

# Simpler Computation of Minimal Removable Sets in 2-Edge-Connected Systems for Undirected Graphs

SHOTA, Kan

HARAGUCHI, Kazuya

8th December 2025

## 1 Introduction

## 2 Preliminaries

Throughout the paper, we assume that a graph is simple and undirected.

For a graph  $G = (V, E)$  with a vertex set  $V$  and an edge set  $E$ , we may abbreviate an edge  $\{u, v\} \in E$  as  $uv$  (or equivalently  $vu$ ) for simplicity. For a vertex  $v \in V$ , we denote by  $N_G(v)$  the set of neighbours of  $v$ . For  $S \subseteq V$ , we denote by  $G[S]$  the subgraph of  $G$  induced by  $S$ . We denote by  $G - S$  the subgraph obtained by removing  $S$  and all edges incident to  $S$ , that is,  $G - S = G[V - S]$ . If  $S = \{v\}$ , then we simply write  $G - v$  instead of  $G - \{v\}$ .

A *DFS-tree* of a connected undirected graph  $G = (V, E)$  is a spanning tree  $T$  obtained by performing a depth-first search (DFS) on  $G$ . We root  $T$  at an arbitrary vertex  $r \in V$ . For each  $v \in V$ , we denote by  $T_v$  the subtree of  $T$  rooted at  $v$ .

An edge in  $E$  is called a *tree edge* if it belongs to  $T$ , and a *back edge* otherwise. For  $u, v \in V$ , we say that  $u$  is an *ancestor* of  $v$  if  $u$  lies on the unique  $r-v$  path in  $T$ . Moreover, if  $u$  is an ancestor of  $v$  and  $u \neq v$ , then we say that  $u$  is a *proper ancestor* of  $v$ , and  $v$  is correspondingly a (*proper*) *descendant* of  $u$ . If  $uv$  is a tree edge and  $u$  is an ancestor of  $v$ , then  $u$  is the *parent* of  $v$ ; we denote this by  $\pi(v) = u$ .

During the DFS, each vertex is assigned a unique integer called *DFS-index*, which records the order in which the DFS visits the vertices. For  $v \in V$ , we denote its DFS-index by  $dfsId(v)$ . Every vertex has a smaller DFS-index than any of its descendants.

We may orient edges based on the DFS-tree  $T$ : for a tree edge  $\pi(v)v$ , we orient it from  $\pi(v)$  to  $v$ ; and for a back edge  $xy$ , we orient it from the descendant to the ancestor. We may write  $(u, v)$  and  $(x, y)$  to indicate the orientation of edge  $uv$  and  $xy$ , and refer to it as the *outgoing edge* from  $u$  and the *incoming edge* to  $v$ .

We say that a tree edge  $\pi(v)v$  is *covered* by a back edge  $e = (x, y)$  if  $x$  is a descendant of  $v$  and  $y$  is a proper ancestor of  $v$  (an ancestor of  $\pi(v)$ ). In this case,  $e$  together with  $\pi(v)v$  forms part of a cycle in  $G$ . Note that every tree edge is covered by at least one back edge if  $G$  is 2-edge-connected. For a tree edge  $uv$ , we denote by  $Cov(uv) = \{(x, y) \in$

$E \mid (x, y) \text{ is a back edge covering } uv\}$  the set of back edges that cover  $uv$ . We refer to  $\text{CovMult}(uv) := |\text{Cov}(uv)|$  as the *cover multiplicity* of the tree edge  $uv$ . Furthermore, we define the *cover-source multiplicity* of  $uv$  to be  $\text{CovSrcMult}(uv) = |\{x \in V(T_v) \mid \text{There is } y \text{ s.t. } (x, y) \in \text{Cov}(uv)\}|$ , that is, the number of distinct descendants of  $v$  that serve as tails of back edges covering  $uv$ .

