.
                                                                        1220
                                                                       1221
fder _ (fcon C) (fcon C).
fder S (fapp A) (fapp B) :- map (fder S) A B.
fder S (flam F) (flam G) :-
  pi x \land fder S x x \Rightarrow fder S (F x) (G x).
                                                                        1224
fder S (fuva N) R :- set? N S T, fder S T R.
                                                                        1225
fder S (fuva N) (fuva N) :- unset? N S.
                                                                        1226
                                                                        1227
type fderef fsubst -> fm -> o.
                                                            (\rho s)
fderef S T T2: - fder S T T1, napp T1 T2.
type napp fm \rightarrow fm \rightarrow o.
                                                                        1231
napp (fcon C) (fcon C).
                                                                        1232
napp (fuva A) (fuva A).
                                                                        1233
napp (flam F) (flam F1) :-
                                                                        1234
  pi x \rightarrow pi x = napp (F x) (F1 x).
                                                                        1235
napp (fapp [fapp L1 |L2]) T :- !,
                                                                        1236
  append L1 L2 L3, napp (fapp L3) T.
                                                                        1237
napp (fapp L) (fapp L1) :- map napp L L1.
                                                                        1238
                                                                        1239
type (=_{o}) fm \rightarrow fm \rightarrow o.
                                                            (=_{o})
                                                                        1240
fcon X =_{o} fcon X.
                                                                        1241
fapp A =_{o} fapp B := forall2 (=_{o}) A B.
                                                                        1242
flam F =_o flam G := pi x \setminus x =_o x \Rightarrow F x =_o G x.
                                                                        1244
fuva N =_{\alpha} fuva N.
flam F =_o T :=
                                                                        1245
                                                            (\eta_l)
  pi x\ beta T [x] (T' x), x =_o x \Rightarrow F x =_o T' x.
                                                                        1246
T =_{o} flam F :=
                                                                        1247
                                                            (\eta_r)
  pi x\ beta T [x] (T' x), x =_o x \Rightarrow T' x =_o F x.
                                                                        1248
fapp [flam X | L] = T: beta (flam X) L R, R = T. (\beta_I)
T =_o fapp [flam X | L] :- beta (flam X) L R, T =_o R. (\beta_r)
                                                                        1250
                                                                        1251
type extend-subst fm -> fsubst -> fsubst -> o.
                                                                        1252
extend-subst (fuva N) S S' :- mem.alloc N S S'.
                                                                        1253
extend-subst (flam F) S S' :-
  pi x \ (pi S\extend-subst x S S) => extend-subst (F x) S S'.
extend-subst (fcon _) S S.
extend-subst (fapp L) S S1 :- fold extend-subst L S S1.
                                                                        1258
type beta fm -> list fm -> fm -> o.
                                                                        1259
beta A [] A.
                                                                        1260
beta (flam Bo) [H | L] R :- beta (Bo H) L R.
                                                                        1261
beta (fapp A) L (fapp X) :- append A L X.
beta (fuva N) L (fapp [fuva N | L]).
                                                                        1263
beta (fcon H) L (fapp [fcon H | L]).
                                                                        1264
beta N L (fapp [N | L]) :- name N.
                                                                        1265
                                                                        1266
type mk-app fm \rightarrow list fm \rightarrow fm \rightarrow o.
                                                                        1267
mk-app T L S :- beta T L S.
type eta-contract fm -> fm -> o.
eta-contract (fcon X) (fcon X).
                                                                        1271
eta-contract (fapp L) (fapp L1) :- map eta-contract L L1.
                                                                        1272
eta-contract (flam F) T :- eta-contract-aux [] (flam F) T.
                                                                        1273
eta-contract (flam F) (flam F1) :-
                                                                        1274
  pi x \le eta-contract x x \implies eta-contract (F x) (F1 x).
                                                                        1275
                                                                        1276
```

```
eta-contract (fuva X) (fuva X).
1277
                                                                                  type prune-same-variable addr -> list tm -> list tm ->
                                                                                                                                                       1335
1278
         eta-contract X X :- name X.
                                                                                                              list tm -> assignment -> o.
                                                                                                                                                       1336
1279
                                                                                  prune-same-variable N [] [] ACC (val (uva N Args)) :-
                                                                                                                                                       1337
         type eta-contract-aux list fm -> fm -> o.
                                                                                    rev ACC Args.
1281
         eta-contract-aux L (flam F) T :-
                                                                                  prune-same-variable N [X|XS] [X|YS] ACC (abs F) :-
                                                                                                                                                       1339
           pi x\ eta-contract-aux [x]L] (F x) T. % also checks H Prefix does muit x\empressee-variable N XS YS [x]ACC] (F x).
                                                                                                                                                       1340
1282
         eta-contract-aux L (fapp [H|Args]) T :-
                                                                                  prune-same-variable N [_|XS] [_|YS] ACC (abs F) :-
                                                                                                                                                       1341
1283
           rev L LRev, append Prefix LRev Args,
                                                                                    pi x\ prune-same-variable N XS YS ACC (F x).
                                                                                                                                                       1342
1284
1285
           if (Prefix = []) (T = H) (T = fapp [H|Prefix]).
                                                                                                                                                       1343
                                                                                  type permute list nat -> list tm -> list tm -> o.
                                                                                                                                                       1344
                                                                                  permute [] _ [].
                                                                                                                                                       1345
       15 THE META LANGUAGE
                                                                                  permute [PIPS] Args [TITS] :-
                                                                                                                                                       1346
         kind inctx type -> type.
                                                                                    nth P Args T.
