

RSM8301_Assignment_2

April 2, 2024

1 Question 1

1. An individual divides an investment between hedge funds that earn (before fees) -21%, -11%, +21%, +25%, +27%, and +31%. All hedge funds charge 2 plus 20%.

- What is the overall return on the investments?
- How is it divided between the hedge fund and the investor?
- How does your answer change if a fund of funds charging 1 plus 5% is used.

(Assume that a hedge fund's incentive fee of 20% is paid on profits net of the management fee. The fund of fund incentive fee of 5% applies to the total profits, net of management fees, from the hedge funds.)

Assuming that this individual is dividing his/her investment to the listed hedge funds in equal portions, the breakdown of the overall investment return is as followed:

- Overall return, before fee: **12%**, which can be divided into:
 - Fee payment of fund managers: **5.2%**
 - Profit retained by the investor: **6.8%**

If a fund of fund rate of 1 plus 5% is further charged, then the breakdown will be changed:

- Overall return before fee will remain unchanged: **12%**, including:
 - Fee payment of fund managers: **5.2%**
 - (New) fee payment to investment managers (fund of funds): **1.29%**
 - Profit retained by the investor: **5.51%**

Detailed calculation can be seen below:

1.1 Q1 Calculations

```
[ ]: import scipy.stats as sps
import numpy as np
import numpy_financial as npf
import pandas as pd

[ ]: def fee_calculation(pre_fee_profit: float, management_fee: float = 0.02,
    ↪incentive_rate: float = 0.2, verbose = 1):
    if pre_fee_profit <= 0:
        # For un-profitd funds, only management fee applies:
        man_fee = management_fee
        inc_fee = 0
    else:
        # For profit funds:
        man_fee = management_fee
        inc_fee = incentive_rate * (pre_fee_profit - management_fee)
    total_fee = man_fee + inc_fee
    total_profit = pre_fee_profit - total_fee
    if verbose ==1:
        print(f'Fund return (before fees): {100*pre_fee_profit}%, fee at
    ↪{100*management_fee} plus {100*incentive_rate}% | Total fee: {100*total_fee:.
    ↪1f}% | Post-fee return {100*total_profit:.1f}%')
    return (total_fee, total_profit)

[ ]: pre_fee_profits = [-0.21, -0.11, 0.21, 0.25, 0.27, 0.31]
fee = []
overall_return = []

for profit in pre_fee_profits:
    result = fee_calculation(profit)
    fee.append(result[0])
    overall_return.append(result[1])

fund_of_funds = fee_calculation(np.mean(overall_return), 0.01, 0.05, 0)

print('-----')
print(f'overall fund return, before fees: {np.mean(pre_fee_profits)*100:.2f}%')
print(f'overall fund return, after fees: {np.mean(overall_return)*100:.2f}%')
print(f'dividend paid to individual fund managers: {np.mean(fee)*100:.2f}%')
print('-----')
print(f'overall fund return, after hedge fund fees & fund of funds fee: {np.
    ↪mean(fund_of_funds[1])*100:.2f}%')
print(f'dividend paid to individual fund managers: {np.mean(fee)*100:.2f}%')
print(f'dividend paid to investment manager: {np.mean(fund_of_funds[0])*100:.
    ↪2f}%')
```

Fund return (before fees): -21.0%, fee at 2.0 plus 20.0% | Total fee: 2.0% |

Post-fee return -23.0%
Fund return (before fees): -11.0%, fee at 2.0 plus 20.0% | Total fee: 2.0% |
Post-fee return -13.0%
Fund return (before fees): 21.0%, fee at 2.0 plus 20.0% | Total fee: 5.8% |
Post-fee return 15.2%
Fund return (before fees): 25.0%, fee at 2.0 plus 20.0% | Total fee: 6.6% |
Post-fee return 18.4%
Fund return (before fees): 27.0%, fee at 2.0 plus 20.0% | Total fee: 7.0% |
Post-fee return 20.0%
Fund return (before fees): 31.0%, fee at 2.0 plus 20.0% | Total fee: 7.8% |
Post-fee return 23.2%

overall fund return, before fees: 12.00%
overall fund return, after fees: 6.80%
dividend paid to individual fund managers: 5.20%

overall fund return, after hedge fund fees & fund of funds fee: 5.51%
dividend paid to individual fund managers: 5.20%
dividend paid to investment manager: 1.29%

2 Question 2

2. How does Table 7.1 in text change if the principal assigned to the senior, mezzanine, and equity tranche in Figure 7.4 are 72%, 22%, and 6% for the ABS and 70%, 25% and 5% for the ABS CDO?

