

1 General definitions

1.1 Basic

- Sample variance

$$S_X^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2 \quad (1.1)$$

- Sample correlation coefficient

$$r_{X,Y} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})(Y_i - \bar{Y})}{(n-1)\sqrt{(S_X^2 S_Y^2)}} \quad (1.2)$$

- QQ-plot for cumulative distribution function F is the set of points $(q_F(\frac{i}{n+1}), x_{(i)})$, where $q_F(\cdot)$ is the quantile function for the distribution.
- Mean Squared Error (MSE)

$$\text{MSE}(\theta; T(X), g(\theta)) = \mathbb{E}_\theta (T(X) - g(\theta))^2 \quad (1.3)$$

- Bias-variance decomposition

$$\text{MSE}(\theta; T(X)) = \text{var}_\theta T + (\mathbb{E}_\theta T(X) - g(\theta))^2 \quad (1.4)$$

- Empirical distribution function

$$\hat{F}_n(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{I}(X_i \leq x) \quad (1.5)$$

1.2 k -th order statistic $X_{(k)}$

$X_{(k)}$ — k -th order statistic distribution for n i.i.d. variables from continuous distribution F .

$$f_{(k)}(x) = \frac{n!}{(k-1)!(n-k)!} F(x)^{k-1} (1-F(x))^{n-k} f(x) \quad (1.6)$$

$$F_{(k)}(x) = \sum_{j=k}^n \binom{n}{j} F(x)^j (1-F(x))^{n-j} \quad (1.7)$$

$$\mathbb{E}F(X_{(k)}) = \frac{k}{n+1} \quad (1.8)$$

1.3 Time Series

Time series below are assumed to be *weakly stationary* in the following sense:

- Stochastic time series $X_t(\omega)$ are called *weakly stationary*, if $\mathbb{E}X_t$ and $\text{Cov}(X_t, X_s)$ are independent of time shifts; in particular, its first and second moments exist.
- For a weakly stationary time series X_t , the following functions are defined:

– *Autocovariance function*:

$$\gamma_X(h) = \mathbb{E}(X_{t+h}, X_t) \quad (1.9)$$

– *Autocorrelation function*

$$\rho_X(h) = \frac{\gamma_X(h)}{\gamma_X(0)} \quad (1.10)$$

– *Partial autocorrelation function* $\varphi(h)$ is defined as the coefficient of the regression of X_{t+h} on X_t when controlled for constant and $X_{t+1}, \dots, X_{t+h-1}$ (see Proposition 3.35). In particular, $\varphi(0) = 1$ and $\varphi(1) = \rho(1)$

2 Important distributions

- Student's t -distribution t_ν , $\nu \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$

– pdf

$$\frac{\Gamma(\frac{\nu+1}{2})}{\sqrt{\pi\nu} \Gamma(\frac{\nu}{2})} \left(1 + \frac{x^2}{\nu}\right)^{-\frac{\nu+1}{2}} \quad (2.1)$$

– cdf

$$\frac{1}{2} + x \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu+1}{2}\right) \frac{{}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\nu+1}{2}; \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{x^2}{\nu}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi\nu} \Gamma(\frac{\nu}{2})} \quad (2.2)$$

– t -distribution with $n \in \mathbb{N}$ degrees of freedom arises from the ratio of independent $N(0, 1)$ - and χ_n^2 -distributions

- Poisson distribution $\text{Poisson}(\lambda)$, $\lambda > 0$
 - λ is the average number of events per interval
 - pdf

$$p_\lambda(k) = e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \quad (2.3)$$

- Geometric distribution $G(\theta)$, $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$
 - pdf

$$f_\theta(k) = (1 - \theta)^{1-k} \theta \quad (2.4)$$

- cdf

$$F_\theta(k) = 1 - (1 - \theta)^k \quad (2.5)$$

- Exponential distribution $F(x; \lambda)$

- pdf

$$f_\lambda(x) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x} \quad (2.6)$$

- cdf

$$F_\lambda(x) = 1 - e^{-\lambda x} \quad (2.7)$$

- $\mathbb{E}_\lambda X = 1/\lambda$

- Beta distribution $B(\alpha, \beta)$, $\alpha, \beta > 0$

- pdf

$$f_{\alpha, \beta}(x) = \frac{x^{\alpha-1}(1-x)^{\beta-1}}{B(\alpha, \beta)}, \quad B(\alpha, \beta) \equiv \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)} \quad (2.8)$$

- Weibull distribution

- α and λ are the “shape” and “inverse scale” parameters.

- pdf

$$f_{\lambda, \alpha}(x) = \lambda^\alpha \alpha x^{\alpha-1} e^{-(\lambda x)^\alpha} \quad (2.9)$$

- cdf

$$F_{\lambda, \alpha}(x) = 1 - e^{-(\lambda x)^\alpha} \quad (2.10)$$

- Gamma distribution $\Gamma(\alpha, \lambda)$, $\alpha > 0, \lambda > 0$

– α and λ are known as “shape” and “inverse scale” parameters.

– pdf

$$f_{\alpha,\lambda}(x) = \frac{x^{\alpha-1} \lambda^\alpha e^{-\lambda x}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \quad (2.11)$$

– cdf (where $\gamma(s, x) = \int_0^x t^{s-1} e^{-t} dt$ — is the “incomplete gamma function”)

$$F_{\alpha,\lambda}(x) = \frac{\gamma(\alpha, x\lambda)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \quad (2.12)$$

Definition 2.1. A family of probability densities p_θ that depends on a parameter θ is called a *k-dimensional exponential family* if there exist functions $c(\theta)$, $h(x)$, $Q_j(\theta)$, and $V_j(x)$ such that

$$p_\theta(x) = c(\theta)h(x)e^{\sum_{j=1}^k Q_j(\theta)V_j(x)}$$

3 Fundamental results

3.1 Convergence types and o_p - and O_p -notations

Definition 3.1. Let X_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be a sequence of random vectors.

