QFT - Lecture 7

9.9.2024

Contents

1	Lorentz Transformations	3
2	Spinor Representation	3
	2.1 Example Rotations	4
	2.2 Example Boosts	5

1 Lorentz Transformations

$$x^{\mu} \mapsto x'^{\mu} = \Lambda^{\mu}_{\nu} x^{\nu} \tag{1}$$

$$x' = \Lambda x \tag{2}$$

Scalar Field:

$$\varphi'(x) = \varphi(\Lambda^{-1}x) \tag{3}$$

Vector field:

$$A^{\prime\mu}(x) = \Lambda^{\mu}_{\nu} A^{\nu} (\Lambda^{-1} x) \tag{4}$$

$$\Lambda = e^{\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\rho\sigma}M^{\rho\sigma}} \tag{5}$$

$$S[\Lambda] = e^{\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\rho\sigma}S^{\rho\sigma}}(Notation from Tom's Lecture notes)$$
 (6)

Here, Ω is a set of coefficients, and S,M are bases.

$$[M^{\rho\sigma}M^{\tau\nu}] = g^{\sigma\tau}M^{\rho\nu} - g^{\rho\tau}M^{\sigma\nu} + g^{\rho\nu}M^{\sigma\tau} - g^{\sigma\nu}M^{\rho\tau}$$
 (7)

$$[S^{\rho\sigma}, S^{\tau\nu}] = \text{same as before just with S.}$$
 (8)

The Dirac Spinor:

$$\psi^{\prime \alpha} = S[\Lambda]^{\alpha}_{\beta} \psi(\Lambda^{-1} x) \tag{9}$$

 $S[\Lambda]$ must be a representation of the Lorentz Group.

$$\Lambda = \Lambda_2 \Lambda_1 \tag{10}$$

$$\underbrace{S[\Lambda_2]S[\Lambda_1]}_{S[\Lambda]}\psi\tag{11}$$

Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff Forumla:

$$e^X e^Y = e^Z \tag{12}$$

$$Z = X + Y + \frac{1}{2}[X, Y] + \frac{1}{12} \cdots$$
 (13)

If we know the commutator of a Group, we can construct the whole Group. We will work on finding a representation for $S[\Lambda]$.

2 Spinor Representation

Clifford Algebra

- 4 matrices γ^{μ}
- $\{\gamma^{\mu}, \gamma^{\nu}\} = 2q^{\mu}\nu I$.
- $(\gamma^0)^2 = 1, (\gamma^i)^2 = -1$

Chiral representation

$$\gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^i \\ -\sigma^i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with the Pauli matrices

$$\sigma^1 = 1, 0, 0, 1 \quad \sigma^2 = 0, -i, i, 0 \quad \sigma^3 = 1, 0, 0, -1$$
 (14)

anti- and commutator

$$\{\sigma^i, \sigma^j\} = 2\delta^{ij}, \quad [\sigma^i, \sigma^j] = 2i\epsilon^{ijk}\sigma^k$$
 (15)

$$\sigma^i \sigma^j = \delta^{ij} + i \epsilon^{ijk} \sigma^k \tag{16}$$

$$S^{\rho\sigma} = \frac{1}{4} [\gamma^{\rho}, \gamma^{\sigma}] = (\frac{1}{2} \gamma^{\rho} \gamma^{\sigma} - g^{\rho\sigma})$$
 (17)

$$[S^{\mu\nu},\gamma^{\rho}] =_{\mu\neq\nu} \frac{1}{2} [\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\nu},\gamma^{\rho}] = \frac{1}{2} (\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\nu}\gamma^{\rho} - \gamma^{\rho}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\nu})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} (\gamma^{\mu} \{ \gamma^{\nu}, \gamma^{\rho} \} - \gamma^{\nu} \gamma^{\rho} \gamma^{\nu} - \{ \gamma^{\rho}, \gamma^{\mu} \} \gamma^{\nu} + \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{\rho} \gamma^{\nu}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} (\gamma^{\mu} 2g^{\mu\rho} - 2g^{\rho\mu} \gamma^{\nu}) = \gamma^{\nu} g^{\mu\rho} - g^{\rho\mu} \gamma^{\mu}$$

$$[S^{\rho\sigma}, S^{\tau\nu}] = \dots = g^{\sigma\tau} S^{\rho\nu} - g^{\rho\tau} S^{\sigma\nu} + S^{\rho\nu} S^{\sigma\tau} - g^{\sigma\nu} S^{\rho\tau}$$
(19)

Is $S[\Lambda] \neq \Lambda$?