1289
                                                                                                                                                       1347
                                                                                    permute PS Args TS.
         type abs (tm -> inctx A) -> inctx A.
1290
                                                                                                                                                       1348
1291
         type val A -> inctx A.
                                                                                                                                                       1349
1292
         typeabbrev assignment (inctx tm).
                                                                                  type build-perm-assign addr -> list tm -> list bool ->
                                                                                                                                                       1350
         typeabbrev subst (mem assignment).
                                                                                                        list nat -> assignment -> o.
1293
                                                                                                                                                       1351
1294
                                                                                  build-perm-assign N ArgsR [] Perm (val (uva N PermutedArgs)) :-1352
         kind tm type.
                                                                                    rev ArgsR Args, permute Perm Args PermutedArgs.
1295
         type app list tm -> tm.
                                                                                  build-perm-assign N Acc [tt|L] Perm (abs T) :-
1296
                                                                                                                                                       1354
         type lam (tm \rightarrow tm) \rightarrow tm.
                                                                                    pi x\ build-perm-assign N [x|Acc] L Perm (T x).
1297
                                                                                                                                                       1355
1298
         type con string -> tm.
                                                                                  build-perm-assign N Acc [ff|L] Perm (abs T) :-
                                                                                                                                                       1356
1299
          type uva addr -> list tm -> tm.
                                                                                    pi x\ build-perm-assign N Acc L Perm (T x).
                                                                                                                                                       1357
                                                                                                                                                       1358
         type (\simeq_{\lambda}) tm -> tm -> subst -> subst -> o.
                                                                                  type keep list A -> A -> bool -> o.
                                                                                  keep L A tt :- mem L A, !.
                                                                                                                                                       1360
         (con C \simeq_{\lambda} con C) S S.
                                                                                  keep \_ \_ ff.
         (app L1 \simeq_{\lambda} app L2) S S1 :- fold2 (\simeq_{\lambda}) L1 L2 S S1.
                                                                                                                                                       1361
1303
1304
         (lam F1 \simeq_{\lambda} lam F2) S S1 :-
                                                                                                                                                       1362
1305
           pi x\ (pi S\ (x \simeq_{\lambda} x) S S) => (F1 x \simeq_{\lambda} F2 x) S S1.
                                                                                  type prune-diff-variables addr -> list tm -> list tm ->
                                                                                                                                                       1363
          (uva N Args \simeq_{\lambda} T) S S1 :-
                                                                                                              assignment -> assignment -> o.
1306
           set? N S F,!, move F Args T1, (T1 \simeq_{\lambda} T) S S1.
                                                                                  prune-diff-variables N Args1 Args2 Ass1 Ass2 :-
1307
         (T \simeq_{\lambda} uva N Args) S S1 :-
                                                                                    map (keep Args2) Args1 Bits1,
1308
                                                                                                                                                       1366
           set? N S F,!, move F Args T1, (T \simeq_{\lambda} T1) S S1.
                                                                                    map (keep Args1) Args2 Bits2,
                                                                                                                                                       1367
1309
         (uva M A1 \simeq_{\lambda} uva N A2) S1 S2 :- !,
                                                                                    filter Args1 (mem Args2) ToKeep1,
1310
                                                                                                                                                       1368
1311
           pattern-fragment A1, pattern-fragment A2,
                                                                                    filter Args2 (mem Args1) ToKeep2,
                                                                                                                                                       1369
1312
           prune! M A1 N A2 S1 S2.
                                                                                    map (index ToKeep1) ToKeep1 IdPerm,
                                                                                                                                                       1370
1313
          (uva N Args \simeq_{\lambda} T) S S1 :- not_occ N S T, pattern-fragment Args,
                                                                                    map (index ToKeep1) ToKeep2 Perm21,
                                                                                                                                                       1371
1314
           bind T Args T1, assign N S T1 S1.
                                                                                    build-perm-assign N [] Bits1 IdPerm Ass1,
1315
          (T \simeq_{\lambda} uva N Args) S S1 :- not_occ N S T, pattern-fragment Args,
                                                                                    build-perm-assign N [] Bits2 Perm21 Ass2.
                                                                                                                                                       1373
           bind T Args T1, assign N S T1 S1.
                                                                                                                                                       1374
1316
                                                                                  type beta tm -> list tm -> tm -> o.
1317
                                                                                                                                                       1375
1318
         type prune! addr -> list tm -> addr ->
                                                                                  beta A [] A.
                                                                                                                                                       1376
                      list tm -> subst -> o.
                                                                                  beta (lam Bo) [H | L] R :- beta (Bo H) L R.
                                                                                                                                                       1377
1319
         /* no pruning needed */
1320
                                                                                  beta (app A) L (app X) :- append A L X.
                                                                                                                                                       1378
1321
         prune! N A N A S S :- !.
                                                                                  beta (con H) L (app [con H | L]).
                                                                                                                                                       1379
         prune! M A N A S1 S2 :- !, bind (uva M A) A Ass,
                                                                                  beta X L (app[X|L]) :- name X.
                                                                                                                                                       1380
1322
1323
           assign N S1 Ass S2.
                                                                                                                                                       1381
1324
         /* prune different arguments */
                                                                                  /* occur check for N before crossing a functor */
                                                                                                                                                       1382
1325
         prune! N A1 N A2 S1 S3 :- !,
                                                                                  type not_occ addr -> subst -> tm -> o.
                                                                                                                                                       1383
           new S1 W S2, prune-same-variable W A1 A2 [] Ass,
                                                                                  not_occ N S (uva M Args) :- set? M S F,
                                                                                                                                                       1384
           assign N S2 Ass S3.
