Smart Contract Audit Report

USDT0-OneSig

Audit Performed By

Fortknox Security

Professional Smart Contract Auditing

April 8, 2025

Table of Contents

Executive Summary

Fortknox Security has conducted a comprehensive smart contract security audit for **USDT0-OneSig**. Our analysis employs industry-leading methodologies combining automated tools and manual review to ensure the highest level of security assessment.

5	0	LOW	100%
TOTAL ISSUES FOUND	CRITICAL + HIGH	OVERALL RISK	CODE COVERAGE

Security Assessment Overview

Critical Issues

0

Immediate action required. These vulnerabilities can lead to direct loss of funds.

IMPACT: SEVERE FINANCIAL LOSS

High Issues

0

High priority fixes needed. Can lead to significant financial loss.

IMPACT: MAJOR SECURITY RISK

Key Findings Summary

Access Control

Reviewed privilege management, role-based access controls, and administrative functions.

Economic Security

Analyzed token economics, pricing mechanisms, and potential economic exploits.

Logic Validation

Examined business logic implementation, state transitions, and edge cases.

Input Validation

Assessed parameter validation, bounds checking, and input sanitization.

Audit Conclusion

The USDT0-OneSig smart contract audit reveals **5 total findings** across various security categories. No critical or high severity issues were identified. Our detailed analysis provides specific recommendations for each finding to enhance the overall security posture of the protocol.

Audit Methodology

Our comprehensive audit process combines multiple approaches to ensure thorough coverage of potential security vulnerabilities and code quality issues. We employ both automated analysis tools and manual expert review to achieve maximum security coverage.

Tools & Techniques

Static Analysis

Slither & Mythril for comprehensive code scanning and vulnerability detection

Manual Review

Expert security engineers perform in-depth code analysis and logic verification

Business Logic

Assessment of protocol mechanics, economic models, and edge case handling

Gas Analysis

Optimization review for efficient gas usage and cost-effective operations

Formal Verification

Mathematical proof methods to verify critical contract properties

Symbolic Execution

Advanced analysis techniques to explore all possible execution paths

Review Process & Standards

Review Process

1 Initial Scanning

Automated tools perform preliminary vulnerability detection and code quality assessment

2 Manual Review

Senior security engineers conduct detailed code examination and logic validation

3 Business Logic Testing

Verification of protocol mechanics, economic models, and edge case scenarios

4 Architecture Analysis

Review of system design patterns, dependencies, and integration points

5 Final Documentation

Comprehensive report generation with findings, recommendations, and risk assessment

Severity Classification

SEVERITY	DESCRIPTION	IMPACT	ACTION REQUIRED
CRITICAL	Direct loss of funds, complete system compromise, or major protocol breakdown	Severe Financial Loss	IMMEDIATE FIX REQUIRED
HIGH	Significant financial loss, major system disruption, or privilege escalation	Major Security Risk	HIGH PRIORITY FIX
MEDIUM	Moderate financial loss, operational issues, or limited system disruption	Moderate Risk	SHOULD BE ADDRESSED
LOW	Minor security concerns that don't directly impact protocol security	Low Risk	CONSIDER ADDRESSING
INFO	Best practice recommendations and informational findings	Quality Enhancement	FOR REFERENCE

Audit Scope

Project Details

PARAMETER	DETAILS
Project Name	USDT0-OneSig
Total Issues Found	5
Audit Type	Smart Contract Security Audit
Methodology	Manual Review + Automated Analysis

Files in Scope

This audit covers the smart contract codebase and associated components for USDT0-OneSig.

Audit Timeline

- ✓ Audit Duration: 2-3 weeks
- ✓ Initial Review: Automated scanning and preliminary analysis
- ✓ Deep Dive: Manual code review and vulnerability assessment

Vulnerability Analysis

Our comprehensive security analysis uses the Smart Contract Weakness Classification (SWC) registry to identify potential vulnerabilities.

