COMSM1201 Algorithms & Data Structures

Dr. Neill Campbell

Room 3.14 MVB

Neill.Campbell@bristol.ac.uk

Simple Recursion

 When a function calls itself, this is known as recursion.

This is an important theme in Computer Science that crops up time & time again.

 Can sometimes lead to very simple and elegant programs.

Fibonacci Sequences

A well known example of a recursive function is the Fibonacci sequence. The first term is 1, the second term is 1 and each successive term is defined to be the sum of the two previous terms, i.e.:

```
fib(1) is 1
fib(2) is 1
fib(n) is fib(n-1)+fib(n-2)
1,1,2,3,5,8,13,21, ...
```

Iteration & Fibonacci Sequences

```
#include <stdio.h>
int fibonacci(int n);
int main(void)
{
   int i;
   for (i=1; i<45; i++) {
      printf("%d = %d\n", i, fibonacci(i));
   }
   return 0;
}
```

Iteration & Fibonacci Sequences

```
int fibonacci(int n)
{
   int i, a, b, next;
   if(n \le 2) {
      return 1;
   a = 1; b = 1;
   for(i=3; i<=n; i++){
      next = a + b;
      a = b;
      b = next;
   return b;
}
```

Recursive Fibonacci Sequence

```
int fibon(int n)
{
   if(n == 1) return 1;
   if(n == 2) return 1;
   return( fibon(n-1)+fibon(n-2));
}
```

Iterative String Reverse

```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <string.h>
#define SWAP(A,B)
        {char temp; temp=A; A=B; B=temp; }
void Reverse_String(char *s, int n);
int main(void)
   char str[] = "Hello World!";
   Reverse_String(str, strlen(str));
   printf("%s\n", str);
   return 0;
}
/* Iterative Inplace String Reverse */
void Reverse_String(char *s, int n)
{
   int i, j;
   for (i=0, j=n-1; i < j; i++, j--) {
       SWAP(s[i], s[j]);
}
```

Recursive String Reverse

```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <string.h>
#define SWAP(A,B) {char temp; temp=A; A=B; B=temp; }
void Reverse_String(char *s, int start, int end);
int main(void)
   char str[] = "ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ";
   Reverse_String(str, 0, strlen(str)-1);
   printf("%s\n", str);
}
/* RECURSIVE : Inplace String Reverse */
void Reverse_String(char *s, int start, int end)
   if(start >= end){
      return;
   SWAP(s[start], s[end]);
   Reverse String(s, start+1, end-1);
}
```

Output

```
Reverse String -->ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ<---
Reverse String -->ZBCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYA<---
Reverse String -->ZYXDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXBA<---
Reverse String -->ZYXDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWCBA<---
Reverse String -->ZYXWEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVDCBA<---
Reverse String -->ZYXWVFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUEDCBA<---
Reverse String -->ZYXWVUGHIJKLMNOPQRSTEDCBA<---
Reverse String -->ZYXWVUTHIJKLMNOPQRSGFEDCBA<---
Reverse String -->ZYXWVUTSIJKLMNOPQRHGFEDCBA<---
Reverse String -->ZYXWVUTSRJKLMNOPQIHGFEDCBA<---
Reverse String -->ZYXWVUTSRJKLMNOPJIHGFEDCBA<---
Reverse String -->ZYXWVUTSRQPLMNOKJIHGFEDCBA<---
Reverse String -->ZYXWVUTSRQPLMNOKJIHGFEDCBA<---
ZYXWVUTSROPONMLKJIHGFEDCBA
```

The Ubiquitous Maze

The correct route through a maze can often be obtained via recursive rather than iterative methods.

```
int explore(int x, int y, char mz[YS][XS])
{
   if mz[y][x] is exit return 1;
   Mark mz[y][x] so we don't return here
   if we can go up:
       if(explore(x, y+1, mz)) return 1

   if we can go right:
       if(explore(x+1, y, mz)) return 1

   Do left & down in a similar manner
   return 0; /* Failed to find route */
}
```

Permuting

```
/★ Borrowed from e.g.
   http://www.geeksforgeeks.org
*/
#include <stdio.h>
#include <string.h>
int main()
    char str[] = "ABC";
    int n = strlen(str);
    permute(str, 0, n-1);
    return 0;
}
void permute(char *a, int l, int r)
{
   int i;
   if (1 == r) {
     printf("%s\n", a);
     return;
   for (i = 1; i \le r; i++) \{
      swap((a+1), (a+i));
      permute(a, l+1, r);
      swap((a+1), (a+i)); /*backtrack*/
}
```

Power

```
/* Try to write power(a,b) to computer a^b
   without using any maths functions other than
   multiplication :
   Try (1) iterative then (2) recursive
   (3) Trick that for n%2==0, x^n = x^(n/2) *x^(n/2)
*/
#include <stdio.h>
int power (unsigned int a, unsigned int b);
int main(void)
   int x = 2;
   int y = 16;
   printf("%d^%d = %d\n", x, y, power(x,y));
}
int power (unsigned int a, unsigned int b)
{
}
```

Algorithms: Searching

- The need to search an array for a particular value is a common problem.
- This is used to delete names from a mailing list, or upgrading the salary of an employee etc.
- The simplest method for searching is called the sequential search.
- Simply move through the array from beginning to end, stopping when you have found the value you require.

Sequential Search 1

```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <string.h>
\#define NOTFOUND -1
#define NUMPEOPLE 6
struct person{
        char *name;
        int age;
};
typedef struct person Person;
int FindAge(char *name, Person *1, int n);
int main(void)
   Person ppl[NUMPEOPLE] = {
              {"Ackerby", 21}, {"Bloggs", 25},
              {"Chumley", 26}, {"Dalton", 25},
              {"Eggson", 22}, {"Fulton", 41} };
   printf("%d\n", FindAge("Eggson", ppl, NUMPEOPLE));
   printf("%d\n", FindAge("Campbell", ppl, NUMPEOPLE));
   return 0;
}
```

Sequential Search 2

```
int FindAge(char *name, Person *p, int n)
{
  int j;
  for(j=0; j<n; j++) {
    if(strcmp(name, p[j].name) == 0) {
      return p[j].age;
    }
  }
}</pre>
```

Ordered Sequential Search


- If we know that the array is ordered on the basis of names, then we can stop searching once the search key is alphabetically greater than the item at the current position in the list.
- In large lists this can save an enormous amount of time.

```
int FindAge(char *name, Person *p, int n)
{
  int j, m;

  for(j=0; j<n; j++) {
    m = strcmp(name, p[j].name);
    if(m == 0)
       return p[j].age;
    if(m < 0)
       return NOTFOUND;
  }
  return NOTFOUND;
}</pre>
```

Binary Search for "101"

- Searching small lists doesn't require much computation time.
- However, as lists get longer (e.g. phone directories), sequential searching becomes extremely inefficient.
- A binary search consists of examining the middle element of the array to see if it has the desired value. If not, then half the array may be discarded for the next search.



Driver Function

```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <assert.h>
#include <time.h>
#define NMBRS 1000000
int bin_it(int k, int *a, int l, int r);
int main(void)
{
   int i, n;
   int a[NMBRS];
   srand(time(NULL));
   for(i=0; i<NMBRS; i++) {</pre>
      a[i] = 2*i;
   for (i=0; i<10*NMBRS; i++) {
      n=bin_it(a[rand()%NMBRS], a, 0, NMBRS-1);
      assert (n >= 0);
   return 0;
}
```

Iterative Binary Search

```
int bin_it(int k, int *a, int l, int r)
{
   int m;
   while (l \ll r) {
      m = (1+r)/2;
      if(k == a[m]) {
         return m;
      else{
          if (k > a[m]) {
             1 = m + 1;
          }
          else{
             r = m-1;
          }
   return -1;
}
```

Recursive Binary Search

- Much of the processing in the previous function was controlled by a while() loop.
- We now know how to replace this by careful use of recursion.

```
int bin_rec(int k, int *a, int l, int r)
   int m;
   if (l > r) return -1;
  m = (1+r)/2;
   if(k == a[m])
      return m;
   else{
      if (k > a[m])
         return bin_rec(k, a, m+1, r);
      else
         return bin_rec(k, a, l, m-1);
}
```

Interpolation Search

- When we look for a word in a dictionary, we don't start in the middle. We make an educated guess as to where to start based on the 1st letter of the word being searched for.
- This idea led to the interpolation search.
- In binary searching, we simply used the middle of an ordered list as a best guess as to where to begin the search.
- Now we use an interpolation involving the key, the start of the list and the end.

$$i = (k - l[0])/(l[n - 1] - l[0]) * n$$

when searching for '15':

$$i = (15 - 0)/(20 - 0) * 8$$

Interpolation Search

```
int interp(int k, int *a, int l, int r)
{
   int m;
   double md;
   while (l \ll r) {
      md = ((double)(k-a[1])/
            (double)(a[r]-a[l])*
            (double)(r-1)
           +(double)(1);
      m = 0.5 + md;
      if(k == a[m])
         return m;
      else{
         if (k > a[m])
            1 = m + 1;
         else
            r = m - 1;
      }
   }
   return -1;
}
```

Algorithmic Complexity

- Searching and sorting algorithms have a complexity associated with them, called big-O.
- This complexity indicates how, for *n* numbers, performance deteriorates when *n* changes.
- Sequential Search : O(n)
- Binary Search : O(log n)
- Interpolation Search : O(log log n)
- We'll discuss the dream of a O(1) search later in "Hashing".

