

# 01204211 Discrete Mathematics

## Lecture 1: Introduction

Jittat Fakcharoenphol

August 18, 2015

# What is this course about?

This is a math class.

But, what is mathematics?

Ah... that's a philosophical question.

IMHO, mathematics is a mean to communicate *precise* ideas.

## It's like learning a new language

- ▶ Do you remember the time when you start learning English?
- ▶ There are a few things you have to learn and get used to.
- ▶ They might not make so much sense in the beginning, but over time, you will get comfortable with how the language is used.
- ▶ As your knowledge of the language gets better, everything becomes more natural. Learning a new language sometimes expands your view of the world.
- ▶ I hope it is also true with this course.

# The goals of this course

There are two goals:

- ▶ To learn how to make mathematical arguments.
- ▶ To learn various fundamental mathematical concepts that are very useful in computer science.

# Why should we learn how to prove? (1)

Look at this program.

```
if a > b:  
    return a  
else:  
    return b
```

The author claims that this program takes two variables  $a$  and  $b$  and returns the larger one.

*Do you believe the author of the code? Why?*

## Finding the maximum value. (1)

Now look at this program.

```
if a > b:
    if a > c:
        return a
    else:
        return c
else:
    if c > b:
        return c
    else:
        return b
```

The author claims that this program takes three variables  $a$ ,  $b$  and  $c$  and returns the largest one.

*Do you believe the author of the code? Why?*

## Finding the maximum value. (2)

Finally, look at this program.

```
// Input: array A with n elements: A[0], ..., A[n-1]
m = 0
for i = 0, 1, ..., n-1:
    if A[i] > m:
        m = A[i]
return m
```

The author claims that this program takes an array  $A$  with  $n$  elements and returns the maximum element.

*Do you believe the author of the code? Why?*

**Can we try to test the code with all possible inputs?**

## Finding the maximum value. (3)

Let's try again.

```
// Input: array A with n elements: A[0],...,A[n-1]
m = A[0]
for i = 1, 2, ..., n-1:
    if A[i] > m:
        m = A[i]
return m
```

*Do you believe the author of the code? Why?*

**Can we try to test the code with all possible inputs?**



## Another example: testing primes (1)

A *prime* is a natural number greater than 1 that has no positive divisors other than 1 and itself. E.g., 2,3,5,7,11 are primes.

```
Algorithm CheckPrime(n):      // Input: an integer n
    if n <= 1:
        return False
    i = 2
    while i <= n-1:
        if n is divisible by i:
            return False
        i = i + 1
    return True
```

The code above checks if  $n$  is a prime number. How fast can it run?

Note that if  $n$  is a prime number, the for-loop repeats for  $n - 2$  times. Thus, the running time is approximately proportional to  $n$ . Can we do better?

## Another example: testing primes (2)

Consider the following code.

```
Algorithm CheckPrime2(n): // Input: an integer n
    if n <= 1:
        return False
    let s = square root of n
    i = 2
    while i <= s:
        if n is divisible by i:
            return False
        i = i + 1
    return True
```

How fast can it run? Note that  $s = \sqrt{n}$ ; therefore, it takes time approximately proportional to  $\sqrt{n}$  to run.

Ok, it should be faster. **But is it correct?**

## Informal arguments (1)

- ▶ Let's try to argue the Algorithm CheckPrime2 works correctly.
- ▶ Note that if  $n$  is a prime number, the algorithm answers correctly. (Why?)
- ▶ Therefore, let's consider the case when  $n$  is not prime (i.e.,  $n$  is a composite).
- ▶ If that's the case,  $n$  has some positive divisor which is not 1 or  $n$ . Let's call this number  $a$ .
- ▶ Now, if  $2 \leq a \leq \sqrt{n}$ , at some point during the execution of the algorithm,  $i = a$  and  $i$  should divide  $n$ ; thus the algorithm correctly returns False.
- ▶ Are we done?

## Informal arguments (2)

- ▶ Recall that we are left with the case that (1)  $n$  is not prime and (2) its positive divisor  $a$  is larger than  $\sqrt{n}$ .
- ▶ Let  $b = n/a$ . Since  $n$  and  $a$  are positive integers and  $a$  divides  $n$ ,  $b$  is also a positive integer.
- ▶ Note that if we can argue that  $2 \leq b \leq \sqrt{n}$ , we are done. (why?)
- ▶ How can we do that?

# The goals

- ▶ Let's take a break and look back at what we are trying to do.

