Estero Bay Aquatic Preserve

SEACAR Habitat Analyses

Last compiled on 08 October, 2025

Contents

Funding & Acknowledgements

The data used in this analysis is from the Export Standardized Tables in the SEACAR Data Discovery Interface (DDI). Documents and information available through the SEACAR DDI are owned by the data provider(s) and users are expected to provide appropriate credit following accepted citation formats. Users are encouraged to access data to maximize utilization of gained knowledge, reducing redundant research and facilitating partnerships and scientific innovation.

With respect to documents and information available from SEACAR DDI, neither the State of Florida nor the Florida Department of Environmental Protection makes any warranty, expressed or implied, including the warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose arising out of the use or inability to use the data, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights.

This report was funded in part, through a grant agreement from the Florida Department of Environmental Protection, Florida Coastal Management Program, by a grant provided by the Office for Coastal Management under the Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972, as amended, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. The views, statements, findings, conclusions and recommendations expressed herein are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the State of Florida, NOAA or any of their sub agencies.

Published: 2025-10-08

Threshold Filtering

Threshold filters, following the guidance of Florida Department of Environmental Protection's (*FDEP*) Division of Environmental Assessment and Restoration (*DEAR*) are used to exclude specific results values from the SEACAR Analysis. Based on the threshold filters, Quality Assurance / Quality Control (*QAQC*) Flags are inserted into the *SEACAR_QAQCFlagCode* and *SEACAR_QAQC_Description* columns of the export data. The *Include* column indicates whether the *QAQC* Flag will also indicate that data are excluded from analysis. No data are excluded from the data export, but the analysis scripts can use the *Include* column to exclude data (1 to include, 0 to exclude).

Table 1: Continuous Water Quality threshold values

Parameter Name	*Units*	*Low Threshold*	*High Threshold*
Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L	-0.000001	50
Dissolved Oxygen Saturation	%	-0.000001	500
Salinity	ppt	-0.000001	70
Turbidity	NTU	-0.000001	4000
Water Temperature	Degrees C	-5.000000	45
pH	None	2.000000	14

Table 2: Discrete Water Quality threshold values

Parameter Name	*Units*	*Low Threshold*	*High Threshold*
Ammonia, Un-ionized (NH3)	mg/L	-	-
Ammonium, Filtered (NH4)	mg/L	-	-
Chlorophyll a, Corrected for Pheophytin	ug/L	-	-
Chlorophyll a, Uncorrected for Pheophytin	ug/L	-	-
Colored Dissolved Organic Matter	PCU	-	-

Parameter Name	*Units*	*Low Threshold*	*High Threshold*
Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L	-0.000001	25
Dissolved Oxygen Saturation	%	-0.000001	310
Fluorescent Dissolved Organic Matter	QSE	-	-
Light Extinction Coefficient	mˆ-1	-	-
NO2+3, Filtered	mg/L	-	-
Nitrate (NO3)	mg/L	-	-
Nitrite (NO2)	mg/L	-	-
Nitrogen, organic	mg/L	-	-
Phosphate, Filtered (PO4)	mg/L	-	-
Salinity	ppt	-0.000001	70
Secchi Depth	m	0.000001	50
Specific Conductivity	mS/cm	0.005000	100
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	mg/L	-	-
Total Nitrogen	mg/L	-	-
Total Nitrogen	mg/L	-	-
Total Phosphorus	mg/L	-	-
Total Suspended Solids	mg/L	-	-
Turbidity	NTU	-	-
Water Temperature	Degrees C	3.000000	40
pH	None	2.000000	13

Table 3: Quality Assurance Flags inserted based on threshold checks listed in Table 1 and 2

SEACAR QAQC Description	*Include*	*SEACAR QAQCFlagCode*
Exceeds maximum threshold	0	2Q
Below minimum threshold	0	4Q
Within threshold tolerance	1	6Q
No defined thresholds for this parameter	1	7Q

Value Qualifiers

Value qualifier codes included within the data are used to exclude certain results from the analysis. The data are retained in the data export files, but the analysis uses the *Include* column to filter the results.

STORET and WIN value qualifier codes

Value qualifier codes from *STORET* and *WIN* data are examined with the database and used to populate the *Include* column in data exports.

Table 4: Value Qualifier codes excluded from analysis

Qualifier Source	*Value Qualifier*	*Include*	*MDL*	*Description*
STORET-WIN	H	0	0	Value based on field kit determination; results may not be accurate
STORET-WIN	J	0	0	Estimated value
STORET-WIN	V	0	0	Analyte was detected at or above method detection limit
STORET-WIN	Y	0	0	Lab analysis from an improperly preserved sample; data may be inaccurate

Discrete Water Quality Value Qualifiers

The following value qualifiers are highlighted in the Discrete Water Quality section of this report. An exception is made for **Program 476** - *Charlotte Harbor Estuaries Volunteer Water Quality Monitoring Network* and data flagged with Value Qualifier **H** are included for this program only.

H - Value based on field kit determiniation; results may not be accurate. This code shall be used if a field screening test (e.g., field gas chromatograph data, immunoassay, or vendor-supplied field kit) was used to generate the value and the field kit or method has not been recognized by the Department as equivalent to laboratory methods.

I - The reported value is greater than or equal to the laboratory method detection limit but less than the laboratory practical quantitation limit.

Q - Sample held beyond the accepted holding time. This code shall be used if the value is derived from a sample that was prepared or analyzed after the approved holding time restrictions for sample preparation or analysis.

S - Secchi disk visible to bottom of waterbody. The value reported is the depth of the waterbody at the location of the Secchi disk measurement.

U - Indicates that the compound was analyzed for but not detected. This symbol shall be used to indicate that the specified component was not detected. The value associated with the qualifier shall be the laboratory method detection limit. Unless requested by the client, less than the method detection limit values shall not be reported

Systemwide Monitoring Program (SWMP) value qualifier codes

Value qualifier codes from the *SWMP* continuous program are examined with the database and used to populate the *Include* column in data exports. *SWMP* Qualifier Codes are indicated by *QualifierSource=SWMP*.

Table 5: SWMP Value Qualifier codes

Qualifier Source	*Value Qualifier*	*Include*	*Description*
SWMP	-1	1	Optional parameter not collected
SWMP	-2	0	Missing data
SWMP	-3	0	Data rejected due to QA/QC
SWMP	-4	0	Outside low sensor range
SWMP	-5	0	Outside high sensor range
SWMP	0	1	Passed initial QA/QC checks
SWMP	1	0	Suspect data
SWMP	2	1	Reserved for future use
SWMP	3	1	Calculated data: non-vented depth/level sensor correction for changes in barometric pressure
SWMP	4	1	Historical: Pre-auto QA/QC
SWMP	5	1	Corrected data

Water Column

The water column habitat extends from the water's surface to the bottom sediments, and it's where fish, dolphins, crabs and people swim! So much life makes its home in the water column that the health of marine and coastal ecosystems, as well as human economies, depend on the condition of this vulnerable habitat. Local patterns of rainfall, temperature, winds and currents can rapidly change the condition of the water column, while global influences such as El Niño/La Niña, large-scale fluctuation in sea temperatures and climate change can have long-term effects. Inputs from the prosperity of our day-to-day lives including farming, mining and forestry, and emissions from power generation, automobiles and water treatment can also alter the health of the water column. Acting alone or together, each input can have complex and lasting effects on habitats and ecosystems.

