

# FMFP

isabel.haas@inf.ethz.ch

June 16, 2022

## 1 Haskell

### 1.1 Basics

```
-- Basic function
-- Declaration, comparable to int add(int a, int b){} in Java
add :: Int -> Int -> Int
add a b = a + b -- Definition
-- function composition
f (g x) = f.g x
-- functions can also be arguments
filter :: (a->Bool) -> [a] -> [a] -- first arg: function taking a returning Bool
-- Pattern matching
fib :: Int -> Int
fib 0 = 0
fib 1 = 1
fib n = fib (n-1) + fib (n-2)
-- Guards
myAbs :: Int -> Int
myAbs x
  | x < 0 = -x
  | otherwise = x
-- where
f :: Int -> Int
f x = 1 + magic
  where magic = sqrt x
-- let in
-- let <def> in <expr> equal to <expr> where <def>
f :: Int -> Int
f x = (let magic = sqrt x in 1 + magic)
-- case expression (pattern matching)
case expression of pattern1 -> result1
```

```

                                pattern2 -> result2
div1byx :: Double -> Double
div1byx = case x of 0 -> 0.0
                  n -> 1/n

-- if else
if b then x else y -- returns either x or y
f x = if (prime x) then "PRIME" else "NOT"

```

## 1.2 Lists

```

[] -- empty list
x:xs -- first element is x, xs is rest of list
[a,b,c] -- syntactic sugar for a:b:c:[]
-- Basic pattern matching
-- [1..x]
[1..4] -- [1,2,3,4]
[1,3..10] -- [1,3,5,7,9]
[5, 4..1] -- [5,4,3,2,1]
[5..1] -- []
[1,2...] -- [1,2,...], used with lazy evaluation
-- List comprehensions
[f x | x <- list , guard_1, ..., guard_n]
[2*x | x <- [1..20], x `mod` 2 == 1] -- [2,6,10,..38]
[(l,r) | l <- "abc", r <- "xyz"] -- all combinations of characters in "abc" and "xyz"
-- Quick sort, very pretty
q (p:xs) = q [x | x <- xs, x <= p] ++ [p] ++ q [x | x <- xs, x > p]

```

## 1.3 Prelude functions

```

-- Basics
head [1,2,3] -- 1 :: Int
tail [1,2,3] -- [2,3] :: [Int]
last [1,2,3] -- 3 :: Int
init [1,2,3] -- [1,2] :: [Int]
length [1,2,3] -- 3 :: Int
take 3 [1,2,3,4,5] -- [1,2,3] :: [Int]
drop 3 [1,2,3,4,5] -- [4,5] :: [Int]
reverse [1,2,3] -- [3,2,1] :: [Int]
maximum [1,2,3] -- 3 :: Int
minimum [1,2,3] -- 1 :: Int
sum [1,2,3,4] -- 10 :: Int
product [1,2,3,4] -- 24 :: Int
4 `elem` [1,2,3] -- False

```

```

-- More interesting
zip :: [a] -> [b] -> [(a,b)]
zip [1, 2] ['a', 'b'] -- [(1, 'a'), (2, 'b')]
filter :: (a->Bool) -> [a] -> [a]
filter odd [1, 2, 3] -- [1,3]
map :: (a -> b) -> [a] -> [b]
map f [x1, x2, ..., xn] == [f x1, f x2, ..., f xn]
zipWith :: (a->b->c) -> [a] -> [b] -> [c]
zipWith f [x1,x2,x3..] [y1,y2,y3..] == [f x1 y1, f x2 y2, f x3 y3..]

```

## 1.4 Fold

```

-- right associative
foldr :: (a -> b -> b) -> b -> [a] -> b
foldr f z [] = z
foldr f z (x:xs) = f x (foldr f z xs)
foldr f z (a:b:c:[]) = f a (f b (f c (f z [])))
foldr (+) 0 [1..4] =
-- left associative
foldl :: (a -> b -> b) -> b -> [a] -> b
foldl f z [] = z
foldl f z xs = foldl f z . zoList
foldl f z (a:b:c:[]) = f (f a (f b)) c

```

## 2 Evaluation strategies

Haskell: Lazy Evaluation

- argument only evaluated when no other steps possible
- left term is evaluated first
- argument made to fit pattern

### 2.1 Lazy evaluation

#### 2.1.1 Sheet 1, Ex. 1

```

fibLouis :: Int -> Int
fibLouis 0 = 1
fibLouis 1 = 1
fibLouis n = fibLouis (n - 1) + fibLouis (n - 2)
fibEva :: Int -> Int
fibEva n = fst (aux n) where
    aux 0 = (0, 1)B

