Autonomous Mobile Robots

Fabian Blöchliger

Spring Semester 2016

1 Introduction and Motivation



2 Locomotion Concepts

Express point P which is given w.r.t body frame B in inertial frame I:

$$ {}_I\mathbf{r}_{OP} = {}_I\mathbf{r}_{OB} + \mathbf{R}_{IB}\,{}_B\mathbf{r}_{BP}. $$

Equivalent **homogeneous transformation** description:

$$ \begin{bmatrix} {}_I\mathbf{r}_{OP} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{R}_{IB} & {}_I\mathbf{r}_{OB} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} {}_B\mathbf{r}_{BP} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{H}_{IB} \cdot {}_B\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{BP}. $$

Velocity of rigid body point P:

$$ {}_I\mathbf{v}_P = {}_I\dot{\mathbf{r}}_{OP} = \dot{\mathbf{r}}_{OB} + {}_I\omega_{IB} \times {}_I\mathbf{r}_{BP}. $$

Differentiation in moving frame (**Coriolis equation**):

$$ {}_B\mathbf{v}_P = {}_B\left[\dot{\mathbf{r}}_{OP}\right] = \frac{\mathrm{d}\,{}_B\mathbf{r}_{OP}}{\mathrm{d}\,t} + {}_B\omega_{IB} \times {}_B\mathbf{r}_{OP} $$

Basic rotation matrices $\mathbf{R}_x(\bullet)$, $\mathbf{R}_y(\bullet)$, $\mathbf{R}_z(\bullet)$:

$$ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos & -\sin \\ 0 & \sin & \cos \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \cos & 0 & \sin \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\sin & 0 & \cos \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \cos & -\sin & 0 \\ \sin & \cos & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. $$

Jacobian (partial derivative of position vector $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{q})$ w.r.t. **generalized coordinate** vector $\mathbf{q}$):

$$ \mathbf{J} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{q})}{\partial \mathbf{q}} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial r_1}{\partial q_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial r_1}{\partial q_n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial r_m}{\partial q_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial r_m}{\partial q_n} \end{bmatrix}. $$

Left/right pseudoinverse for $m \times n$ matrix $\mathbf{J}$ to solve $\mathbf{r}_F = \mathbf{J}_F\mathbf{q}$ for $\mathbf{q}$:

$$ \mathbf{J}^+ = \begin{cases} (\mathbf{J}^\intercal\mathbf{J})^{-1}\mathbf{J}^\intercal, & m > n \ \text{(overdetermined)}, \\ \mathbf{J}^\intercal(\mathbf{J}\mathbf{J}^\intercal)^{-1}, & m < n \ \text{(underdetermined)}. \end{cases} $$

Iterative approach for **inverse kinematics** of robotic manipulator to find generalized coordinates for end-effector position $\mathbf{r}^{\text{goal}}$ (Newton's method):

$\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{q}^0,\ \mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{q})$
while $\|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}^{\text{goal}}\| >$ threshold **do**
  $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{q} + \mathbf{J}^+(\mathbf{q}) \cdot (\mathbf{r}^{\text{goal}} - \mathbf{r}),\ \ \mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{q})$

Inverse **differential kinematics** (get desired end-effector velocity $\dot{\mathbf{r}}_\mathbf{F}$):

$$ \dot{\mathbf{r}}_F = \mathbf{J}_F\dot{\mathbf{q}} \quad \rightarrow \quad \dot{\mathbf{q}} = \mathbf{J}_F^+\mathbf{r}_F. $$

3 Mobile Robot Kinematics

General wheel equation ($\mathbf{v}_{IR}, \omega_{IR}$: linear / angular robot velocity, ω_{RS}: steer rate, $\mathbf{r}_{SW}$: wheel offset):

$$ \mathbf{v}_{IW} = \mathbf{v}_{IR} + \omega_{IR} \times [\mathbf{r}_{RS} + \mathbf{r}_{SW}] + \omega_{RS} \times \mathbf{r}_{SW}. $$

