

Algebra 2 Notes

by Tyler Wright

github.com/Fluxanoia

fluxanoia.co.uk

These notes are not necessarily correct, consistent, representative of the course as it stands today, or rigorous. Any result of the above is not the author's fault.

These notes are in progress.

Contents

1	The Fundamentals	4
1.1	Rings (1.1)	4
1.2	Properties of Rings (1.3)	4
1.3	Units (1.6-7)	4
1.4	Fields (1.9)	4
1.5	Subrings (1.14-15)	4
1.6	The Gaussian Integers (1.17, 1.19)	5
1.7	Product Rings (1.20)	5
1.8	Distributivity of Taking Units (1.22)	5
1.9	Polynomials (1.23)	5
1.10	Ring Homomorphisms (2.7, 2.12)	5
1.11	Ring Isomorphisms (2.1)	6
1.12	The Kernel (2.13, 2.18)	6
1.13	Ideals (2.15-16)	6
1.14	Preservation of Satisfaction (2.20)	6
1.15	Cosets (2.22)	6
2	Quotients	7
2.1	Quotient Rings (2.24-25)	7
2.2	The Homomorphism Theorem (3.1)	7
2.3	Chinese Remainder Theorem (3.4)	7
2.4	Properties of the Integers (3.6)	7
2.5	Composition of Ideals (3.8)	8
2.6	Ideals with Units (3.10)	8
2.7	Classification of Fields (3.11)	8
2.8	Homomorphisms from Fields (3.13)	8
2.9	Induced Ideals (3.15)	8
2.10	The Isomorphism Theorems (3.17)	9
	2.10.1 The First Isomorphism Theorem	9
	2.10.2 The Second Isomorphism Theorem	9
	2.10.3 The Third Isomorphism Theorem	9
3	Integral Domains and Fields	10
3.1	Integral Domains (4.1)	10
3.2	Preservation of Isomorphism	10
3.3	Relating Integral Domains and Fields (4.3)	10
3.4	Subrings of Integral Domains (4.4)	10
3.5	Field of Fractions (4.6)	11
3.6	Maximal Ideals (4.8)	11

3.7	Prime Ideals (4.9)	11
3.8	Maximal and Prime Ideals and their Quotients (4.12-13)	11
3.9	Existence of Maximal Ideals (4.16)	12
3.10	Ideals within Maximal Ideals (4.17)	12
4	Principal Ideal, Euclidean, and Unique Factorisation Domains	13
4.1	Noetherian Rings (5.1)	13
4.2	Finitely Generated Ideals (5.3)	13
4.3	Finitely Generated Ideals in Noetherian Rings (5.4)	13
4.4	Preservation of Noetherian Rings (5.5-6)	13
4.5	Divisibility (5.10-12)	13
4.6	Irreducible Primes (5.14)	14
4.7	Factorisation (5.16)	14
4.8	Unique Factorisation Domains (UFD) (5.17)	14
4.9	Confluence of Primality and Irreducibility (5.23)	15
4.10	Highest Common Factor (5.24)	15
4.11	Coprimality (5.25)	15
4.12	Principal Ideal Domains (PID) (5.28)	15
4.13	Irreducibility, Primality, and Ideal Maximality in PIDs (5.31)	15
4.14	UFDs from PIDs (5.34)	16
4.15	Euclid's Algorithm (5.36)	16
4.16	Degree (5.39)	17
4.17	Division with Remainder (5.41)	17
4.18	Polynomials over Fields (5.43)	17
4.19	Euclidean Domains (5.44, 5.47)	18
4.20	Ring Hierarchy	18
5	Gauss' Lemma, Field Extensions, and Polynomial Reducibility	19
5.1	Content of Polynomials (6.3)	19
5.2	Primitive Polynomials (6.2)	19
5.3	Gauss' Lemma (6.6)	19
5.4	Content under Multiplication (6.7)	19
5.5	Properties of UFDs and their Polynomials (6.8)	20
5.6	UFDs and their Polynomials (6.10)	21
5.7	Eisenstein's Criterion (7.1)	22
5.8	Irreducibility and Linear Substitution (7.5)	22

1 The Fundamentals

1.1 Rings (1.1)

A ring is a set with two binary operations, addition and multiplication, such that they are both commutative, associative, and addition is distributive over multiplication, so for a , b , and c in some ring:

$$(a + b)c = ac + bc.$$

We also have that rings must contain 'zero' and 'one' elements, the additive and multiplicative identities, and every element of the ring has an additive inverse.

