FMFP

Isabel Haas

June 2022

Abstract

This document should give an overview over the types of exercises in the FMFP course and how to solve them. It also contains parts of theory and an overview of Haskell. Main sources are the course material and material provided by the course TA Max Schlegel on

https://n.ethz.ch/mschlegel/fmfp22/fmfp.html,

Contents

Function	nal Pr	ogramming	1
1	Haskel	1	1
	1.1	Basics	1
	1.2	Lists	2
	1.3	Prelude functions	2
	1.4	Algebraic data types	3
2	Evalua	tion strategies	5
	2.1	Lazy evaluation in Haskell	5
3	Natura	d Deduction	6
	3.1	Parenthesizing formulas	6
	3.2	Natural Deduction without quantifiers	7
	3.3	Natural Deduction with quantifiers	7
4	Bindin	g and α -conversion	7
5	Inducti	ion	8
	5.1	Induction on natural numbers	8
	5.2	Induction on lists	8
	5.3	Induction on Trees	9
6	Types	and typing inference	10
	6.1	Types	10
	6.2	Typing proof and Inference	11
7	TODO		12
Formal	Metho	ods	13
1	section		13

Functional Programming

1 Haskell

1.1 Basics

```
-- Basic function
-- Declaration, comparable to int add(int a, int b){} in Java
add :: Int -> Int -> Int
add ab = a + b -- Definition
-- function composition
f(g x) = f.g x
-- functions can also be arguments
filter :: (a->Bool) -> [a] -> [a] -- first arg: function taking a returning Bool
-- Pattern matching
fib :: Int -> Int
fib 0 = 0
fib 1 = 1
fib n = fib (n-1) + fib (n-2)
-- Guards
myAbs :: Int -> Int
myAbs x
   | x < 0 = -x
    | otherwise = x
-- where
f :: Int -> Int
f x = 1 + magic
    where magic = sqrt x
-- let <def> in <expr> equal to <expr> where <def>
f :: Int -> Int
f x = (let magic = sqrt x in 1 + magic)
-- case expression (pattern matching)
case expression of pattern1 -> result1
                   pattern2 -> result2
div1byx :: Double -> Double
```

1.2 Lists

```
[] -- empty list
x:xs -- first element is x, xs is rest of list
[a,b,c] -- syntactic sugar for a:b:c:[]
-- Basic pattern matching
f = 0
f(x:xs) = 2 + f xs
-- [1..x]
[1..4] -- [1,2,3,4]
[1,3..10] -- [1,3,5,7,9]
[5, 4..1] -- [5,4,3,2,1]
[5..1] -- []
[1,2...] -- [1,2,...], used with lazy evaluation
-- List comprehensions
[f x \mid x \leftarrow list, guard_1, ..., guard_n]
[2*x \mid x \leftarrow [1..20], x \mod 2 == 1] -- [2,6,10,..38]
[(1,r) \mid 1 \leftarrow \text{"abc"}, r \leftarrow \text{"xyz"}] \leftarrow all comb. of characters in "abc" & "xyz"
-- Quick sort, very pretty
q(p:xs) = q[x \mid x \leftarrow xs, x \leftarrow p] + [p] + q[x \mid x \leftarrow xs, x > p]
```

