

# Set Theory Notes

by Tyler Wright

[github.com/Fluxanoia](https://github.com/Fluxanoia)

[fluxanoia.co.uk](https://fluxanoia.co.uk)

*These notes are not necessarily correct, consistent, representative of the course as it stands today or, rigorous. Any result of the above is not the author's fault.*

## 0 Notation

We commonly deal with the following concepts in Set Theory which I will abbreviate as follows for brevity:

Term	Notation
$\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$	$\mathbb{N}$

# Contents

<b>0</b>	<b>Notation</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>The Fundamentals</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1	Axiom of Extensionality . . . . .	3
1.2	Axiom of Pair Sets . . . . .	3
1.3	Axiom of the Powerset . . . . .	3
1.4	Axiom of the Empty Set . . . . .	3
1.5	Axiom of Subsets . . . . .	3
1.6	Axiom of Unions . . . . .	4
1.7	Classes . . . . .	4
1.8	The Set $\omega$ . . . . .	4
1.9	Russell's Theorem . . . . .	4
1.10	The Universe of Sets . . . . .	5

# 1 The Fundamentals

## 1.1 Axiom of Extensionality

For two sets  $a$  and  $b$ , we have that  $a = b$  if and only if for all  $x$  we have that:

$$x \in a \iff x \in b.$$

For two classes  $A$  and  $B$ , we have that  $A = B$  if and only if for all  $x$  we have that:

$$x \in a \iff x \in b.$$

## 1.2 Axiom of Pair Sets

For any sets  $x$  and  $y$ , there is a set  $z = \{x, y\}$ . This is the (unordered) pair set of  $x$  and  $y$ .

## 1.3 Axiom of the Powerset

For each set  $x$ , there exists a set which is the collection of the subsets of  $x$ , the powerset  $\mathcal{P}(x)$ .

For some set  $x$ , we have the powerset defined as follows  $\mathcal{P}(x) = \{z \mid z \subseteq x\}$ .

## 1.4 Axiom of the Empty Set

There exists a set with no members, the empty set  $\emptyset$ .

We have the empty set defined as follows  $\emptyset = \{x \mid x \neq x\}$ .

## 1.5 Axiom of Subsets

For some set  $x$ , we have that  $\{y \in x \mid \Phi(y)\}$  is a set for some well-defined property of sets  $\Phi$ .

## 1.6 Axiom of Unions

We have the basic union of two sets  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ :

$$x_1 \cup x_2 = \{y \mid y \in x_1 \text{ or } y \in x_2\},$$

but for cases where we want to unify the members of the sets in a set  $X$ , we define:

$$\bigcup X = \{y \mid \exists x \in X, y \in x\}.$$

This axiom states that for a set  $X$ ,  $\bigcup X$  is a set.

## 1.7 Classes

We have that classes are collection of objects, these could also be sets. Classes that are not sets are called proper classes.

## 1.8 The Set $\omega$

We have the set of natural numbers,  $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ , and from this, we define  $\omega$ :

$$\omega = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\},$$

where for some  $n$  in  $\omega$ ,

$$n = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1\},$$

with  $0_\omega$  being the empty set. We can go beyond this definition, defining:

$$\begin{aligned}\omega + 1 &= \{0, 1, 2, \dots, \omega\}, \\ \omega + 2 &= \{0, 1, 2, \dots, \omega, \omega + 1\}, \\ &\dots \\ \omega + n &= \{0, 1, 2, \dots, \omega, \omega + 1, \dots, \omega + n - 1\}.\end{aligned}$$

## 1.9 Russell's Theorem

We have that  $R = \{x \mid x \notin x\}$  is not a set.

*Proof.* Suppose we have a set  $z$  such that  $z = R$ , is  $z$  in  $R$ ? If we suppose  $z$  is in  $R$ , we have that  $z$  is not in  $z$  by the definition of  $R$  (as  $z = R$ ) but  $z$  is  $R$  so  $z$  is not in  $R$ , a contradiction. Thus, we have that there is no set  $z$  equal to  $R$ , so  $R$  is not a set but a proper class.  $\square$

## 1.10 The Universe of Sets

We define the universe of sets as  $V = \{x \mid x = x\}$ . We have that  $V$  is a proper class.

*Proof.* If we suppose  $V$  is a set, we apply the axiom of subsets with  $\Phi(x) = x \notin x$  and reach a contradiction via Russell's theorem.  $\square$