



## ACADEMY OF TECHNOLOGY

### Lab Assignment 4

Paper name: Design and Analysis of Algorithms Lab

Code: PCC-CS494

Discipline: CSE

Semester: 4<sup>th</sup>

Time: 2 Hours

Date: March 28, 2023

1. Write a program in C or C++ to find a given **key** in array using ternary search algorithm.

---

#### Algorithm 1: SEARCH( $x[]$ , $l$ , $r$ , $key$ )

---

```
//  $x[1 : n]$  is an array of  $n$  elements such that  $x[1] \leq x[2] \leq \dots \leq x[n]$ .  
//  $l$  and  $r$  indicate the left and right index respectively of array.  
//  $key$  is the element which is to be searched within the array.  
1 if  $r \geq l$  then  
2    $mid_1 := l + \lfloor \frac{(r-l)}{3} \rfloor$ ;  
3    $mid_2 := r - \lfloor \frac{(r-l)}{3} \rfloor$ ;  
4   if  $x[mid_1] = key$  then return  $mid_1$ ;  
5   if  $x[mid_2] = key$  then return  $mid_2$ ;  
6   if  $key < x[mid_1]$  then return SEARCH ( $l, mid_1 - 1, key, x$ );  
7   else if ( $key > x[mid_2]$ ) then return SEARCH ( $mid_2 + 1, r, key, x$ );  
8   else return SEARCH ( $mid_1 + 1, mid_2 - 1, key, x$ );  
9   return -1;  
10 end
```

---

Algorithm 1 is a searching technique that is used to determine the position of a specific value in an array. Using an example verify that the Algorithm 1 correctly functioning in order to find whether an element exist in the list. What will be the recurrence relation for this algorithm. Solve the recurrence to find the time complexity of this algorithm? Is it better than binary search?

Which of the above two does less comparisons in worst case?

From the first look, it seems the ternary search does less number of comparisons as it makes  $\log_3 n$  recursive calls, but binary search makes  $\log_2 n$  recursive calls. Let us take a closer look. The following is recursive formula for counting comparisons in worst case of Binary Search.

$$T(n) = T(n/2) + 2, T(1) = 1$$

The following is recursive formula for counting comparisons in worst case of Ternary Search.

$$T(n) = T(n/3) + 4, T(1) = 1$$

In binary search, there are  $2\log_2 n + 1$  comparisons in worst case. In ternary search, there are  $4\log_3 n + 1$  comparisons in worst case.

Time Complexity for Binary search =  $2\log_2 n + O(1)$

Time Complexity for Ternary search =  $4\log_3 n + O(1)$

Therefore, the comparison of Ternary and Binary Searches boils down the comparison of expressions  $2\log_3 n$  and  $\log_2 n$ . The value of  $2\log_3 n$  can be written as  $\frac{2}{\log_2 3} \times \log_2 n$ .

---

Since the value of  $\frac{2}{\log_2 3}$  is more than one, Ternary Search does more comparisons than Binary Search in worst case.

2. Write a program in C or C++ to find the maximum and minimum number from a given array using divide and conquer approach.

---

*Algorithm 2: Max-Min ( $x[], i, j, max, min$ )*

---

```
//  $x[0 : n - 1]$  is a an array of  $n$  elements.
// Parameters  $i$  and  $j$  are integers,  $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$ .
// The effect is to set  $max$  and  $min$  to the largest
// and smallest values in  $x[i : j]$ , respectively.
1 if  $i = j$  then  $max := min := x[i]$ ; // Small P
2 else if  $i = j - 1$  then
    // Another case of Small P
3     if  $a[i] < a[j]$  then
4         |  $max := x[j]$ ;  $min = x[i]$ ;
5     end
6     else
7         |  $max := x[i]$ ;  $min = x[j]$ ;
8     end
9 end
10 else
    // If P is not small, divide P into sub-problems
11      $mid := \lfloor \frac{i+j}{2} \rfloor$ ; // Find where to split the set
    // Solve the sub-problems
12     MAX-MIN( $x[], i, mid, max, min$ );
13     MAX-MIN( $x[], mid + 1, j, max1, min1$ );
    // Combine the solutions
14     if  $max < max1$  then  $max := max1$ ;
15     if  $min > min1$  then  $min := min1$ ;
16 end
```

---

- 
3. Write a program in C or C++ to sort a given array using Merge Sort algorithm.

---

**Algorithm 3:** MergeSort(*low*, *high*)

---

**Input:** An array *arr*[*low* : *high*] is a global array to be sorted.

**Output:** Sorted array such that  $arr[i] \leq arr[i + 1]$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$

// Small(*P*) is true if there is only one element to sort.

```
1 if low < high then
2   | mid :=  $\lfloor \frac{(low+high)}{2} \rfloor$ ; // divide P into sub problems.
3   | MERGESORT(low, mid); // Solve the first sub problem.
4   | MERGESORT(mid + 1, high);
      // Solve the second sub problem.
5   | MERGE(low, mid, high); // Combine the solutions.
6 end
```

---

---

**Algorithm 4:** Merge(*low*, *mid*, *high*)

---

**Input:** An array *arr*[*low* : *high*] is a global array containing two sorted subsets *arr*[*low* : *mid*] and in *arr*[*mid* + 1 : *high*].

**Output:** The goal is to merge these two sets into a single set residing in *arr*[*low* : *high*]. *b*[] is an auxiliary array.

```
1 k := low; i := low; j := mid + 1;
2 while i ≤ mid and j ≤ high do
3   | if arr[i] < arr[j] then
4   |   | b[k] := arr[i]; i := i + 1;
5   |   end
6   |   else
7   |     | b[k] := arr[j]; j := j + 1;
8   |     end
9   |     k := k + 1;
10  end
11 while i ≤ mid do
12  |   b[k] := arr[i]; i := i + 1; k := k + 1;
13  end
14 while j ≤ high do
15  |   b[k] := arr[j]; j := j + 1; k := k + 1;
16  end
17 for i := low to high do arr[i] := b[i];
```

---