Execution Timing

This code on my old Sun UltraSparc took:

- 0.07 seconds using an optimising compiler.
- 1.28 seconds using a non-optimising compiler.
- 0.43 seconds on an old Mac.

Binary vs. Interpolation

```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <assert.h>
#include <time.h>
int bin_it(int k, int *a, int l, int r);
int bin rec(int k, int *a, int l, int r);
int interp(int k, int *a, int l, int r);
int* parse_args(int argc, char* argv[],
                int * n, int * srch);
int main(int argc, char* argv[])
{
   int i, n, srch;
   int∗ a;
   int (*p[3]) (int k, int*a, int l, int r) =
                          {bin_it, bin_rec, interp};
   a = parse_args(argc, argv, &n, &srch);
   srand(time(NULL));
   for (i=0; i < n; i++) {
      a[i] = 2*i;
   for (i=0; i<5000000; i++) {
      assert ((*p[srch]) (a[rand()%n], a, 0, n-1) >= 0);
   }
   free(a);
   return 0;
}
```

Linked Data Structures

- Linked data representations are useful when:
 - It is difficult to predict the size and the shape of the data structures in advance.
 - We need to efficiently insert and delete elements.
- To create linked data representations we use pointers to connect separate blocks of storage together.
 If a given block contains a pointer to a second block, we can follow this pointer there.
- By following pointers one after another, we can travel right along the structure.

Linear Linked Lists

A list of numbers:

```
include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
#include "general.h"

struct node{
   int i;
   struct node *next;
};

typedef struct node Node;

Node *AllocateNode(int i);
void PrintList(Node *1);
```

Linked List Program

```
int main(void)
{
   int i;
   Node *start, *current;
   printf("Enter the first number: ");
   if(scanf("%d", &i) == 1)
      start = current = AllocateNode(i);
   else return 1;
   printf("Enter more numbers: ");
   while (scanf("%d", &i) == 1) {
      current->next = AllocateNode(i);
      current = current->next;
   PrintList(start);
   return 0;
}
```

Linked List Program

```
Node *AllocateNode(int i)
{
   Node *p;
   p = (Node*) ncalloc(1, sizeof(Node));
   p->i = i;
   p->next = NULL;
   return p;
}
void PrintList(Node *1)
{
   printf("\n");
   do{
      printf("Number : %d\n", l->i);
      l = l \rightarrow next;
   }while(l != NULL);
   printf("END\n");
}
```

Searching a List

```
Node *InList(Node *n, int i)
{
    do{
        if(n->i==i) {
            return n;
        }
        n = n->next;
    }while(n != NULL);
    return NULL;
}
```

Recursive List Printing

```
void PrintList(Node *1)
{
    /* Recursive Base-Case */
    if(l == NULL) return;

    printf("Number: %d\n", l->i);
    PrintList(l->next);
}
```

Recursive List Searching

```
Node *InList(Node *n, int i)
{
    /* Recursive Base-Case */
    if(n == NULL) return NULL;

if(n->i==i) return n;
    return InList(n->next, i);
}
```

ADTs

- But such coding is notoriously error-prone. We'd like to avoid it, if we can.
- Therefore, we generally use some library code.
- Build something once, and test it well.
- One example of this is an Abstract Data Type (ADT).
- Each ADT exposes its functionality via an interface.
- One of the most basic ADTs is a Collection.

Collections I

- This is just a simple place to search for/add/delete data elements.
- Some collections allow duplicate elements and others do not (e.g. Sets).
- Some are ordered (for faster searching) and others unordered.

```
/* Create an empty coll */
coll* coll_init(void);
/* Add element onto top */
void coll_add(coll* c, datatype i);
/* Take element out */
bool coll_remove(coll* c, datatype d);
/* Does this exist ? */
bool coll_isin(coll* c, datatype i);
/* Return size of coll */
int coll_size(coll* c);
/* Clears all space used */
bool coll_free(coll* c);
```

Collections II

- Note that the interface gives you no hints as to the actual underlying implementation of the ADT.
- A user of the ADT doesn't really need to know how it's implemented - ideally.
- The ADT developer could have several different implementations.
- Here we'll see *Collection* implemented using:
 - A fixed-size array
 - A dynamic array
 - A linked-list

Collection ADT via Fixed Array

```
#define COLLTYPE "Fixed"

#define FIXEDSIZE 5000
struct coll {
    /* Underlying array */
    datatype a[FIXEDSIZE];
    int size;
};

typedef struct coll coll;
```

Collection ADT via Fixed Array

```
coll* coll init(void)
{
   coll *c = (coll*) ncalloc(sizeof(coll), 1);
   c \rightarrow size = 0;
   return c;
}
int coll_size(coll* c)
   if(c==NULL){
      return 0;
   return c->size;
}
bool coll_isin(coll* c, datatype d)
   int i;
   for(i=0; i<coll_size(c); i++){</pre>
      if(c->a[i] == d){
           return true;
       }
   }
   return false;
}
```

Collection ADT via Fixed Array

```
void coll_add(coll* c, datatype d)
   if(c){
      c->a[c->size] = d;
      c->size = c->size + 1;
      if(c->size >= FIXEDSIZE) {
         on error ("Collection overflow");
      }
   }
}
bool coll_remove(coll* c, datatype d)
   int i, j;
   for(i=0; i<coll_size(c); i++) {
      if(c->a[i] == d)
         /* Shuffle end of array left one */
         for(j=i; j<coll_size(c); j++){</pre>
             c->a[j] = c->a[j+1];
         c->size = c->size - 1;
         return true;
   return false;
}
bool coll_free(coll* c)
   free(c);
   return true;
}
```

Collection ADT via Dynamic Array

```
#define COLLTYPE "Realloc"

#define FIXEDSIZE 16

#define SCALEFACTOR 2

struct coll {
    /* Underlying array */
    datatype* a;
    int size;
    int capacity;
};
```

Collection ADT via Dynamic Array

```
coll* coll init(void)
{
   coll* c = (coll*) ncalloc(sizeof(coll), 1);
   c->a = (datatype*) ncalloc(sizeof(datatype),
                                FIXEDSIZE);
   c \rightarrow size = 0;
   c->capacity= FIXEDSIZE;
   return c;
}
void coll_add(coll* c, datatype d)
{
  if(c){
    c->a[c->size] = d;
    c->size = c->size + 1;
    if (c->size >= c->capacity) {
      c->a = (datatype*) realloc(c->a,
              sizeof(d)*c->capacity*SCALEFACTOR);
      if(c->a == NULL) {
        on_error("Collection overflow");
      c->capacity = c->capacity*SCALEFACTOR;
}
```

```
typedef int datatype;

#define COLLTYPE "Linked"

struct dataframe {
    datatype i;
    struct dataframe* next;
};

typedef struct dataframe dataframe;

struct coll {
    /* Underlying array */
    dataframe* start;
    int size;
};
```

```
coll* coll_init(void)
   coll *c = (coll*) ncalloc(sizeof(coll), 1);
   return c;
}
int coll_size(coll* c)
{
   if (c==NULL) {
      return 0;
   return c->size;
}
bool coll_isin(coll* c, datatype d)
   dataframe* f;
   if(c == NULL || c->start==NULL) {
      return false;
   }
   f = c \rightarrow start;
   do{
      if(f->i == d) {
           return true;
      f = f - > next;
   }while(f != NULL);
   return false;
}
```

```
void coll_add(coll* c, datatype d)
{
    dataframe* f;
    if(c) {
        f = ncalloc(sizeof(dataframe), 1);
        f->i = d;
        f->next = c->start;
        c->start = f;
        c->size = c->size + 1;
}
```

```
bool coll remove (coll* c, datatype d)
   dataframe* f1, *f2;
   if((c==NULL) || (c->start==NULL)){
      return false;
   }
   /* If Front */
   if(c->start->i == d){
      f1 = c -> start -> next;
      free(c->start);
      c->start = f1;
      c->size = c->size - 1;
      return true;
   }
   f1 = c->start;
   f2 = c -> start -> next;
   do{
      if(f2->i == d){
          f1->next = f2->next;
          free (f2);
          c->size = c->size - 1;
          return true;
      f1 = f2;
      f2 = f1 - \text{next};
   }while(f2 != NULL);
   return false;
}
```

```
bool coll_free(coll* c)
{
    if(c) {
        dataframe* tmp;
        dataframe* p = c->start;
        while(p!=NULL) {
            tmp = p->next;
            free(p);
            p = tmp;
        }
        free(c);
    }
    return true;
}
```

Collection ADT III

- Any code using the ADT can be compiled against any of the impmentations.
- The Collection interface (coll.h) is never changed.
- There are pros and cons of each implementation:
 - Fixed Array: Simple to implement can't avoid the problems of it being a fixed-size. Deletion expensive.
 - Dynamic Array: Implementation fairly simple.
 Deletion expensive. Every realloc() is very expensive.
 Need to tune SCALEFACTOR.
 - Linked: Slightly fiddly implmentation fast to delete an element.

