Real World Algorithms: A Beginners Guide Errata to the Third Printing

Last updated 06 April 2020

This document lists the changes that should be made to *Real World Algorithms* to correct mistakes that made their way to printing, to improve infelicities that the author spotted too late, or update the material with something that the author did not know at the time of writing the book.

There are three different kinds of changes noted here. In all of them the date that they became known to the author is given at the first line of each item. The name of the person who suggested the change is also given at the end of each change.

Page 1, line 1 1 Ja	ın 1
These are technical or typographical errors.	
Page 1, line 1 1 Ja	ın 1
These as changes that improve the book, even if they do not correct an er. They include small rewordings, or material that became known to the autafter the book was published.	
Page 1, line 1 1 Ja These are minor fixes that although they do not make a big difference they do hurt the aut	
Some of them might strain the reader's eye to see where the improvement is exactly	

▶ Page 8, lines 8–17 _____

17 Feb 2020

Therefore, in the worst case, which is if the quotes are in ascending order, line 7 will execute the following number of times:

$$1+2+\cdots+n=\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$$

If the equation is not clear, then you can easily see that this is indeed so if you add the numbers 1, 2, ..., n twice:

$$\frac{1 + 2 + \dots + n}{+ n + n - 1 + \dots + 1} \\
\frac{1 + n + n - 1 + \dots + n}{n + 1 + n + 1 + \dots + n + 1} = n(n + 1)$$

Because line 6 is the step of the algorithm that will execute most times, n(n + 1)/2 is the worst case running time of the algorithm.

 \checkmark

Therefore, in the worst case, which is if the quotes are in ascending order, lines 6–7 will execute the following number of times (recall that we start from day zero): $0+1+2+\cdots+(n-1)=\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$

If the equation is not clear, then you can easily see that this is indeed so if you start with the sum of 1, 2, ..., n twice:

$$\frac{1 + 2 + \dots + n}{+ n + n + 1 + \dots + 1} + \frac{1 + 2 + \dots + n}{n + 1 + n + 1 + \dots + n + 1}$$

$$1 + 2 + \dots + n = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$$

$$1 + 2 + \dots + (n-1) = \frac{n(n+1)}{2} - n = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$$

Because lines 6–7 are the steps of the algorithm that will execute most times, n(n-1)/2 is the worst case running time of the algorithm.

▶ Page 15, line 3 _______ 17 Feb 2020

a complexity of $O(n(n+1/2)) \rightsquigarrow$ a complexity of O(n(n-1/2))

Page 79, line 9 _______ 07 May 2019 overall logic ^→ general logic

▶ Page 122, table 4.10, table row 8	11 Jun 2019
232 ∕√→ 212	(S. Kypritidis)
► Page 195, algorithm 8.1, line 9	06 Apr 2020
for $i \leftarrow 0$ to $ V \nearrow for i \leftarrow 0$ to $ V - 1$	(E. Papoutsi)
► Page 274, figure 11.18, caption	19 Jun 2019
Two complement's	
► Page 274, figure 11.19, caption	19 Jun 2019
two complement's \ → two's complement	
► Page 274, line 2	19 Jun 2019
two complement's \searrow two's complement	
► Page 275, figure 11.20, caption	19 Jun 2019
two complement's \ → two's complement	
► Page 320, line -3	08 Jun 2019
$A[b] < 84 \searrow A[i] < 84$	
► Page 322, line -8	17 Feb 2020
$n + (n-1) + \cdots + 1 = n(n-1)/2 \land \rightarrow (n-1) + \cdots + 2 + 1 = n(n-1)$	1)/2
► Page 342, line 4	17 Feb 2020
$n + (n-1) + \cdots + 1 = n(n-1)/2 \land \rightarrow n + (n-1) + \cdots + 1 = n(n+1)$	1)/2
Page 346, line -6	07 May 2019
64-bit numbers are similar $ \searrow $ 64-bit numbers work alike	
► Page 381, line -11	06 May 2019
$h(m') = -\lg(2/10) = 2.32 \land \rightarrow h(m') = -\lg(2/10) \approx 2.32$	
► Page 478, line 8	_ 19 May 2019
we have equality when $c = n \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$	n and n is a
► Page 508, second column	19 Jun 2019
two complement's representation <i>∧→</i> two's complement represe	entation