1.2 Properties of Rings (1.3)

For a ring R with a , b , and c in R :

- if $a + b = b$ then $a = 0$, 0 is unique,
- if $a \cdot x = x$ for all x in R , then $a = 1$, 1 is unique,
- if $a + b = 0 = a + c$ then $b = c$, $-a$ is unique,
- we have $0 \cdot a = 0$,
- we have $-1 \cdot a = -a$,
- we have $0 = 1$ if and only if $R = \{0\}$.

1.3 Units (1.6-7)

For a ring R , with r in R , if there exists some s such that $rs = 1$ then r is a unit and $s = r^{-1}$ is the multiplicative inverse of r . We write R^\times to be the set of all units in R , which is an abelian group under multiplication.

1.4 Fields (1.9)

A non-zero ring R is a field if $R \setminus \{0\} = R^\times$.

1.5 Subrings (1.14-15)

For a ring R , $S \subseteq R$ is a subring of R if it is a ring and contains zero and one. This is equivalent to saying S is closed under addition, multiplication, and additive inverses, and contains 1.

1.6 The Gaussian Integers (1.17, 1.19)

We define the Gaussian integers as:

$$\mathbb{Z}[i] = \{a + bi : a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\},$$

which is the smallest subring of \mathbb{C} containing i . Generally, for α in \mathbb{C} , $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ is the smallest subring containing α and for a ring R with a subring S , for some β in R , we have $S[\beta]$ is the smallest subring of R containing S and β .

1.7 Product Rings (1.20)

For R and S rings, we have that $R \times S$ is a ring under component-wise addition and multiplication.

1.8 Distributivity of Taking Units (1.22)

For rings R and S , $(R \times S)^\times = R^\times \times S^\times$.

Proof. We consider:

$$\begin{aligned} (r, s) \in (R \times S)^\times &\iff (r, s)(p, q) = (1, 1) \text{ for some } (p, q) \in R \times S \\ &\iff rp = 1 \text{ and } sq = 1 \text{ for some } p \in R \text{ and } q \in S \\ &\iff r \in R^\times \text{ and } s \in S^\times, \end{aligned}$$

as required. □

1.9 Polynomials (1.23)

For a ring R and a symbol x , we have that the following is a ring:

$$R[x] = \{a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_nx^n : n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, (a_i)_{i \in [n]} \in R^n\}.$$

1.10 Ring Homomorphisms (2.7, 2.12)

For R and S rings, a map φ from R to S is a ring homomorphism if it preserves addition and multiplication. This implies that 0 and 1 are fixed points of φ and taking additive inverses is preserved by φ .

We have some properties of ring homomorphisms:

- $\varphi(0) = 0$,
- $\varphi(-a) = -\varphi(a)$,
- the image of φ is a subring of S ,
- homomorphisms are preserved under composition.

1.11 Ring Isomorphisms (2.1)

A ring isomorphism is a bijective ring homomorphism.

1.12 The Kernel (2.13, 2.18)

The kernel of a homomorphism is the set of values it maps to 0. This is not necessarily a ring. The kernel is $\{0\}$ if and only if the homomorphism is injective.

1.13 Ideals (2.15-16)

For a ring R with $I \subseteq R$, I is an ideal if it is an additive subgroup of R and for all r in R and i in I , ri is in I . The kernel of homomorphisms are ideals.

1.14 Preservation of Satisfaction (2.20)

For a ring R with r in R , if for some n in $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ we have $(a_i)_{i \in [n]}$ in \mathbb{Z}^n such that:

$$a_n r^n + \cdots + a_1 r + a_0 = 0,$$

then for any homomorphism φ on R to some other ring S , we have that:

$$\varphi(a_n r^n + \cdots + a_1 r + a_0) = 0.$$

1.15 Cosets (2.22)

For a ring R with r in R and an ideal I of R , the coset of r modulo I is the set:

$$r + I = \{r + i : i \in I\}.$$

For each r and s in R , we define a relation by:

$$r \sim s \iff r - s \in I,$$

which is an equivalence relation, with equivalence classes the cosets of R modulo I . Thus, cosets are either identical or disjoint.

2 Quotients

2.1 Quotient Rings (2.24-25)

The set of cosets modulo I of a ring R forms a ring, the quotient ring R/I of R by I . We define the operations for a and b in R :

$$\begin{aligned}(a + I) + (b + I) &= (a + b) + I, \\ (a + I)(b + I) &= ab + I.\end{aligned}$$

2.2 The Homomorphism Theorem (3.1)

For a homomorphism φ from R to S , taking $I = \text{Ker}(\varphi)$, we have that $R/I \cong \varphi(R)$, via the map $r + I \mapsto \varphi(r)$.