1.3 Prelude functions

```
-- Basics
head [1,2,3] -- 1 :: Int
tail [1,2,3] -- [2,3] :: [Int]
last [1,2,3] -- 3 :: Int
init [1,2,3] -- [1,2] :: [Int]
length [1,2,3] -- 3 :: Int
take 3 [1,2,3,4,5] -- [1,2,3] :: [Int]
drop 3 [1,2,3,4,5] -- [4,5] :: [Int]
reverse [1,2,3] -- [3,2,1] :: [Int]
maximum [1,2,3] -- 1 :: Int
minimum [1,2,3] -- 3 :: Int
sum [1,2,3,4] -- 10 :: Int
product [1,2,3,4] -- 24 :: Int
4 `elem` [1,2,3] -- False
```

```
-- More interesting
zip :: [a] -> [b] -> [(a,b)]
zip [1, 2] ['a', 'b'] == [(1, 'a'), (2, 'b')]
filter :: (a->Bool) -> [a] -> [a]
filter odd [1, 2, 3] -- [1,3]
map :: (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow [a] \rightarrow [b]
map f [x1, x2, ..., xn] == [f x1, f x2, ..., f xn]
zipWith :: (a->b->c) -> [a] -> [b] -> [c]
zipWith f [x1,x2,x3..] [y1,y2,y3..] == [f x1 y1, f x2 y2, f x3 y3..]
-- right associative
foldr :: (a -> b -> b) -> b -> [a] -> b
foldr f z [] = z
foldr f z (x:xs) = f x (foldr f z xs)
foldr f z (a:b:c:[]) = f a (f b (f c (f z [])))
foldr (+) 0 [1..4] =
-- left associative
fold1 :: (a -> b -> b) -> b -> [a] -> b
foldr f z [] = z
foldl f z xs = foldl f z . zoList
foldl f z (a:b:c:[]) = f (f a (f b)) c
-- returns longest prefix of elements satisfying p and corresponding remainder of li
span :: (a -> Bool) -> [a] -> ([a], [a]) -- span p xs
span (< 3) [1,2,3,4,1,2,3,4] -- ([1,2], [3,4,1,2,3,4])
curry :: ((a,b)->c) -> a -> b -> c
curry f a b = f (a,b)
uncurry :: (a->b->c) -> (a,b) -> c
uncurry f(x,y) == f a b
```

1.4 Algebraic data types

Define new types

```
-- Structure: on the right side are value constructors
-- data type can have one of those different values
data keyword = constr1 | constr2 | ... | constrn
-- Option can be simple types
data Bool = False | True
-- New value constructors can be defined
-- Circle takes three floats as fields, rectangle 4
data Shape = Circle Float Float Float | Rectangle Float Float Float
-- ghci> :t Circle
Circle :: Float -> Float -> Shape
-- functions for data types
```

```
surface :: Shape -> Float
surface (Circle _ _ r) = pi * r ^ 2
surface (Rectangle x1 y1 x2 y2) = (abs $x2 - x1) * (abs $y2 - y1)
-- has argument of type a or b
data myType a b = myConstr a | myOtherConstructor b
-- definitions can be recursive
data myList a = Empty | Cons a (MyList a)
-- tree
data Tree t = Leaf | Node t (Tree t) (Tree t)
-- deriving keyword
-- typeclasses like Eq, Ord, Enum, Bounded, Show, Read can function as "interfaces"
-- Example: == and /= and can now be used to compare values
data Vector = Vector Int Int Int deriving (Eq., Show)
-- instance keyword
data TrafficLight = Red | Yellow | Green
instance Eq TrafficLight where
    Red == Red = True
    Green == Green = True
    Yellow == Yellow = True
    _ == _ = False
instance Show TrafficLight where
    show Red = "Red light"
    show Yellow = "Yellow light"
    show Green = "Green light"
-- fold for data types
-- data type:
data DType = C1 ... | C2 ... | ... | CN ...
-- fold
foldDType :: foldC1 -> foldC2 -> ... -> foldCN -> DType -> b
-- example
data Prop a = Var a | Not (Prop a) | And (Prop a) (Prop a) | Or (Prop a) (Prop a)
foldProp :: (a->b) -> (b->b) -> (b->b->b) -> (b->b->b) -> (Prop a) -> b
foldProp fVar fNot fAnd fOr prop = go prop
    where
        go(Var v) = fVar v
        go(Not v) = fNot (go v)
        go (And v w) = fAnd (go v) (go w)
        go (Or v w) = fOr (go v) (go w)
```

2 Evaluation strategies

Lazy evaluation strategy of application t1 t2

- 1. Evaluate t1
- 2. The argument t2 is substituted in t1 without being evaluated
- 3. No evaluation inside lambda abstractions. In other words, in an abstraction $\backslash \dots$ -> f t, then f t is not evaluated

Eager evaluation strategy of application t1 t2

- 1. Evaluate t1
- 2. t2 is evaluated prior to substitution in t1
- 3. Evaluation is carried out inside lambda abstractions

2.1 Lazy evaluation in Haskell

Haskell: Lazy Evaluation

- argument only evaluated when no other steps possible
- left term is evaluated first
- argument made to fit pattern

Sheet 1, Ex. 1

```
fibLouis :: Int -> Int
fibLouis 0 = 1
fibLouis 1 = 1
fibLouis n = fibLouis (n - 1) + fibLouis (n - 2)
fibEva :: Int -> Int
fibEva n = fst (aux n) where
   aux 0 = (0, 1)B
   aux n = next (aux (n - 1))
   next (a, b) = (b, a + b)
```

