

Data Structures and Algorithms Notes

paraphrased by Tyler Wright

*An important note, these notes are absolutely **NOT** guaranteed to be correct, representative of the course, or rigorous. Any result of this is not the author's fault.*

0 Notation

We commonly deal with the following concepts in Data Structures and Algorithms which I will abbreviate as follows for brevity:

Term	Notation
The vertex set of a graph G	$V(G)$
The edge set of a graph G	$E(G)$

Contents

0	Notation	1
1	Data Structures	6
1.1	Stacks	6
1.2	Queues	6
1.3	Linked List	6
1.4	Arrays	6
1.5	Hash Tables	7
1.5.1	Hash Functions	7
1.6	Binary Heaps	8
1.7	Priority Queues	8
1.8	Disjoint Set	8
1.9	Dynamic Search Structures	9
2	Graphs	10
2.0.1	Graph Isomorphisms	10
2.1	Neighbourhood and Degree	10
2.1.1	Minimum and Maximum Degree	10
2.1.2	k -regular Graphs	10
2.2	Subgraphs	11
2.2.1	Induced Subgraphs	11
2.3	Complements of Graphs	11
2.4	Walks	11
2.4.1	Types of Walks	11
2.5	Connected Graphs	11
2.5.1	Connected Components	11
2.6	Euler Circuits and Trails	12
2.6.1	Partitioning Even-regular Graphs	12
2.6.2	Conditions for Euler Circuits and Trails	12
2.7	Hamiltonian Cycles and Paths	12
2.7.1	Dirac's Theorem	13
3	Digraphs	14
3.1	Neighbourhoods in Digraphs	14
3.1.1	Degree in Digraphs	14
3.2	The Directed Handshake Lemma	14
3.3	Connectivity in Digraphs	14
3.3.1	Strong Connectivity	14
3.3.2	Weak Connectivity	14

3.3.3	Connected Components in Digraphs	15
3.4	Euler Circuits and Trails on Digraphs	15
4	Trees and Forests	16
4.1	Leaves	16
4.1.1	Existence of Leaves	16
4.2	Characterisation of Trees	16
4.3	Rooted Trees	16
5	Bipartite Graphs	17
5.1	Matchings	17
5.2	Augmenting Paths	17
5.2.1	Partitioning Augmenting Paths	17
5.2.2	Switching Augmenting Paths	17
5.2.3	Finding Augmenting Paths	18
5.3	Berge's Lemma	18
5.4	k -to-1 Semi-matchings	18
5.5	Hall's Marriage Theorem	19
6	Graphs Algorithms	20
6.1	Data Representations of Graphs	20
6.1.1	Adjacency Matrix	20
6.1.2	Adjacency List	20
6.1.3	Comparision of Representations	20
6.2	Search	21
6.2.1	Breadth-first Search	21
6.2.2	Depth-first Search	21
6.3	Dijkstra's Algorithm	22
6.4	Bellman-Ford's Algorithm	23
6.4.1	Negative Weight Cycles	23
6.5	Johnson's Algorithm	24
6.5.1	Re-weighting based on Vertex Potential	24
6.5.2	The Algorithm	24
6.6	Minimum Spanning Trees	25
6.6.1	Kruskal's Algorithm	25
7	Fast Fourier Transforms	26
7.1	Polynomials	26
7.1.1	Horner's Rule	26
7.1.2	Point-Value Representation	26
7.1.3	Polynomial Addition	26

7.1.4	Polynomial Multiplication	27
7.2	The Fast Fourier Transform	28
7.2.1	Roots of Unity	28
7.2.2	The Discrete Fourier Transform	28
7.2.3	The Fast Fourier Transform	28
7.3	Polynomial Multiplication	29
8	Dynamic Programming	30
8.1	Largest Empty Square	30
8.1.1	A Recursive Algorithm	30
8.1.2	A Dynamic Algorithm	31
8.2	Weighted Interval Scheduling	31
8.2.1	The Latest Compatible Interval	31
8.2.2	A Recursive Algorithm	31
8.2.3	A Dynamic Algorithm	32
8.2.4	Returning the Schedule	32
8.3	Self-balancing Trees	32
8.3.1	Perfectly Balanced Trees	32
8.3.2	Parts of our Self-balancing Tree	32
8.3.3	The Height of 2 – 3 – 4 Trees	33
8.3.4	Insertion on 2 – 3 – 4 Trees	33
8.3.5	Deletion on 2 – 3 – 4 trees	33
8.4	Skip Lists	34
8.4.1	Insertion in Skip Lists	34
8.4.2	Deletion in Skip Lists	34
8.4.3	Finding in Skip Lists	35
8.4.4	Runtime of skip lists	35
9	Output Sensitivity	36
9.1	Line Intersections	36
9.1.1	A Simple Algorithm	36
9.1.2	Output Sensitivity in Line Intersections	36
9.2	An Outline for Finding Intersections	37
9.2.1	Adjacency	37
9.2.2	Event Points	37
9.2.3	Status	37
9.2.4	The Full Process	38
10	Linear Programming	39
10.1	Vector Comparison	39
10.2	Standard Form	39

10.3	The Simplex Algorithm	39
10.4	Interior Point Algorithms	40
10.5	Integer Linear Programming	40
11	Flow Algorithms	41
11.1	Flow Networks	41
11.1.1	Flows	41
11.1.2	Cuts	41
11.2	Residual Graphs	41
11.2.1	Augmenting Paths in Residual Graphs	42
11.3	Residual Capacity	42
11.3.1	Pushing	42
11.4	The Ford-Fulkerson Algorithm	42
11.4.1	Max-flow Min-cut Theorem	43
11.5	The Edmonds-Karp Algorithm	43
11.6	Additional Uses of Flows	43
11.6.1	Flow Networks and Bipartite Graphs	43
11.6.2	Vertex Capacities	43
11.6.3	Circulation Networks	44
12	Complexity Theory	45
12.1	Markov's Inequality	45
12.1.1	Expected Runtime	45
12.2	Decision Problems	45
12.3	Oracles	45
12.4	Cook Reductions	45
12.4.1	Properties of Cook Reductions	46
12.5	Karp Reductions	46
12.5.1	Properties of Karp Reductions	46
12.6	The Class, P	46
12.7	The Class, NP	46
12.7.1	NP -hardness	47
12.7.2	NP -completeness	47
12.8	The SAT Problem	47
12.8.1	Cook-Levin Theorem	47
12.9	The 3-SAT Problem	47
12.10	Further NP -complete Problems	47

1 Data Structures

1.1 Stacks

A stack is a list of variables. It supports three operations:

Name	Description	Worst case runtime
<code>create()</code>	Creates a new stack	$O(1)$
<code>push(x)</code>	Adds <code>x</code> to the end of the stack	$O(1)$
<code>pop()</code>	Removes and returns the last element of the stack	$O(1)$

1.2 Queues

A queue is a list of variables. It supports three operations:

Name	Description	Worst case runtime
<code>create()</code>	Creates a new queue	$O(1)$
<code>add(x)</code>	Adds <code>x</code> to the end of the queue	$O(1)$
<code>serve()</code>	Removes and returns the first element of the queue	$O(1)$

1.3 Linked List

A linked list is a list of variables represented by nodes which point to the next and previous element in the list (or `null` if one does not exist). Each node has a unique identifier. It supports four operations:

Name	Description	Worst case runtime
<code>create()</code>	Creates a new linked list	$O(1)$
<code>insert(x, i)</code>	Inserts <code>x</code> after node <code>i</code>	$O(1)$
<code>delete(i)</code>	Removes node <code>i</code>	$O(1)$
<code>lookup(i)</code>	Returns node <code>i</code>	$O(1)$

1.4 Arrays

An array is a list of variables of fixed length. It supports three operations:

Name	Description	Worst case runtime
<code>create(n)</code>	Creates a new array of size <code>n</code>	$O(1)$
<code>update(x, i)</code>	Overwrites the data at position <code>i</code> with <code>x</code>	$O(1)$
<code>lookup(i)</code>	Returns the value at <code>i</code>	$O(1)$

1.5 Hash Tables

A hash table is an array of linked lists storing key-value pairs. We use a **hash function** to map data to a linked list. As we are using linked lists, if multiple keys map to the same index, we can just add them to the list - and when looking up data, we can find the right list with the hash function and then match our key.