SEACAR evaluates water column health with several essential parameters. These include nutrient surveys of nitrogen and phosphorus, and water quality assessments of salinity, dissolved oxygen, pH, and water temperature. Water clarity is evaluated with Secchi depth, turbidity, levels of chlorophyll a, total suspended solids, and colored dissolved organic matter. Additionally, the richness of nekton is indicated by the abundance of free-swimming fishes and macroinvertebrates like crabs and shrimps.

Seasonal Kendall-Tau Analysis

Indicators must have a minimum of five to ten years, depending on the habitat, of data within the geographic range of the analysis to be included in the analysis. Ten years of data are required for discrete parameters, and five years of data are required for continuous parameters. If there are insufficient years of data, the number of years of data available will be noted and labeled as "insufficient data to conduct analysis". Further, for the preferred Seasonal Kendall-Tau test, there must be data from at least two months in common across at least two consecutive years within the RCP managed area being analyzed. Values that pass both of these tests will be included in the analysis and be labeled as *Use_In_Analysis* = **TRUE**. Any that fail either test will be excluded from the analyses and labeled as *Use_In_Analysis* = **FALSE**. The points for all Water Column plots displayed in this section are monthly averages. Trend significance will be denoted as "Significant Trend" (when $p < 0.05$), or "Non-significant Trend" (when $p >= 0.05$). Any parameters with insufficient data to perform Seasonal Kendall-Tau test will have their monthly averages plotted without a corresponding trend line.

Water Quality - Discrete

The following files were used in the discrete analysis:

- *Combined_WQ_WC_NUT_Chlorophyll_a_corrected_for_pheophytin-2025-Sep-04.txt*
- *Combined_WQ_WC_NUT_Chlorophyll_a_uncorrected_for_pheophytin-2025-Sep-04.txt*
- *Combined_WQ_WC_NUT_Colored_dissolved_organic_matter_CDOM-2025-Sep-04.txt*
- *Combined_WQ_WC_NUT_Dissolved_Oxygen-2025-Sep-04.txt*
- *Combined_WQ_WC_NUT_Dissolved_Oxygen_Saturation-2025-Sep-04.txt*
- *Combined_WQ_WC_NUT_pH-2025-Sep-04.txt*
- *Combined_WQ_WC_NUT_Salinity-2025-Sep-04.txt*
- *Combined_WQ_WC_NUT_Secchi_Depth-2025-Sep-04.txt*
- *Combined_WQ_WC_NUT_Total_Nitrogen-2025-Sep-04.txt*
- *Combined_WQ_WC_NUT_Total_Phosphorus-2025-Sep-04.txt*
- *Combined_WQ_WC_NUT_Total_Suspended_Solids_TSS-2025-Sep-04.txt*
- *Combined_WQ_WC_NUT_Turbidity-2025-Sep-04.txt*
- *Combined_WQ_WC_NUT_Water_Temperature-2025-Sep-04.txt*

Chlorophyll a, Corrected for Pheophytin - Discrete

Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis



Figure 1: Scatter plot of monthly average levels of chlorophyll a, corrected for pheophytin, over time. If the time series included ten or more years of discrete observations, a significant (blue) or non-significant (magenta) trend line is also shown. Only laboratory-analyzed chlorophyll a (triangles) is included in the plot.

Table 6: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis for Chlorophyll a, Corrected for Pheophytin

Activity Type	Statistical Trend	Sample Count	Years with Data	Period of Record	Median	tau	Sen Intercept	Sen Slope	p
Lab	Significantly decreasing trend	2450	20	2006 - 2025	2.58	-0.3744	5.3119	-0.1775	0

Monthly average chlorophyll a, corrected for pheophytin, decreased by 0.18 µg/L per year, indicating an increase in water clarity.



Figure 2: Map showing location of discrete water quality sampling locations within the boundaries of *Estero Bay Aquatic Preserve*. The bubble size on the maps above reflect the amount of data available at each sampling site.

Table 7: Programs contributing data for Chlorophyll a, Corrected for Pheophytin

ProgramID	*N_Data*	*YearMin*	*YearMax*
5002	1757	2006	2025
476	670	2008	2025
4063	85	2018	2025

Program names:

476 - Charlotte Harbor Estuaries Volunteer Water Quality Monitoring Network[1]
4063 - Estero Bay Tributary Monitoring[2]
5002 - Florida STORET / WIN[3]

Chlorophyll a, Uncorrected for Pheophytin - Discrete

Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis



Figure 3: Scatter plot of monthly average levels of chlorophyll a, uncorrected for pheophytin, over time. If the time series included ten or more years of discrete observations, a significant (blue) or non-significant (magenta) trend line is also shown. Only laboratory-analyzed chlorophyll a (triangles) is included in the plot.

Table 8: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis for Chlorophyll a, Uncorrected for Pheophytin

Activity Type	Statistical Trend	Sample Count	Years with Data	Period of Record	Median	tau	Sen Intercept	Sen Slope	p
Lab	Significantly increasing trend	1157	26	1998 - 2025	4.3286	0.1208	4.2302	0.044	0.0083

Monthly average chlorophyll a, uncorrected for pheophytin, increased by 0.04 µg/L per year, indicating a decrease in water clarity.



Figure 4: Map showing location of discrete water quality sampling locations within the boundaries of *Estero Bay Aquatic Preserve*. The bubble size on the maps above reflect the amount of data available at each sampling site.

Table 9: Programs contributing data for Chlorophyll a, Uncorrected for Pheophytin

ProgramID	*N_Data*	*YearMin*	*YearMax*
476	639	1998	2025
509	347	1999	2008
5002	115	2011	2025
514	82	2011	2018
115	1	2003	2003
103	1	2003	2003

Program names:

103 - EPA STOrage and RETrieval Data Warehouse (STORET)/WQX[4]
115 - Environmental Monitoring Assessment Program[5]
476 - Charlotte Harbor Estuaries Volunteer Water Quality Monitoring Network[1]
509 - SERC Water Quality Monitoring Network[6]
514 - Florida LAKEWATCH Program[7]
5002 - Florida STORET / WIN[3]

Colored Dissolved Organic Matter - Discrete

Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis



Figure 5: Scatter plot of monthly average colored dissolved organic matter (CDOM) over time. If the time series included ten or more years of discrete observations, a significant (blue) or non-significant (magenta) trend line is also shown. Only laboratory-analyzed CDOM (triangles) is included in the plot.

