

Assessment paper

Hanjo Boekhout - s1281348

December 8, 2014

1 Reflection

Having already done this course before, I started the project with the intention to keep documentation a priority. Although this somewhat faded after the first week, I was able to shift my focus from functionality to documentation in the last weeks. I have also been diligent in updating scrumwise with my progress and declaring hours spend.

I feel I have contributed well to this project as I have created most of the client (desktop application) functionality and created the class diagram for the client. Although attempting to keep everyone involved in the creation of the client, the differences in programming experience lead to a failure to contribute on this part by some. And thus I focused more on the client as they went to work on the website. I think that overall I have been able to be a guiding influence, which means for example indicating when a goal is unrealistic and determining what areas to focus on and assisting where needed.

To conclude, I think I have passed this course because I feel I have both contributed well in programming as well as in the scrum process.

2 Peer review

2.1 Koen Putman

Focussing mainly on getting the visual representation of a course, getting dragging to work and managing the database koen contributed a vital part of functionality. He was also responsible for the set up of the server and setting up repositories for easy collaboration. These and other contributions leave me with little to criticise.

2.2 Jacob Jonkman

Although it seemed hard to spend much time on a weekly basis, jacob always tried to contribute something. He has also been responsible for most of the testing, thus providing an important part of documentation. I would have liked to have seen a bit more activity but overall I feel his efforts were enough.

2.3 Wouter Huijs

Wouter was the driving force behind the website. In the early weeks he struggled to contribute due to a lack of understanding of the client, but in later weeks he made up for this by creating most of the website. Although I am satisfied with his overall contributions I must however note a lack of documentation on his part.

2.4 Eline Schuurmans

Having created the manual and the database diagram she provided a good amount of documentation. There was however a lack of contribution in the programming department. When it comes down to it her main contributions in this department have been the design of the headers, footers and icon. I would have liked to have seen more of a week in week out contribution.

2.5 Axel Bijlsma

Axel seemed to have the misfortune of not understanding the client, database or website. Although several attempts by us and christian were made to get him up to speed he was not able to contribute in those areas at all. In the end his only real contributions were in some testing and creating the requirements list.