Proof. We consider the proposed map and name it ψ . We can see that ψ is well defined as for some r in R , for any r' in $r + I$, $r' = r + i$ for some i in I so:

$$\varphi(r') = \varphi(r) + \varphi(i) = \varphi(r).$$

Additionally, ψ is trivially a homomorphism, and is surjective by the definition of the image, so we consider injectivity. If for some r in R , we have $\psi(r + I) = 0$ then:

$$\varphi(r) = 0 \implies r \in I \implies r + I = I,$$

so ψ is an isomorphism. □

2.3 Chinese Remainder Theorem (3.4)

For positive, coprime integers m and n :

$$\mathbb{Z}/(mn\mathbb{Z}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}) \times (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}).$$

2.4 Properties of the Integers (3.6)

We have the following properties of \mathbb{Z} :

- every ideal of \mathbb{Z} is of the form $n\mathbb{Z}$ for some non-negative integer n ,
- every ring R admits a unique homomorphism from \mathbb{Z} to R ,
- every ring R contains a unique subring which is either isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} or $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ for some non-negative integer n .

2.5 Composition of Ideals (3.8)

For I and J ideals of a ring R :

- $I \cap J$ is an ideal,
- $I + J$ is an ideal,
- $IJ = \{\sum_{k=1}^n i_k j_k : n \in \mathbb{N}, (i_k)_{k \in [n]} \in I^n, (j_k)_{k \in [n]} \in J^n\}$ is an ideal.

2.6 Ideals with Units (3.10)

For an ideal I of a ring R , if I contains r in R^\times , then $I = R$.

Proof. By definition, we have some s such that $rs = 1$, so 1 is in I as it is an ideal. But then for any x in R , we must have $1 \cdot x$ in I , so $I = R$. \square

2.7 Classification of Fields (3.11)

A ring $R \neq \{0\}$ is a field if and only if the only ideals of R are $\{0\}$ and R .

Proof. (\implies) We have that $R^\times = R \setminus \{0\}$, so every non-zero ideal contains a unit, so must be R by (2.6).

(\impliedby) For $r \neq 0$ in R , we take $I = \{rx : x \in R\}$ which is a non-zero ideal. By assumption, $I = R$ so 1 is in I , thus $rx = 1$ for some x in R . Thus, r is a unit. \square

2.8 Homomorphisms from Fields (3.13)

For a ring homomorphism φ from R to $S \neq \{0\}$, if R is a field, φ is injective.

Proof. The kernel of φ is either R or $\{0\}$ by (2.7), so we consider the cases. If the kernel is R , then $S = \{0\}$, a contradiction, so the kernel must be $\{0\}$. \square

2.9 Induced Ideals (3.15)

For a surjective ring homomorphism φ from R to R' , with $I \subseteq R$ and $I' \subseteq R'$ ideals, we have that:

1. $\varphi(I)$ is an ideal of R' ,
2. $\varphi^{-1}(I')$ is an ideal of R containing $\text{Ker}(\varphi)$,
3. there is a bijection from the ideals of R containing $\text{Ker}(\varphi)$ to the ideals of R' .

Proof of (3). We will show that $I = \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(I))$ (the case for $I' = \varphi(\varphi^{-1}(I'))$ is analogous). For x in I , we have that $\varphi(x)$ is in $\varphi(I)$ so x is in $\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(I))$. Thus, $I \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(I))$. For x in $\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(I))$, we have that $\varphi(x)$ is in $\varphi(I)$, so $\varphi(x) = \varphi(y)$ for some y in I . As $\varphi(x - y) = 0$, $x - y$ is in $\text{Ker}(\varphi)$ so we have $x = (x - y) + y$ which is in I , as required. \square

2.10 The Isomorphism Theorems (3.17)

We take R to be a ring.

2.10.1 The First Isomorphism Theorem

This is the same as the Homomorphism Theorem.

2.10.2 The Second Isomorphism Theorem

For $I \subseteq J \subseteq R$ ideals of R , we have that J/I is an ideal of R/I and:

$$\frac{R/I}{J/I} \cong R/J.$$

2.10.3 The Third Isomorphism Theorem

For a subring S of R , and I an ideal of R , we have that $S + I$ is a subring with $I \subseteq S + I$ and $S \cap I \subseteq S$ ideals and:

$$\frac{S + I}{I} \cong \frac{S}{S \cap I}.$$

3 Integral Domains and Fields

3.1 Integral Domains (4.1)

For a ring R , $a \neq 0$ in R is a zero divisor if for some $b \neq 0$ in R , $ab = 0$. We say R is an integral domain if it has no zero divisors.

