# Findings from local authority sessions



### Themes

- Discovery
- Onboarding
- Daily operations and SFBB
- Technology
- Accessibility
- Regulatory compliance



### Discovery

#### **Questions:**

- How do FBOs find out about SFBB when starting a new business?
- What does LA involvement look like at this stage?

**Aim:** to understand the start of the journey and uncover entry points



### Discovery - Key findings

The discovery part of the journey is perceived to be quite effective as most FBOs are made aware of SFBB through registration or first inspection.

Despite this, some FBOs still fall through the cracks and are trading without SFBB in place.

This is not as effective when there is a change of ownership.

## Discovery - Key findings

#### SFBB discovery entry points:

- Signposting on LAs website
- New business / registration letters and emails from councils
- Bespoke start up guidance from councils at FBO's request paid for service that includes advice and the pack
- Some LAs selling packs to FBOs (£10-£35 each) some profit from it, others say it just covers their costs
- Advised during initial inspection
- Online searching



## Onboarding

Question: How do FBOs onboard themselves to SFBB?

**Aim:** to understand the user journey and to uncover any pain points that may occur



### Onboarding - key findings

LAs stated that FBOs are confused about the role of the SFBB pack:

- Don't understand there are two parts to the pack system and report
- Who should be filling in the pack?
- What sections of the pack they should be filling in?

'One of the downsides of SFBB is that it has not made people aware that it is their responsibility'

### Onboarding - key findings

FBOs are unclear how Food Safety Training and Safe Method fit together:

- LAs stated that some FBOs complete Food Safety training and think they don't need to complete the Safe Method component of the pack
- Some LAs observed that the Safe Method was difficult for FBOs to use as there was an interactive element involved in that section

'FBOs think about the wider sense of training like level 2 and forget about the safe method'

'It's technical, there are no boxes to tick. It's just an information sheet, there is nothing for them to put pen to paper'

### Daily operations and SFBB

#### **Questions:**

- How do FBO operators use SFBB on a daily basis?
- What does LA involvement look like at this stage?

**Aim:** to understand how FBOs are using the SFBB packs and what issues have been observed by LAs

### Daily operations and SFBB

There is confusion about the intention of SFBB - not all FBOs understand what it is for.

- Some FBOs thinks it's a workbook and don't recognise that it is a management system.
- People get very confused about the system. They don't understand it is two parts i.e. system and record. The record is about checking their system.
- One of the downsides of SFBB is that it has not made people aware that it is their responsibility. They see it as a tick box thing.

## Daily operations and SFBB - key findings

Completion rates vary widely.

This is due to a combination of behavioural, content and usability issues.

### Daily operations and SFBB - key findings

#### Key content issues

- Information in the packs is not always relevant to the business type or may not be accomodating the business type
- LAs and FBOs are confused by some of the sections and content e.g. cooling section is not well completed and there is no explanation about the danger zones
- Need more practical advice in the pack e.g. not everyone has a dishwasher so need advice on how to clean a cutting board

### Daily operations and SFBB - key findings

### Key usability issues

- Having to print the packs can be prohibitive to businesses and is resulting in lower usage of SFBB
- Content isn't always relevant and there isn't enough space to fill in the required details
- Filled in information can be illegible for LAs
- Widespread language issues
- Some LAs have adapted the packs format to try get better compliance

### Daily operations and SFBB

#### Behavioural issues

- Food safety often not seen as a priority over making money
- Filling in the packs is not culturally ingrained. Sometimes the packs are at home and not on site.
- Tendency to only fill in the bits that are seen to be easy
- FBOs use running out of sheets/expecting to be sent more as an excuse
- Mismatch between what is filled in and what happens in practice SFBB is often treated as a tick box exercise and information provided can be false
- Businesses are more motivated by getting kicked off the Just Eat platform or a poor hygiene rating than using the packs as a food management tool

### Technology

Gain insight to the technology that is being used by FBOs

Aim: to understand any alternatives tools that food operators are using



### Technology - Key Findings

- There are some food operators that use an alternative SFBB digital tools but this is rare. However LAs found that these tools were comprehensive in the details that they captured
- 'CheckIt' is one of the tools being used in Cambridge and London
- LAs stated that most of the food operators have access to digital tools i.e. smartphones

'I would recommend that the business look at the tool [CheckIt]'



### Technology - Key findings

#### LAs observations

- Generally LAs will use paper proforma when they are out in the field
- LAs have access to mobile devices when they are carrying out inspections. They use these devices to show web links to food operators

### Accessibility

Gain insight into the pain points that food operators are experiencing when trying to access the SFBB packs

**Aim:** to understand the challenges that food operators are facing in accessing and using the pack



### Accessibility observations

Updates to the pack are not always communicated to the food operators.

The FSA do not make food operators aware when the SFBB packs have been updated, which is resulting in food operators using out of date packs

'One of the businesses had an old pack and I told them to get a new pack and they said how would I know there is an updated pack'

### Accessibility observations

LAs were unclear in regards to the updates that were issued by FSA:

- They were unsure if the food operators had to utilise an update SFBB pack
- Some LAs stated that it is not always clear why things are removed when the packs are updated
- Few LAs we spoke to will send communications to food operators to let them know when the pack has been updated

## Regulatory compliance

#### **Questions:**

- What actions the LAs can take when the SFBB packs are not completed
- How often are the packs completed to the standards required

Aim: to understand the challenges that exist in this space



### Regulatory compliance- key findings

- LAs mentioned that they have served notices to food operators when they have an inadequate document system or even lack lack of documentation
- Some LAs spoke about how the quality of documentation fell when the food business had a new manager in place

### Additional ideas and suggestions

Further alignment with the Safe Catering Pack to ensure best practice

Significant appetite for SFBB as a live, pre-populated, real-time system:

- Aligns with National Inspections strategies
- Intel could be better shared across LAs especially with the increase of online food providers
- LAs could monitor FBO data quality and accuracy
- Provides local and national data analysis
- If the FBOs see how digi tech improves their ratings, they will buy into it.

LAs have stated they need more languages, especially the written element which is tricky for FBOs.