

Project Title: System Verification and
Validation Plan for Flick Picker

Author Name

November 1, 2022

Revision History

Table 1: Revision History

| Date | Developer(s) | Change |
|------------|-----------------|------------------------------------|
| October 31 | Jarrold Colwell | Summary & Objectives content added |

Contents

| | | |
|----------|--|-----------|
| 1 | Symbols, Abbreviations and Acronyms | iv |
| 2 | General Information | 1 |
| 2.1 | Summary | 1 |
| 2.1.1 | Front End Testing | 1 |
| 2.1.2 | Back End Testing | 1 |
| 2.2 | Objectives | 1 |
| 2.2.1 | Requirements | 1 |
| 2.2.2 | UI Elements | 2 |
| 2.2.3 | External Connections | 2 |
| 2.3 | Relevant Documentation | 2 |
| 3 | Plan | 2 |
| 3.1 | Verification and Validation Team | 2 |
| 3.2 | SRS Verification Plan | 3 |
| 3.3 | Design Verification Plan | 3 |
| 3.4 | Implementation Verification Plan | 3 |
| 3.5 | Automated Testing and Verification Tools | 3 |
| 3.6 | Software Validation Plan | 3 |
| 4 | System Test Description | 4 |
| 4.1 | Tests for Functional Requirements | 4 |
| 4.1.1 | Area of Testing1 | 4 |
| 4.1.2 | Area of Testing2 | 5 |
| 4.2 | Tests for Nonfunctional Requirements | 5 |
| 4.2.1 | Area of Testing1 | 5 |
| 4.2.2 | Area of Testing2 | 6 |
| 4.3 | Traceability Between Test Cases and Requirements | 6 |
| 5 | Unit Test Description | 6 |
| 5.1 | Unit Testing Scope | 6 |
| 5.2 | Tests for Functional Requirements | 6 |
| 5.2.1 | Module 1 | 6 |
| 5.2.2 | Module 2 | 7 |
| 5.3 | Tests for Nonfunctional Requirements | 7 |
| 5.3.1 | Module ? | 8 |

| | | |
|----------|---|----------|
| 5.3.2 | Module ? | 8 |
| 5.4 | Traceability Between Test Cases and Modules | 8 |
| 6 | Appendix | 9 |
| 6.1 | Symbolic Parameters | 9 |
| 6.2 | Usability Survey Questions? | 9 |

List of Tables

| | | |
|---|--|---|
| 1 | Revision History | i |
| | [Remove this section if it isn't needed —SS] | |

List of Figures

[Remove this section if it isn't needed —SS]

1 Symbols, Abbreviations and Acronyms

| Symbol | Description |
|--------|-------------------------------------|
| T | Test |
| V&V | System Verification and Validation |
| SRS | Software Requirements Specification |
| UI | User Interface |

[symbols, abbreviations or acronyms – you can simply reference the SRS (Author, 2019) tables, if appropriate —SS]

This document ... [\[provide an introductory blurb and roadmap of the Verification and Validation plan —SS\]](#)

2 General Information

2.1 Summary

This document describes the plan to verify and validate that Flick Picker meets the defined requirements and specifications. Additionally, this document will validate that Flick Picker fulfills its intended purpose of recommending compatible Movies, TV Shows, or Anime to an individual or a group.

2.1.1 Front End Testing

- Account Creation - Web page that facilitates user account creation
- User Preferences - Web page that facilitates user preference settings
- Group Creation - Web page that facilitates the creation of groups
- Recommendation - Web page that displays recommendations for an individual or group

2.1.2 Back End Testing

- Database Access - Accessing the database to find user preferences or information pertaining to Movies, TV Shows, or Anime
- Recommendation Algorithm - The algorithm responsible for finding the best Movies, TV Shows, or Anime for an individual or group
- API Data - The accessing, storage, and usage of external data from various APIs

2.2 Objectives

2.2.1 Requirements

The first objective involves verifying that Flick Picker meets requirements outlined in our SRS document and validating that the behaviour present is

desirable. This includes the functional requirements (e.g. Authentication Requirements) and the non-functional requirements (e.g. Appearance Requirements). This objective will build confidence in the correctness of Flick Picker along with validating that security and usability standards are met.

2.2.2 UI Elements

The second objective of the V&V document involves the validation of navigability and functionality of UI elements. Each menu described in the 'Front End Testing' section above must be functional for users. Additionally, users must be able to navigate to each page individually. This objective ensures that usability and response time standards are met.

2.2.3 External Connections

The final objective of the V&V document is to ensure that all external connections of Flick Picker (e.g. APIs, Database) work as intended. This objective ensures that the interoperability of Flick Picker is adequate.

