Player Performance Prediction Report

Problem, Refinement, Hypothesis

Identification

Stephen Curry is the best shooter that has ever graced the NBA and is soley responsible for the renaissance of the three-point era. His marksmanship lead him to his first MVP in 2014-15 and to subsequently becoming the first unanimous MVP in 2015-16 the year after. Given his unparalled skillset, we wonder how much of his shooting performance (shots made) is influenced by the opposing defense.

Idea

For a decent measure of a team's defensive potency, we will be using ESPN John Hollinger's *defensive efficiency* or more commonly *defensive rating* stat that estimates the number of points a team allows per 100 possessions. We will be ranking and binning the teams into three categories / tiers based on their defensive ratings - top, middle, and bottom defensive ratings.

We are primarily interested in the number of shots Curry makes as time passes in a game, specifically the cumulative number of shotscum shot made.

Hypothesis Testing

Since we are looking to test whether or not there is a difference between his shooting performances, we will perform a statistical analysis to verify our suspicions. We start with an initial assumption that there *is no statistical difference* between his performance against the different tiers (null hypothesis). Alternatively if that were to be proven wrong (\$p-value < 0.05\$), then we can assume that there *is a statistically significant difference* between the tiers (alternative hypothesis).

Data Collection, Cleaning, and Preprocessing Process

A majority of our data was collected from basketball-reference.com, pbpstats.com, and stats.nba.com.

Webscrape

We were unable to access play-by-play data online without being met by a pay wall. Luckily enough, we found shooting chart data on basketball-reference. Each of the marks on the chart represents a shot Curry took in that season and was embedded as data in an html element. Given that it is an HTML element, we realized that we could scrape the data online and format it into our own dataset.

The webscrape parses data points in the form

```
<div class="tooltip make" style="top:292px;left:335px;" tip="Oct 17, 2017, GSW vs HOU&lt;br&gt;1st Qtr,
8:09 remaining&lt;br&gt;Made 3-pointer from 25 ft&lt;br&gt;GSW now leads 15-7">•</div>
```

and cleans / transforms it into a dataframe like this sample row

date	home	vs	quarter	time_left	shot_made	shot_value	shot_distance	X	У	shot_description	game_score
2017- 10-17	True	HOU	1	08:09	True	3	25	335	292	Made 3-pointer from 25 ft	GSW now leads 15-7

We ran shot_webscrape.py 10 times for each of the seasons Curry played since his rookie year of 2009 to the most recent season 2019. We collected 13,905 rows which represent the total number of shots Curry took in his career up until the end of the 2019 season. The results of our data collected can be seen in the /data/shooting/curry-2009-2019/ in the project directory.

For more information about our webscrape script, refer to our README.md on how to use it and /scripts/shot_webscrape.py to see the code.

Team Defensive Rating Data from 2009-2019

Given that our shot data for Curry spans over 10 years, we decided it would be appropriate to take team defensive data over 10 years as well. Our goal was to come up with a decisive list of the decade's best defensive teams and rank them accordingly.

We gathered each team's historical defensive ratings data from 2009-2019 through stats.nba.com. During this period, three teams underwent rebrandings. We cleaned our collected files in /data/defensive-rating/ and matched the *old team names* with their current team names.

- Charlotte Bobcats == Charlotte Hornets
- New Jersey Nets == Brooklyn Nets
- New Orleans Hornets == New Orleans Pelicans

We then aggregated the defensive rating data for each team and averaged it to get a 10 year average defensive rating. We then re-ranked the teams accordingly and added acronyms for each team for use in preprocessing. Finally we exported it as tm-defrtg-avg-2009-2019, csv. The graph below shows our resulting aggregated defensive ratings.

The steps in this process were done in the jupyter notebook found in /scripts/DefensiveRatings teams.ipynb.

Preprocessing

After collecting the data, our main preprocessing work combined all the webscraping data into one dataframe that was exported as the csv file, \data/shot-data-all.csv. We added a column for cumulative shots made, cumulative attempts, and cumulative field goal percentage (made / attempts).

With the aggregated defensive ratings from the previous section, we split teams into three tiers based on their 10 year defensive rankings - top, middle, and bottom. We subsetted Curry's shooting data from shot-data-all.csv into individual csv's /data/top-def.csv, /data/mid-def.csv, and /data/bot-def.csv. By separating out Curry's shooting data by tier, we then analyzed and checked whether there is a stasitical significance in his shooting performances against each tier.

For more information on the steps we took for preprocessing, refer to the jupyter notebook /scripts/combine shooting data.ipynb.

Techniques

ML Regression Model Pipeline

Our goal with this Machine Learning model is to predict the cumulative shots made, cum shots made with respect to 8 different features.

```
['game_time','shot_made','quarter','home','shot_distance','shot_value','cum_attempts','cum_fg_percent']
```

Each of our features are measured and scaled differently - making them incomparable. To resolve this issue we used StandardScaler() to make them comparable.

Our play-by-play shooting data is dependent on each other since each feature influences the success rate of a shot. Given this issue, we learned that one of Principle Component Analysis's (PCA) strengths is in transforming the features to become independent through its intermediary steps. Given that all 8 of our features are pertinent toward the shot success rate, we kept all features when applying PCA.