Table 10: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis for Colored Dissolved Organic Matter

Activity Type	Statistical Trend	Sample Count	Years with Data	Period of Record	Median	tau	Sen Intercept	Sen Slope	p
Lab	Significantly increasing trend	2077	15	2011 - 2025	13.9	0.2263	15.014	0.5438	0.001

Monthly average colored dissolved organic matter increased by 0.54 PCU per year, indicating a decrease in water clarity.



Figure 6: Map showing location of discrete water quality sampling locations within the boundaries of *Estero Bay Aquatic Preserve*. The bubble size on the maps above reflect the amount of data available at each sampling site.

Table 11: Programs contributing data for Colored Dissolved Organic Matter

ProgramID	*N_Data*	*YearMin*	*YearMax*
5002	1648	2018	2025
476	284	2017	2025
4063	85	2018	2025
514	66	2011	2019

Program names:

476 - Charlotte Harbor Estuaries Volunteer Water Quality Monitoring Network[1]
514 - Florida LAKEWATCH Program[7]
4063 - Estero Bay Tributary Monitoring[2]
5002 - Florida STORET / WIN[3]

Dissolved Oxygen - Discrete

Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis



Figure 7: Scatter plot of monthly average dissolved oxygen over time. If the time series included ten or more years of discrete observations, a significant (blue) or non-significant (magenta) trend line is also shown. Only dissolved oxygen values measured in the field (circles) are included in the plot.

Table 12: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis for Dissolved Oxygen

Activity Type	Statistical Trend	Sample Count	Years with Data	Period of Record	Median	tau	Sen Intercept	Sen Slope	p
Field	No significant trend	11479	36	1971 - 2025	5.8	-0.0409	5.6536	-0.0044	0.2592

Dissolved oxygen showed no detectable trend between 1971 and 2025.



Figure 8: Map showing location of discrete water quality sampling locations within the boundaries of *Estero Bay Aquatic Preserve*. The bubble size on the maps above reflect the amount of data available at each sampling site.

Table 13: Programs contributing data for Dissolved Oxygen

ProgramID	*N_Data*	*YearMin*	*YearMax*
5002	6598	1991	2025
69	2263	2001	2007
476	1002	1998	2025
509	696	1999	2008
4064	619	2011	2012
95	427	1971	2018
4042	62	2016	2024
115	3	2003	2003

Program names:

69 - Fisheries-Independent Monitoring (FIM) Program[8]
95 - Harmful Algal Bloom Marine Observation Network[9]
115 - Environmental Monitoring Assessment Program[5]
476 - Charlotte Harbor Estuaries Volunteer Water Quality Monitoring Network[1]
509 - SERC Water Quality Monitoring Network[6]

4042 - Estero Bay Oyster Monitoring[10]
4064 - A spatial model to improve site selection for seagrass restoration in shallow boating environments[11]
5002 - Florida STORET / WIN[3]

Dissolved Oxygen Saturation - Discrete

Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis



Figure 9: Scatter plot of monthly average dissolved oxygen saturation over time. If the time series included ten or more years of discrete observations, a significant (blue) or non-significant (magenta) trend line is also shown. Only dissolved oxygen saturation values measured in the field (circles) are included in the plot.

Table 14: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis for Dissolved Oxygen Saturation

Activity Type	Statistical Trend	Sample Count	Years with Data	Period of Record	Median	tau	Sen Intercept	Sen Slope	p
Field	No significant trend	2916	14	2011 - 2025	82.1143	-0.1159	78.4253	-0.4517	0.0883

Dissolved oxygen saturation showed no detectable trend between 2011 and 2025.



Figure 10: Map showing location of discrete water quality sampling locations within the boundaries of *Estero Bay Aquatic Preserve*. The bubble size on the maps above reflect the amount of data available at each sampling site.

Table 15: Programs contributing data for Dissolved Oxygen Saturation

ProgramID	*N_Data*	*YearMin*	*YearMax*
5002	1890	2015	2025
4064	619	2011	2012
476	245	2017	2025
95	120	2011	2018
4042	53	2016	2024

Program names:

95 - Harmful Algal Bloom Marine Observation Network[9]
476 - Charlotte Harbor Estuaries Volunteer Water Quality Monitoring Network[1]
4042 - Estero Bay Oyster Monitoring[10]
4064 - A spatial model to improve site selection for seagrass restoration in shallow boating environments[11]
5002 - Florida STORET / WIN[3]

pH - Discrete

Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis



Figure 11: Scatter plot of monthly average pH over time. If the time series included ten or more years of discrete observations, a significant (blue) or non-significant (magenta) trend line is also shown. Only pH values measured in the field (circles) are included in the plot.

Table 16: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis for pH

Activity Type	Statistical Trend	Sample Count	Years with Data	Period of Record	Median	tau	Sen Intercept	Sen Slope	p
Field	Significantly decreasing trend	10838	35	1991 - 2025	7.9	-0.2187	7.906	-0.0048	0

Monthly average pH decreased by less than 0.01 pH units per year.



Figure 12: Map showing location of discrete water quality sampling locations within the boundaries of *Estero Bay Aquatic Preserve*. The bubble size on the maps above reflect the amount of data available at each sampling site.

Table 17: Programs contributing data for pH

ProgramID	*N_Data*	*YearMin*	*YearMax*
5002	6887	1991	2025
69	2264	2001	2007
476	1004	1998	2025
95	419	2005	2018
509	270	2001	2008
4042	56	2016	2024
115	3	2003	2003

Program names:

69 - Fisheries-Independent Monitoring (FIM) Program[8]
95 - Harmful Algal Bloom Marine Observation Network[9]
115 - Environmental Monitoring Assessment Program[5]
476 - Charlotte Harbor Estuaries Volunteer Water Quality Monitoring Network[1]
509 - SERC Water Quality Monitoring Network[6]

4042 - Estero Bay Oyster Monitoring[10]
5002 - Florida STORET / WIN[3]

Salinity - Discrete

Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis



Figure 13: Scatter plot of monthly average salinity over time. If the time series included ten or more years of discrete observations, significant (blue) or non-significant (magenta) trend lines are also shown. Discrete salinity values derived from grab samples analyzed in the field (circles) or the laboratory (triangles) are both included in the plot.

Table 18: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis for Salinity

Activity Type	Statistical Trend	Sample Count	Years with Data	Period of Record	Median	tau	Sen Intercept	Sen Slope	p
All	Significantly decreasing trend	5334	35	1963 - 2025	32.295	-0.2566	35.3211	-0.137	0

Monthly average salinity decreased by 0.14 ppt per year.



