Construction of Stiefel-Whitney Classes

In these notes, we will construct important topological invariants: Stiefel-Whitney classes. These cohomology classes classify vector bundles to some extent. Let $\pi: E \to B$ be a vector bundle. A straightforward way to define the Stiefel-Whitney classes is by the following axioms:

- 1. The *i*-th Stiefel-Whitney class, $w_i(E)$, is an element of $H^i(B; \mathbb{Z}/2)$, $w_0(E) = 1$, and $w_i(E) = 0$ for $i > \operatorname{rank}(E)$.
- 2. The Stiefel-Whitney classes are natural in the sense that they commute with pullbacks.
- 3. The total Stiefel-Whitney class, $w(E) = \sum w_j(E)$, of a direct sum splits as a cup product: $w(E_1 \oplus E_2) = w(E_1) \smile w(E_2)$.
- 4. The tautological line bundle over \mathbb{RP}^1 has non-zero w_1 .

This gives us some nice immediate properties, such as $w_i(E_1 \oplus E_2) = w_i(E_1)$, if E_2 is trivial. Another interesting property is that $w_j(E) = 0$ for j > n - k if there are k fiber-wise linearly independent non-vanishing sections of E. One can show that, in fact, real vector bundles have Stiefel-Whitney classes described by a pullback of the class on the canonical bundle over the infinite Grassmannian, $G_n(\mathbb{R}^{\infty})$ of the corresponding dimension. Using these ideas, we can show that Stiefel-Whitney classes are unique.

Now, there exists a unique cohomology class, $u \in H^n(E, E_0)$ that restricts to the nonzero elements of $H^n(F, F_0)$ in each fiber, where a zero subscript denotes a subtraction of the image of the zero section. Cup product with u then yields an isomorphism, and additionally, $B \hookrightarrow E$ as the zero section, which means that E deformation retracts onto B and yields an isomorphism $\pi^*: H^k(B) \to H^k(E)$. Hence, the map $\phi: H^k(B) \to H^{n+k}(E, E_0)$ is an isomorphism called the Thom isomorphism.

Another important element of this construction is the construction of the Steenrod squaring operations. These are written with respect to $\mathbb{Z}/2$ coefficients and are characterized by the axioms:

- 1. For spaces, $A \subset X$, and integers i and n, there is a homomorphism $\operatorname{Sq}^i: H^n(X,A) \to H^{n+i}(X,A)$.
- 2. These homomorphisms are natural in the same sense as above.
- 3. $Sq^0 = id$, Sq^n is the cup square, and $Sq^i = 0$ if i > n by definition.
- 4. If $Sq = \sum Sq^i$, then $Sq(a \smile b) = Sq(a) \smile Sq(b)$.

It follows that we can define $w_i(E) = \phi^{-1} \operatorname{Sq}^i \phi(1)$, which can be shown to coincide with the original definition.