```

```

aux n = next (aux (n - 1))
next (a, b) = (b, a + b)

```

### Lazy Evaluation of fibLouis 4

```

fibLouis 4 =
fibLouis (4-1) + fibLouis (4-2) =
-- most left term is evaluated first
fibLouis 3 + fibLouis (4-2) =
(fibLouis (3-1) + fibLouis (3-2)) + fibLouis (4-2)
...
((fibLouis 1 + fibLouis (2-2)) + fibLouis (3-2)) + fibLouis (4-2) =
((1 + fibLouis (2-2)) + fibLouis (3-2)) + fibLouis (4-2) =
...
2 + fibLouis 2 =
2 + (fibLouis (2-1) + fibLouis (2-2))
... = 3

```

### Lazy Evaluation of fibEva 4

```

fibEva 4 =
fst (aux 4) =
fst (next (aux (4-1))) =
fst (next (aux 3)) =
fst (next (next (aux (3-1)))) =
fst (next (next (aux 2))) =
...
fst (next (next (next (next (0, 1))))) =
fst (next (next (next (1, 0+1)))) =
fst (next (next (0+1, 1+(0+1)))) =
fst (next (1+(0+1), (0+1)+(1+(0+1))))
...
fst ((0+1)+(1+(0+1)), (1+(0+1))+((0+1)+(1+(0+1)))) =
(0+1)+(1+(0+1)) =
-- pattern (0+1) is repeated
1 + (1 + 1) =
3

```

## 3 Natural Deduction

### 3.1 Paranthesizing formulas

- $\wedge$  binds stronger than  $\vee$  stronger than  $\rightarrow$
- $\rightarrow$  associates to right;  $\wedge$  and  $\vee$  to the left

- Negation extend to the right as far as possible: end of line or )
- Quantifiers extend to the right as far as possible: end of line or )

$$\begin{array}{ll}
p \vee q \wedge \neg r \rightarrow p \vee q & (p \vee (q \wedge (\neg r))) \rightarrow (p \vee q) \\
p \rightarrow q \vee p \rightarrow r & p \rightarrow ((q \vee p) \rightarrow r) \\
p \wedge \forall x.q(x) \vee r & p \wedge (\forall x.(q(x) \vee r)) \\
\neg \forall x.p(x) \wedge \forall x.q(x) \wedge r(x) \wedge s & \neg(\forall x.(p(x) \wedge (\forall x.(q(x) \wedge r(x)) \wedge s)))
\end{array}$$

## 3.2 Natural Deduction without quantifiers

If you cannot continue, try to add assumptions by using  $\vee E$

### 3.2.1 Example

**Exercise:**  $P (\neg A) \wedge (A \vee B) \rightarrow B$  is a tautology

First step: Paranthesizing  $\Rightarrow P \equiv ((\neg A) \wedge (A \vee B)) \rightarrow B$

Let  $\Gamma \equiv (\neg A) \wedge (A \vee B)$

$$\frac{\frac{\overline{\Gamma, A \vdash (\neg A) \wedge (A \vee B)}}{\Gamma \vdash A \vee B}^{ax} \wedge ER \quad \frac{\frac{\overline{\Gamma, A \vdash A}}{\Gamma, A \vdash A}^{ax} \quad \frac{\overline{\Gamma, A \vdash (\neg A) \wedge (A \vee B)}}{\Gamma, A \vdash \neg A}^{ax} \wedge EL}{\Gamma, A \vdash B} \neg E \quad \frac{\overline{\Gamma, B \vdash B}}{\Gamma, B \vdash B}^{ax} \vee E}{\frac{\Gamma \vdash B}{\vdash (\neg A) \wedge (A \vee B)} \rightarrow I}$$