                                                                                    move F Args T, not_occ N S T.
         /* prune to the intersection of scopes */
                                                                                  not_occ N S (uva M Args) :- unset? M S, not (M = N),
         prune! N A1 M A2 S1 S4 :- !,
                                                                                    forall1 (not_occ_aux N S) Args.
1329
                                                                                                                                                       1387
           new S1 W S2, prune-diff-variables W A1 A2 Ass1 Ass2,
1330
                                                                                  not_occ _ _ (con _).
                                                                                                                                                       1388
1331
           assign N S2 Ass1 S3,
                                                                                  not_occ N S (app L) :- not_occ_aux N S (app L).
                                                                                                                                                       1389
                                                                                                                                                       1390
           assign M S3 Ass2 S4.
                                                                                  /* Note: lam is a functor for the meta language! */
1332
                                                                                  not_occ N S (lam L) :- pi x\ not_occ_aux N S (L x).
1333
                                                                                                                                                       1391
                                                                                                                                                       1392
                                                                           12
```

```
1393
         not_occ _ _ X :- name X.
                                                                                  kind mapping type.
                                                                                                                                                       1451
1394
         /* finding N is ok */
                                                                                  type mapping fvariable -> hvariable -> mapping.
                                                                                                                                                       1452
1395
         not_occ N _ (uva N _).
                                                                                  typeabbrev mmap (list mapping).
                                                                                                                                                       1453
                                                                                                                                                       1454
1397
         /* occur check for X after crossing a functor */
                                                                                  typeabbrev scope (list tm).
                                                                                                                                                       1455
         type not occ aux addr -> subst -> tm -> o.
                                                                                  typeabbrev inctx ho.inctx.
1398
                                                                                                                                                       1456
         not_occ_aux N S (uva M _) :- unset? M S, not (N = M).
                                                                                  kind baselink type.
                                                                                                                                                       1457
1399
         not_occ_aux N S (uva M Args) :- set? M S F,
                                                                                  type link-eta tm -> tm -> baselink.
1400
                                                                                                                                                       1458
1401
           move F Args T, not_occ_aux N S T.
                                                                                  type link-beta tm -> tm -> baselink.
                                                                                                                                                       1459
         not_occ_aux N S (app L) :- forall1 (not_occ_aux N S) L.
                                                                                  typeabbrev link (inctx baselink).
                                                                                                                                                       1460
         not_occ_aux N S (lam F) :- pi x\ not_occ_aux N S (F x).
                                                                                  typeabbrev links (list link).
         not_occ_aux _ _ (con _).
                                                                                  macro @val-link-eta T1 T2 :- ho.val (link-eta T1 T2).
         not_occ_aux _ _ X :- name X.
1405
                                                                                                                                                       1463
                                                                                  macro @val-link-beta T1 T2 :- ho.val (link-beta T1 T2).
         /* finding N is ko, hence no rule */
1406
                                                                                                                                                       1464
1407
                                                                                                                                                       1465
1408
         /* copy T T' vails if T contains a free variable, i.e. it
                                                                                                                                                       1466
            performs scope checking for bind */
1409
                                                                                                                                                       1467
                                                                                  %% x occurs rigidly in t iff \forall \sigma, \forall t', t' =_{\sigma} \sigma t \Rightarrow x \in \mathcal{P}(t')
1410
         type copy tm -> tm -> o.
                                                                                                                                                       1468
         copy (con C) (con C).
                                                                                                                                                       1469
1411
                         (app L') :- map copy L L'.
                                                                                                                                                       1470
1412
         copy (app L)
                                                                                  type occurs-rigidly fm -> fm -> o.
         copy (lam T) (lam T') :- pi x \cdot copy x x \Rightarrow copy (T x) (T' x).
                                                                                  occurs-rigidly N N.
                                                                                                                                                       1471
1413
1414
         copy (uva A L) (uva A L') :- map copy L L'.
                                                                                  occurs-rigidly _ (fapp [fuva _|_]) :- !, fail.
                                                                                                                                                       1472
1415
                                                                                  occurs-rigidly N (fapp L) :- exists (occurs-rigidly N) L.
                                                                                                                                                       1473
1416
         type bind tm -> list tm -> assignment -> o.
                                                                                  occurs-rigidly N (flam B) :- pi x\ occurs-rigidly N (B x).
                                                                                                                                                       1474
1417
         bind T [] (val T') :- copy T T'.
                                                                                  /* maybe-eta N T L succeeds iff T could be an eta expasions for 1406 that
1418
         bind T [X | TL] (abs T') :- pi \times copy \times x \Rightarrow bind T TL (T' x).
                                                                                  %% is \exists \sigma, \sigma(\lambda n.t) = \lambda n.t'n and n
1419
                                                                                                                                                       1477
1420
         type deref subst -> tm -> tm -> o.
                                                                   (\sigma t)
                                                                                  %% does not occur rigidly in t'
                                                                                                                                                       1478
1421
         deref _ (con C) (con C).
                                                                                  type maybe-eta fm -> fm -> list fm -> o.
                                                                                                                                                       1479
         deref S (app A) (app B) :- map (deref S) A B.
                                                                                  maybe-eta N (fapp[fuva _|Args]) _ :- !,
1422
                                                                                                                                                       1480
1423
         deref S (lam F) (lam G) :-
                                                                                    exists (x\ maybe-eta-of [] N x) Args, !.
           pi x \leq S x x \Rightarrow S = S (F x) (G x).