It supports four operations:

Name	Description	Average runtime
<code>create(n)</code>	Creates a <code>n</code> sized array of linked lists and chooses a hash function <code>h</code>	$O(1)$
<code>insert(k, v)</code>	Inserts the pair <code>(k, v)</code> , if at least $\frac{n}{2}$ pairs are stored, we create a hash table of prime size that is at least double and less than quadruple n and copy the contents into it	$O(1)$
<code>delete(k)</code>	Deletes the pair corresponding to the key <code>k</code>	$O(1)$
<code>lookup(k)</code>	Returns the pair corresponding to the key <code>k</code>	$O(1)$

1.5.1 Hash Functions

Let N be the number of possible keys. We choose our hash function randomly and we choose it to run depending on a prime p . To generate our hash function based on p denoted by h_p , we let t be the greatest integer such that $p^t \leq N$ ($t = \lfloor \log_p(N) \rfloor$) and choose a_0, \dots, a_t in $[p-1]$ independently and uniformly at random. Then, for some k in $\{0, \dots, N-1\}$, we define:

$$h_p(k) := \left[\sum_{i=0}^t (a_i \cdot x_i) \right] \bmod p,$$

where $k = x_0 + x_1p + \dots + x_tp^t$.

1.6 Binary Heaps

Binary heaps are rooted binary trees where each level is full except possibly the last (which is filled from left to right). The elements of the tree are ordered according to a **heap property**. These have the following properties:

- For a heap of size n , the height of the heap is $\log_2(n)$,
- For an index i :

- The parent has index $\left\lfloor \frac{i}{2} \right\rfloor$,
- The left child has index $2i$,
- The right child has index $2i + 1$.

1.7 Priority Queues

A priority queue functions as queue with a notion of priority. In this course, we associate smaller keys to priority and use a binary heap with the heap property that each parent has a value less than or equal to its children. This supports the following:

Name	Description	Runtime
<code>insert(x, k)</code>	Inserts x with key k	$O(\log_2(n))$
<code>decreaseKey(x, d)</code>	Decreases the key of x to d	$O(\log_2(n))$
<code>extractMin()</code>	Removes and returns the x in the queue with the smallest key	$O(\log_2(n))$

1.8 Disjoint Set

This data structure stores a collection of disjoint sets of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ for some n in $\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. This can be considered as a reverse forest of reverse trees where elements contain pointers to their parents and the roots of the trees are the identifiers. This supports the following:

Name	Description	Runtime
<code>makeSet(x)</code>	Creates a new set containing only x this fails if x is already in a set	$O(1)$
<code>union(x, y)</code>	Merges the sets containing x and y , setting the identifier of the smaller tree to that of the larger tree	$O(\log_2(n))$
<code>findSet(x)</code>	Finds the identifier of the set containing x	$O(\log_2(n))$

This is stored as an array size n where each cell is empty or points to the identifier of the set it was originally added to.

1.9 Dynamic Search Structures

This structure stores a set of elements, each with a unique key. This supports the following:

Name	Description	Runtime
<code>insert(x, k)</code>	Inserts x with key k	$O(\log_2(n))$
<code>find(k)</code>	Returns the element with unique key k	$O(\log_2(n))$
<code>delete(k)</code>	Deletes the element with unique key k	$O(\log_2(n))$
<code>predecessor(k)</code>	Returns the element with unique key n such that n < k	$O(\log_2(n))$
<code>rangeFind(a, b)</code>	Returns the elements with unique key k such that a ≤ k ≤ b	$O(\log_2(n))$

2 Graphs

A graph G is a set system (V, E) where the elements of E have size 2. Some definitions and facts follow from the definition:

- The elements of V are vertices,
- The elements of E are called edges,
- The size of V is often called the order of G ,
- G is a 2-uniform set with ground set V ,
- u, v in V are adjacent if $\{u, v\}$ is in E .

2.0.1 Graph Isomorphisms

For two graphs $G_1 = (V_1, E_1)$, $G_2 = (V_2, E_2)$, we say that G_1 and G_2 are isomorphic ($G_1 \cong G_2$) if there exists a bijection $\phi : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ such that for each pair of vertices u, v in V we have that:

$$\{u, v\} \in E_1 \iff \{\phi(u), \phi(v)\} \in E_2.$$

2.1 Neighbourhood and Degree

For a graph $G = (V, E)$ the neighbourhood of v in V is the set of all adjacent vertices (denoted by $N_G(v)$). The neighbourhood of a set S is simply the union of the neighbourhoods of the elements of S (minus the vertices in S). The degree of v is simply the size of $N_G(v)$ denoted by $\deg(v)$.

2.1.1 Minimum and Maximum Degree

For a graph $G = (V, E)$ we have that the following to represent minimum and maximum degree:

$$\begin{aligned}\delta(G) &:= \min\{\deg(v) : v \in V\} \\ \Delta(G) &:= \max\{\deg(v) : v \in V\}.\end{aligned}$$

2.1.2 k -regular Graphs

For a graph $G = (V, E)$, we have that G is k -regular for some k in $\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ if for all v in V , we have $\deg(v) = k$.

2.2 Subgraphs

A graph $G' = (V', E')$ is a subgraph of $G = (V, E)$ if $V' \subseteq V$ and $E' \subseteq E$ such that for all e in E' we have that $e \subseteq V'$.

2.2.1 Induced Subgraphs

An induced subgraph generated of $G = (V, E)$ is a subgraph $G' = (V', E')$ where:

$$E' = \{\{u, v\} \in E \text{ such that } u, v \in V'\}.$$

Induced subgraphs are generated from a subset of the vertices of a graph by selecting all the edges that are subsets of our chosen vertex set.

2.3 Complements of Graphs

For a graph $G = (V, E)$, we have that $\bar{G} = (V, \bar{E})$ is the complement of G where $\bar{E} = \{\{u, v\} : u, v \in V\} \setminus E$.

2.4 Walks

A walk of length n is a set of vertices connected by edges. Its length is the number of edges it traverses.

A walk is closed if its first and last vertex are identical.

2.4.1 Types of Walks

Name	Closed?	Repeats vertices?	Repeats edges?
Walk	Not necessarily	Can	Can
Trail	Not necessarily	Can	Cannot
Path	Not necessarily	Cannot	Cannot
Circuit	Yes	Can	Cannot
Cycle	Yes	Cannot	Cannot

2.5 Connected Graphs

A graph is connected if there exists a path between any two vertices in the graph.

2.5.1 Connected Components

A component of a graph G is a maximally connected subgraph of G .

2.6 Euler Circuits and Trails

An Euler trail in a graph $G = (V, E)$ is a trail in G that traverses every edge exactly once. An Euler circuit is a closed Euler trail.

2.6.1 Partitioning Even-regular Graphs

For a graph $G = (V, E)$, if each vertex has even degree, we can partition its edge set into disjoint subsets E_1, \dots, E_s such that for each i in $[s]$, E_i is the edge set of a cycle.