Lazy Evaluation of fibLouis 4

```
fibLouis 4 =
fibLouis (4-1) + fibLouis (4-2) =
-- most left term is evaluated first
fibLouis 3 + fibLouis (4-2) =
(fibLouis (3-1) + fibLouis (3-2)) + fibLouis (4-2)
```

```
((fibLouis 1 + fibLouis (2-2)) + fibLouis (3-2)) + fibLouis (4-2) =
((1 + fibLouis (2-2)) + fibLouis (3-2)) + fibLouis (4-2) =
2 + fibLouis 2 =
2 + (fibLouis (2-1) + fibLouis (2-2))
... = 3
Lazy Evaluation of fibEva 4
fibEva 4 =
fst (aux 4) =
fst (next (aux (4-1))) =
fst (next (aux 3)) =
fst (next (next (aux (3-1)))) =
fst (next (next (aux 2))) =
fst (next (next (next (next (0, 1))))) =
fst (next (next (next (1, 0+1)))) =
fst (next (next (0+1, 1+(0+1)))) =
fst (next (1+(0+1), (0+1)+(1+(0+1))))
fst ((0+1)+(1+(0+1)), (1+(0+1))+((0+1)+(1+(0+1)))) =
(0+1)+(1+(0+1)) =
-- pattern (0+1) is repeated
1 + (1 + 1) =
```

3 Natural Deduction

3.1 Parenthesizing formulas

- \land binds stronger than \lor stronger than \rightarrow
- \rightarrow associates to right; \land and \lor to the left
- Negation binds stronger than binary operators
- Quantifiers extend to the right as far as possible: end of line or)

```
\begin{array}{ll} p \vee q \wedge \neg r \rightarrow p \vee q & (p \vee (q \wedge (\neg r))) \rightarrow (p \vee q) \\ p \rightarrow q \vee p \rightarrow r & p \rightarrow ((q \vee p) \rightarrow r) \\ p \wedge \forall x. q(x) \vee r & p \wedge (\forall x. (q(x) \vee r)) \\ \neg \forall x. p(x) \wedge \forall x. q(x) \wedge r(x) \wedge s & \neg (\forall x. (p(x) \wedge (\forall x. ((q(x) \wedge r(x)) \wedge s)))) \end{array}
```

3.2 Natural Deduction without quantifiers

If you cannot continue, try to add assumptions by using $\vee E$

Example

Exercise: $P(\neg A) \land (A \lor B) \to B$ is a tautology First step: Parenthesizing $\Rightarrow P \equiv ((\neg A) \land (A \lor B)) \to B$ Let $\Gamma \equiv (\neg A) \land (A \lor B)$

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma,A\vdash (\neg A)\land (A\lor B)}{\Gamma,A\vdash (\neg A)\land (A\lor B)}ax}{\frac{\Gamma\vdash A\lor B}{\Gamma\vdash A\lor B}\land ER} \xrightarrow{\frac{\Gamma,A\vdash A}{\Gamma,A\vdash B}ax} \frac{\frac{\Gamma,A\vdash (\neg A)\land (A\lor B)}{\Gamma,A\vdash \neg A}\land E}{\Gamma\vdash (\neg A)\land (A\lor B)} \xrightarrow{} \land EL} \frac{\Gamma\vdash B}{\vdash (\neg A)\land (A\lor B)} \rightarrow I$$

3.3 Natural Deduction with quantifiers

If you cannot continue, try to add assumptions by using $\exists E$ Always check side conditions

Sheet 2, Ex. 3b

Exercise: Proof $(\exists x.P \land Q) \rightarrow ((\exists x.P) \lor (\exists x.Q))$ Let $\Gamma \equiv \exists x.P \land Q, P \land Q$

$$\frac{\overline{\Gamma \vdash P \land Q} \stackrel{ax}{\land} EL}{\underline{\Gamma \vdash P \land Q} \stackrel{Ax}{\land} EL} \quad \frac{\overline{\Gamma \vdash P \land Q} \stackrel{ax}{\land} ER}{\underline{\Gamma \vdash Q} \stackrel{A}{\land} EL} \quad \frac{\overline{\Gamma \vdash P \land Q}}{\Gamma \vdash \exists x. Q} \stackrel{\exists I}{\exists I}$$

$$\frac{(\exists x. P \land Q) \vdash (\exists x. P \land Q) \vdash (\exists x. P) \lor (\exists x. P) \lor (\exists x. Q)}{\Gamma \vdash (\exists x. P \land Q) \vdash (\exists x. P) \lor (\exists x. Q)} \stackrel{\exists E^{**}}{\Rightarrow I}$$

