CPPYY, mmap, ctypes, keystone, & running away from the compiler:

Compile the interpreter once, and bind instead



https://cppyy.readthedocs.io/en/latest/#

cppyy is an automatic, run-time, Python-C++ bindings generator, for calling C++ from Python and Python from C++. Run-time generation enables detailed specialization for higher performance, lazy loading for reduced memory use in large scale projects, Python-side cross-inheritance and callbacks for working with C++ frameworks, run-time template instantiation, automatic object downcasting, exception mapping, and interactive exploration of C++ libraries. cppyy delivers this without any language extensions, intermediate languages, or the need for boiler-plate hand-written code. For design and performance, see this PyHPC'16 paper, albeit that the CPython/cppyy performance has been vastly improved since.

cppyy is based on Cling, the C++ interpreter, to match Python's dynamism, interactivity, and runtime behavior. Consider this session, showing dynamic, interactive, mixing of C++ and Python features (there are more examples throughout the documentation and in the tutorial):

So, what is the benefit of using something like this?

- Reduced compiling to a "one and done compiling the interpreter"
- Less bindings/wrappers
- Ability to use python with c++ project files
- A way to use c/c++ code without compiling it
- A way to bridge between other languages, c/c++, & python
- Direct access to c/c++ functions
- Direct access to python annotated "c/c++ functions" (lambdas or python functions
- A ground up approach to optimization that doesn't rely on compiling a specific way

What do I need to do such myself?

- Python
- Cppyy
- c/c++/assembly code you're planning to use
- Other needed files your project uses
- A c/c++ compiler you don't mind removing after install
- Docker (maybe)

Example 1:

```
import cppyy, numba
from ctypes import CFUNCTYPE, c_int
cppyy.cppdef("""
extern "C" {
    int (*m)(int);
int m2(int c){
    return m(c):
m_data=0x1000
@CFUNCTYPE(c_int,c_int)
@numba.jit(nogil=True, nopython=True)
def add int py(i):
    return m data + i
cppyy.gbl.m = add_int_py
print(cppyy.gbl.m2(-3))
```

```
(Re-)building pre-compiled headers (options: -02 -march=native); this may take a minute ...
/home/runner/cppyy2numba2ctypesforreals/venv/lib/python3.8/site-packages/cppyy_backend/loader.py:139: UserWarning:
No precompiled header available (failed to build); this may impact performance.
  warnings.warn('No precompiled header available (%s); this may impact performance.' % msg)
4093
```

- Types are "matching"....
- Things can be assigned from python to c++?...?
- C++ interpreter in python
- Function & variable passing

Example 2: (https://code.activestate.com/recipes/579037-how-to-execute-x86-64-bit-assembly-code-directly-f/)

```
import subprocess, os, tempfile
from ctypes import
PAGE SIZE = 4096
class AssemblerFunction(object):
 def __init__(self, code, ret_type, *arg_types):
   # Run Nasm
   fd, source = tempfile.mkstemp(".S", "assembly", os.getcwd())
   os.write(fd, code)
   os.close(fd)
   target = os.path.splitext(source)[0]
   subprocess.check_call(["nasm", source])
   os.unlink(source)
   binary = file(target, "rb").read()
   os.unlink(target)
   bin_len = len(binary)
   # align our code on page boundary.
   self.code buffer = create string buffer(PAGE SIZE*2+bin len)
   addr = (addressof(self.code_buffer) + PAGE_SIZE) & (~(PAGE_SIZE-1))
   memmove(addr, binary, bin_len)
   # Change memory protection
   self.mprotect = cdll.LoadLibrary("libc.so.6").mprotect
   mp_ret = self.mprotect(addr, bin_len, 4) # execute only.
   if mp_ret: raise OSError("Unable to change memory protection")
   self.func = CFUNCTYPE(ret_type, *arg_types)(addr)
   self.addr = addr
   self.bin len = bin len
 def __call__(self, *args):
   return self.func(*args)
 def __del__(self):
   # Revert memory protection
   if hasattr(self, "mprotect"):
     self.mprotect(self.addr, self.bin_len, 3)
if name == " main ":
 add func = """ BITS 64
                   mov rax, rdi
                                 ; Move the first parameter
                   add rax, rsi ; add the second parameter
                                      : rax will be returned
 Add = AssemblerFunction(add_func, c_int, c_int, c_int)
 print Add(1, 2)
```

- Compile less, annotate & bind more
- A bit cluntier but still works
- Manual page & memory protection
- More potential for error
- Anonymous file creation on disk, thrice
- "Execute only"?

Example 3 (https://stackoverflow.com/questions/6040932/executing-assembler-code-with-python)

```
import cppyy
from keystone.keystone import Ks
from keystone.keystone_const import KS_ARCH_X86, KS_MODE_64
from mmap import mmap, PAGESIZE, PROT_READ, PROT_WRITE, PROT_EXEC
from ctypes import c_int, c_void_p, addressof, CFUNCTYPE
def AssemblyFunction(i):
    ks=Ks(KS_ARCH_X86, KS_MODE_64)
   encoding, count = ks.asm(i)
   del ks, count, i
    return bytes(encoding)
cppyy.cppdef("""
extern "C" {
    int (*f)(int);
int main(void) {
    return f(42);
buf = mmap(-1, PAGESIZE, prot=PROT READ|PROT WRITE|PROT EXEC)
buf.write(AssemblyFunction(b"""
 mov eax, edi
  add eax, 1
 ret
"""))
cppyy.qbl.f=CFUNCTYPE(c int, c int)(addressof(c void p.from buffer(buf)))
print(cppyy.gbl.main())
buf.close()
```

```
43
real 0m1.750s
user 0m0.777s
sys 0m0.107s
```

- Why would you compile something that you can interpret & execute?
- Why add the unneeded extra steps?
- What happened to "compile once and run anywhere"?

What else can we use it for besides using c++ & python?

- Why not the JVM? Embedding it in c/c++ & python?
- Why not include the JRE code so you can run it in python?

https://github.com/openjdk/jdk/blob/13158cb52db723be4932d815bdb0a17245259c84/src/java.base/share/native/libjli/java.c https://github.com/openjdk/jdk/blob/13158cb52db723be4932d815bdb0a17245259c84/src/java.base/share/native/launcher/main.c

Why not use a copy of the windows & linux kernels' source code with it?

https://github1s.com/zhuhuibeishadiao/ntoskrnl/blob/master/Init/initos.c https://github1s.com/torvalds/linux