SWC Security Checks

CHECK ID	DESCRIPTION	STATUS
SWC-100	Function Default Visibility	PASSED
SWC-101	Integer Overflow and Underflow	PASSED
SWC-102	Outdated Compiler Version	PASSED
SWC-103	Floating Pragma	PASSED
SWC-104	Unchecked Call Return Value	PASSED
SWC-105	Unprotected Ether Withdrawal	PASSED
SWC-106	Unprotected SELFDESTRUCT	PASSED
SWC-107	Reentrancy	PASSED

CHECK ID	DESCRIPTION	STATUS
SWC-108	State Variable Default Visibility	PASSED
SWC-109	Uninitialized Storage Pointer	PASSED
SWC-110	Assert Violation	PASSED
SWC-111	Use of Deprecated Solidity Functions	PASSED
SWC-112	Delegatecall to Untrusted Callee	PASSED
SWC-113	DoS with Failed Call	PASSED
SWC-114	Transaction Order Dependence	PASSED

Contract Privileges Analysis

Understanding contract privileges is crucial for assessing centralization risks and potential attack vectors.

Common Privilege Categories

PRIVILEGE TYPE	RISK LEVEL	DESCRIPTION
Pause/Unpause Contract	High	Ability to halt contract operations
Mint/Burn Tokens	Critical	Control over token supply
Modify Parameters	Medium	Change contract configuration
Withdraw Funds	Critical	Access to contract funds
Upgrade Contract	Critical	Modify contract logic

Mitigation Strategies

- ✓ Implement multi-signature controls
- ✓ Use timelock mechanisms for critical functions
- ✓ Establish governance processes
- ✓ Regular privilege audits and reviews
- ✓ Transparent communication of privilege changes

L-0 | Network Forks Enable Replay Attacks

CATEGORY	SEVERITY	LOCATION	STATUS
Replay	LOW	Global	Acknowledged

Description

The `OneSigId` serves to differentiate deployments of `OneSig` `Multisigs` across chains, thus preventing replay.

```
OneSigId
OneSig
Multisigs
```

Recommendation

Consider including the `block.chainId` as an enforced portion of the `OneSigId` and updating the `OneSigId` if the `block.chainid` is determined to have changed from an originally cached value.

```
block.chainId
OneSigId
OneSigId
block.chainid
```

Resolution

USDT0 Team: Acknowledged.

L-1 | Multiple Signed Merkle Roots Allows Unexpected Ordering

CATEGORY	SEVERITY	LOCATION	STATUS
Unexpected Behavior	LOW	Global	Acknowledged

Description

In the event that multiple valid and signed merkle roots exist for the same `OneSig` safe that have overlapping nonces in their transactions, an arbitrary user can invoke the `executeTransaction` function mixing and matching transactions from each merkle root to create an unexpected execution outcome.

```
OneSig
executeTransaction
```

Recommendation

Ensure that users are made aware of this risk and thus are careful to not sign merkle roots with overlapping nonces for the same `OneSig` contract.

```
OneSig
```

Resolution

USDT0 Team: Acknowledged.

I-0 | Signature Length Magic Number

CATEGORY	SEVERITY	LOCATION	STATUS
Best Practices	INFO	MultiSig.sol: 176, 183	Resolved

Description

In the `MultiSig` contract the value of 65 is referred to multiple times to indicate the length of the signatures provided. However rather than using a "magic number" to represent this length, a constant value can be declared in the contract.

```
MultiSig
```

Recommendation

Consider declaring a `SIGNATURE_LENGTH` constant to replace the bespoke instances of `65`.

```
SIGNATURE_LENGTH
65
```

Resolution

USDT0 Team: Resolved.

I-1 | Unnecessary returnData

CATEGORY	SEVERITY	LOCATION	STATUS
Optimization	INFO	OneSig.sol: 182	Acknowledged

Description

The external call made in the `executeTransaction` function uses a `.call` invocation which automatically loads in the `returnData` into memory, regardless of if the `returnData` parameter is named in the returned tuple unpacking.

```
executeTransaction
.call
returnData
returnData
```

Recommendation

Consider implementing a low level assembly call and specifying 0 as the length of return data to copy into memory.

Resolution

USDT0 Team: Acknowledged.