Standard wheel equation (no wheel offset $\mathbf{r}_{SW}$):

$$ \mathbf{v}_{IW} = \mathbf{v}_{IR} + \omega_{IR} \times \mathbf{r}_{RS}. $$



Rolling constraint ($_W\mathbf{v}_{IW} = [0, -r\dot{\varphi}, 0]^\intercal$):

$$ \begin{bmatrix} \sin(\alpha+\beta) & -\cos(\alpha+\beta) & (-l)\cos(\beta) \end{bmatrix} R(\theta)\dot{\xi}_I = r\dot{\varphi}. $$

No-sliding constraint:

$$ \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\alpha+\beta) & \sin(\alpha+\beta) & l\sin(\beta) \end{bmatrix} R(\theta)\dot{\xi}_I = 0. $$

Robot state: $\xi_I = \begin{bmatrix} x & y & \theta \end{bmatrix}^\intercal$, $\dot{\xi}_R = R(\theta)\dot{\xi}_I$, $R(\theta) = \mathbf{R}_z^\intercal(\theta)$.

Stacked equations of motion for a $(N_f + N_s)$-wheeled robot:

(rolling) **(no-sliding)** $\begin{bmatrix} J_1(\beta_s) \\ C_1(\beta_s) \end{bmatrix} R(\theta)\dot{\xi}_I = \begin{bmatrix} J_2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \dot{\varphi}, \quad \dot{\varphi} = \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_1 .. \varphi_N \end{bmatrix}$,

with

$$ J_1(\beta_s) = \begin{bmatrix} J_{1f} \\ J_{1s}(\beta_s) \end{bmatrix}, J_2 = \mathrm{diag}(r_1 .. r_N), C_1(\beta_s) = \begin{bmatrix} C_{1f} \\ C_{1s}(\beta_s) \end{bmatrix}. $$

A robot's **degree of maneuverability** φ_M is

$$ \delta_M = \delta_m + \delta_s, $$

which is the sum of its **degree of mobility** φ_m and its **degree of steerability** φ_s:

$$ \delta_m = \dim \mathrm{N}\left[C_1(\beta_s)\right] = 3 - \mathrm{rank}\left[C_1(\beta_s)\right], \ \varphi_s = \mathrm{rank}\left[C_{1s}(\beta_s)\right]. $$



Forward/inverse kinematics of a **differential drive robot**:

$$ \begin{bmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{y} \\ \dot{\theta} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{r}{2} & \frac{r}{2} \\ 0 & 0 \\ \frac{r}{2b} & -\frac{r}{2b} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\varphi}_r \\ \dot{\varphi}_l \end{bmatrix} \quad / \quad \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\varphi}_r \\ \dot{\varphi}_l \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{r} & 0 & \frac{b}{r} \\ \frac{1}{r} & 0 & -\frac{b}{r} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{y} \\ \dot{\theta} \end{bmatrix}. $$

4 Perception I

Sensor Type	System	Class
Tactile	Bumpers	EC, P
Wheel/motor	Brush encoders	PC, P
	Optical encoders	PC, A
Heading	Compass	EC, P
	Gyroscope	PC, P
	Inclinometer	EC, A/P
Acceleration	Accelerometer	PC, P
Beacons	GPS	EC, A
	Radio, ultrasonic, Reflective Beacons	EC, A
Motion/speed	Doppler: radar/sound	EC, A
Range	Ultrasound, laser, struct. light, ToF	EC, A
Vision	CCD/CMOS	EC, P

(PC = **proprioceptive**, EC = **exteroceptive**, A = active, P = passive)

Range sensors: Traveled distance d of a sound or electromagnetic wave after a **time of flight** t is given by

$$ d = ct, \quad c = 0.3\,\mathrm{m/ms}\ \text{(sound)} \ / \ 0.3\,\mathrm{m/ns}\ \text{(light)}. $$

The **mean/expectation value** $\mathrm{E}[x] = \mu$ and the **variance** $\mathrm{Var}[x] = \sigma^2$ of a **continuous random variable** x with **probability density function (PDF)** $p(x)$ are computed as

$$ \mu = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} xp(x)\mathrm{d}x, \ \ \sigma^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} = (x-\mu)^2 p(x)\mathrm{d}x. $$

The PDF for a one-dimensional **Gaussian distribution**:

$$ p(x) = \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left[-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right]. $$

The **error propagation law** describes the mapping from input covariance C_X to output covariance C_Y using the Jacobian F_X of the mapping function $f(\bullet) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ w.r.t. X:

$$ C_Y = F_X C_X F_X^\intercal \ \ \text{(linear approximation)}. $$

5 Perception II

Thin lens equation: Voxel at depth z will be **focused** on the **focal plane** at distance e behind the lens for a camera with **focal length** f. If the **image plane** lies at $e \pm \delta$, the voxel image will be a **blur circle** of radius R:

$$\frac{1}{f} = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{1}{e}, \quad R = \frac{L\delta}{2e} \quad (L: \text{ diameter of lens/aperture}).$$

Pinhole approximation: $z \gg f$, therefore $e \approx f$ (lens is approximated as pinhole at distance f from image plane).

