

II Finite field \mathbb{F}_p

§1 Arithmetic of integers

(1) Divisibility in \mathbb{Z}

Def: $a \mid b$. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. We say a divides b .

I denote it by $a \mid b$, if there exists an integer $c \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\text{s.t. } b = a \cdot c.$$

Rmk: By definition, to decide two integers have the divisibility relation.
One need to solve the equation.

Define a partial order on $\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$

- (1) $\forall a \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, we have $a|a$ (since $a \cdot 1 = a$)
- (2). If $a, b \in \mathbb{N}_0$ & $a|b$, $b|a$, then we have $a=b$
- (3). If $a, b, c \in \mathbb{N}_0$ & $a|b$, $b|c$, then $a|c$.

If a doesn't divide b (i.e. $ax=b$ has no solution in \mathbb{Z})
then we denote it by $a \nmid b$.

In general, in \mathbb{Z} , it's not always possible to do division.
But, we have the following. Euclidean division:

Thm. (Euclidean division).

Let $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, $b \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then there exists a pair
 $(q, r) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $a = bq + r$, with $0 \leq r < b$.

We call r is the residue of a mod b & q is the quotient of

Euclidean division
of a by b

If: Existence: (1). If $b \mid a$, then $a = b \cdot q$, take $r = 0$
 $\exists q \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t.

(2) If $b \nmid a$, Consider the set.

$$S = \left\{ \frac{a - bk}{b} : k \in \mathbb{Z} \right\} \cap \mathbb{N}$$

Since \mathbb{N} is well-ordering, we take the minimal
element r of $S \Rightarrow r = a - b \cdot q$ $\Rightarrow 0 \leq r < b$
 $\exists q \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t.

Uniqueness : Suppose (q', r') is another pair satisfying the properties.

$$a = bq + r = b'q' + r'. \quad \text{Assume } q' \leq r$$

$$\Rightarrow b \cdot (q - q') = r - r'$$

$$\Rightarrow |b| |q - q'| = |r - r'|, \quad 0 \leq r - r' \leq r < b$$

$$\Rightarrow q - q' = 0 \quad \& \quad r - r' = 0.$$

□

$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ the notion of mod n . Congruence class

Def: $n\mathbb{Z} := \{n \cdot k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$

(3) For $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ if $x \equiv y \pmod{n}$, $y \equiv z \pmod{n}$
then $x - z = x - y + y - z = k_1 n + k_2 n$
 $x \equiv z \pmod{n}$

if for $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$, we say x is congruent to $y \pmod{n}$,

if $x - y \in n\mathbb{Z}$ (i.e. $x - y = h \cdot X$ has a solution)

~~Claim~~: Denote it by $x \equiv y \pmod{n}$

Claim: This is an equivalence relation.

① $\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}, x - x = 0 \cdot n$
 $\Leftrightarrow x \equiv x \pmod{n}$

② If $x \equiv y \pmod{n}$ ($\exists k \in \mathbb{Z}$)
 $x - y = kn$
 $\Rightarrow y - x = -kn$
 $\Rightarrow y \equiv x \pmod{n}$

$(\mathbb{Z}, \equiv \text{mod } n)$

Then there exists a canonical quotient map.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathbb{Z}_{n\mathbb{Z}} \\ \downarrow & & \\ x & \mapsto & x := x + n\mathbb{Z} \end{array}$$

(:= the quotient of \mathbb{Z})
by the mod n . equivalence
relation

But on \mathbb{Z} , we have two binary operations, $+$ & \cdot .

We want to see if we can define two binary operations canonically on $\mathbb{Z}_{n\mathbb{Z}}$.