4 Binding and α -conversion

Bound: Each occurrence of a variable is bound or free: A variable occurrence x in a formula A is **bound** if x occurs within a sub formula B of A of the form $\exists x.B$ or $\forall x.B$. **Alpha-conversion**: bound variables can be renamed

^{**} side condition OK: x not free in $\exists x.P \land Q$ nor $(\exists x.P) \lor (\exists x.Q)$

Examples

5 Induction

For proofs with [], 0. Leaf or similar, you may first have to proof a generalised statement with induction and then simply plug in your values.

5.1 Induction on natural numbers

Induction scheme:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash P[n \mapsto 0] \qquad \Gamma \vdash \forall m : Nat. P[n \mapsto m] \to P[n \mapsto m+1]}{\Gamma \vdash \forall n : Nat. P} \text{ m not free in P}$$

Sheet 3, Ex. 1b

5.2 Induction on lists

```
Sheet 3, Ex. 2b
```

```
(Important parts/"framework" of proof)
Lemma: foldr (:) [] xs = xs
```

```
Proof. LetP:= (foldr (:) [] xs = xs).
We prove by induction over lists that \forall xs :: [a]. P holds.
Base case. Show P[xs \mapsto []]
    foldr (:) [] = []
Step case. Let y::a ys::[a] be arbitrary.
Show that P[xs \mapsto ys] implies P[xs \mapsto (y:ys)]
Assume foldr (:) [] ys = ys and we show that foldr (:) [] (y:ys) = y:ys
    foldr (:) [] (y:ys) =
        = ...
         = (y:ys)
                                                                               Sheet 4, Ex. 1
(Important parts/"framework" of proof)
Lemma: rev (xs ++ rev ys) = ys ++ rev xs
Proof. Let P' := rev (xs ++ rev ys') = ys' ++ rev xs. We show that \forall ys'.\forall
xs..
Fix an arbitrary ys and let P := [ys' \mapsto ys]. We show that \forall xs \ P.
(This implies ∀ys'.∀xs.P')
Base case: We show P[xs \mapsto []]
    rev ([] ++ rev ys) = ...
         = ys ++ rev []
Step case: We need to show \forall z, zs P[xs \mapsto zs] \rightarrow P[xs \mapsto (z:zs)].
Fix arbitrary y::a, ys::[a].
We assume IH: rev (zs ++ rev ys) = ys ++ rev zs
and show that rev ((z:zs) ++ rev ys) = ys ++ rev (z:zs)
    rev ((z:zs) ++ rev ys)
         = ys ++ rev (z:zs)
```

5.3 Induction on Trees

Sheet 6, Ex. 1

(Important parts/"framework" of proof)

```
data Tree t = Leaf | Node t (Tree t) (Tree t)
mapTree f Leaf = Leaf
mapTree f (Node x t1 t2) = Node (f x) (mapTree f t1) (mapTree f t2)

For arbitrary f :: a → b and g :: b → c
∀t :: Tree a. mapTree g (mapTree f t) = mapTree (g . f) t

Proof. Let f :: a → b and g :: b → c be arbitrary functions.

Let P := mapTree g (mapTree f t) = mapTree (g . f) t, and we prove by induction that ∀t :: (Tree a).P Base Case: Show P[t → Leaf]

mapTree g (mapTree f Leaf) = ..

= mapTree (g . f) Leaf

Step case: Let x::a, 1::Tree a, r::Tree a be arbitrary.

Assume P[t → 1] and P[t → r]. (IH)

We know show that then P[t → Node x 1 r] holds

mapTree g (mapTree f (Node x 1 r)) = ..

= mapTree (g . f) (Node x 1 r)
```

6 Types and typing inference

```
f :: a -> b -> c -> d:
```

- same as f :: a -> (b -> (c -> d)) (parentheses are right associative)
- f x y z implies x::a, y::b, z::c
- f.e. f x :: b -> c -> d

6.1 Types

- Detect function applications, f.e. $f x \Rightarrow f::a->b$, x::a
- Detect prelude functions such as map, filter, foldr etc.
- "Match" types of different function, f.e. f :: (a->b) -> [a] -> b for $f x \Rightarrow x :: (a->b)$
- Don't forget things like Num a, Eq b => ...