                                                                                  maybe-eta N (flam B) L :- !, pi x\ maybe-eta N (B x) [x | L].
1424
         deref S (uva N L) R :- set? N S A,
                                                                                  maybe-eta _ (fapp [fcon _|Args]) L :-
1425
                                                                                                                                                       1483
           move A L T, deref S T R.
                                                                                    split-last-n {len L} Args First Last,
                                                                                                                                                       1484
1426
1427
         deref S (uva N A) (uva N B) :- unset? N S,
                                                                                    forall1 (x\ forall1 (y\ not (occurs-rigidly x y)) First) L,
                                                                                                                                                       1485
           map (deref S) A B.
                                                                                    forall2 (maybe-eta-of []) {rev L} Last.
                                                                                                                                                       1487
         type move assignment -> list tm -> tm -> o.
                                                                                  %% is \exists \sigma, \sigma t =_{o} n
         move (abs Bo) [H|L] R :- move (Bo H) L R.
                                                                                  type maybe-eta-of list fm -> fm -> o.
1431
         move (val A) [] A.
                                                                                  maybe-eta-of _ N N :- !.
                                                                                                                                                       1490
1432
                                                                                  maybe-eta-of L N (fapp[fuva _|Args]) :- !,
1433
                                                                                                                                                       1491
1434
                                                                                    forall1 (x\ exists (maybe-eta-of [] x) Args) [N|L].
                                                                                                                                                       1492
         type deref-assmt subst -> assignment -> o.
                                                                                  maybe-eta-of L N (flam B) :- !,
1435
                                                                                                                                                       1493
         deref-assmt S (abs T) (abs R) :- pi x\ deref-assmt S (T x) (R x).
1436
                                                                                    pi x\ maybe-eta-of [x | L] N (B x).
                                                                                                                                                       1494
1437
         deref-assmt S (val T) (val R) :- deref S T R.
                                                                                  maybe-eta-of L N (fapp [N|Args]) :-
                                                                                                                                                       1495
                                                                                    last-n {len L} Args R,
                                                                                                                                                       1496
1438
1439
                                                                                    forall2 (maybe-eta-of []) R {rev L}.
                                                                                                                                                       1497
       16 THE COMPILER
1440
                                                                                                                                                       1498
1441
         kind arity type.
                                                                                                                                                       1499
                                                                                  type locally-bound tm -> o.
1442
         type arity nat -> arity.
                                                                                                                                                       1500
                                                                                  type get-scope-aux tm -> list tm -> o.
1444
         kind fvariable type.
                                                                                  get-scope-aux (con _) [].
         type fv addr -> fvariable.
                                                                                  get-scope-aux (uva _ L) L1 :-
                                                                                                                                                       1503
1445
1446
                                                                                    forall2 get-scope-aux L R,
                                                                                                                                                       1504
1447
         kind hvariable type.
                                                                                    flatten R L1.
                                                                                                                                                       1505
         type hv addr -> arity -> hvariable.
1448
                                                                                  get-scope-aux (lam B) L1 :-
                                                                                                                                                       1506
1449
                                                                                    pi x \setminus locally-bound x \Rightarrow get-scope-aux (B x) L1.
                                                                                                                                                       1507
1450
                                                                                                                                                       1508
                                                                           13
```

```
1509
         get-scope-aux (app L) L1 :-
1510
           forall2 get-scope-aux L R,
1511
           flatten R L1.
         get-scope-aux X [X] :- name X, not (locally-bound X).
1513
         get-scope-aux X [] :- name X, (locally-bound X).
1514
         %% TODO: scrivere undup
1515
         type get-scope tm -> list tm -> o.
1516
1517
         get-scope T Scope :-
1518
           get-scope-aux T ScopeDuplicata,
           names N, filter N (mem ScopeDuplicata) Scope.
         type rigid fm -> o.
1520
         rigid X :- not (X = fuva _).
1521
1522
1523
         type comp-lam (fm \rightarrow fm) \rightarrow (tm \rightarrow tm) \rightarrow
1524
           mmap -> mmap -> links -> links -> subst -> o.
         comp-lam F G M1 M2 L1 L3 S1 S2 :-
1525
1526
           pi x y\ (pi M L S\ comp x y M M L L S S) =>
             comp (F x) (G y) M1 M2 L1 (L2 y) S1 S2,
1527
1528
           close-links L2 L3.
1529
1530
         type close-links (tm -> links) -> links -> o.
1531
         close-links (_\[]) [].
         close-links (v\setminus[L|XS\ v]) [L|YS] :- !, close-links XS YS.
         close-links (v\setminus[(L\ v)]XS\ v]) [abs L[YS] :-
1534
           close-links XS YS.
         type comp fm -> tm -> mmap -> mmap -> links -> links ->
1535
1536
           subst -> subst -> o.
1537
         comp (fcon C) (con C) M M L L S S.
         comp (flam F) (uva A Scope) M1 M2 L1 L3 S1 S3 :-
1538
1539
           (pi x\ maybe-eta x (F x) [x]), !,
             alloc S1 A S2,
1540
             comp-lam F F1 M1 M2 L1 L2 S2 S3,
1541
             get-scope (lam F1) Scope,
1542
1543
             L3 = [@val-link-eta (uva A Scope) (lam F1) | L2].
1544
         comp (flam F) (lam F1) M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2 :-
           comp-lam F F1 M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2.