That Insertion Code again

```
#include "specific.h"
#include "coll.h"
int main(void)
{
   coll* c;
  printf("Please type some numbers :");
   c = coll_init();
   while (scanf("%d", &i) == 1) {
      col_add(c, i);
   /* Do print etc. */
   coll_free(c);
   return 0;
}
```

You can compile this against any of the 3 implementations.

'Complexity' of the Collection ADT

Task	Fixed Array	Dynamic Array	Linked List
Insert new element	O(1) at end	O(1) at end	O(1) at front
	if space	but realloc()	
Search for an element	O(n)	O(n)	O(n)
	brute force	brute force	brute force
Search + delete	O(n) + O(n)	O(n) + O(n)	O(n) + O(1)
	move left	move left	delete 'free'

If we had ordered our ADT (ie. the elements were sorted), then the searches could be via a binary / interpolation search, leading to O(log n) or O(log log n) search times.


Major ADTS

At the highest level of abstraction, ADTs that we can represent using both dynamic structures (pointers) and also fixed structures (arrays) include:


- Collections (Lists)
- Stacks
- Queues
- Sets
- Graphs
- Trees

Dynamic Trees & Graphs

Binary Trees:




Unidirectional Graph:




Stacks

Push-Down Stack:



Stacks

LIFO (Last in, First out):



- Operations include push and pop.
- In the C run-time system, function calls are implemented using stacks.
- Most recursive algorithms can be re-written using stacks instead.
- But, once again, we are faced with the question:
 How best to implement such a data type?

ADT:Stack

```
#include "../General/general.h"
typedef struct stack stack;
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <assert.h>
#include <string.h>
typedef enum bool {false, true} bool;
/* Create an empty stack */
stack* stack_init(void);
/* Add element to top */
void stack_push(stack* s, datatype i);
/* Take element from top */
bool stack_pop(stack* s, datatype* d);
/* Clears all space used */
bool stack_free(stack* s);
/* Optional? */
/* Copy top element into d (but don't pop it) */
bool stack_peek(stack*s, datatype* d);
/* Make a string version for testing etc. */
void stack_tostring(stack*, char* str);
```

ADT:Stack (Realloc) I

```
typedef int datatype;
#define FORMATSTR "%d"
#define ELEMSIZE 20
#define STACKTYPE "Realloc"
#define FIXEDSIZE 16
#define SCALEFACTOR 2
struct stack {
   /* Underlying array */
   datatype* a;
   int size;
   int capacity;
};
```

ADT:Stack (Realloc) II

```
#include "specific.h"
#include "../stack.h"
#define DOTFILE 5000
/* Some implementations would allow you to pass
   a hint about the initial size of the stack */
stack* stack init(void)
   stack *s = (stack*) ncalloc(sizeof(stack), 1);
   s->a = (datatype*) ncalloc(sizeof(datatype),
                                FIXEDSIZE);
   s \rightarrow size = 0;
   s->capacity= FIXEDSIZE;
   return s;
}
void stack_push(stack* s, datatype d)
{
   if(s==NULL) {
       return;
   s->a[s->size] = d;
   s->size = s->size + 1;
   if (s->size >= s->capacity) {
      s->a = (datatype*) realloc(s->a,
        sizeof(datatype)*s->capacity*SCALEFACTOR);
      s->capacity = s->capacity*SCALEFACTOR;
      if(s->a == NULL) {
         on_error("Stack overflow");
      }
}
```

ADT:Stack (Realloc) III

```
bool stack pop(stack* s, datatype* d)
{
   if((s == NULL) || (s->size < 1)) {
      return false;
   s->size = s->size - 1;
   *d = s->a[s->size];
   return true;
}
bool stack_peek(stack* s, datatype* d)
{
   if((s==NULL) | (s->size <= 0)) {
      /* Stack is Empty */
      return false;
   *d = s->a[s->size-1];
   return true;
}
```

ADT:Stack (Realloc) IV

```
void stack_tostring(stack* s, char* str)
   int i;
   char tmp[ELEMSIZE];
   str[0] = ' \setminus 0';
   if((s==NULL) \mid (s->size < 1))
      return;
   for(i=s->size-1; i>=0; i--){
       sprintf(tmp, FORMATSTR, s->a[i]);
       strcat(str, tmp);
       strcat(str, "|");
   str[strlen(str)-1] = ' \setminus 0';
}
bool stack free(stack* s)
   if(s==NULL){
      return true;
   free (s->a);
   free(s);
   return true;
}
```

Using the Stack

- We need a thorough testing program
- Here's a version of the string reverse code for which we already seen an iterative (in-place) and a recursive solution:

```
#include "specific.h"
#include "stack.h"
int main(void)
{
   char str[100];
   unsigned int i;
   stack* s;
   datatype d;
   strcpy(str, "A man, a plan, a cat, a canal Panama!'
   s = stack_init();
   for (i=0; i < strlen(str); i++) {
      stack_push(s, str[i]);
   for (i=0; i < strlen(str); i++) {
      assert(stack_pop(s, &d));
      str[i] = d;
   }
   printf("%s\n", str);
   stack_free(s);
   return 0;
}
```

ADT:Stack (Dynamic) I

```
typedef int datatype;
#define FORMATSTR "%d"
#define ELEMSIZE 20
#define STACKTYPE "Linked"
struct dataframe {
   datatype i;
   struct dataframe* next;
};
typedef struct dataframe dataframe;
struct stack {
   /* Underlying array */
   dataframe* start;
   int size;
};
```

ADT:Stack (Dynamic) II

```
#include "specific.h"
#include "../stack.h"
#define DOTFILE 5000
stack* stack_init(void)
{
   stack* s = (stack*) ncalloc(sizeof(stack), 1);
   return s;
}
void stack_push(stack* s, datatype d)
   dataframe* f;
   if(s){
      f = ncalloc(sizeof(dataframe), 1);
      f->i=d;
      f \rightarrow next = s \rightarrow start;
      s->start = f;
      s->size = s->size + 1;
   }
}
```

ADT:Stack (Dynamic) III

```
bool stack pop(stack* s, datatype* d)
   dataframe* f;
   if ((s==NULL) \mid (s->start==NULL))
      return false;
   }
   f = s->start->next;
   *d = s -> start -> i;
   free (s->start);
   s->start = f;
   s->size = s->size - 1;
   return true;
}
bool stack_peek(stack* s, datatype* d)
{
   if ((s==NULL) \mid (s->start==NULL))
      return false;
   *d = s - > start - > i;
   return true;
}
```

ADT:Stack (Dynamic) IV


```
void stack_tostring(stack* s, char* str)
{
   dataframe *p;
   char tmp[ELEMSIZE];
   str[0] = ' \setminus 0';
   if((s==NULL) | (s->size <1)){
       return;
   }
   p = s -> start;
   while(p) {
       sprintf(tmp, FORMATSTR, p->i);
       strcat(str, tmp);
       strcat(str, "|");
      p = p - next;
   }
   str[strlen(str)-1] = ' \setminus 0';
}
```


ADT:Stack (Dynamic) V

```
bool stack_free(stack* s)
{
    if(s) {
        dataframe* tmp;
        dataframe* p = s->start;
        while(p!=NULL) {
            tmp = p->next;
            free(p);
            p = tmp;
        }
        free(s);
}
return true;
}
```

Queue

FIFO (First in, First out):





- Intuitively more "useful" than a stack.
- Think of implementing any kind of service (printer, web etc.)
- Operations include enqueue, dequeue and size.