Perspective projection: A 3D-point $P_C = [X_C, Y_C, Z_C]^\intercal$ (in camera frame C) projects onto the image location $[u, v]^\intercal$ as

$$\begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_u \, X_C/Z_C + u_0 \\ \alpha_v \, Y_C/Z_C + v_0 \end{bmatrix} \quad / \quad \lambda \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \alpha_u & 0 & u_0 \\ 0 & \alpha_v & v_0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{\text{calibr. matrix } K} \begin{bmatrix} X_C \\ Y_C \\ Z_C \end{bmatrix},$$

with $[\alpha_u, \alpha_v] = f[k_u, k_v]$, using the inverse of the effective pixel size k_u (k_v) in [pixel/m] and the pixel coordinates of the **optical center** $[u_0, v_0]^\intercal$. K contains the **intrinsic parameters**.

Radial distortion model:

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_\mathrm{d} \\ v_\mathrm{d} \end{bmatrix} = (1 + k_1 r^2) \begin{bmatrix} u - u_0 \\ v - v_0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ v_0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad r^2 = (u-u_0)^2 + (v-v_0)^2.$$

For a **general perspective projection**, P_W is given w.r.t. world frame W and the transform from W to C is described by the **extrinsic parameters** $[R|T]$.

$$\lambda \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = K \left(R \begin{bmatrix} X_W \\ Y_W \\ Z_W \end{bmatrix} + T \right) = \underbrace{K[R|T]}_{\substack{\text{camera} \\ \text{matrix } P}} \begin{bmatrix} X_W \\ Y_W \\ Z_W \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Basic stereo camera setup with **baseline** b and focal length f, the depth Z for a point at left/right coordinate $[u_l, u_r]$ is

$$Z = bf/d, \quad d = u_l - u_r \text{ (disparity)}.$$

Cross-product written with skew-symmetric matrix:

$$\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b} = [\mathbf{a}_\times]\mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -a_z & a_y \\ a_z & 0 & -a_x \\ -a_y & a_x & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} b_x \\ b_y \\ b_z \end{bmatrix}.$$

Epipolar constraint (p_1, p_2: normalized, homogeneous):

$$p_2^\intercal E p_1 = 0, \quad E = [T_\times]R \text{ (essential matrix)}.$$



6 Perception III

Correlation with a filter/kernel/mask F of size $(2N+1)$ is

$$J(x) = F \circ I(x) = \sum_{i=-N}^{N} F(i) I(x+i),$$

Convolution is correlation with a flipped filter:

$$J(x) = F * I(x) = \sum_{i=-N}^{N} F(i) I(x-i).$$

In contrast to correlation, convolution is associative.

Linear filters replace every pixel by a linear combination of its neighbors. **Shift-invariant filters** perform the same operation on every point of the image.

The **2D Gaussian kernel** is a **separable** filter (width σ):

$$G_\sigma = (x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{x^2+y^2}{2\sigma^2}} = \underbrace{\frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{-x^2}{2\sigma^2}}}_{g_\sigma(x)} \cdot \underbrace{\frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{-y^2}{2\sigma^2}}}_{g_\sigma(y)}.$$

Laplacian of Gaussian (second derivative operator):

$$\mathrm{LoG} = \nabla^2 G_\sigma(x, y) = \frac{\partial^2 G_\sigma(x,y)}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 G_\sigma(x,y)}{\partial y^2}.$$

Difference of Gaussian is an approximation of LoG:

$$\mathrm{DoG} = G_{k\sigma}(x, y) - G_\sigma(x, y).$$

Template matching using **sum of squared differences**:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{SSD}(x) &= \sum_{i=-N}^{N} [F(i) - I(x+i)]^2, \\ &= \sum [F(i)]^2 + \sum [I(x+i)]^2 - 2 \sum [F(i) I(x+i)]. \end{aligned}$$

