# HW1

Original program:

```
for j = 1 to n
for i = 1 to n

A[i, j] = A[i, j] + B[i-1, j] /* s1 */
B[i, j] = A[i, j-1] * B[i, j] /* s2 */
```

## (a)

Yes, the program is parallelizable by Affine Space Partitions.

# (b)

## **Finding Space-Partition Constraints**

Since there are 2 statements ( $s_1$  and  $s_2$ ), we have to find 2 affine partitions (one per statement).

Let

$$egin{aligned} p &= \left( egin{array}{cc} a_{11} & a_{12} 
ight) egin{pmatrix} i \ j \end{pmatrix} + c_1 \ p &= \left( egin{array}{cc} a_{21} & a_{22} 
ight) egin{pmatrix} i \ j \end{pmatrix} + c_2 \end{aligned}$$

be the one-dimensional affine partitions for the statements  $s_1$  and  $s_2$ , respectively.

Note that the only data dependences in the code occur in:

- 1. Write access A[i,j] in statement  $s_1$  with read access A[i,j-1] in statement  $s_2$ .
- 2. Write access B[i,j] in statement  $s_2$  with read access B[i-1,j] in statement  $s_1$ .

The space-partition constraints imposed by the first dependence are:

For all (i,j) and  $(i^{\prime},j^{\prime})$  such that

$$1 \le i \le n$$
  $1 \le j \le n$   
 $1 \le i' \le n$   $1 \le j' \le n$   
 $i = i'$   $j = j' - 1$ 

$$p = \left(egin{array}{cc} a_{11} & a_{12} 
ight) egin{pmatrix} i \ j \end{pmatrix} + c_1 = \left(egin{array}{cc} a_{21} & a_{22} 
ight) egin{pmatrix} i' \ j' \end{pmatrix} + c_2$$

Solving the equations, we have:

Since (\*) should hold for any (i, j), it must be that

$$a_{11} - a_{21} = 0 \ a_{12} - a_{22} = 0 \ c_1 - c_2 - a_{22} = 0$$

Similarly, for the second dependence, we can also deduce the following constraints:

$$a_{11} - a_{21} = 0$$
 $a_{12} - a_{22} = 0$ 
 $c_1 - c_2 + a_{21} = 0$ 

Simplifying all the constrains above together, we obtain:

$$a_{11} = a_{21} = -a_{22} = -a_{12} = c_2 - c_1$$

To allow parallelism, th coefficient matrix must have a nonzero rank. Thus we take, say,  $a_{11}=a_{21}=1, a_{22}=a_{12}=-1, c_2=0, c_1=-1.$ 

According to this assignment, the (i,j)th iteration of  $s_1$  is assigned to the processor  $p=(1-1)\binom{i}{j}+(-1)=i-j-1$ , while the (i,j)th iteration of  $s_2$  is assigned to the processor  $p=(1-1)\binom{i}{j}+0=i-j$ .

Finally, we can generate an equivalent code based on the affine partition above:

## **Eliminating Empty Iterations**

The iteration space executed by partition p for statement  $s_1$  is defined by

$$-n \leq p \leq n-1$$
 $1 \leq i \leq n$ 
 $1 \leq j \leq n$ 
 $j+p+1=i$ 

We can then tighten the bounds for each of the variables for statement  $s_1$ :

$$egin{array}{lll} p: & -n \leq p \leq n-2 \ j: & -p \leq j \leq n-p-1 \ & 1 \leq j \leq n \ i: & i=j+p+1 \ & 1 \leq i \leq n \ \end{array} \eqno(1)$$

Similarly, we can also tighten the bounds for each of the variables for statement  $s_2$ :

$$\begin{array}{ll} p: & -n+1 \leq p \leq n-1 \\ j: & 1-p \leq j \leq n-p \\ & 1 \leq j \leq n \\ i: & i=j+p \\ & 1 \leq i \leq n \end{array} \tag{2}$$

Given the tigher bounds, we can then rewrite the code such that it executes exactly in the union of iteration spaces:

### **Eliminating Tests from Innermost Loops**

Note that, by (1) and (2),  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  are mapped to the same set of processor ID's except for p=-n and p=n-1. Thus, we separate the partition space into 3 subspaces:

```
1. p=-n, 2. -n+1 \leq p \leq n-2, and 3. \ p=n-1.
```

### Subspace (1)

The loops degenerate into a single iteration, and thus the code for subspace (1) can reduced to:

### Subspace (3)

The loops degenerate into a single iteration, and thus the code for subspace (3) can reduced to:

#### Subspace (2)

Since  $-n+1 \le p \le n-2$ , we can first rewrite the code for subspace (2) as:

```
for p = -n+1 to n-2
for j = max(1, -p) to min(n, n-p)

for i = max(1, j+p) to min(n, j+p+1)

if p == i-j-1

A[i, j] = A[i, j] + B[i-1, j] /* s1 */

if p == i-j

B[i, j] = A[i, j-1] * B[i, j] /* s2 */
```

Notice that, by (1), statement  $s_1$  is executed in the j''th iteration of loop with index j if and only if  $\max(1,-p) \leq j \leq \min(n,n-p-1)$ . Also, we know by (2) that statement  $s_2$  is executed in the j''th iteration of loop with index j if and only if  $\max(1,1-p) \leq j \leq \min(n,n-p)$ .

