

Pigeonhole principle

In mathematics, the **pigeonhole principle** states that if n items are put into m containers, with $n > m$, then at least one container must contain more than one item.^[1] This theorem is exemplified in real life by truisms like "in any group of three gloves there must be at least two left gloves or two right gloves". It is an example of a counting argument. This seemingly obvious statement can be used to demonstrate possibly unexpected results; for example, that there are two people in London who have the same number of hairs on their heads.

The first formalization of the idea is believed to have been made by Peter Gustav Lejeune Dirichlet in 1834 under the name *Schubfachprinzip* ("drawer principle" or "shelf principle"). For this reason it is also commonly called **Dirichlet's box principle** or **Dirichlet's drawer principle**.^[2] This should not be confused with **Dirichlet's principle**, a term introduced by Riemann that refers to the minimum principle for harmonic functions.

The principle has several generalizations and can be stated in various ways. In a more quantified version: for natural numbers k and m , if $n = km + 1$ objects are distributed among m sets, then the pigeonhole principle asserts that at least one of the sets will contain at least $k + 1$ objects.^[3] For arbitrary n and m this generalizes to $k + 1 = \lfloor (n - 1)/m \rfloor + 1$, where $\lfloor \dots \rfloor$ is the floor function.

Though the most straightforward application is to finite sets (such as pigeons and boxes), it is also used with infinite sets that cannot be put into one-to-one correspondence. To do so requires the formal statement of the pigeonhole principle, which is "*there does not exist an injective function whose codomain is smaller than its domain*". Advanced mathematical proofs like Siegel's lemma build upon this more general concept.



Pigeons in holes. Here there are $n = 10$ pigeons in $m = 9$ holes. Since 10 is greater than 9, the pigeonhole principle says that at least one hole has more than one pigeon.

Contents

- 1 **Etymology**
- 2 **Examples**
 - 2.1 Sock-picking
 - 2.2 Hand-shaking
 - 2.3 Hair-counting
 - 2.4 The birthday problem
 - 2.5 Softball team
 - 2.6 Subset sum
- 3 **Uses and applications**
- 4 **Alternate formulations**
- 5 **Strong form**
- 6 **Generalizations of the pigeonhole principle**
- 7 **Infinite sets**
- 8 **Quantum mechanics**
- 9 **See also**
- 10 **Notes**
- 11 **References**

Etymology

P.G.L. Dirichlet published his works in both French and German. The strict original meaning of either the German *Schubfach* (<http://woerterbuchnetz.de/DWB/?sigle=DWB&mode=Vernetzung&lemid=GS18102#XGS18102>), or the French *tiroir* , corresponds to the English *drawer* , an *open-topped box that can be slid in and out of the cabinet that contains it*. These terms were morphed to the word *pigeonhole*, standing for a *small open space in a desk, cabinet, or wall for keeping letters or papers*, metaphorically rooted in the structures that house pigeons. Considering the fact that Dirichlet's father was a postmaster, necessarily best acquainted to furniture of type *pigeonhole*, common for sorting letters in his business, the translation by *pigeonholes* may be a perfect transfer of Dirichlet's terms of understanding. The meaning, referring to some furniture features, has since been strongly overtaken and is fading, especially among those who do not speak English natively, but as a lingua franca in the scientific world, in favour of the more pictorial interpretation, literally involving pigeons and holes. It is interesting to note that the suggestive, though not misleading interpretation of "pigeonhole" as "dovecote" has lately found its way back to a German "re-translation" of the "pigeonhole"-principle as the "Taubenschlag"-principle.

Besides the original terms "Schubfach-Prinzip" in German and "Principe de tiroirs" in French, more literal translations are still in use in Polish ("zasada szufladkowa"), Bulgarian ("принцип на чекмеджетата"), Turkish ("çekmece ilkesi"), Hungarian ("skatulyaelv"), Italian ("principio dei cassetti"), Dutch ("ladenprincipe"), Danish ("Skuffeprincippet"), Chinese ("抽屉原理"), and Japanese ("引き出し論法").