3.2 Preservation of Isomorphism

Ring isomorphisms preserve units and zero divisors, so the domain is a field / integral domain if and only if the codomain is a field / integral domain.

3.3 Relating Integral Domains and Fields (4.3)

We have that:

1. all fields are integral domains,
2. R is an integral domain if and only if for all $a \neq 0$ in R , the map $x \mapsto ax$ is injective,
3. every finite integral domain is a field.

Proof. (1) Suppose we have a and b in some field, such that $a \neq 0$ and $ab = 0$. Thus, $a^{-1}ab = 0$, so $b = 0$.

(2) (\Leftarrow) We have that $ax = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$ as R has no zero divisors, so the map is injective by (1.12).

(\Rightarrow) We appeal to the contrary and suppose $ax = 0$ for some non-zero a and x in R . As such, the mapping via a has a non-zero kernel, a contradiction by (1.12).

(3) If a integral domain R is finite, then the mapping in (2) is surjective, so for any a in R , there is some x in R such that $ax = 1$. \square

3.4 Subrings of Integral Domains (4.4)

Every subring of an integral domain is an integral domain.

3.5 Field of Fractions (4.6)

For an integral domain R , we can consider fractions:

$$\left\{ \frac{a}{b} : a \in R, b \in R, b \neq 0 \right\},$$

and define an equivalence relation:

$$(a, b) \sim (c, d) \iff ad = bc.$$

with the set of equivalence classes K , forming a field under the ring operations:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{a}{b} + \frac{c}{d} &= \frac{ad + bc}{bd}, \\ \frac{a}{b} \cdot \frac{c}{d} &= \frac{ac}{bd}, \end{aligned}$$

along with the expected additive and multiplicative inverses and identities. This is the field of fractions of R , denoted $f.f.(R)$. We have that R is isomorphic to a subring of K , and if there is an injective homomorphism between two integral domains, there is an induced injection between their respective fields of fractions.

3.6 Maximal Ideals (4.8)

For a ring R , an ideal $I \subset R$ is maximal if there is no ideal J such that $I \subset J \subset R$.

3.7 Prime Ideals (4.9)

For a ring R , an ideal $I \subset R$ is prime if for all ab in I , either a or b is in I .

3.8 Maximal and Prime Ideals and their Quotients (4.12-13)

For a ring R with $I \subset R$ an ideal:

1. I is maximal if and only if R/I is a field,
2. I is prime if and only if R/I is an integral domain.

Thus, every maximal ideal is prime since all fields are integral domains.

Proof. (1) By (2.9), there's a bijection from ideals of R containing I and ideals of R/I . Thus, the ideals of R/I are 0 and R/I if and only if the ideals of R containing I are I and R , which is true if and only if I is maximal.

(2) We consider a and b in R and consider $\bar{a} = a + I$ and $\bar{b} = b + I$:

$$\begin{aligned}a \in I &\iff \bar{a} = I, \\b \in I &\iff \bar{b} = I, \\ab \in I &\iff \bar{a}\bar{b} = I.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, if I is prime and $\bar{a}\bar{b} = I$ then either a or b is in I . Also, if R/I is an integral domain and we have ab in I , then either \bar{a} or \bar{b} is in I so either a or b is in I . \square

3.9 Existence of Maximal Ideals (4.16)

Every ring $R \neq \{0\}$ has a maximal ideal.

3.10 Ideals within Maximal Ideals (4.17)

For a ring R , every ideal $I \subset R$ is contained in some maximal ideal.

4 Principal Ideal, Euclidean, and Unique Factorisation Domains

4.1 Noetherian Rings (5.1)

A ring R is Noetherian if every increasing chain of ideals in R is finite.

4.2 Finitely Generated Ideals (5.3)

For a ring R with $I \subseteq R$ an ideal, I is generated by i_1, \dots, i_n in I for some n in $\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ if:

$$I = i_1R + \dots + i_nR = (i_1, \dots, i_n).$$

4.3 Finitely Generated Ideals in Noetherian Rings (5.4)

A ring is Noetherian if and only if every ideal of R is finitely generated.

Proof. (\implies) For an ideal $I \subseteq R$, we consider i_1 in I and take $I_1 = (i_1)$. If $I_1 = I$ then we are done, otherwise we consider i_2 in $I \setminus I_1$ and take $I_2 = (i_1, i_2)$. Following this process, we get $I_1 \subset I_2 \subset \dots \subset I_n$, for some n in $\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ as R is Noetherian. Thus, we get a finite generating set (i_1, \dots, i_n) for I .

