BAYESIAN LINEAR REGRESSION

EFIM ABRIKOSOV

1. Framework

1.1. Notations.

- (1.1) $y = x \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta} + \varepsilon, \ \varepsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$
 - (1) Individual observations $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}$
 - (2) Observed data $(X,Y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k} \times \mathbb{R}^n$
 - (3) Linear regression weights $\boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^k$
 - (4) Model parameter distribution mean $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^k$ and covariance matrix $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$
 - (5) Observation error variance σ^2

1.2. Model Assumptions.

- (1) Observations (x, y) satisfy linear relation 1.1
- (2) Observation errors are independent normally distributed with mean zero and variance σ^2
- (3) For posterior estimation, observations X must have full rank
- (4) For known error variance σ^2 , the prior on the space of parameters $\boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^k$ is $\mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \sigma^2 \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$
- (5) For unknown error variance, the prior for $(\beta, \sigma^2) \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$ has σ^2 following inverse gamma distribution with parameters (a_0, b_0) , and conditional distribution for linear relation weights $f(\beta \mid \sigma^2) = \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2 \Sigma)$

2. Gaussian Prior with Known Variance

2.1. Summary of Results.

Proposition 2.1 (Posterior paramer distribution with known variance). The posterior distribution of model parameters is normal $f(\beta \mid X, Y) = \mathcal{N}(\mu_1, \sigma^2 \Sigma_1)$ with parameters:

(2.1)
$$\Sigma_1^{-1} = \Sigma_0^{-1} + X^T X$$

$$(2.2) \quad \boldsymbol{\mu}_1 = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \left(\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_0 \right)$$

$$(2.3) \quad \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \left(X^T X \right)^{-1} X^T Y$$

Proposition 2.2 (Posterior predictive distribution with known variance). For an observation (x, y) and its expectation $(x, \widehat{y} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} x \cdot \beta)$, posterior conditional distributions of y and \widehat{y} are normal with parameters given below:

$$(2.4) f(y \mid x, X, Y) = \mathcal{N}\left(x\boldsymbol{\mu}_1, \sigma^2\left(1 + x\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 x^T\right)\right)$$

(2.5)
$$f(\widehat{y} \mid x, X, Y) = \mathcal{N}(x\boldsymbol{\mu}_1, \sigma^2 x \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 x^T)$$

Date: June 26, 2024.

Proposition 2.3 (Bayesian regression under known vairance and non-informative prior). If the prior on parameter β is non-informative, i.e. $\mu_0 = 0$ and $\Sigma_0^{-1} = 0$, then posterior distribution of model parameters and predictive distributions recover standard OLS formulas:

$$(2.6) \quad \boldsymbol{\beta} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\left(X^{T}X\right)^{-1}X^{T}Y, \sigma^{2}\left(X^{T}X\right)^{-1}\right)$$

(2.7)
$$f(y \mid x, X, Y) = \mathcal{N}\left(x(X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y, \sigma^2 \left(1 + x(X^T X)^{-1} x^T\right)\right)$$

$$(2.8) \quad f(\widehat{y} \mid x, X, Y) = \mathcal{N}\left(x\left(X^{T}X\right)^{-1}X^{T}Y, \sigma^{2}x\left(X^{T}X\right)^{-1}x^{T}\right)$$

Proof of Proposition 2.1. Define for convenience $\Lambda_0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Sigma_0^{-1}$. Using $f(\beta \mid X, Y) \propto f(X, Y \mid \beta) f(\beta)$ and taking logarithms one has:

$$\ln f(\boldsymbol{\beta} \mid X, Y) + \operatorname{const} = -\left(\frac{n}{2} \ln \sigma^2 + \frac{n}{2} \ln 2\pi + \frac{1}{2} \ln \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0 + \frac{k}{2} \ln 2\pi\right) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (Y - X\boldsymbol{\beta})^T (Y - X\boldsymbol{\beta}) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (\boldsymbol{\beta} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_0)^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_0 (\boldsymbol{\beta} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_0) = \\ = -\left(\frac{n}{2} \ln \sigma^2 + \frac{n}{2} \ln 2\pi + \frac{1}{2} \ln \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0 + \frac{k}{2} \ln 2\pi\right) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left(\boldsymbol{\beta}^T \left(X^T X + \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_0\right) \boldsymbol{\beta} - (Y^T X + \boldsymbol{\mu}_0^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_0) \boldsymbol{\beta} - \boldsymbol{\beta}^T \left(X^T Y + \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_0 \boldsymbol{\mu}_0\right) + Y^T Y + \boldsymbol{\mu}_0^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_0 \boldsymbol{\mu}_0\right)$$