2.1 Example Rotations

Let $\Omega_{0\nu} = \Omega \mu 0 = 0$ for all μ, ν .

$$S^{ij} =_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{2} \gamma^i \gamma^j = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^i \\ -\sigma^i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^j \\ -\sigma^j & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
 (20)

$$= \frac{-1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^{i} \sigma^{j} & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^{i} \sigma^{j} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{i}{2} \epsilon^{ijk} \sigma^{k} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{i}{2} \epsilon^{ijk} \sigma^{k} \end{pmatrix} \Omega_{ij} = \epsilon_{ijk} \varphi^{k}$$

$$S[\Lambda] = e^{\frac{1}{2} \Omega_{ij} S^{ij}} = exp \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{i}{2} \Omega_{ij} \epsilon^{ijk} \sigma^{k} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{i}{2} \Sigma_{ij} \epsilon^{ijk} \sigma^{k} \end{pmatrix}$$
(21)

$$S[\Lambda] = e^{\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{ij}S^{ij}} = exp\left(\begin{matrix} -\frac{i}{2}\Omega_{ij}\epsilon^{ijk}\sigma^k & 0\\ 0 & -\frac{i}{2}\Sigma_{ij}\epsilon^{ijk}\sigma^k \end{matrix}\right)$$
(22)

(23)

(18)

use that $\epsilon_{ijk}\epsilon^{ij\ell} = \delta_k^{\ell}$

$$= exp \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{i}{2} \varphi^k \sigma^k & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{i}{2} \varphi^k \sigma^k \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-\frac{i}{2} \varphi \sigma} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\frac{i}{2} \varphi \sigma} \end{pmatrix}$$
 (24)

$$\Lambda = e^{\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{ij}M^{ij}} =_{\Omega_{12} = -\Omega_{21} = 1} e^{\Omega_{12}M^{12}}$$
(25)

$$= exp \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\varphi^3 & 0 \\ 0 & \varphi^3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
 (26)

Only the middle part is nontrivial. Calculate

$$\Lambda_{2\times 2} = exp\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\varphi^3 \\ \varphi^3 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = exp\begin{pmatrix} -i \begin{vmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{vmatrix} \varphi^3 \end{pmatrix} = \exp(-i\sigma^2\varphi^3)$$
 (27)

$$=\cos(\varphi^3) - i\sigma^2\sin(\varphi^3) \tag{28}$$

This is a rotation about the 3- axis

For $\varphi^3=2\pi$ we expect the Identity. So $\Lambda=1$. But what about $S[\Lambda]$? We will get

$$\begin{pmatrix} e^{-\frac{i}{2}2\pi\sigma^3} & 0\\ 0 & e^{-\frac{i}{2}2\pi\sigma^3} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\pi\sigma^3} & 0\\ 0 & e^{-i\pi\sigma^3} \end{pmatrix} = -1$$
 (29)

That means that doing nothing results in a -1 change. This means that the Dirac Spinor is not a physical object, not an observable.

2.2 Example Boosts

 $\Omega_{ij} = 0$, $\Omega_{i0} = -\Omega_{0i} = -\eta_i$. $-\eta$ is a real quantity called rapidity. In Tom's notes this is χ . We start

$$S^{0i} = \frac{1}{2} \gamma^0 \gamma^i = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^i \\ -\sigma^i & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -\sigma^i & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^i \end{pmatrix}$$
(30)

$$S[\Lambda] = \exp\left[\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{0i}S^{0i} + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{i0}S^{i0}\right]$$
 (31)

$$= \exp\left[\Omega_{0i}S^{0i}\right] = \exp\left[-\frac{\eta_i}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -\sigma^i & 0\\ 0 & \sigma^i \end{pmatrix}\right] = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\frac{\eta_i\sigma^i}{2}} & 0\\ 0 & e^{\frac{\eta_i\sigma^i}{2}} \end{pmatrix}$$
(32)

Boost along the 1-direction:

$$\eta_1 = 1, \eta_2 = \eta_3 = 0. \tag{33}$$

$$\Lambda = \exp[\Omega_{0i} M^{0i}] = \exp[\eta_1 M^{01}] \cdot \Lambda_{2 \times 2} = \exp(\eta_1 \sigma^1) = \cosh \eta_1 + \sigma^1 \sinh \eta_1 \tag{34}$$

We saw that $S[\Lambda] \neq \Lambda$ and we see that $S[\Lambda]$ is not unitary.