Proof. Supposing each v in V has even degree, if $E = \emptyset$ then the statement holds trivially. Suppose E is non-empty and the statement holds for all graphs with strictly fewer edges. We pick v in V and generate a path P (starting at v) by checking if the current end of our path has an edge connecting to some v' in P . If it does, we have a cycle. If not, there will always be an edge to choose as we entered the vertex and it has even degree (so there must be another edge to leave it). As the edge set is finite, this process must end, giving us a circuit (so a cycle). As we have generated a cycle C , we create $G' = (V, E \setminus E(C))$. But now $|E(G')| < |E|$ so we can split its edge set into disjoint subsets E_1, \dots, E_s satisfying the statement. Thus, $E_1, \dots, E_s, E(C)$ satisfies the statement for G . \square

2.6.2 Conditions for Euler Circuits and Trails

An Euler circuit in a connected graph $G = (V, E)$ exists if and only if each vertex in V has even degree. We can see from this that an Euler trail exists if and only if each vertex in V has even degree except exactly two vertices.

Proof. If G has an Euler circuit, the circuit must enter and exit each v in V an even number of times. Thus, the degree of each vertex is even. If each v in V has even degree, consider (2.6.1), partitioning E into disjoint subsets E_1, \dots, E_s all edge sets of cycles. Taking $V(E_i)$ to be the vertex set traversed by E_i for all i in $[s]$, we have that $V(E_1)$ must share a vertex with some $V(E_i)$ for some i in $[s]$ as otherwise this would contradict the connectivity of G . We stitch the edge sets together to form a circuit starting at some intersection of $V(E_1)$ and $V(E_i)$ and traversing all of E_1 then E_i . We repeat this until there is only one edge set which must be our Euler circuit as its edge set is the union of a partition of the edge set. \square

2.7 Hamiltonian Cycles and Paths

A Hamiltonian path is a path that visits each vertex exactly once. A closed Hamiltonian path is a Hamiltonian cycle.

2.7.1 Dirac's Theorem

For a graph $G = (V, E)$ where $n = |V| \geq 3$:

$$\delta(G) \geq \frac{n}{2} \Rightarrow G \text{ is Hamiltonian.}$$

Proof. Observe that for some x, y in V if $\{x, y\}$ is not in E , then we have that as $|V \setminus \{x, y\}| = n - 2$ and $|N_G(x)| \geq \frac{n}{2}$, and $|N_G(y)| \geq \frac{n}{2}$:

$$N_G(x) \cap N_G(y) \neq \emptyset,$$

by the Pigeonhole principle. Take $P = (x_1, \dots, x_k)$ to be the longest path in G . We have that $k \geq 3$ as G is connected on at least 3 vertices. Also, we can assume G has no k -cycle as:

- If $k = n$, we have the desired Hamiltonian cycle,
- If $k < n$, we have a k -cycle in G , but as G is connected we can take some x in $N_G(P)$ and connect it to P to form a path of length $k + 1$ contradicting the maximality of P .

Thus, we have that $\{x_1, x_k\}$ is not in E . Also, we have that for any i in $\{2, \dots, k-1\}$, we can't have $\{x_1, x_i\}$ and $\{x_{i-1}, x_k\}$ in E as that would form a k -cycle P_k :

$$P_k = (x_1, x_i, \dots, x_k, x_{i-1}, \dots, x_2). \quad (1)$$

By the maximality of P :

$$\begin{aligned} N_G(x_1) &\subseteq \{x_2, \dots, x_{k-1}\} \\ N_G(x_k) &\subseteq \{x_2, \dots, x_{k-1}\}, \end{aligned}$$

as otherwise we could simply connect the element not in our path to end of P , contradicting the maximality of P . It follows that:

$$N_G(x_1) = \{x \in V : \{x_1, x\} \in E\} \quad \text{and} \quad N_G(x_i)^+ = \{x_i : x_{i-1} \in N_G(x_k)\},$$

are disjoint subsets of $\{x_2, \dots, x_k\}$ by the statement describing (1). But, $\{x_2, \dots, x_k\}$ is of size $k - 1$ and; $N_G(x_1)$ and $N_G(x_1)^+$ both have size at least $\frac{n}{2}$. Thus, a contradiction - G has a Hamiltonian cycle. \square

3 Digraphs

A digraph (or directed graph) is a graph where each of the edges has a direction. This direction means the edge can only be traversed in a single direction.

3.1 Neighbourhoods in Digraphs

For a digraph $G = (V, E)$, we consider the edges entering and leaving a vertex (or set of vertices). Take v in V :

The in-neighbourhood of v is the set of edges that enter v denoted by $N^-(v)$.

The out-neighbourhood of v is the set of edges that leave v denoted by $N^+(v)$.

3.1.1 Degree in Digraphs

We can consider in-degree and out-degree:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{in-degree of } v &= \deg^-(v) = |N^-(v)| \\ \text{out-degree of } v &= \deg^+(v) = |N^+(v)|.\end{aligned}$$

3.2 The Directed Handshake Lemma

For a digraph $G = (V, E)$, we have that:

$$\sum_{v \in V} \deg^-(v) = \sum_{v \in V} \deg^+(v) = |E|.$$

3.3 Connectivity in Digraphs

3.3.1 Strong Connectivity

A digraph $G = (V, E)$ is strongly connected if for each u, v in V , there exists a path from u to v and from v to u .

3.3.2 Weak Connectivity

A digraph $G = (V, E)$ is weakly connected if for each u, v in V , there exists a path from u to v or from v to u .

3.3.3 Connected Components in Digraphs

A strong component of a digraph is the maximal strongly connected induced subgraph.

A weak component of a digraph is the maximal weakly connected induced subgraph.

3.4 Euler Circuits and Trails on Digraphs

For a digraph $G = (V, E)$, G has an Euler circuit if and only if G is strongly connected and every vertex has equal in and out degree. We can see from this that an Euler trail exists if and only if G is strongly connected and for some x, y in V :

- Every vertex in $V \setminus \{x, y\}$ has equal in and out degree,
- $\deg^-(x) = \deg^+(x) + 1$,
- $\deg^+(y) = \deg^-(y) + 1$.

4 Trees and Forests

A graph is a forest if it is acyclic. A tree is a connected forest.

4.1 Leaves

For a tree $T = (V, E)$, for a vertex v in V , v is a leaf if $\deg(v) = 1$.

4.1.1 Existence of Leaves

If $|V| \geq 2$, we have that T has at least two leaves.

4.2 Characterisation of Trees

We have that for a graph $G = (V, E)$, the following are equivalent:

- G is a tree,
- G is maximally acyclic (G is acyclic and the addition of any edge forms a cycle),
- G is minimally connected (G is connected and the removal of any edge disconnects it),
- G is connected and $|E| = |V| - 1$,
- G is acyclic and $|E| = |V| - 1$,
- Any two vertices in G are connected by a unique path.

4.3 Rooted Trees

For a tree $T = (V, E)$, we can root T with some r in V .

In the rooting process, we take each v in $V \setminus \{r\}$ and define P_v to be the path from r to v . We then direct the edges from r to v for each P_v .

For u, v in $V \setminus \{r\}$, we say that:

- u is an ancestor of v if u lies on P_v ,
- u is the parent of v if u is in the in-neighbourhood of v ,
- $L_0 = \{r\}$ and $L_n = \{v \in V : |P_v| = n\}$ are the levels of T ,
- The depth of a tree is the greatest n where L_n is non-empty.

5 Bipartite Graphs

A graph $G = (V, E)$ is bipartite if V can be partitioned into two vertex sets V_1, V_2 such that each edge connects a vertex from V_1 to a vertex in V_2 .

5.1 Matchings

For $G = (V, E)$ a bipartite graph with bipartition X, Y , a matching from X to Y is a set of edges $M \subseteq E$ such that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ defined by:

$$f(x) := y \quad \text{where } \{x, y\} \in M,$$

is injective on M . The matching is called perfect if f is an isomorphism.