- Sequence X_n is said to *converge in distribution* to a random vector X if at any continuity point x of c.d.f. F_X

$$X_n \rightsquigarrow X \text{ if } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{X_n}(x) = F_X(x)$$

- Let $d(x, y)$ be a metric in a target space of X_n . Sequence X_n *converges in probability* to a random vector X if

$$X_n \xrightarrow{p} X \text{ if } \mathbb{P}(d(X_n, X) > \varepsilon) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0, \forall \varepsilon > 0$$

- For the above notations, X_n *converges to X almost surely* if

$$X_n \xrightarrow{as} X \text{ if } \mathbb{P}\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n = X\right) = 1$$

Theorem 3.2. Let $X_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and X be random vectors. Then

1. $X_n \xrightarrow{as} X$ implies $X_n \xrightarrow{p} X$
2. $X_n \xrightarrow{p} X$ implies $X_n \rightsquigarrow X$
3. $X_n \rightsquigarrow c$ for a constant c , if and only if $X_n \xrightarrow{p} X$
4. If $X_n \rightsquigarrow X$ and $d(X_n, Y_n) \xrightarrow{p} 0$ then $Y_n \rightsquigarrow X$
5. If $X_n \rightsquigarrow X$ and $Y_n \rightsquigarrow c$ for a constant c , then $(X_n, Y_n) \rightsquigarrow (X, c)$
6. If $X_n \xrightarrow{p} X$ and $Y_n \xrightarrow{p} Y$ for a constant c , then $(X_n, Y_n) \xrightarrow{p} (X, Y)$

Theorem 3.3. (Strong law of large numbers) *Let $g : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be continuous at every point of a set C such that $\mathbb{P}(X \in C) = 1$.*

1. If $X_n \rightsquigarrow X$, then $g(X_n) \rightsquigarrow g(X)$
2. If $X_n \xrightarrow{p} X$, then $g(X_n) \xrightarrow{p} g(X)$
3. If $X_n \xrightarrow{as} X$, then $g(X_n) \xrightarrow{as} g(X)$

Definition 3.4. A family of random vectors X_α is called *uniformly tight* if

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\alpha} \|X_\alpha\| = 0$$

Theorem 3.5. (Levy's continuity theorem) *Let X_n and X be random vectors with values in \mathbb{R}^k , and let $\varphi_{X_n}(t)$ and $\varphi_X(t)$ be their characteristic functions respectively.*

- If $\varphi_{X_n}(t)$ converges to $\varphi_X(t)$ pointwise then $X_n \rightsquigarrow X$
- if φ_{X_n} converges pointwise to a function continuous at 0 then X_n converges in distribution to some random variable X with that characteristic function

Definition 3.6. Let X_n and R_n be sequences of random vectors. The following notations are used:

- $X_n = o_p(1)$ if $X_n \xrightarrow{p} 0$
- $X_n = o_p(R_n)$ if $X_n = Y_n R_n$ and $Y_n = o_p(1)$ for some Y_n
- $X_n = O_p(1)$ if X_n is uniformly tight

- $X_n = O_p(R_n)$ if $X_n = Y_n R_n$ and $Y_n = O_p(1)$ for some Y_n

Proposition 3.7. *Let $R : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be a function with $R(0) = 0$. Let $X_n \xrightarrow{p} 0$. Then for every $\alpha > 0$*

1. *If $R(h) = o(\|h\|^\alpha)$ as $h \rightarrow 0$ then $R(X_n) = o_p(\|X_n\|^\alpha)$*
2. *If $R(h) = O(\|h\|^\alpha)$ as $h \rightarrow 0$ then $R(X_n) = O_p(\|X_n\|^\alpha)$*

Theorem 3.8. (Delta method) *Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be a map defined on a subset of \mathbb{R}^k and differentiable at θ . Let T_n be random vectors taking values in the domain of φ . If $r_n(T_n - \theta) \rightsquigarrow T$ for some numbers $r_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \infty$, then*

$$r_n (\varphi(X_n) - \varphi(\theta)) \rightsquigarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \varphi(\theta)(T)$$

where right hand side is the linear transformation of T given by the differential of φ at θ .

Example 3.9. Let S_n be a sample variance of a sequence of i.i.d. random variables X_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\mathbb{E}X_n = 0$ and finite fourth moment. Denote by $\kappa = \frac{\mu_4}{\mu_2^2} - 3$ kurtosis of X_n . Then

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\frac{nS^2}{\mu_2} > \chi_{n,\alpha}^2 \right) = \mathbb{P} \left(\sqrt{n} \left(\frac{S^2}{\mu_2} \right) > \frac{\chi_{n,\alpha}^2 - n}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \rightarrow 1 - \Phi \left(\frac{z_\alpha \sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\kappa + 2}} \right)$$

Proof. By central limit theorem 3.11

$$\sqrt{n} \left(\begin{pmatrix} \bar{X}_n \\ \bar{X}_n^2 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix} \right) \rightsquigarrow N \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_2 - \alpha_1^2 & \alpha_3 - \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_3 - \alpha_1 \alpha_2 & \alpha_4 - \alpha_2^2 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

As S^2 does not change if X_n is replaced by its centered version, it can be assumed that $\alpha_1 = 0$. Delta method for 3.8 for $\varphi(x, y) = y - x^2$ implies:

$$\sqrt{n} (S^2 - \mu_2) \rightsquigarrow N(0, \mu_4 - \mu_2^2)$$

3.2 Law of large numbers and central limit theorems

Theorem 3.10. (Strong law of large numbers) *Let \bar{X}_n be the average of the first n of a sequence of independent identically distributed random vectors X_k , $k \in \mathbb{N}$. If $\mathbb{E}\|X_k\| < \infty$ then $\bar{X}_n \xrightarrow{\text{as}} \mathbb{E}X_1$.*

Theorem 3.11. (Central Limit Theorem) *Let \bar{X}_n be the average of the first n of a sequence of i.i.d. random vectors X_k , $k \in \mathbb{N}$. If $\mathbb{E}\|X_k\|^2 < \infty$ then*

$$\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mathbb{E}X_1) \rightsquigarrow N(0, \mathbb{V}(X_1)) \quad (3.1)$$

Theorem 3.12. (Lindeberg-Feller theorem). *For each n let $X_{n,1}, \dots, X_{n,k_n}$ be independent random vectors with $\mathbb{E}\|X_{n,i}\|^2 < \infty$ and such that:*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k_n} \mathbb{E}(\|X_{n,i}\|^2 \mathbb{I}(\|X_{n,i}\| > \varepsilon)) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0, \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k_n} \mathbb{V}(X_{n,i}) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \Sigma$$

Then the sequence $\sum_{i=1}^{k_n} (X_{n,i} - \mathbb{E}X_{n,i})$ converges in distribution to $N(0, \Sigma)$.

3.3 Basic statistics

Theorem 3.13. *Let X_1, \dots, X_n be an i.i.d. random variables from the $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ distribution, then*

1. \bar{X} is $N(\mu, \sigma^2/n)$ distributed;
2. $(n-1)S_X^2/\sigma^2$ is χ_{n-1}^2 -distributed (see 1.1);
3. \bar{X} and S_X^2 are independent;
4. $\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \mu)/\sqrt{S_X^2}$ has the t_{n-1} -distribution.

