

Introduction to Cython for Solving Differential Equations

Hans Petter Langtangen^{1,2}

¹Center for Biomedical Computing, Simula Research Laboratory

²Dept. of Informatics, University of Oslo

Sep 23, 2012

Cython can be viewed as an extension of Python where variables and functions are annotated with extra information, in particular types. The resulting Cython source can be compiled into optimized C or C++ code, and thereby yielding substantial speed-up of slow Python code. Cython is particularly favorable when working with long loops processing large `numpy` arrays.

Programmers will, as with most effective software development tools, need quite some experience also with Cython before Python code can be quickly extended with Cython syntax and turned into high-performance computing code. The next sections provide a quick hands-on introduction to Cython with emphasis on basic ideas and a number of pitfalls that newcomers are likely to end up with.

Quite some Cython documentation is available, partly at <http://docs.cython.org> and partly as HTML files in the `Doc` folder of the Cython source code distribution. If you find yourself using Cython after having seen the capabilities from the forthcoming examples, you are strongly encouraged to read through the existing Cython documentation.

1 Cython for a scalar ODE

The first example involves a simple numerical method for solving a scalar first-order ordinary differential equation (ODE):

$$u'(t) = -u(t) + 1 \text{ for } t \in (0, T], \quad u(0) = 1. \quad (1)$$

The software is created for solving general ODEs on the form

$$u'(t) = f(u(t), t) \text{ for } t \in (0, T], \quad u(0) = I. \quad (2)$$

The user must provide the following input data: $f(u, t)$, I , T , the time step Δt (`dt`), and the numerical method for solving the ODE.

1.1 The initial pure Python code

The core of the algorithm for solving this problem consists of a time loop where a new value of u in time are computed from the previous value. The particular method for advancing u one time step is naturally put in a separate function such that this function can simply be replaced by an alternative function if an alternative method is desired.

```
def solver(f, I, dt, T, method):
    """
    Solve scalar ODE:
    u'(t) = f(u,t), u(0)=I, 0 < t <= T
    method: numerical method to advance u one time step.
    dt: time step length.
    """
    N = int(round(float(T)/dt))
    u = I
    t = 0
    for n in xrange(N): # may get memory error for large N
        u = method(u, t, f, dt)
        t += dt
    return u, t
```

Here is one choice of the `method` function, using a 2nd-order Runge-Kutta scheme:

Our particular problem can now be solved by defining

```
def problem1(u, t):
    """Right-hand side function f(u,t) for the ODE u'=-u+1."""
    return -u + 1
```

and calling

```
u, t = solver(f=problem1, I=1, dt=0.1, T=5, method=RK2)
```

We collect the `solver`, `RK2`, and `problem1` functions in a pure Python module file called `ode0.py`.

1.2 Compiling with Cython

A trivial Cython code for the present example is simply to copy `ode0.py` to a new file with extension `.pyx`, as Cython files always have this extension. We let the name of this first file, in a series of Cython versions of `ode0.py`, be `ode0_cy1.pyx`. The pure Python code in this file is also valid Cython code (at the time of this writing Cython supports almost all of Python). However, before we can execute this Cython code, it needs to be compiled by the `cython` program, compiled by a C compiler, and linked as a shared library. This shared library is a Python extension module that can be imported in a Python program as any other module.

The easiest way of making an extension module from a `.pyx` file is to create a `setup.py` file that will apply the same compiler and flags as were used when

compiling Python itself. A typical `setup.py` file for the present case looks as follows:

```
from distutils.core import setup
from distutils.extension import Extension
from Cython.Distutils import build_ext
cymodule = 'ode0_cy1'

setup(
    name='ODE test',
    ext_modules=[Extension(cymodule, [cymodule + '.pyx'],)],
    cmdclass={'build_ext': build_ext},
)
```

The `setup.py` file is run by

```
Terminal> python setup.py install build_ext --inplace
```

The result is a compiled extension module `ode0_cy1.so` on Linux (with other extensions on other platforms). This module can be imported like any other module,

```
import ode0_cy1 as ode0
```

In the rest of the program code we cannot distinguish between the Cython and Python versions of the `ode0` module.

We may compare the efficiency of the original Python module `ode0` with its Cython-compiled variant by running

```
Terminal> python ode0_main.py 0 # runs ode0.py in pure Python
Terminal> python ode0_main.py 1 # runs ode0_cy1.py in Cython
```

Just compiling with Cython halves the CPU time in this example.