1545
1546
         comp (fuva A) (uva B []) M1 M2 L L S1 S2 :-
           m-alloc (fv A) (hv B (arity z)) M1 M2 S1 S2.
         comp (fapp [fuva A|Ag]) (uva B Ag1) M1 M2 L L S1 S2 :-
1548
1549
           pattern-fragment Ag, !,
1550
             fold6 comp Ag Ag1 M1 M1 L L S1 S1,
1551
             len Ag Arity,
1552
             m-alloc (fv A) (hv B (arity Arity)) M1 M2 S1 S2.
1553
         comp (fapp [fuva A[Ag]) (uva C Scope) M1 M3 L1 L3 S1 S4 :- !,
           pattern-fragment-prefix Ag Pf Extra,
1554
1555
           fold6 comp Pf
                            Scope1 M1 M1 L1 L1 S1 S1,
1556
           fold6 comp Extra Extra1 M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2,
1557
           len Pf Arity,
           m-alloc (fv A) (hv B (arity Arity)) M2 M3 S2 S3,
           Beta = app [uva B Scope1 | Extra1],
1560
           get-scope Beta Scope.
           alloc S3 C S4,
1561
1562
           L3 = [@val-link-beta (uva C Scope) Beta | L2].
1563
         comp (fapp A) (app A1) M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2 :-
           fold6 comp A A1 M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2.
1564
1565
1566
```

```
type alloc mem A -> addr -> mem A -> o.
                                                                 1567
alloc S N S1 :- mem.new S N S1.
                                                                 1568
                                                                 1569
type compile-terms-diagnostic
  triple diagnostic fm fm ->
                                                                 1571
  triple diagnostic tm tm ->
                                                                 1572
  mmap -> mmap ->
                                                                 1573
 links -> links ->
                                                                 1574
  subst -> subst -> o.
                                                                 1575
compile-terms-diagnostic (triple D FO1 FO2) (triple D HO1 HO2) MT6M3 L1
  comp F01 H01 M1 M2 L1 L2 S1 S2,
  comp F02 H02 M2 M3 L2 L3 S2 S3.
                                                                 1579
type compile-terms
                                                                 1580
  list (triple diagnostic fm fm) ->
                                                                 1581
  list (triple diagnostic tm tm) ->
                                                                 1582
  mmap -> links -> subst -> o.
                                                                 1583
compile-terms T H M L S :-
                                                                 1584
  fold6 compile-terms-diagnostic T H [] M_ [] L_ [] S_,
                                                                 1585
  deduplicate-map M_ M S_ S L_ L.
                                                                 1586
                                                                 1587
type make-eta-link-aux nat -> addr -> addr ->
                                                                 1588
  list tm -> links -> subst -> subst -> o.
                                                                 1589
make-eta-link-aux z Ad1 Ad2 Scope1 L H1 H1 :-
  rev Scope1 Scope, eta-expand (uva Ad2 Scope) @one T1,
  L = [@val-link-eta (uva Ad1 Scope) T1].
                                                                 1592
make-eta-link-aux (s N) Ad1 Ad2 Scope1 L H1 H3 :-
                                                                 1593
  rev Scope1 Scope, alloc H1 Ad H2,
                                                                 1594
  eta-expand (uva Ad Scope) @one T2,
                                                                 1595
  (pi x\ make-eta-link-aux N Ad Ad2 [x|Scope1] (L1 x) H2 H3),
  close-links L1 L2.
  L = [@val-link-eta (uva Ad1 Scope) T2 | L2].
                                                                 1599
type make-eta-link nat -> nat -> addr -> addr ->
                                                                 1600
        list tm -> links -> subst -> o.
                                                                 1601
make-eta-link (s N) z Ad1 Ad2 Vars L H H1 :-
                                                                 1602
  make-eta-link-aux N Ad2 Ad1 Vars L H H1.
make-eta-link z (s N) Ad1 Ad2 Vars L H H1 :-
  make-eta-link-aux N Ad1 Ad2 Vars L H H1.
make-eta-link (s N) (s M) Ad1 Ad2 Vars Links H H1 :-
                                                                 1606
  (pi x\ make-eta-link N M Ad1 Ad2 [x|Vars] (L x) H H1),
                                                                 1607
  close-links L Links.
                                                                 1608
                                                                 1609
type deduplicate-map mmap -> mmap ->
                                                                 1610
    subst -> subst -> links -> links -> o.
deduplicate-map [] [] H H L L.
                                                                 1612
deduplicate-map [(mapping (fv 0) (hv M (arity LenM)) as X1) | Map3] Map3
  take-list Map1 (mapping (fv 0) (hv M' (arity LenM'))) _, !, 1614
  std.assert! (not (LenM = LenM')) "Deduplicate map, there is aldog"
  print "arity-fix links:" {ppmapping X1} "~!~" {ppmapping (mapping (fv
  make-eta-link LenM LenM' M M' [] New H1 H2,
  print "new eta link" {pplinks New},
  append New L1 L2,
                                                                 1619
  deduplicate-map Map1 Map2 H2 H3 L2 L3.
                                                                 1620
deduplicate-map [A|As] [A|Bs] H1 H2 L1 L2 :-
                                                                 1621
  deduplicate-map As Bs H1 H2 L1 L2, !.
                                                                 1622
                                                                 1623
                                                                 1624
```

```
1625
         deduplicate-map [A|_] _ H _ _ _ :-
                                                                                ((ho.uva V Scope) == 1 T1) S1 S2.
                                                                                                                                                1683
1626
           halt "deduplicating mapping error" {ppmapping A} {ho.ppsubst H}.
                                                                                                                                                1684
1627
                                                                              progress-beta-link (ho.uva _ _ as T) (ho.app[ho.uva Ad1 Scope1 46861] as
                                                                                append Scope1 L1 Scope1L,
      17 THE PROGRESS FUNCTION
1629
                                                                                pattern-fragment-prefix Scope1L Scope2 L2,
         macro @one :- s z.