Canonicity

Such a question can be generalized to a commutative ring equipped with

An equivalence relation \sim

i.e. if R is a commutative ring, then

$$R \longrightarrow R/\mathcal{N}$$

A commutative diagram illustrating the construction of the quotient space R/R_{\sim} . The top row consists of two sets: $R \times R$ on the left and R on the right. A horizontal arrow labeled '+' maps elements from $R \times R$ to R . Below this, a vertical arrow maps $(x, y) \in R \times R_{\sim}$ to the equivalence class $[x] + [y]$ in R/R_{\sim} . A curved arrow indicates that the equivalence relation \sim on R is preserved by the addition operation.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R \times R & \xrightarrow{*} & R \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ R_k \times R_k & & R_k \end{array}$$

$(R, +)$ Commutative Group

Let $(G, *)$ be a group (not necessarily abelian
 i.e. $x * y = y * x \quad \forall x, y \in G$)

equipped with an equivalence relation \sim on G_i .

then we consider

$$\underline{\text{Question}}: (G, \cdot) \leftarrow G \times G$$

$$(G_x, G_y) \in \mathcal{G}_h \times \mathcal{G}_h$$

$$G \longrightarrow G/\mathcal{K}$$

$$x \rightarrow g_1 \circ (x * y)$$

π

$G_x \rightarrow G_{x-y}$

$$x \cdot y \in \pi((x \ast y))$$

We Need

$$\{x+y\} = \pi((x+y)$$

$$(*) \quad \{c_{x,y}\} = \pi(G_x * G_y) \quad \forall x, y \in G.$$

Since G is a group, we have a distinguish element $e \in G$
 (unit of G).

claim: $c_e \in \frac{G}{H}$

If $(*)$ is true, then c_e is a subgroup of G . s.t.

$$\forall x \in G, x * c_e * x^{-1} = c_e$$

14. Recall for a subset X of a group G , ~~X is a subgp iff~~

$$\forall x, y \in X, x * y^{-1} \in X$$

Take $x, y \in C_e$. $\nabla x * y^{-1} \notin C_e$.

$$\left\{ C_{x * y^{-1}} \right\} \stackrel{(*)}{=} \pi(C_x * (y^{-1}))$$

$$C_x = C_y$$

$$= \pi(C_y * (y^{-1}))$$

$$\stackrel{(*)}{=} \pi(C_{y * y^{-1}}) = \{C_e\}$$

$$\Rightarrow x * y^{-1} \in C_e$$

$$\Rightarrow C_e \text{ is a subgroup of } G$$

$$\forall x \in G.$$

$$x * C_e \subset G$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \downarrow & \\ x * C_e & \subset & G \\ & \downarrow \pi & \\ C_x & \in & G/\sim \end{array}$$

$$\{C_x\} \subset C_{e * x}$$

$$\begin{aligned} C_x &= C_e * x \\ &= x * C_e \end{aligned}$$

$$G_x \stackrel{?}{=} C_e * x$$

By definition, $G_x = \{ y \in G, x \sim y \}$ ~~is~~

(*) is true.

But this implies this ~~equivalent~~ equivalence relation is ~~not~~ ^{for some $u \in G$} defined by the subgroup C_e .

(i.e. $x \sim y$ iff $x * y^{-1} \in C_e$) $\Rightarrow x \in C_e * y^{-1}$
 \Rightarrow equivalence class $\{ y \in G, x \sim y \}$
are of the form $C_e * x$,

$$x * e = c_x = c_e * x$$

$$\Rightarrow x * (c_e * x^{-1}) = c_e \quad (**)$$

Def: If a subgroup H of G satisfies the condition $(**)$.

$$(i.e. \forall g \in G, g * H * g^{-1} = H)$$

then we call H is a normal subgroup of G

denoted by $H \triangleleft G$.

| Conclusion:
 $(*)$ is true $\Rightarrow c_e \triangleleft G$

Conversely, if $H \triangleleft G$, then the equivalence relation defined by H is the quotient set of G for (i.e. $\forall x, y \in G$ $x \sim y$ iff $x^{-1}y \in H$)

$$\Rightarrow \pi(G_x * G_y) = \{ (x * y) \quad \forall x, y \in G \}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(H * x * H * y) &= \pi(H * x * H * x^{-1} * x * y) \\ &\stackrel{H \triangleleft G}{=} \pi(H * H * x * y) = \pi(H * x * y) \\ \text{As a result, } \cancel{H \triangleleft G} \text{ has a canonical grp. struct.} &\Leftrightarrow \sim \text{ is defined by a } H \triangleleft G \text{ in a group} \end{aligned}$$

$(R, +, *)$ \sim is an equivalence relation on R

Want : a. Canonical ring structure on $\frac{R}{\sim}$.

$$\frac{(R, +)}{\sim}$$

\Downarrow

has a canonical gp. structure.