**Original goal:** To show that Algorithm CheckPrime2 is correct.

**Current (sub) goal:** Consider a positive composite  $n$  and its positive divisor  $a$ , where  $a > \sqrt{n}$ . Let  $b = n/a$ . We want to show that  $2 \leq b \leq \sqrt{n}$ .

- ▶ Before we continue, I'd like to add a bit of formalism to our thinking process.

# The main goal

- ▶ **Original goal:** To show that Algorithm CheckPrime2 is correct.
- ▶ Let's focus on the statement we want to argue for:

**“Algorithm CheckPrime2 is correct.”**

- ▶ Note that this statement can either be “true” or “false.” If we can demonstrate, using logical/mathematical arguments that this statement is true, we can say that we **prove** the statement.

## The (sub) goal

- ▶ **Current (sub) goal:** Consider a positive composite  $n$  and its positive divisor  $a$ , where  $a > \sqrt{n}$ . Let  $b = n/a$ . We want to show that  $2 \leq b \leq \sqrt{n}$ .
- ▶ Let's focus only on the statement we want to argue for:

$$2 \leq b \leq \sqrt{n}.$$

- ▶ If we only look at this statement, it is unclear if the statement is true or false because there are variables  $b$  and  $n$  in the statement. It can be true in some case and it can be false in some case depending on the values of  $n$  and  $b$ .
- ▶ Are we doom? Not really. The statement above is not precisely the statement we want to prove.

## The (sub) goal (second try)

- ▶ **Current (sub) goal:** Consider a positive composite  $n$  and its positive divisor  $a$ , where  $a > \sqrt{n}$ . Let  $b = n/a$ . We want to show that  $2 \leq b \leq \sqrt{n}$ .
- ▶ We can be more specific about what values of  $n$  and  $b$  that we want to consider.

### Revised statement

For all positive composite integer  $n$ , and for every divisor  $a$  of  $n$  such that  $\sqrt{n} < a < n$ ,

$$2 \leq b \leq \sqrt{n},$$

where  $b = n/a$ .

- ▶ Note that this revised statement is now “quantified,” that is, every variable in the statement has specific scope. Now the statement is either true or false.



# Propositions

- ▶ A *proposition* is a statement which is either **true** or **false**.
- ▶ It is our basic unit of mathematical “facts”.
- ▶ Examples:
  - ▶ Algorithm CheckPrime2 is correct.
  - ▶  $10^2 = 90$ .
  - ▶  $\sqrt{2}$  is irrational.
- ▶ Examples of statements which are not propositions (why?):
  - ▶  $x > 10$ .
  - ▶  $1 + 2 + \cdots + 10$ .
  - ▶ This algorithm is fast.
  - ▶ Run, run quickly.

## Combining propositions

- ▶ We usually use a variable to refer to a proposition. For example, we may use  $P$  to stand for “it rains” or  $Q$  to stand for “the road is wet.”
- ▶ The truth value of a variable is the truth value of the proposition it stands for.
- ▶ Many propositions can be combined to get a complex statement using logical operators.
- ▶ For example, we can join  $P$  and  $Q$  with “and” (denoted by “ $\wedge$ ”) and get

$$P \wedge Q,$$

which stands for “it rains and the road is wet”.

- ▶ An expression  $P \wedge Q$  is an example of *propositional forms*. The logical value of a propositional form “usually” depends on the truth value of its variables.

## Connectives: “and”, “or”, “not”

Given propositions  $P$  and  $Q$ , we can use connectives to form more complex propositions:

- ▶ **Conjunction:**  $P \wedge Q$  (“ $P$  and  $Q$ ”),  
(True when both  $P$  and  $Q$  are true)
- ▶ **Disjunction:**  $P \vee Q$  (“ $P$  or  $Q$ ”),  
(True when at least one of  $P$  and  $Q$  is true)
- ▶ **Negation:**  $\neg P$  (“not  $P$ ”)  
(True only when  $P$  is false)

If  $P$  stands for “today is Tuesday” and  $Q$  stands for “dogs are animals”, then

- ▶  $P \wedge Q$  stands for “today is Tuesday and dogs are animals”,
- ▶  $P \vee Q$  stands for “today is Tuesday or dogs are animals”, and
- ▶  $\neg P$  stands for “today is not Tuesday”.

# Truth tables

To represents values of propositional forms, we usually use truth tables.

And/Or/Not

$P$	$Q$	$P \wedge Q$	$P \vee Q$	$\neg P$
$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$	$F$
$T$	$F$	$F$	$T$	
$F$	$T$	$F$	$T$	$T$
$F$	$F$	$F$	$F$	

## Quick check 1

For each of these statements, define propositional variables representing each proposition inside the statement and write the proposition form of the statement.

