

## Lecture 12

### Cyclotomic Polynomials, Primes Congruent to 1 mod n

**Cyclotomic Polynomials** - just as we have primitive roots mod  $p$ , we can have primitive  $n^{\text{th}}$  roots of unity in the complex numbers. Recall that there are  $n$  distinct  $n^{\text{th}}$  roots of unity - ie., solutions of  $z^n = 1$ , in the complex numbers. We can write them as  $e^{2\pi i j/n}$  for  $j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ . They form a regular  $n$ -gon on the unit circle.

We say that  $z$  is a primitive  $n^{\text{th}}$  root of unity if  $z^d \neq 1$  for any  $d$  smaller than  $n$ . If we write  $z = e^{2\pi i j/n}$ , this is equivalent to saying  $(j, n) = 1$ . So there are  $\phi(n)$  primitive  $n^{\text{th}}$  roots of unity.

**Eg.** 4th roots of 1 are solutions of  $z^4 - 1 = 0$ , or  $(z-1)(z+1)(z^2+1) = 0 \Rightarrow z = 1, -1 \pm i$

Now 1 is a primitive first root of unity,  $-1$  is a primitive second root of unity, and  $\pm i$  are primitive fourth roots of unity. Notice that  $\pm i$  are roots of the polynomial  $z^2 + 1$ . In general, define

$$\Phi_n(x) = \prod_{\substack{(j,n)=1 \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}} (x - e^{2\pi i j/n})$$

This is the  $n^{\text{th}}$  cyclotomic polynomial.

We'll prove soon that  $\Phi_n(x)$  is a polynomial with integer coefficients. Another fact is that it is **irreducible**, ie., cannot be factored into polynomials of smaller degree with integer coefficients (we won't prove this, however).

Anyway, here is how to compute  $\Phi_n(x)$ : take  $x^n - 1$  and factor it. Remove all factors which divide  $x^d - 1$  for some  $d|n$  and less than  $n$ .

**Eg.**  $\Phi_6(x)$ . Start with  $x^6 - 1 = (x^3 - 1)(x^3 + 1)$ . Throw out  $x^3 - 1$  since  $3|6$  and  $3 < 6$ .  $x^3 + 1 = (x+1)(x^2 - x + 1)$ . Throw out  $x+1$  which divides  $x^2 - 1$ , since  $2|6$ ,  $2 < 6$ . We're left with  $x^2 - x + 1$  and it must be  $\Phi_6(x)$  since it has the right degree  $2 = \phi(6)$  (the  $n^{\text{th}}$  cyclotomic polynomial has degree  $\phi(n)$ , by definition).

If you write down the first few cyclotomic polynomials you'll notice that the coefficient seems to be 0 or  $\pm 1$ . But in fact,  $\Phi_{105}(x)$  has  $-2$  as a coefficient, and the coefficients can be arbitrarily large if  $n$  is large enough.

These polynomials are very interesting and useful in number theory. For instance, we're going to use them to prove that given any  $n$ , there are infinitely many primes congruent to 1 mod  $n$ .

**Eg.**  $\Phi_4(x) = x^2 + 1$  and the proof for primes  $\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  used  $(2p_1 \dots p_n)^2 + 1$

**Proposition 45.** 1.  $x^n - 1 = \prod \Phi_n(x)$

2.  $\Phi_n(x)$  has integer coefficients

3. For  $n \geq 2$ ,  $\Phi_n(x)$  is reciprocal; ie.,  $\Phi_n(\frac{1}{x}) \cdot x^{\varphi(n)} = \Phi_n(x)$  (ie., coefficients are palindromic)

*Proof.* 1. is easy - we have

$$x^n - 1 = \prod_{1 \leq j \leq n} (x - e^{2\pi i j/n})$$

If  $(j, n) = d$  then  $e^{2\pi i j/n} = e^{2\pi i j'/n'}$  where  $j' = \frac{j}{d}$ ,  $n' = \frac{n}{d}$ , and  $(j', n') = 1$ .  $(x - e^{2\pi i j'/n'})$  is one of the factors of  $\Phi_{n'}(x)$  and  $n' | n$ . Looking at all possible  $j$ , we recover all the factors of  $\Phi_{n'}(x)$ , for every  $n'$  dividing  $n$ , exactly once. So

$$x^n - 1 = \prod_{n' | n} \Phi_{n'}(x)$$

2. By induction.  $\Phi_1(x) = x - 1$ . Suppose true for  $n < m$ . Then

$$x^m - 1 = \prod_{d|m} \Phi_d(x) = \underbrace{\left( \prod_{\substack{d|m \\ d < m}} \Phi_d(x) \right)}_{\text{monic (by defn), integer coefficients (by ind. hypothesis)}} \cdot \Phi_m(x)$$

So  $\Phi_m(x)$ , obtained by dividing a polynomial with integer coefficients, by a monic polynomial with integer coefficients, also has integer coefficients. This completes the induction.

