

Imperial College London

MENG INDIVIDUAL PROJECT

IMPERIAL COLLEGE LONDON

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTING

Reconfigurable Acceleration of Transformer Neural Networks with Meta-Programming Strategies for Particle Collisions Experiments

Author:
Filip Wojcicki

Supervisor:
Prof. Wayne Luk

Second Marker:
Dr. TODO

January 24, 2022

Contents

1	Introduction	3
1.1	Overview	3
1.2	Motivation	3
1.3	Objectives and Challenges	3
1.4	Contributions	4
2	Background	5
3	Project Plan	6
4	Implementation	8
5	Evaluation Plan	9
6	Ethical Considerations	10
	Bibliography	12

Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 Overview

Particle physics is one of the key branches of modern physics, with the Standard Model theory at its core. It tackles the underlying questions about the nature of the universe by describing the fundamental forces and elementary particles. In order to verify the correctness of the theories, countless experiments have to be designed and carefully executed, with the main driving force of myriads of engineers, physicists and researchers at Large Hadron Collider (LHC) operated by the European Organization for Nuclear Research (CERN).

LHC is the world's highest-energy particle collider that is capable of producing and detecting the heaviest types of particles that emerge from collisions such as a proton-proton collisions. The detection is a challenging process as some particles like quarks and gluons cannot exist on their own and they nearly instantly combine which results in a collimated spray of composite particles (hadrons) that is typically referred to as a **jet**[1]. The initial particles created upon collision and their behaviors are of main interest of the physicists, which leads to **jet tagging** - the challenge of associating particle jets with their origin.

1.2 Motivation

There are many detector types used for the analysis the particle collisions, each based on a different physical methodology, which result in availability of both higher and lower level features. The former have been successfully used in the past using more physically motivated algorithms, e.g. using computer vision[2]. However, more recently, various deep learning approaches have proven to outperform their predecessors[3]. It has also been found that all the detected features carry the same underlying information, with convolutional neural networks (CNN) trained on higher-level data achieving nearly identical accuracy as dense neural networks (DNN) trained on the data from the other end of the spectrum[4].

The throughput of information collected by the LHC detectors outclasses the inference capabilities of the state-of-the-art solutions deployed using the typical software-centered approach[?].

Physics experiments are crucial Big data is crucial for Physics LHC is currently bottleneck at real time speed for detectors Transformer Neural Networks are great

Powerful hardware is king FPGA are great for NN Metaprogramming allows for optimizations and customisability

1.3 Objectives and Challenges

Current architecture at LHC is too slow -> make it quick enough for real time processing HLS is difficult, so coding hardware in Python is desired -> make it easy for engineers and physicists to design systems FPGA are very hard-coded -> make the code deployable on any platform with optimal settings automatically

1.4 Contributions

hls4ml

Chapter 2

Background

training - inference - tensor - particle Collisions jets latency resource usepackage throughput pipelin-
ing HLS hardware design (parallel vs serial etc) machine learning framework cern lhc open-source

Chapter 3

Project Plan

The aims of the project cover a wide range of challenges that form subsequent steps of accelerating neural networks while raising the abstraction layers and reducing domain-specific knowledge requirements. This naturally divides the work into smaller objectives that are described in details in the following paragraphs.

Firstly, the existing transformer neural network architecture has to be redesigned to accommodate for easier adaptation to non general-purpose hardware. This comprises of splitting layers into more basic components that are easier to map to hardware and abstract about as well as introducing hooks that collect different information during training and inference passes (e.g. running mean and variance for normalization layers, tensor sizes and values). At this phase some of the design choices are highlighted for further inspection where simplification or improvements can be made to greatly reduce the complexity and resource usage without crippling performance.

With the adapted software implementation, the next step involves recreating the architecture in HLS. Building the initial prototype tackles the difficulties related to the underlying differences between software and hardware development and results in an accurate, yet not optimal design. From there, an iterative process begins with acceleration hypothesis firstly tested in the original software model to ensure satisfactory accuracy and then getting expressed in HLS to quantitatively measure the latency and throughput differences. That is expected to yield a highly performant solution to the initial problem that is tailored to the specific FPGA constraints.

In order to overcome the innate limitations of "hand-tuning" a solution to a problem that varies both in time and between applications, the final step of the project relies on meta-programming strategies that automatically adapt the solution according to users' criteria, available platforms and overall experiment's aim. The list of approaches that can be taken here is nearly endless, however two key areas have been designated - adjusting the model according to the existing hardware to exploit its strengths as well as allowing for more abstract representation of an architecture in a well-known machine learning framework.