It suffices to show that this expression is a quadratic form $-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(\beta - \mu_1)^T \Lambda_1(\beta - \mu_1)$ up to an additive term independent of β . Here μ_1 and Λ_1 are as in 2.1-2.3. This follows immediately from the lemma on completing squares:

Lemma 2.4. For any symmetric quadratic form Q, liner form L and vector v:

$$v^T Q v - v^T L - L^T v = (v - Q^{-1} L)^T Q (v - Q^{-1} L) - L^T Q^{-1} L$$

Proof of Proposition 2.2. To find the posterior predictive distribution 2.4, we integrate out parameter β :

$$f(y \mid x, X, Y) = \int_{\boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^k} f(y \mid x, \boldsymbol{\beta}) f(\boldsymbol{\beta} \mid X, Y) d\boldsymbol{\beta} =$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \frac{(\det \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_1)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{\frac{1+k}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left((y - x\boldsymbol{\beta})^2 + (\boldsymbol{\beta} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_1)^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_1 (\boldsymbol{\beta} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_1) \right) \right) d\boldsymbol{\beta}$$

Set $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \boldsymbol{\beta} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_1$ and $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{y}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \boldsymbol{y} - x \boldsymbol{\mu}_1$. The expression under the exponential can be rewritten as:

$$(y - x\beta)^{2} + (\beta - \mu_{1})^{T} \mathbf{\Lambda}_{1} (\beta - \mu_{1}) = (\widetilde{y} - x\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}})^{2} + \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{T} \mathbf{\Lambda}_{1} \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} =$$

$$= \widetilde{y}^{2} - \widetilde{y}x (\mathbf{\Lambda}_{1} + x^{T}x)^{-1} x^{T} \widetilde{y} + (\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - (\mathbf{\Lambda}_{1} + x^{T}x)^{-1} x^{T} \widetilde{y})^{T} (\mathbf{\Lambda}_{1} + x^{T}x) (\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - (\mathbf{\Lambda}_{1} + x^{T}x)^{-1} x^{T} \widetilde{y})$$

Using that for any positive definite form Q the integral $\exp(-(\boldsymbol{\beta} - \boldsymbol{v})^T Q(\boldsymbol{\beta} - \boldsymbol{v}))$ is independent of $\boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbb{R}^k$, we find that up to a multiplicative constant:

$$f(y \mid x, X, Y) = \operatorname{const} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{\widetilde{y}^2}{2\sigma^2} \left(1 - x\left(\mathbf{\Lambda}_1 + x^T x\right)^{-1} x^T\right)\right) = \operatorname{const} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{\widetilde{y}^2}{2\sigma^2} \left(1 + x\mathbf{\Sigma}_1 x^T\right)^{-1}\right)$$

Consequently, $\tilde{y} = y - x \mu_1$ is normally distributed with variance as prescribed by 2.4. The second equality above follows from Sherman-Morrison formula.

Theorem 2.5 (Sherman-Morrison formula). Suppose $A \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$ is an invertible matrix and $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^k$ are vectors. Then $A + uv^T$ is invertible iff $1 + v^T A^{-1}u \neq 0$. In this case,

(2.9)
$$(A + uv^T)^{-1} = A^{-1} - \frac{A^{-1}uv^TA^{-1}}{1 + v^TA^{-1}u}$$

(2.10)
$$v^T (A + uv^T)^{-1} u = \frac{v^T A^{-1} u}{1 + v^T A^{-1} u}$$

The proof of 2.5 may be seen as a direct consequence of the general result on convolution of multivariate normal distributions. The result is well-known and is usually demonstrated using Fourier transform. We give an "elementary" proof in Appendix A \Box

Proof of Proposition 2.3. Straightforward by substituting $\mu_0 = 0$ and $\Sigma_0^{-1} = 0$ in propositions 2.1 and 2.2

