

# Chapter 1 - Just enough category theory to be dangerous

Flandre

29 Dec 2025

## 1 Categories and functors

### Exercise 1.1.A

- (a) Since there's only one object, namely  $e$ , in the category, all the morphisms in such category will send  $e$  to  $e$ .
- The combinations of morphisms are always associative.
  - There must exist an identity morphism, which'll be the identity in the group.
  - Since it's a groupoid, every morphism has an inverse.

*(In fact every group can be represented using the language of category. Consider Cayley's Theorem and we can see every group element can be regarded as an automorphism, satisfying the case of morphism to an object itself.)*

- (b) We consider a category  $\mathcal{C}$  whose objects are  $A, B$ . Consider

$$\text{Mor}(A, B) = \{id_A, id_B\}.$$

and we can see that it's a groupoid without being associative, therefore not a group.

### Exercise 1.1.B

- (a) Similar to the case in **1.1.A**, we can use exactly the same proof to show that invertible elements of  $\text{Mor}(A, A)$  forms a group.
- (b) Automorphism groups of objects in **Example 1.1.2** are those permutation groups permutating the elements in a group.
- (c) Automorphism groups of objects in **Example 1.1.3** are those invertible linear transformations mapping a linear space to itself.

### Exercise 1.1.C

*skipped (require 1.1.21 to solve.)*

### Exercise 1.1.D

Since it's finite, just find out the bases and we can define a trivial isomorphism between  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $f.d.Vec_k$  by mapping those bases respectively.

## 2 Universal properties determine an object up to unique isomorphism

### Exercise 1.2.A

- (a) For any two initial objects  $A, B$ , we define

$$\varphi : A \mapsto B, \psi : B \mapsto A.$$

And we can see

$$\varphi \circ \psi : B \mapsto B, \psi \circ \varphi : A \mapsto A.$$

Also, notice since  $A, B$  are initial objects, they have only one unique map to every objects in the category, including themselves. And  $Id_A, Id_B$  maps  $A, B$  to themselves. Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Id_A &= \psi \circ \varphi \\ Id_B &= \psi \circ \varphi. \end{aligned}$$

So we know  $\varphi, \psi$  are isomorphisms. □

(b) The proof is similar to (a), skipped.

### Exercise 1.2.B

- (a) In the category of sets, the initial and final object is  $\emptyset$  and the class of all sets, respectively.
- (b) In the category of rings, the initial and final object is the zero ring and the class of all rings, respectively.
- (c) *Skipped (haven't learn topology yet).*

### Exercise 1.2.C

- ( $\Leftarrow$ ): When  $S$  contains no zerodivisors, we prove by contradiction: Assume that under the canonical ring map  $\varphi : A \mapsto S^{-1}A$ , there exist  $a_1, a_2 \in A$ , s.t.  $\varphi(a_1) = \varphi(a_2)$ . Now we have  $a_1/1 = a_2/1$ , which means

$$\exists s \in S, \text{ s.t. } s(a_1 - a_2) = 0.$$

Which contradicts with the fact that  $S$  has no zerodivisors!

- ( $\Rightarrow$ ): When  $\varphi : A \mapsto S^{-1}A$  is injective, we assume  $S$  contains a zerodivisors  $s$  at which

$$\exists d \in A, \text{ s.t. } d \neq 0, \quad s \cdot d = 0.$$

Now let  $a_1, a_2 \in A$ , s.t.  $a_1 - a_2 = d$ . Since  $\varphi$  is injective, we must have  $\varphi(a_1) \neq \varphi(a_2)$ . Which contradicts with the fact that

$$s(a_1 - a_2) = s \cdot d = 0.$$

□

### Exercise 1.2.D

Suppose

$$\begin{aligned} f : A &\mapsto B \\ \varphi : A &\mapsto S^{-1}A. \end{aligned}$$