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall y \in R \\ & 0 = I \\ & y \cdot I \subseteq 0^* y \\ & \downarrow \pi \\ & \{0\} \\ \Rightarrow & y \cdot I \subseteq I. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} ((x, y) \in R \times R) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{is defined by } \xrightarrow{*} R \ni (x^*(y)) \xrightarrow{\text{normal}} 0^* y = C_0. \\ \text{Subgp } I \text{ of } (R, +) \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{since } (R, +) \\ \text{is commutative,} \\ \text{any subgp is} \end{array} \right) \\ \downarrow \pi \quad \downarrow \pi \\ (\underline{x}, \underline{y}) \in \frac{R}{\sim} \times \frac{R}{\sim} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall y \in R \\ \Rightarrow & \pi((x^*(y))) = \pi(\underline{x}^*\underline{y}) = \underline{0^*y} = \underline{0}. \end{aligned}$$

Conclusion: $((R, +, *) , \sim)$. If $\overbrace{R}^{\text{The quotient set}}$ has a canonical ring structure.

then \sim is defined by a subgp. I of $(R, +)$.

s.t. $\forall y \in R, \left\{ \begin{array}{l} y \cdot I \subseteq I \\ I \cdot y \subseteq I \end{array} \right. \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{(if } R \text{ is commutative} \\ \text{, then take one} \\ \text{condition is enough} \end{array}$

Def: An ideal I of a commutative ring $(R, +, *)$ is a subgp.

I of R . s.t. $\forall y \in R, y \cdot I \subseteq I$.

Conversely: if $I \subset R$ is an ideal, then $\frac{R}{I}$ is a ring.

$(\mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot)$ is a commutative ring
integral domain

Def.: Let R be a commutative ring.

(1) $\circ \ast a \in R$ is called a zero divisor if $\exists 0 \neq b \in R$. s.t. $a \ast b = 0$

if $\exists 0 \neq b \in R$. s.t. $a \ast b = 0$

△ this doesn't happen in \mathbb{Z}
non-thial. (by Peano axiom)

(2) If R is a commutative ring without zero divisors, then we say R is an integral domain.

Order on \mathbb{N}

Recall: $n\mathbb{Z} = \{n \cdot k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is an equivalence relation on \mathbb{Z}
 \cap subgp.
 \mathbb{Z}

Claim: $n\mathbb{Z}$ is an ideal of \mathbb{Z}

$$\forall m \in \mathbb{Z}, m \cdot n\mathbb{Z} \subset n\mathbb{Z} \quad \checkmark$$

$\Rightarrow \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{n\mathbb{Z}}$ has a canonical ring structure.

Def: Let R be a commutative ring & $I \subset R$ is an ideal.
If $\exists x \in R$. s.t. $I = x \cdot R$, then we call I a principal ideal.

Def.: An integral domain R is call. principal ideal domain. (P.I.D.).

if any ideal of R is principal.

Ex.: \mathbb{Z} is a P.I.D.

#. $I \subset \mathbb{Z}$ an ideal.

$\underline{I \cap \mathbb{N}_{>0}}$ has a minimal element a .

(a) $\subset I$. In fact. $(a) = I$.

Otherwise if $r \in I, r \notin (a)$ Euclidean division

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{if } r' \neq 0 \Rightarrow 0 < r' < a \quad x \\ & \Rightarrow r' = 0 \Rightarrow r \in (a) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{of } a \text{ & } r. \\ & \exists (q, r') \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}_*, \text{ s.t. } r = aq + r' \\ & 0 \leq r' < a \end{aligned}$$

Greatest common divisor (gcd).