Examples

Sock-picking

Assume a drawer contains a mixture of black socks and blue socks, each of which can be worn on either foot, and that you are pulling a number of socks from the drawer without looking. What is the minimum number of pulled socks required to guarantee a pair of the same color? Using the pigeonhole principle, to have at least one pair of the same color ($m = 2$ holes, one per color) using one pigeonhole per color, you need to pull only three socks from the drawer ($n = 3$ items). Either you have *three* of one color, or you have *two* of one color and *one* of the other.

Hand-shaking

If there are n people who can shake hands with one another (where $n > 1$), the pigeonhole principle shows that there is always a pair of people who will shake hands with the same number of people. In this application of the principle, the 'hole' to which a person is assigned is the number of hands shaken by that person. Since each person shakes hands with some number of people from 0 to $n - 1$, there are n possible holes. On the other hand, either the '0' hole or the ' $n - 1$ ' hole or both must be empty, for it is impossible (if $n > 1$!) for some person to shake hands with everybody else while some person shakes hands with nobody. This leaves n people to be placed in at most $n - 1$ non-empty holes, so that the principle applies.

Hair-counting

We can demonstrate there must be at least two people in London with the same number of hairs on their heads as follows.^[4] Since a typical human head has an average of around 150,000 hairs, it is reasonable to assume (as an upper bound) that no one has more than 1,000,000 hairs on their head ($m = 1$ million holes). There are more than 1,000,000 people in London (n is bigger than 1 million items). Assigning a pigeonhole to each number of hairs on a person's head, and assign people to pigeonholes according to the number of hairs on their head, there must be at least two people assigned to the same pigeonhole by the 1,000,001st assignment (because they have the same number of hairs on their heads) (or, $n > m$). For the average case ($m = 150,000$) with the constraint: fewest overlaps, there will be at most one person assigned to every pigeonhole and the 150,001st person assigned to the same pigeonhole as

someone else. In the absence of this constraint, there may be empty pigeonholes because the "collision" happens before we get to the 150,001st person. The principle just proves the existence of an overlap; it says nothing of the number of overlaps (which falls under the subject of probability distribution).

There is a passing, satirical, allusion in English to this version of the principle in *A History of the Athenian Society*, prefixed to "'A Supplement to the Athenian Oracle: Being a Collection of the Remaining Questions and Answers in the Old Athenian Mercuries'", (Printed for Andrew Bell, London, 1710).^[5] It seems that the question *whether there were any two persons in the World that have an equal number of hairs on their head?* had been raised in *The Athenian Mercury* before 1704.^{[6][7]}

Perhaps the first written reference to the pigeonhole principle appears in 1622 in a short sentence of the Latin work *Selectæ Propositiones*, by the French Jesuit Jean Leurechon,^[8] where he wrote "It is necessary that two men have the same number of hairs, écus, or other things, as each other."^[9]

The birthday problem

The birthday problem asks, for a set of n randomly chosen people, what is the probability that some pair of them will have the same birthday? By the pigeonhole principle, if there are 367 people in the room, we know that there is at least one pair who share the same birthday, as there are only 366 possible birthdays to choose from (including February 29, if present). The birthday "paradox" refers to the result that even if the group is as small as 23 individuals, the probability that there is a pair of people with the same birthday is still above 50%. While at first glance this may seem surprising, it intuitively makes sense when considering that a comparison will actually be made between every possible pair of people rather than fixing one individual and comparing them solely to the rest of the group.

Softball team

Imagine seven people who want to play softball ($n = 7$ items), with a limitation of only four softball teams ($m = 4$ holes) to choose from. The pigeonhole principle tells us that they cannot all play for different teams; there must be at least one team featuring at least two of the seven players:

$$\left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{m} \right\rfloor + 1 = \left\lfloor \frac{7-1}{4} \right\rfloor + 1 = \left\lfloor \frac{6}{4} \right\rfloor + 1 = 1 + 1 = 2$$