5.2 Augmenting Paths

An augmenting path is a path in a bipartite graph G that alternates between edges in a matching M for G and edges in $E(G) \setminus M$ starting and finishing with the latter. Additionally, the first and last vertices must not be matched in the matching M .

5.2.1 Partitioning Augmenting Paths

Thus, an augmenting path P associated to a matching M can have its edge set partitioned into the edges in M and the edges not in M :

$$\begin{aligned} P^- &= \{e \in E(P) : e \in M\}, \\ P^+ &= E(P) \setminus P^-. \end{aligned}$$

Considering the fact that the first and last vertices in P are unmatched so P must start and end with edges not in the matching (in P^+). As the edges alternate between being in and out of the matching, $|P^+| = |P^-| + 1$.

5.2.2 Switching Augmenting Paths

We define the operation **switch** on an augmenting path P associated with a matching M that removes all the matching edges in P from M and adds the remaining edges from P . So, if M' is our matching after **switch** then:

$$M' = (M \setminus P^-) \cup P^+.$$

We have that $|M'| = |M| + 1$ as $|P^+| = |P^-| + 1$.

5.2.3 Finding Augmenting Paths

For a bipartite graph $G = (V, E)$ bipartite with bipartition A, B and a matching M from A to B , we can find an augmenting path in G by forming the bipartite digraph $G' = (V, E')$. We form E' by taking each $\{a, b\}$ in E where a and b are in A and B respectively and mapping:

$$\{a, b\} \mapsto \begin{cases} (b, a) \in E' & \text{if } \{a, b\} \in M \\ (a, b) \in E' & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

directing matching edges towards A and all other edges towards B . Finding an augmenting path in G reduces to finding a path in G' which can be done using breadth-first search.

5.3 Berge's Lemma

For a bipartite graph G and a matching M , if G admits no augmenting paths under M then M is maximal.

5.4 k -to-1 Semi-matchings

For G a bipartite graph with bipartition X, Y , a k -to-1 semi-matching from X to Y is a subgraph G' of G such that:

- Each vertex in X in G' has degree at most k ,
- Each vertex in Y in G' has degree at most 1.

Finding maximum k -to-1 semi-matchings reduces to a matching problem if we simply create $k - 1$ copies of each vertex in X and find a maximum matching. We can then delete the copies and add their edges to the original vertex.

5.5 Hall's Marriage Theorem

For $G = (V, E)$ a bipartite graph with bipartition X, Y :

G has a perfect matching from X to Y

\iff

For all $S \subseteq X$, $|N(S)| \geq |S|$.

Proof. Suppose G has a perfect matching from X to Y , we have that for each set of vertices in X , they each match to a vertex in Y under our matching. Thus, for each $S \subseteq X$, $|N(S)| \geq |S|$. Suppose for all $S \subseteq X$, $|N(S)| \geq |S|$. If $|X| = 1$, $S = X = \{x\}$ meaning $|N(x)| \geq 1$, so there is some edge from x to an element in Y . This edge is our matching. Suppose now that $|X| > 1$ and for all sizes of X in $\{1, \dots, |X| - 1\}$, the statement holds.

Case 1 Suppose for all $S \subseteq X$ we actually have that $|N(S)| > |S|$ (a stronger condition). Choose some x in X and some y in Y connected to x . We form G' by removing x , y and, all their incident edges from G . The vertex class of X in G' is strictly smaller than that of X in G . For each vertex in X in G' , we have that at most one edge was removed (the one connected to y). Thus, we have that $|N_{G'}(S)| \geq |S|$ so by the inductive hypothesis, G' has a matching. By adding $\{x, y\}$ to this matching, we get a matching for G .

Case 2 Suppose there is some $S \subseteq X$ such that $|N(S)| = |S|$. Consider the graph $G_S = (S \cup N_G(S), E_S)$ the induced subgraph on $S \cup N_G(S)$. By the inductive hypothesis, we have a matching in G_S . Consider $G'_S = (V \setminus V(G_S), E'_S)$ the induced subgraph on $V \setminus V(G_S)$. Observe for each $T \subseteq V(G'_S)$, $|N_G(T \cup S)| \geq |T| + |S|$, thus:

$$|N_{G'_S}(T)| = |N_G(T \cup S) \setminus N_G(S)| \geq |T|.$$

Thus, by the inductive hypothesis, we have a matching from S to $N_G(S)$ and from $X \setminus S$ to $Y \setminus N_G(S)$. Combining these gives a perfect matching in G . \square

6 Graphs Algorithms

6.1 Data Representations of Graphs

6.1.1 Adjacency Matrix

We have for a graph $G = (V, E)$, the adjacency matrix is a $|V|$ by $|V|$ matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ where:

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if there's an edge from vertex } i \text{ to } j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

6.1.2 Adjacency List

We can represent a graph also by an array of linked lists or hash tables where each element in the array represents a vertex v and the corresponding list represents the vertices in the neighbourhood of v .

6.1.3 Comparision of Representations

We can compare some basic properties of the representations:

	Matrix	Linked Lists	Hash Tables
Space	$\Theta(V ^2)$	$\Theta(V + E)$	$\Theta(V + E)$
Finding an edge from u	$O(1)$	$O(\deg(u))$	$O(1)$
Finding the neighbourhood of u	$O(V)$	$O(\deg(u))$	$O(\deg(u))$

This raises the question, why don't we always use hash tables? Due to the probability of collisions in hash tables, we opt for the linked list as it's more reliable for large graphs (additionally, we are almost always are looking for a neighbourhood not a specific edge).

6.2 Search

Generally with a graph searching algorithm, we have a data structure which is (in the general case) a structure that can store a set of vertices and return them one by one in some undefined manner. Starting with a graph G with some u in $V(G)$, naming our data structure **data**, we perform the following:

```
data Search(u) {
  add u to data;
  while (data is non-empty) {
    take x from data;
    if (x is not marked) {
      mark x;
      for (each edge {x, y}) {
        put y in data;
      }
    }
  }
}
```

We have that this process always terminates, visits every vertex in connected graphs, and has time complexity $O(|E(G)|)$ (assuming the data operations are $O(1)$).

6.2.1 Breadth-first Search

If our data structure is a queue, we get breadth-first searching. This causes vertices to be marked in distance order from the starting point.

By tracking distances, we can find shortest paths from a given vertex using this searching style. In a graph $G = (V, E)$, this takes $O(|V| + |E|)$ time.

6.2.2 Depth-first Search

If our data structure is a stack, we get depth-first searching. This causes vertices to be marked the further they are from the starting vertex.

6.3 Dijkstra's Algorithm

For a non-negatively weighted, directed graph G , we have that Dijkstra's algorithm returns the shortest path to all vertices from some starting vertex. Taking distances to be a relation between each v in $V(G)$ and the length of the shortest path to v , the algorithm is structured as follows:

```
distances Dijkstra(s) {
  let pq be our priority queue;
  let dist be our array of distances;
  for (each v) {
    dist[v] = infinity;
  }
  dist[s] = 0;
  for (each v) {
    pq->insert(v, dist(v));
  }
  while (pq is non-empty) {
    u = pq->extractMin();
    for (each edge (u, v)) {
      if (dist[v] > dist[u] + weight(u, v)) {
        dist[v] = dist[u] + weight(u, v);
        pq->decreaseKey(v, dist(v));
      }
    }
  }
  return dist;
}
```

We have that the time complexity of the algorithm varies across different implementations of priority queues:

	Runtime
Linked List	$O(V ^2 + V E)$
Binary Heap	$O((V + E) \log(V))$
Fibonacci Heap	$O(E + V \log(V))$

and has $O(|V| + |E|)$ space complexity across all queues.