Def. Let $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

$$I = a_1\mathbb{Z} + a_2\mathbb{Z} + \dots + a_n\mathbb{Z}$$

$$:= \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i : x_i \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

is the ideal generated by a_1, \dots, a_n .

(1) $\exists ! \underline{d \in \mathbb{N}}$ st. $I = d\mathbb{Z}$.

We call this d the gcd. of a_1, \dots, a_n .

(2) If $\text{gcd}(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 1$, then we say a_1, \dots, a_n are coprime.

(3) If $\forall i, j \leq n$, we have $\text{gcd}(a_i, a_j) = 1$, then we say a_1, \dots, a_n are pairwise coprime.

Note.
 $\mathbb{Z}^\times = \{\pm 1\}$

Rmk: In general, a GCD domain is an integral domain R with the property that any two elements of R has a gcd. (i.e. $\exists!$ minimal principal ideal containing the ideal generated by the two elements)

Take $n=6$. Consider the ring $\mathbb{Z}_{62} := \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \bar{2}, \bar{3}, \bar{4}, \bar{5}\}$

$$\bar{2} \neq \bar{0} \quad \bar{2} \cdot \bar{3} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bar{23} = \bar{6} = \bar{0} \quad \bar{6} \text{ is the unit for } (\mathbb{Z}_{62}, +)$$

$\bar{3} \neq \bar{0} \Rightarrow \bar{2}, \bar{3}$ are non-trivial zero divisors in \mathbb{Z}_{62} $\bar{1}$ is the unit for .

Ihm. (Bézout) Let $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}$

Then a_1, \dots, a_n are coprime iff. $\exists u_1, \dots, u_n \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\text{if } \sum_{i=1}^n u_i a_i = 1.$$

ff. \Rightarrow By the def. of coprime., $\gcd(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 1$

$$I = (\underbrace{a_1, \dots, a_n}_{\parallel}) = (\gcd(a_1, \dots, a_n)) = (1).$$

$$a_1\mathbb{Z} + \dots + a_n\mathbb{Z}$$

$$\Rightarrow a_1\mathbb{Z} + \dots + a_n\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow 1 = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i a_i \text{ with } u_i \in \mathbb{Z}$$

Conversely, if a_1, \dots, a_n are not coprime., then $\gcd(a_1, \dots, a_n) > 1$ Contradiction \times

Rmk.: Bézout's thm ensures that for $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ coprime,

there exists $(u, v) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. $u \cdot a + v \cdot b = 1$.

In practice, we have the Euclidean algorithm to

compute the pair (u, v) .

$$c = c \cdot 1 = c \cdot (au + bv)$$

$$= a \cdot c \cdot u + b \cdot c \cdot v.$$

Lemma

Thm. (Gauss). Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$

If $a \mid b \cdot c$. & $\gcd(a, b) = 1$, then $a \mid c$

Pf.: By def. of $a \mid b \cdot c$, there exists $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. $ad = b \cdot c \Rightarrow a \mid c$

Since $\gcd(a, b) = 1$, by Bézout's thm, $\exists x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$, s.t. $ax + by = 1$.

$$\Rightarrow c = c \cdot 1 =$$

prop: ① Let $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $b \in \mathbb{Z}$
 If $\forall 1 \leq i \leq n$, $\gcd(a_i, b) = 1$, then $\gcd\left(\prod_{i=1}^n a_i, b\right) = 1$.

② Let a_1, \dots, a_n be n coprime integers, $b \in \mathbb{Z}$.

$$\gcd(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 1.$$

Then TFAE: (a) $a_1 \cdots a_n \mid b$

$$\forall 1 \leq i \leq n, a_i \mid b$$

$$\gcd\left(\prod_{i=1}^n a_i, b\right) = 1$$

pf: ① By Bezout, $\exists x_i, y_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. $a_i x_i + b y_i = 1$.

$$\Rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^n (a_i x_i + b y_i) = 1 \quad \xrightarrow{\text{expand the product}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \exists m \in \mathbb{Z}, a_1 \cdots a_n x_1 \cdots x_n + m b = 1$$

the other terms are divided by b

② Assume (a). (i.e. $\prod_{i=1}^n a_i \mid b$)