Proof. $\|X\|^2 - n\bar{X}^2 = (n-1)S_X^2$

Definition 3.14. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ and $A^\sim \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$. Consider a list of conditions:

1. $AA^\sim A = A$
2. $A^\sim AA^\sim = A^\sim$
3. $(AA^\sim)^* = AA^\sim$
4. $(A^\sim A)^* = A^\sim A$

If A^\sim satisfies the first condition, then it is a *generalized inverse* of A . If it satisfies the first two conditions, then it is a *reflexive generalized inverse* of A . If A satisfies all four conditions then it is called the *Moore-Penrose inverse*.

Proposition 3.15. *The space of generalized inverse matrices to a given matrix has dimension $2 \operatorname{rk} A + \operatorname{corank} A$. It is characterized by two properties:*

- *The restriction of A^\sim on $\operatorname{Im} A$ is an arbitrary lift of the inverse of induced isomorphism on the quotient by $\operatorname{Ker} A$.*
- *The image of A^\sim equals $A^\sim \operatorname{Im} A$.*

Theorem 3.16. *Let $X \sim N(\mu_X, \mathbb{V}_X)$ be a k -dimensional random vector, where \mathbb{V}_X might be singular. Then for any linear condition of the form $BX = b$ and any linear transformation AX , conditional distributions of X and AX are given by the following formulae:*

$$\begin{aligned} f(X|BX) &\sim N(\mu_X + \mathbb{V}_X B^T (B \mathbb{V}_X B^T)^\sim (BX - B\mu_X), \\ &\quad \mathbb{V}_X - \mathbb{V}_X B^T (B \mathbb{V}_X B^T)^\sim B \mathbb{V}_X) \\ f(AX|BX) &\sim N(A\mu_X + A \mathbb{V}_X B^T (B \mathbb{V}_X B^T)^\sim (BX - B\mu_X), \\ &\quad A \mathbb{V}_X A^T - A \mathbb{V}_X B^T (B \mathbb{V}_X B^T)^\sim B \mathbb{V}_X A^T) \end{aligned}$$

where A^\sim denotes reflexive generalized inverse of matrix A .

Proof. Follows from the proposition below.

Proposition 3.17. *Let Y be a Gaussian random vector such that*

$$Y = \begin{pmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \end{pmatrix} \sim N \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} V_{11} & V_{12} \\ V_{21} & V_{22} \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

Then Y_1 conditional on Y_2 is Gaussian:

$$f(Y_1|Y_2) \sim N(V_{12} V_{22}^\sim Y_2, V_{11} - V_{12} V_{22}^\sim V_{21})$$

Example 3.18. Let

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \sim N \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \rho \\ \rho & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

Then

$$f(y|x) \sim N(\rho x, 1 - \rho^2)$$

Proof of Proposition 3.17. We only consider the case with $\mathbb{V}(Y)$ nonsingular. It is generalized in a straightforward way, but does not admit straightforward matrix notation for Gaussian distributions.

By definition,

$$f(Y_1|Y_2 = y_2) = \frac{f(Y_1, y_2)}{\int_{Y_2=y_2} f(Y_1, y_2) dY_1} \quad (3.2)$$

It is enough to show that

$$Y^T \mathbb{V}(Y)^{-1} Y = (Y_1 - V_{12} V_{22}^{-1})^T (V_{11} - V_{12} V_{22}^{-1} V_{21})^{-1} (Y_1 - V_{12} V_{22}^{-1}) + C(Y_2) \quad (3.3)$$

where $C(Y_2)$ is a term that does not depend on Y_1 . Note that in (3.2) the part of the exponential in Gaussian density depending on $C(Y_2)$ cancels out. After the cancellation, we are left with the required density up to a multiplicative constant. The latter has to have correct magnitude so that the whole expression corresponds to a density function; alternatively it can be computed directly.

The equation (3.3) is a direct consequence of the inversion formula for 2×2 block matrix.

3.4 Reminder on different convergence types

Definition 3.19. Let X_n be a sequence of random variables defined on the probability space (Ω, \mathbb{P}) :

- X_n is said to converge to X *almost surely* if $\mathbb{P}(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n = X) = 1$
- convergence in probability
- weak convergence
- L_p -convergence

Theorem 3.20. *If a sequence of random variables converges almost surely then it converges in probability.*

Proposition 3.21. *Assume $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{E}|X_n| < \infty$, then $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} X_n$ is defined as an almost sure limit and:*

$$\mathbb{E} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} X_n = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{E} X_n$$

Proof. Established using Monotone and Dominated Convergence theorems applied to partial sums of random variables.

Proposition 3.22. *Let $f(x)$ be a function of period T that is absolutely integrable on the interval $[-T/2, T/2]$. Define its Fourier coefficients as follows:*

$$a_k = \frac{2}{T} \int_{-T/2}^{T/2} f(x) \cos \frac{2\pi kx}{T} dx, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots$$

$$b_k = \frac{2}{T} \int_{-T/2}^{T/2} f(x) \sin \frac{2\pi kx}{T} dx, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

Then the partial sum $S_n(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a_0/2 + \sum_{k=1}^n (a_k \cos \frac{2\pi kx}{T} + b_k \sin \frac{2\pi kx}{T})$ of the Fourier series admits an integral presentation:

$$S_n(x) = \frac{2}{T} \int_{-T/2}^{T/2} f(x+u) \frac{\sin(n + \frac{1}{2}) \frac{2\pi u}{T}}{\sin \frac{\pi u}{T}} du$$

Remark: Note that the coefficient a_0 defined by the formulas above is twice the coefficient to of the constant function in Fourier series (as the norm of a sine wave is twice less).