6.4 Bellman-Ford's Algorithm

For a weighted, directed graph G , we have that Bellman-Ford's algorithm returns the fastest path to all vertices from some starting vertex. Taking **distances** to be a relation between each v in $V(G)$ and the length of the shortest path to v , the algorithm is structured as follows:

```
distances BellmanFord(s) {
  let dist be our array of distances;
  for (each v) {
    dist[v] = infinity;
  }
  dist[s] = 0;
  do (|V| times) {
    for (each edge (u, v)) {
      // Relaxing (u, v)
      if (dist[v] > dist[u] + weight(u, v)) {
        dist[v] = dist[u] + weight(u, v);
      }
    }
  }
}
```

This runs in $O(|V||E|)$ time.

6.4.1 Negative Weight Cycles

Suppose our graph has a cycle which has a negative weight. This must mean that we can choose an arbitrarily negative path in the graph by traversing the cycle multiple times. This is why we require that there are no negative weight cycles.

We can run the algorithm on graphs with negatives cycles and simply run a final check at the end to see if we have a negative weight cycle. If we relax each edge again and decrease a path, there must be a negative cycle as we should already have all the shortest paths.

6.5 Johnson's Algorithm

For a weighted, directed graph we have that Johnson's algorithm returns the fastest path between all vertex pairs. It does this by re-weighting the graph and performing Dijkstra's repeatedly.

6.5.1 Re-weighting based on Vertex Potential

For a graph $G = (V, E)$ with a weighting function $w : E \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, we define a potential function $h : V \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ to associate vertices with potentials. We define a re-weighting function $w' : E \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$:

$$w'((u, v)) = w((u, v)) + h(u) - h(v).$$

We find the vertex potentials by adding a vertex s to the graph with an edge (s, v) for each v in V of weight zero forming a new graph G' . We then run Bellman-Ford on G' and define h as follows:

$$h(v) = \text{the shortest path length from } s \text{ to } v \text{ in } G'.$$

Note that:

- Any path is a shortest path in G if and only if it's a shortest path in the re-weighted G ,
- The length of the shortest path in G can be calculated from its length in the re-weighted G ,
- A cycle has negative weight in G if and only if it has negative weight in the re-weighted G ,
- If there are no negative cycles in G , the re-weighted graph has no negative weights.

6.5.2 The Algorithm

Starting with a graph $G = (V, E)$ with a weighting function $w : E \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, form $G' = (V \cup \{s\}, E \cup S)$ where:

$$S = \{(s, v) : v \in V\} \quad \text{and} \quad w(e) = 0 \text{ for all } e \text{ in } S.$$

Run Bellman-Ford on G' starting at s (detecting any negative weight cycles) to define our vertex potentials. Using the potentials, re-weight each edge as above in G . Run Dijkstra's on every vertex in G to create our set of paired shortest paths. We can then convert our path weights back into their weights as inputted and retrieve the values if necessary. This takes $O(|V||E| \log_2(|V|))$ time.

6.6 Minimum Spanning Trees

In a graph $G = (V, E)$, a spanning tree $T = (V, E_T)$ is a tree with $E_T \subseteq E$.

A spanning tree on G is minimal if there is no other spanning tree on G with a lower weight.

6.6.1 Kruskal's Algorithm

For a weighted, connected, and undirected graph $G = (V, E)$, we have the following steps to the algorithm:

1. Generate a graph $T = (V, \emptyset)$
2. Generate a disjoint set data structure X of size $|V|$
3. For each v in V , perform **makeSet**(v) (where each vertex is defined by some unique integer in $\{1, \dots, |V|\}$)
4. Sort the edges by weight
5. For each edge (u, v) (in increasing order):
 - If **findSet**(u) \neq **findSet**(v), perform **union**(u, v) and add (u, v) to T .

Overall, this runs in $O(|E| \log_2(|V|))$ time.

7 Fast Fourier Transforms

7.1 Polynomials

A polynomial of degree n in $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ is a function A :

$$A(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i,$$

where a_1, \dots, a_n are the coefficients of A . We can represent A by listing the coefficients a_1, \dots, a_n , called the coefficient representation. Additionally, we say for $k > n$, k is a degree-bound of A .

7.1.1 Horner's Rule

We can evaluate polynomials quickly as follows. For a polynomial A degree n :

$$A(x) = a_0 + x(a_1 + x(a_2 + \dots + x(a_n))).$$

This can be simplified in the following code:

```
int polynomial(coeffs, x) {
    output = 0;
    for (i = n; i >= 0; i--) {
        output = (output * x) + coeffs[i];
    }
    return output;
}
```

Taking $O(n)$ time.

7.1.2 Point-Value Representation

We can represent a polynomial of degree n in $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ by a set of points it intersects like so:

$$((x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_{n+1}, y_{n+1})).$$

where for i, j in $[n + 1]$ with $i \neq j$, $x_i \neq x_j$.

7.1.3 Polynomial Addition

For two polynomials A, B with degrees n, m in $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $k = \max\{n, m\}$:

Under coefficient representation, taking:

$$\begin{aligned} A &= (a_1, \dots, a_n) \\ B &= (b_1, \dots, b_m), \end{aligned}$$

we have that $(A + B) = (a_1 + b_1, \dots, a_k + b_k)$ where we pad the polynomial of lesser degree with zeroes.

Under point-value representation, taking:

$$\begin{aligned} A &= ((x_1, a_1), \dots, (x_{k+1}, a_{k+1})) \\ B &= ((x_1, b_1), \dots, (x_{k+1}, b_{k+1})), \end{aligned}$$

we have that $(A + B) = ((x_1, a_1 + b_1), \dots, (x_{k+1}, a_{k+1} + b_{k+1}))$ where we pad the polynomial of lesser degree with zeroes.

7.1.4 Polynomial Multiplication

For two polynomials A, B with degrees n, m in $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $k = 2 \cdot \max\{n, m\}$:

Under coefficient representation, taking:

$$\begin{aligned} A &= (a_1, \dots, a_n) \\ B &= (b_1, \dots, b_m), \end{aligned}$$

we have that:

$$(A \cdot B)(x) = (c_1, \dots, c_k) \quad \text{where } c_i = \sum_{j=0}^i a_j b_{i-j}.$$

Taking $O(n^2)$ time.

We can do this with the point-value representation, taking:

$$\begin{aligned} A &= ((x_1, a_1), \dots, (x_{k+1}, a_{k+1})) \\ B &= ((x_1, b_1), \dots, (x_{k+1}, b_{k+1})), \end{aligned}$$

We have that:

$$A \cdot B = \{(x_1, a_1 \cdot b_1), \dots, (x_{k+1}, a_{k+1} \cdot b_{k+1})\}$$

Taking $O(n)$ time.

7.2 The Fast Fourier Transform

7.2.1 Roots of Unity

The idea is that we evaluate a polynomial to perform pointwise multiplication and then interpolate back into the coefficient representation. We need to evaluate a polynomial of degree n at $n + 1$ points to convert it to point-value form. We use the $n + 1$ roots of unity:

$$\omega_{n+1}^k = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n+1}k},$$

for k in $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$. So, we consider:

$$y_k = A(\omega_{n+1}^k),$$

The ordered vector (y_0, \dots, y_n) is the Discrete Fourier Transform (DFT) of the coefficient vector of A .

Cancellation Lemma: we have that $\omega_{dn}^{dk} = \omega_n^k$.

Halving Lemma: we have that if n is even, the set of all the squared roots of unity is just the set of roots of unity for $\frac{n}{2}$.

7.2.2 The Discrete Fourier Transform

For a polynomial A of degree n , we call the vector of evaluations of A at the $n + 1$ roots of unity the Discrete Fourier transform of the coefficient representation of A .