As previously mentioned, some of the initially planned ideas have already been implemented. The distinction between these and a more detailed look at the specific project tasks can be seen in figure 3.1.

Chapter 4

Implementation

Chapter 5

Evaluation Plan

Chapter 6

Ethical Considerations

The purpose of this project is to advance the next-generation particle physics experiments. There are two main aspects that need to be considered - the development of a hardware-mapped transformer neural network architecture and the easy to access translation and optimization toolchain for efficiently expressing networks in common machine learning frameworks.

The first feature is aimed at a purely civilian, scientific audience and it is tailored towards particle collision datasets. With that in mind, it is important to mention that, as with most machine learning research, there is potential for a misuse of the acceleration techniques towards a military or malevolent application that could negatively impact the society (issues A in table 6.1). However, this also means that there is a low risk for new emerging threats, rather the already present ones could become more serious. Fortunately, this should result in existing harm prevention measures to stay intact or solely require adjustments to their accuracy or speed thresholds.

With the second element's goal of making the creation and deployment of neural networks more accessible, it could be argued that this may in turn increase the number of physics experiments requiring high energy consumption, like those at LHC[5], thus negatively effecting the environment (issue B in table 6.1). However, this is considered a very low likely cause of action, as the research work of this project is aimed at helping already running experiments and more importantly, the negative environmental implications (for which there are various mitigation strategies[6, 7]) are heavily outweighed by potential beneficial technological advancements coming from the scientific discoveries.

Despite the aforementioned ethical issues, the project is aimed at benefitting the open-source scientific community world-wide. Its outcome could lead to a much more accessible and efficient inference methods that are applicable in many domains outside physics.

Table 6.1: Overview of potential categorized ethical issues with an indication of their applicability

	Involvement of...	Exists?
Humans	human participants	No
Personal data	personal data collection and/or processing	No
	collection and/or processing of sensitive personal data	No
	processing of genetic information	No
	tracking or observation of participants	No
	further processing of previously collected personal data	No
Animals	animals	No
Developing countries	developing countries	No
	low and/or lower-middle income countries	No
	putting the individuals taking part in the project at risk	No
Environment	elements that may cause harm to the environment, animals or plants	Yes (B)
	elements that may cause harm to humans	No
Dual use	potential for military applications	No
	strictly civilian application focus	Yes
	goods or information requiring export licenses	No
	affection of current standards in military ethics	No
Misuse	potential for malevolent/criminal/terrorist abuse	Yes (A)
	information on/or the use of sensitive materials and explosives	No
	technologies that could negatively impact human rights standards	Yes (A)
Legal	software for which there are copyright licensing implications	No
	information for which there are data protection or other legal implications	No
Other	any other ethics issues that should be taken into consideration	No

Bibliography

- [1] CERN. Jets at cms and the determination of their energy scale | cms experiment, .
- [2] Josh Cogan, Michael Kagan, Emanuel Strauss, and Ariel Schwartzman. Jet-images: computer vision inspired techniques for jet tagging. *The journal of high energy physics*, 2015(2):1–16, Feb 18, 2015. doi: 10.1007/JHEP02(2015)118. URL [https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/JHEP02\(2015\)118](https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/JHEP02(2015)118).
- [3] Luke de Oliveira, Michael Kagan, Lester Mackey, Benjamin Nachman, and Ariel Schwartzman. Jet-images — deep learning edition. *The journal of high energy physics*, 2016(7):1–32, Jul 13, 2016. doi: 10.1007/JHEP07(2016)069. URL [https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/JHEP07\(2016\)069](https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/JHEP07(2016)069).
- [4] Liam Moore, Karl Nordstrom, Sreedevi Varma, and Malcolm Fairbairn. Reports of my demise are greatly exaggerated: n -subjettiness taggers take on jet images. *SciPost physics*, 7(3):036, Sep 24, 2019. doi: 10.21468/SciPostPhys.7.3.036. URL <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01851157>.
- [5] CERN. Facts and figures about the lhc | cern, . URL <https://home.cern/resources/faqs/facts-and-figures-about-lhc>.
- [6] R. Guida, M. Capeans, and B. Mandelli. Characterization of RPC operation with new environmental friendly mixtures for LHC application and beyond. *Journal of Instrumentation*, 11(07):C07016–C07016, jul 2016. doi: 10.1088/1748-0221/11/07/c07016. URL <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/11/07/c07016>.
- [7] M. Capeans, R. Guida, and B. Mandelli. Strategies for reducing the environmental impact of gaseous detector operation at the cern lhc experiments. *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment*, 845:253–256, 2017. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2016.04.067>. URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0168900216302807>. ID: 271580.