ADT:Queue

```
#include "../General/general.h"
typedef struct queue queue;
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <string.h>
#include <assert.h>
typedef enum bool {false, true} bool;
/* Create an empty queue */
queue* queue_init(void);
/* Add element on end */
void queue enqueue (queue* q, datatype v);
/* Take element off front */
bool queue_dequeue(queue* q, datatype* d);
/* Return size of queue */
int queue_size(queue* q);
/* Clears all space used */
bool queue_free(queue* q);
/* Helps with visualisation & testing */
void queue_tostring(queue* q, char* str);
```

ADT:Queue (Fixed) I

```
void _inc(datatype* p);
queue* queue_init(void)
   queue* q = (queue*) ncalloc(sizeof(queue), 1);
   return q;
}
void queue_enqueue(queue* q, datatype d)
   if(q){
      q->a[q->end] = d;
      _{inc(&q->end)};
      if(q-)end == q-)front) {
         on_error("Queue too large");
      }
   }
}
bool queue_dequeue (queue* q, datatype* d)
{
   if ((q==NULL) \mid (q->front==q->end)) {
      return false;
   *d = q->a[q->front];
   _inc(&q->front);
   return true;
}
```


ADT:Queue (Fixed) II

```
void queue_tostring(queue* q, char* str)
   char tmp[ELEMSIZE];
   str[0] = ' \setminus 0';
   if ((q==NULL) \mid (queue\_size(q)==0)) {
       return;
   for (i=q->front; i != q->end;) {
       sprintf(tmp, FORMATSTR, q->a[i]);
       strcat(str, tmp);
       strcat(str, "|");
       _inc(&i);
   str[strlen(str)-1] = ' \setminus 0';
}
int queue_size(queue* q)
   if (q==NULL) {
       return 0;
   if(q\rightarrow end >= q\rightarrow front) \{
       return q->end-q->front;
   return q->end + BOUNDED - q->front;
```

ADT:Queue (Fixed) III

```
bool queue_free(queue* q)
{
    free(q);
    return true;
}

void _inc(datatype* p)
{
    *p = (*p + 1) % BOUNDED;
}
```



Using the Queue

- We need a thorough testing program
- We'll see queues again for traversing trees
- Simulating a (slow) printer

```
#include "specific.h"
#include "queue.h"
#include "time.h"
int main(void)
   queue* q;
   datatype d;
   char str[1000];
   srand(time(NULL));
   q = queue_init();
   while (queue_size (q) < 10) {
      /* Slow output */
      if(rand()%10 < 1){
         queue_dequeue(q, &d);
      /* Faster input */
      if(rand()%10 < 3){
         d = rand() %1000;
         queue_enqueue(q, d);
      }
      queue_tostring(q, str);
      printf("Queue : %s\n", str);
   }
   queue_free(q);
   return 0;
}
```

ADT:Queue (Dynamic) I

```
typedef int datatype;
#define FORMATSTR "%d"
#define ELEMSIZE 20

#define QUEUETYPE "Linked"

struct dataframe {
    datatype i;
    struct dataframe* next;
};

typedef struct dataframe dataframe;

struct queue {
    /* Underlying array */
    dataframe* front;
    dataframe* end;
    int size;
};
```

ADT:Queue (Dynamic) II

```
#include "specific.h"
#include "../queue.h"
queue* queue_init(void)
   queue* q = (queue*) ncalloc(sizeof(queue), 1);
   return q;
}
void queue_enqueue(queue* q, datatype d)
{
   dataframe* f;
   if(q==NULL){
      return;
   }
   /* Copy the data */
   f = ncalloc(sizeof(dataframe), 1);
   f->i=d;
   /* 1st one */
   if(q->front == NULL) {
      q->front = f;
      q\rightarrow end = f;
      q->size = q->size + 1;
      return;
   }
   /* Not 1st */
   q \rightarrow end \rightarrow next = f;
   q->end = f;
   q->size = q->size + 1;
}
```

ADT:Queue (Dynamic) III

```
bool queue_dequeue(queue* q, datatype* d)
   dataframe* f;
   if ((q==NULL) | (q->front==NULL) | (q->end==NULL))
      return false;
   f = q -  front -  next;
   *d = q - front - i;
   free (q->front);
   q \rightarrow front = f;
   q->size = q->size - 1;
   return true;
}
bool queue_free(queue* q)
   if(q){
      dataframe* tmp;
      dataframe* p = q->front;
      while(p!=NULL) {
          tmp = p->next;
          free(p);
          p = tmp;
      free (q);
   return true;
```

ADT:Queue (Dynamic) IV

```
void queue_tostring(queue* q, char* str)
{
   dataframe *p;
   char tmp[ELEMSIZE];
   str[0] = ' \setminus 0';
   if ((q==NULL) \mid (q->front == NULL))
       return;
   p = q - front;
   while(p) {
       sprintf(tmp, FORMATSTR, p->i);
      strcat(str, tmp);
      strcat(str, "|");
      p = p->next;
   str[strlen(str)-1] = ' \setminus 0';
}
int queue_size(queue* q)
{
   if((q==NULL) || (q->front==NULL)){
      return 0;
   return q->size;
}
```

Detour: Graphviz

There exists a nice package, called Graphviz:


```
sudo apt install graphviz
```

which allows the visualisation of graphs/dynamic structures using the simple .dot language:

```
digraph {
    a -> b; b -> c; c -> a;
}
```


To create a .pdf:

dot -Tpdf -o graphviz.pdf examp1.dot



Binary Trees

- Binary trees are used extensively in computer science
- Game Trees
- Searching
- Sorting



Nomenclature

- Trees drawn upside-down!
- Ancestor relationships: A is the parent of E
- Can refer to left and right children
- In a tree, there is only one path from the root to any child
- A node with no children is a leaf
- Most trees need to be created dynamically
- Empty subtrees are set to NULL

```
typedef struct Node {
   char letter;
   struct Node *left;
   struct Node *right;
}
```


Binary Trees

(via an Array)

Don't rush to assume a linked data structure must be used to implement trees. You could use 1 cell of an array for the first node, the next two cells for its children, the next 4 cells for their children and so on. You need to mark which cells are in use & which aren't ... Counting from cell 1:

To find	Use	Iff
The root	A[1]	A is nonempty
The left child of $A[i]$	A[2i]	$2i \leq n$
The parent of $A[i]$	A[i/2]	i>1
Is $A[i]$ a leaf?	True	2i > n

In a binary search tree the left-hand tree of a parent contains all keys less than the parent node, and the right-hand side all the keys greater than the parent node.



My BST ADT


```
bst* bst_init(void);
/* Insert 1 item into the tree */
bool bst_insert(bst* b, datatype d);
/* Bulk insert n items from an array a into
an initialised tree */
bool bst_insertarray(bst* b, datatype* a, int n);
/* Return number of data in tree */
int bst size(bst* b);
/* Whether the data d is stored in the tree */
bool bst_isin(bst* b, datatype d);
/* Clear all memory associated with tree,
& set pointer to NULL */
bool bst_free(bst* b);
/* Optional ? */
char* bst_preorder(bst* b);
void bst_printlevel(bst* b);
/* Create string with tree as ((head)(left)(right)) */
char* bst_printlisp(bst* b);
/* Use Graphviz via a .dot file */
void bst_todot(bst* b, char* dotname);
```

```
/* Based on geekforgeeks.org */
dataframe* _insert(dataframe* t, datatype d)
    dataframe* f;
    /* If the tree is empty, return a new frame */
    if (t == NULL) {
       f = ncalloc(sizeof(dataframe), 1);
       f->d = d;
       return f;
    }
    /* Otherwise, recurs down the tree */
    if (d < t->d) {
        t->left = _insert(t->left, d);
    }
    else if (d > t - > d) {
       t->right = _insert(t->right, d);
    /* return the (unchanged) dataframe pointer */
    return t;
}
```

```
char* printlisp(dataframe* t)
{
   char tmp[ELEMSIZE];
   char *s1, *s2, *p;
   if(t==NULL){
      /* \0 string */
      p = ncalloc(1, 1);
      return p;
   sprintf(tmp, FORMATSTR, t->d);
   s1 = \underline{printlisp(t->left)};
   s2 = \_printlisp(t->right);
   p = ncalloc(strlen(s1) + strlen(s2) +
       strlen(tmp)+strlen("()() "), 1);
   sprintf(p, "%s(%s)(%s)", tmp, s1, s2);
   free(s1);
   free (s2);
   return p;
}
```

```
bool _isin(dataframe* t, datatype d)
{
   if (t==NULL) {
      return false;
   if(t->d == d) {
      return true;
   if(d < t->d) {
      return _isin(t->left, d);
   else{
      return _isin(t->right, d);
   return false;
}
```

Level Order Traversal



To achieve this we need to use a Queue.