Subset sum

Any subset of size six from the set $S = \{1,2,3,\dots,9\}$ must contain two elements whose sum is 10. The pigeonholes will be labelled by the two element subsets $\{1,9\}$, $\{2,8\}$, $\{3,7\}$, $\{4,6\}$ and the singleton $\{5\}$, five pigeonholes in all. When the six "pigeons" (elements of the size six subset) are placed into these pigeonholes, each pigeon going into the pigeonhole that has it contained in its label, at least one of the pigeonholes labelled with a two element subset will have two pigeons in it.^[10]

Uses and applications

The pigeonhole principle arises in computer science. For example, collisions are inevitable in a hash table because the number of possible keys exceeds the number of indices in the array. A hashing algorithm, no matter how clever, cannot avoid these collisions.

The principle can be used to prove that any lossless compression algorithm, provided it makes some inputs smaller (as the name compression suggests), will also make some other inputs larger. Otherwise, the set of all input sequences up to a given length L could be mapped to the (much) smaller set of all sequences of length less than L without collisions (because the compression is lossless), a possibility which the pigeonhole principle excludes.

A notable problem in mathematical analysis is, for a fixed irrational number a , to show that the set $\{[na] : n \text{ is an integer}\}$ of fractional parts is dense in $[0, 1]$. One finds that it is not easy to explicitly find integers n, m such that $|na - ma| < e$, where $e > 0$ is a small positive number and a is some arbitrary irrational number. But if one takes M such that $1/M < e$, by the pigeonhole principle there must be $n_1, n_2 \in \{1, 2, \dots, M+1\}$ such that n_1a and n_2a are in the same integer subdivision of size $1/M$ (there are only M such subdivisions between consecutive integers). In particular, we can find n_1, n_2 such that n_1a is in $(p + k/M, p + (k+1)/M)$, and n_2a is in $(q + k/M, q + (k+1)/M)$, for some p, q integers and k in $\{0, 1, \dots, M-1\}$. We can then easily verify that $(n_2 - n_1)a$ is in $(q - p - 1/M, q - p + 1/M)$. This implies that $[na] < 1/M < e$, where $n = n_2 - n_1$ or $n = n_1 - n_2$. This shows that 0 is a limit point of $\{[na]\}$. We can then use this fact to prove the case for p in $(0, 1]$: find n such that $[na] < 1/M < e$; then if $p \in (0, 1/M]$, we are done. Otherwise $p \in (j/M, (j+1)/M]$, and by setting $k = \sup\{r \in \mathbb{N} : r[na] < j/M\}$, one obtains $|[(k+1)na] - p| < 1/M < e$.

Variants occurring in well known proofs: In the proof of the pumping lemma for regular languages, a version that mixes finite and infinite sets is used: If infinitely many objects are placed in finitely many boxes, then there exist two objects that share a box.^[11] In Fisk's solution of the Art gallery problem a sort of converse is used: If n objects are placed in k boxes, then there is a box containing at most n/k objects.^[12]

Alternate formulations

The following are alternate formulations of the pigeonhole principle.

1. If n objects are distributed over m places, and if $n > m$, then some place receives at least two objects.^[1]
2. (equivalent formulation of 1) If n objects are distributed over n places in such a way that no place receives more than one object, then each place receives exactly one object.^[1]
3. If n objects are distributed over m places, and if $n < m$, then some place receives no object.
4. (equivalent formulation of 3) If n objects are distributed over n places in such a way that no place receives no object, then each place receives exactly one object.^[13]

Strong form

Let q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n be positive integers. If

$$q_1 + q_2 + \dots + q_n = n + 1$$

objects are distributed into n boxes, then either the first box contains at least q_1 objects, or the second box contains at least q_2 objects, ..., or the n th box contains at least q_n objects.^[14]

The simple form is obtained from this by taking $q_1 = q_2 = \dots = q_n = 2$, which gives $n + 1$ objects. Taking $q_1 = q_2 = \dots = q_n = r$ gives the more quantified version of the principle, namely:

Let n and r be positive integers. If $n(r - 1) + 1$ objects are distributed into n boxes, then at least one of the boxes contains r or more of the objects.^[15]

This can also be stated as, if k discrete objects are to be allocated to n containers, then at least one container must hold at least $\lceil k/n \rceil$ objects, where $\lceil x \rceil$ is the ceiling function, denoting the smallest integer larger than or equal to x . Similarly, at least one container must hold no more than $\lfloor k/n \rfloor$ objects, where $\lfloor x \rfloor$ is the floor function, denoting the largest integer smaller than or equal to x .