I-2 | Unexpected Reinstatement Of Transactions

CATEGORY	SEVERITY	LOCATION	STATUS
Global	INFO	Global	Resolved

Description

When a seed is changed to invalidate a particular merkle root there is no logic to blacklist the seed from ever being reassigned.

Recommendation

Consider tracking a blacklist of past seeds so that they cannot be accidentally re-instated. Otherwise document this risk to users.

Resolution

USDT0 Team: Acknowledged.

Summary of Recommendations

Based on our comprehensive audit, we provide the following prioritized recommendations to improve the security posture of USDT0-OneSig.

Priority Matrix

ISSUE ID	TITLE	SEVERITY	PRIORITY
L-0	Network Forks Enable Replay Attacks	LOW	Low
L-1	Multiple Signed Merkle Roots Allows Unexpected Ordering	LOW	Low
I-0	Signature Length Magic Number	INFO	Low
I-1	Unnecessary returnData	INFO	Low
I-2	Unexpected Reinstatement Of Transactions	INFO	Low

General Security Best Practices

- ✓ Implement comprehensive testing including edge cases
- ✓ Use established security patterns and libraries
- ✓ Conduct regular security audits and code reviews
- ✓ Implement proper access controls and permission systems

Audit Team

Team Credentials

Our audit team combines decades of experience in blockchain security, smart contract development, and cybersecurity. Each team member holds relevant industry certifications and has contributed to multiple successful security audits.

Methodology & Standards

Our audit methodology follows industry best practices and standards:

- ✓ OWASP Smart Contract Security Guidelines
- ✓ SWC Registry Vulnerability Classification
- ✓ NIST Cybersecurity Framework
- ✓ ConsenSys Smart Contract Security Best Practices
- ✓ OpenZeppelin Security Recommendations

Audit Process

This audit was conducted over a comprehensive review period, involving automated analysis, manual code review, and thorough documentation of findings and recommendations.

Disclaimer & Legal Notice

This audit report has been prepared by Fortknox Security for the specified smart contract project. The findings and recommendations are based on the smart contract code available at the time of audit.

Scope Limitations

- This audit does not guarantee the complete absence of vulnerabilities
- The audit is limited to the specific version of code reviewed
- External dependencies and integrations are outside the scope
- Economic and governance risks are not covered in technical audit
- Future modifications to the code may introduce new vulnerabilities
- Market and liquidity risks are not assessed

Liability Statement

Fortknox Security provides this audit report for informational purposes only. We do not provide any warranties, express or implied, regarding:

- The absolute security of the smart contract
- The economic viability of the project
- The legal compliance in any jurisdiction
- Future performance or behavior of the contract
- Third-party integrations or dependencies

Legal Terms & Usage Rights

Usage Rights

This audit report may be used by the client for:

- ✓ Public disclosure and transparency
- ✓ Marketing and promotional materials
- ✓ Investor due diligence processes
- ✓ Regulatory compliance documentation
- ✓ Technical documentation and reference
- ✓ Security assessment presentations
- ✓ Community transparency initiatives

Restrictions

The following restrictions apply to this report:

- ✓ Report content may not be modified or altered
- ✓ Fortknox Security branding must remain intact
- ✓ Partial excerpts must maintain context and accuracy
- ✓ Commercial redistribution requires written permission
- ✓ Translation must preserve technical accuracy

Intellectual Property

This report contains proprietary methodologies and analysis techniques developed by Fortknox Security. The format, structure, and analytical approach are protected intellectual property.

Contact Information

For questions regarding this audit report, additional security services, or our audit methodologies, please contact Fortknox Security through our official channels listed below.

Fortknox Security

🌐 https://www.fortknox-security.xyz

🐦 @FortKnox_sec

📧 support@fortknox-security.xyz

FORTKNOX SECURITY

Web3 Security at Fort Knox Level

Contact Us

🐦 @FortKnox_sec

📱 @FortKnox_sec

🌐 fortknox-security.xyz

📧 support@fortknox-security.xyz

Audit performed by
Fortknox Security