Homework

Due date: February 27, 2025

1. Let $f(x) = 3xe^x - \cos x$. By using the forward-difference formula, the three-point midpoint formula, and the five-point midpoint formula with h = 0.1, 0.05, 0.01, compute approximations of f'(1.3).

For $x_0 = 1.3$, the function at the values modified by h are as follows.

h	Rule	\boldsymbol{x}	f(x)
0.1	$x_0 - h$	1.2	11.5901
	$x_0 + h$	1.4	16.8619
	x_0-2h	1.1	9.4602
	$x_0 + 2h$	1.5	20.0969
0.05	$x_0 - h$	1.25	12.7735
	$x_0 + h$	1.35	15.4036
	x_0-2h	1.2	11.5901
	$x_0 + 2h$	1.4	16.8619
0.01	$x_0 - h$	1.29	13.7818
	$x_0 + h$	1.31	14.3074
	x_0-2h	1.28	13.5244
	$x_0 + 2h$	1.32	14.5758

Forward Difference

$$f'(x_0) = \frac{1}{h}(f(x_0 + h) - f(x_0)) + O(h)$$

For h = 0.1

$$f'(1.3) \approx \frac{1}{0.1}(f(1.4) - f(1.3)) = \frac{16.8619 - 14.0427}{0.1} = 28.191$$

For h = 0.05

$$f'(1.3) \approx \frac{1}{0.05}(f(1.35) - f(1.3)) = \frac{15.4036 - 14.0427}{0.05} = 27.216$$

For h = 0.01

$$f'(1.3) \approx \frac{1}{0.01} (f(1.31) - f(1.3)) = \frac{14.3074 - 14.0427}{0.01} = 26.47$$

3 point midpoint

$$f'(x_0) = \frac{1}{2h}(f(x_0 + h) - f(x_0 - h)) + O(h^2)$$

For h = 0.1

$$f'(1.3) \approx \frac{1}{2(0.1)}(16.8619 - 11.5901) = 26.359$$

For
$$h = 0.05$$

$$f'(1.3) \approx \frac{1}{2(0.05)}(15.4036 - 12.7735) = 26.301$$
 For $h = 0.01$
$$f'(1.3) \approx \frac{1}{2(0.01)}(14.3074 - 13.7818) = 26.28$$

5 point midpoint

$$f'(x_0) = \frac{1}{12h} (f(x_0 - 2h) - 8f(x_0 - h) + 8f(x_0 + h) - f(x_0 + 2h)) + O(h^4)$$
For $h = 0.1$

$$f'(1.3) \approx \frac{1}{12(0.1)} ((9.4602) - 8(11.5901) + 8(16.8619) - 20.0969) = 26.2814$$
For $h = 0.05$

$$f'(1.3) \approx \frac{1}{12(0.05)}((11.5901) - 8(12.7735) + 8(15.4036) - (16.8619)) = 26.2817$$

For h = 0.01

$$f'(1.3) \approx \frac{1}{12(0.01)}((13.5244) - 8(13.7818) + 8(14.3074) - (14.5758)) = 26.2783$$

- 2.
- 3. We can differentiate $y = x^3$ as follows:

$$f'(x) = \frac{d}{dx}x^3 = 3x^2$$

. Thus the integrand becomes

$$\sqrt{1 + (3x^2)^2} = \sqrt{1 + 9x^4}$$

. So using Simpson's rule, we need to evaluate

$$L = \int_0^1 \sqrt{1 + 9x^4} dx$$

. We can approximate the integral as follows:

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx \approx \frac{h}{3} [f(x_0) + 4f(x_1) + 2f(x_2) + 4f(x_3) + 2f(x_4) + 4f(x_5) + f(x_6)]$$

where $h = \frac{b-a}{n}$ and $x_i = a + ih$. For n = 6, a = 0, and b = 1,

$$h = \frac{1 - 0}{6} = \frac{1}{6}$$

The nodes are

$$x_0 = 0$$
, $x_1 = \frac{1}{6}$, $x_2 = \frac{2}{6}$, $x_3 = \frac{3}{6}$, $x_4 = \frac{4}{6}$, $x_5 = \frac{5}{6}$, $x_6 = 1$.

So we can evaluate $f(x) = \sqrt{1 + 9x^4}$ at these points:

$$f(0) = \sqrt{1 + 9(0)^4} = \sqrt{1} = 1.$$

$$f\left(\frac{1}{6}\right) = \sqrt{1 + 9\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)^4} = \sqrt{1.00694} \approx 1.00347$$

$$f\left(\frac{2}{6}\right) = \sqrt{1 + 9\left(\frac{2}{6}\right)^4} = \sqrt{1.05556} \approx 1.02747$$

$$f\left(\frac{3}{6}\right) = \sqrt{1 + 9\left(\frac{3}{6}\right)^4} = \sqrt{1.5625} = 1.25$$

$$f\left(\frac{4}{6}\right) = \sqrt{1 + 9\left(\frac{4}{6}\right)^4} = \sqrt{1.7778} \approx 1.3333$$

$$f\left(\frac{5}{6}\right) = \sqrt{1 + 9\left(\frac{5}{6}\right)^4} = \sqrt{2.3403} \approx 1.53$$

$$f(1) = \sqrt{1 + 9(1)^4} = \sqrt{10} \approx 3.1623$$

We can then use the Simpson's rule formula

$$L \approx \frac{h}{3} \left[f(0) + 4f\left(\frac{1}{6}\right) + 2f\left(\frac{2}{6}\right) + 4f\left(\frac{3}{6}\right) + 2f\left(\frac{4}{6}\right) + 4f\left(\frac{5}{6}\right) + f(1) \right]$$

And substitute values to get the following:

$$L \approx \frac{1}{18} \left[1 + 4(1.00347) + 2(1.02747) + 4(1.25) + 2(1.3333) + 4(1.53) + 3.1623 \right]$$

$$L \approx \frac{1}{18} \left[1 + 4.0139 + 2.0549 + 5 + 2.6667 + 6.12 + 3.1623 \right]$$

$$L \approx \frac{1}{18} \times 24.0178$$

$$L \approx 1.3343$$

4.

