QFT - Lecture14

October 9, 2024

Contents

1	ϕ^4 self interacting theory	3
2	Interaction picture	3
3	Correlation functions	3
4	Wick's Theorem	5
		5
	4.2 Wick's Theorem for 2 Fields	4
	4.3 Wick's Theorem	5
	4.3.1 Think about this but not too much because it's stupid	•

1 ϕ^4 self interacting theory

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_{\mu} \phi \partial^{\mu} \phi \right) - \frac{1}{2} m^{2} \phi^{2} - \frac{\lambda}{4!} \phi^{4}$$

$$H = \underbrace{H_{0}}_{\text{free KG}} + \underbrace{H_{\text{interacting}}}_{\int d^{3} x \frac{\lambda}{4!} \phi^{4}}$$

2 Interaction picture

$$\phi_I(t) = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2E_p}} \left(a_p e^{-ipx} + a_p^{\dagger} e^{ipx} \right)$$

And related to the Heisenberg picture

$$\phi(x) = U^{\dagger}(t, t_0)\phi_I U(t, t_0)$$

defining the operator U with the dyson series

$$U(t,t_0) = T \exp\left[-i \int_{t'}^{t} dt'' H_I(t'')\right]$$

$$H_I(t) = e^{iH_0(t-t_0)} H_{\text{int}} e^{-iH_0(t-t_0)}$$

$$U(t_0,t') = e^{iH(t'-t_0)} e^{-iH_0(t'-t_0)}$$
(1)

3 Correlation functions

Correlation functions are of the form

$$\langle \Omega | T \phi(x) \phi(y) | \Omega \rangle$$

the vacuum state $|0\rangle$ has changed to $|\Omega\rangle$ because of the interaction.

we claim that this is equal to

$$\frac{\langle 0 | T\phi_I(x)\phi_I(y)U(\infty, -\infty) | 0 \rangle}{\langle 0 | U(\infty, -\infty) | 0 \rangle}$$

which is from Tong's lecture notes p76 (around about) we will prove this and then derive Wick's theorem.

Assume a mass gap. Meaning that you should assume that between the Energy E_0 of the state $|\Omega\rangle$ and the next possible Energy E_0+m there is a gap. Meaning the energy spectrum is not continuous down to the base Energy.

Assume that $x^0 > y^0$. Start with the numerator.

$$\langle 0| U(\infty, x^0)\phi_I(x)U(x^0, y^0)\phi_I(y)U(y^0, -\infty) |0\rangle$$
 (2)

$$= \langle 0 | U(\infty, x^0) U(x^0, t_0) \phi(x) U^{\dagger}(x^0, t_0) U(x^0, y^0) U(y^0, t_0) \phi(y) U^{\dagger}(y^0, t_0) U(y^0, -\infty) | 0 \rangle$$
 (3)

$$= \underbrace{\langle 0| U(\infty, t_0)\phi(x)U(t_0, t_0)\phi(y)}_{\langle \psi|} U(t_0, -\infty) |0\rangle \tag{4}$$

Because of the differences in vacuums we now consider:

$$\langle \psi | U(t_0, t') | 0 \rangle$$

There is a Mass gap, s.t.

$$H = E_0 |\Omega\rangle \langle \Omega| + \int d\lambda \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} |\lambda_p\rangle \langle \lambda_p| E_{\lambda_p}$$

From Quantum mechanics, we have the form $H = \int dn E_n |n\rangle \langle n|$ for a continuous spectrum n. When ommitting the Energies you get the Identity operator

$$1 = |\Omega\rangle\langle\Omega| + \int d\lambda \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} |\lambda_p\rangle\langle\lambda_p|$$

And we return to

$$\langle \psi | e^{iH(t'-t_0)} e^{-iH_0(t'-t_0)} | 0 \rangle$$
 (5)

Only the 0th part of H_0 survives, as the annihilator kills the vacuum.

$$\langle \psi | e^{iH(t'-t_0)} | 0 \rangle \tag{6}$$

$$\langle \psi | e^{iE_0(t'-t_0)} | \Omega \rangle \langle \Omega | | 0 \rangle + \int d\lambda \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \langle \psi | \lambda_p \rangle \langle \lambda_p | 0 \rangle e^{iE_{p\lambda}(t'-t_0)}$$
 (7)

$$\Rightarrow e^{iE_0(t't_0)} \langle \psi | \Omega \rangle \langle \Omega | 0 \rangle + 0$$
, by Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma (8)