3. Inverse Gamma Prior for Variance Scale Parameter

Proposition 3.1 (Posterior paramer distribution). Under assumptions with unknown variance 1.2, the posterior distribution decomposes as $f(\beta, \sigma^2 \mid X, Y) = f(\beta \mid X, Y, \sigma^2) \cdot f(\sigma^2 \mid X, Y)$ where the conditional posterior distribution $f(\beta \mid X, Y, \sigma^2) = \mathcal{N}(\mu_1, \sigma^2 \Sigma_1)$ is normal with parameters as in 2.1-2.3 and $f(\sigma^2 \mid X, Y) = Inv \cdot \Gamma(a_1, b_1)$ with parameters:

$$(3.1) a_1 = a_0 + \frac{n}{2}$$

$$(3.2) b_1 = b_0 + \frac{1}{2} \left(Y^T Y + \boldsymbol{\mu}_0^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_0 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_1^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_1 \right) = b_0 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\left(Y - X \boldsymbol{\mu}_1 \right)^T \left(Y - X \boldsymbol{\mu}_1 \right) + \left(\boldsymbol{\mu}_1 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_0 \right)^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0^{-1} \left(\boldsymbol{\mu}_1 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_0 \right) \right)$$

In particular, for $\Lambda_0 = 0$ the increment in the update of b_0 is the residual sum of squares for OLS regression:

$$b_1 = b_0 + \frac{1}{2} \left(Y^T Y - Y^T X \left(X^T X \right)^{-1} X^T Y \right)$$

Proposition 3.2 (Posterior predictive distribution). For an observation (x, y) and its expectation $(x, \hat{y} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} x \cdot \beta)$, posterior conditional distributions of y and \hat{y} are location-scale t-distributions with parameters given below:

(3.3)
$$f(y \mid x, X, Y) = lst\left(x\boldsymbol{\mu}_1, \frac{b_1}{a_1} \left(1 + x\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 x^T\right), 2a_1\right)$$

(3.4)
$$f(\widehat{y} \mid x, X, Y) = lst\left(x\boldsymbol{\mu}_1, \frac{b_1}{a_1}x\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1x^T, 2a_1\right)$$

Proposition 3.3 (Bayesian regression and non-informative prior). Assume that prior on parameters has form $f(\beta, \sigma^2) \propto \frac{1}{\sigma^2}$. Then posterior distribution of model parameters and predictive distributions recover standard OLS formulas:

$$(3.5) \quad \widehat{\sigma^2} = \frac{1}{n-k} \left(Y^T Y - Y^T X \left(X^T X \right)^{-1} X^T Y \right)$$

$$(3.6) \quad \boldsymbol{\beta} \sim t_{n-k} \left(\left(\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X} \right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{Y}, \widehat{\sigma^2} \left(\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X} \right)^{-1} \right)$$

$$(3.7) f(y \mid x, X, Y) = t_{n-k} \left(x \left(X^T X \right)^{-1} X^T Y, \widehat{\sigma^2} \left(1 + x \left(X^T X \right)^{-1} x^T \right) \right)$$

$$(3.8) \quad f(\widehat{y}\mid x,X,Y) = t_{n-k} \left(x \left(X^T X\right)^{-1} X^T Y, \widehat{\sigma^2} \ x \left(X^T X\right)^{-1} x^T\right)$$

More specifically, the posterior parameter distribution can be decomposed as in Proposition 3.1 with:

$$(3.9) \quad f\left(\boldsymbol{\beta} \mid X, Y, \sigma^{2}\right) = \mathcal{N}\left(\left(X^{T}X\right)^{-1} X^{T}Y, \sigma^{2}\left(X^{T}X\right)^{-1}\right)$$

$$(3.10) \quad f\left(\sigma^{2} \mid X,Y\right) = \operatorname{Inv-}\Gamma\left(\frac{n-k}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\left(Y^{T}Y - Y^{T}X\left(X^{T}X\right)^{-1}X^{T}Y\right)\right)$$

Lemma 3.4. Suppose the distribution of $(\beta, \sigma^2) \in \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}_+$ is $f(\beta, \sigma^2) = f(\beta \mid \sigma^2) \cdot f(\sigma^2)$ with $f(\beta \mid \sigma^2)$ normal $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \Sigma)$ and $f(\sigma^2) = Inv \cdot \Gamma(a, b)$. Then the marginal distribution of β is multivariate t-distribution with 2a degrees of freedom: $f(\beta) = t_{2a}(\mu, \frac{b}{a}\Sigma)$.