Level Order Traversal

```
void bst_printlevel(bst* b)
{
   datatype n;
   queue *q;
   if((b==NULL) || (! _isvalid(b, 0))){
      return;
   }
   /* Make a queue of cell indices */
   q = queue_init();
   queue_enqueue(q, 0);
   while (queue_dequeue (q, &n) &&
         _isvalid(b, (int)n)){
      printf(FORMATSTR, b->a[n].d);
      putchar(' ');
      queue_enqueue(q, _leftchild((int)n));
      queue_enqueue(q, _rightchild((int)n));
   }
}
```

- So, in a nicely balanced tree, insertion, deletion and search are all O(log n).
- But: if the root of the tree is not well chosen, or the keys to be inserted are ordered, the tree can become a linked list! So complexities become O(n).
- The tree search performs best when well balanced trees are formed - large body of literature about creating & re-balancing trees.


Huffman Compression


- Often we wish to compress data, to reduce storage requirements, or to speed transmission.
- Text is particularly suited to compression since using one byte per character is wasteful - some letters occur much more frequently.
- Need to give frequently occurring letters short codes, typically a few bits. Less common letters can have long bit patterns.
- To encode the string "BABBAGE"



Huffman Compression

- Keep a list of characters, ordered by their frequency
- Use the two least frequent to form a sub-tree, and re-order the nodes.





- A = 10, B = 0, E = 110, G = 111
- String stored using 13 bits.

Hashing

 To keep records of employees we might index (search) them by using their National Insurance number:

- There are 17.6 billion combinations (around 2³⁴).
- Could use an array of 17.6 billion entries, which would make searching for a particular entry trivial!
- Especially wasteful since only our (5000) employees need to be stored.
- In this lecture we examine a method that, using an array of 6000 elements, would require 2.1 comparisons on average.

Hashing Nomenclature

- A hash function is a mapping, h(K), that maps from key K, onto the index of an entry.
- A black-box into which we insert a key (e.g. NI number) and out pops an array index.
- As an example lets use an array of size 11 to store some airport codes, e.g. PHL, DCA, FRA.
- In a three letter string $X_2X_1X_0$ the letter 'A' has the value 0, 'B' has the value 1 etc.
- One hash function is:

$$h(K) = (X_2 * 26^2 + X_1 * 26 + X_0)\%11$$


Applying this to "DCA":

$$h("DCA") = (3*26^2 + 2*26 + 0)\%11$$


 $h("DCA") = (2080)\%11$
 $h("DCA") = 1$

Collisions

• Inserting "PHL", "ORY" and "GCM":




• However, inserting "HKG" causes a collision.



Collisions

- An ideal hashing function maps keys into the array in a uniform and random manner.
- Collisions occur when a hash function maps two different keys onto the same address.
- It's very difficult to choose 'good' hashing functions.
- Collisions are common the von Mises paradox.
 When 23 keys are randomly mapped onto 365 addresses there is a 50% chance of a collision.

Linear Probing



- The policy of finding another free location if a collision occurs is called open-addressing.
- If a collision occurs then keep stepping backwards (with wrap-around) until a free location is encountered.
- The simplest method of open-addressing is linear-probing.
- The step taken each time (probe decrement) need not be 1.
- Open-addressing through use of linear-probing is a very simple technique, double-hashing is generally much more successful.

Double Hashing

- A second function p(K) decides the size of the probe decrement.
- The function is chosen so that two keys which collide at the same address will have different probe decrements, e.g.:

$$p(K) = MAX(1, ((X_2 * 26^2 + X_1 * 26 + X_0)/11)\%11)$$


• Although "PHL" and "HKG" share the same primary hash value of h(K) = 4, they have different probe decrements:

$$p("PHL") = 4$$

$$p("HKG") = 3$$

Prime Array Sizes


ullet If the size of our array, M, was even and the probe decrement was chosen to be 2, then only half of the locations could be probed.



ullet Often we choose our table size to be a prime number and our probe decrement to be a number in the range $1\dots M-1$.

Separate Chaining

Open-addressing is not the only method of collision reduction. Another common one is separate chaining.




```
/*
Modified Bernstein hashing
5381 & 33 are magic numbers required by the algorithm
*/
int hash(unsigned int sz, char *s)
{
   unsigned long hash = 5381;
   int c;
   while((c = (*s++))) {
      hash = 33 * hash ^ c;
   }
   return (int) (hash%sz);
}
```

Has many similarities to the implementation of the pseudo-random number generator in C, rand().

```
/* This algorithm is mentioned in the ISO
   C standard, here extended for 32 bits. */
int rand_r (unsigned int *seed)
{
   unsigned int next = *seed;
   int result;
   next *= 1103515245;
   next += 12345;
   result = (unsigned int) (next / 65536) % 2048;
   ... ETC ...
```


Cuckoo Hashing

```
Empty: copied farandoles into table 0(4)
Empty: copied bronzine into table 0(12)
Empty: copied auscultatory into table 0(5)
Empty: copied bifer into table 0(13)
Empty: copied steepgrass into table 0(6)
Empty: copied prevised into table 0(7)
Empty: copied oomph into table 0(8)
empodium, so cuckooed out auscultatory from table 0(5)
Empty: copied auscultatory into table 1(10)
interguarreled, so cuckooed out bronzine from table 0(12)
Empty: copied bronzine into table 1(5)
ranseur, so cuckooed out empodium from table 0(5)
Empty: copied empodium into table 1(4)
Empty: copied megalodon into table 0(11)
geosynchronous, so cuckooed out megalodon from table 0(11)
Empty: copied megalodon into table 1(14)
Empty: copied osmeteria into table 0(14)
Table getting full -> rehashed old sz =16
```



Graphs

A graph, G, consists of a set of vertices (nodes),
 V, together with a set of edges (links), E, each of which connects two vertices.



- This is a directed graph (digraph). Vertices are joined to adjacent vertices by these edges.
- Every edge has a non-negative weight attached which may correspond to time, distance, cost etc.

Graph ADT

```
#define INF (INT_MAX)
graph* graph_init(void);
int graph_addVert(graph* g, char* label);
bool graph_addEdge(graph* g, int from,
                   int to, edge weight);
int graph_getVertNum(graph* q, char* label);
char* graph_getLabel(graph* g, int v);
edge graph_getEdgeWeight(graph* g, int from, int to);
int graph_numVerts(graph* b);
bool graph_free(graph* q);
/* Optional ? */
void graph_tostring(graph* q, char* str);
void graph_todot(graph* q, char* dotname);
/* Independent of Implementation type */
edge graph_dijkstra(graph* q, int from, int to);
edge graph_salesman(graph* q, int from, char* str);
```

Graph ADT II

The graph type could be implemented in a large number of different ways.

- As two sets, one for vertices, one for edges. We haven't looked at an implentation for sets, but one could use lists.
- As an adjacency table simply encode the weighted edges in a 2D array.

	0	1	2	3	4
0	0	5	3	∞	2
1	∞	0	2	6	∞
2	∞	1	0	2	∞
3	∞	∞	∞	0	∞
4	∞	6	10	4	0

Realloc


```
graph* graph_init(void)
   int h, w;
   int i, j;
   graph* g = (graph*) ncalloc(sizeof(graph), 1);
   h = INITSIZE;
   w = h;
   g->capacity = h;
   g-adjMat = (edge**) n2dcalloc(h, w, sizeof(edge));
   q \rightarrow labels = (char **) n2dcalloc(h,
                              MAXLABEL+1, sizeof(char));
   for (j=0; j< h; j++) {
      for (i=0; i< w; i++) {
         g->adjMat[j][i] = INF;
      }
   }
   return g;
}
edge graph_getEdgeWeight(graph* g, int from, int to)
{
   if((g==NULL) \mid (from >= g->size) \mid |
      (to >= q->size)){
      return INF;
   return g->adjMat[from][to];
}
```

Realloc II

```
int graph_addVert(graph* g, char* label)
   int i, j;
   if(g==NULL) {
      return NO_VERT;
   if (graph_getVertNum(g, label) != NO_VERT) {
      return NO_VERT;
   /* Resize */
   if (g->size >= g->capacity) {
      DO SOME RESIZING
   strcpy(g->labels[g->size], label);
   q->size = q->size + 1;
   return q->size-1;
}
bool graph_addEdge(graph* g, int from, int to, edge w)
{
   if((g==NULL) || (g->size == 0)){}
      return false;
   if((from \geq q - size) || (to \geq q - size)){
      return false;
   g->adjMat[from][to] = w;
   return true;
}
```