And we have the numerator

$$\langle 0| U(t'', t_0)\phi(x)\phi(y) | \Omega \rangle \langle \Omega | | 0 \rangle e^{iE_0(t'-t_0)}$$
(9)

$$e^{iE_0(t'-t_0)}e^{-iE_0(t''-t_0)}\langle 0||\Omega\rangle\langle \Omega||\phi(x)\phi(y)|\Omega\rangle\langle \Omega||0\rangle$$
(10)

The denominator is the exact same except without the fields.

$$\Rightarrow e^{iE_0(t',t_0)}e^{-iE_0(t''-t_0)}\langle 0||\Omega\rangle\langle \Omega||\Omega\rangle\langle \Omega||0\rangle$$
(11)

And we calculate the nominator and the denominator together:

$$\frac{\langle \Omega | \phi(x)\phi(y) | \Omega \rangle}{\langle \Omega | | \Omega \rangle} = \langle \Omega | \phi(x)\phi(y) | \Omega \rangle \tag{12}$$

proving the claim.

And the infinite time evolution operator:

$$U(\infty, -\infty) = T \exp\left[-i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt'' H_I(t'')\right], \ H_I(t) = \int d^3x \frac{\lambda}{4!} \phi_I^4$$
 (13)

So everything is expressed in terms of ϕ_I which we already know.

4 Wick's Theorem

$$\langle 0 | T \underbrace{\phi(x_1)\phi(x_2)\cdots\phi(x_n)}_{\text{interaction picture fields}} | 0 \rangle$$
 (14)

in the case of n = 2 we can calculate the expectation value with the Feynmann Propagator. With n > 2 we can calculate it with multiple Feynmann Propagators.

4.1 calculating Eq.14

Write $\phi(x) = \phi^{+}(x) + \phi^{-}(x)$, here the positive frequency is defined as

$$\phi^{+}(x) = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{3} p}{(2\pi)^{3}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2E_{p}}} a_{p} e^{-ipx}, \quad \phi^{+\dagger} = \phi^{-}$$

Consider $T\phi(x)\phi(y)$. Assume $x^0 > y^0$. Then

$$T\phi(x)\phi(y) = \phi^{+}(x)\phi^{+}(y) + \phi^{+}(x)\phi^{-}(y) + \phi^{-}(x)\phi^{+}(y) + \phi^{-}(x)\phi^{-}(y)$$
(15)

$$=\phi^+(x)\phi^+(y)+\phi^-(x)\phi^+(y)+\phi^-(y)\phi^+(x)+\left[\phi^+(x),\phi^-(y)\right]+\phi^-(x)\phi^-(y). \tag{16}$$

Assuming
$$x^0 < y^0$$

$$\phi^{+}(x)\phi^{+}(y) + \phi^{-}(y)\phi^{+}(x) + \phi^{-}(x)\phi^{+}(y) + \left[\phi^{+}(y), \phi^{-}(x)\right] + \phi^{-}(x)\phi^{-}(y) \tag{17}$$

For both cases,

$$T\phi(x)\phi(y) = N\phi(x)\phi(y) + \begin{cases} \left[\phi^+(x),\phi^-(y)\right], & x^0 > y^0 \\ \left[\phi^+(y),\phi^-(x)\right], & y^0 < x^0 \end{cases}$$

Normal ordering is defined as putting the annihilation operators to the right.

4.2 Wick's Theorem for 2 Fields

$$T\phi(x)\phi(y) = N\phi(x)\phi(y) + contraction\phi(x)\phi(y)$$
 (18)

with the contraction defined as

$$\phi(x)\phi(y) := \begin{cases}
 \left[\phi^{+}(x), \phi^{-}(y)\right], & x^{0} > y^{0} \\
 \dots & = \langle 0 | T\phi(x)\phi(y) | 0 \rangle = D_{F}(x - y)
 \end{cases} (19)$$

4.3 Wick's Theorem

$$T\phi(x_1)\phi(x_2)\cdots\phi(x_n) = N\phi(x_1)\cdots\phi(x_n) + \text{all contractions}$$
 (20)

for four fields: $\phi_1\phi_2\phi_3\phi_4$

$$\frac{1}{\phi_1 \phi_2 \phi_3 \phi_4 + \phi_1 \phi_2 \phi_3 \phi_4 + \dots + \phi_1 \phi_2 \phi_3 \phi_4 + \phi_1 \phi_2 \phi_3 \phi_4 + \dots}$$
(21)

4.3.1 Think about this but not too much because it's stupid

$$1 = aa^{\dagger} - a^{\dagger}a$$

$$N1 = Naa^{\dagger} - a^{\dagger}a = a^{\dagger}a - a^{\dagger}a = 0$$