1.3 Declaring variables with types

The first step in annotating Python code with the extended syntax offered by Cython is to declare (all) variables with their type. Cython supports C-style types like `int`, `double`, and `ndarray`. Moreover, C versions of functions can be called directly from C code by using `cdef` in the function header line instead of `def`. With `cpdef`, two versions of the function are made, one to be called from C and one from Python. The code now reads

```
cpdef solver(f, double I, double dt, double T, method) except *:
    cdef int N = int(round(float(T)/dt))
    cdef double u = I # previous time step
    cdef double t = 0
```

```

cdef int n
for n in xrange(N):
    u = method(u, t, f, dt)
    t += dt
return u, t

cpdef double RK2(double u, double t, f, double dt) except *:
    cdef double K1, K2, unew
    K1 = dt*f(u, t)
    K2 = dt*f(u + 0.5*K1, t + 0.5*dt)
    unew = u + K2
    return unew

cpdef double problem1(double u, double t) except *:
    return -u + 1 # u = 1-exp(-t)

```

The `except *` notation enables the functions to raise exceptions. The code will run faster without `except *`, but strange errors may potentially arise. Annotating variables with their types decreases the CPU time by over 40 percent. The functions above appear in the test program `ode0_cy2.pyx`.

1.4 Inspecting what Cython has done

Running `cython -a` on a `.pyx` file results in a `.html` file with information on what Cython has done with the code. For example, `cython -a ode_cy2.pyx` results in a file `ode0_cy2.html` which can be loaded into a web browser and inspected. White lines have resulted in efficient C code, while yellow lines still use the Python API, see Figure 1. One can click on the yellow lines to see the underlying C code. The complete C code is available in `ode0_cy2.c`.

1.5 Proper treatment of functions as arguments to functions

Our code involves two function objects as argument to functions: `f` for defining the right-hand side of the ODE (the problem) and `method` for advancing the ODE one time step (the method). When these are ordinary Python function objects, the resulting code is slow. We can easily see this by replacing the calls to `f` and `method` by the actual function names `problem` (in `RK2`) and `RK2` (in `solver`). The complete code is in `ode0_cy3.pyx`. The result is a speed-up of a factor of 250!

Nevertheless, we do not want these calls to be hardcoded for a special choice of problem and method. In C one would have applied function pointers for these arguments. An efficient and flexible construction in Cython is to replace the functions by methods in classes. For example, the problem definition goes as follows with a superclass, a method `rhs`, and a subclass implementing the particular problem in question (see `ode0_py4.py`):

```

cdef class Problem:
    cpdef double rhs(self, double u, double t) except *:
        return 0

```

Generated by Cython 0.14.1 on Tue Apr 12 10:03:08 2011

Raw output: [ode0_cy2.c](#)

```
1: """
2: ODE integration restricted to scalar ODEs.
3: No use of arrays.
4: Cython version with declaration of variables.
5: """
6:
7: cpdef solver(f, double U0, double dt, double t_end, method):
8:     cdef int N = int(round(float(t_end)/dt))
9:     cdef double u = U0 # previous time step
10:    cdef double t = 0
11:    cdef int n
12:    for n in xrange(N):
13:        u = method(u, t, f, dt)
14:        t += dt
15:    return u, t
16:
17: cpdef double RK2(double u, double t, f, double dt) except *:
18:     cdef double K1, K2, unew
19:     K1 = dt*f(u, t)
20:     K2 = dt*f(u + 0.5*K1, t + 0.5*dt)
21:     unew = u + K2
22:     return unew
23:
24: cpdef double problem1(double u, double t) except *:
25:     return -u + 1 # u = 1-exp(-t)
26:
27:
28:
```

Figure 1: HTML output explaining what Cython has done with the Python code.

```
cdef class Problem1(Problem):
    cpdef double rhs(self, double u, double t) except *:
        return -u + 1
```

Note the use of `cdef` in the class definition: these are Cython classes with several special features that make them different from standard Python classes, see Chapter 1.10.