- ▶ All prime numbers are larger than 0 and all natural numbers is at least one.
- ▶ You are smart or you won't be taking this class.

## Quick check 2

Use a truth table to find the values of (1)  $P \wedge \neg P$  and (2)  $P \vee \neg P$ .

And/Or/Not

$P$	$\neg P$	$P \wedge \neg P$	$P \vee \neg P$
$T$	$F$	$F$	$T$
$F$	$T$	$F$	$T$

Note that  $P \wedge \neg P$  is always false and  $P \vee \neg P$  is always true. A propositional form which is always true regardless of the truth values of its variables is called a *tautology*. On the other hand, a propositional form which is always false regardless of the truth values of its variables is called a *contradiction*.

# Implications

Given  $P$  and  $Q$ , an implication

$$P \Rightarrow Q$$

stands for “if  $P$ , then  $Q$ ”. This is a very important propositional form.

It states that “when  $P$  is true,  $Q$  must be true”. Let’s try to fill in its truth table:

Implications

$P$	$Q$	$P \Rightarrow Q$
$T$	$T$	$T$
$T$	$F$	$F$
$F$	$T$	$T$
$F$	$F$	$T$

# What?

- ▶ Yes, when  $P$  is false,  $P \Rightarrow Q$  is **always true** no matter what truth value of  $Q$  is.
- ▶ We say that in this case, the statement  $P \Rightarrow Q$  is *vacuously true*.
- ▶ You might feel a bit uncomfortable about this, because in most natural languages, when we say that if  $P$ , then  $Q$  we sometimes mean something more than that in the logical expression " $P \Rightarrow Q$ ."



## One explanation

- ▶ But let's look closely at what it means when we say that:

if  $P$  is true,  $Q$  must be true.

- ▶ Note that this statement does not say anything about the case when  $P$  is false, i.e., it only considers the case when  $P$  is true.
- ▶ Therefore, having that  $P \Rightarrow Q$  is true is OK with the case that (1)  $Q$  is false when  $P$  is false, and (2)  $Q$  is true when  $P$  is false.
- ▶ This is an example when mathematical language is “stricter” than natural language.

## Noticing if-then

We can write “if  $P$ , then  $Q$ ” for  $P \Rightarrow Q$ , but there are other ways to say this. E.g., we can write (1)  $Q$  if  $P$ , (2)  $P$  only if  $Q$ , or (3) when  $P$ , then  $Q$ .

### Quick check 3

For each of these statements, define propositional variables representing each proposition inside the statement and write the proposition form of the statement.

- ▶ If you do not have enough sleep, you will feel dizzy during class.
- ▶ If you eat a lot and you do not have enough exercise, you will get fat.
- ▶ You can get A from this course, only if you work fairly hard.

## Only-if

Let  $P$  be “you get A from this course.”

Let  $Q$  be “you work fairly hard.”

Let  $R$  be “You can get A from this course, only if you work fairly hard.”

Let's think about the truth values of  $R$ .

Only if you work fairly hard.

$P$	$Q$	$R$
$T$	$T$	
$T$	$F$	
$F$	$T$	
$F$	$F$	

Thus,  $R$  should be logically equivalent to  $P \Rightarrow Q$ . (We write  $R \equiv P \Rightarrow Q$  in this case.)

## If and only if: ( $\Leftrightarrow$ )

Given  $P$  and  $Q$ , we denote by

$$P \Leftrightarrow Q$$

the statement “ $P$  if and only if  $Q$ .” It is logically equivalent to

$$(P \Leftarrow Q) \wedge (P \Rightarrow Q),$$

i.e.,  $P \Leftrightarrow Q \equiv (P \Leftarrow Q) \wedge (P \Rightarrow Q)$ .

Let's fill in its truth table.

$P$	$Q$	$P \Rightarrow Q$	$P \Leftarrow Q$	$P \Leftrightarrow Q$
$T$	$T$			
$T$	$F$			
$F$	$T$			
$F$	$F$			

# An implication and its friends

When you have two propositions

- ▶  $P =$  “I own a cell phone”, and
- ▶  $Q =$  “I bring a cell phone to class”.

We have

- ▶ an implication  $P \Rightarrow Q \equiv$   
“If I own a cell phone, I’ll bring it to class”,
- ▶ its **converse**  $Q \Rightarrow P \equiv$   
“If I bring a cell phone to class, I own it”, and
- ▶ its **contrapositive**  $\neg Q \Rightarrow \neg P \equiv$   
“If I do not bring a cell phone to class, I do not own one”.

