

5.25

Suppose X^* separable, $\Rightarrow X$ separable

proof let $\{f_n\} \subseteq X^*$ be a countable dense subset of X^* , for each $n \geq 1$

By pulling the supremum downwards, we find

$$2^{-n} \|f_n\| \leq |f_n(x_n)|, \text{ with } \|x_n\| = 1.$$

Want to show that $M = \text{span}(x_n)^\infty$ is dense in X . It will be useful to remember the following technique,

If \bar{M} is a closed proper subspace, one can find a non-zero continuous functional that vanishes on \bar{M} .

Suppose for contradiction that $y_0 \in X \setminus \bar{M}$, one finds:

$$|f_0(y_0)| = c > 0, \quad f_0|_{\bar{M}} = 0.$$

Which implies, $\|f_0\| > \frac{c}{\|y_0\|} > 0$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be so small that

$$\|f_0\| - 3\varepsilon > 0, \text{ then.}$$

By density of $\{f_n\}_1^\infty$, we find $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$

with $\|f_0 - f_k\|_{X^*} \geq |\|f_0\| - \|f_k\||$,

which allows us to approximate,

$$2^{-k} \|f_0\| - 2^{-k} \|f_0 - f_k\| \leq 2^{-k} \|f_k\| \leq |f_k(x_k)|,$$

the rightmost member is Bounded above by

$$|f_k(x_k)| \leq |f_0(x_k)| + \|f_0 - f_k\|,$$

so that $0 < 2^{-k} (\|f_0\| - 3\|f_0 - f_k\|) \leq |f_0(x_k)|$

this shows that no non-zero functional can vanish on \bar{M} , i.e: $\bar{M} = X$ □

5.25 Commentary

The main idea is that, if a subspace is not dense, then it allows for HB separation on the closure,

5.29

Let $Y = \ell^1(\mathbb{N}^+)$ with the counting measure, and $X = \{f \in Y, \sum_{j=1}^\infty |f(j)| < +\infty\}$.

a) X is a proper dense subspace, of Y .

proof $\forall f, g \in X, a, b \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^\infty |a f(j) + b g(j)| \leq |a| \sum_{j=1}^\infty |f(j)| + |b| \sum_{j=1}^\infty |g(j)|.$$

X is dense, because it contains the dense subspace of finitely supported sequences, $\bar{X} = X$.

$$\bar{X} = \{f \in Y, f(j) = 0 \text{ eventually}\}$$

It is clear that X is proper subspace, because not every summable sequence is summable against $\{j\}$. Take $x_n = n^{-2}$.

b) $T: X \rightarrow Y, T(\{x_n\})(n) = n x_n$,

Then: T is linear, closed, But discontinuous.

Linearity is a triviality,
First we verify unboundedness, so
we find sequences indexed by j :

$$\varphi(j, k) = \delta_{j, k} \in X,$$

$\|\varphi(\cdot, k)\| = 1 \ \forall k \geq 1$, But $\|T\varphi(\cdot, k)\| = k$
so that T is not bounded on the sphere.

We show that T is closed. This will require
some effort. Suppose $(A, B) \in X \times Y$ is an
adherent point of the graph of T , meaning
we can find $\varphi: \mathbb{N}^+ \times \mathbb{N}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$,

$$\sum_j |\varphi(j, k) - A(j)| + \sum_j |j\varphi(j, k) - B(j)| = \omega_k$$

where $\omega_k \rightarrow 0$,

we have used the product metric.

Now, we first show that $A(\cdot) \in X$, then

we prove $jA(j) = B(j)$.

Fatou's lemma implies the first claim, with

$$|\varphi(j, k)| \rightarrow |A(j)| \text{ pointwise as } k \rightarrow +\infty,$$

$$\text{Bound } |j\varphi(j, k)| \leq |j\varphi(j, k) - B(j)| + |B(j)|.$$

$$\text{then } \sum_1^\infty |jA(j)| \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \omega_k + \sum_1^\infty |B(j)| < +\infty.$$

this shows $A \in X$. now,
to show that

$$jA(j) = B(j),$$

It suffices to show that $\|jA(j) - j\varphi(j, k)\|_{\ell^1} \rightarrow 0$
by Ex 2.21, because $(jA(j)), (j\varphi(j, k)) \in \ell^1$,
and $j\varphi(j, k) \rightarrow jA(j)$ p.w.a.e.,

$$\|jA(j) - j\varphi(j, k)\|_{\ell^1} \rightarrow 0 \iff \left| \|jA(j)\|_{\ell^1} - \|j\varphi(j, k)\|_{\ell^1} \right| \rightarrow 0.$$

we show the latter by the generalized
Dominated convergence theorem.

$$|j\varphi(j, k)| \leq |j\varphi(j, k) - B(j)| + |B(j)|.$$

the right hand side converges p.w. to $|B(j)|$,
and its sum converges to $\sum_1^\infty |B(j)|$
as $k \rightarrow +\infty$,

$$\text{Then } \sum_{j=1}^\infty |j\varphi(j, k)| \rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^\infty |jA(j)|.$$

$$\text{and } \|jA(j) - B(j)\|_{\ell^1} \leq \omega_k + \|jA(j) - j\varphi(j, k)\|_{\ell^1} \leq 2\omega_k$$

So that $T(A(j)) = B(j)$ and the graph of
 T must be closed. \square

c) Define $S = T^{-1}: Y \rightarrow X$, then
 S is bounded, surjective but not open.
Proof S is bounded because it takes

$$\{x_n\} \mapsto \{n^{-1}x_n\}, \text{ by Hölder's inequality.}$$

and is clearly surjective. Now,

suppose that S is open, meaning we can find $C > 0$ such that

$$B_X(C, 0) \subseteq S(B_Y(1, 0))$$

elements in the left member are precisely those: $\|\varphi(j)\|_{X'} < C$, and $\|j\varphi(j)\|_{X'} < +\infty$.

Let $\frac{C}{2}m > 1$, and $\varphi(j) = 2^{-1}C \delta_{mj}$. Then

$\|\varphi(j)\| = 2^{-1}C < C$, and because finitely supported, $\varphi \in X$. Now suppose that

$$\exists \psi \in Y, \psi(j) = (S\varphi)(j) = (j^+) \varphi(j)$$

which means,

$$\psi(j) = (2^{-1}C j^+) \delta_{mj}, \text{ and}$$

$$\|\psi(j)\|_{X'} = 2^{-1}Cm > 1$$

so that S is not open. \square

signed measures

$$\nu: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$$

$$1) \nu(\emptyset) = 0$$

$$2) \nu \text{ assumes at most one } \pm\infty$$

$$3) \text{ If } \{E_n\} \text{ disjoint,}$$

$$\nu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^n \nu(E_j).$$

the sum converges absolutely if $|\nu(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j)| < +\infty$

$$1^o) \text{ If } E \in \mathcal{M}, \nu(E) = \pm\infty, \text{ then } \nu(F) = \pm\infty \\ \forall F \supseteq E, F \in \mathcal{M}.$$

Def A measurable subset $E \in \mathcal{M}$ is positive.

if $\nu_E: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$, where

$$\nu_E(A) = \nu(A \cap E) \text{ 'defines a positive measure'}$$

Def A measurable subset $E \in \mathcal{M}$ is negative.

if $(-1)\nu_E: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ defines a pos. measure

Def $E \in \mathcal{M}$ is null if it is both positive and negative.