Sobel masks as approximate derivative filers:

$$I_x = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline -1 & 0 & 1 \\ \hline -2 & 0 & 2 \\ \hline -1 & 0 & 1 \\ \hline \end{array}, \quad I_x = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline -1 & -2 & -1 \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

The **second order matrix** used by the **Harris corner detector** (image patch size P):

$$M = \sum_{x,y \in P} \begin{bmatrix} I_x^2 & I_x I_y \\ I_x I_y & I_y^2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathrm{SSD}(\Delta x, \Delta y) \approx [\Delta x \, \Delta y] \, M \begin{bmatrix} \Delta x \\ \Delta y \end{bmatrix}.$$

Corners: Local maxima in the **cornerness function**

$$C = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 - \kappa(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^2 = \det M - \kappa \, \mathrm{trace}^2 M.$$

Main **SIFT** stages:

1. Extract keypoints + scale.
2. Assign keypoint orientation.
3. Generate descriptor ($4 \cdot 4 \cdot 8$).

[4. Matching (L_2 distance).]



7 Perception IV

Vector quantization by **k-means clustering**, minimizes squared Euclidean distance between points and their nearest cluster-centers:

randomly initialize k cluster centers
while *not converged* **do**
  assign each vector to nearest center
  re-compute cluster centers

Robust model fitting with **RANSAC** (RANdom SAmple Consensus) to find a point set free of outliers with probability p with a known fraction of inliers w:

for $k \leftarrow 1$ **to** $\frac{\log(1-p)}{\log(1-w^2)}$ **do**
  randomly select minimal sample data points
  calculate corresponding **model parameters**
  calculate **error function** for each data point
  select data that supports current hypothesis

Line extraction using the **Hough transform**: Map point (x, y) to sinusoid in (θ, ρ) parameter space according to

$$x \cos\theta + y \sin\theta = \rho.$$



8 Localization I

Sum rule (1) and **product rule** (2):

$$(1)\ p(x) = \sum_y p(x,y), \quad (2)\ p(x,y) = p(y|x)p(x).$$

Combine them to get the **theorem of total probability**:

$$p(x) = \sum_y p(y|x)p(x).$$

Assuming that $p(y) > 0$, **Bayes' rule** is

$$p(x|y) = \frac{p(y|x)p(x)}{p(y)} = \eta\, p(y|x)p(x), \quad \eta = p(y)^{-1}.$$

Multivariate Gaussian distribution $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \Sigma)$ for dimension k with (symmetric) covariance matrix Σ:

$$p(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{k/2}\det(\Sigma)^{1/2}} \exp\left[-\tfrac{1}{2}(x-\mu)^\intercal \Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu)\right].$$

Combination of GRVs: Let $y = Ax_1 + Bx_2$ be a linear function of $x_i = \mathcal{N}(\mu_i, \Sigma_i)$. Then, $p(y)$ is

$$p(y) = \mathcal{N}(A\mu_1 + B\mu_2,\ A\Sigma_1 A^\intercal + B\Sigma_2 B^\intercal).$$

If $y = f(x_1, x_2)$ is non-linear, approximate y and $p(y)$ as

$$y \approx f(\mu_1, \mu_2) + F_{x_1}(x_1 - \mu_1) + F_{x_2}(x_2 - \mu_2),$$
$$p(y) \approx \mathcal{N}(f(\mu_1, \mu_2),\ F_{x_1}\Sigma_1 {F_{x_1}}^\intercal + F_{x_2}\Sigma_2 {F_{x_2}}^\intercal).$$

A robot's **belief** about its state x_t before/after measurement z_t is represented as probability distribution:

$$\overline{bel}(x_t) = p(x_t|z_{1\to t-1}, u_{1\to t}), \quad bel(x_t) = p(x_t|z_{1\to t}, u_{1\to t}).$$

Ingredients of probabilistic **map-based localization**:

1. The initial probability distribution $bel(x_0)$.
2. *True* **map** $M = \{m_0 \cdots m_n\}$ of the environment.
3. Data: u_t (proprioceptive, control), z_t (exteroceptive).
4. Probabilistic **motion model** $p(x_t|u_t, x_{t-1})$, e.g. based on noise-free model $x_t = f(x_{t-1}, u_t)$.
5. Probabilistic **measurement model** $p(z_t|x_t, M)$, e.g. based on noise-free model $z_t = h(x_t, M)$.

