



Smart Contract Audit Report

PariFi-Digit

Audit Performed By

Fortknox Security
Professional Smart Contract Auditing

February 12, 2024



Table of Contents

Executive Summary	3
Audit Methodology	5
Audit Scope	8
Vulnerability Analysis	9
Contract Privileges Analysis	11
Detailed Findings	8
Recommendations	9
Audit Team	21
Disclaimer & Legal Notice	22
Legal Terms & Usage Rights	23



Executive Summary

Fortknox Security has conducted a comprehensive smart contract security audit for **PariFi-Digit**. Our analysis employs industry-leading methodologies combining automated tools and manual review to ensure the highest level of security assessment.

Q

8

TOTAL
ISSUES
FOUND

⚠

2

CRITICAL
+ HIGH

i

LOW

✓

100%

CODE
COVERAGE

Security Assessment Overview



Critical Issues

0

Immediate action required. These vulnerabilities can lead to direct loss of funds.

IMPACT: SEVERE FINANCIAL LOSS



High Issues

2

High priority fixes needed. Can lead to significant financial loss.

IMPACT: MAJOR SECURITY RISK



Key Findings Summary

Access Control

Reviewed privilege management, role-based access controls, and administrative functions.

Economic Security

Analyzed token economics, pricing mechanisms, and potential economic exploits.

Logic Validation

Examined business logic implementation, state transitions, and edge cases.

Input Validation

Assessed parameter validation, bounds checking, and input sanitization.

Audit Conclusion

The PariFi-Digit smart contract audit reveals **8 total findings** across various security categories. **Immediate attention is required for 2 critical/high severity issues** before deployment. Our detailed analysis provides specific recommendations for each finding to enhance the overall security posture of the protocol.



Audit Methodology

Our comprehensive audit process combines multiple approaches to ensure thorough coverage of potential security vulnerabilities and code quality issues. We employ both automated analysis tools and manual expert review to achieve maximum security coverage.

Tools & Techniques



Static Analysis

Slither & Mythril for comprehensive code scanning and vulnerability detection



Manual Review

Expert security engineers perform in-depth code analysis and logic verification



Business Logic

Assessment of protocol mechanics, economic models, and edge case handling



Gas Analysis

Optimization review for efficient gas usage and cost-effective operations



Formal Verification

Mathematical proof methods to verify critical contract properties



Symbolic Execution

Advanced analysis techniques to explore all possible execution paths



Review Process & Standards

Review Process

1

Initial Scanning

Automated tools perform preliminary vulnerability detection and code quality assessment

2

Manual Review

Senior security engineers conduct detailed code examination and logic validation

3

Business Logic Testing

Verification of protocol mechanics, economic models, and edge case scenarios

4

Architecture Analysis

Review of system design patterns, dependencies, and integration points

5

Final Documentation

Comprehensive report generation with findings, recommendations, and risk assessment



Severity Classification

Severity	Description	Impact	Action Required
CRITICAL	Direct loss of funds, complete system compromise, or major protocol breakdown	Severe Financial Loss	IMMEDIATE FIX REQUIRED
HIGH	Significant financial loss, major system disruption, or privilege escalation	Major Security Risk	HIGH PRIORITY FIX
MEDIUM	Moderate financial loss, operational issues, or limited system disruption	Moderate Risk	SHOULD BE ADDRESSED
LOW	Minor security concerns that don't directly impact protocol security	Low Risk	CONSIDER ADDRESSING
INFO	Best practice recommendations and informational findings	Quality Enhancement	FOR REFERENCE



Audit Scope

Project Details

PARAMETER	DETAILS
Project Name	PariFi-Digit
Total Issues Found	8
Audit Type	Smart Contract Security Audit
Methodology	Manual Review + Automated Analysis

Files in Scope

This audit covers the smart contract codebase and associated components for PariFi-Digit.