A similar strategy for implementing the RK2 method in terms of classes reads

```
cdef class ODEMethod:
    cpdef double advance(self, double u, double t, Problem p,
                        double dt) except *:
        return 0

cdef class Method_RK2(ODEMethod):
    cpdef double advance(self, double u, double t, Problem p,
                        double dt) except *:
        cdef double K1, K2, unew
        K1 = dt*p.rhs(u, t)
```

```
K2 = dt*p.rhs(u + 0.5*K1, t + 0.5*dt)
unew = u + K2
return unew
```

We now have to feed our `solver` method with a `Problem1` object's `rhs` method in as `f` and a `RK2` object's `advance` method as `method`. However, we want also this Cython version to behave as the original `ode0.py` code so that the "main program" in `ode0_main.py` remains unchanged. This can easily be achieved by defining

```
RK2 = Method_RK2()
problem1 = Problem1()
problem2 = Problem2()

cpdef solver(Problem f, double I, double dt,
             double T, ODEMethod method) except *:
    cdef int N = int(round(float(T)/dt))
    cdef double u = I # previous time step
    cdef double t = 0
    cdef int n
    for n in xrange(N):
        u = method.advance(u, t, f, dt)
        t += dt
    return u, t
```

in the Cython source.

The implementation described above doubles the CPU time compared to hardcoding the function names, but we still have a 125-fold reduction of the CPU time of the version where these functions are standard Python objects transferred to functions the standard way.

A natural next step is to remove the `except *` constructions (see `ode0_cy5.pyx`), at least after the Cython module is debugged. The removal increases the efficiency by 25 percent. It can also be interesting to run `cython -a ode_cy5.pyx` and view `ode0_cy5.html` to see that most of the Python code is now turned into efficient C code.

1.6 Handling of mathematical functions

Our right-hand side function has so far been very simple: $f(u, t) = -u + 1$. Changing the problem to involve a standard mathematical function from the math library, e.g., $f(u, t) = -u + \exp(-2t)$, requires a careful implementation in Cython. First, let us try the naive approach with using the standard `math` Python module (`ode_cy6.pyx`):

```
from math import exp

cdef class Problem2(Problem):
    cpdef double rhs(self, double u, double t):
        return - u + exp(-2*t)

cdef class ODEMethod:
```

```

cpdef double advance(self, double u, double t, Problem p,
                     double dt):
    return 0

```

This little change of the problem increases the CPU time by a factor of 40! The reason is that the potentially efficient `rhs` function must call the `exp` function in Python's `math` module via the Python API.

Fortunately, there are ways to improve the performance. One is to import `exp` from C's math library (`math.h`), which is already wrapped by Cython so we can write (`ode0_cy7.pyx`)

```

from libc.math cimport exp

```

Alternatively, if the C's math library had not been made available through Cython, we could have written (`ode0_cy8.pyx`)

```

cdef extern from "math.h":
    double exp(double)

```

Both versions brings down the CPU time by a factor of four. Compared to the choice $f(u, t) = -u + 1$, the use of `exp` is 10 times slower. There is nothing to do with this penalty, since we call the fastest available implementation of the exponential function.

For curiosity, using `exp` from `numpy` causes a slowdown of the code by a factor of 80 (`ode0_cy9.pyx`). Another natural test is to use `__call__` in classes `Problem` and `ODEMethod` instead of method names `rhs` and `advance`, to obtain nicer syntax. However, this slows down the code by a factor of 3.

1.7 Using arrays

The former implementations does not store the computed values of the unknown function u in the ODE, which means that we cannot plot or further analyze the solution. Suppose we now want to allocate an array for storing the computed point values in time of the solution. We also introduce an array `t` specifying all the time points for computation (this array defines then the time steps). The corresponding pure Python implementation is found in the file `ode1.py` and looks like

```

import numpy as np

def solver(f, I, t, method):
    t = np.asarray(t)
    N = len(t)-1
    u = np.zeros(N+1)
    u[0] = I

    for n in range(N):
        u[n+1] = method(u, n, t, f)
    return u, t

```

```

def RK2(u, n, t, f):
    dt = t[n+1] - t[n]
    K1 = dt*f(u[n], t[n])
    K2 = dt*f(u[n] + 0.5*K1, t[n] + 0.5*dt)
    unew = u[n] + K2
    return unew

def problem1(u, t):
    return -u + 1

```

Note the rewrite of RK2: now we transfer the whole arrays `u` and `t`, which makes it simple to implement other multi-step methods, involving multiple time levels of `u` and `t`, using the same interface.