Then $\forall 1 \leq i \leq n$, $a_i \mid \prod_{i=1}^n a_i \mid b \Rightarrow a_i \mid b$

Assume (b). (i.e. $\forall 1 \leq i \leq n$. $a_i \mid b$)

By induction ^{on n} if $n=1$. ✓

$\text{if } n=2.$

$$\Rightarrow a_1 \mid b = a_2 \cdot n_2 \xrightarrow[\text{Gauss' lemma}]{\text{gcd}(a_1, a_2)=1} a_1 \mid n_2 \Rightarrow a_1 a_2 \mid a_2 n_2$$

since $a_1 \mid b \Rightarrow \exists n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. $a_1 n_1 = b$

In general, one can ~~then~~ use the associativity of multiplication $\Rightarrow a_1 a_2 \mid b$
 to reduce to the case ~~with two factors~~ $n=2$. □

Let R be a commutative ring.

I_1, \dots, I_r be ideals of R .

operation on sets: \cup, \cap .

$I_1 \cup \dots \cup I_r$ is not an ideal in general. \times

$I_1 \cap \dots \cap I_r$ is an ideal of R .

(i.e. $\bigcap_{i=1}^r I_i$ is a subgp of $(R, +)$)

s.t. $\forall x \in R. x \cdot \bigcap_{i=1}^r I_i \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^r I_i$

$I_1 \dots I_r$ is an ideal of R

Def.: Let, $a_1, \dots, a_r \in \mathbb{Z}$

$I = (a_1) \cap \dots \cap (a_r)$ is an ideal of \mathbb{Z}

Since \mathbb{Z} is P.I.D., $\exists! \underline{\underline{d}} \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $\underline{\underline{(d)}} = I = (d)$.

We call this nature # d , the least common multiple of a_1, \dots, a_r , denoted by $\text{lcm}(a_1, \dots, a_r)$.

Rmk: $\forall a \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\text{lcm}(aa_1, \dots, a \cdot a_k) = |a| \cdot \text{lcm}(a_1, \dots, a_k)$

Prop: Let a_1, \dots, a_r be pairwise coprime integers. Then $\text{lcm}(a_1, \dots, a_r) = |a_1 \cdots a_r| \in \mathbb{N}$

4. $\forall 1 \leq i \leq r$.

By the def. of lcm, we have $d \in \mathbb{Z}$.

$$d = \text{lcm}(a_1, \dots, a_r) \quad (\Leftrightarrow a_i | d).$$

Since a_1, \dots, a_r are pairwise coprime, ~~take~~ $\left| \prod_{i=1}^r a_i \right| | d$.

On the other hand,

$$a_i | \left| \prod_{i=1}^r a_i \right| \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq r.$$

$$\Rightarrow d | \left| \prod_{i=1}^r a_i \right| \in \{d\} = \bigcap_{i=1}^r (a_i) \Rightarrow d | \left| \prod_{i=1}^r a_i \right|.$$

Prop: Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $\gcd(a, b) \cdot \text{lcm}(a, b) = |ab|$ □

pf: By def. of gcd. $\exists x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$, s.t. $\gcd(a, b) = ax + by$. $\Rightarrow \begin{cases} (ax+by) \cdot \text{lcm}(a, b) \\ a | \text{lcm}(a, b), b | \text{lcm}(a, b) \end{cases}$

$$\Rightarrow |a \cdot b| \mid \underbrace{(ax + by) \cdot \text{lcm}(a, b)}_{a \cdot \frac{\text{lcm}(a, b)}{x} + b \cdot \text{lcm}(a, b)} = \gcd(a, b) \cdot \text{lcm}(a, b).$$