Audit Timeline

- ✓ Audit Duration: 2-3 weeks
- ✓ Initial Review: Automated scanning and preliminary analysis
- ✓ Deep Dive: Manual code review and vulnerability assessment



Vulnerability Analysis

Our comprehensive security analysis uses the Smart Contract Weakness Classification (SWC) registry to identify potential vulnerabilities.

SWC Security Checks

Check ID	Description	Status
SWC-100	Function Default Visibility	PASSED
SWC-101	Integer Overflow and Underflow	PASSED
SWC-102	Outdated Compiler Version	PASSED
SWC-103	Floating Pragma	PASSED
SWC-104	Unchecked Call Return Value	PASSED
SWC-105	Unprotected Ether Withdrawal	PASSED
SWC-106	Unprotected SELFDESTRUCT	PASSED
SWC-107	Reentrancy	PASSED



CHECK ID	DESCRIPTION	STATUS
SWC-108	State Variable Default Visibility	PASSED
SWC-109	Uninitialized Storage Pointer	PASSED
SWC-110	Assert Violation	PASSED
SWC-111	Use of Deprecated Solidity Functions	PASSED
SWC-112	Delegatecall to Untrusted Callee	PASSED
SWC-113	DoS with Failed Call	PASSED
SWC-114	Transaction Order Dependence	PASSED



Contract Privileges Analysis

Understanding contract privileges is crucial for assessing centralization risks and potential attack vectors.

Common Privilege Categories

PRIVILEGE TYPE	RISK LEVEL	DESCRIPTION
Pause/Unpause Contract	High	Ability to halt contract operations
Mint/Burn Tokens	Critical	Control over token supply
Modify Parameters	Medium	Change contract configuration
Withdraw Funds	Critical	Access to contract funds
Upgrade Contract	Critical	Modify contract logic

Mitigation Strategies

- ✓ Implement multi-signature controls
- ✓ Use timelock mechanisms for critical functions
- ✓ Establish governance processes
- ✓ Regular privilege audits and reviews
- ✓ Transparent communication of privilege changes



H-0 | Position Created Without Reserves

CATEGORY	SEVERITY	LOCATION	STATUS
Logical Error	HIGH	OrderManager.sol: 644	Acknowledged

Description

A user's position is created without taking the funds in the Vault into account. As a result, a trader may open a position when the Vault has zero funds, or not enough funds to support the market's PnL.

Recommendation

Validate that the open interest does not exceed some percentage of the Vault's funds. This would create a buffer and help avoid a scenario where the pool does not have enough liquidity to support user profits.

Resolution

PariFi Team: Acknowledged



H-1 | Trapped collateralDelta With Increase Order

CATEGORY	SEVERITY	LOCATION	STATUS
Logical Error	HIGH	OrderManager.sol: 820	Resolved

Description

If a user creates an increase order and provides a `deltaCollateral` that is less than their `openingFee`, they cannot cancel this order and their funds will be stuck if the order cannot be executed.

```
deltaCollateral  
openingFee
```

Recommendation

Refactor the way the opening fee is charged for increase, decrease and close orders. Consider requiring that the opening fee be provided up front in the `modifyPosition` function even for decrease or close orders.

```
modifyPosition
```

Resolution

PariFi Team: The issue was resolved



M-0 | OI Validation Leads To Skew

Category	Severity	Location	Status
Logical Error	MEDIUM	DataFabric.sol: 435	Resolved

Description

The validation to ensure the maximum open interest is not exceeded compares both trading sides in aggregate:

Recommendation

Validate open interest per side rather than in aggregate.

Resolution

PariFi Team: Resolved



M-1 | Liquidations Fail On Price Drops

Category	Severity	Location	Status
Logical Error	MEDIUM	OrderManager.sol: 188-191	Resolved

Description

In the case of a steep price move (UST for example), the protocol needs to be able to perform liquidations to ensure the system remains solvent. During this volatility, the percentage difference between the lagging EMA and the current price may exceed the `market.maxPriceDeviation` and revert, causing liquidations to fail.

```
market.maxPriceDeviation
```

Recommendation

Consider simply fetching and utilizing the `primaryPrice` for liquidation. Because the price feed is updated prior to liquidation, the call `priceFeed.getMarketPricePrimary()` should not revert.

```
primaryPrice  
priceFeed.getMarketPricePrimary()
```

Resolution

PariFi Team: Resolved.