Proof of Proposition 3.1. Using $f(\beta, \sigma^2 \mid X, Y) \propto f(X, Y \mid \beta, \sigma^2) f(\beta \mid \sigma^2) f(\sigma^2)$ and substituting assumptions for model distributions one gets:

$$(3.11) f(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^{2} \mid X, Y) \propto \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^{2})^{\frac{n}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^{2}} (Y - X\boldsymbol{\beta})^{T} (Y - X\boldsymbol{\beta})\right) \cdot \frac{(\det \mathbf{\Lambda}_{0})^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(2\pi\sigma^{2})^{\frac{k}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^{2}} (\boldsymbol{\beta} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{0})^{T} \mathbf{\Lambda}_{0} (\boldsymbol{\beta} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{0})\right) \frac{b_{0}^{a_{0}}}{\Gamma(a_{0})} (\sigma^{2})^{-a_{0}-1} \exp\left(-\frac{b_{0}}{\sigma^{2}}\right)$$

Following the proof of Proposition 2.1, completing the squares under the exponential gives:

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left(\boldsymbol{\beta}^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_1 \boldsymbol{\beta} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_1^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_1 \boldsymbol{\beta} - \boldsymbol{\beta}^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_1 \boldsymbol{\mu}_1 + Y^T Y + \boldsymbol{\mu}_0^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_0 \boldsymbol{\mu}_0 \right) = \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left((\boldsymbol{\beta} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_1)^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_1 (\boldsymbol{\beta} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_1) + Y^T Y + \boldsymbol{\mu}_0^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_0 \boldsymbol{\mu}_0 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_1^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_1 \boldsymbol{\mu}_1 \right) \end{aligned}$$

It follows that up to a constant independent of β and σ^2 , the posterior $f(\beta, \sigma^2 \mid X, Y)$ can be written as:

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{\frac{k}{2}}}\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(\boldsymbol{\beta}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_1)^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_1(\boldsymbol{\beta}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_1)\right)\left(\sigma^2\right)^{-a_0-\frac{n}{2}-1}\exp\left(-\frac{1}{\sigma^2}\left(b_0+\frac{1}{2}\left(\boldsymbol{Y}^T\boldsymbol{Y}+\boldsymbol{\mu}_0^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_0\boldsymbol{\mu}_0-\boldsymbol{\mu}_1^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_1\boldsymbol{\mu}_1\right)\right)\right)$$

The second equality in 3.2 follows from simple algebraic manipulations:

$$Y^{T}Y + \mu_{0}^{T} \mathbf{\Lambda}_{0} \mu_{0} - \mu_{1}^{T} \mathbf{\Lambda}_{1} \mu_{1} = Y^{T}Y + \mu_{0}^{T} \mathbf{\Lambda}_{0} \mu_{0} - (X^{T}Y + \mathbf{\Lambda}_{0} \mu_{0})^{T} \mu_{1} = Y^{T} (Y - X \mu_{1}) + \mu_{0}^{T} \mathbf{\Lambda}_{0} (\mu_{0} - \mu_{1}) = (Y - X \mu_{1})^{T} (Y - X \mu_{1}) + \mu_{1}^{T} X^{T} (Y - X \mu_{1}) + (\mu_{0} - \mu_{1})^{T} \mathbf{\Lambda}_{0} (\mu_{0} - \mu_{1}) + \mu_{1}^{T} \mathbf{\Lambda}_{0} (\mu_{0} - \mu_{1})$$

It remains to show that the sum of the second and fourth terms is zero:

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}^{T}X^{T}\left(Y - X\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}\right) + \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}^{T}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{0}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}\right) &= \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}^{T}X^{T}\left(Y - X\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}\right) + \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}^{T}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{1}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}\right) - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}^{T}X^{T}X\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}\right) &= \\ &= \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}^{T}X^{T}Y + \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}^{T}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{1}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}\right) - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}X^{T}X\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0} &= \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}^{T}X^{T}Y + \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}^{T}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{1}\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}^{T}\left(X^{T}Y + \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{0}\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0}\right) - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}X^{T}X\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0} &= \\ &= \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}^{T}\left(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{1} - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{0} - X^{T}X\right)\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0} &= 0 \end{split}$$