The updated table can be seen below. Calculations are attached at at the next page.

	Losses to Subprime Portfolios	Losses to Mezzanine Tranche of ABS	Losses to Equity Tranche of ABS CDO	Losses to Mezzanine Tranche of ABS CDO	Losses to Senior Tranche of ABS CDO
0	10%	18%	100%	53%	0%
1	15%	41%	100%	100%	16%
2	20%	64%	100%	100%	48%
3	25%	86%	100%	100%	81%

2.1 Q2 Calculations

```
[ ]: abs_combo = pd.Series({'senior': 0.72, 'mezzanine': 0.22, 'equity': 0.06})  
    ↪ #AAA, BBB, Equity  
cdo_combo = pd.Series({'senior': 0.70, 'mezzanine': 0.25, 'equity': 0.05})  
    ↪ #AAA, BBB, Equity  
  
losses = [0.1, 0.15, 0.2, 0.25]  
sub_losses = []  
losses_to_tranches = pd.DataFrame()  
  
for loss in losses:  
    # Calculate losses to subprime portfolio:  
    mez_trench_loss = (loss - abs_combo.equity)/abs_combo.mezzanine  
    sub_losses.append(mez_trench_loss)  
    # Calculate losses to ABS CDO:  
    cdo_loss = pd.Series(np.zeros(3), index=['equity', 'mezzanine', 'senior'])  
    loss = mez_trench_loss  
    for tranch in ['equity', 'mezzanine', 'senior']:  
        if loss >= cdo_combo[tranch]:  
            cdo_loss[tranch] = 1  
            loss -= cdo_combo[tranch]  
        else:  
            cdo_loss[tranch] = loss/cdo_combo[tranch]  
            loss = 0  
    losses_to_tranches[mez_trench_loss] = cdo_loss  
  
losses_to_tranches = losses_to_tranches.T.reset_index()  
losses_to_tranches['Losses to Subprime Portfolios'] = losses  
losses_to_tranches = losses_to_tranches.iloc[:, [4,0,1,2,3]]  
losses_to_tranches.rename(columns = {'index': 'Losses to Mezzanine Tranche of',  
    ↪ ABS',  
                                     'equity': 'Losses to Equity Tranche of ABS',  
    ↪ CDO',  
                                     'mezzanine': 'Losses to Mezzanine Tranche',  
    ↪ of ABS CDO',  
                                     'senior': 'Losses to Senior Tranche of ABS',  
    ↪ CDO'}, inplace=True)  
  
def change_data_type(cell):  
    return format(cell, "%.0%")  
losses_to_tranches = losses_to_tranches.map(change_data_type)  
print('Output table for question 2:')  
losses_to_tranches
```

3 Question 3

3. Variable x has a uniform distribution with values between 5 and 15 being equally likely. Variable y has a Pareto distribution. A Gaussian copula is used to define the correlation between the two distributions. The Pareto distribution for variable y has a probability density function:

$$\frac{ac^a}{y^{a+1}}$$

For value of y between c and *infinity* where $c = 4$ and $a = 0.5$. Produce a table similar to Table 9.5 in the text considering values of x equal to 7, 9, 11, and 13 and values of y equal to 5, 10, 30 and 60. Assume a copula correlation of 0.4. A spreadsheet for calculating the cumulative bivariate normal distribution is provided on the author's website www-2.rotman.utoronto.ca/~hull/riskman.