By the first translation in by author, we have to prove that there an unique ring homomorphism  $\psi$  mapping  $S^{-1}A$  to  $B$ . Recall that  $\forall a \in A, f(a) = \varphi(a/1)$ . Also, for all  $a \in A, s \in S$ ,

$$\frac{a}{s} \cdot \frac{s}{1} = \frac{a}{1}.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \psi\left(\frac{a}{s}\right) \cdot \psi\left(\frac{s}{1}\right) &= \psi\left(\frac{a}{1}\right) \\ \psi\left(\frac{a}{s}\right) &= \psi\left(\frac{a}{1}\right) \cdot \psi\left(\frac{s}{1}\right)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

And we can see  $\psi\left(\frac{a}{s}\right)$  is uniquely defined! □

### Exercise 1.2.E

We follow the hint from author: Define

$$S^{-1}M = \left\{ \frac{m}{s} \mid m \in M, s \in S \right\}.$$

And  $S^{-1}M$  meet the requirement to become a module by:  $\forall m_1, m_2 \in M, s_1, s_2 \in S$  :

$$\frac{m_1}{s_1} = \frac{m_2}{s_2} \iff \exists s \in S, s(s_2m_1 - s_1m_2) = 0.$$

$$\frac{m_1}{s_1} + \frac{m_2}{s_2} = \frac{m_1s_2 + m_2s_1}{s_1s_2}.$$

And the  $S^{-1}A$  module structure

$$\forall a \in A, s_1, s_2 \in S, m \in M, \frac{a}{s_1} \cdot \frac{m}{s_2} = \frac{am}{s_1s_2}.$$

Also, we define  $M \Rightarrow S^{-1}M$  by

$$m \longrightarrow \frac{m}{1}.$$

Now for any  $A$ -module maps  $M \rightarrow N$ , we'll prove  $\phi$  satisfies the universal property, i.e. the map  $S^{-1}M \rightarrow N$ , we denote it by  $\varphi$ , is unique:  $\forall \frac{m}{s} \in S^{-1}M$ , notice:

$$\begin{cases} \alpha(m) \in N \\ \alpha(s)^{-1} \in N \end{cases}.$$

Therefore we have  $\varphi(\frac{m}{s}) = \alpha(m)\alpha(s)^{-1}$ . So the  $\phi$  in the problem satisfy the uniqueness of universal property, so it exist.  $\square$

### Exercise 1.2.F

(a) Intuitively, we can let

$$\frac{(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n)}{s} \longrightarrow \left( \frac{m_1}{s}, \frac{m_2}{s}, \dots, \frac{m_n}{s} \right).$$

On the other hand, inductively we only have to consider the case  $n = 2$ , and we let

$$\left( \frac{m_1}{s_1}, \frac{m_2}{s_2} \right) \longrightarrow \left( \frac{m_1s_2}{s_1s_2}, \frac{m_2s_1}{s_1s_2} \right).$$

And we're done after induction.

- (b) Notice that in the case of direct sum, all but finitely many coordinates is zero. Therefore the proof is exactly the same as the case in (a).
- (c) Counterexample: consider group of modules  $\{M_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ , and set of prime numbers  $P = \{p_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ . We consider fraction of  $\{M_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  by positive integers, we cannot map  $\frac{m_1}{p_1}, \frac{m_2}{p_2}, \dots$  to a proper element in  $S^{-1}(\bigotimes_{k \in I} M_k)$  since  $p_1, p_2, \dots$  cannot have a shared denominator.

### Exercise 1.2.G

More generally, we'll prove that

$$\forall m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \mathbb{Z}/(m) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/(n) \cong \mathbb{Z}/(\gcd(m, n)).$$

First, we know  $\mathbb{Z}/(m) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/(n)$  was generated by  $1_{\mathbb{Z}/(m)} \otimes 1_{\mathbb{Z}/(n)}$ . So means that every elements of  $\mathbb{Z}/(m) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/(n)$  can be written in the form of  $k \cdot (1_{\mathbb{Z}/(m)} \otimes 1_{\mathbb{Z}/(n)})$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Also,  $\forall i, j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,

$$k \cdot (1_{\mathbb{Z}/(m)} \otimes 1_{\mathbb{Z}/(n)}) = (mi + nj) \cdot k \cdot (1_{\mathbb{Z}/(m)} \otimes 1_{\mathbb{Z}/(n)}).$$

