

INF5620 Lecture: Analysis of finite difference schemes for diffusion processes

Hans Petter Langtangen^{1,2}

¹Center for Biomedical Computing, Simula Research Laboratory

²Department of Informatics, University of Oslo

Nov 27, 2013

Contents

1	Analysis of schemes for the diffusion equation	1
1.1	Properties of the solution	1
1.2	Example	1
1.3	Visualization of the damping in the diffusion equation	2
1.4	Damping of a discontinuity; problem and model	2
1.5	Damping of a discontinuity; Backward Euler simulation	2
1.6	Damping of a discontinuity; Forward Euler simulation	3
1.7	Damping of a discontinuity; Crank-Nicolson simulation	3
1.8	Fourier representation	3
1.9	Analysis of the finite difference schemes	3
1.10	Analysis of the Forward Euler scheme	3
1.11	Results for stability	4
1.12	Analysis of the Backward Euler scheme	4
1.13	Stability	4
1.14	Analysis of the Crank-Nicolson scheme	4
1.15	Stability	4
1.16	Summary of accuracy of amplification factors; large time steps	5
1.17	Summary of accuracy of amplification factors; time steps around the Forward Euler stability limit	5
1.18	Summary of accuracy of amplification factors; small time steps	6
1.19	Observations	6

1 Analysis of schemes for the diffusion equation

1.1 Properties of the solution

The PDE

$$u_t = \alpha u_{xx} \tag{1}$$

admits solutions

$$u(x, t) = Qe^{-\alpha k^2 t} \sin(kx) \quad (2)$$

Observations from this solution:

- The initial shape $I(x) = Q \sin kx$ undergoes a damping $\exp(-\alpha k^2 t)$
- The damping is very strong for short waves (large k)
- The damping is weak for long waves (small k)
- Consequence: u is smoothened with time

1.2 Example


Test problem:

$$\begin{aligned} u_t &= u_{xx}, & x &\in (0, 1), \quad t \in (0, T] \\ u(0, t) &= u(1, t) = 0, & t &\in (0, T] \\ u(x, 0) &= \sin(\pi x) + 0.1 \sin(100\pi x) \end{aligned}$$

Exact solution:

$$u(x, t) = e^{-\pi^2 t} \sin(\pi x) + 0.1e^{-\pi^2 10^4 t} \sin(100\pi x) \quad (3)$$

1.3 Visualization of the damping in the diffusion equation



1.4 Damping of a discontinuity; problem and model

Problem.

Two pieces of a material, at different temperatures, are brought in contact at $t = 0$. Assume the end points of the pieces are kept at the initial temperature. How does the heat flow from the hot to the cold piece?

Solution.

Assume a 1D model is sufficient (insulated rod):

$$\begin{aligned}u_t &= \alpha u_{xx} \\ u(x, 0) &= \begin{cases} U_L, & x < L/2 \\ U_R, & x \geq L/2 \end{cases} \\ u(0, t) &= U_L \\ u(L, t) &= U_R\end{aligned}$$

1.5 Damping of a discontinuity; Backward Euler simulation

[Movie¹](#)

1.6 Damping of a discontinuity; Forward Euler simulation

[Movie²](#)

1.7 Damping of a discontinuity; Crank-Nicolson simulation

[Movie³](#)

1.8 Fourier representation

Represent $I(x)$ as a Fourier series

$$I(x) \approx \sum_{k \in K} b_k e^{ikx} \quad (4)$$

The corresponding sum for u is

$$u(x, t) \approx \sum_{k \in K} b_k e^{-\alpha k^2 t} e^{ikx}. \quad (5)$$

Such solutions are also accepted by the numerical schemes, but with an amplification factor different from $\exp(-\alpha k^2 t)$:

$$u_q^n = A^n e^{ikq\Delta x} = A^n e^{ikx} \quad (6)$$

¹http://tinyurl.com/k3sdbuv/pub/mov-diffu/BE_C0.5/index.html

²http://tinyurl.com/k3sdbuv/pub/mov-diffu/FE_C0.5/index.html

³http://tinyurl.com/k3sdbuv/pub/mov-diffu/CN_C5/index.html

1.9 Analysis of the finite difference schemes

Stability:

- $|A| < 1$: decaying numerical solutions (as we want)
- $A < 0$: *oscillating* numerical solutions (as we do not want)

Accuracy:

- Compare numerical and exact amplification factor

$$A \quad \text{vs} \quad A_e = \exp(-\alpha k^2 \Delta t)$$

1.10 Analysis of the Forward Euler scheme

$$[D_t^+ u = \alpha D_x D_x u]_q^n$$

Inserting

$$u_q^n = A^n e^{ikq\Delta x}$$

leads to

$$A = 1 - 4C \sin^2\left(\frac{k\Delta x}{2}\right), \quad C = \frac{\alpha\Delta t}{\Delta x^2} \quad (7)$$

The complete numerical solution is

$$u_q^n = (1 - 4C \sin^2 p)^n e^{ikq\Delta x}, \quad p = k\Delta x/2 \quad (8)$$

1.11 Results for stability

$A \leq 1$, but $A < -1$ is a possibility:

$$4C \sin^2 p \leq 2$$

The worst case is when $\sin^2 p = 1$, so a sufficient criterion for stability is

$$C \leq \frac{1}{2} \quad (9)$$

or:

$$\Delta t \leq \frac{\Delta x^2}{2\alpha} \quad (10)$$

Implications of the stability result.

Less favorable criterion than for $u_{tt} = c^2 u_{xx}$: halving Δx implies time step $\frac{1}{4}\Delta t$ (not just $\frac{1}{2}\Delta t$ as in a wave equation). Need very small time steps for fine spatial meshes!

1.12 Analysis of the Backward Euler scheme

$$[D_t^- u = \alpha D_x D_x u]_q^n$$

$$u_q^n = A^n e^{ikq\Delta x}$$

$$A = (1 + 4C \sin^2 p)^{-1} \quad (11)$$

$$u_q^n = (1 + 4C \sin^2 p)^{-n} e^{ikq\Delta x} \quad (12)$$

1.13 Stability

We see from (11) that $|A| < 1$ for all $\Delta t > 0$ and that $A > 0$ (no oscillations).

1.14 Analysis of the Crank-Nicolson scheme

The scheme

$$[D_t u = \alpha D_x D_x \bar{u}^x]_q^{n+\frac{1}{2}}$$

leads to



$$A = \frac{1 - 2C \sin^2 p}{1 + 2C \sin^2 p} \quad (13)$$

$$u_q^n = \left(\frac{1 - 2C \sin^2 p}{1 + 2C \sin^2 p} \right)^n e^{ikp\Delta x} \quad (14)$$



1.15 Stability

The criteria $A > -1$ and $A < 1$ are fulfilled for any $\Delta t > 0$.



1.16 Summary of accuracy of amplification factors; large time steps



1.17 Summary of accuracy of amplification factors; time steps around the Forward Euler stability limit



1.18 Summary of accuracy of amplification factors; small time steps



1.19 Observations

- Crank-Nicolson gives oscillations and not much damping of short waves for increasing C .
- These waves will manifest themselves as high frequency oscillatory noise in the solution.
- All schemes fail to dampen short waves enough

The problems of correct damping for $u_t = u_{xx}$ is partially manifested in the similar time discretization schemes for $u'(t) = -\alpha u(t)$.