

A. Order Status & Fees [25pts]

(a) $O_ID \rightarrow O_dt_time$, $O_ID \rightarrow O_amnt$, $O_amnt \rightarrow O_fee$, $O_status_code \rightarrow O_status_text$,
 $(O_ID, O_status_code) \rightarrow O_status_time$

(b)

bc 1> Yes, single attribute in each column.

bc 2> No, non-prime attributes are partially dependent

bc 3> No, since table is not in 2NF.

(c) Move O_fee to order table. Remove O_dt_time and O_amnt , since order table has that information.
split O_status_code and O_status_text to it's own table
Order_Status should only have O_ID , O_status_code , and O_status_time Table and is now in BCNF.
No Non-prime attributes are partially dependent or transitive.

B. Cuisine [25pts]

(a) $R_ID \rightarrow R_name$, $R_ID \rightarrow R_address$, $R_ID \rightarrow \text{Other Fields...}$, $R_ID \rightarrow R_cuisine$

(b)

bc 1> No, there are multiple entries in the $R_cuisine$ column.

bc 2> No, since the table is not 1NF compliant.

bc 3> No, since the table is not 2NF compliant.

(c) We can add a $R_cuisine2$ column to accept a second type of cuisine.
This will make the table BCNF since there are no transitive and partial compliant functional dependencies.

C. Last Transfer [25pts]

(a) R_ID -> R_name, R_ID -> R_address, R_ID -> Other Fields..., R_ID -> R_last_transfer_date

(b)

bc 1> Yes, since each column only has 1 entry type.

bc 2> Yes, since there are no partial functional dependencies.

bc 3> Yes, since there are no transitive functional dependencies.

(c) The Table is already in BCNF form and does not need to be normalized.

D. Review [25pts]

(a) C_ID -> C_nickname, (C_ID, R_ID) -> R_rating_stars, (C_ID, R_ID) -> R_comment

(b)

bc 1> Yes, it is in 1NF since each column has only one data entry.

bc 2> No, since C_nickname, and R_ID is partially dependent.

bc 3> No, since the table is not in 2NF.

(c) C_ID and C_nickname should be placed into another table.
The review table should then be C_ID, R_ID, R_rating_stars and R_comment.
This will make the table BCNF.