Figure 14: Map showing location of discrete water quality sampling locations within the boundaries of *Estero Bay Aquatic Preserve*. The bubble size on the maps above reflect the amount of data available at each sampling site.

Table 19: Programs contributing data for Salinity

ProgramID	*N_Data*	*YearMin*	*YearMax*
69	2261	2001	2007
476	1020	1998	2025
509	702	1999	2008
4064	619	2011	2012
95	503	1963	2018
5002	114	2009	2023
4042	62	2016	2024
513	60	2003	2005
115	3	2003	2003

Program names:

69 - Fisheries-Independent Monitoring (FIM) Program[8]
95 - Harmful Algal Bloom Marine Observation Network[9]
115 - Environmental Monitoring Assessment Program[5]
476 - Charlotte Harbor Estuaries Volunteer Water Quality Monitoring Network[1]

509 - SERC Water Quality Monitoring Network[6]
513 - Coastal Charlotte Harbor Monitoring Network[12]
4042 - Estero Bay Oyster Monitoring[10]
4064 - A spatial model to improve site selection for seagrass restoration in shallow boating environments[11]
5002 - Florida STORET / WIN[3]

Secchi Depth - Discrete

Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis



Figure 15: Scatter plot of monthly average Secchi depth over time. If the time series included ten or more years of discrete observations, a significant (blue) or non-significant (magenta) trend line is also shown. Secchi depth is only measured in the field (circles).

Table 20: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis for Secchi Depth

Activity Type	Statistical Trend	Sample Count	Years with Data	Period of Record	Median	tau	Sen Intercept	Sen Slope	p
Field	No significant trend	3310	28	1998 - 2025	-0.9	0.0076	-1.0837	0	0.9189

Secchi depth showed no detectable trend between 1998 and 2025.



Figure 16: Map showing location of discrete water quality sampling locations within the boundaries of *Estero Bay Aquatic Preserve*. The bubble size on the maps above reflect the amount of data available at each sampling site.

Table 21: Programs contributing data for Secchi Depth

ProgramID	*N_Data*	*YearMin*	*YearMax*
69	2264	2001	2007
476	818	1998	2025
5002	150	2006	2023
514	79	2011	2019
103	1	1998	1998

Program names:

69 - Fisheries-Independent Monitoring (FIM) Program[8]
103 - EPA STOrage and RETrieval Data Warehouse (STORET)/WQX[4]
476 - Charlotte Harbor Estuaries Volunteer Water Quality Monitoring Network[1]
514 - Florida LAKEWATCH Program[7]
5002 - Florida STORET / WIN[3]

Total Nitrogen - Discrete

Total Nitrogen Calculation:

The logic for calculated Total Nitrogen was provided by Kevin O'Donnell and colleagues at FDEP (with the help of Jay Silvanima, Watershed Monitoring Section). The following logic is used, in this order, based on the availability of specific nitrogen components.

1) TN = TKN + NO3O2;
2) TN = TKN + NO3 + NO2;
3) TN = ORGN + NH4 + NO3O2;
4) TN = ORGN + NH4 + NO2 + NO3;
5) TN = TKN + NO3;
6) TN = ORGN + NH4 + NO3;

Additional Information:

- Rules for use of sample fraction:
  - Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) report that if both "Total" and "Dissolved" components are reported, only "Total" is used. If the total is not reported, then the dissolved components are used as a best available replacement.
  - Total nitrogen calculations are done using nitrogen components with the same sample fraction, nitrogen components with mixed total/dissolved sample fractions are not used. In other words, total nitrogen can be calculated when TKN and NO3O2 are both total sample fractions, or when both are dissolved sample fractions. *Future calculations of total nitrogen values may be based on components with mixed sample fractions.*
- Values inserted into data:
  - ParameterName = "Total Nitrogen"
  - SEACAR_QAQCFlagCode = "1Q"
  - SEACAR_QAQC_Description = "SEACAR Calculated"

Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis



Figure 17: Scatter plot of monthly average total nitrogen over time. If the time series included ten or more years of discrete observations, a significant (blue) or non-significant (magenta) trend line is also shown. Only nitrogen values obtained from laboratory analyses (triangles) are included in the plot.

Table 22: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis for Total Nitrogen

Activity Type	Statistical Trend	Sample Count	Years with Data	Period of Record	Median	tau	Sen Intercept	Sen Slope	p
Lab	Significantly increasing trend	8060	35	1991 - 2025	0.65	0.3334	0.4165	0.0138	0

Monthly average total nitrogen increased by 0.01 mg/L per year.



Figure 18: Map showing location of discrete water quality sampling locations within the boundaries of *Estero Bay Aquatic Preserve*. The bubble size on the maps above reflect the amount of data available at each sampling site.

Table 23: Programs contributing data for Total Nitrogen

ProgramID	*N_Data*	*YearMin*	*YearMax*
5002	6627	1991	2025
476	941	1998	2025
509	351	1999	2008
514	84	2011	2019
4063	76	2018	2025
303	8	2020	2021
103	4	2003	2003
115	1	2003	2003

Program names:

103 - EPA STOrage and RETrieval Data Warehouse (STORET)/WQX[4]
115 - Environmental Monitoring Assessment Program[5]
303 - River, Estuary and Coastal Observing Network[13]
476 - Charlotte Harbor Estuaries Volunteer Water Quality Monitoring Network[1]
509 - SERC Water Quality Monitoring Network[6]

514 - Florida LAKEWATCH Program[7]
4063 - Estero Bay Tributary Monitoring[2]
5002 - Florida STORET / WIN[3]

Total Phosphorus - Discrete

Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis



Figure 19: Scatter plot of monthly average total phosphorus over time. If the time series included ten or more years of discrete observations, a significant (blue) or non-significant (magenta) trend line is also shown. Only phosphorus values obtained from laboratory analyses (triangles) are included in the plot.

Table 24: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis for Total Phosphorus

Activity Type	Statistical Trend	Sample Count	Years with Data	Period of Record	Median	tau	Sen Intercept	Sen Slope	p
Lab	Significantly decreasing trend	3338	28	1998 - 2025	0.042	-0.2724	0.0574	-0.0006	0

Monthly average total phosphorus decreased by less than 0.01 mg/L per year.



Figure 20: Map showing location of discrete water quality sampling locations within the boundaries of *Estero Bay Aquatic Preserve*. The bubble size on the maps above reflect the amount of data available at each sampling site.