Theorem 3.23. *Let $f(x)$ be a function of period T that is absolutely integrable on the interval $[-T/2, T/2]$.*

1. *At a point of continuity where $f(x)$ has a right and a left derivative, the Fourier series converge absolutely to the value $f(x)$*
2. *If $f(x)$ is continuous and its derivative $f'(x)$ is square integrable, then the Fourier series converge to $f(x)$ absolutely and uniformly*
3. *If $f(x)$ is continuous, then the Fourier series are uniformly summable to $f(x)$ by the method of Cesàro*

Theorem 3.24. *If series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (|a_k| + |b_k|)$ are absolutely summable then the associated trigonometric series*

$$\frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(a_k \cos \frac{2\pi kx}{T} + b_k \sin \frac{2\pi kx}{T} \right)$$

converges absolutely and uniformly to a continuous periodic function of period T of which it is a Fourier series

Proposition 3.25. Let $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be an element of $l^1(\mathbb{Z})$, and let $(Z_t)_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be a sequence of random variables satisfying $\mathbb{E}|Z_t| < C_1 \forall t$ for some constant C_1 . Then the convolution

$$X_t = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n Z_{t-n}$$

is defined almost surely $\forall t \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Moreover, if there exists a constant such that $\mathbb{E}Z_t^2 < C_2 \forall t$, then X_t is also a limit in L^2 -norm and $\mathbb{E}X_t^2 \leq |a_n|_1^2 C_2$.

Theorem 3.26. Let $(X_t)_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \in L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{P}, \mathbb{C}^{d_X})$ and $(Y_t)_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \in L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{P}, \mathbb{C}^{d_Y})$. Let $(a_m)_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \in l^1(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C}^{d'_X \times d_X})$ and $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in l^1(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C}^{d'_Y \times d_Y})$. Then

1. Convolutions $(a * X)_t$ and $(b * Y)_t$ are well-defined elements of $L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{P}, \mathbb{C}^{d'_X})$ and $L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{P}, \mathbb{C}^{d'_Y})$.

2.

$$\gamma_{a * X, b * Y}(h) = \sum_{m, n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_m \gamma_{X, Y}(h + n - m) \bar{b}_n^T$$

Corollary 3.27. Let $(a_m), (b_n) \in l^1(\mathbb{Z})$ and $(e_t)_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be a sequence of uncorrelated $(0, \sigma^2)$ random variables. Denote Let $X_t = (a * e)_t$, $Y_t = (b * X)_t$. Then

1.

$$\gamma_X(h) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n a_{n-h} \sigma^2$$

2.

$$\gamma_Y(h) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n c_{n-h} \sigma^2, \quad (3.4)$$

where $c_n = (a * b)_n$.

Theorem 3.28. Let $(a_m) \in l^1(\mathbb{Z})$, $(b_n) \in l^2(\mathbb{Z})$ and $(e_t)_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be a sequence of uncorrelated $(0, \sigma^2)$ random variables. Denote $X_t = (a * e)_t$, then

1. For each $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ random variable Y_t defined as L_2 -limit of the sequence $\sum_{n=-N}^N b_n X_{t-n}$ is well defined.

2. Formula (3.4) holds for Y_t .

Corollary 3.29. For $(b_n) \in l^2(\mathbb{Z})$ the sequence $(b * e)_t$ is defined in $L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{P})$

Theorem 3.30. Conclusions of Theorem 3.28 hold for $(a_m) \in l^2(\mathbb{Z})$, $(b_n) \in l^1(\mathbb{Z})$

3.5 Time Series

Proposition 3.31. *Given the difference equation of order n :*

$$y_t + a_1 y_{t-1} + a_2 y_{t-2} + \dots + a_n y_{t-n} = r_t, \quad t = n, n+1, \dots \quad (3.5)$$

The solution $(y_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ can be expressed in the form:

$$y_t = \sum_{i=0}^t w_i r_{t-i}, \quad t = 0, 1, \dots$$

with $w_i \equiv 0$ for $i < 0$, and satisfying the homogeneous difference equation of (y_t) :

$$\sum_{i=0}^n a_i w_{t-i} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots \quad (3.6)$$

Proof. Using recursive formula (3.5) for y_t , any element of the sequence can be written in the form:

$$y_t = \sum_{i=0}^t w_i^{(t)} r_{t-i}$$

for some $w_i^{(t)}$ with $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $0 \leq i \leq t$. Using inductive argument, we show that

1. $w_i^{(t)} \equiv w_i$ for some constant depending on i only
2. The sequence w_i satisfies equation (3.6)

Indeed, from the equation 3.5 it is immediate to see that $w_0 = 1$ and $w_1 = -a_1$. Assuming that the pair of statements above is shown for $s < t$, write:

$$y_t = r_t - \sum_{k=0}^n a_k^{(t)} y_{t-k} = r_t - \sum_{k=1}^t a_k \sum_{j=0}^{t-k} w_j r_{t-k-j} \quad (3.7)$$

By definition, we set w_t to be the coefficient of r_0 , that is, $w_t = -\sum_{i=1}^n a_i w_{t-i}$. Moreover, by rearranging terms in 3.7, we get:

$$y_t = - \sum_{j=0}^t r_j \sum_{k=1}^{t-j} a_k w_{t-j-k},$$

it follows that the coefficient $w_{t-j}^{(t)}$ of r_j , $j = 1, \dots, t$, is equal to w_{t-j} by induction assumption and it concludes the proof.

Theorem 3.32. 1. Let X_t be an $AR(p)$ process given by

$$X_t + \sum_{j=0}^p a_j X_{t-j} = e_t,$$

where $(e_t)_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a sequence of uncorrelated $(0, \sigma^2)$ random variables. Suppose that all roots of the polynomial

$$m^p + \sum_{j=0}^p a_j m^{p-j}$$

have magnitude less than 1. Then X_t admits an infinite MA-presentation $X_t = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} w_j e_{t-j}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} w_0 &= 1 \\ w_j + \sum_{i=1}^j a_i w_{j-i} &= 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, p-1 \\ w_j + \sum_{i=1}^p a_i w_{j-i} &= 0, \quad j = p, p+1, \dots \end{aligned}$$

2. Let X_t be an $MA(q)$ process given by

$$X_t = e_t + \sum_{j=0}^q b_j e_{t-j}$$

where $(e_t)_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a sequence of uncorrelated $(0, \sigma^2)$ random variables. Suppose that all roots of the polynomial

$$m^p + \sum_{j=0}^q b_j m^{q-j}$$

have magnitude less than 1. Then X_t admits an infinite AR-presentation

$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j X_{t-j} = e_t$, where

$$\begin{aligned} c_0 &= 1 \\ c_j + \sum_{i=1}^j b_i c_{j-i} &= 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, q-1 \\ c_j + \sum_{i=1}^p b_i c_{j-i} &= 0, \quad j = q, q+1, \dots \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.33. *Let X_t be an $AR(p)$ process satisfying conditions of Theorem 3.32. Then the partial autocorrelation function $\varphi(h) = 0$ for $h > p$*

Proof. By definition, $\varphi(h)$ is the correlation between the X_{t-h} and the residual obtained from the regression of X_t on $X_{t-1}, \dots, X_{t-h+1}$. The latter is e_t and the result follows.