3. By induction. True for  $n = 2$ , since  $\Phi_2(x) = x + 1$ .

$$\Phi_2\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) x^{\varphi(2)} = \left(\frac{1}{x} + 1\right) x = x + 1 = \Phi_2(x)$$

Suppose true for  $n < m$ . If we plug in  $\frac{1}{x}$  into

$$\begin{aligned} x^m - 1 &= \prod_{d|m} \Phi_d(x) \\ \left(\frac{1}{x}\right)^m - 1 &= \prod_{d|m} \Phi_d\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \\ &= \left( \prod_{\substack{1 < d < m \\ d|m}} \Phi_d\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \right) \cdot \Phi_m\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{x} - 1\right) \end{aligned}$$

Multiply by  $x^m = \sum_{x^d|m} \varphi(d) = \prod_{d|m} x^{\varphi(d)}$  - proved before - to get

$$\begin{aligned}
1 - x^m &= \left( \prod_{\substack{1 < d < m \\ d|m}} \Phi_d \left( \frac{1}{x} \right) x^{\varphi(d)} \right) \cdot \Phi_m \left( \frac{1}{x} \right) x^{\varphi(m)} \cdot \left( \frac{1}{x} - 1 \right) x \\
-(x^m - 1) &= \left( \prod_{\substack{1 < d < m \\ d|m}} \underbrace{\Phi_d(x)}_{\text{by ind hyp}} \right) \cdot \Phi_m \left( \frac{1}{x} \right) x^{\varphi(m)} \cdot (1 - x) \\
-\prod_{d|m} \Phi_d(x) &= \left( \prod_{\substack{1 < d < m \\ d|m}} \Phi_d(x) \right) \cdot \Phi_m \left( \frac{1}{x} \right) x^{\varphi(m)} \cdot (-\Phi_1(x))
\end{aligned}$$

Cancelling almost all the factors we get

$$\Phi_m(x) = \Phi_m \left( \frac{1}{x} \right) x^{\varphi(m)}$$

completing the induction. ■

**Lemma 46.** *Let  $p \nmid n$  and  $m|n$  be a proper divisor of  $n$  (ie.,  $m \neq n$ ). Then  $\Phi_n(x)$  and  $x^m - 1$  cannot have a common root mod  $p$ .*

*Proof.* By contradiction. Suppose  $a$  is a common root mod  $p$ . Then  $a^m \equiv 1 \pmod p$  forces  $(a, p) = 1$ . Next,

$$x^n - 1 = \prod_{d|n} \Phi_d(x) = \Phi_n(x) \prod_{\substack{d|n \\ d < n}} \Phi_d(x)$$

Notice that  $x^m - 1 = \prod_{d|m} \Phi_d(x)$  has all its factors in the last product. So this shows  $x^n - 1$  has a double root at  $a$ , ie.,  $(x^n - 1) \equiv (x - a)^2 f(x) \pmod p$  for some  $f(x)$ . Then the derivative must also vanish at  $a \pmod p$ , so  $na^{n-1} \equiv 0 \pmod p$ .

But  $p \nmid n$  and  $p \nmid a$ , a contradiction. ( $\nmid$ ) ■

Now, we're ready to prove the main theorem.

**Theorem 47.** *Let  $n$  be a positive integer. There are infinitely many primes congruent to 1 mod  $n$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose not, and let  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_N$  be all the primes congruent to  $1 \pmod n$ . Choose some large number  $l$  and let  $M = \Phi_n(l p_1 \dots p_N)$ . Since  $\Phi_n(x)$  is monic, if  $l$  is large enough,  $M$  will be  $> 1$  and so divisible by some prime  $p$ .

First, note that  $p$  cannot equal  $p_i$  for any  $i$ , since  $\Phi_n(x)$  has constant term 1, and so  $p_i$  divides every term except the last of  $\Phi_n(l p_1 \dots p_N) \Rightarrow$  it doesn't divide  $M$ . For the same reason we have  $p \nmid n$ . In fact,  $(p, a) = 1$  where  $a = l p_1 \dots p_N$ .

Now  $\Phi_n(a) \equiv 0 \pmod p$  by definition, which means  $a^n \equiv 1 \pmod p$ . By the lemma, we cannot have  $a^m \equiv 1 \pmod p$  for any  $m|n, m < n$ . So the order of  $a \pmod p$  is exactly  $n$ , which means that  $n|p-1$  since  $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod p \Rightarrow p \equiv 1 \pmod n$ , exhibiting another prime which is  $\equiv 1 \pmod n$ . Contradiction. ( $\nexists$ ) ■

Note - we did not even need to assume that there's a single prime  $\equiv 1 \pmod n$ ; if  $N = 0$  take the empty product, ie., 1, and we end up looking at  $\Phi_n(l)$  for large  $l$ .

MIT OpenCourseWare  
<http://ocw.mit.edu>

18.781 Theory of Numbers  
Spring 2012

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <http://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.