University of Salzburg

## Machine Learning (911.236)

Exercise sheet C

## **VC-Dimension**

Exercise 1. 3 P.

Show the following monotonicity property of the VC dimension: For every two hypothesis classes  $\mathcal{H}', \mathcal{H}$ , the following holds:

$$\mathcal{H}' \subseteq \mathcal{H} \Rightarrow VCdim(\mathcal{H}') \leq VCdim(\mathcal{H})$$

Exercise 2. 3 P.

Let  $\mathcal{H}_{si}$  be the class of **signed intervals**:

$$\mathcal{H}_{si} = \{h_{a,b,s} : a \le b, s \in \{+1, -1\}\}$$

where

$$x \mapsto h_{a,b,s}(x) = \begin{cases} +s & \text{if } x \in [a,b] \\ -s & \text{if } x \notin [a,b] \end{cases}$$

What is the VC-dimension  $VCdim(\mathcal{H}_{si})$ ? Do not just list the VC dimension, but provide a rigorous argumentation.

Exercise 3.

For a **finite** hypothesis class  $\mathcal{H}$ , we have that  $VCdim(\mathcal{H}) \leq |\log(|\mathcal{H}|)|$ . However, this is just an upper bound. The VC dimension of a class can be much lower than that.

- 1. Find an example of a class  $\mathcal{H}$  of functions over the real interval X = [0,1] such that  $\mathcal{H}$  is infinite while  $VCdim(\mathcal{H}) = 1$ . In other words, you have an infinite number of hypothesis, but you can only shatter sets of size  $\leq 1$  (Note: the empty set is always shattered).
- 2. Give an example of a finite hypothesis class  $\mathcal{H}$  over the domain X = [0, 1], where

$$VCdim(\mathcal{H}) = |\log_2(|\mathcal{H}|)|$$
.

Both items give 2 points each.

Exercise 4.

Let  $\mathcal{H}_1, \dots, \mathcal{H}_r$  be hypothesis classes over some fixed domain X. Let

$$d = \max_{i} VCdim(\mathcal{H}_i)$$

and assume, for simplicity, that  $d \ge 3$ . Prove (using Lemma 1) that

$$VCdim(\bigcup_i \mathcal{H}_i) \le 4d \log_2(2d) + 2 \log_2(r)$$
.

**Lemma 1.** Let  $a \ge 1$  and b > 0. Then

$$x \ge 4a \log(2a) + 2b \Rightarrow x \ge a \log(x) + b$$

It follows that a necessary condition for  $x < a \log(x) + b$  to hold is  $x < 4a \log(2a) + 2b$ .

4 P.

Lecturer: Roland Kwitt

6 P.

*Proof.* (Note: This is not fully rigorous, but suffices for our purposes). If we can show that (1)  $x \ge 2a \log(x)$  and (2)  $x \ge 2b$ , then

$$2x \ge 2a\log(x) + 2b$$

hence  $x \ge a \log(x) + b$  and we are done. First, as  $a \ge 1, b > 0$ , we have

$$x \ge \underbrace{4a\log(2a)}_{>0} + \underbrace{2b}_{>0}$$

and hence  $x \ge 2b$ . Second, we also get that  $x \ge 4a \log(2a)$ . The question then is if  $x \ge 4a \log(2a)$  implies  $x \ge 2a \log(x)$ . Equivalently, we can ask if  $x \ge 2a \log(a)$  implies  $x \ge a \log(x)$ . To answer this, we look at solutions of  $f(x) = x - a \log(x) > 0$  in terms of x. In particular, for  $a \in (0, \sqrt{e}]$ , the implication holds trivially. A simple check with Mathematica shows that, in fact,  $x \ge 2a \log(a)$  implies  $x \ge a \log(x)$  and our claim follows.