Table 25: Programs contributing data for Total Phosphorus

ProgramID	*N_Data*	*YearMin*	*YearMax*
5002	1808	2006	2025
476	1038	1998	2025
509	351	1999	2008
4063	85	2018	2025
514	84	2011	2019
303	8	2020	2021
103	3	2003	2003
115	1	2003	2003

Program names:

103 - EPA STOrage and RETrieval Data Warehouse (STORET)/WQX[4]
115 - Environmental Monitoring Assessment Program[5]
303 - River, Estuary and Coastal Observing Network[13]
476 - Charlotte Harbor Estuaries Volunteer Water Quality Monitoring Network[1]
509 - SERC Water Quality Monitoring Network[6]

514 - Florida LAKEWATCH Program[7]
4063 - Estero Bay Tributary Monitoring[2]
5002 - Florida STORET / WIN[3]

Total Suspended Solids - Discrete

Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis



Figure 21: Scatter plot of monthly average total suspended solids (TSS) over time. If the time series included ten or more years of discrete observations, a significant (blue) or non-significant (magenta) trend line is also shown. Only TSS values obtained from laboratory analyses (triangles) are included in the plot.

Table 26: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis for Total Suspended Solids

Activity Type	Statistical Trend	Sample Count	Years with Data	Period of Record	Median	tau	Sen Intercept	Sen Slope	p
Lab	No significant trend	5546	34	1992 - 2025	13.7	-0.0236	17.3518	-0.0359	0.481

Total suspended solids showed no detectable trend between 1992 and 2025.



Figure 22: Map showing location of discrete water quality sampling locations within the boundaries of *Estero Bay Aquatic Preserve*. The bubble size on the maps above reflect the amount of data available at each sampling site.

Table 27: Programs contributing data for Total Suspended Solids

ProgramID	*N_Data*	*YearMin*	*YearMax*
5002	5534	1992	2025
4063	85	2018	2025
513	69	2003	2005

Program names:

513 - Coastal Charlotte Harbor Monitoring Network[12]
4063 - Estero Bay Tributary Monitoring[2]
5002 - Florida STORET / WIN[3]

Turbidity - Discrete

Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis



Figure 23: Scatter plot of monthly average turbidity over time. If the time series included ten or more years of discrete observations, a significant (blue) or non-significant (magenta) trend line is also shown. Only turbidity values measured in the laboratory (triangles) are included in the plot.

Table 28: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis for Turbidity

Activity Type	Statistical Trend	Sample Count	Years with Data	Period of Record	Median	tau	Sen Intercept	Sen Slope	p
Lab	No significant trend	3128	28	1998 - 2025	4.14	0.0656	4.3249	0.0236	0.0918

Turbidity showed no detectable trend between 1998 and 2025.



Figure 24: Map showing location of discrete water quality sampling locations within the boundaries of *Estero Bay Aquatic Preserve*. The bubble size on the maps above reflect the amount of data available at each sampling site.

Table 29: Programs contributing data for Turbidity

ProgramID	*N_Data*	*YearMin*	*YearMax*
5002	6649	1991	2025
476	1094	1998	2025
509	348	1999	2008
4063	85	2018	2025
513	69	2003	2005
4042	61	2016	2024

Program names:

476 - Charlotte Harbor Estuaries Volunteer Water Quality Monitoring Network[1]
509 - SERC Water Quality Monitoring Network[6]
513 - Coastal Charlotte Harbor Monitoring Network[12]
4042 - Estero Bay Oyster Monitoring[10]
4063 - Estero Bay Tributary Monitoring[2]
5002 - Florida STORET / WIN[3]

Water Temperature - Discrete

Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis



Figure 25: Scatter plot of monthly average water temperature over time. If the time series included ten or more years of discrete observations, a significant (blue) or non-significant (magenta) trend line is also shown. Only water temperature measurements taken in the field (circles) are included in the plot.

Table 30: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis for Water Temperature

Activity Type	Statistical Trend	Sample Count	Years with Data	Period of Record	Median	tau	Sen Intercept	Sen Slope	p
Field	Significantly increasing trend	11042	40	1963 - 2025	25.9	0.0874	25.3199	0.0149	0.0119

Monthly average water temperature increased by 0.01°C per year.



Figure 26: Map showing location of discrete water quality sampling locations within the boundaries of *Estero Bay Aquatic Preserve*. The bubble size on the maps above reflect the amount of data available at each sampling site.

Table 31: Programs contributing data for Water Temperature

ProgramID	*N_Data*	*YearMin*	*YearMax*
5002	5906	1992	2025
69	2262	2001	2007
476	1025	1998	2025
509	702	1999	2008
4064	619	2011	2012
95	468	1963	2018
4042	62	2016	2024
115	3	2003	2003

Program names:

69 - Fisheries-Independent Monitoring (FIM) Program[8]
95 - Harmful Algal Bloom Marine Observation Network[9]
115 - Environmental Monitoring Assessment Program[5]
476 - Charlotte Harbor Estuaries Volunteer Water Quality Monitoring Network[1]
509 - SERC Water Quality Monitoring Network[6]

4042 - Estero Bay Oyster Monitoring[10]
4064 - A spatial model to improve site selection for seagrass restoration in shallow boating environments[11]
5002 - Florida STORET / WIN[3]

Water Quality - Continuous

The following files were used in the continuous analysis:

- *Combined_WQ_WC_NUT_cont_Dissolved_Oxygen_SW-2025-Sep-19.txt*
- *Combined_WQ_WC_NUT_cont_Dissolved_Oxygen_Saturation_SW-2025-Sep-19.txt*
- *Combined_WQ_WC_NUT_cont_pH_SW-2025-Sep-19.txt*
- *Combined_WQ_WC_NUT_cont_Salinity_SW-2025-Sep-19.txt*
- *Combined_WQ_WC_NUT_cont_Turbidity_SW-2025-Sep-19.txt*
- *Combined_WQ_WC_NUT_cont_Water_Temperature_SW-2025-Sep-19.txt*

Continuous monitoring locations in Estero Bay Aquatic Preserve

Table 32: Station overview for Continuous parameters by Program

ProgramID	*ProgramLocationID*	*Years of Data*	*Use in Analysis*	*Parameters*
474	EB01	19	TRUE	DO , DOS , pH , Sal , Turb , TempW
474	EB01b	2	FALSE	DO , DOS , pH , Sal , Turb , TempW
474	EB02	22	TRUE	DO , DOS , pH , Sal , Turb , TempW
474	EB03	22	TRUE	DO , DOS , pH , Sal , Turb , TempW
474	EB04	5	TRUE	DO , DOS , pH , Sal , Turb , TempW

Program names:

474 - Estero Bay Aquatic Preserve Continuous Water Quality Monitoring[14]



Figure 27: Map showing continuous water quality sampling locations within the boundaries of *Estero Bay Aquatic Preserve*. Sites marked as *Use In Analysis* (green) are featured in this report.

Dissolved Oxygen - Continuous



Figure 28: Scatter plot of monthly average dissolved oxygen over time at continuously monitored program locations. Each location is analyzed separately, with significant (blue) or non-significant (magenta) trend lines shown for time series that included five or more years of observations.