For a vertex  $x \in V$ , every vertex  $y$  with a back edge  $(x, y)$  lies on the unique  $\pi(x)-r$  path in  $T$ . Among such vertices  $y$ , let  $y^*$  be the one with the smallest DFS-index, that is, the one closest to the root  $r$  in  $T$ . We call the back edge  $xy^*$  the *longest back edge outgoing from  $x$*  and denote it by  $\text{lbe}(x)$  and  $y^*$  by  $\text{lbeTarget}(x)$ .

### 3 Computation of Cover multiplicities

In this section, we present an  $O(n + m)$ -time algorithm to compute the cover multiplicity and the cover-source multiplicity for all tree edges in a 2-edge-connected undirected graph  $G = (V, E)$  with  $n = |V|$  and  $m = |E|$ .

Since the two multiplicities can be computed in a similar manner, we here describe the algorithm for computing the cover multiplicities; the cover-source multiplicities can be computed with a minor modification as described at the end of this section.

In this section, we assume that a DFS-tree  $T$  of  $G$ , rooted at an arbitrary vertex  $r \in V$ , is already given. The algorithm consists of two phases. In the first phase, we process each back edge to update an auxiliary value called *cover-delta value* associated with its endpoints. In the second phase, we traverse  $T$  in a depth-first manner to aggregate these values along the tree and compute the multiplicity for each tree edge (Algorithm 1).

In order to compute the cover multiplicities, we define the *cover-delta value*  $\delta(v)$  for each vertex  $v \in V$  as follows:

$$\delta(v) := |\{(v, y) \mid (v, y) \text{ is a back edge}\}| - |\{(x, v) \mid (x, v) \text{ is a back edge}\}|.$$

Equivalently, if we orient all back edges from descendants to ancestors as described in Section 2 and remove all tree edges, then  $\delta(v)$  equals the outdegree minus the indegree of  $v$  in the resulting directed graph. The cover-delta values satisfy a fundamental relation with the cover multiplicity, which we show below.

**Lemma 1** *For any tree edge  $(u, v)$  of  $G$ , the cover multiplicity of  $(u, v)$  is equal to the sum of the cover-delta values for all vertices in  $T_v$ :*

$$\text{CovMult}(uv) = \sum_{w \in V(T_v)} \delta(w).$$

**PROOF:** By the definition of  $\delta$ , every back edge  $(x, y)$  contributes  $+1$  to  $\delta(x)$  and  $-1$  to  $\delta(y)$ . We examine how such a back edge contributes to the sum  $\sum_{w \in V(T_v)} \delta(w)$ .

If  $x \in V(T_v)$  and  $y \notin V(T_v)$ , then  $(x, y)$  covers  $uv$  and contributes  $+1$  to the sum. If both  $x$  and  $y$  belong to  $V(T_v)$ , then  $(x, y)$  does not cover  $uv$  and its  $+1$  and  $-1$  contributions

---

**Algorithm 1** Computation of Cover Multiplicities by DFS

---

**Input:** A 2-edge-connected undirected graph  $G = (V, E)$ , a DFS-tree  $T$  of  $G$  rooted at  $r \in V$ , and the cover-delta values  $\delta$  for all vertices in  $V$

**Output:** The cover multiplicities of all tree edges

```

1: CovMult := an array from tree edges to integers;
2: for each child  $w$  of the root  $r$  in  $T$  do
3:   Execute COVERMULTIPLICITY-DFS( $w$ )
4: end for;
5: output  $CovMult(e)$  for all tree edges  $e$  in  $E(T)$ 
6:
7: procedure COVERMULTIPLICITY-DFS( $v$ )
8:    $CovMult(\pi(v)v) := \delta(v);$ 
9:   for each child  $w$  of  $v$  in  $T$  do
10:    Execute COVERMULTIPLICITY-DFS( $w$ );
11:     $CovMult(\pi(v)v) := CovMult(\pi(v)v) + CovMult(vw)$ 
12:   end for
13: end procedure

```

---

cancel within  $T_v$ , yielding a total contribution of 0. If neither  $x$  nor  $y$  is in  $T_v$ , then  $(x, y)$  does not cover  $uv$  and again contributes 0 to the sum. Since  $y$  is a proper ancestor of  $x$ , the case with  $x \notin V(T_v)$  and  $y \in V(T_v)$  cannot occur.