Proposition 3.34. *Let X_t be an $AR(p)$ process satisfying conditions of Theorem 3.32. Then autocovariance function $\gamma(h)$ satisfies:*

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(0) + a_1 \gamma(1) + \dots + a_p \gamma(p) &= \sigma^2 \\ \gamma(h) + a_1 \gamma(h-1) + \dots + a_p \gamma(h-p) &= 0, \quad h > 0 \end{aligned}$$

Remark: this result allows one to express $\gamma(0), \dots, \gamma(p)$ through the coefficients a_1, \dots, a_p and vice versa.

Proposition 3.35. *Let X_t be weakly stationary time series:*

1. *The partial autocorrelation coefficient $\varphi(h)$ equals θ_{hh} in the linear regression:*

$$X_{t+h} = \theta_{0h} + \theta_{1h} X_{t+h-1} + \dots + \theta_{hh} X_t + a_{ht}$$

2. *Let $\rho_{t+h,t,(t+1,\dots,t+h-1)}$ denote the partial correlation of X_{t+h} and X_t when controlled for $X_{t+1}, \dots, X_{t+h-1}$. The squared norm of the residual term in the regression above equals:*

$$\mathbb{E}(a_{ht}^2) = \gamma(0) \prod_{i=1}^h (1 - \rho_{t+h,t+i-1,(t+i,\dots,t+h-1)}^2)$$

Proof. These statements follow from basic Euclidian geometry. Denote by P the projection onto the subspace spanned by $X_{t+1}, \dots, X_{t+h-1}$. Now consider sequentially orthogonal decompositions $X_{t+h} = P(X_{t+h}) + (1-P)(X_{t+h})$ and look at the component of the second summand along $(1-P)(X_{t+i})$.

Proposition 3.36. Let $(Y_t)_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be a sequence of elements in $L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{P}, \mathbb{C})$, denote $\mathbf{Y}_n \equiv (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$. Let $\hat{Y}_{n+s}(Y_1, \dots, Y_n) \equiv \mathbf{Y}_n b_{n,s}$, $b_{n,s} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ be a linear predictor minimizing mean squared error

$$\tau_{n,s}^2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{MSE} \left(Y_{n+s}, \hat{Y}_{n+s}(Y_1, \dots, Y_n) \right) \equiv \mathbb{E} \left\{ \|Y_{n+s} - \hat{Y}_{n+s}\|^2 \right\}$$

Then $b_{n,s} = (\mathbb{V}_{n,n})^+ V_{n,s}$ is a solution, where

$$\begin{aligned} V_{n,s} &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{E} \left(\mathbf{Y}_n^T Y_{n+s} \right) \\ \mathbb{V}_{n,n} &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{E} \left(\mathbf{Y}_n^T \mathbf{Y}_n \right) \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, MSE is given by:

$$\tau_{n,s}^2 = \mathbb{V}(Y_{n+s}) - b_{n,s}^T V_{n,s} = \mathbb{V}(Y_{n+s}) - V_{n,s}^T \mathbb{V}_{n,n}^+ V_{n,s}$$

Proof. Follows from standard linear regression theory.

Definition 3.37. A time-series is *nonsingular* (regular, nondeterministic) if the sequence of mean squared errors of one-period prediction $\tau_{n,1}^2$ is bounded away from zero. A time series is *singular* (deterministic) if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau_{n,1} = 0$$

Theorem 3.38. Let $Y_t, b_{n,s}$ and $\tau_{n,s}$ be as defined in Proposition 3.36 and assume that Y_t is weakly stationary, nondeterministic. Denote the components of $b_{n,s}$ by $b_{n,s,i}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ so that

$$\hat{Y}_{n+s}(Y_1, \dots, Y_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n b_{n,s,i} Y_i$$

Then the following recursive relations take place:

1.

$$b_{n,s,1} = \tau_{n-1,1}^{-2} \left(\gamma(n+s-1) - \sum_{i=1}^n b_{n-1,s,i} \gamma(n+s-1-i) \right)$$

2. $\tau_{n,s}^2 = \tau_{n-1,s}^2 - b_{n,s,1} \tau_{n-1,1}^2$

$$3. \begin{pmatrix} b_{n,s,2} \\ b_{n,s,3} \\ \vdots \\ b_{n,s,n} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b_{n-1,s,2} \\ b_{n-1,s,3} \\ \vdots \\ b_{n-1,s,n} \end{pmatrix} - b_{n,s,1} \begin{pmatrix} b_{n-1,1,n-1} \\ b_{n-1,1,n-2} \\ \vdots \\ b_{n-1,1,1} \end{pmatrix}$$

Remark. Note that one-step prediction terms, $\tau_{n-1,1}$ and $b_{n-1,1}$, appear in the recursion, and the components of the last vector are reversed.

Theorem 3.39. (Gram-Schmidt) *Let $(Y_t)_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$ from $L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{P}, \mathbb{C})$ be a zero-mean, stationary, nondeterministic time series.*

Then one can write $Y_t = \sum_{i=1}^t c_{t,i} Z_i$ where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} Z_{t,i} &= 0 \\ \mathbb{E}(Z_{t,i} Z_{t,j}) &= \delta_{ij} \kappa_i^2 \\ c_{t,1} &= \kappa_1^{-2} \gamma_Y(t-1) \\ c_{t,i} &= \kappa_i^{-2} \left(\gamma_Y(t-i) - \sum_{j < i} c_{t,j} c_{i,j} \kappa_i^2 \right) \\ \kappa_t^2 &= \gamma_Y(0) - \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} c_{t,i}^2 \kappa_i^2 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. This is a result of direct application of Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization algorithm to the sequence Y_1, \dots, Y_t . Note that the process can be generalized to vector-valued processes.