Table 33: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Results for Dissolved Oxygen - All Stations

Station	Statistical Trend	Sample Count	Years with Data	Period of Record	Median	tau	Sen Intercept	Sen Slope	p
EB01	Significantly increasing trend	478415	19	2004 - 2022	5.6	0.22	5.1	0.04	0
EB02	Significantly increasing trend	500591	21	2004 - 2025	6.1	0.24	5.44	0.03	0
EB01b	Insufficient data to calculate trend	48993	2	2024 - 2025	6.3	-	-	-	-
EB03	Significantly increasing trend	490463	21	2004 - 2025	5.9	0.14	5.49	0.02	0.01
EB04	No significant trend	123179	5	2021 - 2025	5.8	0.06	5.62	0.01	0.92

At three program locations, monthly average dissolved oxygen increased between 0.02 and 0.04 mg/L per year. No detectable change in monthly average dissolved oxygen was observed at one location. There was insufficient data to fit a model for one location.



Figure 29: Map showing location of dissolved oxygen continuous water quality sampling locations within the boundaries of *Estero Bay Aquatic Preserve*. The bubble size on the maps above reflect the amount of data available at each sampling site.

Dissolved Oxygen Saturation - Continuous



Figure 30: Scatter plot of monthly average dissolved oxygen saturation over time at continuously monitored program locations. Each location is analyzed separately, with significant (blue) or non-significant (magenta) trend lines shown for time series that included five or more years of observations.

Table 34: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Results for Dissolved Oxygen Saturation - All Stations

Station	Statistical Trend	Sample Count	Years with Data	Period of Record	Median	tau	Sen Intercept	Sen Slope	p
EB01	Significantly increasing trend	480233	19	2004 - 2022	81.6	0.31	75.5	0.68	0
EB02	Significantly increasing trend	500828	21	2004 - 2025	88.1	0.35	81.7	0.55	0
EB01b	Insufficient data to calculate trend	49703	2	2024 - 2025	90.1	-	-	-	-
EB03	Significantly increasing trend	491989	21	2004 - 2025	83.8	0.19	81.5	0.29	0
EB04	No significant trend	135013	5	2021 - 2025	83.5	0.04	83.77	0.07	0.93

At three program locations, monthly average dissolved oxygen saturation increased between 0.29 and 0.68% per year. No detectable change in monthly average dissolved oxygen saturation was observed at one location. There was insufficient data to fit a model for one location.



Figure 31: Map showing location of dissolved oxygen saturation continuous water quality sampling locations within the boundaries of *Estero Bay Aquatic Preserve*. The bubble size on the maps above reflect the amount of data available at each sampling site.

pH - Continuous



Figure 32: Scatter plot of monthly average pH over time at continuously monitored program locations. Each location is analyzed separately, with significant (blue) or non-significant (magenta) trend lines shown for time series that included five or more years of observations.

Table 35: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Results for pH - All Stations

Station	Statistical Trend	Sample Count	Years with Data	Period of Record	Median	tau	Sen Intercept	Sen Slope	p
EB01	No significant trend	562188	19	2004 - 2022	7.9	-0.08	7.99	0	0.12
EB02	Significantly decreasing trend	574197	21	2004 - 2025	8.0	-0.23	8.07	0	0
EB03	Significantly decreasing trend	578121	22	2004 - 2025	7.9	-0.26	7.99	-0.01	0
EB01b	Insufficient data to calculate trend	46922	2	2024 - 2025	7.9	-	-	-	-
EB04	No significant trend	139525	5	2021 - 2025	7.8	0.07	7.77	0.01	0.85

At two program locations, monthly average pH decreased by less than 0.01 pH units per year at one site and by 0.01 pH units per year at the other. No detectable change in monthly average pH was observed at two locations. There was insufficient data to fit a model for one location.



Figure 33: Map showing location of ph continuous water quality sampling locations within the boundaries of *Estero Bay Aquatic Preserve*. The bubble size on the maps above reflect the amount of data available at each sampling site.

Salinity - Continuous



Figure 34: Scatter plot of monthly average salinity over time at continuously monitored program locations. Each location is analyzed separately, with significant (blue) or non-significant (magenta) trend lines shown for time series that included five or more years of observations.

Table 36: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Results for Salinity - All Stations

Station	Statistical Trend	Sample Count	Years with Data	Period of Record	Median	tau	Sen Intercept	Sen Slope	p
EB01	Significantly decreasing trend	567163	19	2004 - 2022	30.7	-0.13	32.17	-0.1	0.01
EB02	No significant trend	589651	21	2004 - 2025	33.6	-0.07	33.6	-0.03	0.15
EB03	Significantly decreasing trend	586711	22	2004 - 2025	30.9	-0.21	31.61	-0.13	0
EB01b	Insufficient data to calculate trend	47853	2	2024 - 2025	30.0	-	-	-	-
EB04	No significant trend	134501	5	2021 - 2025	28.0	-0.12	28.66	-0.19	0.58

At two program locations, monthly average salinity decreased by 0.1 ppt per year at one site and by 0.13 ppt per year at the other. No detectable change in monthly average salinity was observed at two locations. There was insufficient data to fit a model for one location.



Figure 35: Map showing location of salinity continuous water quality sampling locations within the boundaries of *Estero Bay Aquatic Preserve*. The bubble size on the maps above reflect the amount of data available at each sampling site.

Turbidity - Continuous



Figure 36: Scatter plot of monthly average turbidity over time at continuously monitored program locations. Each location is analyzed separately, with significant (blue) or non-significant (magenta) trend lines shown for time series that included five or more years of observations.

Table 37: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Results for Turbidity - All Stations

Station	Statistical Trend	Sample Count	Years with Data	Period of Record	Median	tau	Sen Intercept	Sen Slope	p
EB01	Significantly increasing trend	510965	19	2004 - 2022	4	0.18	3.79	0.13	0
EB01b	Insufficient data to calculate trend	46725	2	2024 - 2025	5	-	-	-	-
EB02	Significantly decreasing trend	485010	21	2004 - 2025	5	-0.11	8.21	-0.09	0.03
EB03	No significant trend	466855	22	2004 - 2025	6	-0.02	7.74	-0.01	0.81
EB04	No significant trend	132067	5	2021 - 2025	5	-0.18	6.45	-0.38	0.17

At one program location, monthly average turbidity increased by 0.13 NTU per year. At one program location, monthly average turbidity decreased by 0.09 NTU per year. No detectable change in monthly average turbidity was observed at two locations. There was insufficient data to fit a model for one location.



Figure 37: Map showing location of turbidity continuous water quality sampling locations within the boundaries of *Estero Bay Aquatic Preserve*. The bubble size on the maps above reflect the amount of data available at each sampling site.