Therefore, the only back edges that contribute a nonzero amount are those that cover  $uv$ , and each such edge contributes exactly +1.  $\square$

In order to compute the cover-delta values for all vertices in  $V$ , we can process each back edge  $(x, y)$  of  $G$  and increment  $\delta(x)$  by 1 and decrement  $\delta(y)$  by 1. This can be done in  $O(n + m)$  time by performing a DFS on  $G$  to obtain its DFS-tree  $T$  and then processing all back edges of  $G$ .

The second phase computes the cover multiplicities for all tree edges by aggregating the cover-delta values along the DFS-tree  $T$ . The details are shown in Algorithm 1.

**Lemma 2** *Given a 2-edge-connected undirected graph  $G = (V, E)$  with  $n = |V|$  and  $m = |E|$ , its DFS-tree  $T$  rooted at  $r \in V$ , and the cover-delta values  $\delta$  for all vertices in  $V$ , Algorithm 1 computes the cover multiplicities for all tree edges in  $O(n)$  time.*

**PROOF:** By Lemma 1, it suffices to show that Algorithm 1 correctly computes the sum of the cover-delta values for all vertices in  $T_v$ .

We first show the case with  $v$  being a leaf. In this case, since there is no child of  $v$  in  $T$ , the algorithm returns  $CovMult(uv) = \delta(v)$ .

Next, we consider the case other than the leaf case. We assume that, for any child  $w$  of  $v$  in  $T$ , COVERMULTIPLICITY-DFS( $w$ ) correctly computes the sum of the cover-delta

values for all vertices in  $T_w$ . Then, the algorithm computes

$$\delta(v) + \sum_{w: \text{ child of } v} \sum_{z \in V(T_w)} \delta(z) = \sum_{z \in V(T_v)} \delta(z)$$

that is the sum of the cover-delta values for all vertices in  $T_v$ .

We visit each vertex exactly once, and consider all tree edges exactly once, which means we can correctly compute the cover multiplicities for all tree edges. Since we traverse only tree edges in  $T$ , we can execute the algorithm in  $O(n)$  time.  $\square$

To compute the cover-source multiplicities, we modify the definition of the cover-delta value so that it accounts only for the longest outgoing back edges. We define the *cover-source-delta* value  $\delta_{\text{src}}(v)$  to be

$$\delta_{\text{src}}(v) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } lbe(v) \text{ exists} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} - |\{x \in V \mid lbe(x) = (x, v)\}|.$$

Equivalently, if we orient only the longest back edges from descendants to ancestors and remove all the other edges, then  $\delta_{\text{src}}(v)$  equals the outdegree minus the indegree of  $v$  in the resulting directed graph. Then, by a similar argument to Lemma 1, we can show that

$$\text{CovSrcMult}(uv) = \sum_{w \in V(T_v)} \delta_{\text{src}}(w).$$

Thus, by replacing  $\delta$  with  $\delta_{\text{src}}$  in Algorithm 1, we can compute the cover-source multiplicities for all tree edges.

Therefore, we obtain the following theorem that summarises the results in this section.

**Theorem 1** *Given a 2-edge-connected undirected graph  $G = (V, E)$  with  $n = |V|$  and  $m = |E|$ , we can compute the cover multiplicity and the cover-source multiplicity for all tree edges in  $G$  in  $O(n + m)$  time.*

**PROOF:** We first perform a DFS on  $G$  to obtain its DFS-tree  $T$  rooted at an arbitrary vertex  $r \in V$  in  $O(n + m)$  time. Next, we process all back edges of  $T$  to compute the cover-delta values  $\delta$  or cover-source-delta values  $\delta_{\text{src}}$  for all vertices in  $V$  in  $O(n + m)$  time. Finally, we execute Algorithm 1 with each tree edge  $(r, v)$  incident to the root  $r$  to compute the cover multiplicities for all tree edges in  $T$ . By Lemma 2, this step takes  $O(n)$  time. Thus, the total time complexity is  $O(n + m)$ .  $\square$

## 4 Mathematical Properties of Minimal Removable Sets

### 4.1 DFS-Tree Leaf MinRSs

In this section, we consider MinRSs that consist of only leaves of a DFS-tree.