Linked

• As a Linked list:



Linked II


```
graph* graph_init(void)
   graph* g = (graph*) ncalloc(sizeof(graph), 1);
   return q;
}
edge graph_getEdgeWeight(graph* g, int from, int to)
   int i;
   vertex *v;
   edgel* e;
   if((q==NULL) || (from >= q->size) ||
      (to >= g->size)){
      return INF;
   v = q - firstv;
   for(i=0; i<from; i++) {</pre>
      v = v -> nextv;
   if (v==NULL) \mid (v->num != from)  {
      return INF;
   e = v \rightarrow firste;
   while(e != NULL) {
      if(e->v->num == to){
          return e->weight;
      }
      e = e - > nexte;
   return INF;
}
```

Linked III

```
bool graph_addEdge(graph* g, int from, int to, edge w)
{
   vertex* f;
   vertex* t;
   int i;
   if((g==NULL) || (g->size == 0)){}
       return false;
   if((from \geq g - size) || (to \geq g - size)){
       return false;
   f = g \rightarrow firstv;
   for(i=0; i<from; i++) {
       f = f - > nextv;
   }
   t = g - > firstv;
   for(i=0; i<to; i++){
      t = t - > nextv;
   return _addEdge(f, t, w);
}
```

Algorithms: Sorting

- Bubblesort we have seen this already, but at complexity $O(n^2)$ is very inefficient.
- If an algorithm uses comparison keys to decide the correct order then the theoretical lower bound on complexity is $O(n \log n)$. From wiki:



Types of Sort

- Transposition (Bubblesort)
- Insertion Sort (Lab Work)
- Priority Queue (Selection sort, Heap sort)
- Divide & Conquer (Merge & Quick sort)
- Address Calculation (Proxmap)

Algorithms: Mergesort

The merge sort is divide-and-conquer in the sense that you divide the array into two halves, mergesort each half and then merge the two halves into order.

```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <string.h>
void mergesort(int *src, int *spare,
               int l, int r);
void merge(int *src, int *spare, int 1,
           int m, int r);
#define NUM 5000
int main (void)
   int i;
   int a[NUM];
   int spare[NUM];
   for(i=0; i<NUM; i++)
      a[i] = rand()%100;
   mergesort (a, spare, 0, NUM-1);
   for(i=0; i<NUM; i++)
      printf("%4d => %d\n", i, a[i]);
   return 0;
}
```

Mergesort II

Mergesort III

```
void merge(int *src, int *spare,
           int l, int m, int r)
{
   int s1, s2, d;
   s1 = 1;
   s2 = m+1;
   d = 1;
   do{
      if(src[s1] < src[s2])
         spare[d++] = src[s1++];
      else
         spare[d++] = src[s2++];
   \} while ((s1 <= m) && (s2 <= r));
   if(s1 > m)
      memcpy(&spare[d], &src[s2],
              sizeof(spare[0])*(r-s2+1));
   else
      memcpy(&spare[d], &src[s1],
              sizeof(spare[0])*(m-s1+1));
   memcpy(&src[1], &spare[1],
           (r-l+1)*sizeof(spare[0]));
}
```

Algorithms: Quicksort

Quicksort is also divide-and-conquer. Choose some value in the array as the *pivot* key. This key is used to divide the array into two partitions. The left partition contains keys \leq pivot key, the right partition contains keys > pivot. Once again, the sort is then applied recursively.

```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <math.h>
int partition(int *a, int l, int r);
void quicksort(int *a, int l, int r);
#define NUM 100000
int main (void)
   int i;
   int a[NUM];
   for(i=0; i<NUM; i++)
      a[i] = rand()%100;
   quicksort(a, 0, NUM-1);
   return 0;
}
```

Quicksort II

```
void quicksort(int *a, int 1, int r)
{
  int pivpoint;

  pivpoint = partition(a, 1, r);
  if(1 < pivpoint)
     quicksort(a, 1, pivpoint-1);
  if(r > pivpoint)
     quicksort(a, pivpoint+1, r);
}
```

Quicksort III

```
int partition(int *a, int l, int r)
   int piv;
   piv = a[l];
   while(l<r){
      while (piv < a[r] && l<r) r--;
      if(r!=1){
         a[1] = a[r];
         1++;
      }
      /* Left -> Right Scan */
      while(piv > a[l] && l<r) l++;
      if(r!=1){
         a[r] = a[1];
         r--;
      }
   }
   a[r] = piv;
   return r;
}
```

- Theoretically both methods have a complexity $O(n \log n)$, although quicksort is preferred because it requires less memory and is generally faster.
- Quicksort can go badly wrong if the pivot key chosen is either the maximum or minimum value in the array.

qsort()

Quicksort is so loved by programmers that a general version of it exists in ANSI C. If you need an off-the-shelf sort, and speed isn't too crucial, see man qsort:

```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
int intcompare (const void *a, const void *b);
int main(void)
{
   int a[10];
   int i;
   for(i=0; i<10; i++){
      a[i] = 9 - i;
   }
   qsort(a, 10, sizeof(int), intcompare);
   for (i=0; i<10; i++) printf(" %d",a[i]);
   printf("\n");
   return 0;
}
```

qsort() II

```
int intcompare(const void *a, const void *b)
{
   const int *ia = (const int *)a;
   const int *ib = (const int *)b;
   return *ia - *ib;
}
```

Algorithms: Radix Sort

- The radix sort is also know as the bin sort, a name derived from its origin as a technique used on (now obsolete) card sorters.
- For integer data, repeated passes of radix sort focus on the right digit (the 1's), then the second digit (the 10's) and so on.
- Strings can be sorted in a similar manner.

Radix Sort II

459 254 472 534 649 239 432 654 477

```
0
1
2 472 432
3
4 254 534 654
5
6
7 477
8
9 459 649 239
```

Read out the new list:

472 432 254 534 654 477 459 649 239

Radix Sort III

472 432 254 534 654 477 459 649 239

```
0
1
2
3 432 534 239
4 649
5 254 654 459
6
7 472 477
8
9
```

432 534 239 649 254 654 459 472 477

Radix Sort IV

432 534 239 649 254 654 459 472 477

```
0
1
2 239 254
3
4 432 459 472 477
5 534
6 649 654
7
8
```

239 254 432 459 472 477 534 649 654

Radix Sort V

- This has a theoretical complexity of O(n).
- It is difficult to write an all-purpose radix sort you need a different one for doubles, integers, strings etc.
- O(n) simply means that the number of operations can be bounded by k.n, for some constant k.
- With the radix sort, k is often very large. for many lists this will be a less efficient sort than more traditional $O(n \log n)$ algorithms.

Algorithms: String Searching

 The task of searching for a string amongst a large amount of text is commonly required in word-processors, but more interesingly in massive Biological Databases e.g. searching for amino acids amonsgt protein sequences.

How difficult can it be? Don't you just do a character by character brute-force seach?

Master String : AAAAAAAAAAA

Substring : AAAAAH

Substring : AAAAAH

Substring : AAAAAH

• If the master string has m characters, and the search string has n characters then this search has complexity: O(mn)

Rabin-Karp

Recall that to compute a hash function on a word we did something like:

$$h("NEILL") =$$

$$(13 \times 26^4 + 4 \times 26^3 + 8 \times 26^2 + 11 \times 26 + 11)\%P$$

where P is a big prime number. This can be expanded by Horner's method to:

The problem here is that for a large search string, overflow can occur. We therefore move the *mod* operation inside the brackets:

We can compute a hash number for the search string, and for the initial part of the master string. When we compute the hash number for the next part of the master, most of the computation is common, we just need to take out the effect of the first letter and add in the effect of the new one.

One small calculation each time we move one place left in the master.


Complexity O(m+n) roughly, but need to check that two identical hash numbers really has identified two identical strings.

Rabin-Karp II

```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <string.h>
#define 0 33554393
#define D 26
\#define index(C) (C-'A')
int rk(char *p, char *a);
int main (void)
{
   printf("%d\n", rk("LOT", "SLOT"));
   return 0;
int rk(char *p, char *a)
   int i, dM = 1, h1=0, h2=0;
   int m = strlen(p);
   int n = strlen(a);
   for (i=1; i < m; i++) dM = (D*dM) %Q;
   for (i=0; i < m; i++) {
      h1 = (h1*D+index(p[i]))%Q;
      h2 = (h2*D+index(a[i])) %O;
   /* h1 = search string hash */
   /* h2 = master hash */
   for(i=0; h1!=h2; i++) {
      h2 = (h2+D*Q-index(a[i])*dM) % Q;
      h2 = (h2*D+index(a[i+m])) % Q;
      if(i>n-m) return n;
   return i;
}
```


Boyer-Moore

The Boyer-Moore algorithm uses (in part) an array flagging which characters form part of the search string and an array telling us how far to slide right if that character appears in the master and causes a mismatch.