Proof of Proposition 3.2. We only give the proof for predictive distribution of y, the result for \hat{y} is shown analogously. By definition,

$$(3.12) \quad f(y \mid x, X, Y) = \int_{\sigma^{2} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}} \left[\int_{\boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^{k}} f(y \mid x, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^{2}) f(\boldsymbol{\beta} \mid X, Y, \sigma^{2}) d\boldsymbol{\beta} \right] f(\sigma^{2} \mid X, Y) d\sigma^{2}$$

Following the proof and reusing notations of Proposition 2.2, the inner integral has the form:

$$\int_{\boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^{k}} \frac{\left(\det \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left(2\pi\sigma^{2}\right)^{\frac{1+k}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^{2}}\left((y-x\boldsymbol{\beta})^{2}+(\boldsymbol{\beta}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1})^{T}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{1}(\boldsymbol{\beta}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1})\right)\right) d\boldsymbol{\beta} =
= \int_{\boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^{k}} \frac{\left(\det \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left(2\pi\sigma^{2}\right)^{\frac{1+k}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{\widetilde{y}^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}}\left(1+x\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1}x^{T}\right)^{-1}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^{2}}\left((\boldsymbol{\beta}-\boldsymbol{v})^{T}Q(\boldsymbol{\beta}-\boldsymbol{v})\right)\right) d\boldsymbol{\beta} =
= \cot \cdot \frac{1}{\left(\sigma^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{\widetilde{y}^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}}\left(1+x\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1}x^{T}\right)^{-1}\right)$$

where $v \in \mathbb{R}^k$ is a vector and Q is a positive-definite quadratic form, both independent of β and σ^2 . In the second equality we used that the k-th power of σ^2 cancels out after integrating the second exponential (k-dimensional volume scale).

Denote $s^2 = (1 + x \Sigma_1 x^T)$. Substituting the above expression in 3.12 and using the explicit form of Inv- $\Gamma(a_1, b_1)$ distribution one gets:

$$f(y \mid x, X, Y) \propto \int_{\sigma^2 \in \mathbb{R}_+} \frac{1}{(\sigma^2)^{a_1 + 1 + \frac{1}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \left(b_1 + \frac{\widetilde{y}^2}{2s^2}\right)\right) d\sigma^2 =$$

$$= \Gamma\left(a_1 + \frac{1}{2}\right) \left(b_1 + \frac{\widetilde{y}^2}{2s^2}\right)^{-a_1 - \frac{1}{2}} = \frac{\Gamma\left(a_1 + \frac{1}{2}\right)}{b_1^{\frac{2a_1 + 1}{2}}} \left(1 + \frac{a_1 \widetilde{y}^2}{2a_1 b_1 s^2}\right)^{-\frac{2a_1 + 1}{2}}$$

Where the first step follows from $\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} r^{-A-1} \exp\left(-\frac{B}{r}\right) dr = \frac{\Gamma(A)}{B^A}$ for any A > 0, B > 0. Hence, the variable $\frac{a_1 \widetilde{y}^2}{b_1 s^2}$ follows t-distribution with $2a_1$ degrees of freedom which readily implies the proposition.

Proof of Lemma 3.4. The proof is similar to the last part of proof of Proposition 3.2. Denote for convenience $\Lambda \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Sigma^{-1}$.

$$\begin{split} f(\beta) &= \int_{\sigma^2 \in \mathbb{R}_+} f\left(\beta, \sigma^2\right) d\sigma^2 = \\ &= \int_{\sigma^2 \in \mathbb{R}_+} \frac{\left(\det \mathbf{\Lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left(2\pi\sigma^2\right)^{\frac{k}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (\boldsymbol{\beta} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T \mathbf{\Lambda} (\boldsymbol{\beta} - \boldsymbol{\mu})\right) \cdot \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \left(\sigma^2\right)^{-a-1} \exp\left(-\frac{b}{\sigma^2}\right) d\sigma^2 = \\ &= \operatorname{const} \cdot \int_{\sigma^2 \in \mathbb{R}_+} \left(\sigma^2\right)^{-a-\frac{k}{2}-1} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \left(b + \frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{\beta} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T \mathbf{\Lambda} (\boldsymbol{\beta} - \boldsymbol{\mu})\right)\right) d\sigma^2 = \\ &= \operatorname{const} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(a + \frac{k}{2})}{(b + (\boldsymbol{\beta} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T \mathbf{\Lambda} (\boldsymbol{\beta} - \boldsymbol{\mu}))^{a + \frac{k}{2}}} = \frac{\operatorname{const} \cdot b^{-a - \frac{k}{2}} \Gamma(a + \frac{k}{2})}{\left(1 + \frac{1}{2a} (\boldsymbol{\beta} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T \left(\frac{a}{b} \mathbf{\Lambda}\right) (\boldsymbol{\beta} - \boldsymbol{\mu})\right)^{\frac{2a + k}{2}}} \end{split}$$

It remains to recognize the expression above as the standard representation of $t_{2a}\left(\mu, \frac{b}{a}\Sigma\right)$.