(\impliedby) If we have a chain of ideals $I_1 \subset I_2 \subset \dots$, then $I = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} I_k$ is finitely generated by some (i_1, \dots, i_n) by assumption. For each k in $[n]$, i_k must be in I_{m_k} for some m_k , so taking $m = \max(m_1, \dots, m_n)$, $I_m = I$ as required. \square

4.4 Preservation of Noetherian Rings (5.5-6)

All quotients of, products of, and polynomials with coefficients in a Noetherian ring are Noetherian rings.

Proof of Quotients. For a Noetherian ring R with I an ideal of R , we consider φ from R to R/I mapping $r \mapsto r + I$. By (2.9), we have a bijection from ideals in R containing I and ideals of R/I via φ which preserves the Noetherian property. \square

4.5 Divisibility (5.10-12)

For an integral domain R , we say that b in R divides a in R if there exists c in R such that $a = bc$. Thus, a is in (b) and similarly $(a) \subseteq (b)$. We note that such a c is unique.

If a and b both divide each other ($(a) = (b)$, or $a = b\varepsilon$ for some unit ε in R), we say they are associates. For some $p \neq 0$ in R where p is not a unit, have that:

$$\begin{aligned} p \text{ irreducible} &\iff [p = ab \implies a \in R^\times \text{ or } b \in R^\times], \\ p \text{ prime} &\iff [p \mid ab \implies p \mid a \text{ or } p \mid b] \iff (p) \text{ is a non-zero prime ideal.} \end{aligned}$$

4.6 Irreducible Primes (5.14)

For an integral domain R , primes of R are irreducible.

Proof. For a prime p in R with b and c in R such that $p = bc$, so b and c both divide p . By the definition of primes, we have that p divides bc so either p divides b or p divides c . As such, if p divides b then p and b are associate so c is a unit (and similarly for p dividing c). \square

4.7 Factorisation (5.16)

For a Noetherian integral domain R , every $r \neq 0$ in R can be factored as:

$$r = \varepsilon q_1 q_2 \cdots q_n,$$

for some unit ε in R and q_1, \dots, q_n irreducible in R for some n in $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Proof. If r is a unit, then we are done with $\varepsilon = r$ and $n = 0$. If r is not a unit, we first want to show that $r = q_1 s$ for some irreducible q_1 and s in R .

If r is irreducible, we can take $q_1 = r$ and $s = 1$. If r is not irreducible, then $r = b_1 s_1$ for some non-units b_1 and s_1 in R . We continue, if b_1 is irreducible we are done, otherwise, we write $b_1 = b_2 s_2$ so $r = b_2 s_1 s_2$ for non-units b_2 and s_2 . Applying this process repeatedly, until we have $r = b_n s_1 \cdots s_n$ with b_n irreducible. This process terminates as b_{k+1} divides b_k for all k in $[n-1]$ and this implies that:

$$(r) \subset (b_1) \subset (b_2) \subset \cdots, \quad (*)$$

which must terminate as R is Noetherian. Using this fact, we can write $r = q_1 r_1$ for some irreducible q_1 and r_1 in R . If r_1 is irreducible we are done, otherwise we apply the process repeatedly, yielding $r = q_1 \cdots q_n r_n$ which terminates by similar reasoning to $(*)$. \square

4.8 Unique Factorisation Domains (UFD) (5.17)

For an integral domain R , we say that R is a UFD if every $r \neq 0$ in R is a product of finitely many irreducible elements and a unit of R , unique up to reordering and units.

4.9 Confluence of Primality and Irreducibility (5.23)

For a UFD R , p in R is prime if and only if p is irreducible.

Proof. (\implies) Proved in (4.6).

(\impliedby) We know that p is non-zero and not a unit as it is irreducible. We suppose that p divides some ab for a and b in R , so $ab = pc$ for some c in R . Using the properties of UFDs, we factor a , b , and c into irreducibles which, by uniqueness, must contain p (as $ab = pc$). Thus, p divides a or b so p is prime. \square

4.10 Highest Common Factor (5.24)

For a UFD R , with a and b non-zero in R , the highest common factor of a and b is the product of the common irreducibles in their unique factorisation (which is well-defined up to units). Taking $h = \text{hcf}(a, b)$:

- h divides a and b ,
- $a = hx$ and $b = hy$ for some coprime x and y in R ,
- $\text{hcf}(ac, bc) = c \cdot \text{hcf}(a, b)$ for any $c \neq 0$ in R ,
- if a and b are coprime and a divides bc for some c in R , then a divides c .