## Quick check 4

Let's consider the following truth table:

$P$	$Q$	$P \Rightarrow Q$	$Q \Rightarrow P$	$\neg Q \Rightarrow \neg P$
$T$	$T$			
$T$	$F$			
$F$	$T$			
$F$	$F$			

Do you notice any equivalence?

Right,  $P \Rightarrow Q \equiv \neg Q \Rightarrow \neg P$ .

## How about our subgoal?

- ▶ In many cases, the statement we are interested in contains variable.
- ▶ For example, “ $x$  is even,” “ $p$  is prime,” or “ $s$  is a student.”
- ▶ As we previously did with propositions, we can use variables to represent these statements. E.g.,
  - ▶ let  $E(x) \equiv$  “ $x$  is even”,
  - ▶ let  $P(y) \equiv$  “ $y$  is prime, and
  - ▶ let  $S(w) \equiv$  “ $w$  is a student.

We call  $E(x)$ ,  $P(y)$ , and  $S(w)$  *predicates*. (You can think of predicates as statements that may be true or false depending on the values of its variables.)

# Quantifiers (1)

- ▶ As we note before, these predicates are not propositions. But if we know the value of the variables, then they become propositions. For example, if we let  $x = 5$ , then  $E(5)$  is a proposition which is false. Also,  $P(7)$  is true.
- ▶ Since the truth values of predicates depend on the assignments of its variables, we can put *quantifiers* to specify the scope of these variables and how to interpret the truth values of the predicates over these values.
- ▶ Let  $A = \{2, 4, 6, 8\}$ .
- ▶ Note that  $E(2)$ ,  $E(4)$ ,  $E(6)$ , and  $E(8)$  are true, i.e.,  $E(x)$  is true for every  $x \in A$ .  
In this case, we say that the following proposition is true:

$$(\forall x \in A)E(x).$$



## Quantifiers (2)

- ▶ Again, let  $A = \{2, 4, 6, 8\}$ .
- ▶ Note that  $P(2)$  is true. This means that  $P(y)$  is true for some  $y \in A$ .

In this case, we say that the following proposition is true:

$$(\exists y \in A)P(y).$$

- ▶ “ $\forall x$ ” and “ $\exists y$ ” are quantifiers. They give predicates  $E(x)$  and  $P(y)$  precise scopes of considerations.
- ▶ When the universe  $A$  is clear, we can leave it out and just write  $\forall x E(x)$  or  $\exists y P(y)$ .

# The main goal

- ▶ Let's try to be more specific about our main goal:

Algorithm CheckPrime2 is correct.

- ▶ Can we re-write this statement so that the input/output of the algorithm are explicit?
- ▶ Note that the set of its input  $n$  is an integer. Thus, we are interested in every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $\mathbb{Z}$  denote the set of all integers.
- ▶ Let's rewrite the goal as:

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, C(n) \Leftrightarrow P(n),$$

where  $C(n) \equiv$  "CheckPrime2( $n$ ) returns True", and  
 $P(n) \equiv$  " $n$  is a prime."

## Quantified propositions with more than one variables

Let our universe be integers ( $\mathbb{Z}$ ). Which of the following statements is true?

- ▶  $\forall x \forall y (x = y)$
- ▶  $\forall x \exists y (x = y)$
- ▶  $\exists x \forall y (x = y)$
- ▶  $\exists x \exists y (x = y)$

When you have many quantifiers, we can interpret the statement by nesting the quantifiers. E.g,

$$\exists x \forall y P(x, y) \equiv \exists x (\forall y (P(x, y))).$$

$$\forall y \exists x P(x, y) \equiv \forall y (\exists x (P(x, y))).$$

Also note that usually,  $\exists x \forall y P(x, y) \not\equiv \forall y \exists x P(x, y)$ .

## Quick check 5

- Let's consider the current subgoal. (Note that in this version, variable  $b$  is replaced with  $n/a$ .)

### Another revised statement

For all positive composite integer  $n$ , and for every divisor  $a$  of  $n$  such that  $\sqrt{n} < a < n$ ,

$$2 \leq n/a \leq \sqrt{n}.$$

- Define all required predicates and describe a quantified proposition equivalent to the revised statement above.

## Next lecture...

- ▶ We will discuss a few proof techniques and will formally prove the correctness of `CheckPrime2`.
- ▶ The next lecture will be available as VDO clips. Please watch it before the next section. We will work on homework in class.