Theorem 3.40. *The real valued function $\rho(h)$ is the correlation function of a real valued stationary time series $X_t(\omega)$ with index set $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ if and only if it is representable in the form*

$$\rho(h) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{ihx} dG(x),$$

where $G(x)$ is a symmetric distribution function

Theorem 3.41. *Let the correlation function $\rho(h)$ of a stationary time series be absolutely summable. Then there exists a continuous function $f(\omega)$ such that.*

$$1. \quad \rho(h) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(\omega) \cos \omega h d\omega$$

2. $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(\omega) d\omega = 1$
3. $f(\omega) \geq 0$
4. $f(\omega)$ is an even function

3.6 Estimator convergence via information matrix

Definition 3.42. Let X be a random variable defined on probability space $(\Omega, \mathbb{P}_\theta)$, $\theta \in \Theta$. Suppose that the likelihood function $\theta \mapsto \ell_\theta \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \log p_\theta$ is differentiable for all $x \in \Omega$. The gradient

$$\dot{\ell}_\theta(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ell_\theta(x)$$

is called the *score function*. The *Fisher information* is defined as the matrix

$$i_\theta = \mathbb{V}_\theta \left(\dot{\ell}_\theta(X) \right)$$

Theorem 3.43. Suppose that Θ is compact and convex and that θ is identifiable, and let $\hat{\theta}_n$ be the maximum likelihood estimator based on a sample of size n from the distribution with (marginal) probability density p_θ . Suppose, furthermore, that the map $\vartheta \mapsto \log p_\vartheta(x)$ is continuously differentiable for all x , with derivative $\dot{\ell}_\vartheta(x)$, such that $\|\dot{\ell}_\vartheta(x)\| \leq L(x)$ for every $\vartheta \in \Theta$, where $L(x)$ is a function with $\mathbb{E}_\theta L^2(X) < \infty$. If θ is an interior point of Θ and the function $\theta \mapsto i_\theta$ is continuous and positive, then under θ , $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta)$ converges in distribution to a normal distribution with expectation 0 and variance i_θ^{-1} . Therefore, under θ , as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta) \rightarrow N(0, i_\theta^{-1})$$

Theorem 3.44. (Cramer-Rao) Suppose $\theta \mapsto p_\theta(x)$ is differentiable for every x . Then under certain regularity conditions any unbiased estimator T for $g(\theta)$ satisfies:

$$\mathbb{V}_\theta(T) \geq g'(\theta) I_\theta^{-1} g'(\theta)^T,$$

where I_θ denotes the full information matrix.

3.7 Sufficient Statistics and UMVU estimators

Definition 3.45. For a statistical model $(\Omega, \mathbb{P}_\theta)$, $\theta \in \Theta$, a statistic $V(x)$ is called *sufficient* (for X) if conditional distribution $f(x|V = v)$ is independent of V .

Theorem 3.46. A statistic $V(x)$ is sufficient if there exist functions $h(x)$ and $g(v, \theta)$ such that

$$p_\theta(x) = h(x)g(V(x), \theta)$$

Theorem 3.47. (Rao-Blackwell) Let $V = V(X)$ be a sufficient statistic, and let $T = T(X)$ be an arbitrary real-valued estimator for $g(\theta)$. Then there exists an estimator $T^* = T^*(V)$ for $g(\theta)$ that depends only on V , such that $\mathbb{E}_\theta T^* = \mathbb{E}_\theta T$ and $\mathbb{V}_\theta T^* \leq \mathbb{V}_\theta T$ for all θ . In particular, we have $MSE(\theta; T^*) \leq MSE(\theta; T)$. This inequality is strict unless $\mathbb{P}_\theta(T^* = T) = 1$.

Definition 3.48. For a statistical model $(\Omega, \mathbb{P}_\theta)$, $\theta \in \Theta$, a statistic $V(x)$ is called complete if $\mathbb{E}_\theta(f(V)) = 0$, $\forall \theta \in \Theta$ implies $f(V) = 0$ a.s.

Theorem 3.49. Let $V(x)$ be sufficient and complete, and $T(V)$ be an unbiased estimator for $g(\theta)$. Then $T(V)$ is UMVU estimator (i.e. has smallest variance among all unbiased estimators $\forall \theta \in \Theta$).

Theorem 3.50. Suppose that for a k -dimensional exponential family (2.1) the set below contains an interior point:

$$(Q_1(\theta), \dots, Q_k(\theta)), \theta \in \Theta$$

Then the random vector $(V_1(x), \dots, V_n(x))$ is sufficient and complete.

4 Estimators

4.1 Maximum of n uniformly distributed statistics

Set up: X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n i.i.d. drawn from $U[0, \theta]$, where θ is the parameter of interest.

- $\hat{\theta} = 2\bar{X}_n$
 - method of moments estimator
 - unbiased

- $\text{MSE}(\theta, \hat{\theta}) = \frac{\theta^2}{3n}$, see (1.6)
- $X_{(n)}$ — n -th order statistic, i.e. maximum.
 - $\mathbb{E}_{\theta} X_{(n)} = \frac{n}{n+1}\theta$, see (1.6)
 - $\text{MSE}(\theta, X_{(n)}) = \frac{2\theta^2}{(n+2)(n+1)}$
- $\frac{n+2}{n+1}X_{(n)}$
 - best estimator of the form $cX_{(n)}$
 - $\text{MSE}(\theta, \frac{n+2}{n+1}X_{(n)}) = \frac{\theta^2}{(n+1)^2}$

4.2 Univariate normal distribution

- $(\hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma}^2) = \left(\bar{X}_n, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2 \right) = \left(\bar{X}_n, \frac{n-1}{n} S_X^2 \right)$
 - maximum likelihood estimator
 - method of moments estimator
 - $\hat{\mu}$ is *unbiased*
 - $\mathbb{E}_{(\mu, \sigma^2)} \hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{n-1}{n} \sigma^2$

4.3 Empirical distribution function

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be an i.i.d. sample drawn from the distribution F .

- The empirical distribution function (ecdf) $\hat{F}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{I}(X_i \leq x)$ (see 1.1)
 - *unbiased*
 - $\text{cov}_F(\hat{F}(u), \hat{F}(v)) = n^{-1}(F(\min(u, v)) - F(u)F(v))$ – positively correlated

4.4 Linear Regression

Theorem 4.1. (Ordinary Least Squares)

(i) In one-factor setting, maximum likelihood estimators for slope, intercept and variance are given by (see (1.1, 1.2)):

$$\hat{\beta} = \frac{S_Y r_{X,Y}}{S_X}, \quad \hat{\alpha} = \bar{Y} - \hat{\beta} \bar{X}, \quad \hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \hat{\beta} X_i - \hat{\alpha})^2$$

(ii) If the design matrix X in a multiple linear regression has full rank, then the maximum likelihood estimators are given by:

$$\hat{\beta} = (X^T X)^{-1} (X^T Y), \quad \hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{\|Y - X \hat{\beta}\|^2}{n}$$

Theorem 4.2. (Weighted Least Squares/Heteroscedacity)