We can also just compile this program with Cython to get a very slight performance gain. It is, however, more natural to use the experience from the former sections and (i) declare all variables with types and (ii) represent function arguments to functions by classes and methods as outlined above. The code goes as follows (`ode1_cy1.pyx`):

```

import numpy as np
cimport numpy as np

cdef class Problem:
    cdef double rhs(self, double u, double t):
        return 0

cdef class Problem1(Problem):
    cdef double rhs(self, double u, double t):
        return -u + 1 # u = 1-exp(-t)

from math import exp

cdef class Problem2(Problem):
    cdef double rhs(self, double u, double t):
        return - u + exp(-2*t)

cdef class ODEMethod:
    cdef double advance(self, np.ndarray u, int n,
                        np.ndarray t, Problem p):
        return 0

cdef class Method_RK2(ODEMethod):
    cdef double advance(self, np.ndarray u, int n,
                        np.ndarray t, Problem p):
        cdef double K1, K2, unew, dt
        dt = t[n+1] - t[n]
        K1 = dt*p.rhs(u[n], t[n])
        K2 = dt*p.rhs(u[n] + 0.5*K1, t[n] + 0.5*dt)
        unew = u[n] + K2
        return unew

# Create names compatible with ode0.py
RK2 = Method_RK2()
problem1 = Problem1()
problem2 = Problem2()

cdef solver(Problem f, double I, np.ndarray t, ODEMethod method):
    cdef int N = len(t)-1

```



```

cdef np.ndarray u = np.zeros(N+1, dtype=np.float)
u[0] = I

cdef int n
for n in range(N):
    u[n+1] = method.advance(u, n, t, f)
return u, t

```

Note the double import of `numpy`: the standard `numpy` module and a Cython-enabled version of `numpy` that ensures fast indexing of and other operations on arrays. Both import statements are necessary in code that uses `numpy` arrays. The new thing in the code above is declaration of arrays by `np.ndarray`.

Unfortunately, the performance improvement by the code above is only a factor of 2.5 compared with the pure Python version. The reason is that array indexing is still done in the standard Python way. To obtain efficiency C-style indexing, `numpy` arrays must be declared with their dimension (rank) and the type of their elements. This is done by the quite comprehensive syntax (`ode1_cy2.pyx`)

```

np.ndarray[np.float_t, ndim=1]

```

The `np.float_t` data type is a compiled data type defined in Cython's enhanced version of `numpy`, and `ndim=1` means that the array has one dimension (rank 1). An unfortunate side effect of the "buffer" syntax above is that functions with arrays declared this way cannot be declared with `cdef` or `cpdef`, they can only use the standard `def`, which implies that we end up with a pure Python function instead of a C function. However, the code inside this Python function can still be compiled to fast C.

The declaration above reduces the CPU time by a factor of 10, which is a factor of about 25 reduction compared to the pure standard `numpy` array code.

Since functions taking `numpy` arrays with "buffer" syntax are forced to be functions handled by the standard Python API, such functions have a significant overhead. Our `RK2.advance` method takes array arguments and performs operations on two single array elements `u[n]` and `t[n]`. We could easily avoid this and instead transfer `u[n]` and `t[n]` as double arguments (`ode1_cy3.pyx`):

```

cdef class ODEMethod:
    cpdef advance(self, double u_1, int n, double t_1,
                  double dt, Problem p):
        return 0

cdef class Method_RK2(ODEMethod):
    cpdef advance(self, double u_1, int n, double t_1,
                  double dt, Problem p):
        cdef double K1, K2, unew
        K1 = dt*p.rhs(u_1, t_1)
        K2 = dt*p.rhs(u_1 + 0.5*K1, t_1 + 0.5*dt)
        unew = u_1 + K2
        return unew

```

```

def solver(Problem f, double I,
           np.ndarray[np.float_t, ndim=1] t,
           ODEMethod method):
    cdef int N = len(t)-1
    cdef np.ndarray[np.float_t, ndim=1] u = np.zeros(N+1)
    u[0] = I

    cdef int n
    for n in range(N):
        u[n+1] = method.advance(u[n], n, t[n], t[n+1]-t[n], f)
    return u, t

```

A four-fold reduction in CPU time results from this technique of avoiding array arguments. The general rule of thumb is to avoid array arguments in functions that only operates on one or a few array elements. In functions processing large arrays with long loops element the overhead of using the Python C API to parse arguments the standard way will drown in the work done on the (large) arrays, so in such functions there is no efficiency loss by using "buffer" syntax and thereby the "def" declaration of functions.