Sheet 5

$$1a \setminus x y z \rightarrow (x y) z$$

- 1. Three arguments, one return value
- 2. (x y) :: a -> b and z :: a
- 3. $x :: c \rightarrow (a \rightarrow b) \text{ and } y :: c$
- 4. $\xyz \rightarrow (xy)z :: (c \rightarrow a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow c \rightarrow a \rightarrow b$

2a.4 (.).(.) (the end boss)

- 1. (.) :: $(b\rightarrow c) \rightarrow (a\rightarrow b) \rightarrow a \rightarrow c$
- 2. Rewrite: (.).(.) = .(.)(.) = f g h
- 3. Definition of (.):

$$f :: (b->c) -> ((a->b) -> a -> c)$$

$$g :: (n->0) -> ((m->n) -> m -> 0)$$

$$h :: (q->r) -> ((p->q) -> p -> r)$$

4. g is first argument of f:

$$\Rightarrow$$
 b = n -> o (I) and c = (m->n) -> m -> o (II)

5. h is first argument of f g:

$$f g :: (a->b) -> a -> c$$

$$\Rightarrow$$
 a = q -> r (III)

$$(p->q) -> p -> r (IV)$$

- 6. (I) and (IV) \Rightarrow n = p -> q (V) and o = p -> r
- 7. After "taking" two arguments, we have the following type

$$= (q->r) -> (m->n) -> m -> o$$

$$= (q->r) -> (m->p->q) -> m -> p -> r$$

6.2 Typing proof and Inference

Solving type inference constraints

- 1. Remove trivial equations like t = t
- 2. Transform equations of form $\{f(s_0,...,s_k)=g(t_0,...,s_m)\}$ into $\{s_0=t_0,...,s_k=t_k\}$ if f=g and k=m, else there is no solution
- 3. Substitute one equation into the others

Sheet 5, Ex. 3

a Proof λx . (x 1 True, x 0) :: (Int -> Bool -> a) -> (a, Bool -> a): Try to match left and right side with typing rule and apply it, should be straight forward b Infer the type of $(\lambda x. \lambda y. (y \text{ (iszero (y x)))})$ True

$$\frac{\overline{x:\tau_{1},y:\tau_{2}\vdash y::\tau_{4}\rightarrow\tau_{3}}\ Var^{1}}{\underline{x:\tau_{1},y:\tau_{2}\vdash y\ (\mathbf{iszero}\ (y\ x))::\tau_{3}}}\ App} \\ \frac{\overline{x:\tau_{1},y:\tau_{2}\vdash y\ (\mathbf{iszero}\ (y\ x))::\tau_{3}}\ Abs^{1}}{\underline{x:\tau_{1}\vdash \lambda y.(y\ (\mathbf{iszero}\ (y\ x)))::\tau_{1}\rightarrow\tau_{0}}}\ Abs^{1}} \\ \underline{\vdash \lambda x.\lambda y.(y\ (\mathbf{iszero}\ (y\ x)))::\tau_{1}\rightarrow\tau_{0}}\ Abs} \\ \vdash (\lambda x.\lambda y.(y\ (\mathbf{iszero}\ (y\ x))))\ True::\tau_{0}}\ App}$$

 T_2 :

$$\frac{ \overline{x : \tau_1, y : \tau_2 \vdash y :: \tau_5 \rightarrow Int} \ Var^2 \quad \overline{x : \tau_1, y : \tau_2 \vdash x :: \tau_5}}{x : \tau_1, y : \tau_2 \vdash y x :: Int} \underbrace{App}^{Var^3} \\ \overline{x : \tau_1, y : \tau_2 \vdash \mathbf{iszero} \ (y \ x) :: \tau_4} \ iszero^1$$

Finding out τ_0 :

d Infer type of iszero(fst (3+5))

Collected type constraints: $\tau_0 = Bool$ from iszero, $(Int = (Int, \tau_1))$ from BinOp, second constraint does not unify, meaning this doesn't type

7 TODO

Regular expressions/Parser stuff Monads

Formal Methods

1 section