

Working_with_Data

Table of contents

0.1 Packages & library	1
0.2 Load	1
0.3 Variables	1

0.1 Packages & library

```
library(tidyverse)
```

```
-- Attaching core tidyverse packages ----- tidyverse 2.0.0 --
v dplyr      1.1.4    v readr      2.1.5
v forcats    1.0.0    v stringr    1.5.1
v ggplot2    3.5.1    v tibble     3.2.1
v lubridate  1.9.4    v tidyr      1.3.1
v purrr      1.0.4
-- Conflicts ----- tidyverse_conflicts() --
x dplyr::filter() masks stats::filter()
x dplyr::lag()     masks stats::lag()
i Use the conflicted package (<http://conflicted.r-lib.org/>) to force all conflicts to become errors
```

```
library(descr)
library(knitr)
library(dplyr)
library(Hmisc)
```

Attaching package: 'Hmisc'

The following objects are masked from 'package:dplyr':

src, summarize

The following objects are masked from 'package:base':

format.pval, units

```
library(readr)
library(readxl)
```

0.2 Load

```
Project_Data = read.csv("/cloud/project/Data/Connection_to_Nature_Data.csv",
header = TRUE)
```

0.3 Variables

```
# VARIABLE 1: People's Age

# age 18 and above

Project_Data <- subset(Project_Data, D_Age >= 18)

# Group D_Age into 4 age range's and Labeling

Project_Data$Age_Group <- cut(Project_Data$D_Age,
                              breaks = c(18, 25, 40, 65, Inf),
                              labels = c("18-25", "26-40", "40-65", "65+"),
                              right = TRUE)
                              include.lowest = TRUE

# Frequency table

freq(as.ordered(Project_Data$Age_Group), plot = FALSE)
```

```
as.ordered(Project_Data$Age_Group)
      Frequency Percent Valid Percent Cum Percent
18-25         43   8.350         8.448         8.448
26-40         85  16.505        16.699        25.147
40-65        301  58.447        59.136        84.283
65+          80  15.534        15.717       100.000
NA's           6   1.165
Total        515 100.000        100.000
```

I choose this variable (age) because I think it would be important to look at in reference to how loneliness and time spent in nature varies among age groups. Perhaps depending on the age group, there will be more positive benefits to those exposed to nature in relation to loneliness.

```
# VARIABLE 2: How many hours on average do you currently spend in nature per week?

# Group D_hours into 4 categories & Labeling

Project_Data$Nature_Hours_Group <- cut(Project_Data$D_hours,
                                       breaks = c(0, 5, 15, 30, Inf),
                                       labels = c("Low (0-5)", "Moderate (6-15)", "High (16-30)", "Very High (30+)"),
                                       include.lowest = TRUE)

# Frequency table

freq(as.ordered(Project_Data$Nature_Hours_Group), plot = FALSE)
```

```
as.ordered(Project_Data$Nature_Hours_Group)
      Frequency Percent Cum Percent
Low (0-5)       137  26.602        26.60
Moderate (6-15)  230  44.660        71.26
High (16-30)    118  22.913        94.17
Very High (30+)   30   5.825       100.00
Total          515 100.000
```

This is very important. This variable (hours spent in nature) is important because when I did the literature review assignment, depending on the time spent in nature, actually lowered both social loneliness and emotional loneliness, but it depends how much time was spent in nature.

```
# VARIABLE 3: People's experience a general sense of emptiness (survey response)

# Labeling

Project_Data$Lon_1 <- factor(Project_Data$Lon_1,
                              levels = c(1, 2, 3),
                              labels = c("yes", "more or less", "no"))

# Frequency Table
```

```
freq(as.ordered(Project_Data$Lon_1), plot = FALSE)
```

```
as.ordered(Project_Data$Lon_1)
```

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	49	9.515	9.646	9.646
more or less	76	14.757	14.961	24.606
no	383	74.369	75.394	100.000
NA's	7	1.359		
Total	515	100.000	100.000	

I choose this variable (people's sense of emptiness) because this can be a reason for social/emotional loneliness. If time spent nature is associated with lower loneliness on these two paths, we might also see a decrease in emptiness to those who spend more time in nature.

```
# VARIABLE 4: I miss having people around (survey response)

# Labeling

Project_Data$Lon_4 <- factor(Project_Data$Lon_4,
                             levels = c(1, 2, 3),
                             labels = c("yes", "more or less", "no"))

# Frequency Table

freq(as.ordered(Project_Data$Lon_4), plot = FALSE)
```

```
as.ordered(Project_Data$Lon_4)
```

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	119	23.107	23.47	23.47
more or less	153	29.709	30.18	53.65
no	235	45.631	46.35	100.00
NA's	8	1.553		
Total	515	100.000	100.00	

This variable (missing social interaction) could be important because social loneliness is being examined here. Comparing this to time spent in nature can help show whether nature can also regulate/help social loneliness as well.

```
# VARIABLE 5: I have high self-esteem (survey response)

# Labeling

Project_Data$SE_1 <- factor(Project_Data$SE_1,
                             levels = c(1, 2, 3, 4, 5),
                             labels = c("not very true of me", "2", "3", "4", "very true of me"),
                             ordered = TRUE)

# Frequency table

freq(as.ordered(Project_Data$SE_1), plot = FALSE)
```

```
as.ordered(Project_Data$SE_1)
```

	Frequency	Percent	Cum Percent
not very true of me	35	6.796	6.796
2	69	13.398	20.194
3	146	28.350	48.544
4	193	37.476	86.019
very true of me	72	13.981	100.000
Total	515	100.000	

Lastly, I also choose this variable (people's self-esteem) because those who experience loneliness and spend little time in nature differ from those who don't feel loneliness and do spend time in nature. Perhaps those who do spend more time have higher level's of agreement to self-esteem compared to those who do not.