4.11 Coprimality (5.25)

For a UFD R , with a and b non-zero in R , a and b are coprime if $\text{hcf}(a, b)$ is a unit.

4.12 Principle Ideal Domains (PID) (5.28)

For an integral domain R , we have that R is a principle ideal domain if every ideal of R is principle (generated by a single element).

4.13 Irreducibility, Primality, and Ideal Maximality in PIDs (5.31)

For a PID R , with p non-zero and not a unit in R :

$$p \text{ irreducible} \iff p \text{ prime} \iff (p) \text{ prime} \iff (p) \text{ maximal}.$$

Proof. As R is an integral domain we already have:

$$(p) \text{ maximal} \implies (p) \text{ prime} \iff p \text{ prime} \implies p \text{ irreducible.}$$

So, it is sufficient to show that:

$$p \text{ irreducible} \implies (p) \text{ maximal.}$$

We suppose p is irreducible and $(p) \subseteq I \subseteq R$ for some ideal I . As R is a PID, we know that $I = (a)$ for some a in R so:

$$\begin{aligned} (p) \subseteq (a) &\implies a \text{ divides } p \\ &\implies p = ab \text{ for some } b \in R \\ &\implies a \in R^\times \text{ or } b \in R^\times && (p \text{ irreducible}) \\ &\implies I = R \text{ or } (p) = I, \end{aligned}$$

as required. \square

4.14 UFDs from PIDs (5.34)

Every PID is a UFD.

Proof. For a PID R , we know that R is Noetherian by (4.3) so every a can be expressed as a product of irreducible elements in R , say:

$$a = up_1 \cdots p_n,$$

for some unit u and irreducible p_1, \dots, p_n in R . We suppose there is another factorisation of a :

$$a = vq_1 \cdots q_m,$$

for some unit v and irreducible q_1, \dots, q_m in R . By (4.13), we know that $p_1, \dots, p_n, q_1, \dots, q_m$ are all prime (in particular, they are not units). Thus, if $n = 0$ then $u = a = v$, the factorisation is unique. If $n > 0$ then as p_1 divides a , it must divide q_i for some i in $[m]$. Since q_i is irreducible, $p_1 = q_i$ up to units. Through cancellation (R is an integral domain) and iteration, we see that these factorisations are identical up to reordering. So, R is a UFD. \square

4.15 Euclid's Algorithm (5.36)

For a PID R with a and b non-zero in R and c generating the ideal (a, b) , we have that $c = \text{hcf}(a, b)$ and $c = ax + by$ for some x and y in R .

Proof. As c generates (a, b) , c is in (a, b) so $c = ax + by$ for some x and y . As $(a) \subseteq (a, b)$, $(b) \subseteq (a, b)$ and, $(c) = (a, b)$, c divides both a and b so divides $\text{hcf}(a, b)$ by definition. However, $\text{hcf}(a, b)$ divides both a and b so must divide $ax + by = c$. As such, $c = u \cdot \text{hcf}(a, b)$ for some unit u but since the highest common factor is defined up to units, we can say $c = \text{hcf}(a, b)$. \square

4.16 Degree (5.39)

For a field K and a polynomial f in $K[x]$, we say that the largest power of x with a non-zero coefficient is the degree of f , written as $\deg(f)$. We have that $\deg(0) = -\infty$ and for some g in $K[x]$:

$$\begin{aligned}\deg(fg) &= \deg(f) + \deg(g), \\ \deg(f + g) &= \max(\deg(f), \deg(g)).\end{aligned}$$

4.17 Division with Remainder (5.41)

For a field K with f and $g \neq 0$ in $K[x]$, there exists unique q and r in $K[x]$ with $\deg(r) < \deg(g)$ and $f = qg + r$.

Proof. For uniqueness, if $f = q_1g + r_1 = q_2g + r_2$ satisfying the conditions in the lemma then $(q_1 - q_2)g = r_1 - r_2$ but $(q_1 - q_2)g$ must have degree at least $\deg(g)$ unless $q_1 = q_2$ and $r_1 - r_2$ has degree strictly less than g by definition so $q_1 = q_2$ and $r_1 = r_2$.

For existence, if $f = a_nx^n + \cdots + a_0$ and $g = b_mx^m + \cdots + b_0$ we suppose $m \leq n$ as otherwise, we can take $q = 0$ and $r = f$. Then, we repeatedly map f as follows:

$$f \mapsto f - \frac{a_n}{b_m}x^{n-m}g,$$

until $\deg(f) < \deg(g)$, r is this result from this iteration, and then $f - r$ is clearly a multiple of g . \square

4.18 Polynomials over Fields (5.43)

For a field K , $K[x]$ is a PID.

