Group number: Amine ELKARI - Marouane NAJID

MAP670L - Validation

Chosen article: "Early stopping and non-parametric regression: An optimal data-dependent stopping rule"

1 Exercise

Our goal is to estimate f^* . Equivalently, we observe samples of the form:

$$y_i = f^*(x_i) + \omega_i$$
, for $i = 1, 2, ..., n$

Where $\omega_i = y_i - f^*(x_i)$ is a zero-mean noise random variable.

We are going to focus on non parametric regression in a a reproducing kernel Hilbert space (RKHS). Considering a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , we suppose that :

$$\exists \mathbb{K} : \mathfrak{X} \times \mathfrak{X} \to \mathbb{R}^+$$
, symetric

Such that:

a) $\forall x \in \mathcal{X}, \ \mathbb{K}(.,x) \in \mathcal{H}$

b)
$$\forall f \in \mathcal{H}, \ f(x) = \langle f, \mathbb{K}(., x) \rangle$$

Under this conditions, we say \mathcal{H} is a RKHS.

We can write \mathbb{K} in this form :

$$\mathbb{K}(x, x') = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k \phi_k(x) \phi_k(x')$$

Where $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \lambda_3 \geq ... \geq 0$ are non negative sequence of eigenvalues and $\{\phi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ are the associated eigen functions.

Since the eigen functions $\{\phi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ form an orthonormal basis.

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{\lambda_k} a_k \phi_k(x)$$
, we suppose that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \le 1$

Under this considerations and over some subset of the hilbert space \mathcal{H} , it suffices to restrict attention to functions f belonging to the span of the kernel functions $\{\mathbb{K}(.,x_i) ; i=1,...,n\}$

We adopt that parametrization:

$$f(\cdot) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i \mathbb{K}(., x_i)$$

Considering the loss function:

$$\mathcal{L}(f) := \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - f(x_i))^2$$

Also the empirical Kernel matrix $K \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ with entries:

$$[K]_{ij} = \frac{1}{n}K(x_i, x_j)$$
 for $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

For any positive semidefinite kernel function, this matrix must be positive semidefinite, and so has a unique symmetric square root denoted by \sqrt{K} .

Introducing the convenient shorthand $y_1^n := (y_1 y_2 \cdots y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we can then write the least-squares loss in the form :

$$\mathcal{L}(\omega) = \frac{1}{2n} \|y_1^n - \sqrt{n}K\omega\|_2^2.$$

Question 1:

Proove that the gradient descent algorithm could be written as:

$$\theta^{t+1} = \theta^t - \alpha^t \left(K \theta^t - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sqrt{K} y_1^n \right),$$

Where $\{\alpha_t\}_0^{\infty}$ is a sequence of positive step size and θ to be determined.

Question 2:

Implement an example of gradient descent and visualize the error as a function of the iterations. Conclude.

To over come this problem, lets find a data dependent stopping rule.

First lets define two quantities:

$$\eta_t := \sum_{\tau=0}^{t-1} \alpha^{\tau},$$

 $\hat{\lambda}_1 \ge \hat{\lambda}_2 \ge \dots \ge \hat{\lambda}_n \ge 0$ eigen values of K.

$$\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_K(\varepsilon) := \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \min\left\{\widehat{\lambda}_i, \varepsilon^2\right\}\right]^{1/2}.$$

For a given noise variance $\sigma > 0$, a closely related quantity-one of central importance to our analysis is critical empirical radius $\hat{\varepsilon}_n > 0$, defined to be the smallest positive solution to the inequality:

$$\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_K(\varepsilon) \leq \varepsilon^2/(2e\sigma).$$

Our stopping rule is defined in terms of an analogous inequality that involves the running sum $\eta_t = \sum_{\tau=0}^{t-1} \alpha^{\tau}$ of the step sizes.

We assume that the step sizes are chosen to satisfy the following properties:

- Boundedness: $0 \le \alpha^{\tau} \le \min \left\{ 1, 1/\hat{\lambda}_1 \right\}$ for all $\tau = 0, 1, 2, \dots$
- Non-increasing: $\alpha^{\tau+1} \leq \alpha^{\tau}$ for all $\tau = 0, 1, 2, \dots$
- Infinite travel: the running sum $\eta_t = \sum_{\tau=0}^{t-1} \alpha^{\tau}$ diverges as $t \to +\infty$.

We refer to any sequence $\{\alpha^{\tau}\}_{\tau=0}^{\infty}$ that satisfies these conditions as a valid stepsize sequence. We then define the stopping time :

$$\widehat{T} := \arg\min\left\{t \in \mathbb{N} \mid \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_K\left(1/\sqrt{\eta_t}\right) > \left(2e\sigma\eta_t\right)^{-1}\right\} - 1. \tag{1}$$

Question 3:

Prove the existence and uniqueness of $\hat{\epsilon}_n$ and \widehat{T} . (Assuming that : $\frac{1}{\eta_{\widehat{T}+1}} \leq \hat{\epsilon_n}^2 \leq \frac{1}{\eta_{\widehat{T}}}$)

Question 4:

Given the stopping time \widehat{T} defined by the rule (1), there are universal positive constants (c_1, c_2) such that the following events both hold with probability at least $1 - c_1 \exp\left(-c_2 n \overline{\varepsilon}_n^2\right)$, Proove the following inequalities:

(a) For all iterations $t = 1, 2, \dots, \widehat{T}$:

$$||f_t - f^*||_n^2 \le \frac{4}{e\eta_t}.$$

(Assuming that $\mathbb{E}\left[\left\|f_{t}-f^{*}\right\|_{n}^{2}\right] \geq \mathbb{E}\left[V_{t}\right]$, where V_{t} is the variance)

(b) At the iteration \widehat{T} chosen according to the stopping rule (1), we have

$$\left\| f_{\widehat{T}} - f^* \right\|_n^2 \le 12\widehat{\varepsilon}_n^2.$$

Question 5:

Knowing that also for all $t > \widehat{T}$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left\|f_{t}-f^{*}\right\|_{n}^{2}\right] \geq \frac{\sigma^{2}}{4}\eta_{t}\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{K}\left(\eta_{t}^{-1/2}\right).$$

Interpret this result.