(i) Assume that error terms ε_i have variance as $\sigma_i^2 \equiv z_i \sigma^2$ for known constants z_i . Let $w_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (z_i \sigma^2)^{-1}$, then maximum likelihood estimators for slope, intercept and variance are given by (see (1.1, 1.2)):

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\beta} &= \frac{\sum w_i (x - \tilde{x})(y - \tilde{y})}{\sum w_i (x - \tilde{x})^2} = \frac{\sum w_i \sum w_i x_i y_i - \sum w_i x_i \sum w_i y_i}{\sum w_i \sum w_i x_i^2 - (\sum w_i x_i)^2} \\ \tilde{\alpha} &= \tilde{y} - \tilde{\beta} \tilde{x} \\ \hat{\sigma}^2 &= n^{-1} \sum \frac{1}{z_i} (y_i - \tilde{\beta} x_i - \tilde{\alpha})^2 \end{aligned}$$

(ii) For the multi-factor model, maximum likelihood estimators can be written in the form:

$$\tilde{\beta} = (X^T W X)^{-1} (X^T W Y)$$

Theorem 4.3. Let $V \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{span}(X)$, and $V_0 \subset V$. Denote the projection onto V by P_V .

1. The likelihood ratio statistic for $H_0 : X \beta_0 \in V_0$ equals

$$2 \log \lambda_n(X, Y) = n \log \frac{\|(E - P_{V_0})Y\|^2}{\|(E - P_V)Y\|^2},$$

2. Under the null hypothesis, the following quantity has $F_{n-p, p-p_0}$ distribution:

$$\frac{\|(P_V - P_{V_0})Y\|^2 / (p - p_0)}{\|(E - P_V)Y\|^2 / (n - p)}$$

5 Statistical tests

5.1 t -tests

5.1.1 One-sample t -test

Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be an i.i.d. sample from the $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ -distribution with μ and σ^2 unknown. Given $\mu_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ we test:

$$H_0 : \mu \leq \mu_0 \text{ against } H_1 : \mu > \mu_0 \quad (5.1)$$

Test statistic:

$$T = \sqrt{n} \frac{\bar{X}_n - \mu_0}{S_X} \quad (5.2)$$

By Theorem 3.13, under $\mu = \mu_0$ the statistic has Student's t_{n-1} distribution, consequently we can use.

$$\sup_{\mu \leq \mu_0} \mathbb{P}(T \geq t_{n-1, 1-\alpha}) \leq \alpha \quad (5.3)$$

5.1.2 t -Test for paired observations

Let $(X_1, Y_1), (X_2, Y_2), \dots, (X_n, Y_n)$ be the i.i.d. sample of paired observations. We assume that $Z_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} X_i - Y_i$ is $N(\Delta, \sigma^2)$ is normally distributed, and the ordinary One-sample t -test can be used to test the null hypotheses $H_0 : \Delta \geq 0$. Note that if X_i and Y_i are strongly correlated then variance of Z_i decreases and this improves the power of the t -test.

5.1.3 Two-sample t -test

Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n and Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_m be two mutually independent i.i.d samples from $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ and $N(\nu, \sigma^2)$. The test checks

$$H_0 : \mu - \nu \leq 0 \text{ against } H_1 : \mu - \nu > 0 \quad (5.4)$$

Test statistic:

$$T = \frac{\bar{X} - \bar{Y}}{S_{X,Y} \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m}}} \quad (5.5)$$

$$S_{X,Y}^2 = \frac{1}{m+n-2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2 + \sum_{j=1}^m (Y_j - \bar{Y}_m)^2 \right) \quad (5.6)$$

Theorem 3.13 implies that $S_{X,Y}^2$ follows $\sigma^2 \cdot \chi_{m+n-2}^2$ distribution.

5.2 Kolmogorov-Smirnov test

Given an i.i.d. sample X_1, \dots, X_n from some unknown distribution F , we want to test:

$$H_0 : F = F_0 \text{ against } H_1 : F \neq F_0 \quad (5.7)$$

The test statistic is given by

$$T = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |\hat{F}_n(x) - F(x)|, \quad (5.8)$$

where $\hat{F}_n(x)$ stands for the empirical distribution function (see 1.1). The distribution of T is the same for every continuous cdf F_0 . The following limit establishes the test:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}_{F_0} \left(T > \frac{z}{n} \right) = 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{j+1} e^{-j^2 z^2} \quad (5.9)$$

6 Examples

6.1 Structural Models

6.1.1 Local level plus noise

- Model

$$\begin{cases} y_t = \mu_t + \varepsilon_t \\ m_t = \mu_{t-1} + \eta_t \end{cases}$$

- Stationary form

$$\Delta y_t = \eta_t + \Delta \varepsilon_t$$

- Reduced expression

$$y_t = \frac{\eta_t}{\Delta} + \varepsilon_t$$

- Autocovariance generating function

$$g_{\Delta y_t}(L) = \sigma_\eta^2 + (1 + L)(1 + L^{-1})\sigma_\varepsilon^2$$

- Power spectrum

$$g_{\Delta y_t}(\lambda) = \sigma_\eta^2 + 2(1 - \cos \lambda)\sigma_\varepsilon^2$$

6.1.2 Local linear trend

- Model

$$\begin{cases} y_t = \mu_t + \varepsilon_t \\ \mu_t = \mu_{t-1} + \beta_{t-1} + \eta_t \\ \beta_t = \beta_{t-1} + \zeta_t \end{cases}$$

- Stationary form

$$\Delta^2 y_t = \zeta_{t-1} + \Delta \eta_t + \Delta^2 \varepsilon_t$$

- Reduced expression

$$y_t = \frac{\zeta_{t-1}}{\Delta^2} + \frac{\eta_t}{\Delta} + \varepsilon_t$$

- Autocovariance generating function

$$g_{\Delta^2 y_t}(L) = \sigma_\zeta^2 + (1+L)(1+L^{-1})\sigma_\eta^2 + (1+L)^2(1+L^{-1})^2\sigma_\varepsilon^2$$

- Power spectrum

$$g_{\Delta y_t}(\lambda) = \sigma_\zeta^2 + 2(1 - \cos \lambda)\sigma_\eta^2 + 4(1 - \cos \lambda)^2\sigma_\varepsilon^2$$

6.1.3 Cyclical models

- Model ($0 \leq \rho \leq 1$)

$$\begin{pmatrix} \psi_t \\ \psi_t^* \end{pmatrix} = \rho \begin{pmatrix} \cos \lambda_c & \sin \lambda_c \\ -\sin \lambda_c & \cos \lambda_c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{t-1} \\ \psi_{t-1}^* \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \kappa_t \\ \kappa_t^* \end{pmatrix}$$