Classification of localization problems:

- **Position tracking:** $bel(x_0)$ is **Dirac delta** function.
- **Global localization:** Uniform distribution for $bel(x_0)$).
- **Kidnapped robot problem:** Does the robot realize?

Architecture map:



Exact cell decomposition:



Approx. decomposition:



Topological:



9 Localization II

According to the **Markov assumption**, the robot's belief state $bel(x_t)$ is a function only of robot's previous state x_{t-1} and its most recent actions u_t and observations z_t:

$$p(x_t|x_0, u_0 \cdots u_t, z_0 \cdots z_t) = p(x_t|x_{t-1}, u_t, z_t).$$

The general algorithm for **Markov localization**:

for all x_t **do**
- $\overline{bel}(x_t) = \sum_{x_{t-1}} p(x_t|u_t, x_{t-1})bel(x_{t-1})$ (prediction)
- $bel(x_t) = \eta p(z_t|x_t, M)\overline{bel}(x_t)$ (measurement)

Kalman filter localization assumes $bel(x_t) = \mathcal{N}(x_t, P_t)$.

S1) The **prediction update** is (Q_t: covariance of motion model noise, $F_{x/u}$: jacobian w.r.t. x/u):

$$\hat{x}_t = f(x_{t-1}, u_t), \quad \hat{P}_t = F_x P_{t-1} F_x^\intercal + F_u Q_t F_u^\intercal.$$

S2) The **measurement update** consists of four steps:

1. *Observation*: Obtain z_t^i with covariance R_t^i ($i = 1..n$).
2. *Measurement prediction*: Predict $\hat{z}_t^j = h^j(\hat{x}_t, m^j)$, compute its jacobian H^j w.r.t $\hat{x}_t$.
3. *Matching step*: Compute the **innovation** (**covariance**)
   $$v_t^{ij} = [z_t^i - z_t^j], \quad \Sigma_{IN_t}^{ij} = H^j \hat{P}_t {H^j}^\intercal + R_t^i,$$
   Find matches with a **validation gate** g, e.g. Mahalanobis distance: ${v_t^{ij}}^\intercal (\Sigma_{IN_t}^{ij})^{-1} v_t^{ij} \le g^2$.
4. *Estimation step*: Stack validated observations into z_t, corresponding innovations into v_t, measurement jacobians into H_t and $R_t = \mathrm{diag}(R_t^i)$, compute Σ_{IN_t}. Update the robot's state estimate as
   $$x_t = \hat{x}_t + K_t v_t, \quad P_t = \hat{P}_t - K_t \Sigma_{IN_t} K_t^\intercal,$$
   with the **Kalman gain**
   $$K_t = \hat{P}_t H_t^\intercal (\Sigma_{IN_t})^{-1}.$$



10 SLAM I

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem. Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor. Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris. Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem. Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor. Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris. Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem. Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor. Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris. Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

11 SLAM II

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem. Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor. Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris. Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem. Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor. Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris. Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem. Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor. Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris. Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

12 Planning I

Dynamic window approach (DWA): Acts in input space (v, ω). Set of admissible velocities within next time frame is

$$V_r = \underbrace{V_o}_{\substack{\text{grid, obstacles}\\ \text{(in input-space)}}} \cap \underbrace{V_s}_{\substack{\text{static window}\\ \text{(motor limits etc.)}}} \cap \underbrace{V_d}_{\substack{\text{dynamic window}\\ \text{(feasible within next frame)}}}.$$

Maximize $(v, \omega) \in V_r$, subject to (local) objective function

$$\begin{aligned} O = & a \cdot \text{heading}(v, \omega) \\ & + b \cdot \text{velocity}(v, \omega) \\ & + c \cdot \text{obst\_dist}(v, \omega). \end{aligned}$$



Velocity obstacles (VO): 1) Compute set of colliding velocities. 2) Restrict to set of colliding velocities within time horizon τ. 3) Shift VO by instantaneous obstacle velocity.

$$||\mathbf{p}_{RO} + \mathbf{v}_R t|| < r_R + r_O, \quad \text{VO}^{\tau}_{RO} = \bigcup_{0 \le t \le \tau} \text{Disks}\left(-\frac{\mathbf{p}_{RO}}{t}, \frac{r_{RO}}{t}\right).$$



Interactive collision avoidance for multiple decision-making agents without explicit communication, using a fairness property: **reciprocal velocity obstacles**.

