INF5620 Lecture: Analysis of finite difference schemes for diffusion processes

Hans Petter Langtangen[1,2]

[1]Center for Biomedical Computing, Simula Research Laboratory
[2]Department of Informatics, University of Oslo

Nov 27, 2013

Contents

1 Analysis of schemes for the diffusion equation

1.1 Properties of the solution

The PDE

$$u_t = \alpha u_{xx} \tag{1}$$

admits solutions

$$u(x,t) = Qe^{-\alpha k^2 t}\sin(kx) \tag{2}$$

Observations from this solution:

- The initial shape $I(x) = Q\sin kx$ undergoes a damping $\exp(-\alpha k^2 t)$
- The damping is very strong for short waves (large k)
- The damping is weak for long waves (small k)
- Consequence: u is smoothened with time

1.2 Example

Test problem:

$$\begin{aligned} u_t &= u_{xx}, && x\in(0,1),\ t\in(0,T] \\ u(0,t) &= u(1,t) = 0, && t\in(0,T] \\ u(x,0) &= \sin(\pi x) + 0.1\sin(100\pi x) && \end{aligned}$$

Exact solution:

$$u(x,t) = e^{-\pi^2 t}\sin(\pi x) + 0.1e^{-\pi^2 10^4 t}\sin(100\pi x) \tag{3}$$

1.3 Visualization of the damping in the diffusion equation



1.4 Damping of a discontinuity; problem and model

Problem.
Two pieces of a material, at different temperatures, are brought in contact at $t = 0$. Assume the end points of the pieces are kept at the initial temperature. How does the heat flow from the hot to the cold piece?

Solution.
Assume a 1D model is sufficient (insulated rod):

$$u(x,0) = \begin{cases} U_L, & x < L/2 \\ U_R, & x \geq L/2 \end{cases}$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \alpha \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}, \quad u(0,t) = U_L,\ u(L,t) = U_R$$

1.5 Damping of a discontinuity; Backward Euler simulation

Movie[1]

1.6 Damping of a discontinuity; Forward Euler simulation

Movie[2]

1.7 Damping of a discontinuity; Crank-Nicolson simulation

Movie[3]

1.8 Fourier representation

Represent $I(x)$ as a Fourier series

$$I(x) \approx \sum_{k \in K} b_k e^{ikx} \tag{4}$$

The corresponding sum for u is

$$u(x,t) \approx \sum_{k \in K} b_k e^{-\alpha k^2 t} e^{ikx}. \tag{5}$$

Such solutions are also accepted by the numerical schemes, but with an amplification factor A different from $\exp(-\alpha k^2 t)$:

$$u_q^n = A^n e^{ikq\Delta x} = A^n e^{ikx} \tag{6}$$

1.9 Analysis of the finite difference schemes

Stability:

- $|A| < 1$: decaying numerical solutions (as we want)
- $A < 0$: *oscillating* numerical solutions (as we do not want)

[1] http://tinyurl.com/k3sdbuv/pub/mov-diffu/BE_C0.5/index.html
[2] http://tinyurl.com/k3sdbuv/pub/mov-diffu/FE_C0.5/index.html
[3] http://tinyurl.com/k3sdbuv/pub/mov-diffu/CN_C5/index.html

Accuracy:

- Compare numerical and exact amplification factor: A vs $A_{\rm e} = \exp\left(-\alpha k^2\Delta t\right)$

1.10 Analysis of the Forward Euler scheme

$$[D_t^+ u = \alpha D_xD_x u]^n_q$$

Inserting

$$u^n_q = A^n e^{ikq\Delta x}$$

leads to

$$A = 1 - 4C\sin^2\left(\frac{k\Delta x}{2}\right),\quad C = \frac{\alpha\Delta t}{\Delta x^2} \tag{7}$$

The complete numerical solution is

$$u^n_q = \left(1 - 4C\sin^2 p\right)^n e^{ikq\Delta x},\quad p = k\Delta x/2 \tag{8}$$

1.11 Results for stability

$A\leq 1$, but $A<-1$ is a possibility:

$$4C\sin^2 p \leq 2$$

The worst case is when $\sin^2 p=1$, so a sufficient criterion for stability is

$$C \leq \frac{1}{2} \tag{9}$$

or:

$$\Delta t\leq \frac{\Delta x^2}{2\alpha} \tag{10}$$

Implications of the stability result.

Less favorable criterion than for $u_{tt}=c^2u_{xx}$: halving Δx implies time step $\frac{1}{4}\Delta t$ (not just $\frac{1}{2}\Delta t$ as in a wave equation). Need very small time steps for fine spatial meshes!

1.12 Analysis of the Backward Euler scheme

$$[D_t^- u = \alpha D_xD_x u]^n_q$$

$$u^n_q = A^n e^{ikq\Delta x}$$

$$A = (1 + 4C\sin^2 p)^{-1} \tag{11}$$

$$u^n_q = (1 + 4C\sin^2 p)^{-n}e^{ikq\Delta x} \tag{12}$$

1.13 Stability

We see from (11) that $|A|<1$ for all $\Delta t>0$ and that $A>0$ (no oscillations).

1.14 Analysis of the Crank-Nicolson scheme

The scheme

$$[D_t u = \alpha D_x D_x \overline{u}^x]_q^{n+\frac{1}{2}}$$

leads to

$$A = \frac{1 - 2C\sin^2 p}{1 + 2C\sin^2 p} \tag{13}$$

$$u_q^n = \left(\frac{1 - 2C\sin^2 p}{1 + 2C\sin^2 p}\right)^n e^{ikp\Delta x} \tag{14}$$

1.15 Stability

The criteria $A > -1$ and $A < 1$ are fulfilled for any $\Delta t > 0$.

1.16 Summary of accuracy of amplification factors; large time steps





1.17 Summary of accuracy of amplification factors; time steps around the Forward Euler stability limit





1.18 Summary of accuracy of amplification factors; small time steps





1.19 Observations

- Crank-Nicolson gives oscillations and not much damping of short waves for increasing C.
- These waves will manifest themselves as high frequency oscillatory noise in the solution.
- All schemes fail to dampen short waves enough

The problems of correct damping for $u_t = u_{xx}$ is partially manifested in the similar time discretization schemes for $u'(t) = -\alpha u(t)$.