Theoretical assignment 4; 15 points total

Theoretical Deep Learning course, MIPT

Here by capital letters we denote random variables, and by small letters — their values. That is, $x \in \text{supp } X$ is a value of random variable X with support supp X.

Problem 1

5 points total.

- 1. 1 point. Prove that Kullback-Leibler divergence is non-negative.
- 2. **0.5 points.** Prove that mutual information is non-negative.
- 3. **0.5 points.** Prove that conditioning reduces entropy: $H(X|Y) \leq H(X)$ (this holds for both entropy and differential entropy).
- 4. **2 points.** Is it true, that conditioning reduces mutual information: $I(X;Y|Z) \leq I(X;Y)$?
- 5. 1 point. Prove that $I(X; f(Y)) \leq I(X; Y)$ for any deterministic function f.

Problem 2

1 point.

Consider a Markov chain $X_1 \to X_2 \to \ldots \to X_n$. Prove that a sequence of random variables X_n, \ldots, X_1 is also a Markov chain.

Problem 3

1 point.

Let Q be a set of all factorized density functions, i.e.

$$\mathcal{Q} = \left\{ q(\boldsymbol{x}) \mid q(\boldsymbol{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} q(x_i) \right\}$$

Consider some density p(x) (not necessarily from Q). Prove that

$$q_*(\boldsymbol{x}) = \arg\min_{q \in \mathcal{Q}} \mathrm{KL}[(p(\boldsymbol{x}) || q(\boldsymbol{x})] \Longleftrightarrow q_*(\boldsymbol{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^n p(x_i)$$

Hence if we want to approximate some distribution p(x) with a factorized one, we should better take the product of its marginals.

Problem 4

2 points.

Consider a Markov chain $X_1 \to X_2 \to ... \to X_n$. Prove that

$$I(X_1; X_n) \le \min_{k < n} I(X_k, X_{k+1})$$

This means that amount of information passed along the chain can't be larger than the "bandwidth" of its tightest link.

Problem 5

6 points total.

Consider a Markov chain $Y \to X \to Z$, where Z = f(X) for a deterministic neural network f. We are going to prove that in this case I(X; Z) is either infinite (if X is continuous) or constant (if X is discrete) regardless of training process. Proofs will be completely different for these two cases.

1. Continuous case.

Let X be a continuous random variable.

(a) 1 **point.** Prove that if f is injective, we have:

$$I(X; f(X)) = \infty.$$

(b) **2 points.** Prove that the same holds for f such that a set $f^{-1}(x)$ is finite for every $x \in \operatorname{supp} X$.

2. Discrete case.

(a) **2 points.** Let X be some discrete random variable with a finite or countable support $S_X = \text{supp } X$ and $f_{\theta}(x)$ be a neural network with any injective non-linearity σ (sigmoid, tanh, LeakyReLU, etc):

$$f_{\theta}(x) = \sigma(W_k(...(W_2(W_1(x) + b_1) + b_2)...) + b_k)$$
 $\theta = \{W_1, ..., W_k, b_1, ..., b_k\}$

Prove that the set of weights $\tilde{\Theta}$ for which $f_{\theta}(x)$ is not injective on S_X :

$$\tilde{\Theta} = \{\theta \mid \exists \ x_i, x_j \in S_X, x_i \neq x_j, f_{\theta}(x_i) = f_{\theta}(x_j)\}\$$

is a measure zero set. This means that if we have a discrete distribution then our output (and all intermediate activations) are different for different inputs almost surely.

(b) **1 point.** Let X be some discrete random variable. Prove that there is some $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for any function f which is injective for X (i.e. it's injective on $\operatorname{supp} X$) we have I(X; f(X)) = c.