2.3 Relevant Documentation

[Reference relevant documentation. This will definitely include your SRS and your other project documents (MG, MIS, etc). You can include these even before they are written, since by the time the project is done, they will be written. —SS]

Author (2019)

3 Plan

[Introduce this section. You can provide a roadmap of the sections to come. —SS]

3.1 Verification and Validation Team

[You, your classmates and the course instructor. Maybe your supervisor. You should do more than list names. You should say what each person's role is for the project. A table is a good way to summarize this information. —SS]

3.2 SRS Verification Plan

[List any approaches you intend to use for SRS verification. This may just be ad hoc feedback from reviewers, like your classmates, or you may have something more rigorous/systematic in mind.. —SS]

[Remember you have an SRS checklist —SS]

3.3 Design Verification Plan

[Plans for design verification —SS]

[The review will include reviews by your classmates —SS]

[Remember you have MG and MIS checklists —SS]

3.4 Implementation Verification Plan

[You should at least point to the tests listed in this document and the unit testing plan. —SS]

[In this section you would also give any details of any plans for static verification of the implementation. Potential techniques include code walk-throughs, code inspection, static analyzers, etc. —SS]

3.5 Automated Testing and Verification Tools

[What tools are you using for automated testing. Likely a unit testing framework and maybe a profiling tool, like ValGrind. Other possible tools include a static analyzer, make, continuous integration tools, test coverage tools, etc. Explain your plans for summarizing code coverage metrics. Linters are another important class of tools. For the programming language you select, you should look at the available linters. There may also be tools that verify that coding standards have been respected, like flake9 for Python. —SS]

[The details of this section will likely evolve as you get closer to the implementation. —SS]

3.6 Software Validation Plan

[If there is any external data that can be used for validation, you should point to it here. If there are no plans for validation, you should state that here. —SS]

4 System Test Description

4.1 Tests for Functional Requirements

[Subsets of the tests may be in related, so this section is divided into different areas. If there are no identifiable subsets for the tests, this level of document structure can be removed. —SS]

[Include a blurb here to explain why the subsections below cover the requirements. References to the SRS would be good. —SS]

4.1.1 Area of Testing¹

[It would be nice to have a blurb here to explain why the subsections below cover the requirements. References to the SRS would be good. If a section covers tests for input constraints, you should reference the data constraints table in the SRS. —SS]

Title for Test

1. test-id1

Control: Manual versus Automatic

Initial State:

Input:

Output: [The expected result for the given inputs —SS]

Test Case Derivation: [Justify the expected value given in the Output field —SS]

How test will be performed:

2. test-id2

Control: Manual versus Automatic

Initial State:

Input:

Output: [The expected result for the given inputs —SS]

Test Case Derivation: [Justify the expected value given in the Output field —SS]

How test will be performed:

4.1.2 Area of Testing2

...

4.2 Tests for Nonfunctional Requirements

[The nonfunctional requirements for accuracy will likely just reference the appropriate functional tests from above. The test cases should mention reporting the relative error for these tests. —SS]

[Tests related to usability could include conducting a usability test and survey. —SS]

4.2.1 Area of Testing1

Title for Test

1. test-id1

Type:

Initial State:

Input/Condition:

Output/Result:

How test will be performed:

2. test-id2

Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual, Static etc.

Initial State:

Input:

Output:

How test will be performed:

4.2.2 Area of Testing2

...

4.3 Traceability Between Test Cases and Requirements

[Provide a table that shows which test cases are supporting which requirements. —SS]

5 Unit Test Description

[Reference your MIS and explain your overall philosophy for test case selection. —SS] [This section should not be filled in until after the MIS has been completed. —SS]

5.1 Unit Testing Scope

[What modules are outside of the scope. If there are modules that are developed by someone else, then you would say here if you aren't planning on verifying them. There may also be modules that are part of your software, but have a lower priority for verification than others. If this is the case, explain your rationale for the ranking of module importance. —SS]

5.2 Tests for Functional Requirements

[Most of the verification will be through automated unit testing. If appropriate specific modules can be verified by a non-testing based technique. That can also be documented in this section. —SS]

5.2.1 Module 1

[Include a blurb here to explain why the subsections below cover the module. References to the MIS would be good. You will want tests from a black box perspective and from a white box perspective. Explain to the reader how the tests were selected. —SS]

1. test-id1

Type: [Functional, Dynamic, Manual, Automatic, Static etc. Most will be automatic —SS]

Initial State:

Input:

Output: [The expected result for the given inputs —SS]

Test Case Derivation: [Justify the expected value given in the Output field —SS]

How test will be performed:

2. test-id2

Type: [Functional, Dynamic, Manual, Automatic, Static etc. Most will be automatic —SS]

Initial State:

Input:

Output: [The expected result for the given inputs —SS]

Test Case Derivation: [Justify the expected value given in the Output field —SS]

How test will be performed:

3. ...

5.2.2 Module 2

...

5.3 Tests for Nonfunctional Requirements

[If there is a module that needs to be independently assessed for performance, those test cases can go here. In some projects, planning for nonfunctional tests of units will not be that relevant. —SS]

[These tests may involve collecting performance data from previously mentioned functional tests. —SS]

5.3.1 Module ?

1. test-id1

Type: [Functional, Dynamic, Manual, Automatic, Static etc. Most will be automatic —SS]

Initial State:

Input/Condition:

Output/Result:

How test will be performed:

2. test-id2

Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual, Static etc.

Initial State:

Input:

Output:

How test will be performed:

5.3.2 Module ?

...

5.4 Traceability Between Test Cases and Modules

[Provide evidence that all of the modules have been considered. —SS]

References

Author Author. System requirements specification. <https://github.com/...>, 2019.

6 Appendix

This is where you can place additional information.

6.1 Symbolic Parameters

The definition of the test cases will call for SYMBOLIC_CONSTANTS. Their values are defined in this section for easy maintenance.

6.2 Usability Survey Questions?

[This is a section that would be appropriate for some projects. —SS]