                                                                                not (Scope1 = Scope2). !.
                                                                                                                                                1688
1630
                                                                                mem.new S1 Ad2 S2,
                                                                                                                                                1689
1631
         type contract-rigid list ho.tm -> ho.tm -> ho.tm -> o.
                                                                                len Scope1 Scope1Len,
1632
                                                                                                                                                1690
1633
         contract-rigid L (ho.lam F) T :-
                                                                                len Scope2 Scope2Len,
                                                                                                                                                1691
           pi x\ contract-rigid [x|L] (F x) T. % also checks H Prefix does notmakee-eta-link Scope1Len Scope2Len Ad1 Ad2 [] LinkEta S2 S3,
         contract-rigid L (ho.app [H|Args]) T :-
                                                                                if (L2 = []) (NewLinks = LinkEta, T2 = ho.uva Ad2 Scope2)
           rev L LRev, append Prefix LRev Args,
                                                                                  (T2 = ho.app [ho.uva Ad2 Scope2 | L2],
1636
           if (Prefix = []) (T = H) (T = ho.app [H|Prefix]).
                                                                                  NewLinks = [@val-link-beta T T2 | LinkEtal).
                                                                                                                                                1695
1637
1638
                                                                                                                                                1696
1639
         type progress-eta-link ho.tm -> ho.tm -> ho.tm -> ho.subst -> ho.subst -> limmogressobeta-link T1 (ho.app[ho.uva _ _ | _] as T2) _ _ _ :-
1640
         progress-eta-link (ho.app _ as T) (ho.lam x\ _ as T1) H H1 [] :- !, not (T1 = ho.uva _ _), !, fail.
           ({eta-expand T @one} == 1 T1) H H1.
1641
1642
         progress-eta-link (ho.con _ as T) (ho.lam x\ _ as T1) H H1 [] :- !progress-beta-link (ho.uva _ _ as T) (ho.app[ho.uva _ _ | _] as I702) S1 .
           ({eta-expand T @one} == 1 T1) H H1.
                                                                                occur-check-err T T2 S1, !, fail.
1643
         progress-eta-link (ho.lam _ as T) T1 H H1 [] :- !,
1644
                                                                                                                                                1702
           (T == 1 T1) H H1.
                                                                              progress-beta-link T1 (ho.app[ho.uva _ _ | _] as T2) H H [@val-l7mk-beta
1645
1646
         progress-eta-link (ho.uva _ _ as X) T H H1 [] :-
1647
           contract-rigid [] T T1, !, (X ==1 T1) H H1.
                                                                              progress-beta-link T1 (ho.app [Hd | T1]) S1 S2 B :-
         progress-eta-link (ho.uva Ad _ as T1) T2 H H [@val-link-eta T1 T2] :ho!beta Hd T1 T3,
1648
1649
           if (ho.not_occ Ad H T2) true fail.
                                                                                progress-beta-link-aux T1 T3 S1 S2 B.
1650
         type is-in-pf ho.tm -> o.
                                                                              type solve-link-abs link -> links -> ho.subst -> ho.subst -> o.1709
1651
1652
         is-in-pf (ho.app [ho.uva _ _ | _]) :- !, fail.
                                                                              solve-link-abs (ho.abs X) R H H1 :-
1653
         is-in-pf (ho.lam B) :- !, pi x\ is-in-pf (B x).
                                                                                pi x\ ho.copy x x \Rightarrow (pi S\ ho.deref S x x) \Rightarrow
                                                                                                                                                1711
                                                                                  solve-link-abs (X x) (R' x) H H1,
                                                                                                                                                1712
1654
         is-in-pf (ho.con _).
         is-in-pf (ho.app L) :- forall1 is-in-pf L.
1655
                                                                                close-links R' R.
         is-in-pf N :- name N.
                                                                                                                                                1714
1656
         is-in-pf (ho.uva _ L) :- pattern-fragment L.
                                                                              solve-link-abs (@val-link-eta A B) NewLinks S S1 :- !,
                                                                                                                                                1715
1657
                                                                                progress-eta-link A B S S1 NewLinks.
                                                                                                                                                1716
1658
1659
         type arity ho.tm -> nat -> o.
                                                                                                                                                1717
         arity (ho.con _) z.
                                                                              solve-link-abs (@val-link-beta A B) NewLinks S S1 :- !,
                                                                                                                                                1718
                                                                                progress-beta-link A B S S1 NewLinks.
         arity (ho.app L) A :- len L A.
                                                                                                                                                1719
         type occur-check-err ho.tm -> ho.tm -> ho.subst -> o.
                                                                              type take-link link -> links -> link -> links -> o.
                                                                                                                                                1721
1663
         occur-check-err (ho.con _) _ _ :- !.
                                                                              take-link A [B|XS] B XS :- link-abs-same-lhs A B, !.
                                                                                                                                                1722
1664
                                                                              take-link A [L|XS] B [L|YS] :- take-link A XS B YS.
1665
         occur-check-err (ho.app _) _ _ :- !.
                                                                                                                                                1723
1666
         occur-check-err (ho.lam _) _ _ :- !.
                                                                                                                                                1724
         occur-check-err (ho.uva Ad _) T S :-
                                                                              type link-abs-same-lhs link -> link -> o.
                                                                                                                                                1725
1667
                                                                              link-abs-same-lhs (ho.abs F) B :-
1668
           not (ho.not_occ Ad S T).
