Set Theory Notes

by Tyler Wright

github.com/Fluxanoia fluxanoia.co.uk

These notes are not necessarily correct, consistent, representative of the course as it stands today or, rigorous. Any result of the above is not the author's fault.

0 Notation

We commonly deal with the following concepts in Set Theory which I will abbreviate as follows for brevity:

| Term | Notation |
|----------------------------|----------|
| $\boxed{\{0,1,2,\ldots\}}$ | N |

Contents

| 0 | Not | ation | 1 |
|----------|------|---|---|
| 1 | The | Fundamentals | 3 |
| | 1.1 | Axiom of Extensionality | 3 |
| | 1.2 | Axiom of Pair Sets | 3 |
| | 1.3 | Axiom of the Powerset | 3 |
| | 1.4 | Axiom of the Empty Set | 3 |
| | 1.5 | Axiom of Subsets | 3 |
| | 1.6 | Axiom of Unions | 4 |
| | 1.7 | Classes | 4 |
| | 1.8 | The Set ω | 4 |
| | 1.9 | Russell's Theorem | 4 |
| | 1.10 | The Universe of Sets | 5 |
| 2 | Rela | ations | 6 |
| | 2.1 | Partial Orderings | 6 |
| | 2.2 | Bounding | 7 |
| | 2.3 | Order Preserving Maps | 7 |
| | 2.4 | Representation Theorem for Partially Ordered Sets | 7 |
| | 2.5 | Total Orderings | 8 |
| | 2.6 | Well-orderings | 8 |

1 The Fundamentals

1.1 Axiom of Extensionality

For two sets a and b, we have that a = b if and only if for all x we have that:

$$x \in a \iff x \in b$$
.

For two classes A and B, we have that A = B if and only if for all x we have that:

$$x \in a \iff x \in b$$
.

1.2 Axiom of Pair Sets

For any sets x and y, there is a set $z = \{x, y\}$. This is the (unordered) pair set of x and y.

1.3 Axiom of the Powerset

For each set x, there exists a set which is the collection of the subsets of x, the powerset $\mathcal{P}(x)$.

For some set x, we have the powerset defined as follows $\mathcal{P}(x) = \{z \mid z \subseteq x\}$.

1.4 Axiom of the Empty Set

There exists a set with no members, the empty set \varnothing .

We have the empty set defined as follows $\emptyset = \{x \mid x \neq x\}.$

1.5 Axiom of Subsets

For some set x, we have that $\{y \in x \mid \Phi(y)\}$ is a set for some well-defined property of sets Φ .

1.6 Axiom of Unions

We have the basic union of two sets x_1 and x_2 :

$$x_1 \cup x_2 = \{ y \mid y \in x_1 \text{ or } y \in x_2 \},$$

but for cases where we want to unify the members of the sets in a set X, we define:

$$\bigcup X = \{ y \mid \exists x \in X, y \in x \}.$$

This axiom states that for a set X, $\bigcup X$ is a set.

1.7 Classes

We have that classes are collection of objects, these could also be sets. Classes that are not sets are called proper classes.

1.8 The Set ω

We have the set of natural numbers, $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$, and from this, we define ω :

$$\omega = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\},\$$

where for some n in ω ,

$$n = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1\},\$$

with 0_{ω} being the empty set. We can go beyond this definition, defining:

$$\omega + 1 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, \omega\},\$$

$$\omega + 2 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, \omega, \omega + 1\},\$$

$$\dots$$

$$\omega + n = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, \omega, \omega + 1, \dots \omega + n - 1\}.$$

1.9 Russell's Theorem

We have that $R = \{x \mid x \notin x\}$ is not a set.

Proof. Suppose we have a set z such that z = R, is z in R? If we suppose z is in R, we have that z is not in z by the definition of R (as z = R) but z is R so z is not in R, a contradiction. Thus, we have that there is no set z equal to R, so R is not a set but a proper class.

1.10 The Universe of Sets

We define the universe of sets as $V = \{x \mid x = x\}$. We have that V is a proper class.

Proof. If we suppose V is a set, we apply the axiom of subsets with $\Phi(x) = x \notin x$ and reach a contradiction via Russell's theorem.

2 Relations

We will first state the significant properties relations can have. Taking a relation R on X with x, y, z arbitrary in X:

| \mathbf{Name} | Property |
|-----------------|--|
| Reflexive | xRx |
| Irreflexive | $\neg(xRx)$ |
| Symmetric | $xRy \Rightarrow yRx$ |
| Antisymmetric | $[xRy \text{ and } yRx] \Rightarrow [x=y]$ |
| Connected | [x = y] or $[xRy]$ or $[yRx]$ |
| Transitive | $[xRy \text{ and } yRz] \Rightarrow [xRz]$ |

For example, equivalence relations must satisfy reflexivity, symmetry, and transitivity.

2.1 Partial Orderings

We say that a relation \prec on a set X is a (strict) partial ordering if it is irreflexive and transitive.

Similarly, we say that a relation \leq on a set X is a non-strict partial ordering if it is reflexive, antisymmetric, and transitive.

A partial ordering (X, \prec) is wellfounded if for any non-empty subset Y of X, Y has a least element under \prec .

2.2 Bounding

For a partially ordered set (X, \prec) :

- x_0 in X is the minimum of X if for all x in X, $x_0 \leq x$,
- x' in X is minimal in X if for all x in X, $\neg(x \prec x')$,
- x_1 in X is the maximum of X if for all x in X, $x \leq x_1$,
- x' in X is maximal in X if for all x in X, $\neg(x' \prec x)$.

Taking a non-empty subset Y of X, we consider the subordering (Y, \prec) and for some α in X we say:

- α is a lower bound for Y if for all y in Y, $\alpha \prec y$,
- α is the infimum of Y if it's a lower bound and for all lower bounds λ of Y, $\alpha \leq \lambda$,
- α is an upper bound for Y if for all y in Y, $y \prec \alpha$,
- α is the supremum of Y if it's an upper bound and for all upper bounds τ of $Y, \tau \leq \alpha$.

2.3 Order Preserving Maps

We say that $f:(X, \prec_1) \to (Y, \prec_2)$ is an order preserving map if for each x_1, x_2 in X:

$$x_1 \prec_1 x_2 \Longrightarrow f(x_1) \prec_2 f(x_2).$$

Two orderings are (order) isomorphic if there is a bijective order preserving map between them.

2.4 Representation Theorem for Partially Ordered Sets

For a partially ordered set (X, \prec) , there is a set $Y \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ which is such that (X, \preceq) is order isomorphic to (Y, \subseteq) .

Proof. For some x in X, we set $X^x = \{x' \in X : x' \leq x\}$, the set of elements preceding or equal to x. For x, y in X, $x \neq y$ implies that $X^x \neq X^y$ as these sets contain x and y (resp.) so $x \mapsto X^x$ is bijective. We have that:

$$x \leq y \iff X^x \subseteq X^y$$
,

by our definition. Thus, $x \mapsto X^x$ is an order isomorphism.

2.5 Total Orderings

A relation \prec on a set X is a (strict) total ordering if it is a connected strict partial ordering.

Similarly, we say that a relation \leq on a set X is a non-strict total ordering if it is a connected non-strict partial ordering.

2.6 Well-orderings

A relation \prec on a set X is a well-ordering if it is a strict total ordering and for any non-empty subset Y of X, Y has a least element under \prec . We denote this with $(X, \prec) \in WO$.