Proof of Proposition 3.3. Use $f(\sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sigma^2}$ in 3.11:

$$\begin{split} f\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^{2} \mid \boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{Y}\right) &\propto \frac{1}{\left(2\pi\sigma^{2}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}+1}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^{2}} \left(\boldsymbol{Y} - \boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}\right)^{T} \left(\boldsymbol{Y} - \boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}\right)\right) = \\ &= \frac{1}{\left(2\pi\sigma^{2}\right)^{\frac{k}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^{2}} \left(\left(\boldsymbol{\beta} - \left(\boldsymbol{X}^{T}\boldsymbol{X}\right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}^{T}\boldsymbol{Y}\right)^{T} \boldsymbol{X}^{T} \boldsymbol{X} \left(\boldsymbol{\beta} - \left(\boldsymbol{X}^{T}\boldsymbol{X}\right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}^{T}\boldsymbol{Y}\right)\right)\right) \cdot \\ &\cdot \frac{1}{\left(2\pi\sigma^{2}\right)^{\frac{n-k}{2}-1}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^{2}} \left(\boldsymbol{Y}^{T}\boldsymbol{Y} - \boldsymbol{Y}^{T}\boldsymbol{X} \left(\boldsymbol{X}^{T}\boldsymbol{X}\right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}^{T}\boldsymbol{Y}\right)\right) \end{split}$$

It follows that $f\left(\beta, \sigma^2 \mid X, Y\right)$ has form as in Lemma 3.4 with $a = \frac{n-k}{2}$ and $b = \frac{1}{2}\left(Y^TY - Y^TX\left(X^TX\right)^{-1}X^TY\right)$. Note that the non-informative prior is uniquely determined by the requirement that the corresponding differential form $\frac{1}{\sigma^2}d\beta d\sigma^2$ is preserved by the action of group $\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}_+$.

APPENDIX A. "ELEMENTARY" PROOF OF GAUSSIAN CONVOLUTION

Proposition A.1. Let $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^k$ be a Gaussian vector with distribution $\mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ and let A be any $l \times k$ matrix. Then $A\beta \in \mathbb{R}^k$ is a Gaussian vector with distribution $\mathcal{N}(A\boldsymbol{\mu}, A\boldsymbol{\Sigma}A^T)$

Proof. We only consider the case l=1 with $A=a=(a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_k)$ and $A\beta$ is a one dimensional random variable. Without loss of generality we may assume $a_1\neq 0$. Introduce notations:

- (A.1) $\hat{y} = a\beta$
- (A.2) $\widetilde{y} = \widehat{y} a\mu$

(A.3)
$$a = (a_1, \mathbf{a}_{-1}), a_1 \in \mathbb{R}^k, \mathbf{a}_{-1} \in \mathbb{R}^{k-1}$$

(A.4)
$$\boldsymbol{\beta} = \left(\beta_1, \ \boldsymbol{\beta}_{-1}^T\right)^T, \ \beta_1 \in \mathbb{R}^k, \ \boldsymbol{\beta}_{-1} \in \mathbb{R}^{k-1}$$

(A.5)
$$\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \left(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{1}, \ \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{-1}^{T}\right)^{T} = \boldsymbol{\beta} - \boldsymbol{\mu}$$

(A.6)
$$\Lambda = \Sigma^{-1}$$

(A.7)
$$\mathbf{\Lambda} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{11} & \lambda_1 \\ \boldsymbol{\lambda}_1^T & \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