                                                                                                                                                1726
                                                                                pi x\ link-abs-same-lhs (F x) B.
                                                                                                                                                1727
1669
         type progress-beta-link-aux ho.tm -> ho.tm ->
                                                                              link-abs-same-lhs A (ho.abs G) :-
                                                                                                                                                1728
1670
                 ho.subst -> ho.subst -> links -> o.
                                                                                pi x\ link-abs-same-lhs A (G x).
                                                                                                                                                1729
1671
1672
         progress-beta-link-aux T1 T2 S1 S2 [] :- is-in-pf T2, !,
                                                                              link-abs-same-lhs (@val-link-eta (ho.uva N _) _) (@val-link-eta176ho.uva
1673
           (T1 == 1 T2) S1 S2.
         progress-beta-link-aux T1 T2 S S [@val-link-beta T1 T2] :- !.
                                                                              type same-link-eta link -> link -> ho.subst -> ho.subst -> o. 1732
1674
                                                                              same-link-eta (ho.abs F) B H H1 :- !, pi x\ same-link-eta (F x)!8^3H H1.
1676
         type progress-beta-link ho.tm -> ho.tm -> ho.subst ->
                                                                              same-link-eta A (ho.abs G) H H1 :- !, pi x\ same-link-eta A (G x)⁴H H1.
               ho.subst -> links -> o.
                                                                              same-link-eta (@val-link-eta (ho.uva N S1) A)
                                                                                                                                                1735
1677
         progress-beta-link T (ho.app[ho.uva V Scope | L] as T2) S S2 [@val-link-beta T T2(Pval-link-eta (ho.uva N S2) B) H H1:-
1678
                                                                                                                                                1736
1679
           arity T Arity, len L ArgsNb, ArgsNb >n Arity, !,
                                                                                std.map2 S1 S2 (x\y\r\ r = ho.copy x y) Perm,
                                                                                                                                                1737
           minus ArgsNb Arity Diff, mem.new S V1 S1,
                                                                                Perm => ho.copy A A',
                                                                                                                                                1738
1680
                                                                                (A' == 1 B) H H1.
1681
           eta-expand (ho.uva V1 Scope) Diff T1,
                                                                                                                                                1739
1682
                                                                                                                                                1740
                                                                        15
```

```
1741
                                                                                     add-new-map H T L L1 S S1.
                                                                                                                                                         1799
         type progress1 links -> links -> ho.subst -> ho.subst -> o.
                                                                                     add-new-map-aux H Ts L1 L2 S1 S2.
1742
                                                                                                                                                         1800
1743
         progress1 [] [] X X.
                                                                                                                                                         1801
         progress1 [A|L1] [A|L3] S S2 :- take-link A L1 B L2, !,
                                                                                   type add-new-map ho.subst -> ho.tm -> map ->
1744
1745
           same-link-eta A B S S1,
                                                                                        map -> fo.fsubst -> fo.fsubst -> o.
           progress1 L2 L3 S1 S2.
                                                                                   add-new-map _ (ho.uva N _) Map [] F1 F1 :-
                                                                                                                                                         1804
1746
         progress1 [L0|L1] L3 S S2 :- deref-link S L0 L,
                                                                                     mem Map (mapping _ (hv N _)), !.
1747
                                                                                                                                                         1805
           solve-link-abs L R S S1, !,
                                                                                   add-new-map H (ho.uva N L) Map [Map1 | MapL] F1 F3 :-
1748
                                                                                                                                                         1806
1749
           progress1 L1 L2 S1 S2, append R L2 L3.
                                                                                     mem.new F1 M F2,
                                                                                                                                                         1807
                                                                                     len L Arity, Map1 = mapping (fv M) (hv N (arity Arity)),
1751
                                                                                     add-new-map H (ho.app L) [Map1 | Map] MapL F2 F3.
       18 THE DECOMPILER
                                                                                   add-new-map H (ho.lam B) Map NewMap F1 F2 :-
                                                                                     pi x\ add-new-map H (B x) Map NewMap F1 F2.
         type abs->lam ho.assignment -> ho.tm -> o.
1753
                                                                                                                                                         1811
         abs->lam (ho.abs T) (ho.lam R) :- !, pi x\ abs->lam (T x) (R x). add-new-map H (ho.app L) Map NewMap F1 F3 :-
                                                                                                                                                         1812
1754
1755
         abs->lam (ho.val A) A.
                                                                                     add-new-map-aux H L Map NewMap F1 F3.
                                                                                                                                                         1813
1756
                                                                                   add-new-map _ (ho.con _) _ [] F F :- !.
                                                                                                                                                         1814
         type commit-links-aux link -> ho.subst -> ho.subst -> o.
                                                                                   add-new-map _ N _ [] F F :- name N.
1757
                                                                                                                                                         1815
1758
         commit-links-aux (@val-link-eta T1 T2) H1 H2 :-
                                                                                                                                                         1816
1759
           ho.deref H1 T1 T1', ho.deref H1 T2 T2',
                                                                                   type complete-mapping-under-ass ho.subst -> ho.assignment ->
                                                                                                                                                         1817
           (T1' == 1 T2') H1 H2.
                                                                                     map -> map -> fo.fsubst -> fo.fsubst -> o.
1760
                                                                                                                                                         1818
         commit-links-aux (@val-link-beta T1 T2) H1 H2 :-
                                                                                   complete-mapping-under-ass H (ho.val Val) Map1 Map2 F1 F2 :-
1761
                                                                                                                                                         1819
1762
           ho.deref H1 T1 T1', ho.deref H1 T2 T2',
                                                                                     add-new-map H Val Map1 Map2 F1 F2.