Mapping of given values to standard normal distribution is done below. Further calculation of cumulative joint probability distribution of both values under bivariate normal distribution with a copula correlation of 0.4 is done in the given spreadsheet, with the result attached below:

	Y			
X	5	10	15	30
0.2	0.046	0.119	0.167	0.180
0.4	0.072	0.208	0.312	0.346
0.6	0.089	0.279	0.442	0.498
0.8	0.100	0.334	0.553	0.634

3.1 Q3 Calculations

```
[ ]: x = [7, 9, 11, 13]
     y = [5, 10, 30, 60]

     x_dist = sps.uniform(loc=5, scale=15-5)
     y_dist = sps.pareto(b=0.5, scale = 4) #scale = c
     std_norm = sps.norm

[ ]: x_percentile = [x_dist.cdf(x_val) for x_val in x]
     x_map = [std_norm.ppf(x_perc) for x_perc in x_percentile]

     y_percentile = [y_dist.cdf(y_val) for y_val in y]
     y_map = [std_norm.ppf(y_perc) for y_perc in y_percentile]

     print(f'Percentile of distribution of x values: {x_percentile}')
     print(f'Mapped x values to std. normal dist.: {x_map}')
     print(f'Percentile of distribution of y values: {y_percentile}')
     print(f'Mapped y values to std. normal dist.: {y_map}')
```

```
Percentile of distribution of x values: [0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8]
Mapped x values to std. normal dist.: [-0.8416212335729142,
-0.2533471031357997, 0.2533471031357997, 0.8416212335729143]
Percentile of distribution of y values: [0.10557280900008414,
0.3675444679663241, 0.6348516283298893, 0.7418011102528388]
Mapped y values to std. normal dist.: [-1.2504214910006977,
-0.33836395189680224, 0.34473082330391736, 0.6489080990191797]
```

4 Question 4

4. Five years of history for the S&P 500 is attached. March 2020 was a volatile period for the index. Imagine that it is March 13, 2020. Use the previous 251 days (250 percentage changes) to calculate the one-day value at risk and expected shortfall for a portfolio with \$1000 invested in the index. Ignore dividends. Provide results for four different methods:
- a) The basic historical simulation approach
 - b) Exponential weighting with $\lambda = 0.995$
 - c) Volatility scaling with $\lambda = 0.94$ (assume an initial variance equal to the sample variance for the 250 changes)
 - d) Extreme value theory with $u = 25$

For all calculations below, we assume a **VaR Confidence level of 99%**. All calculations are done in python with `scipy` and `numpy` (as attached below) with the exception of the very last part, which is done using Excel Solver.

- Under the basic historical simulation approach, the **VaR value is \$48.90**, and the **expected shortfall is \$85.55**. VaR corresponds to the 3rd highest cost scenario, which is scenario 248 detailing the change from Mar 10 to Mar 11 2020 (4.9% decrease).
- Under the exponential weighting approach with $\lambda = 0.995$, the **VaR value is \$76.00**, and the **expected shortfall is \$89.30**. VaR corresponds to the 2nd highest cost scenario, which is scenario 246 detailing the change from Mar 8 to Mar 9 2020 (7.6% decrease).
- Under the volatility scaling approach with $\lambda = 0.94$, the **VaR value is \$137.70**, and the **expected shortfall is \$183.74**.
- Under the extreme value approach with $\mu = 25$, the **VaR value is 49.28** dollars, and the **expected shortfall is 79.98** dollars. There are 11 scenarios where the loss is greater than the given threshold of 25 dollars. Under such configuration, the solver finds the most optimal B to be 12.739, and the most optimal ξ to be 0.3268,

4.1 Q4 Calculations

```
[ ]: original = pd.read_excel('8301_S&P_250days.xlsx')
     scenarios = pd.DataFrame()

[ ]: scenarios['change'] = original['Change %'].iloc[1:]
     scenarios['loss'] = [1000 * (1*(-change)) for change in scenarios.change]
     scenarios_unsorted = scenarios.copy(deep=True)

     scenarios.sort_values(by='loss', ascending=True, inplace=True)
     basic_var = np.percentile(scenarios.loss, 99)
     basic_var_man = scenarios.loss.iloc[int(250*0.99)]
     basic_es_man = scenarios[scenarios.loss > basic_var_man].loss.mean()

     print(f'Value at risk - basic historical simulation approach with 95%_
           ↪confidence level: ${basic_var_man:.2f}')
     print(f'Expected shortfall - basic historical simulation approach with 95%_
           ↪confidence level: ${basic_es_man:.2f}')
```