We note that the volume element $d\boldsymbol{\beta} = d\beta_1 d\boldsymbol{\beta}_{-1} = d\left(\frac{\widehat{y} - \boldsymbol{a}_{-1}\boldsymbol{\beta}_{-1}}{a_1}\right)d\boldsymbol{\beta}_{-1} = \frac{1}{a_1}d\widehat{y}d\boldsymbol{\beta} - 1$. Thus, to get the density of \widehat{y} it suffices to integrate out $d\boldsymbol{\beta}_{-1}$. It's easy to re-center variables for convenience using:

$$\left(\frac{1}{a_{1}}\left(\widehat{y} - \boldsymbol{a}_{-1}\boldsymbol{\beta}_{-1}\right) - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}, \ \boldsymbol{\beta}_{-1}^{T} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{-1}^{T}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{a_{1}}\left(\widehat{y} - a_{1}\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1} - \boldsymbol{a}_{-1}\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{-1} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{-1}\right) - \boldsymbol{a}_{-1}\boldsymbol{\mu}_{-1}\right), \ \boldsymbol{\beta}_{-1}^{T} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{-1}^{T}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{a_{1}}\left(\widetilde{y} - \boldsymbol{a}_{-1}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{-1}\right) - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}, \ \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{-1}^{T}\right)$$

We will use that the integral of the exponent of a quadratic form in a shift of $\widetilde{\beta}$ gives a multiplicative constant that does not depend on \widetilde{y} (same idea as in the proof of Proposition 2.2, see 2.4):

$$\begin{split} & \left(\frac{\frac{1}{a_{1}}\left(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{y}} - \boldsymbol{a}_{-1}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{-1}\right)}{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{-1}}\right)^{T}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}\left(\frac{\frac{1}{a_{1}}\left(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{y}} - \boldsymbol{a}_{-1}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{-1}\right)}{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{-1}}\right) = \\ & = \left(\frac{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{y}}}{a_{1}}\right)^{T}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}\left(\frac{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{y}}}{a_{1}}\right) + \left(\frac{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{y}}}{a_{1}}\right)^{T}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}\left(-\frac{\boldsymbol{a}_{-1}}{a_{1}}\right)\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{-1} + \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{-1}^{T}\left(-\frac{\boldsymbol{a}_{-1}}{a_{1}}\right)^{T}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}\left(\frac{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{y}}}{a_{1}}\right)^{T} + \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{-1}^{T}\left(-\frac{\boldsymbol{a}_{-1}}{a_{1}}\right)^{T}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}\left(-\frac{\boldsymbol{a}_{-1}}{a_{1}}\right)\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{-1} \end{split}$$

Completing squares in $\tilde{\beta}_{-1}$ and integrating it out, we're left with an exponential of the following expression in \tilde{y} :

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\widetilde{y}}{a_{1}} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}^{T} \mathbf{\Lambda} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\widetilde{y}}{a_{1}} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\widetilde{y}}{a_{1}} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}^{T} \mathbf{\Lambda} \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\mathbf{a}_{-1}}{a_{1}} \\ \mathbf{I} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\mathbf{a}_{-1}}{a_{1}} \\ \mathbf{I} \end{pmatrix}^{T} \mathbf{\Lambda} \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\mathbf{a}_{-1}}{a_{1}} \\ \mathbf{I} \end{pmatrix}^{T} \mathbf{\Lambda} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\widetilde{y}}{a_{1}} \\ \mathbf{I} \end{pmatrix}^{T} \mathbf{\Lambda} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\widetilde{y}}{a_{1}} \\ \mathbf{I} \end{pmatrix} =$$

$$= \frac{\widetilde{y}^{2}}{a_{1}^{2}} \lambda_{11} - \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\widetilde{y}}{a_{1}^{2}} \lambda_{11} \mathbf{a}_{-1} + \frac{\widetilde{y}}{a_{1}} \mathbf{\lambda}_{1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\mathbf{a}_{-1}}{a_{1}} \\ \mathbf{I} \end{pmatrix}^{T} \mathbf{\Lambda} \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\mathbf{a}_{-1}}{a_{1}} \\ \mathbf{I} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\widetilde{y}}{a_{1}^{2}} \lambda_{11} \mathbf{a}_{-1}^{T} + \frac{\widetilde{y}}{a_{1}} \mathbf{\lambda}_{1}^{T} \end{pmatrix} =$$