As  $n \ge 1$ , it is clear that

$$\max(1, 1-p) - 1 \le \min(n, n-p-1).$$

Thus, we furthur split the loop with index j into 3 subspaces:

- 1.  $\max(1,-p) \leq j \leq \min(\min(n,n-p-1),\max(1,1-p)-1)$ , where only statement  $s_1$  is executed,
- 2.  $\max(\max(1,1-p),\min(n,n-p-1)+1) \leq j \leq \min(n,n-p)$ , where only statement  $s_2$  is executed, and
- 3.  $\max(\max(1,-p),\max(1,1-p)) \le j \le \min(\min(n,n-p-1),\min(n,n-p))$ , where both statements  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  are executed.

#### Subspace (2-1): Only statement $s_1$ is executed

Therefore, for any  $p\in [-n+1,n-2]$ , ONLY statement  $s_1$  (but not  $s_2$ ) gets executed in the j'' th iteration of loop with index j iff

$$\max(1, -p) \le j'' \le \min(\min(n, n - p - 1), \max(1, 1 - p) - 1)$$

$$= \max(1, 1 - p) - 1$$

$$= \max(0, -p),$$

which holds iff

$$-p \le \max(1, -p) \le j'' \le -p.$$

This is equivalent to  $p \leq -1 \wedge j'' = -p$ .

#### Subspace (2-2): Only statement $s_2$ is executed

On the other hand, for any  $p \in [-n+1, n-2]$ , ONLY statement  $s_2$  (but not  $s_1$ ) gets executed in the j'' th iteration of loop with index j iff

$$\min(n, n-p) \ge j'' \ge \max(\max(1, 1-p), \min(n, n-p-1) + 1)$$
 $= \min(n, n-p-1) + 1$ 
 $= \min(n+1, n-p),$ 

which holds iff

$$n-p > \min(n, n-p) > j'' > n-p.$$

This is equivalent to  $p \geq 0 \wedge j'' = n - p$ .

#### Subspace (2-3): Both statements $s_1$ and $s_2$ are executed

Last but not least, for any  $p \in [-n+1, n-2]$ , both statements  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  get executed in the j'' th iteration of loop with index j iff

$$\max(\max(1, -p), \max(1, 1-p)) \le j'' \le \min(\min(n, n-p-1), \min(n, n-p))$$

, which can be rewritten as

```
\max(1, 1 - p) \le j'' \le \min(n, n - p - 1).
```

Hence, the code for subspace (2) can then be rewritten as:

```
for p = -n+1 to n-2
    /* subspace (2-1) */
    for j = max(1, -p) to max(1, 1-p)-1
        A[j+p+1, j] = A[j+p+1, j] + B[j+p, j] /* s1 */

/* subspace (2-3) */
for j = max(1, 1-p) to min(n, n-p-1)
        A[j+p+1, j] = A[j+p+1, j] + B[j+p, j] /* s1 */
        B[j+p, j] = A[j+p, j-1] * B[j+p, j] /* s2 */

/* subspace (2-2) */
for j = min(n, n-p-1)+1 to min(n, n-p)
        B[j+p, j] = A[j+p, j-1] * B[j+p, j] /* s2 */
```

By the conditions we obtain for subspace (2-1) and (2-2), most of the assignments to p in the 2 subspaces are evidently dead code and can be eliminated. To be precise,

- In subspace (2-1), statement  $s_1$  is executed iff  $p < -1 \land j = -p$ .
- In subspace (2-2), statement  $s_2$  is executed iff  $p \geq 0 \wedge j = n-p$ .

Therefore, we furthur eliminate the dead code and obtain the obtimized code for subspace (2):

```
for p = -n+1 to n-2
    /* subspace (2-1) */
    if p <= -1
        A[1, -p] = A[1, -p] + B[0, -p] /* s1 */

/* subspace (2-3) */
for j = max(1, 1-p) to min(n, n-p-1)
        A[j+p+1, j] = A[j+p+1, j] + B[j+p, j] /* s1 */
        B[j+p, j] = A[j+p, j-1] * B[j+p, j] /* s2 */

/* subspace (2-2) */
if p >= 0
        B[n, n-p] = A[n, n-p-1] * B[n, n-p] /* s2 */
```

Combining the code for all the subspaces, we obtain our final affine-partitioned code:

```
1 /* subspace (1) */
2 A[1, n] = A[1, n] + B[0, n] /* s1 */
4
   /* subspace (2) */
5
   for p = -n+1 to n-2
       /* subspace (2-1) */
6
       if p <= -1
8
           A[1, -p] = A[1, -p] + B[0, -p] /* s1 */
9
       /* subspace (2-3) */
       for j = max(1, 1-p) to min(n, n-p-1)
           A[j+p+1, j] = A[j+p+1, j] + B[j+p, j] /* s1 */
           B[j+p, j] = A[j+p, j-1] * B[j+p, j] /* s2 */
14
       /* subspace (2-2) */
       if p >= 0
16
           B[n, n-p] = A[n, n-p-1] * B[n, n-p] /* s2 */
19 /* subspace (3) */
20 B[n, 1] = A[n, 0] * B[n, 1] /* s2 */
```