1. • Use Kruskal's algorithm to find a minimum-weight spanning tree when the weight 10 on edge (1,3) of the graph discussed in class is changed to 25.

Answer: MWST looks like Z

- Use Kruskal's algorithm to find a minimum-weight spanning tree when the weight 10 on edge (1,3) of the graph discussed in class is changed to 16.

  Answer: MWST looks like |\|.
- 2. Define the following notions: P, NP, PSPACE, NPSPACE, NP-complete. P is the class of all languages accepted in polynomial time by a deterministic TM. NP is the class of all languages accepted in polynomial time by a nondeterministic TM. PSPACE is the class of all languages accepted by a polynomial space bounded TM. NPSPACE is the class of all languages accepted by a polynomial space bounded TM. L is NP-complete if
  - $L \in NP$ ,
  - $L' \in NP \Rightarrow L' \leq_{ptime} L$ .

Here  $\leq_{ptime}$  stands for polynomial time reducibility: that is  $L' \leq_{ptime} L$  if there exists a polynomial time algorithm that maps instances of L' into instances of L in such a way that:  $w \in L' \Leftrightarrow f(w) \in L'$ . Show that,

- If  $L_1$  is NP-complete and if there is a polynomial-time reduction  $L_1 \leq_{ptime} L_2$ , then  $L_2$  is NP-complete.
  - Let L' be any language in NP. Then by definition  $L' \leq_{ptime} L_1$ . Then since  $L_1 \leq_{ptime} L_2$ , and since the composition of reductions is a reduction,  $L' \leq_{ptime} L_2$ .
- If some NP-complete language L is in P, then P = NP. Let L' be any language in NP. Then by definition  $L' \leq_{ptime} L$ . Since  $L \in P$ , there is a polynomial time algorithm that decides L. The composition of a polynomial-time reduction and the polynomial time algorithm is a polynomial time algorithm. So  $L' \in P$ .
- 3. Closure properties for P. Show that P is closed under each of the following operations:
  - Reversal.

Let  $L \in P$  and M be a deterministic TM with L = L(M) and time complexity  $n^k$ . For any input w, we can build a TM M' that produces the reversal  $w^r$ . This machine is polynomially bounded:  $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$  when |w| = n. Then we input it to M. The combined time complexity is:  $\mathcal{O}(n^2 + n^k)$ , which is polynomial time.

• Union.

Let  $L_1, L_2 \in P$  and  $M_1, M_2$  be deterministic TMs with  $L_1 = L(M_1)$ ,  $L_2 = L(M_2)$  and time complexities  $n^{k_1}$ ,  $n^{k_2}$ .

Then we can test if  $w \in L_1 \cup L_2$  as follows: first test membership in  $L_1$  and then test membership in  $L_2$ . The time complexity is  $n^{k_1} + n^{k_2}$ , which is polynomial time. Thus  $L_1 \cup L_2 \in P$ .

- Concatenation.
  - Let  $L_1, L_2 \in P$  and  $M_1, M_2$  be deterministic TMs with  $L_1 = L(M_1)$ ,  $L_2 = L(M_2)$ . Suppose we are given an input  $w = w_1 w_2 \cdots w_n$  of length n to check for membership in  $L_1 L_2$ . For each  $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n$  test if  $w_1 w_2 \cdots w_i \in L_1$  AND  $w_{i+1} \cdots w_n \in L_2$  ( $w_0 = \varepsilon$ ). If so accept, else reject. If the time complexities for  $L_1, L_2$  are  $n^{k_1}, n^{k_2}$ , then the overall cost is:  $(n+1)(n^{k_1} + n^{k_2})$ , which is polynomial time. Thus  $L_1 L_2 \in P$ .
- Closure (star). Let the input be w of length n. It can be at most in  $L^n$ , not more. So we check membership for  $L^0, L^1, \dots, L^n$ , i.e., in  $\bigcup_0^n L^i$ . We know from above that  $L^2 = LL$  is in P. So repeat the argument

to get that  $L^3 = L^2 L, \ldots, L^n$  are in P. Then use the property that P is closed w.r. to unions. It follows that we can check membership of w in  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} L^i$  in polynomial time.

• Complementation.

Given a polynomial time deterministic TM M for L we can check membership in in  $L^c$ , by using the TM M' for which: M' accepts input w iff M' does not accepts input w. The run time is the same (the machines are deterministic),

- 4. Closure properties for NP. Show that NP is closed under each of the following operations:
  - Reversal.

The argument is essentially the same. First reverse (deterministically), then check membership. The composition is a nondeterministic procedure.

• Union.

Identical argument.

• Concatenation.

Similar argument. Here we can save some time by guessing nondeterministically the split. So the overall cost is:  $x^{k_1} + x^{k_2}$ .

• Closure (star). Similar argument: again there is some saving in time complexity, from the previous remark.

[We do not have NP closure for Complementation.]

5. Suppose that there is an NP-complete problem that has a deterministic solution that takes time  $\mathcal{O}(n^{\log_2(n)})$ . What could you say about the running time of any problem in NP. Explain. [Note that this function lies between the polynomials and the exponentials, and is in neither class of functions.]

Let L be an NP complete language that is in P and let L' be any language in NP.

**A first approach:** There must be a reduction  $L' \leq_{ptime} L$  because  $L \in NP$ . Suppose this takes time  $n^k$ . Then solve the corresponding problem in L. This takes time  $\mathcal{O}(n^{\log_2(n)})$ . Combine the two to get:  $\mathcal{O}(n^k + n^{\log_2(n)}) = \mathcal{O}(n^{\log_2(n)})$ . Problem: the reduction from L' to L may have increased the size of the input from n to m. So we should take  $\mathcal{O}(m^{\log_2(m)})$ .

**Second approach:** Assume that the reduction is accomplished by a polynomial time TM. If the time complexity of this machine is  $n^k$  then the size of the input cannot have grown more than that, that is m is at most  $n^k$ . Now we get the correct complexity:

$$\mathcal{O}(n^k + m^{\log_2(m)}) = \mathcal{O}(n^k + n^{k\log_2(n^k)}) = \mathcal{O}(n^{k\log_2(n^k)}) = \mathcal{O}(n^{k^2\log_2(n)}) = \mathcal{O}(n^{c\log_2(n)}),$$

where  $c = k^2$  is a constant.

[Note that this function lies between the polynomials and the exponentials, and is in neither class of functions.]