And the proof can be done by Euclidean algorithm.  $\square$

### Exercise 1.2.H

(a) Denote such mapping by  $\mathcal{F}$ , we'll prove  $\mathcal{F}$  is a covariant functor. We know

$$\mathcal{F} : M \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(M).$$

And for morphism  $m : M \longrightarrow m(M)$ , we have

$$\mathcal{F}(m) : M \otimes N \longrightarrow m(M) \otimes N.$$

We need to prove that  $\mathcal{F}(\text{id}_M) = \text{id}_{\mathcal{F}(M)}$  and  $\mathcal{F}(g \circ f) = \mathcal{F}(g) \circ \mathcal{F}(f)$ .  
First,

$$\mathcal{F}(\text{id}_M) : M \otimes N \longrightarrow \text{id}_M(M) \otimes N = M \otimes N.$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}(g \circ f) : M \otimes N &\longrightarrow g \circ f(M) \otimes N. \\ \mathcal{F}(g) \circ \mathcal{F}(f) : M \otimes N &\longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(g)(\mathcal{F}(f)(M \otimes N)) = g \circ f(M) \otimes N.\end{aligned}$$

□

(b) *(The proof can be obtained by checking the mapping property step by step, skipped)*

### Exercise 1.2.I

*(Trivial.)*

Because from the textbook content right above this question, we see any two maps  $T, T'$  has a unique  $A$ -linear map to each other.

### Exercise 1.2.J

Because the construction in §1.2.5 is a bilinear map, is unique up to isomorphism.

### Exercise 1.2.K

(a) •  $\forall b_1, b_2 \in B, b \otimes_A m \in B \otimes M$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}(b_1 + b_2)(b \otimes_A m) &= (b_1 + b_2)b \otimes_A m \\ &= (b_1b + b_2b) \otimes_A m \\ &= b_1b \otimes_A m + b_2b \otimes_A m \\ &= b(b_1 \otimes_A m) + b(b_2 \otimes_A m).\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}(b_1b_2)(b \otimes_A m) &= (b_1b_2b) \otimes_A m \\ &= b_1(b_2(b \otimes_A m)).\end{aligned}$$

$$b(b_1 \otimes_A m + b_2 \otimes_A m) = b(b_1 \otimes_A m) + b(b_2 \otimes_A m).$$

• Denote such map by  $\mathcal{F}$ . We see for  $A \in \text{Mod}_A, B \otimes_A M \in \text{Mod}_B$ ,

$$\mathcal{F} : A \longmapsto B \otimes_A M.$$

And for  $\varphi \in \text{Mor}(A), \varphi : A \mapsto A'$ ,

$$\mathcal{F}(\varphi) : B \otimes_A M \longmapsto B \otimes_{A'} M.$$

(b) From a we know  $B \otimes_A C$  is a  $B$ -module and  $C$ -module simultaneously, therefore we have

$$b \otimes c = (bc)(1_B \otimes 1_C)$$

where we define the product of  $b$  and  $c$  as a map  $B \times C \longrightarrow B \times C$ . (*Roughly just regard it a Cartesian product.*) Now addition and multiplication will be given by

$$\begin{aligned}b_1 \otimes c_1 + b_2 \otimes c_2 &= ((b_1 + b_2)(c_1 + c_2))(1_B \otimes 1_C) \\ &= (b_1 + b_2) \otimes (c_1 + c_2).\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}b_1 \otimes c_1 \cdot b_2 \otimes c_2 &= ((b_1b_2)(c_1c_2))(1_B \otimes 1_C) \\ &= (b_1b_2) \otimes (c_1c_2).\end{aligned}$$

Commutative, associative and distributive law can be checked easily. And the 0, 1 of this ring will be

$$0_B \otimes 0_C, 1_B \otimes 1_C$$

respectively.