$$= \frac{\widetilde{y}^{2}}{a_{1}^{2}} \lambda_{11} - \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\widetilde{y}}{a_{1}^{2}} \lambda_{11} \mathbf{a}_{-1} + \frac{\widetilde{y}}{a_{1}} \mathbf{\lambda}_{1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{a_{1}^{2}} \mathbf{a}_{-1}^{T} \mathbf{a}_{-1} - \frac{1}{a_{1}} \mathbf{\lambda}_{-1}^{T} \mathbf{a}_{-1} - \frac{1}{a_{1}} \mathbf{a}_{-1}^{T} \mathbf{\lambda}_{-1} + \mathbf{\Lambda}_{-1} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\widetilde{y}}{a_{1}^{2}} \lambda_{11} \mathbf{a}_{-1}^{T} + \frac{\widetilde{y}}{a_{1}} \mathbf{\lambda}_{1}^{T} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{\widetilde{y}^{2}}{a_{1}^{2}} \lambda_{11} - \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\widetilde{y}}{a_{1}^{2}} \lambda_{11} \mathbf{a}_{-1} + \frac{\widetilde{y}}{a_{1}} \mathbf{\lambda}_{1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{a_{1}^{2}} \mathbf{a}_{-1}^{T} \mathbf{a}_{-1} - \frac{1}{a_{1}} \mathbf{\lambda}_{-1}^{T} \mathbf{a}_{-1} - \frac{1}{a_{1}} \mathbf{a}_{-1}^{T} \mathbf{\lambda}_{-1} + \mathbf{\Lambda}_{-1} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\widetilde{y}}{a_{1}^{2}} \lambda_{11} \mathbf{a}_{-1}^{T} + \frac{\widetilde{y}}{a_{1}^{2}} \lambda_{1}^{T} \end{pmatrix}$$

The next step is to recognize the above expression as the inverse of a matrix using two versions of the block-matrix inverse:

Lemma A.2. If matrices A and $D - CA^{-1}B$ are invertible then

$$(A.9) \quad \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} A^{-1} + A^{-1}B \left(D - CA^{-1}B \right)^{-1}CA^{-1} & -A^{-1}B \left(D - CA^{-1}B \right)^{-1} \\ - \left(D - CA^{-1}B \right)^{-1}CA^{-1} & \left(D - CA^{-1}B \right)^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

If matrices D and $A - BD^{-1}C$ are invertible then:

$$(A.10) \quad \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \left(A - BD^{-1}C\right)^{-1} & -\left(A - BD^{-1}C\right)^{-1}BD^{-1} \\ -D^{-1}C\left(A - BD^{-1}C\right)^{-1} & D^{-1} + D^{-1}C\left(A - BD^{-1}C\right)^{-1}BD^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

If matrices A and D are invertible then:

$$(A.11) \quad \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A - BD^{-1}C \end{pmatrix}^{-1} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \begin{pmatrix} D - CA^{-1}B \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I} & -BD^{-1} \\ -CA^{-1} & \mathbf{I} \end{pmatrix}$$

Applying the above to $A = \frac{a_1^2}{\lambda_{11}}$, $B = \boldsymbol{a}_{-1} - \frac{a_1}{\lambda_{11}} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_1$, $C = -B^T$, $D = \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{-1} - \frac{1}{\lambda_{11}} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_1^T \boldsymbol{\lambda}_1$ we see that the right hand side of A.8 can be rewritten further

$$(A.12) \qquad \widetilde{y}^{2} \left(\frac{a_{1}^{2}}{\lambda_{11}} + \left(-\boldsymbol{a}_{-1} + \frac{a_{1}}{\lambda_{11}} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{1} \right) \left(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{-1} - \frac{1}{\lambda_{11}} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{1}^{T} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{1} \right)^{-1} \left(-\boldsymbol{a}_{-1}^{T} + \frac{a_{1}}{\lambda_{11}} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{1}^{T} \right) \right)^{-1} =$$

$$= \widetilde{y}^{2} + \left(\boldsymbol{a} \left(\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\lambda_{11}} & \boldsymbol{0} \\ \boldsymbol{0} & \boldsymbol{0} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\lambda_{11}} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{1} \\ -\boldsymbol{I} \end{pmatrix} \left(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{-1} - \frac{1}{\lambda_{11}} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{1}^{T} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{1} \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{11}} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{1}^{T} - \boldsymbol{I} \right) \right) \boldsymbol{a}^{T} \right)^{-1}$$

It suffices to observe that the quadratic form in a in the expression above equals Σ . Indeed, this follows from the formula A.9 applied to $\Sigma = \Lambda^{-1}$.