Generalizations of the pigeonhole principle

A probabilistic generalization of the pigeonhole principle states that if n pigeons are randomly put into m pigeonholes with uniform probability $1/m$, then at least one pigeonhole will hold more than one pigeon with probability

$$1 - \frac{(m)_n}{m^n},$$

where $(m)_n$ is the falling factorial $m(m-1)(m-2)\dots(m-n+1)$. For $n=0$ and for $n=1$ (and $m>0$), that probability is zero; in other words, if there is just one pigeon, there cannot be a conflict. For $n>m$ (more pigeons than pigeonholes) it is one, in which case it coincides with the ordinary pigeonhole principle. But even if the number of pigeons does not exceed the number of pigeonholes ($n\leq m$), due to the random nature of the assignment of pigeons to pigeonholes there is often a substantial chance that clashes will occur. For example, if 2 pigeons are randomly assigned to 4 pigeonholes, there is a 25% chance that at least one pigeonhole will hold more than one pigeon; for 5 pigeons and 10 holes, that probability is 69.76%; and for 10 pigeons and 20 holes it is about 93.45%. If the number of holes stays fixed, there is always a greater probability of a pair when you add more pigeons. This problem is treated at much greater length in the birthday paradox.

A further probabilistic generalization is that when a real-valued random variable X has a finite mean $E(X)$, then the probability is nonzero that X is greater than or equal to $E(X)$, and similarly the probability is nonzero that X is less than or equal to $E(X)$. To see that this implies the standard pigeonhole principle, take any fixed arrangement of n pigeons into m holes and let X be the number of pigeons in a hole chosen uniformly at random. The mean of X is n/m , so if there are more pigeons than holes the mean is greater than one. Therefore, X is sometimes at least 2.

Infinite sets

The pigeonhole principle can be extended to infinite sets by phrasing it in terms of cardinal numbers: if the cardinality of set A is greater than the cardinality of set B , then there is no injection from A to B . However, in this form the principle is tautological, since the meaning of the statement that the cardinality of set A is greater than the cardinality of set B is exactly that there is no injective map from A to B . However, adding at least one element to a finite set is sufficient to ensure that the cardinality increases.

Another way to phrase the pigeonhole principle for finite sets is similar to the principle that finite sets are Dedekind finite: Let A and B be finite sets. If there is a surjection from A to B that is not injective, then no surjection from A to B is injective. In fact no function of any kind from A to B is injective. This is not true for infinite sets: Consider the function on the natural numbers that sends 1 and 2 to 1, 3 and 4 to 2, 5 and 6 to 3, and so on.

There is a similar principle for infinite sets: If uncountably many pigeons are stuffed into countably many pigeonholes, there will exist at least one pigeonhole having uncountably many pigeons stuffed into it.

This principle is not a generalization of the pigeonhole principle for finite sets however: It is in general false for finite sets. In technical terms it says that if A and B are finite sets such that any surjective function from A to B is not injective, then there exists an element b of B such that there exists a bijection between the preimage of b and A . This is a quite different statement, and is absurd for large finite cardinalities.

Quantum mechanics

Yakir Aharonov et al. have presented arguments that the pigeonhole principle may be violated in quantum mechanics, and proposed interferometric experiments to test the pigeonhole principle in quantum mechanics. [1] (<http://www.pnas.org/content/113/3/532.full?sid=c1d239b5-57f0-46af-a56d-5cbc963c37f4>)

See also

- Combinatorial principles
- Combinatorial proof
- Dedekind-infinite set
- Hilbert's paradox of the Grand Hotel
- Multinomial theorem
- Pumping lemma for regular languages
- Ramsey's theorem
- Pochhammer symbol