We first show a basic property of leaves in a DFS-tree of a 2-edge-connected undirected graph.

**Lemma 3** Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a 2-edge-connected undirected graph with  $|V| \geq 3$  and  $T$  be a DFS-tree of  $G$  rooted at  $r \in V$ . Any leaf of  $T$  is not an articulation point of  $G$ .

PROOF: Since  $T$  is a spanning tree of  $G$ , every path in  $T$  also exists in  $G$ . For any leaf  $v \in V$  of  $T$ ,  $T - v$  is connected. Thus,  $G - v$  is also connected, which means that  $v$  is not an articulation point of  $G$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4** Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a 2-edge-connected undirected graph with  $|V| \geq 3$  and  $T$  be a DFS-tree of  $G$  rooted at  $r \in V$ . Let  $x$  be a leaf of  $T$  and  $y := lbeTarget(x)$ . Then,  $\{x\}$  is a MinRS of  $G$  if and only if, for any tree edge  $(u, v)$  on the  $y-\pi(x)$  path in  $T$ , there exists a back edge outgoing from some vertex in  $T_v - x$  that covers  $(u, v)$ .

PROOF: ( $\Rightarrow$ ) We show by contraposition. Suppose that there exists a tree edge  $(u, v)$  on the  $y-\pi(x)$  path in  $T$  that is not covered by any back edge outgoing from a vertex other than  $x$ . Any vertex in  $T_v - x$  cannot have a back edge to a proper ancestor of  $u$  in  $G - x$ , which means that  $uv$  is a bridge in  $G - x$ . Thus,  $G - x$  is not 2-edge-connected.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) It suffices to show that  $G - x$  is 2-edge-connected. By Lemma 3,  $G - x$  is connected. We show that there is no bridge in  $G - x$ . Every back edge  $(x', y') \in E \setminus E(T)$  with  $x', y' \neq x$  is not a bridge in  $G - x$ , since  $x'y'$  and the  $y'-x'$  path in  $T$  form a cycle in  $G - x$ . Next, we consider tree edges in  $G - x$ . For any tree edge not on the  $y-\pi(x)$ -path in  $T$ , no covering back edge disappears by removing  $x$  from  $G$ , which means that such a tree edge is not a bridge in  $G - x$ . For any tree edge  $(u, v)$  on the  $y-\pi(x)$ -path in  $T$ , by the assumption, there exists a back edge outgoing from a vertex in  $T_v - x$  that covers  $(u, v)$ , which means that such a tree edge is not a bridge in  $G - x$ . Thus, there is no bridge in  $G - x$ , and hence,  $G - x$  is 2-edge-connected.  $\square$

Here, we introduce the *source-exclusive coverage set*  $SrcExc_v$  of each vertex  $v \in V$ , defined to be the set of tree edge  $e$  in  $T$  such that  $CovSrcMult(e) = 1$  and  $e$  is covered by only back edges outgoing from  $v$ . Or equivalently, if  $e \in SrcExc_v$ , then  $e$  is covered by back edges outgoing from  $v$ , and no back edge outgoing from any other vertex covers  $e$ . Any tree edge in  $SrcExc_v$  must be on the  $lbeTarget(v)-\pi(v)$  path in  $T$ .

By Lemma 4,  $\{x\}$  is a MinRS of  $G$  if and only if  $SrcExc_x = \{\pi(x)x\}$ . Thus, in order to find all MinRSs consisting of only leaves of  $T$ , it suffices to compute  $SrcExc_v$  for each leaf  $v$  of  $T$ . This can be done by the Algorithm 2 that traverses  $T$  in a depth-first manner and collects tree edges with cover-source multiplicity 1 covered by back edges outgoing from each leaf. Before describing the algorithm, there are some lemmas to show its correctness.