Value at risk - basic historical simulation approach with 95% confidence level:
\$48.90

Expected shortfall - basic historical simulation approach with 95% confidence
level: \$85.55

```
[ ]: num = scenarios.shape[0]
     lbda = 0.995

     scenarios['weight'] = [(pow(lbda,num-index)*(1-lbda))/(1-pow(lbda,num)) for_
           ↪index in scenarios.index.to_list()]
     scenarios['weight_acc'] = [scenarios.weight.iloc[0:i+1].sum() for i in_
           ↪range(scenarios.shape[0])]
     ew_var = scenarios[scenarios.weight_acc >= 0.99].iloc[0].loss
     ew_es_df = scenarios[scenarios.loss >= ew_var]
     ew_es_man = 0

     for i, row in ew_es_df.reset_index(drop=True).iterrows():
         if i == 0:
             residual_weight = 0.01 - ew_es_df.iloc[i+1:].weight.sum()
             ew_es_man += residual_weight * row.loss
             #print(residual_weight, ew_es_man)
         else:
             ew_es_man += row.weight * row.loss
             #print(row.weight, ew_es_man)

     ew_es_man = ew_es_man/0.01

     print(f'Value at risk - exponential weighting: ${ew_var:.2f}')
     print(f'Expected shortfall - exponential weighting: ${ew_es_man:.2f}')
```

Value at risk - exponential weighting: \$76.00
Expected shortfall - exponential weighting: \$89.30

```
[ ]: lbda_vol = 0.94
scenarios_vol = scenarios_unsorted.copy(deep=True)
variance_daily = np.zeros_like(scenarios_vol.loss)
# EWMA volatility estimation:
for i in range(0, len(variance_daily)):
    if i == 0: # for the first row of scenarios_unsorted
        variance_daily[i] = np.var(scenarios_vol.change)
        #print(np.var(scenarios_vol.change))
    else: # variance is 0-indexed, scenarios_vol is 1-indexed # for the second
    ↪row upwards
        variance_daily[i] = lbda_vol * variance_daily[i-1] + (1-lbda_vol) *
    ↪(scenarios_vol.iloc[i-1].change**2)
        #print(scenarios_vol.iloc[i-1].change, variance_daily[i])

volatilities = np.sqrt(variance_daily)
scenarios_vol['variance'] = variance_daily
scenarios_vol['volatility'] = volatilities

# Apply volatility scaling to original loss value:
scenarios_vol['loss_vol'] = [(scenarios_vol.loc[i].loss * (scenarios_vol.
    ↪iloc[-1].volatility/scenarios_vol.loc[i].volatility)) for i in scenarios_vol.
    ↪index.to_list()]

svol2 = scenarios_vol.sort_values(by='loss_vol', ascending=True)
vol_var_man = svol2.iloc[int(250*0.99)].loss_vol
vol_es_man = scenarios_vol[scenarios_vol.loss_vol > vol_var_man].loss_vol.mean()

print(f'Value at risk - volatility scaling approach with 95% confidence level:
    ↪${vol_var_man:.2f}')
print(f'Expected shortfall - volatility scaling approach with 95% confidence
    ↪level: ${vol_es_man:.2f}')
```

Value at risk - volatility scaling approach with 95% confidence level: \$137.70
Expected shortfall - volatility scaling approach with 95% confidence level:
\$183.74

```
[ ]: scenarios_eva = scenarios_unsorted.copy(deep=True)
scenarios_eva.sort_values(by='loss', ascending=False, inplace=True)
scenarios_eva.to_excel('scenarios_eva.xlsx')
# Rest of the calculation done in provided excel solver
```