On the other hand, $\begin{cases} \gcd(a, b) \mid a \\ \gcd(a, b) \mid b \end{cases} \Rightarrow \exists x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$
 s.t. $\begin{cases} a = \gcd(a, b) \cdot x \\ b = \gcd(a, b) \cdot y \end{cases}$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} a \mid \gcd(a, b) \cdot x \cdot y \\ b \mid \gcd(a, b) \cdot x \cdot y \end{cases} \stackrel{\text{by def lcm}}{\Rightarrow} \gcd(a, b) \cdot x \cdot y \cdot \mathbb{Z} \subseteq \text{lcm}(a, b) \cdot \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{lcm}(a, b) \mid \frac{\gcd(a, b) \cdot x \cdot y}{\cancel{\gcd(a, b) \cdot x} \cdot \cancel{\gcd(a, b) \cdot y}} = ab$$

□

S1.2. Primes

Def: 6. An integer $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ is called a prime if $\forall a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, \& p \mid a \cdot b$, then we have $p \mid a$ or $p \mid b$

↓ or special for 2

An integer p has no non-trivial factors (i.e. the only factors of p) are $\pm 1, \pm p$

① Relatively easy to check (with the help of computer).

② If an integer is not a prime, then we call it a composite #.

Ex: 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 17, 19, ..., 15485863, ...

are primes.

(1) Do multiplication is easy, do factorization is hard.

1776, Anton Felkel gave a table containing the

factorization of integers n with $1 \leq n \leq 40800$ which

are divided by 2, 3, 5.

→ planned to extend this
table to 10 million.

2. 3. 5. 7.

11. 13. 17. 19.
23. 29.

31. 37.

41. 43. 47

53. 59.

61. 67.

71. 73

83

97

25 primes & which ~~is~~ less than 100

Can you find a formula for primes?

Fermat: $\bar{F}_n = 2^{2^n} + 1. n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \bar{F}_0 = 3, \quad \bar{F}_1 = 5, \quad \bar{F}_2 = 17 \\ \bar{F}_3 = 257, \quad \bar{F}_4 = 65537 \end{array} \right.$$

$$\overline{F}_n - 2 = 2^{2^n} + 1 - 2 = 2^{2^n} - 1 = (2^{2^{n-1}} + 1) \cdot (2^{2^{n-1}} - 1)$$

$$= F_0 \cdots \overline{F}_{n-1}$$

* $\overline{F}_n = F_0 \cdots \overline{F}_{n-1} + 2.$

I_{nm}: There are infinitely many primes.

#: $(\overline{F}_n, \overline{F}_{n-1}) = 1$. There are infinitely many Fermat #'s.

If there are only finitely many primes, then $\exists N$ big enough,

$$\overline{F}_n \quad n \leq N \quad \square$$

1732. Euler. $F_5 = 2^{2^5} + 1 = 4294967297$

$$= 641 * 6700417.$$

Again: Factorization is difficult.

1855. Clausen gave a factorization of F_6 .

Mersenne prime: $2^n - 1$ the necessary condition: n is a prime.
of the form

Observation: Once we have a prime p , consider $2^p - 1$ to find a bigger prime

$$\cdot 2^2 - 1 = 3$$

$$\cdot 2^3 - 1 = 7$$

$$\cdot 2^5 - 1 = 31$$

$$\cdot 2^7 - 1 = 127$$

$2^{136279841} - 1$ (is) the ~~a~~ Largest known prime # Oct. 21, 2024.
The first one obtained by using GPU.

$$\mathcal{P} = \{ \text{prime #'s} \} \quad \# \mathcal{P} = +\infty$$

Ihm: (fundamental thm of arithmetic).

