

# APX

For other uses, see [APX \(disambiguation\)](#).

In [complexity theory](#) the class **APX** (an abbreviation of “approximable”) is the set of **NP optimization problems** that allow [polynomial-time approximation algorithms](#) with approximation ratio bounded by a constant (or **constant-factor approximation algorithms** for short). In simple terms, problems in this class have efficient [algorithms](#) that can find an answer within some fixed multiplicative factor of the optimal answer.

An approximation algorithm is called an  $f(n)$ -approximation algorithm for input size  $n$  if it can be proven that the solution that the algorithm finds is at most a multiplicative factor of  $f(n)$  times worse than the optimal solution. Here,  $f(n)$  is called the *approximation ratio*. Problems in APX are those with algorithms for which the approximation ratio  $f(n)$  is a constant  $c$ . The approximation ratio is conventionally stated greater than 1. In the case of minimization problems,  $f(n)$  is the found solution’s score divided by the optimum solution’s score, while for maximization problems the reverse is the case. For maximization problems, where an inferior solution has a smaller score,  $f(n)$  is sometimes stated as less than 1; in such cases, the reciprocal of  $f(n)$  is the ratio of the score of the found solution to the score of the optimum solution.

If there is a polynomial-time algorithm to solve a problem to within *every* multiplicative factor of the optimum other than 1, then the problem is said to have a [polynomial-time approximation scheme \(PTAS\)](#). Unless  $P=NP$  there exist problems that are in APX but without a PTAS, so the class of problems with a PTAS is strictly contained in APX. One such problem is the [bin packing problem](#).

## 1 APX-Hardness and APX-Completeness

A problem is said to be **APX-hard** if there is a [PTAS reduction](#) from every problem in APX to that problem, and to be **APX-complete** if the problem is APX-hard and also in APX. As a consequence of  $P \neq NP \Rightarrow PTAS \neq APX$ , the fact  $P \neq NP$  implies that no APX-hard problem has a PTAS. In practice, reducing one problem to another to demonstrate APX-completeness is often done using other reduction schemes, such as [L-reductions](#), which imply PTAS reductions.

### 1.1 Examples

One of the simplest APX-complete problems is [MAX-3SAT-3](#), a variation of the [boolean satisfiability problem](#). In this problem, we have a boolean formula in [conjunctive normal form](#) where each variable appears at most 3 times, and we wish to know the maximum number of clauses that can be simultaneously satisfied by a single assignment of true/false values to the variables.

Other APX-complete problems include:

- [Max Independent Set](#) in bounded-degree graphs (here, the approximation ratio depends on the maximum degree of the graph, but is constant if the max degree is fixed).
- [Min Vertex Cover](#). The complement of any maximal independent set must be a vertex cover.
- [Min Dominating Set](#) in bounded-degree graphs.
- The [travelling salesman problem](#) when the distances in the graph satisfy the conditions of a metric. TSP is [NPO-complete](#) in the general case.
- The [Token reconfiguration problem](#), via [L-reduction](#) from set cover.

## 2 Related complexity classes

### 2.1 PTAS

Main article: [Polynomial-time approximation scheme](#)

PTAS (*Polynomial Time Approximation Scheme*) consists of problems that can be approximated to within any constant factor besides 1 in time that is polynomial to the input size, but the polynomial depends on such factor. This class is a subset of APX.

### 2.2 APX-Intermediate

Unless  $P = NP$ , there exist problems in APX that are neither in PTAS nor APX-complete. Such problems can be thought of as having a hardness between PTAS problems and APX-complete problems, and may be called **APX-intermediate**. The [bin packing problem](#) is thought to be APX-intermediate. Despite not having a known PTAS,

the bin packing problem has several “asymptotic PTAS” algorithms, which behave like a PTAS when the optimum solution is large, so intuitively it may be easier than problems that are APX-hard.

One other example of a potentially APX-intermediate problem is [Min Edge Coloring](#).

## 2.3 $f(n)$ -APX

One can also define a family of complexity classes  $f(n)$ -APX, where  $f(n)$ -APX contains problems with a polynomial time approximation algorithm with a  $O(f(n))$  approximation ratio. One can analogously define  $f(n)$ -APX-complete classes; some such classes contain well-known optimization problems. Log-APX-completeness and Poly-APX-completeness are defined in terms of [AP-reductions](#) rather than PTAS-reductions; this is because PTAS-reductions are not strong enough to preserve membership in Log-APX and Poly-APX, even though they suffice for APX.

Log-APX-complete, consisting of the hardest problems that can be approximated efficiently to within a factor logarithmic in the input size, includes [Min Dominating Set](#) when degree is unbounded.

Poly-APX-complete, consisting of the hardest problems that can be approximated efficiently to within a factor polynomial in the input size, includes [Max Independent Set](#) in the general case.

There also exist problems that are Exp-APX-complete, where the approximation ratio is exponential in the input size. This may occur when the approximation is dependent on the value of numbers within the problem instance; these numbers may be expressed in space logarithmic in their value, hence the exponential factor.

## 3 See also

- [Approximation-preserving reduction](#)
- [Complexity class](#)
- [Approximation algorithm](#)
- [Max/min CSP/Ones classification theorems](#) - a set of theorems that enable mechanical classification of problems about boolean relations into approximability complexity classes

## 4 References

- [Complexity Zoo: APX](#)
- C. Papadimitriou and M. Yannakakis. Optimization, approximation and complexity classes. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, 43:425–440, 1991.

- Pierluigi Crescenzi, Viggo Kann, Magnús Halldórsson, Marek Karpinski and Gerhard Woeginger. [Maximum Satisfiability. A compendium of NP optimization problems](#). Last updated March 20, 2000.

## 5 Text and image sources, contributors, and licenses

### 5.1 Text

- **APX** *Source:* <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/APX?oldid=775348145> *Contributors:* Edemaine, Dcoetzee, Altenmann, Giftlite, Mellum, Noktulo, NathanHurst, DcoetzeeBot~enwiki, Zaslav, LOL, Cgray4, Nethgurb, JoanneB, Apanag, Kevin Ryde, Sadeq, AndreasKaiser, LachlanA, MER-C, David Eppstein, Cobi, STBotD, VolkovBot, Bender2k14, Printer222, Addbot, Yobot, AnomieBOT, Twri, FrescoBot, Paine Ellsworth, Gianluca DV, Jasonanaggie, BG19bot, Infinitestory, Maformatiker and Anonymous: 21

### 5.2 Images

### 5.3 Content license

- Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 3.0