**Lemma 5** Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a 2-edge-connected undirected graph and  $T$  be a DFS-tree of  $G$  rooted at  $r \in V$ . Let  $l$  be a leaf of  $T$  and  $v \neq r$  be an ancestor of  $l$  in  $T$ . The tree edge  $\pi(v)v$  is covered by the longest back edge  $lbe(l)$  outgoing from  $l$  if and only if  $dfsId(lbeTarget(l)) < dfsId(v)$ .

PROOF: Let  $y := \text{lbeTarget}(l)$ . Since both  $y$  and  $v$  are on the unique  $\pi(l)-r$  path in  $T$ ,  $y$  is an ancestor or a descendant of  $v$ . We have  $\text{dfsId}(y) < \text{dfsId}(v)$  if and only if  $y$  is a proper ancestor of  $v$ . By the definition of coverage,  $\pi(v)v$  is covered by  $\text{lbe}(l)$  if and only if  $y$  is a proper ancestor of  $v$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 6** *Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a 2-edge-connected undirected graph and  $T$  be a DFS-tree of  $G$  rooted at  $r \in V$ . Let  $l, l'$  be distinct leaves of  $T$  and  $v \neq r$  be a common ancestor of  $l$  and  $l'$  in  $T$ . We assume that  $\text{dfsId}(\text{lbeTarget}(l)) \geq \text{dfsId}(\text{lbeTarget}(l'))$ . If a tree edge  $\pi(v)v$  is covered by the longest back edge  $\text{lbe}(l)$  outgoing from  $l$ , then  $\pi(v)v$  is also covered by the longest back edge  $\text{lbe}(l')$  outgoing from  $l'$ .*

PROOF: By Lemma 5, since  $\pi(v)v$  is covered by  $\text{lbe}(l)$ , we have  $\text{dfsId}(\text{lbeTarget}(l)) < \text{dfsId}(v)$ . By the assumption,  $\text{dfsId}(\text{lbeTarget}(l')) \leq \text{dfsId}(\text{lbeTarget}(l)) < \text{dfsId}(v)$  holds. By Lemma 5 again,  $\pi(v)v$  is also covered by  $\text{lbe}(l')$ .  $\square$

We are now ready to describe Algorithm 2 that computes  $\text{SrcExc}_v$  for each leaf  $v$  of  $T$ .

**Lemma 7** *Given a 2-edge-connected undirected graph  $G = (V, E)$  with  $n = |V| \geq 3$  and  $m = |E|$ , its DFS-tree  $T$  rooted at  $r \in V$ , and the cover-source multiplicities  $\text{CovSrcMult}$  for all tree edges in  $T$ , Algorithm 2 computes  $\text{SrcExc}_v$  for each leaf  $v$  of  $T$  in  $O(n)$  time.*

PROOF: (Correctness) We first show that the case with  $v$  being a leaf. In this case, since there is no child of  $v$  in  $T$ , the algorithm returns  $l = v$ . Moreover,  $\pi(l)l$  is clearly covered by  $\text{lbe}(l)$  and no longest back edge outgoing from other leaf covers  $\pi(l)l$ . This means that, if  $\text{CovSrcMult}(\pi(l)l) = 1$ , then  $\pi(l)l \in \text{SrcExc}_l$  holds.

Next, we consider the case other than the leaf case. We assume that, for any child  $w$  of  $v$  in  $T$ , `TREEEDGEToBACKEDGE`( $w$ ) correctly computes a leaf  $l'$  such that every tree edge in  $\text{SrcExc}_{l'}$  is covered by  $\text{lbe}(l')$  and no longest back edge outgoing from other leaf covers such tree edges. By Lemma 6, we need to consider only the leaf  $l'$  with the smallest  $\text{dfsId}(\text{lbeTarget}(l'))$  among such leaves  $l'$  returned from children of  $v$ . The algorithm correctly selects such a leaf  $l$  (line 12). If  $\text{dfsId}(\text{lbeTarget}(l)) \geq \text{dfsId}(v)$ , then, by Lemma 5, no longest back edge outgoing from  $l$  covers  $\pi(v)v$ , and hence, we set  $l := \text{NIL}$  (line 16). Then, if  $l \neq \text{NIL}$ , then the tree edge  $\pi(v)v$  is covered by  $\text{lbe}(l)$ . Therefore, if  $\text{CovSrcMult}(\pi(v)v) = 1$  and  $l \neq \text{NIL}$ , then we can insert  $\pi(v)v$  into  $\text{SrcExc}_l$ .