Additional efficiency can be gained by turning off array bounds checking and the possibility to use negative indices:

```

@cython.boundscheck(False) # turn off bounds checking for this func.
def solver(Problem f,
           double I,
           np.ndarray[DT, ndim=1, negative_indices=False] t,
           ODEMethod method):

```

We can also indicate that the arrays have contiguous memory (`mode='c'`):

```

@cython.boundscheck(False) # turn off bounds checking for this func.
def solver(Problem f,
           double I,
           np.ndarray[DT, ndim=1, negative_indices=False,
                      mode='c'] t,
           ODEMethod method):

```

The efficiency increase is about 5-10 percent (`ode1_cy4.pyx`). Changing the data type `double` to `np.float_t` all over the Cython code has negligible effect in this example (`ode1_cy5.pyx`).

1.8 Using pure Fortran

We also could make a Fortran implementation of the `ode1.py` code to test what would be considered the fastest possible implementation. The code looks like this (`ode1.f`):

```

subroutine solver(f, U0, t, n_t, u, method)
integer n_u0, n_t
real*8 U0, t(0:n_t-1), u(0:n_t-1)
external f, method
integer n

```

```

real*8 dt
u(0) = U0

do n = 0, n_t-2
    call method(u, n, t, f, n_t)
end do
return
end

subroutine rk2(u, n, t, f, n_t)
integer n, n_t
real*8 u(0:n_t-1), t(0:n_t-1)
real*8 dt, un, dudt, K1, K2
external f

dt = t(n+1) - t(n)
un = u(n)

call f(dudt, un, t(n))

K1 = dt*dudt
un = u(n) + 0.5*K1

call f(dudt, un, t(n) + 0.5*dt)

K2 = dt*dudt
u(n+1) = u(n) + K2
return
end

subroutine problem1(dudt, u, t)
dudt = -u + 1
return
end

subroutine problem2(dudt, u, t)
dudt = -u + exp(-2*t)
return
end

```

We could have used a Fortran function for `f` since the result is a scalar, but the more general implementation for systems of ODEs would force us to use subroutines when `dudt` is an array.

The main program used in the test has the form

```

program ode2
integer n_U0, n_t, n
real*8 pi
parameter (n_t=8000001)
real*8 u(0:n_t-1), U0, dt, t(0:n_t-1)
real*8 cpu0, cpu1
external problem1, problem2, rk2
U0 = 1.
call cpu_time(cpu0)
dt = 5./(n_t-1)
t(0) = 0
do n = 1, n_t-1
    t(n) = t(n-1) + dt
end do

```

```

        call solver(problem1, U0, t, n_t, u, rk2)
        call cpu_time(cpu1)
        n = n_t-1
        write(*, 1000) 'u(', n, ')=' , u(n)
        write(*, 2000) 'CPU time:', cpu1-cpu0
1000 format(A, I8, A, F12.4)
2000 format(A, F9.3)
    end

```

Quite surprisingly, this Fortran 77 implementation was almost five times slower than the most efficient Cython version (!). The Fortran code was also compiled with the `-O3` flag to `gfortran`, while `setup.py` building of Cython modules applies the `-O2` optimization that Python itself was built with.

To summarize, Cython increased the performance by a factor of 130+ and was in fact faster than Fortran 77!

Remark. The Fortran program needs to be carefully examined as it is not at all expected to be slower than a Cython implementation.

1.9 Solver for systems of ODEs

The previous example codes on using Cython aimed at solving a scalar ODE with one unknown function. The more common case of a *system* of ODEs, having a vector of functions as unknown, represent quite a discouraging case when it comes to the performance of Cython.

For a system of ODEs, with m equations and m unknowns, we must work with arrays of length m , representing the unknowns, the right-hand side of the ODEs, as well as intermediate values in computations. The core of the algorithm must now compute with m arrays. Here is a typical implementation in Python that works for both scalar ODEs and systems of ODEs ("`ode2.py`" <http://hplgit.github.com/INF5620/doc/notes/ode2.py>):

```

def solver(f, I, t, method):
    t = np.asarray(t)
    N = len(t)-1
    if isinstance(I, (float,int)):
        I = [I] # wrap in list, which then will be array
    I = np.asarray(I)
    if not isinstance(f(I,0), np.ndarray):
        raise TypeError('f (%s) must return numpy array' % f.__name__)
    u = np.zeros((N+1, len(I)))
    u[0] = I[:]

    for n in range(N):
        u[n+1] = method(u, n, t, f)
    return u, t

def RK2(u, n, t, f):
    dt = t[n+1] - t[n]
    K1 = dt*f(u[n], t[n])
    K2 = dt*f(u[n] + 0.5*K1, t[n] + 0.5*dt)
    unew = u[n] + K2
    return unew

```

```
def problem2(u, t):
    r = [u[1], -u[0]]
    return np.asarray(r)
```

Every time the function `problem2` is called (and that happens twice at each time level!), a new array must be made from a list. We can avoid this by implementing a class that allocates a `numpy` array for the right-hand side and reusing this in subsequent calls:

```
class Problem1:
    def __init__(self):
        # Allocate an array for dudt for efficiency
        self.dudt = np.zeros(2)

    def __call__(self, u, t):
        self.dudt[0] = u[1]
        self.dudt[1] = -u[0]
        return self.dudt
```

This trick reduces the CPU time by a factor of about 1.7.