Proof. For an ideal I of $K[x]$, if $I = \{0\}$ then it is principal. Otherwise, we choose g in $I \setminus \{0\}$ of minimal degree. For any f in I , we write $f = q \cdot g + r$ as in (4.17) and see that $r = f - q \cdot g$ which is in I . Since $\deg(r) < \deg(g)$, it must be that $r = 0$ by the minimality of g so $I = (g)$. \square

4.19 Euclidean Domains (5.44, 5.47)

For an integral domain R , R is Euclidean if there is a map δ from $R \setminus \{0\}$ to $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that for all a and b in $R \setminus \{0\}$:

- there exists q and r such that $a = q \cdot b + r$ and either $r = 0$ or $\delta(r) < \delta(b)$,
- $\delta(a) < \delta(ab)$.

Every Euclidean domain is a PID.

Proof. Similar to that of (4.18). □

4.20 Ring Hierarchy

For the ring definitions we have defined, we can say that:

fields \subseteq Euclidean domains \subseteq PIDs \subseteq UFDs \subseteq integral domains \subseteq rings.

5 Gauss' Lemma, Field Extensions, and Polynomial Reducibility

5.1 Content of Polynomials (6.3)

For a UFD R and f a non-zero polynomial in $R[x]$, the highest common factor of the coefficients of f is the content of f denoted by c_f .

5.2 Primitive Polynomials (6.2)

For a UFD R , a polynomial f in $R[x]$ is primitive if $c_f = 1$. Any polynomial f in $R[x]$ can be written as $c_f \cdot f^*$ where f^* is a primitive polynomial in $R[x]$.

5.3 Gauss' Lemma (6.6)

The product of primitive polynomials is primitive.

Proof. For a UFD R , we take f and g primitive in R such that:

$$\begin{aligned} f &= a_n x^n + \cdots + a_1 x + a_0, \\ g &= b_m x^m + \cdots + b_1 x + b_0, \\ fg &= c_{n+m} x^{n+m} + \cdots + c_1 x + c_0, \end{aligned}$$

for n and m in $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, a_i , b_j , and c_k in R for i in $[n]$, j in $[m]$, and k in $[n+m]$. For any irreducible q in R , q does not divide all of a_0, \dots, a_n as f is primitive, we take i to be maximal such that q does not divide a_i . Similarly, we take j maximal such that q does not divide b_j . We consider c_{i+j} :

$$c_{i+j} = \underbrace{a_{i+j}b_0 + \cdots + a_{i+1}b_{j-1}}_{\text{all divisible by } q} + a_i b_j + \underbrace{a_{i-1}b_{j+1} + \cdots + a_0 b_{i+j}}_{\text{all divisible by } q}.$$

Since R is a UFD, as q doesn't divide a_i and b_j , q doesn't divide $a_i b_j$ so c_{i+j} is not divisible by q . \square

5.4 Content under Multiplication (6.7)

For a field of fractions F of a UFD R , with f and g in $F[x]$, we have that $c_{fg} = c_f c_g$.

Proof. Application of (5.2) and (5.3). \square

5.5 Properties of UFDs and their Polynomials (6.8)

For a UFD R :

1. for a unit u in R , u is a unit in $R[x]$,
2. for a prime p in R , p is a prime in $R[x]$,
3. taking F to be the field of fractions of R , for f in $R[x]$ with positive degree, f is prime in $R[x]$ if and only if f is primitive in $R[x]$ and irreducible in $F[x]$.

Proof. (1) If $uv = 1$ for some v in R , the same holds in $R[x]$ as $R \subseteq R[x]$.

(2) Similar to the proof of (5.3), if p doesn't divide f or g in $R[x]$, we show that it doesn't divide fg .

(3) (\Leftarrow) We suppose that for some g and h in $R[x]$, f divides gh . As such, f divides gh in $F[x]$ and since f is irreducible and prime in $F[x]$, we have that f divides g or h . We suppose (without loss of generality) that f divides g so $g = k \cdot f$ for some k in $F[x]$. We write f , g , and k as:

$$f = c_f \cdot f^*, \quad g = c_g \cdot g^*, \quad k = c_k \cdot k^*,$$

where c_f is in R^\times as f is primitive, c_g is in R as g is in $R[x]$, c_k is in F^\times , and f^* , g^* , and k^* are primitive polynomials in $R[x]$. Since $g = k \cdot f$, we can deduce that:

$$\frac{c_g}{c_f c_k} \cdot g^* = f^* \cdot k^*.$$

We know that $u = \frac{c_g}{c_f c_k}$ is in R^\times as $f^* \cdot k^*$ must be primitive and contents are unique up to units, so we write:

$$g = \frac{c_g}{uc_f} \cdot k^* \cdot f.$$

Since c_g is in R and uc_f is in R^\times , f divides g in $R[x]$ as required.