(Time complexity) We visit each vertex exactly once, and consider all tree edges exactly once, which means we can compute  $\text{SrcExc}_v$  for all leaves  $v$  of  $T$ . Since we traverse only tree edges in  $T$ , we can execute the algorithm in  $O(n)$  time.  $\square$

**Theorem 2** *Given a 2-edge-connected undirected graph  $G = (V, E)$  with  $n = |V|$  and  $m = |E|$ , we can compute all MinRSs consisting of only leaves of a DFS-tree  $T$  in  $O(n+m)$  time.*

---

**Algorithm 2** Computation of source-exclusive coverage set for each leaf

---

**Input:** A 2-edge-connected undirected graph  $G = (V, E)$ , DFS-tree  $T$  of  $G$  rooted at  $r \in V$ , and the cover-source multiplicities  $CovSrcMult$  for all tree edges in  $T$

**Output:** The source-exclusive coverage sets  $SrcExc_v$  for all leaves  $v$  of  $T$

```

1: Initialise  $SrcExc_v := \emptyset$  for all leaves  $v$  of  $T$ ;
2: for each child  $w$  of the root  $r$  in  $T$  do
3:   Execute TREEEDGE TO BACKEDGE( $w$ )
4: end for;
5: output  $SrcExc_v$  for all leaves  $v$  of  $T$ 
6:
7: procedure TREEEDGE TO BACKEDGE( $v$ )
8:    $l := \begin{cases} v & \text{if } v \text{ is a leaf} \\ \text{NIL} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ ;
9:   for each child  $w$  of  $v$  in  $T$  do
10:    Execute TREEEDGE TO BACKEDGE( $w$ );
11:    Let  $l'$  be the returned leaf;
12:    if  $l' \neq \text{NIL}$  and [ $l = \text{NIL}$  or  $dfsId(lbeTarget(l')) < dfsId(lbeTarget(l))$ ] then
13:       $l := l'$ 
14:    end if
15:   end for;
16:   if  $l \neq \text{NIL}$  and  $dfsId(lbeTarget(l)) \geq dfsId(v)$  then
17:      $l := \text{NIL}$ 
18:   end if;
19:    $SrcExc_l := SrcExc_l \cup \{\pi(v)v\}$  if  $CovSrcMult(\pi(v)v) = 1$  and  $l \neq \text{NIL}$ ;
20:   return  $l$ 
21: end procedure

```

---

**PROOF:** Note that we assume that a graph has no parallel edges throughout this paper. If  $|V| < 3$ , i.e.,  $G$  consists of only one vertex, then  $G$  has no MinRS. Thus, we assume that  $|V| \geq 3$ . As the given graph is 2-edge-connected, each tree edge is covered by at least one back edge. By Lemma 4,  $\{v\}$  is a MinRS of  $G$  if and only if for any tree edge is covered by back edges outgoing from vertices other than  $v$ , which means that  $CovSrcMult(e) \geq 2$ . Thus, we can check whether  $\{v\}$  is a MinRS of  $G$  by checking whether  $SrcExc_v$  is equal to  $\{\pi(v)v\}$  or not. By Theorem 1, we can compute the cover-source multiplicities for all tree edges in  $O(n+m)$  time. By Lemma 7, we can compute the sets  $SrcExc_v$  for all leaves  $v$  of  $T$  in  $O(n)$  time. Thus, we can compute all MinRSs consisting of only leaves of  $T$  in  $O(n+m)$  time.  $\square$

## **4.2 Maximal 2-deg Path MinRSs**

TODO

## **4.3 Single Vertex Degree at Least 3 MinRSs**

TODO

## **4.4 Reuse of DFS-tree and Covering Information**

TODO