∀ nonzero natural #. n , we can write in a unique way (up to ordering)

$$n = \prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{a_i} \quad p_i \in \mathcal{P}, \quad a_i \geq 0 \in \mathbb{N}_0$$

~~If~~ $\forall a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, if we know the factorization of a & b , then it's easy to compute the gcd of a, b & lcm

$$\begin{aligned} a &= \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} p^{v_p(a)} \\ b &= \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} p^{v_p(b)} \\ \gcd(a, b) &= \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} p^{\min(v_p(a), v_p(b))} \\ \text{lcm}(a, b) &= \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} p^{\max(v_p(a), v_p(b))} \end{aligned}$$

PHP: If p is a prime, $k \in \{1, \dots, p-1\}$ then

$$p \mid \binom{p}{k}.$$

M: If p_0 is a prime dividing $k' = 1 \dots k$.

then $p_0 \leq k$

$$\Rightarrow p \nmid k' \quad \& \quad p \nmid (p-k)! \quad \& \quad \cancel{\&} \quad p \mid p! = \binom{p}{k} \cdot k' (p-k)!$$

$$\Rightarrow p \mid \binom{p}{k}$$

Thm. (Wilson) An integer $p \geq 2$ is a prime.

$$\text{iff } \frac{(p-1)!}{1} \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$$

¶ Let $A = \{1, 2, \dots, p-1\}$

" \Leftarrow " Assume p is a composite #.

then $p = a \cdot b$ with $a, b \in A \setminus \{1\}$

By the assumption $(p-1)! \equiv -1 \pmod{p} \Rightarrow \exists t \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ s.t.}$

$$\Rightarrow 1 = (p - (p-1)!) = a(t \cdot b - (a-1)! \cdot (a+1) \cdots (p-1)) \Rightarrow a \mid 1 \quad x$$

\Rightarrow if $p=2$ ✓

Assume $p \geq 3$

Claim: $\forall i \in A, \exists! j \in A$. s.t. $i \cdot j \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$

* In particular, $i = j \Leftrightarrow i = 1 \text{ or } i = p-1$.

pf of claim: $\gcd(i, p) = 1$ (since p is a prime)
 $i < p$

$\exists! j \in A \Rightarrow \exists x, y \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ s.t. } ix + py = 1$

s.t. $j \equiv x \pmod{p}$
 $i \cdot j \equiv 1 \pmod{p} \Rightarrow ix \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$

But A is a set of representatives
of $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$

Assume: $i = j$. $i^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p} \Rightarrow p | (i^2 - 1) = (i+1)(i-1)$.

$\Rightarrow p | (i-1)$ or $p | (i+1)$.

(1) if $p | (i+1)$. $\Rightarrow i \equiv p-1 \pmod{p} \Rightarrow i = p-1$.

(2) if $p | (i-1)$ $\Rightarrow x \equiv 1 \pmod{p} \Rightarrow i = 1$

Use the relation $ij \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ to pair the elements in A.

Except 1 & $p-1$. $\Rightarrow (p-1)! \equiv 1 \cdot (p-1) \cdot \prod_{1 < k < p-1} k \equiv 1 \cdot (p-1)^{\frac{p-3}{2}} \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$

Rmk. In Wilson's theorem, $(p-1)! \not\equiv -1 \pmod{p}$

$$\Leftrightarrow p \mid (p-1)! + 1$$

But consider $p^2 \mid (p-1)! + 1$, $p^3 \mid (p-1)! + 1$

If p is prime & $p^2 \mid (p-1)! + 1$, then p is called
a Wilson prime

5, 13, 563 are Wilson prime.

? $\#\{\text{Wilson prime}\} = +\infty$ or not

Take $n = p$ a prime.

$$\mathbb{F}_p := \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{p\mathbb{Z}} = \left\{ \bar{0}, \bar{1}, \dots, \bar{p-1} \right\}$$

Since p is a prime,

\mathbb{F}_p is a field

The field \mathbb{Q} & \mathbb{F}_p & p prime are called

& K is an abstract field.

$$\begin{cases} \ker \varphi := \{ m \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ s.t. } \varphi(m) = 0 \} \\ \text{if } \ker \varphi = (\circ), \text{ then } k \text{ is of characteristic } p \\ \text{if } \ker \varphi = (p) \text{ then } k \text{ is of characteristic } 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow K \\ \mathbb{F}_p \hookrightarrow K \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & K \\ \mathbb{Q}^n & \longmapsto & n \cdot e = \underbrace{e + e + \dots + e}_{n \text{ times}} \end{array}$$

prime field

e unit for multiplication of