Initially we tried a basic LinearRegression () model on our data but found that our results were inaccurate (validation score of 0.50096). We assumed that the regular regression model had trouble making sense of all our features (n features = 8). So we decided to implement GradientBoostingRegressor() with n_estimators = 100 and learning_rate = 0.1. Gradient Boosting was a good solution since we can let the model decide which features were identified incorrectly and apply appropriate weighting to the decision trees (learning rate).

```
model = make_pipeline(
   StandardScaler(),
   PCA(8),
   GradientBoostingRegressor(), # defaults: n_estimator = 100, learning_rate = 0.1
)
```

Statistical Tests

Given that we are testing for differences between the tiers of team defenses, ANOVA is a suitable choice. Before we proceed, we verify that the conditions and assumptions for this statistical test are met:

- 1. observations are indenpdent and identically distributed,
- 2. groups are normally distributed,
- 3. and groups have equal variance.

We assume that shots are independent of each other, that is each shot does not influence the success rate of the other. Our sample size is \$n > 1000\$ for each set, therefore through the Central Limit Theorem we can assume normality. To check for equal variance, we performed a levene test and achieved a p-value = 0.14840. This is also reflected in the graph below. Therefore, all the conditions of ANOVA are met.

Model Application

We split our data with train_test_split(X, y) and trained each of the three data sets individually then used it predict the cum_shot_made column for each tier.

Details can be seen in our file /data/statistical_analysis.ipynb.

Results

ANOVA

After simulating the regression model multiple times, the majority of the scenarios resulted in a p-value > 0.05. Therefore, we fail to reject the null hypothesis and conclude that there is **no significant statistical difference** between Curry's performance against different tiers of defensive teams.

Inference

Based on our outcome, we found that Curry is remarkably consistent in the number of shots he makes regardless of the defensive potency of the other team.

However, we found that Curry seems to have a tendency to make a lot more shots, or in basketball lingo explode, in the first quarter against teams in the lower defensive ratings.

For this experiment, we were examining Team Defense Rating and found no results. However, the results may perhaps be different if instead our scope shifted to looking at player matchups rather than the team collectively.

Overfitting or Underfitting

Based on our training and validation score, we managed to achieve these validation scores.

```
Training Score on Top DEF RTG is: 0.982

Validation Score on Top DEF RTG is: 0.964

Training Score on Mid DEF RTG is: 0.985

Validation Score on Mid DEF RTG is: 0.985

Training Score on Bot DEF RTG is: 0.988

Validation Score on Bot DEF RTG is: 0.988
```

We can conclude that we arent over fitting the data since the training and validation scores have a minute and insignificant difference. The graph below shows the training points, predicted points, and the regression line for each tier of defences.

Limitations

At the start of our project, our original question was how is Curry's shooting performance against other teams given a certain matchup / matchups on defense. We had a lot of difficulties finding Curry's performance against different opponents. At one point, we came upon a very promising nba_api and thought we could utilize it to retrieve play-by-play stats and matchup data. However, the documentation for the api is not clear and we found that there were many bugs while using it. In addition, the server that the api was hosted on frequently times out regardless of the request size. We left the data we obtained from the api in the project folder /data/steph-vs-team/ since we spent a sizable amount of time exploring it. In the end, we managed to obtain aggregated matchup data but there were very few points for us to use.

As we briefly mentioned before in the webscraping section, we found data on Curry's shooting chart data from basketball-reference.com with data points and information of each point embedded in HTML. We noticed that each of these data points were essentially play-by-play data of every shot he took. Making the most of what we could find, we redefined our question to explore Stephen Curry's shooting performace against top, middle, and defensive teams.

If we had more time, we could get a better understanding of nba_api and could potentially make use of its aggregated matchup data. Shooting is only one dimension to the overall performance of a player. Knowing this, we were also interested in creating a model to predict other statistical metrics for Curry such as assists, rebounds, and turnovers.

Things we could have improved on would be ensuring we had the correct dataset to answer our questions. We had a plethora of ideas and

algorithms that we wanted to implement but realized that we had to work around the limiting factor of data and adjust our problems to the data we could obtain.

Project Experience / Overview

For the majority of the project, we worked very closely with each other and had a big influence on every part of the project. We find it hard to decisively break down our contributions individually, but rather we prefer to see this as a collective effort.

Angus' Accomplishment Statements

- Created a web scraper that scrapes 10 years of shooting data which resulted in 14k rows of data from basketball-reference.
- Produced visualizations of Stephen Curry's performance against top, middle, bottom defensive teams
- Fit and transformed our data into features that we desire into our model.
- Applied a variety of machine learning models to see which is the most efficient and used GradientBoostRegressor to achieve 90-98% accuracy score.

Anson's Accomplishment Statements

- Collected and cleaned 10 years of defensive rating data from stats.nba for all 30 NBA Teams.
- Conducted a statistical analysis using ANOVA to see if there was a difference between top, middle, bottom defensive teams.
- Created visualizations of NBA Team's defensive rating, training data and prediction data of all 3 tiers using scatterplot, and an implementation of a prediction line.
- Applied a variety of machine learning models to see which is the most efficient and used GradientBoostRegressor to achieve 90-98% accuracy score.