7.2.3 The Fast Fourier Transform

For a polynomial A of even degree n , we define $A^{[0]}$ and $A^{[1]}$ as:

$$\begin{aligned} A^{[0]} &= a_0 + a_2x + \dots + a_nx^{(n/2)} \\ A^{[1]} &= a_1 + a_3x + \dots + a_{n-1}x^{(n/2)-1}, \end{aligned}$$

so we have that:

$$A(x) = A^{[0]}(x^2) + xA^{[1]}(x^2).$$

So, we can split the computation into two equally sized parts, compute them, and then combine them in linear time. Combined with the Discrete Fourier transform and the Halving Lemma, we only have to evaluate A at $\frac{n}{2}$ points.

```

polynomial FFT(polynomial P, degree n) {
    if (n < 1) {
        // If the degree is less than 1, we just return P
        return P;
    }
    // The (n + 1)th root of unity
    complex root = root_of_unity(n + 1);
    // The cumulative root of unity
    complex root_sum = 1;
    // The polynomial split into two
    polynomial P_0 = (a_0, a_2, a_4, ..., a_n);
    polynomial P_1 = (a_1, a_3, a_5, ..., a_{n - 1});
    // The recursive step
    polynomial y0 = FFT(P_0, n / 2);
    polynomial y1 = FFT(P_1, n / 2);
    // Combine step
    polynomial y;
    for (int k = 0; k <= n / 2; k++) {
        y[k] = y0[k] + root_sum * y1[k];
        y[k + n / 2] = y0[k] - root_sum * y1[k];
        root_sum *= root;
    }
    return y;
}

```

7.3 Polynomial Multiplication

For polynomials A, B with degrees a, b , let $n = \max\{a, b\}$:

- Set the degree of A and B to $2n$, padding with zeroes,
- Perform the Fast Fourier transform,
- Multiply pointwise,
- Interpolate with the inverse Fast Fourier transform.

This process takes $O(n \log(n))$ time.

8 Dynamic Programming

Dynamic programming is the process of solving programming problems by breaking them down into overlapping subproblems, computing the base cases and storing the solutions to be later composed into a solution.

8.1 Largest Empty Square

This problem is about finding the largest square in a $n \times n$ black and white image such that the square does not contain a black pixel.

8.1.1 A Recursive Algorithm

To find the largest square at the position (x, y) (bottom-right corner at (x, y)), we use the following algorithm:

```
size LargestSquare(x, y) {  
    if ((x, y) is black) return 0;  
    if ((x == 1) or (y == 1)) return 1;  
    return 1 + min(  
        LargestSquare(x - 1, y - 1),  
        LargestSquare(x - 1, y),  
        LargestSquare(x, y - 1));  
}
```

The time complexity of this algorithm, however, is exponential.

We get this as each cell barring the first and last columns and rows have cells where `LargestSquare` is computed three times (as they are checked from below, to the right, and below and to the right).

8.1.2 A Dynamic Algorithm

We now consider storing our solutions to cells so we do not repeat ourselves, take A to be an $n \times n$ array where each cell is undefined as first:

```
size LargestSquareStored(x, y) {  
    if ((x, y) is black) A[x, y] = 0;  
    if ((x == 1) or (y == 1)) A[x, y] = 1;  
    if (A[x, y] is undefined) A[x, y] = 1 + min(  
        LargestSquareStored(x - 1, y - 1),  
        LargestSquareStored(x - 1, y),  
        LargestSquareStored(x, y - 1));  
    return A[x, y];  
}
```

Giving LargestSquareStored(n , n) a time complexity of $O(n^2)$.

8.2 Weighted Interval Scheduling

We have a set of n intervals, a triple containing a start time s_i , finishing time f_i , and a weight w_i . A schedule is a set of intervals such that they do not overlap (with respect to their starting and finishing times).

The intervals are provided as an array A , sorted ascending by finishing times.

8.2.1 The Latest Compatible Interval

We define p as a function between intervals, taking an interval i and returning the latest interval that finishes before i starts.

This can be pre-computed in $O(n \log_2(n))$ time by using binary search.

8.2.2 A Recursive Algorithm

For n intervals indexed by $\{1, \dots, n\}$:

```
weight WIS(i) {  
    if (i == 0) return 0;  
    return max(WIS(i - 1), WIS(p(i)) + w_i);  
}
```

However, this leads to WIS(i) being calculated more than once for some i when we calculate WIS(n).

8.2.3 A Dynamic Algorithm

For n intervals indexed by $\{1, \dots, n\}$, we now consider a global array of n schedules S where each entry is initially undefined:

```
weight WISStored(n) {  
    if (n == 0) return 0;  
    for (int i = 1; i <= n; i++) {  
        S[i] = max(S[i - 1], S[p(i)] + w_i);  
    }  
    return S[n];  
}
```

This takes $O(n)$ time.

8.2.4 Returning the Schedule

We can find the schedule using our stored S from the previous section:

```
schedule FindSchedule(i) {  
    if (i == 0) return [];  
    if (S[i - 1] <= S[p(i)] + w_i) {  
        return FindSchedule(p(i)) ++ [i];  
    }  
    return FindSchedule(i - 1);  
}
```

Thus, $\text{FindSchedule}(n)$ gives us our schedule and takes $O(n)$ time.

8.3 Self-balancing Trees

8.3.1 Perfectly Balanced Trees

A tree where each path from the root to a leaf has the same length is perfectly balanced.

8.3.2 Parts of our Self-balancing Tree

We want to use self-balancing trees as an optimisation over linked lists in a dynamic search structure. Consider a tree where each node can have between 2 and 4 (inclusive) children (where a child can be empty) called a 2 – 3 – 4 tree. Take note of the following:

2-node a node with value v , 2 children, and 1 key where the left child is less than or equal to v and the right child is greater than or equal to v .

3-node a node with values v_1, v_2 , 3 children, and 2 keys where the left child is less than or equal to v_1 , the middle child is between v_1 and v_2 (inclusive), and the right child is greater than or equal to v_2 .

4-node a node with values v_1, v_2, v_3 , 4 children, and 3 keys where the left child is less than or equal to v_1 , the left-middle child is between v_1 and v_2 (inclusive), the right-middle child is between v_2 and v_3 (inclusive), and the right child is greater than or equal to v_3 .

8.3.3 The Height of 2 – 3 – 4 Trees

If we suppose all the nodes in the tree are 2/4 nodes we get the worst/best case heights for a 2 – 3 – 4 tree with n elements:

Node Type	Height
2	$O(\log_2(n))$
4	$O(\log_4(n))$

8.3.4 Insertion on 2 – 3 – 4 Trees

Splitting this operation works on a 4-node and requires its parent isn't a 4-node. The middle value of the node is added to the parent and two 2-nodes are formed from the left and right values and the four children. Splitting the root increases the height of the tree and is the only operation with this property.

When inserting an element k we search for where the element belongs whilst splitting any 4-nodes into 2-nodes as we recurse. We convert the bottom node from type t to $t + 1$ ($t \neq 4$ by our algorithm structure) and insert our value.

8.3.5 Deletion on 2 – 3 – 4 trees

Fusion this operation works on two 2-nodes with a shared parent that isn't a 2-node. A relevant key is taken from the parent and used to form a 4-node. Fusing the root decreases the height of tree and is the only operation with this property.

Transferring this operation works on a 2-node and a 3-node with a shared parent. A key from the parent is added to the 2-node whilst a key from the 3-node is added to the parent

We will consider the cases when deleting a value k . For leaves, we search for the value, transferring and fusing to convert 2-nodes on the path, we delete the value, converting the node from a node type t to a type $t - 1$ ($t \neq 2$ by our algorithm structure). For non-leaves, we delete the predecessor of k , k' (always a leaf) and replace k with k' .

8.4 Skip Lists

We want to use skip lists as an optimisation over linked lists in a dynamic search structure. Building on a linked list, we require it is sorted and then we can add 'shortcut' levels. Each level is a subset of the linked list in the level below with the bottom level being the full list and each level containing the minimum and maximum.