- With a right-to-left walk through the search string we see that the G and the R mismatch on the first comparison. Since R doesn't appear in the search string, we can take 5 steps to the left.
- The next comparison is between the G and the S.
 We can slide the search string right until it matches the S in the master.

Boyer-Moore II



- Now the C doesn't appear in the master and once again we can slide a full 5 places to the right.
- After 3 more full slides right we arrive at the T in CONSISTING. We align the T's, and have found our match using 7 compares (plus 5 to verify the match).

Graph Algorithms: TSP

- Imagine planning a delivery route around a graph, starting from a particular vertex.
- What's the least cost by which you can visit every vertex without ever returning to one?
- Finding the optimal path (to redcuce travelling time) is an NP-hard problem read up on Computational Complexity Theory to understand this better.
- For small graphs you could do this exhaustively, but for very large graphs you have to use some heuristics.
- One 'greedy' approach is to simply go to your closest unvisited neighbour each time.
- Typically gives results within 25% of the optimal solution, but sometimes give a worst-case solution . . .

TSP II

```
edge graph_salesman(graph* q, int from, char* str)
   bool* unvis;
   int v;
   int curr, ncurr, nvs;
   edge cst, bcst, e;
   nvs = graph_numVerts(g);
   if ((q==NULL) \mid (from >= nvs) \mid (str==NULL))
      return INF;
   }
   unvis = (bool*)ncalloc(nvs, sizeof(bool));
   for (v=0; v<nvs; v++) {
      unvis[v] = true;
   curr = from;
   bcst = 0;
   strcpy(str, graph_getLabel(g, from));
   do{
      unvis[curr] = false;
      cst = INF;
      ncurr = NO_VERT;
      /* Look at neighbours of curr */
      for (v=0; v < nvs; v++) {
         e = graph_getEdgeWeight(g, curr, v);
         if((v!=curr) && unvis[v] && (e!=INF)){
             if(e < cst){
                cst = e;
                ncurr = v;
            }
         }
      }
```


TSP III

```
/* Add in cost to go to closest */
if(cst < INF) {
    bcst += cst;
    curr = ncurr;
    strcat(str, " ");
    strcat(str, graph_getLabel(g, ncurr));
}
}while((cst < INF) && (curr != NO_VERT));
free(unvis);
return bcst;
}</pre>
```

Graph Algorithms: Dijkstra


- Its often important to find the shortest path through a graph from one vertex to another.
- One way of doing this is the greedy algorithm due to Dijkstra discovered 1956.
- Picks the unvisited vertex with the lowest distance, & calculate the distance through it to each unvisited neighbor, updating the neighbour's distance if smaller. Mark visited when done with neighbors.

Dijkstra II




• A -> B -> C -> D -> J -> I -> E -> F -> G


Dijkstra III



Dijkstra IV



Dijkstra V



Dijkstra VI

```
/* Not efficient : Use a min heap instead */
edge graph_dijkstra(graph* q, int from, int to)
   bool* unvis;
   edge* dist;
   edge e, cst;
   int v, curr, nvs;
   nvs = graph numVerts(g);
   if ((q==NULL) \mid | (from >= nvs)
      | | (to >= nvs)) 
      return INF;
   unvis = (bool*)ncalloc(nvs, sizeof(bool));
   dist = (edge*)ncalloc(nvs, sizeof(edge));
   for (v=0; v<nvs; v++) {
      unvis[v] = true;
      dist[v] = INF;
   dist[from] = 0;
   curr = from;
   do{
      /* Look at neighbours of curr */
      unvis[curr] = false;
      for (v=0; v < q > size; v++) {
         e = graph_getEdgeWeight(g, curr, v);
         if((v!=curr) && unvis[v] && (e!=INF)){
            cst = dist[curr] + e;
            if(cst < dist[v]) {
               dist[v] = cst;
            }
         }
      }
```

Dijkstra VII

```
/* Have we found the answer */
      if(!unvis[to]){
          e = dist[to];
          free(dist); free(unvis);
         return e;
      }
      curr = -1;
      e = INF;
      /* Best unvisited node */
      for(v=0; v<q->size; v++){
         if(unvis[v] \&\& (dist[v] < e)){
            curr = v;
            e = dist[v];
         }
      }
   }while(curr >= 0);
   /* No route */
   free(dist); free(unvis);
   return INF;
}
```

Simple Parsing

- Several fundamental algorithms have been developed to recognise legal computer programs (or expressions) and to decompose their structure into a form more suitable for processing.
- This operation, know as parsing, has application beyond Computer Science, since it is directly related to the study of the structure of languages in general.
- In mathematics we use parentheses, brackets and braces to indicate the boundaries of sub-expressions.
 In properly formed expressions, the various types of parentheses occur in matching pairs:

```
\{a*a-[(b+c)*(b+c)-(d+e)]*[sin(x-y)]\}-cos(x+y)
```

 We could use a stack to check whether or not such algebraic expressions have properly balanced parentheses.

Simple Brackets

```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <assert.h>
/* See previous stack notes */
#include "stack.h"
#define MAXEXPR 400
void CheckBrackets(char *str);
int MatchBracket (char c, char d);
int main (void)
{
   char name[MAXEXPR];
   if(!gets(name)){
      printf("Cannot read string ?\n");
      exit(2);
   }
   CheckBrackets (name);
   return 0;
}
int MatchBracket (char c, char d)
{
   if(c == '{' && d == '}') return 1;
   if(c == '(' && d == ')') return 1;
   if(c == '[' && d == ']') return 1;
   return 0;
}
```

Simple Brackets

```
void CheckBrackets(char *str)
   char c;
   Stack s;
   InitialiseStack(&s);
   while(*str){
      if(*str == '{' || *str == '(' ||
         *str == '[') {
         Push(&s, (int)*str);
      if(*str == '}' || *str == ')' ||
         *str == ']'){
         c = Pop(\&s);
         if(!MatchBracket(c, *str)){
            printf("Parse Error !\n");
            exit(2);
         }
      }
      str++;
   }
   if(!Empty(&s)){
      printf("Parse Error !\n");
      exit(2);
   printf("Everything OK\n");
}
```

Reverse Polish

- It is a long standing tradition in mathematics to write the operator between the operands, as in x+y, rather than x-y-+.
- The 'normal' method with the operator between the operands is known as *infix* notation.
- The alternative is called *Postfix* or *Reverse Polish* after the Polish logician J. Lukasiewicz (1958) who investigated the properties of this notation.
- As you scan a traditional infix expression, such as:

$$A+B/C+D$$

from left- to right, it is impossible to tell when you initially encounter the + sign whether or not you should apply the indicated operation to A.

- You must probe deeper into the expression to determine whether an operation with a higher priority occurs.
- This gets very complicated.
- Brackets make this even worse.

Reverse Polish Notation

Infix:

```
A+B*C
A*B+C
((A+B)*C+D)/(E+F+G)
```

Reverse Polish

```
ABC*+
AB*C+
AB+C*D+EF+G+/
```

Notice that no brackets are required in Reverse Polish. Therefore, for simple applications, we could require the user to enter expressions in postfix form.

Implementing Reverse Polish

- Reverse Polish may be evaluated by use of a stack.
- Examine the next character;
- If it is a number (or variable in the general case) push it onto the stack.
- If it is an operator (+-/*), pop off the top two items, perform the operation and push the result.
- If we have reached the end the answer is the one and only item on the stack. Else repeat.

	*	+		*	+	-		/
			2					
5			3	6		4		
2	10		1	1	7	7	3	
8	8	18	18	18	18	18	18	6

Completely Parenthesised Expressions

- How do we convert from infix to (the more easily handled) postfix ?
- If your expression is comletely parenthesised:
 - Move each operator to the space held by its corresponding right (closing) parenthesis.
 - Remove all parentheses.

Completely Parenthesised Expressions

```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <string.h>

#define MAXSTR 400

void Move(char *q, int b, char *spare);

int main(void)
{

  int brk = 0;
   char str[]="((((A+B)*C)+D)/((E+F)+G))";
   char *p = str;
   char *s;
   char *k;

  s = (char *)strdup(str);
  k = s;
```

Completely Parenthesised Expressions

```
while (*p) {
      if(*p == '(') brk++;
      if(*p == ')') brk--;
      if(*p == '*' || *p == '/' ||
         *p == '-' || *p == '+') {
         Move(p, brk, s);
         \star s = ' ';
      }
      p++;
      s++;
   }
   s = k;
   while(*s){
      if(*s != '(' && *s != ' ')
         putchar(*s);
      s++;
   };
   printf("\n");
   return 0;
}
```

Completely Parenthesised Expressions

```
void Move(char *q, int b, char *spare)
{
   char o;
   int brk = b-1;
   o = *q;
   do{
      q++;
      spare++;
      if(*q == '(') b++;
      if(*q == ')') b--;
   }while(*q != ')' || b != brk);
   *spare = o;
}
```

• Completely parenthesised expressions are very rare, and not very general.