1.10 Using Cython

We can introduce all the performance tricks we have covered so far when Cythonizing the `ode2.py` code: declare all variables, use "buffer" syntax for arrays (specify data type and rank/dimension, turn off negative indices, indicate contiguous memory), turn off array bounds checking, and use class methods instead of plain functions as arguments to functions. The complete code looks as follows:

```
import numpy as np
cimport numpy as np
cimport cython
ctypedef np.float64_t DT

cdef class Problem:
    cdef np.ndarray dudt

    def __init__(self):
        self.dudt = np.zeros(2)

    def rhs(self,
            np.ndarray[DT, ndim=1, negative_indices=False,
                      mode='c'] u,
            double t):
        return 0

cdef class Problem1(Problem):
    def rhs(self,
            np.ndarray[DT, ndim=1, negative_indices=False,
                      mode='c'] u,
            double t):
        self.dudt[0] = u[1]
        self.dudt[1] = -u[0]
        return self.dudt
```

```

cdef class ODEMethod:
    def advance(self,
                np.ndarray[DT, ndim=2, negative_indices=False,
                           mode='c'] u,
                int n,
                np.ndarray[DT, ndim=1, negative_indices=False,
                           mode='c'] t,
                Problem p):
        return 0

@cython.boundscheck(False)
cdef class Method_RK2(ODEMethod):
    def advance(self,
                np.ndarray[DT, ndim=2, negative_indices=False,
                           mode='c'] u,
                int n,
                np.ndarray[DT, ndim=1, negative_indices=False,
                           mode='c'] t,
                Problem p):
        cdef np.ndarray[DT, ndim=1, negative_indices=False,
                       mode='c'] K1, K2, unew
        cdef double dt
        cdef np.ndarray[DT, ndim=1, negative_indices=False,
                       mode='c'] un = u[n,:]
        dt = t[n+1] - t[n]
        K1 = dt*p.rhs(un, t[n])
        K2 = dt*p.rhs(un + 0.5*K1, t[n] + 0.5*dt)
        unew = u[n,:] + K2
        return unew

# Create names compatible with ode2.py
RK2 = Method_RK2()
problem1 = Problem1()

@cython.boundscheck(False) # turn off bounds checking for this func.
def solver(Problem f, I_, t_, ODEMethod method):
    # I_ and t_ can be flexible objects
    cdef np.ndarray[DT, ndim=1, negative_indices=False,
                   mode='c'] t = np.asarray(t_)
    N = len(t_)-1
    if isinstance(I_, (float,int)):
        I_ = [I_] # wrap in list, which then will be array
    cdef np.ndarray[DT, ndim=1, negative_indices=False,
                   mode='c'] I = np.asarray(I_)
    if not isinstance(f.rhs(I,0), np.ndarray):
        raise TypeError('f (%s) must return numpy array' %
                        f.__name__)

    cdef np.ndarray[DT, ndim=2, negative_indices=False,
                   mode='c'] u = np.zeros((N+1, len(I)))
    u[0,:] = I[:]

    for n in range(N):
        u[n+1,:] = method.advance(u, n, t, f)
    return u, t

```

Note the way attributes are declared in Cython classes: `cdef np.ndarray` `dudt`. Such an attribute is not visible from Python, only from C. Unfortunately, "buffer" syntax of class attributes is not allowed, resulting in slow indexing

of these arrays. The efficiency gain by this Cython code is hardly noticeable (15 percent). The reason is that the time is spent on array indexing which is as inefficient as in pure `numpy` code. Inspecting what Cython has done (`cython -a ode2_cy1.pyx`) shows that most of the code except variable declarations is yellow and hence not translated to efficient C code.

A Fortran 77 version of the code, in file `ode2.f`, is about 60 times faster than the Cython version.

It seems that the only way out of the problem is to get rid of arrays and generate problem specific code where the method and the problem is combined. Despite this negative comment regarding Cython code for systems of ODEs, Cython has an enormous potential for solving *partial differential equations*!