8.4.1 Insertion in Skip Lists

When inserting an entry, we choose randomly whether it should appear in the level above. We insert it into the lowest level and essentially flip a coin to see if we should insert it into the level above. We repeat these coin flips until it fails to be inserted again (note that each level must contain the minimum and maximum of the list and the top level should be exactly the minimum and maximum).

If there is a level which isn't the bottom layer that contains all entries, we can delete all levels below it.

8.4.2 Deletion in Skip Lists

When deleting an entry, we simply delete all occurrences of the entry. If this is the minimum or maximum, we ensure that each level contains the minimum or maximum unless the whole list is empty.

If there is a level which isn't the top layer that contains only the minimum and maximum entries, we can delete it.

8.4.3 Finding in Skip Lists

We start at the minimum of the top layer, iterating across, moving down layers as we encounter values greater than our desired value. We return when we can't go down anymore or we find our value:

```
value find(key) {
  while (true) {
    if (entry.key == key) {
      // We found the desired entry
      return entry.value;
    } else if (entry.key > key) {
      // We need to move down
      if (layer below) {
        move down;
      } else {
        return undefined;
      }
    } else {
      // We need to move to the right
      if (entry to the right) {
        move right;
      } else {
        return undefined;
      }
    }
  }
  return undefined;
}
```

8.4.4 Runtime of skip lists

All processes take $O(\log_2(n))$ time on average with randomised levels. Also, for large n , the amount of levels is also $O(\log_2(n))$ on average.

9 Output Sensitivity

We have that some algorithms are output sensitive. This means that their runtime depends on what the answer to the problem is.

9.1 Line Intersections

Suppose we are given a set of line segments (as two coordinates), we would like to find all the coordinates of the Intersections between these line segments.

9.1.1 A Simple Algorithm

We iterate through all the pairs and output the intersections:

```
points intersections_simple(lines) {
    points ps;
    for (int i = 0; i < lines.size(); i++) {
        for (int j = i + 1; j < lines.size(); j++) {
            if (lines[i] intersects lines[j]) {
                ps.push(intersection);
            }
        }
    }
    return ps;
}
```

This algorithm takes $O(n^2)$ time.

9.1.2 Output Sensitivity in Line Intersections

It can be seen that certain inputs could potentially have $O(n^2)$ output but this would make it seem like the simple algorithm is optimal but we will see that if consider k to be the number of outputs, we can find an algorithm with $O(n \log_2(n) + k \log_2(n))$ time complexity. However, if we consider bounds for k :

$$\begin{aligned} k \leq 2n &\Rightarrow O(n \log_2(n)) \\ k \geq n^2 &\Rightarrow O(n^2 \log_2(n)), \end{aligned}$$

so for certain inputs this algorithm will be **worse** than the simple algorithm.

9.2 An Outline for Finding Intersections

It can be seen that for two line segments, they can only have an intersection if the spans of the segments in the y direction intersect also. Thus, we could consider sweeping a horizontal line through all our line segments picking up intersections as we go.

9.2.1 Adjacency

We say two line segments are adjacent if there is a contiguous horizontal line from one segment to the other (not interrupted by another line segment). It can be seen that two segments that are never adjacent can't intersect.

9.2.2 Event Points

We can't possibly iterate through all possible y points, thus we only consider 'event points' which are the end points of segments and line intersections but this requires that we calculate intersections as we go. If we have k intersections, this gives $O(n+k)$ event points.

We consider the set of event points as a priority queue with keys equal to their y value, allowing us to `extractMin` to get our next event point. However, our process could give rise to duplicate event points, but these can be dealt with by checking the queue beforehand.

At each event point, if we are:

- At the top of a line segment, we insert it,
- At the bottom of a line segment, we delete it,
- At an intersection, we swap the intersecting lines,

in our 'status'. We check for new intersections as these changes occur.

9.2.3 Status

We consider the status of the sweep line to be the ordered set of line segments currently being intersected by the sweep line with respect to their x coordinates. The status can clearly only change at event points, so at each event point we query line segments that have newly become adjacent.

We consider status as a 2-3-4 tree where we store line segments with a description (like its end points) as the key.

9.2.4 The Full Process

We add all the start and end points of our line segments to our priority queue, and we iterate through them. We update the status and query for intersections as we progress, adding intersections to our output and the queue as necessary. This takes $O((n + k) \log_2(n))$ time.

10 Linear Programming

10.1 Vector Comparison

We have that for v, w in \mathbb{R}^n for some n in $\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that:

$$v = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \vdots \\ v_n \end{pmatrix} \quad w = \begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \\ \vdots \\ w_n \end{pmatrix},$$

we have that $v \leq w$ if and only if for all i in $[n]$, $v_i \leq w_i$.

A result of this definition is that some vectors are incomparable.

10.2 Standard Form

The standard form of a linear programming problem is that we have an objective function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, an $m \times n$ matrix A , and an m -dimensional vector b in \mathbb{R}^m . The desired output is a vector x in \mathbb{R}^n that maximises $f(x)$ subject to $Ax \leq b$ and $x \geq 0$.

We can put linear programming problems into standard form by doing the following:

- Minimisation constraints have their signs flipped,
- Equality constraints are turned into two less than or equal to constraints,
- Greater than or equal to constraints have their signs flipped,
- Variables that could potentially be less than zero can be written as the subtraction of positive variables.

10.3 The Simplex Algorithm

The simplex algorithm takes a linear programming problem in standard form and considers the feasible polytope formed by the constraints in \mathbb{R}^n . It picks vertex on the polytope and moves greedily to the neighbour of greater value until it cannot anymore. This does terminate with the optimal solution and in practice only takes $\Theta(n)$ steps despite the large number of vertices.

10.4 Interior Point Algorithms

Interior Point Algorithms are another type of linear programming problem solving algorithm which have a polynomial worst case runtime. However, in practice, they tend to be slower than the Simplex algorithm.

10.5 Integer Linear Programming

In a linear programming problem where we add the constraint that solutions must be integers, we can relax our constraints to form approximate solutions.

11 Flow Algorithms

11.1 Flow Networks

A flow network $F = (G, c, s, t)$ consists of a directed graph $G = (V, E)$, a capacity function $c : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, a source vertex s in V (with empty in-neighbourhood), and a sink vertex t in V (with empty out-neighbourhood).

11.1.1 Flows

A flow in F is a function $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with the following properties:

- No edge has more 'flow' than capacity, for e in E :

$$0 \leq f(e) \leq c(e),$$

- Flow is conserved, for v in $V \setminus \{s, t\}$:

$$f^-(v) = \sum_{u \in N^-(v)} f((u, v)) = \sum_{w \in N^+(v)} f((v, w)) = f^+(v).$$

We denote the value of a flow as $v(f) = f^+(s)$. We can also define f^+ and f^- for sets by considering the flow entering and exiting the sets. Similarly, in and out flow of sets are identical.

11.1.2 Cuts

A cut is a partition A, B of V such that the source is in A and the sink is in B . We have that the flow out of A minus the flow in is equal to the flow in to B minus the flow out:

$$(f^+ - f^-)(A) = (f^- - f^+)(B) = v(f).$$

The capacity of a cut is the sum of all the capacities of all the edges leaving the A ($c^+(A)$).

11.2 Residual Graphs

On a flow network $F = (G, c, s, t)$, we consider the residual graph $G_F = (V, E_F)$, where for each e in $E(G)$ we add:

- A forward edge if $f(e) < c(e)$,
- A backward edge if $f(e) > 0$.

to E_F . The backward edges allow flow to be pushed back down edges in G . The forward edges make it so that edges at capacity in G are no longer considered.

11.2.1 Augmenting Paths in Residual Graphs

An augmenting path is a directed path from s to t in G_F .