Notes

1. Herstein 1964, p. 90
2. Jeff Miller, Peter Flor, Gunnar Berg, and Julio González Cabillón. "Pigeonhole principle (<http://jeff560.tripod.com/p.html>)". In Jeff Miller (ed.) *Earliest Known Uses of Some of the Words of Mathematics* (<http://jeff560.tripod.com/mathword.html>). Electronic document, retrieved November 11, 2006
3. Fletcher & Patty 1987, p. 27
4. To avoid a slightly messier presentation we assume that "people" in this example only refers to people who are not bald.
5. <<https://books.google.com/books?id=JCwUAAAAQAAJ&q=mean+hairs>>
6. <<https://books.google.com/books?id=4QsUAAAAQAAJ&q=sent+quarters>>
7. <<https://books.google.com/books?id=GG0PAAAAQAAJ&q=town+eternity>>
8. Rittaud, Benoit; Heeffer, Albrecht (2014), "The Pigeonhole Principle, Two Centuries before Dirichlet", *Mathematical Intelligencer*, **36** (2): 27–29, doi:[10.1007/s00283-013-9384-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00283-013-9384-6) (<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00283-013-9384-6>)
9. Leurechon, Jean (1622), *Selecæe Propositiones in Tota Sparsim Mathematica Pulcherrimæ*, Gasparem Bernardum, p. 2
10. Grimaldi 1994, p. 277
11. *Introduction to Formal Languages and Automata*, Peter Linz, pp. 115–116, Jones and Bartlett Learning, 2006
12. *Computational Geometry in C*, Cambridge Tracts in Theoretical Computer Science, 2nd Edition, Joseph O'Rourke, page 9.
13. Brualdi 2010, p. 70
14. Brualdi 2010, p. 74 Theorem 3.2.1
15. In the lead section this was presented with the substitutions $m = n$ and $k = r - 1$.

References

- Brualdi, Richard A. (2010), *Introductory Combinatorics* (5th ed.), Pentice Hall, ISBN 978-0-13-602040-0
- Fletcher, Peter; Patty, C.Wayne (1987), *Foundations of Higher Mathematics*, PWS-Kent, ISBN 0-87150-164-3
- Grimaldi, Ralph P. (1994), *Discrete and Combinatorial Mathematics: An Applied Introduction* (3rd ed.), ISBN 978-0-201-54983-6
- Herstein, I. N. (1964), *Topics In Algebra*, Waltham: Blaisdell Publishing Company, ISBN 978-1114541016

External links

- Hazewinkel, Michiel, ed. (2001) [1994], "Dirichlet box principle" (<https://www.encyclopediaofmath.org/index.php?title=p/d032800>), *Encyclopedia of Mathematics*, Springer Science+Business Media B.V. / Kluwer Academic Publishers, ISBN 978-1-55608-010-4
- "The strange case of The Pigeon-hole Principle (<http://www.cs.utexas.edu/users/EWD/transcriptions/EWD09xx/EWD980.html>)"; Edsger Dijkstra investigates interpretations and reformulations of the principle.
- "The Pigeon Hole Principle (<http://zimmer.csufresno.edu/~larryc/proofs/proofs.pigeonhole.html>)"; Elementary examples of the principle in use by Larry Cusick.
- "Pigeonhole Principle from Interactive Mathematics Miscellany and Puzzles (http://www.cut-the-knot.org/do_you_know/pigeon.shtml)"; basic Pigeonhole Principle analysis and examples by Alexander Bogomolny.
- "16 fun applications of the pigeonhole principle (<http://mindyourdecisions.com/blog/2008/11/25/16-fun-applications-of-the-pigeonhole-principle>)"; Interesting facts derived by the principle.

Retrieved from "https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Pigeonhole_principle&oldid=803586596"

This page was last edited on 2017-10-03, at 19:37:08.

Text is available under the [Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike License](#); additional terms may apply. By using this site, you agree to the [Terms of Use](#) and [Privacy Policy](#). Wikipedia® is a registered trademark of the [Wikimedia Foundation, Inc.](#), a non-profit organization.

