## **Project Evaluation Form**

Evaluator:	_Natasha Devit_	
------------	-----------------	--

This segment will evaluate individual performance. For each person mentioned below, indicate the extent to which you agree with the statement on the left using a scale of 1-5 (1 = strongly disagree; 2 = disagree; 3 = neutral; 4 = agree; 5 = strongly agree).

Evaluation Criteria	Bram van Vugt	Mathijs van der Kuijp	Maximilian van Laar	Leo Nolle	Steven Cloose
Is present and on time at most meetings.	5	5	5	5	5
Has a cooperative and supportive attitude.	5	5	5	5	5
Contributes to a smooth-running project.	5	5	5	5	5
Communicates in a professional and respectful manner.	5	5	5	5	5
Total:					

The next segment will evaluate the group performance. Indicate the extent to which you agree with the statement on the left using a scale of 1-5 as mentioned earlier.

Evaluation Criteria	New Identity
Completes assignments within the agreed upon time.	5
Prepares work in a quality manner based on research done.	5
Can present their work in a clear and structured presentation.	5
Communicated with the client about the progress and involved them in the process.	5
Total:	

This segment will have three open questions.

## • Where did the group need some improvement and what were the strong suits of the group?

From my perspective I could tell you worked well with each other. I could tell you were on the same page and that all of you are equally driven.

## • How effectively did the group work?

I think you all have a very professional manner, are punctual and have an active approach. I think you all equally contributed, which is also why I awarded you all with the same number of points.

## • Could Bierens adequately participate in the project's decisions?

Yes definitely, you asked good questions during our sessions and incorporated our feedback well in your work.