```
In [1]:
          import numpy as np
In [17]: def function1(X):
               v = np.zeros(X.shape)
               for j in range(X.shape[0]):
                   y = np.zeros(X.shape[1])
                   for i in range(j):
                        r = np.inner(X[j], v[i])
                        y += r * v[i]
                   v[j] = (X[j] - y)/np.linalg.norm(X[j] - y)
In [18]:
          def function2(X):
               v = np.zeros(X.shape)
               for j in range(X.shape[0]):
                   w = X[j]
                   for i in range(j):
                        r = np.inner(w, v[i])
                        w = w - r * v[i]
                   v[j] = (w)/np.linalg.norm(w)
               return v
In [19]: def GenerateHilbert(n):
               H = np.zeros((n,n))
               for i in range(1, n+1):
                   h = [1/(i + j) \text{ for } j \text{ in } range(n)]
                   H[i-1] = h
               return H
 In [ ]:
In [63]:
          n = 1000
          H = GenerateHilbert(n)
In [64]:
In [65]:
         R1 = function1(H)
In [66]: R2 = function2(H)
In [67]: R3 = function1(R1)
In [68]: R4 = function1(R2)
          Since R_1^T R_1 and R_2^T R_2 are supposed to be equal to the identity matrix, the sum of all off
          diagonal entry should be zero, therefore G_k:=R_k^TR_k-\mathbb{I}, \Sigma_k=\sum_{i=1}^n\sum_{j=1}^n|g_{ij}^k| hast to
          be zero. Due to numerical / rounding errors, this does not hold for the computed matrices
          above. Large \Sigma_k indicates, that the according matrix R_k is not orthogonal.
```

np.sum(abs(np.matmul(R1.T, R1) - np.identity(n)))

```
In [69]:
         319615.3517070741
Out[69]:
```

24.10.23, 15:21 GS Orhtogonal

```
np.sum(abs(np.matmul(R2.T, R2) - np.identity(n)))
In [70]:
          4228.080270900577
Out[70]:
          np.sum(abs(np.matmul(R3.T, R3) - np.identity(n)))
In [71]:
          555770.9540641983
Out[71]:
In [72]:
          np.sum(abs(np.matmul(R4.T, R4) - np.identity(n)))
          1.3740774860795175e-06
Out[72]:
          Function2 yields better results, since the orthogonalization inside the inner loop is not
          directly based on the according vector x_{j_t} the numerical errors are taken into account
          during the process. This results in a more orthogonal matrix
 In [ ]:
 In [ ]:
```