## 3.3 Natural Deduction with quantifiers

If you cannot continue, try to add assumptions by using  $\exists E$

Always check side conditions and write it down

### 3.3.1 Sheet 2, Ex. 3b

**Exercise:** Proof  $(\exists x.P \wedge Q) \rightarrow ((\exists x.P) \vee (\exists x.Q))$

Let  $\Gamma \equiv \exists x.P \wedge Q, P \wedge Q$

$$\frac{\frac{\overline{(\exists x.P \wedge Q) \vdash (\exists x.P \wedge Q)}}{(\exists x.P \wedge Q) \vdash (\exists x.P \wedge Q)}^{ax} \quad \frac{\frac{\overline{\Gamma \vdash P \wedge Q}}{\Gamma \vdash P}^{ax} \wedge EL \quad \frac{\overline{\Gamma \vdash P \wedge Q}}{\Gamma \vdash Q}^{ax} \wedge ER}{\frac{\overline{\Gamma \vdash P \wedge Q}}{\Gamma \vdash \exists x.P} \exists I \quad \frac{\overline{\Gamma \vdash P \wedge Q}}{\Gamma \vdash \exists x.Q} \exists I} \wedge I}{\frac{(\exists x.P \wedge Q) \vdash (\exists x.P \wedge Q)}{(\exists x.P \wedge Q) \vdash (\exists x.P) \vee (\exists x.Q)} \exists E^{**}} \rightarrow I$$

\*\* side condition OK: x not free in  $\exists x.P \wedge Q$  nor  $(\exists x.P) \vee (\exists x.Q)$

## 4 Binding and $\alpha$ -conversion

**Bound:** Each occurrence of a variable is bound or free: A variable occurrence  $x$  in a formula  $A$  is **bound** if  $x$  occurs within a subformula  $B$  of  $A$  of the form  $\exists x.B$  or  $\forall x.B$ .

**Alpha-conversion:** bound variables can be renamed

**Examples**

|  |  | $\alpha$ -convertible |
|--|--|-----------------------|
| $\forall x.\exists y.p(x, y)$            | $\forall y.\exists x.p(y, x)$            | yes                   |
| $\exists z.\forall y.p(z, f(y))$         | $\exists y.\forall y.p(y, f(y))$         | no                    |
| $(\forall x.p(x)) \vee (\exists x.q(x))$ | $(\forall z.p(z)) \vee (\exists y.q(y))$ | yes                   |
| $p(x) \rightarrow \forall x.p(x)$        | $p(y) \rightarrow \forall y.p(y)$        | no                    |

## 5 Induction

### 5.1 Induction for natural numbers

Induction scheme:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash P[n \mapsto 0] \quad \Gamma \vdash \forall m : \text{Nat}. P[n \mapsto m] \rightarrow P[n \mapsto m + 1]}{\Gamma \vdash \forall n : \text{Nat}. P} \quad m \text{ not free in } P$$

#### 5.1.1 Sheet 3, Ex. 1b

(Important parts/"framework" of proof)

**Lemma:**  $\forall n. : \text{Nat} \text{ aux } n = (\text{fibLouis } n, \text{fibLouis } (n+1))$

*Proof.* **Let**  $P := (\text{aux } n = (\text{fibLouis } n, \text{fibLouis } (n+1)))$

**Base case.** **Show**  $P[n \mapsto 0]$

```
aux 0 = ...
      = (fibLouis 0, fibLouis (0+1)) -- arithmetic
```

**Step case.** **Let**  $m : \text{Nat}$  be arbitrary.

**Show** that  $P[n \mapsto m]$  implies  $P[n \mapsto m+1]$ .

**Assume**  $\text{aux } m = (\text{fibLouis } m, \text{fibLouis } (m+1))$

```
aux (m+1) = ...
           = (fibLouis (m+1), fibLouis ((m+1)+1)) -- arithmetic
```

□

### 5.2 Induction over lists

For proofs with  $[]$  or  $0$ , you may first have to proof a generalised statement with induction and then simply plug in your values.

### 5.2.1 Sheet 3, Ex. 2b

**Lemma:**  $\text{foldr } (:) [] \text{ xs} = \text{xs}$

*Proof.* Let  $P := (\text{foldr } (:) [] \text{ xs} = \text{xs})$ .

We prove by induction over lists that  $\forall \text{xs} :: [a]. P$  holds.

**Base case.** Show  $P[\text{xs} \mapsto []]$

$\text{foldr } (:) [] [] = [] \text{ -- foldr.1}$

**Step case.** Let  $y :: a$   $\text{ys} :: [a]$  be arbitrary.

Show that  $P[\text{xs} \mapsto \text{ys}]$  implies  $P[\text{xs} \mapsto (y:\text{ys})]$

Assume  $\text{foldr } (:) [] \text{ ys} = \text{ys}$  and we show that  $\text{foldr } (:) [] (y:\text{ys}) = y:\text{ys}$

$\begin{aligned} \text{foldr } (:) [] (y:\text{ys}) &= \\ &= \dots \\ &= (y:\text{ys}) \end{aligned}$

□

### 5.2.2 Sheet 4, Ex. 1

**Lemma:**  $\text{rev } (\text{xs} ++ \text{rev } \text{ys}) = \text{ys} ++ \text{rev } \text{xs}$

*Proof.* Let  $P' := \text{rev } (\text{xs} ++ \text{rev } \text{ys}') = \text{ys}' ++ \text{rev } \text{xs}$ . We show that  $\forall \text{ys}'. \forall \text{xs}..$

Fix an arbitrary  $\text{ys}$  and let  $P := [\text{ys}' \mapsto \text{ys}]$ . We show that  $\forall \text{xs} P$ .