- Stochastic cycle

$$y_t = \mu + \psi_t + \varepsilon_t$$

- Trend plus cycle

$$y_t = \mu_t + \psi_t + \varepsilon_t$$

- Cyclical trend

$$\begin{cases} y_t = \mu_t + \varepsilon_t \\ \mu_t = \mu_{t-1} + \psi_{t-1} + \beta_{t-1} + \eta_t \\ \beta_t = \beta_{t-1} + \zeta_t \end{cases}$$

- Stationary form

- Stochastic cycle (y_t stationary iff $\rho < 1$)

$$y_t = \frac{(1 - L\rho \cos \lambda_c)\kappa_t + (L\rho \sin \lambda_c)\kappa_t^*}{1 - 2L\rho \cos \lambda_c + \rho^2 L^2} + \varepsilon_t$$

- Trend plus cycle

$$\Delta^2 y_t = \zeta_{t-1} + \Delta \eta_t + \Delta^2 \psi_t + \Delta^2 \varepsilon_t$$

- Cyclical trend

$$\Delta^2 y_t = \zeta_{t-1} + \Delta \eta_t + \Delta \psi_{t-1} + \Delta^2 \varepsilon_t$$

- Reduced form (skipped — obvious from Stationary form)
- Autocovariance generating function (view as VAR(1) process to derive)

- Stochastic cycle

$$g_{y_t}(L) = \frac{\sigma_\kappa^2}{1 - \rho^2} \sum_{\tau=-\infty}^{\tau=\infty} L^\tau \rho^{|\tau|} \cos \lambda_c \tau$$

- Trend plus cycle & Stochastic trend — use stationary form and take a sum of autocovariance generating functions of components accounting for differencing operators

- Power spectrum ($\rho < 1$). Easiest to see from the stationary form by substitution $L = e^{i\lambda}$. Note the difference between cycle frequency λ_c and the spectral parameter λ :

$$g_\psi(e^{i\lambda}) = \frac{|1 - \rho \cos \lambda_c e^{i\lambda}|^2 + |\rho \sin \lambda_c e^{i\lambda}|^2}{|1 - 2\rho \cos \lambda_c e^{i\lambda} + \rho^2 e^{2i\lambda}|}$$

6.1.4 Basic structural model (BSM)

- Model

$$y_t = \mu_t + \gamma_t + \varepsilon_t$$

- Dummy variable seasonal component

$$\sum_{\tau=0}^{s-1} \gamma_{t-\tau} = \omega_t$$

- Trigonometric seasonal component (c.f. Stochastic cycle):

$$\gamma_t = \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} \gamma_{jt}, \quad \gamma_{jt} = \frac{(1 - L \cos \lambda_j)\omega_t + (L \sin \lambda_j)\omega_t^*}{1 - 2L \cos \lambda_j + L^2}, \quad \lambda_j = \frac{2\pi j}{s}$$

Note that cycles don't have dampening ρ factor, which makes the not stationary.

- Stationary form

To make seasonal component γ_t in both versions of BSM model stationary, seasonal averaging $S(L) = 1 + L + \dots + L^{s-1}$ must be applied (exercise), it won't be stationary as is due to absence of dampening factor.

- Dummy variable seasonal component ($S(L)\gamma_t = \omega_t$)

$$\Delta\Delta_s y_t = S(L)\zeta_{t-1} + \Delta S(L)\eta_t + \Delta^2\omega_t + \Delta\Delta_s \varepsilon_t$$
- Trigonometric seasonal component (below $\bar{\gamma}_j = S(L)/(1-2L\cos\lambda_j + L^2)$; or $(1+L)$ denominator for $j = s/2$ with even s):

$$S(L)\gamma_t = \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} \bar{\gamma}_j ((1 - L\cos\lambda_j)\omega_{jt} + (L\sin\lambda_j)\omega_{jt}^*)$$

- Reduced form

- Dummy variable seasonal component

$$y_t = \frac{\zeta_{t-1}}{\Delta^2} + \frac{\eta_t}{\Delta} + \frac{\omega_t}{S(L)} + \varepsilon_t$$
- Trigonometric seasonal component follow directly from the form of γ_{jt} . Also note that trigonometric components can be written in VAR(1) form.

- Autocovariance generating function

- Dummy variable seasonal component
The formula for $g_{\Delta\Delta_s y_t}$ follows from the stationary form. In particular, it follows that $\gamma(\tau) = 0$ for $\tau > s + 1$
- Trigonometric seasonal component is more involved, can be derived directly by averaging and expressing $S(L)\gamma_t$ as a sum of $\omega_{jt}, \omega_{jt}^*$. For example, $s = 4$:

$$S(L)\gamma_t = (1+L)(\omega_{1t} + \omega_{1t}^*) + (1+L^2)\omega_{2t}$$

$$\Delta\Delta_4 y_t = S(L)\zeta_{t-1} + \Delta_4 \eta_t + \Delta^2(1+L)(\omega_{1t} + \omega_{1t-1}^*) + \Delta^2(1+L^2)\omega_{2t} + \Delta\Delta_4 \varepsilon_t$$

- Power spectrum
 - Dummy variable seasonal component (follows from the stationary form by substitution $L = e^{i\lambda}$)

$$g_{\Delta\Delta_s y_t}(L) = S(L)S(L^{-1})\sigma_\zeta^2 + (1 - L^s)(1 - L^{-s})\sigma_\eta^2 + (1 - L)^2(1 - L^{-1})^2\sigma_\omega^2 + (1 - L)(1 - L^{-1})(1 - L^s)(1 - L^{-s})\sigma_\varepsilon^2$$

- Trigonometric seasonal component follow from the stationary form, doesn't seem to simplify significantly.

6.1.5 ARMA models

- Model (all complex roots of $\varphi(L)$ are required to have absolute value strictly greater than one)

$$\varphi(L)y_t = \theta(L)\varepsilon_t$$

- Stationary form — y_t is stationary without any transformations
- Reduced form

$$y_t = \frac{\theta(L)}{\varphi(L)}\varepsilon_t$$

- Autocovariance generation function

$$g_{y_t}(L) = \frac{\theta(L)\theta(L^{-1})}{\varphi(L)\varphi(L^{-1})}$$

- Power spectrum

$$f(\lambda) = \frac{|\theta(e^{i\lambda})|^2}{|\varphi(e^{i\lambda})|^2}$$