

# Tests for machine learning

Tiffany A. Timbers

## Table of contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Context</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Annotated checklist of tests for machine learning</b>	<b>2</b>
2.0.1	Saving and loading data . . . . .	2
2.0.2	Data Validation . . . . .	2
2.0.3	Cleaning and transforming data . . . . .	2
2.0.4	Modeling (pre-train tests) . . . . .	2
2.0.5	Other potential tests for modeling . . . . .	3
<b>3</b>	<b>Machine learning testing examples</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Cookiecutters</b>	<b>3</b>
	<b>References</b>	<b>3</b>

## 1 Context

Checklists have been shown to decrease errors in safety critical systems (Gawande 2010), and the use of a reproducibility checklist at the Machine Learning NeurIPS 2019 conference led to an increase in the percentage of authors submitting the code for their work [from 50% to 75%; Pineau et al. (2021)]. Thus, in an effort to make applied machine learning software more trustworthy by increasing its robustness, we aim to create a general and robust checklist for creating software tests for applied machine learning code. Such a checklist should include tests for data presence, quality and ingestion at the beginning of the analysis, the model fitting and evaluation, as well as tests for the artifacts (presence and quality) which are created by the analysis. The applied machine learning checklist should include software tests for things in the scholarly literature that have been deemed as important for correct and robust applied machine learning software, as well as things that commonly go wrong in applied machine learning code (threat model). Such a checklist may be used by data scientists and machine learning engineers

to guide the manual writing of tests. It may act as a source for engineering large-language model (LLM) prompts that act as reliable starting points for engineering reproducible test data and software tests themselves for each item on the checklist.

## **2 Annotated checklist of tests for machine learning**

### **2.0.1 Saving and loading data**

- ☐ Loading data file function works as expected (Microsoft Industry Solutions Engineering Team 2024).
- ☐ Saving data/figures function works as expected

### **2.0.2 Data Validation**

- ☐ Files contain data (Microsoft Industry Solutions Engineering Team 2024).
- ☐ Data/images in the expected format (Microsoft Industry Solutions Engineering Team 2024).
- ☐ Data does not contain null values or outliers (Microsoft Industry Solutions Engineering Team 2024).

### **2.0.3 Cleaning and transforming data**

- ☐ Cleaning and transforming functions works as expected (Microsoft Industry Solutions Engineering Team 2024).

### **2.0.4 Modeling (pre-train tests)**

- ☐ Does the model accept the correct inputs and produce the correctly shaped outputs (Microsoft Industry Solutions Engineering Team 2024)?
- ☐ Do the weights of the model update when running fit (Microsoft Industry Solutions Engineering Team 2024)?
- ☐ Does model output aligns with expectations (for example, in classification, are the labels what are expected based on input) (Jordan 2020)?
- ☐ Do the output ranges align with our expectations (eg. the output of a classification model should be a distribution with class probabilities that sum to 1) (Jordan 2020)?
- ☐ Does a single gradient step on a batch of data yield a decrease in your loss (Jordan 2020)?
- ☐ Is there leakage between your training, validation and test datasets (Jordan 2020)?

### 2.0.5 Other potential tests for modeling

We can also write tests that assess whether the machine learning model logic is correct, these tests are referred to as post-train tests, or behavioural tests Ribeiro et al. (2020). As well as tests to assess model performance, these tests are referred to as evaluation tests (Yan 2020). These evaluation tests may be particularly useful for determining data distribution shifts when models are in production.

## 3 Machine learning testing examples

There exist several examples/demos of how to test machine learning code. The data set and code used in these examples, may be an excellent starting place for the first test case to assess if the checklist can be used by LLM's to generate reproducible test data and high quality test cases.

- [testing-ml](#) by Eugene Yan and [the accompanying article](#) uses the Titanic data set
- [mercury-robust](#) by BBVA uses the Titanic, Tips and default credit card data sets
- [breast\\_cancer\\_predictor\\_py](#) by Timbers *et al.* uses the Madison Wisconsin Breast Cancer data set

## 4 Cookiecutters

Having a function specifications for the functions needed for an applied machine learning project would be useful for pairing with the prompts for LLM test data and test suite generation. Below is a potential Cookiecutter project templates that might be of interest for modification and/or extension with the checklist items and prompts:

- [cookiecutter-data-science](#) by [@drivendata](#) (very popular and well structured project with scripts and pipeline, no function specifications however)

## References

- Gawande, Atul. 2010. *Checklist Manifesto, the (HB)*. Penguin Books India.
- Jordan, Jeremy. 2020. "Effective Testing for Machine Learning Systems." <https://www.jeremyjordan.me/testing-ml/>.
- Microsoft Industry Solutions Engineering Team. 2024. *Engineering Fundamentals Playbook: Testing Data Science and MLOps Code Chapter*. Microsoft.

- Pineau, Joelle, Philippe Vincent-Lamarre, Koustuv Sinha, Vincent Larivière, Alina Beygelzimer, Florence d’Alché-Buc, Emily Fox, and Hugo Larochelle. 2021. “Improving Reproducibility in Machine Learning Research (a Report from the Neurips 2019 Reproducibility Program).” *Journal of Machine Learning Research* 22 (164): 1–20.
- Ribeiro, Marco Tulio, Tongshuang Wu, Carlos Guestrin, and Sameer Singh. 2020. “Beyond Accuracy: Behavioral Testing of NLP Models with CheckList.” *arXiv Preprint arXiv:2005.04118*.
- Yan, Eugene. 2020. “How to Test Machine Learning Code and Systems.” <https://eugeneyan.com/writing/testing-ml/#model-evaluation-to-ensure-satisfactory-performance>.