(This implies  $\forall \text{ys}'. \forall \text{xs}.. P$ )

**Base case:** We show  $P[\text{xs} \mapsto []]$

$\begin{aligned} \text{rev } ([] ++ \text{rev } \text{ys}) &= \dots \\ &= \text{ys} ++ \text{rev } [] \end{aligned}$

**Step case:** We need to show  $\forall z, \text{zs} P[\text{xs} \mapsto \text{zs}] \rightarrow P[\text{xs} \mapsto (z:\text{zs})]$ .

Fix arbitrary  $y :: a$ ,  $\text{ys} :: [a]$ .

We assume IH:  $\text{rev } (\text{zs} ++ \text{rev } \text{ys}) = \text{ys} ++ \text{rev } \text{zs}$

and show that  $\text{rev } ((z:\text{zs}) ++ \text{rev } \text{ys}) = \text{ys} ++ \text{rev } (z:\text{zs})$

$\begin{aligned} \text{rev } ((z:\text{zs}) ++ \text{rev } \text{ys}) &= \\ &= \dots \\ &= \text{ys} ++ \text{rev } (z:\text{zs}) \end{aligned}$

□

## 6 Types and typing inference

$f :: a \rightarrow b \rightarrow c \rightarrow d$ :

- same as  $f :: a \rightarrow (b \rightarrow (c \rightarrow d))$  (parentheses are right associative)
- $f \ x \ y \ z$  implies  $x :: a, y :: b, z :: c$
- f.e.  $f \ x :: b \rightarrow c \rightarrow d$

## 6.1 Types

- Detect function applications, f.e.  $f\ x \Rightarrow f :: a \rightarrow b, f :: x$
- Detect prelude functions such as map, filter, foldr etc.
- "Match" types of different function, f.e.  $f :: (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow [a] \rightarrow b$  for  $f\ x \Rightarrow x :: (a \rightarrow b)$
- Don't forget things like Num a, Eq b => ...

### 6.1.1 Sheet 5

1a  $\backslash x\ y\ z \rightarrow (x\ y)\ z$

1. Three arguments, one return value
2.  $(x\ y) :: a \rightarrow b$  and  $z :: a$
3.  $x :: c \rightarrow (a \rightarrow b)$  and  $y :: c$
4.  $\backslash x\ y\ z \rightarrow (x\ y)\ z :: (c \rightarrow a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow c \rightarrow a \rightarrow b$

2a.4  $(.) . (.)$  (the endboss)

1.  $(.) :: (b \rightarrow c) \rightarrow (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow a \rightarrow c$
2. Rewrite:  $(.) . (.) = . (.) (.) = f\ g\ h$
3. Definition of  $(.)$ :  
 $f :: (b \rightarrow c) \rightarrow ((a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow a \rightarrow c)$   
 $g :: (n \rightarrow o) \rightarrow ((m \rightarrow n) \rightarrow m \rightarrow o)$   
 $h :: (q \rightarrow r) \rightarrow ((p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p \rightarrow r)$
4.  $g$  is first argument of  $f$ :  
 $g :: b \rightarrow c$   
 $\Rightarrow b = n \rightarrow o$  (I) and  $c = (m \rightarrow n) \rightarrow m \rightarrow o$  (II)
5.  $h$  is first argument of  $f\ g$ :  
 $f\ g :: (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow a \rightarrow c$   
 $\Rightarrow h :: a \rightarrow b$   
 $\Rightarrow a = q \rightarrow r$  (III)  
 $(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p \rightarrow r$  (IV)
6. (I) and (IV)  $\Rightarrow n = p \rightarrow q$  (V) and  $o = p \rightarrow r$
7. After "taking" two arguments, we have the following type  
 $f\ g\ h :: a \rightarrow c$   
 $= (q \rightarrow r) \rightarrow (m \rightarrow n) \rightarrow m \rightarrow o$   
 $= (q \rightarrow r) \rightarrow (m \rightarrow p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow m \rightarrow p \rightarrow r$