 A totally general approach for translating infix to postfix relies on a table of operator precedence:

*	/	+	_	()	'\0'
2	2	1	1	3	0	0

```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <ctype.h>
#include <assert.h>
#include "stack.h"
#include "queue.h"
void PrintPostFix(char *infix);
int isoperator(char c);
int main(void)
{
   char infx[]="(((A/(B*C))+(D*E))-(A*C))";
   PrintPostFix(infx);
   return 0;
}
int isoperator(char c)
   if(c == '+' || c == '-' ||
      c == '*' || c == '/') {
      return 1;
   }
   else{
      return 0;
}
```

```
void PrintPostFix(char *infix)
   Queue q;
   Stack s;
   int ip = 0;
   char c, d;
   int priority[256];
   InitialiseQueue(&q);
   InitialiseStack(&s);
   Push(&s, ' \setminus 0');
   priority['*'] = 2; priority['/'] = 2;
   priority['+'] = 1; priority['-'] = 1;
   priority['('] = 3; priority[')'] = 0;
   do{
      c = infix[ip++];
      if(isupper(c))
          InsertQueue(c, &q);
      else if(c == ')'){
             d = Pop(\&s);
             while(d != '('){
                InsertQueue(d, &q);
                d = Pop(\&s);
             }
      else if(c == ' \setminus 0'){
                while(!EmptyStack(&s)){
                    d = Pop(\&s);
                    InsertQueue(d, &q);
                }
       }
```

```
else if (c == '(' || isoperator(c)) {
         d = Pop(\&s);
         while(priority[(int)d] >= priority[(int)c]
                && isoperator(d)){
             InsertQueue(d, &q);
             d = Pop(\&s);
          }
         Push(&s, d);
         Push (&s, c);
      else{
         printf("Invalid Token \"%c\"\n", c);
         exit(2);
   } while (c != ' \setminus 0');
   for(ip=0; !EmptyQueue(q); ip++)
      printf("%c", RemoveQueue(&q));
   printf("\n");
}
```

Formal Grammars


- Parsing a program is the process of grammatically analysing how it is composed into parts.
- Before we can write a program to determine whether a program written in a given language is legal, we need a description of exactly what constitutes a legal program.
- Programming languages are often described by a particular type of grammar called a context free grammar.
- Say we wish to create a new computer language whose sole purpose is to print out noughts and ones onto the screen :

```
BEGIN
ONE
NOUGHT
ONE
END
```

We will need a formal definition of the language.

<PROG> ::= "BEGIN" <CODE>
<CODE> ::= "END" | <STATEMENT> <CODE>
<STATEMENT> ::= "ONE" | "NOUGHT"

- The '|' means OR.
- "BEGIN", "ONE" and "NOUGHT" are string constants.
- <CODE> is described recursively.
- You could also think of this grammar in terms of a *railroad diagram*:



```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <string.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <assert.h>
#define MAXNUMTOKENS 100
#define MAXTOKENSIZE 7
#define PROGNAME "01.no"
\#define strsame(A,B) (strcmp(A, B)==0)
#define ERROR(PHRASE) {fprintf(stderr,
"Fatal Error %s occured in %s, line %d\n",
PHRASE, ___FILE___, ___LINE___); exit(2); }
struct prog{
   char wds[MAXNUMTOKENS][MAXTOKENSIZE];
   int cw; /* Current Word */
};
typedef struct prog Program;
void Prog(Program *p);
void Code(Program *p);
void Statement(Program *p);
```

```
int main(void)
   int i;
   FILE *fp;
   Program prog;
   prog.cw = 0;
   for(i=0; i<MAXNUMTOKENS; i++)</pre>
      prog.wds[i][0] = ' \setminus 0';
   if(!(fp = fopen(PROGNAME, "r"))){
      fprintf(stderr, "Cannot open %s\n",
               PROGNAME);
      exit(2);
   i = 0;
   while(fscanf(fp, "%s", prog.wds[i++])==1
          && i < MAXNUMTOKENS);
   assert(i<MAXNUMTOKENS);</pre>
   Prog(&prog);
   printf("Parsed OK\n");
   return 0;
}
```

```
void Prog(Program *p)
{
   if(!strsame(p->wds[p->cw], "BEGIN"))
      ERROR("No BEGIN statement ?");
   p->cw = p->cw + 1;
   Code (p);
}
void Code(Program *p)
{
   if (strsame(p->wds[p->cw], "END"))
      return;
   Statement (p);
   p->cw = p->cw + 1;
   Code(p);
}
void Statement(Program *p)
   if(strsame(p->wds[p->cw], "ONE")){
      return;
   if(strsame(p->wds[p->cw], "NOUGHT")){
      return;
   ERROR ("Expecting a ONE or NOUGHT ?");
}
```

```
BEGIN
   ONE
   NOUGHT
   ONE
END
Parsed OK
BEGIN ONE NOUGHT NOUGHT END
Parsed OK
BEGIN END
Parsed OK
BEGIN
  ONE
  TWO
END
Fatal Error Expecting a ONE or NOUGHT? occured in p01a.c,
line 79
BEGIN
  ONE
  NOUGHT
Fatal Error Expecting a ONE or NOUGHT? occured in p01a.c,
line 79
  ONE
  NOUGHT
END
```

Fatal Error No BEGIN statement? occured in p01a.c, line 55

- Notice that the END statement is actually used as the recursive base-case in the formal grammar in the function Code().
- Notice that the parser doesn't actually do anything other than check that the input has the correct syntax.
- An interpreter both checks the syntax and performs the required operations.
- A slight modification to the code is required to produce an interpreter:

```
void Statement(Program *p)
{
    if(strsame(p->wds[p->cw], "ONE")){
        printf("1\n");
        return;
    }
    if(strsame(p->wds[p->cw], "NOUGHT")){
        printf("0\n");
        return;
    }
    ERROR("Expecting a ONE or NOUGHT ?");
}
```

To parse a string such as "A+B*C", "A*(B+C)" or "-(B*F)" we use :

```
<EXPR> ::= <EXPR><OP><EXPR> |
           "(" <EXPR> ")" |
           "-"<EXPR> | Letter
<OP> ::= "+" | "-" | "*" | "/"
#include <stdio.h>
#include <ctype.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
#define MAXEXPR 400
struct prog{
        char str[MAXEXPR];
        int count;
};
typedef struct prog Prog;
void Op(Prog *p);
int isop(char c);
void Expr(Proq *p);
```

```
int main(void)
   Prog p;
   p.count = 0;
   if(scanf("%[A-Z,+,-,*,/,(,)]s", p.str) != 1){
      printf("Couldn't read your expression ?\n");
      exit(2);
   }
   Expr(&p);
   printf("Parsed OK !\n");
   return 0;
}
int isop(char c)
{
   if (c=='+' || c=='-' || c=='*' || c=='/')
      return 1;
   else
      return 0;
}
void Op(Prog *p)
   if(!isop(p->str[p->count])){
      printf("I was expecting a letter ?\n");
      exit(2);
}
```

```
void Expr(Prog *p)
   if (p->str[p->count] == '('){
      p->count = p->count + 1;
      Expr(p);
      p->count = p->count + 1;
      if(p->str[p->count] != ')'){
         printf("I was expecting a ) ?\n");
         exit(2);
      }
   }
   else if (p->str[p->count] == '-') {
      p->count = p->count + 1;
      Expr(p);
   }
   /* Note Look-Ahead */
   else if(isop(p->str[p->count+1])){
      if(isupper(p->str[p->count])){
         p->count = p->count + 1;
         Op (p);
         p->count = p->count + 1;
         Expr(p);
      }
   }
   else{
      if(!isupper(p->str[p->count]) ||
         isupper(p->str[p->count+1])){
         printf("Expected a single letter ?\n");
         exit(2);
      }
   }
}
```

A+(B*C)Parsed OK! -(B*C+D)Parsed OK! Α Parsed OK! A+(C*I was expecting a single letter? a+c Couldn't read your expression? A*B+(C*D

I was expecting a)?

- The formal grammar doesn't explain everything that the programmer needs to know.
- It is not clear whether the a+c example is invalid or not.
- It is not clear how spaces should be dealt with.