Local potential fields: Robot (position $\mathbf{q}$) follows gradient of potential field. Potential attractive at goal, repulsive at obstacles ($U_{\text{rep}} = 0$ if distance to obstacle $\rho(\mathbf{q}) > \rho_{\text{lim}}$):

$$U_{\text{att}}(\mathbf{q}) = \tfrac{1}{2} k_{\text{att}} (\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q}_{\text{goal}})^2, \quad U_{\text{rep}}(\mathbf{q}) = \tfrac{1}{2} k_{\text{rep}} (\tfrac{1}{\rho(\mathbf{q})} - \tfrac{1}{\rho_{\text{lim}}})^2.$$

Harmonic potential fields: Iteratively solve the **Laplace equation** $\triangle U = \sum \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial q_i^2} = 0$ with the approximation $\nabla U(\mathbf{q})_i \approx [U(\mathbf{q} + \delta e_i) - U(\mathbf{q})]/\delta$ as

$$U^{k+1}(\mathbf{q}) = \tfrac{1}{2n} \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{n} (U^k(\mathbf{q} + \delta \mathbf{e}_i) + U^k(\mathbf{q} - \delta \mathbf{e}_i)),$$

with a mixture of the following boundary conditions concerning the obstacle boundaries:

- **Neumann:** Equipotential lines orthogonal.
- **Dirichlet:** Constant potential.

Additional obstacle avoidance examples:

- **Bug algorithm:** Follow the boundary of an obstacle, depart from point of shortest distance to the goal.
- **Vector field histogram (VHF):** Use polar histogram showing probability of obstacle occurence.
- **Bubble band technique:** Compute bubbles representing max. free space around given robot configuration.

13 Planning II

Road map approaches for construction of graph $G(N, E)$ with nodes N and edges E:

- **Visibility graph:** Connect nodes (obstacle corners) that see each other. Optimal solutions w.r.t path length.
- **Voronoi diagram:** Evaluate equidistant paths to obstacles, workspace needs to be closed.

General **deterministic graph search** algorithm:

```
Queue.init(), Queue.push(Start)
while Queue is not empty do
    Node curr = Queue.pop()
    if curr is Goal return
    Closed.push(curr); Nodes next = expand(curr)
    for all next not in Closed do
        Queue.push(next)
```

Total expected cost from start to goal via node N:

$$f(N) = \underbrace{g(N)}_{\substack{\text{cost so far}\\ \text{(from start)}}} + \varepsilon \cdot \underbrace{h(N)}_{\substack{\text{heuristic}\\ \text{cost-to-go}}}.$$

Examples:
1) **Breadth-first search**: FIFO queue, solution optimal for uniform edge costs, complexity: $\mathcal{O}(|N| + |E|)$.
2) **Depth-first search**: LIFO queue, solution not optimal.
2) **Dijkstra's search**: ordering according to $f(N)$ with $\varepsilon = 0$, complexity: $\mathcal{O}(|N| \log |N| + |E|)$.
3) **A* algorithm**: extension of Dijkstra with $\varepsilon = 1$. Possible heuristic $h(N)$: Euclidean distance to goal (underestimation).
4) **D* algorithm**: incremental replanning version of A*.

Binary min-heap: Top node has minimum value.

- *Push(N)*: Insert new node N at end of heap. While $N <$ parent(N), swap N and parent(N).
- *Pop()*: Return top element of heap. Move bottom node N to top. While $N <$ min(child(N)), swap these nodes.

Rapidly exploring random tree (RRT) algorithm as an example of a randomized graph search method:

```
Graph.init(Start)
while Graph.size() is less than threshold do
    Node rand = rand()
    Node near = Graph.nearest(rand)
    try
        Node new = Sys.propagate(near, rand)
        Graph.addNode(new)
        Graph.addEdge(near, new)
```