                                                                                                                                                         1820
                                                                                   complete-mapping-under-ass H (ho.abs Abs) Map1 Map2 F1 F2 :-
            (T1' == 1 T2') H1 H2.
                                                                                                                                                         1821
         commit-links-aux (ho.abs B) H H1 :-
                                                                                     pi x\ complete-mapping-under-ass H (Abs x) Map1 Map2 F1 F2.
1765
           pi x\ commit-links-aux (B x) H H1.
                                                                                   type complete-mapping ho.subst -> ho.subst ->
1766
         type commit-links links -> links -> ho.subst -> ho.subst -> o.
                                                                                     map -> map -> fo.fsubst -> fo.fsubst -> o.
                                                                                                                                                         1825
1767
1768
         commit-links [] [] H H.
                                                                                   complete-mapping _ [] L L F F.
                                                                                                                                                         1826
1769
         commit-links [Abs | Links] L H H2 :-
                                                                                   complete-mapping H [none | Tl] L1 L2 F1 F2 :-
                                                                                                                                                         1827
           commit-links-aux Abs H H1, !, commit-links Links L H1 H2.
                                                                                     complete-mapping H Tl L1 L2 F1 F2.
1770
                                                                                                                                                         1828
                                                                                   complete-mapping H [some T0 | T1] L1 L3 F1 F3 :-
1771
1772
         type decompl-subst map -> map -> ho.subst ->
                                                                                     ho.deref-assmt H T0 T,
                                                                                                                                                         1830
1773
           fo.fsubst -> fo.fsubst -> o.
                                                                                     complete-mapping-under-ass H T L1 L2 F1 F2,
                                                                                                                                                         1831
         \label{eq:decomplex} \mbox{decompl-subst $\_[A|\_] $\_ $\_ $\_ :- fail.}
                                                                                     append L1 L2 LAll,
                                                                                                                                                         1832
1774
1775
         decompl-subst _ [] _ F F.
                                                                                     complete-mapping H Tl LAll L3 F2 F3.
                                                                                                                                                         1833
1776
         decompl-subst Map [mapping (fv V0) (hv VM _)[T1] H F F2 :-
                                                                                                                                                         1834
                                                                                   type decompile map -> links -> ho.subst ->
           mem.set? VM H T, !,
                                                                                                                                                         1835
           ho.deref-assmt H T TTT,
                                                                                     fo.fsubst -> fo.fsubst -> o.
                                                                                                                                                         1836
           abs->lam TTT T', tm->fm Map T' T1,
                                                                                   decompile Map1 L HO FO FO2 :-
           fo.eta-contract T1 T2, mem.assign V0 F T2 F1,
                                                                                     commit-links L L1_ HO HO1, !,
                                                                                                                                                         1838
1780
           decompl-subst Map Tl H F1 F2.
                                                                                     complete-mapping HO1 HO1 Map1 Map2 FO FO1,
1781
                                                                                                                                                         1839
1782
         decompl-subst Map [mapping _ (hv VM _)|T1] H F F2 :-
                                                                                     decompl-subst Map2 Map2 H01 F01 F02.
                                                                                                                                                         1840
           mem.unset? VM H, decompl-subst Map Tl H F F2.
                                                                                                                                                         1841
1783
1784
                                                                                                                                                         1842
                                                                                 19 AUXILIARY FUNCTIONS
1785
         type tm\rightarrow fm map \rightarrow ho.tm \rightarrow fo.fm \rightarrow o.
                                                                                                                                                         1843
                                                                                   type fold4 (A \rightarrow A1 \rightarrow B \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow C \rightarrow o) \rightarrow list A \rightarrow
1786
         tm->fm _ (ho.con C) (fo.fcon C).
                                                                                                                                                         1844
                                                                                     list A1 \rightarrow B \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow C \rightarrow o.
1787
         tm->fm L (ho.lam B1) (fo.flam B2) :-
                                                                                                                                                         1845
                                                                                   fold4 _ [] [] A A B B.
1788
           pi \times y \setminus tm \rightarrow fm \ x \ y \Rightarrow tm \rightarrow fm \ L \ (B1 \ x) \ (B2 \ y).
                                                                                                                                                         1846
                                                                                   fold4 F [X|XS] [Y|YS] A A1 B B1 :- F X Y A A0 B B0,
1789
          tm\rightarrow fm L (ho.app L1) T := map (tm\rightarrow fm L) L1 [Hd|T1],
                                                                                                                                                         1847
                                                                                     fold4 F XS YS A0 A1 B0 B1.
            fo.mk-app Hd Tl T.
                                                                                                                                                         1848
          tm->fm L (ho.uva VM TL) T :- mem L (mapping (fv VO) (hv VM _)),
                                                                                   type len list A -> nat -> o.
           map (tm->fm L) TL T1, fo.mk-app (fo.fuva V0) T1 T.
1792
                                                                                   len [] z.
                                                                                                                                                         1851
1793
                                                                                   len [\_|L] (s X) :- len L X.
1794
         type add-new-map-aux ho.subst -> list ho.tm -> map ->
                                                                                                                                                         1852
1795
                map -> fo.fsubst -> fo.fsubst -> o.
                                                                                                                                                         1853
         add-new-map-aux _ [] _ [] S S.
                                                                                                                                                         1854
1796
         add-new-map-aux H [T|Ts] L L2 S S2 :-
1797
                                                                                                                                                         1855
1798
                                                                                                                                                         1856
                                                                            16
```