Water Temperature - Continuous



Figure 38: Scatter plot of monthly average water temperature over time at continuously monitored program locations. Each location is analyzed separately, with significant (blue) or non-significant (magenta) trend lines shown for time series that included five or more years of observations.

Table 38: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Results for Water Temperature - All Stations

Station	Statistical Trend	Sample Count	Years with Data	Period of Record	Median	tau	Sen Intercept	Sen Slope	p
EB01	Significantly increasing trend	617636	19	2004 - 2022	26.8	0.25	25.69	0.06	0
EB02	Significantly increasing trend	634870	22	2004 - 2025	26.5	0.31	25.68	0.06	0
EB01b	Insufficient data to calculate trend	49701	2	2024 - 2025	26.4	-	-	-	-
EB03	Significantly increasing trend	625637	22	2004 - 2025	26.4	0.18	25.68	0.05	0
EB04	No significant trend	144519	5	2021 - 2025	27.1	0.12	26.78	0.14	0.32

At three program locations, monthly average water temperature increased between 0.05 and 0.06°C per year. No detectable change in monthly average water temperature was observed at one location. There was insufficient data to fit a model for one location.



Figure 39: Map showing location of water temperature continuous water quality sampling locations within the boundaries of *Estero Bay Aquatic Preserve*. The bubble size on the maps above reflect the amount of data available at each sampling site.

Submerged Aquatic Vegetation

The data file used is: **All_SAV_Parameters-2025-Sep-04.txt**

Submerged aquatic vegetation (*SAV*) refers to plants and plant-like macroalgae species that live entirely underwater. The two primary categories of *SAV* inhabiting Florida estuaries are *benthic macroalgae* and *seagrasses*. They often grow together in dense beds or meadows that carpet the seafloor. *Macroalgae* include multicellular species of green, red and brown algae that often live attached to the substrate by a holdfast. They tend to grow quickly and can tolerate relatively high nutrient levels, making them a threat to seagrasses and other benthic habitats in areas with poor water quality. In contrast, *seagrasses* are grass-like, vascular, flowering plants that are attached to the seafloor by extensive root systems. *Seagrasses* occur throughout the coastal areas of Florida, including protected bays and lagoons as well as deeper offshore waters on the continental shelf. *Seagrasses* have taken advantage of the broad, shallow shelf and clear water to produce two of the most extensive seagrass beds anywhere in continental North America.

Parameters

Percent Cover measures the fraction of an area of seafloor that is covered by SAV, usually estimated by evaluating multiple small areas of seafloor. Percent cover is often estimated for total SAV, individual types of vegetation (seagrass, attached algae, drift algae) and individual species.

Frequency of Occurrence was calculated as the number of times a taxon was observed in a year divided by the number of sampling events, multiplied by 100. Analysis is conducted at the quadrat level and is inclusive of all quadrats (i.e., quadrats evaluated using Braun-Blanquet, modified Braun-Blanquet, and percent cover."

Species

Turtle grass (*Thalassia testudinum*) is the largest of the Florida seagrasses, with longer, thicker blades and deeper root structures than any of the other seagrasses. It is considered a climax seagrass species.

Shoal grass (*Halodule wrightii*) is an early colonizer of vegetated areas and usually grows in water too shallow for other species except *widgeon grass*. It can often tolerate larger salinity ranges than other seagrass species. *Shoal grass* is characterized by thin, flat blades, that are narrower than *turtle grass* blades.

Manatee grass (*Syringodium filiforme*) is easily recognizable because its leaves are thin and cylindrical instead of the flat, ribbon-like form shared by many other seagrass species. The leaves can grow up to half a meter in length. *Manatee grass* is usually found in mixed seagrass beds or small, dense monospecific patches.

Widgeon grass (*Ruppia maritima*) grows in both fresh and salt water and is widely distributed throughout Florida's estuaries in less saline areas, particularly in inlets along the east coast. This species resembles *shoal grass* in certain environments but can be identified by the pointed tips of its leaves.

Three species of *Halophila spp.* are found in Florida - **Star grass** (*Halophila engelmannii*), **Paddle grass** (*Halophila decipiens*), and **Johnson's seagrass** (*Halophila johnsonii*). These are smaller, more fragile seagrasses than other Florida species and are considered ephemeral. They grow along a single long rhizome, with short blades. These species are not well-studied, although surveys are underway to define their ecological roles.

Notes

Star grass, *Paddle grass*, and *Johnson's seagrass* will be grouped together and listed as **Halophila spp.** in the following managed areas. This is because several surveys did not specify to the species level:

- Banana River Aquatic Preserve
- Indian River-Malabar to Vero Beach Aquatic Preserve
- Indian River-Vero Beach to Ft. Pierce Aquatic Preserve
- Jensen Beach to Jupiter Inlet Aquatic Preserve
- Loxahatchee River-Lake Worth Creek Aquatic Preserve
- Mosquito Lagoon Aquatic Preserve

- Biscayne Bay Aquatic Preserve
- Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary



Figure 40: Maps showing the temporal scope of SAV sampling sites within the boundaries of *Estero Bay Aquatic Preserve* by Program name.

Click here to view spatio-temporal plots on GitHub.

Sampling locations by Program:



Figure 41: Map showing SAV sampling sites within the boundaries of *Estero Bay Aquatic Preserve*. The point size reflects the number of samples at a given sampling site.

Table 39: Program Information for Submerged Aquatic Vegetation

ProgramID	*N-Data*	*YearMin*	*YearMax*	*method*	*Sample Locations*
571	2715	2002	2024	Braun Blanquet	5

Program names:

571 - Estero Bay Seagrass Monitoring[15]



Figure 42: Scatter plots of median percent cover of submerged aquatic vegetation over time by group. Plots for time series that included five or more years of observations show the estimated trend as a blue line.



Figure 43: Trends in median percent cover for various seagrass species in Estero Bay Aquatic Preserve - simplified

Table 40: Percent Cover Trend Analysis for Estero Bay Aquatic Preserve

CommonName	*Trend Significance (0.05)*	*Period of Record*	*LME-Intercept*	*LME-Slope*	*p*
Attached algae	No significant trend	2002 - 2024	8.134709	-0.2019852	0.3861789
Drift algae	No significant trend	2002 - 2024	20.036336	-0.2876233	0.3785230
Shoal grass	Significantly decreasing trend	2002 - 2024	37.073941	-0.8305678	0.0010585
Paddle grass	No significant trend	2002 - 2023	18.899337	-0.0551538	0.9221974
Star grass	Model did not fit the available data	2002 - 2022	-	-	-
No grass in quadrat	Model did not fit the available data	2002 - 2024	-	-	-
Manatee grass	No significant trend	2003 - 2021	26.939096	-0.9720960	0.3517604
Turtle grass	Significantly decreasing trend	2002 - 2024	22.446254	-0.4790471	0.0101184
Total seagrass	Significantly decreasing trend	2006 - 2024	47.664967	-1.2703688	0.0235055
Halophila, unk.	Model did not fit the available data	2003 - 2015	-	-	-

Annual decreases in percent cover were observed for total seagrass (-1.3%), shoal grass (-0.8%), and turtle grass (-0.5%). Manatee grass, paddle grass, attached algae, and drift algae showed no detectable change in percent cover. A model could not be fitted for unknown *Halophila* and star grass.