(\Rightarrow) By contrapositive, we first consider if f is not primitive, in which case $f = c_f \cdot f^*$ where f^* is primitive in $R[x]$ is a non-trivial factorisation of f in $R[x]$ so f is not irreducible, and thus, not prime. If f is reducible in $F[x]$, $f = gh$ for some non-constant g and h in $F[x]$. Then, as in the previous direction, $f = c_f g^* h^*$ is reducible in $R[x]$ so f is not prime. \square

5.6 UFDs and their Polynomials (6.10)

For a UFD R , $R[x]$ is a UFD. Furthermore, the primes of $R[x]$ are the primes of R and primitive irreducible polynomials of positive degree, and $R[x]^\times = R^\times$. We can recursively apply this, so $R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is a UFD for any n in $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Proof. (**Units**) By (5.5(1)), $R^\times \subseteq R[x]^\times$, so we consider f in $R[x]^\times$. As such, there's some g in $R[x]$ with $fg = 1$. Thus:

$$\deg(f) + \deg(g) = \deg(fg) = 1,$$

so f and g must be constant polynomials, meaning they are in R . Hence, f is in R^\times so $R[x]^\times = R^\times$.

(**Primes**) By (5.5(2)), we know the primes of R are in $R[x]$. Also, by (5.5(3)), we know that primitive irreducible polynomials of positive degree are prime.

(**Factorisation**) For f non-zero in $R[x]$, with F the field of fractions of R , since $F[x]$ is a UFD (as it is a field) we can write:

$$f = c \cdot f_1 \cdots f_n,$$

for c in F^\times , n in $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, and f_1, \dots, f_n irreducible in $F[x]$. We then write:

$$\begin{aligned} c^* &= c \cdot c_{f_1} \cdots c_{f_n} \in F^\times, \\ f &= c^* \cdot f_1^* \cdots f_n^*. \end{aligned}$$

But, $c^* = c_f$ as $f_1^* \cdots f_n^*$ is primitive by Gauss' Lemma, so is in R . As such, we can write $c^* = u \cdot q_1 \cdots q_m$ for u in R^\times , m in $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, and q_1, \dots, q_m prime in $F[x]$. Thus, we can write:

$$f = u \cdot \underbrace{q_1 \cdots q_m \cdot f_1^* \cdots f_n^*}_{\text{prime in } R[x]}.$$

As in the proof of (4.14), since we showed that every f in $R[x]$ can be factored into primes, not just irreducibles, the factorisation is automatically unique. \square

5.7 Eisenstein's Criterion (7.1)

For a UFD R , f primitive in $R[x]$ with positive degree so $f = a_n x^n + \cdots + a_0$ for some n in $\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and a_n, \dots, a_0 in R . If there is a prime p in R such that:

- p doesn't divide a_n ,
- p divides a_0, \dots, a_{n-1} ,
- p^2 doesn't divide a_0 ,

then f is irreducible in $R[x]$ and also $F[x]$ where F is the field of fractions of R . Polynomials satisfying this criterion are called Eisenstein polynomials (at p).

Proof. We suppose $f = gh$ where g and h are in $R[x]$ and have positive degree. We take:

$$\begin{aligned} g &= b_m x^m + \cdots + b_0, \\ h &= c_{n-m} x^{n-m} + \cdots + c_0, \end{aligned}$$

for some m in $\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $b_0, \dots, b_m, c_0, \dots, c_{n-m}$ in R . We know that p doesn't divide $a_n = b_m c_{n-m}$, so p doesn't divide b_m or c_{n-m} . We take i to be minimal such that p doesn't divide b_i , and j to be minimal such that p doesn't divide c_j . This implies that p doesn't divide a_{i+j} so $i + j = n$, thus $i = m$ and $j = n - m$. As such, p divides b_0 and c_0 so p^2 divides a_0 , a contradiction. \square

5.8 Irreducibility and Linear Substitution (7.5)

For a field K with f in $K[x]$, a and b in K with $a \neq 0$ then $f(x)$ is irreducible if and only if $f(ax + b)$ is irreducible.