5. (a) Proof. By the extreme value theorem. f has a maximum and minimum on [a,b]. Therefore, there exists $x_{\min}, x_{\max} \in [a,b]$ such that $f(x_{\min}) = \min_{a \leq x \leq b} f(x)$ and $f(x \max) = \max_{a \leq x \leq b} f(x)$. Furthermore, by definition of the maximum and minimum, we have

$$f(x_{\min}) = \frac{nf(x_{\min})}{n} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_{\min})}{n} \le \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i)}{n} \le \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_{\max})}{n} = f(x_{\max}).$$

Therefore, by the intermediate value theorem, there exists an $c \in [x_{\min}, x_{\max}] \subseteq [a, b]$ such that

$$f(c) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i)}{n}$$

which is the desired result.

(b)

Theorem 1 (Integral Mean Value Theorem for g(x) = 1). Let f be a continuous function on [a, b]. Then there exists a $c \in [a.b]$ such that

$$f(c)(b-a) = \int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx.$$

Lemma 1. Let f be a Riemann integrable function on [a,b], such that

$$f(x) \ge 0$$

for all $x \in [a, b]$. Then

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx \ge 0.$$

Proof of Lemma 1. Let $P = \{a = x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n = b\}$ be an arbitrary partition of [a, b]. Since $f(x) \ge 0$ on [a, b], we have that

$$U(f,P) := \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - x_{i-1})M_i \ge 0$$

and

$$L(f, P) := \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - x_{i-1}) m_i \ge 0$$

where $M_i := \sup_{x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]} f(x)$ and $M_i := \inf_{x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]} f(x)$. Therefore, since P was arbitrary, we must have that

$$\overline{\int_a^b} f(x)dx := \inf\{U(f,P) \mid P \text{ is a partition of } [a,b]\} \ge 0.$$

Since f is Riemann integrable, we finally have that

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx = \overline{\int_{a}^{b}} f(x)dx \ge 0$$

which is the desired result.

Lemma 2. Let f and g be Riemann integrable functions on [a,b] such that for all $x \in [a,b]$,

$$f(x) \ge g(x)$$
.

Then

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx \ge \int_{a}^{b} g(x)dx$$

Proof of Lemma 2. Consider h(x) := f(x) - g(x). Since f and g are Riemann integrable on [a,b], h is also Riemann integrable on [a,b]. Furthermore, since $f(x) \ge g(x)$ for all $x \in [a,b]$,

$$h(x) \ge 0$$

for all $x \in [a, b]$. Therefore, by Lemma 1 and the additivity of the Riemann integral, we have that

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx - \int_{a}^{b} g(x)dx \ge 0.$$

Adding $\int_a^b g(x)dx$ to both sides completes the proof.

Lemma 3. Let f and g be Riemann integrable functions on [a,b] such that for all $x \in [a,b]$,

$$f(x) \le g(x).$$

Then

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx \le \int_{a}^{b} g(x)dx$$

Proof of Lemma 3. Since $f(x) \leq g(x)$ on [a,b], then $-f(x) \geq -g(x)$ on [a,b]. Furthermore, since f and g are both Riemann integrable, then -f and -g are also both Riemann integrable. Therefore, by Lemma 2 and the linearity of the integral, we have

$$-\int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx \ge -\int_{a}^{b} g(x)dx.$$

Multiplying by -1 completes the proof.

$$m(b-a) \le \int_a^b f(x)dx \le M(b-a).$$

Proof of Lemma 4. Since $m \leq f(x) \leq M$ for all $x \in [a, b]$. By Lemma 2, Lemma 3, and properties of the Riemann integral, we have that

$$m(b-a) = \int_a^b m dx \le \int_a^b f(x) dx \le \int_a^b M dx = M(b-a)$$

which was the desired result.

Proof of Theorem 1. Since f is continuous on [a, b], f attains a maximum and minimum on [a, b], say M and m respectively. Then by Lemma 4, we have that

$$m(b-a) \le \int_a^b f(x)dx \le M(b-a)$$

which implies that

$$m \le \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x) dx \le M.$$

Therefore, by the Intermediate Value Theorem, there exists³ a $c \in [a, b]$ such that

$$f(c) = \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx.$$

Multiplying by (b-a) gives the desired result.

- 6. (a)
 - (b)
 - (c)

¹Continuous on [a, b] also implies Riemann integrable on [a, b].

²These values exist by the extreme value theorem.

³Technically $c \in [x_{\min}, x_{\max}]$ where $f(x_{\min}) = m$ and $f(x_{\max}) = M$. However, we will skip over that detail as $[x_{\min}, x_{\max}] \subseteq [a, b]$.