Figure 44: Frequency of occurrence for various seagrass species in Estero Bay Aquatic Preserve

SAV Water Column Analysis

The following parameters are available for Estero Bay Aquatic Preserve within the SAV_WC_Report:

- Colored Disolved Organic Matter
- Chlorophyll a
- Dissolved Oxygen
- Dissolved Oxygen Saturation
- pH
- Salinity

- Secchi Depth
- Water Temperature
- Total Nitrogen
- Total Suspended Solids
- Turbidity

Access the reports here: DRAFT_SAV_WC_Report_2024-11-20.pdf

Oyster

The data file used is: **All_OYSTER_Parameters-2025-Sep-04.txt**

Density

Natural



Figure 45: Scatter plot of oyster density over time. If the time series included five or more years with observations, an estimated trend (blue line) and a 95% credible interval (purple band) may also be plotted. Data points are jittered horizontally to reduce overlap.

Table 41: Model results for Oyster Density - Natural

Shell Type	*Habitat Type*	*Trend Status*	*Estimate*	*Standard Error*	*Credible Interval*
Live Oysters	Natural	Significantly decreasing trend	-6.42	289.31	-3.28 to -13.5

For natural reefs, density decreased by an average of 6.54 oysters per square meter per year. For restored reefs, there was insufficient data to calculate a trend for density.



Figure 46: Map showing location of oyster density sampling locations within the boundaries of *Estero Bay Aquatic Preserve*. The bubble size on the maps above reflect the amount of data available at each sampling site.

Percent Live

Natural



Figure 47: Scatter plot of percent live oysters over time. If the time series included five or more years with observations, an estimated trend (blue line) and a 95% credible interval (purple band) may also be plotted. Data points are jittered horizontally to reduce overlap.

Table 42: Model results for Oyster Percent Live - Natural

Shell Type	*Habitat Type*	*Trend Status*	*Estimate*	*Standard Error*	*Credible Interval*
Live Oysters	Natural	Significantly decreasing trend	-0.6	18	-0.08 to -0.76

For natural reefs, percent live cover decreased by an average of 0.59% per year. For restored reefs, there was insufficient data to calculate a trend for percent live cover.



Figure 48: Map showing location of oyster percent live sampling locations within the boundaries of *Estero Bay Aquatic Preserve*. The bubble size on the maps above reflect the amount of data available at each sampling site.

Shell Height

Natural



Table 43: Model results for Oyster Shell Height - Natural

Shell Type	*SizeClass*	*Habitat Type*	*Trend Status*	*Estimate*	*Standard Error*	*Credible Interval*
Dead Oyster Shells		Natural	-	-	-	-
Dead Oyster Shells	>75mm	Natural	-	-	-	-
Dead Oyster Shells	25-75mm	Natural	-	-	-	-
Live Oysters		Natural	-	-	-	-
Live Oysters	>75mm	Natural	No significant change	0.65	1.81	-2.76 to 4.53
Live Oysters	25-75mm	Natural	Significantly decreasing trend	-1.08	0.28	-1.65 to -0.55

For natural reefs, annual average live oyster shell height in the >=75mm size class increased by 0.65 mm per year, and it decreased by 1.08mm per year in the 25-75mm size class. For restored reefs, there was insufficient data to calculate a trend for live oysters in either the 25-75mm or the >=75mm size class. Models are not run on dead oyster shell measurements.



Figure 49: Map showing location of oyster shell height sampling locations within the boundaries of *Estero Bay Aquatic Preserve*. The bubble size on the maps above reflect the amount of data available at each sampling site.

Species list

Caulerpa prolifera[1]	Halodule wrightii[1]	No grass in quadrat[1]
Caulerpa sertularioides[1]	Halophila decipiens[1]	Syringodium filiforme[1]
Caulerpa spp.[1]	Halophila engelmannii[1]	Thalassia testudinum[1]
Drift algae[1]	Halophila sp.[1]	Total seagrass[1]

1 - Submerged Aquatic Vegetation

References

1. Florida Department of Environmental Protection (DEP); Office of Resilience and Coastal Protection (RCP); Charlotte Harbor Aquatic Preserves. Charlotte Harbor Estuaries Volunteer Water Quality Monitoring Network. (2024).
2. Florida Department of Environmental Protection (DEP); Florida Division of Environmental Assessment and Restoration (DEAR); Lee County Environmental Lab; Florida Department of Environmental Protection (DEP); Office of Resilience and Coastal Protection (RCP); Estero Bay Aquatic Preserve. Estero Bay Tributary Monitoring. (2024).
3. Florida Department of Environmental Protection (DEP). Florida STORET / WIN. (2024).
4. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). EPA STOrage and RETrieval Data Warehouse (STORET)/WQX. (2023).
5. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA); Office of Research and Development. Environmental Monitoring Assessment Program. (2004).
6. Florida International University (FIU); Southeastern Environmental Research Program. SERC Water Quality Monitoring Network. (2008).
7. University of Florida (UF); Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences. Florida LAKEWATCH Program. (2024).
8. Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission (FWC). Fisheries-Independent Monitoring (FIM) Program. (2022).
9. Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission (FWC); Florida Fish and Wildlife Research Institute (FWRI). Harmful Algal Bloom Marine Observation Network. (2018).
10. Florida Department of Environmental Protection (DEP); Office of Resilience and Coastal Protection (RCP); Estero Bay Aquatic Preserve. Estero Bay Oyster Monitoring. (2024).
11. SeaGrant; University of Florida. A spatial model to improve site selection for seagrass restoration in shallow boating environments. (2012).
12. Charlotte Harbor National Estuary Program (CHNEP). Coastal Charlotte Harbor Monitoring Network. (2024).
13. Sanibel-Captiva Conservation Foundation (SCCF). River, Estuary and Coastal Observing Network. (2024).
14. Florida Department of Environmental Protection (DEP); Office of Resilience and Coastal Protection (RCP); Estero Bay Aquatic Preserve. Estero Bay Aquatic Preserve Continuous Water Quality Monitoring. (2024).
15. Florida Department of Environmental Protection (DEP); Office of Resilience and Coastal Protection (RCP); Estero Bay Aquatic Preserve. Estero Bay Seagrass Monitoring. (2023).