11.3 Residual Capacity

On a flow network $F = (G, c, s, t)$, the residual capacity of an edge $\{x, y\}$ in G is the amount of flow you can add to (x, y) in G_F or the amount of flow you can remove from (y, x) in G_F (whichever is greater). For paths, the residual capacity of a path P is the minimum of the residual capacities of all the edges.

11.3.1 Pushing

Considering an augmenting path P , pushing P involves adding the residual capacity of P to the edges used by the path.

11.4 The Ford-Fulkerson Algorithm

For a weakly connected flow network $F = (G, c, s, t)$, we generate G_F and repeatedly push the augmenting paths on G_F onto G , updating G_F as the flow on G changes. The resulting flow is maximal, taking $O(v(f^*)|E|)$ time where f^* is our maximal flow.

Proof. To show the resulting flow f is maximal, we first consider a random cut (X, Y) . We have that:

$$v(f) = f^+(A) - f^-(A) \leq c^+(A). \quad (2)$$

So, if $v(f) = c^+(A)$ we have a maximal flow. Now, we consider the cut (A, B) :

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \{v \in V(G) : \text{there is a path from } s \text{ to } v \text{ in } G_F\} \\ B &= V(G) \setminus A. \end{aligned}$$

We can see that $f^+(A)$, the flow out of A , is equal to $c^+(A)$, the capacity of the cut:

For each v in B , there is no path from s to v . Hence, there are no forward edges in G_F from A to B . Thus, all the edges from A to B in G are at capacity. Thus, the flow out of A ($f^+(A)$) must be the capacity of the cut, $c^+(A)$.

Similarly, there are no backward edges in G_F from A to B . Thus, all the edges from B to A in G have zero flow, hence the flow into A ($f^-(A)$) is zero.

By the properties of cuts, $f^+(A) - f^-(A) = v(f)$. Thus, $c^+(A) = v(f)$ as required by (2). \square

11.4.1 Max-flow Min-cut Theorem

The value of a maximum flow is always equal to the minimum capacity of a cut.

Proof. We let f be the maximum flow and take (A, B) to be our cut of minimum capacity. We know that there are no augmenting paths for f as that would contradict the maximality of f . By the proof of Ford-Fulkerson proof, (as the output flow of Ford-Fulkerson doesn't have any augmenting paths) we pick a cut (X, Y) such that $c^+(X) = v(f)$. But as (A, B) is a cut of minimum capacity:

$$c^+(A) \leq c^+(X) = v(f).$$

But by (2), we know that $v(f) \leq c^+(A)$. Thus, $v(f) = c^+(A)$ as required. \square

11.5 The Edmonds-Karp Algorithm

If we pick augmenting paths with minimal edges (breadth-first) then we are guaranteed to finish Ford-Fulkerson in $O(|V||E|^2)$ time.

11.6 Additional Uses of Flows

11.6.1 Flow Networks and Bipartite Graphs

Given a bipartite graph $G = (V, E)$ with bipartition A, B , we can form a new graph G' identical to G except:

- We add a source vertex connected to all vertices in A ,
- We add a sink vertex connected to all vertices in B ,
- All edges are directed from s to A to B to t ,
- All edges have capacity 1,

in G' , maximal flows corresponding to matchings.

11.6.2 Vertex Capacities

We can add capacities to vertices too, forming a vertex flow network $F = (G, c_E, c_V, s, t)$ identical to the flow network except we restrict flow through vertices. We can form a regular flow network from this by changing each vertex v into two vertices v^+, v^- where the capacity of (v^-, v^+) is $c_V(v)$ and all the edges going into v go into v^- and all the edges going out of v go out of v^+ .

11.6.3 Circulation Networks

A circulation network $C = (G, c, d)$ is a directed graph $G = (V, E)$ and a capacity function $c : E \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and demand function $d : V \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$. Vertices with positive demand are sinks, and vertices with negative demand are sources.

A circulation is a function $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $0 \leq f(e) \leq c(e)$ for each e in E and $f^-(v) - f^+(v) = D(v)$ for all v in V (flow is conserved except at sources and sinks).

We find circulations by attaching a source vertex to all sources in C with edges equal to the (negative) demand of the sources and similarly adding a sink vertex to all sinks in C with edges equal to the demand. This forms a flow network we can run our algorithms on.

12 Complexity Theory

12.1 Markov's Inequality

For $X \geq 0$ a random variable with mean μ , for all t in $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$:

$$\mathbb{P}(X \geq t) \leq \frac{\mu}{t}.$$

Thus, if we expect our algorithm to run in time T , then for some k in $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$, the probability it takes more than kT is $\frac{1}{k}$.

12.1.1 Expected Runtime

If we have an algorithm A and a fixed input x with expected runtime T , we run $A(x)$ and if it fails to terminate with $2T$. The probability it fails k in $\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ many times in a row is 2^{-k} .

12.2 Decision Problems

A decision problem is a problem such that the answer is in the set $\{\text{Yes}, \text{No}\}$.

12.3 Oracles

An oracle is a construct that given its corresponding problem, solves it in $O(1)$ time.

12.4 Cook Reductions

For the decision problems X, Y with O_Y the oracle for Y , we have that a Cook reduction from X to Y is an algorithm A_X which given an input of size n runs in $O(x^n)$ time and makes $O(x^n)$ calls to O_Y (all with inputs of size $O(x^n)$).

12.4.1 Properties of Cook Reductions

We have that for the decision problems X, Y, Z :

- $X \leq_c Y, Y \leq_c Z$ implies that $X \leq_c Z$ (transitivity)
- $X \leq_c Y$ implies that if we have a polynomial time algorithm for Y , we have one for X
- $X \leq_c Y$ and there is no polynomial-time algorithm for X implies that there is no polynomial-time algorithm for Y .

12.5 Karp Reductions

For the decision problems X and Y , a Karp reduction from X to Y is a map f from the instances of X to the instances of Y such that:

- $f(x)$ can be computed in polynomial time (in x)
- $f(x)$ is a **Yes** instance of Y if and only if it's a **Yes** instance of X .

This is denoted by $X \leq_K Y$.

12.5.1 Properties of Karp Reductions

We have that Karp reductions are stronger than Cook reductions so that for any two decision problems X, Y :

$$X \leq_K Y \Rightarrow X \leq_C Y.$$

12.6 The Class, **P**

We have that **P** is the class of all decision problems which have a polynomial-time algorithm. We have that $\mathbf{P} \subseteq \mathbf{NP}$ as we can just process the solution (ignoring the witness).

12.7 The Class, **NP**

We have that **NP** is the class of all decision problems X such that there is some polynomial-time verification algorithm A_X such that for some input x , if x is a **Yes** instance of our problem, there is a witness bit string w such that $A_X(x, w) = \mathbf{Yes}$.

12.7.1 NP-hardness

We say a problem is **NP**-hard (under Cook reductions) if SAT Cook-reduces to it. Similarly, we say a problem is **NP**-hard (under Karp reductions) if SAT Karp-reduces to it.

12.7.2 NP-completeness

We say a problem is **NP**-complete if it is **NP**-hard and in **NP**.

12.8 The SAT Problem

The SAT problem is the problem that asks if when given some formula in conjunctive-normal form (consisting of AND and OR clauses) we can assign the variables such that the formula is satisfied (true).

12.8.1 Cook-Levin Theorem

We have that **SAT** is **NP**-hard and thus, **NP**-complete. Thus, every problem which **SAT** reduces to is **NP**-hard.

12.9 The 3-SAT Problem

We have that the width of a conjunctive-normal form is the number of literals of all the OR clauses. The 3-SAT problem asks if a width-3 conjunctive-normal form is satisfiable. We have that this is **NP**-complete.

12.10 Further NP-complete Problems

The following are **NP**-complete:

- Finding an independent set in a graph,
- Finding a vertex cover in a graph.