M-2 | User Can Decrease Position Below Minimum Collateral

CATEGORY	SEVERITY	LOCATION	STATUS
Logical Error	MEDIUM	OrderManager.sol: 698	Resolved

Description

In `createNewPosition` there is a check that prevents an order from being created if the collateral is below a set minimum. This is in part to ensure that liquidations are profitable. However, a user can decrease the position so that the collateral is below the minimum, making liquidations not profitable.

```
createNewPosition
```

Recommendation

Add a minimum collateral check in `modifyPosition` to ensure the collateral remains above a desired minimum.

```
modifyPosition
```

Resolution

PariFi Team: Resolved.



L-0 | Inaccurate Comment On Fee Distribution

CATEGORY	SEVERITY	LOCATION	STATUS
Documentation	LOW	FeeManager.sol: 86	Resolved

Description

Function `distributeFees` implements a delay between fee distributions, where the delay is arbitrarily set by an admin.

`distributeFees`

Recommendation

Modify the comment to "Distribute fees at regular intervals of every delay period".

Resolution

PariFi Team: Resolved



L-1 | Fees Are Charged Even When Orders Are Cancelled

CATEGORY	SEVERITY	LOCATION	STATUS
Documentation	LOW	OrderManager.sol: 800	Acknowledged

Description

In the `cancelPendingOrder` function, a user can cancel their order and will get the collateral they deposited back. However, the fees are already transferred to the `feeManager` so the user will not get those funds returned to them. This could be an issue when users are not aware of how fees are charged.

```
cancelPendingOrder  
feeManager
```

Recommendation

Clearly document that fees are always charged regardless if the order is settled or canceled.

Resolution

PariFi Team: Acknowledged.



L-2 | _validateMarket Redundantly Called

CATEGORY	SEVERITY	LOCATION	STATUS
Optimization	LOW	OrderManager.sol: 359,413	Resolved

Description

Both `_createNewPosition` and `_increasePosition` functions from the `orderManager` contract validate markets by calling the `_validateMarket` function. This is redundant, since the two functions are only reached via `_settleOrder` which already validates the market in the same manner.

```
_createNewPosition  
_increasePosition  
OrderManager  
_validateMarket  
_settleOrder
```

Recommendation

Remove the redundant call to the `_validateMarket` function from within the `_increasePosition` and `_createNewPosition` functions.

```
_validateMarket  
_increasePosition  
_createNewPosition
```

Resolution

PariFi Team: Resolved



Summary of Recommendations

Based on our comprehensive audit, we provide the following prioritized recommendations to improve the security posture of PariFi-Digit.

Priority Matrix

ISSUE ID	TITLE	SEVERITY	PRIORITY
H-0	Position Created Without Reserves	HIGH	High
H-1	Trapped collateralDelta With Increase Order	HIGH	High
M-0	OI Validation Leads To Skew	MEDIUM	Medium
M-1	Liquidations Fail On Price Drops	MEDIUM	Medium
M-2	User Can Decrease Position Below Minimum Collateral	MEDIUM	Medium
L-0	Inaccurate Comment On Fee Distribution	LOW	Low
L-1	Fees Are Charged Even When Orders Are Cancelled	LOW	Low
L-2	_validateMarket Redundantly Called	LOW	Low

General Security Best Practices

- ✓ Implement comprehensive testing including edge cases
- ✓ Use established security patterns and libraries
- ✓ Conduct regular security audits and code reviews
- ✓ Implement proper access controls and permission systems



Audit Team

Team Credentials

Our audit team combines decades of experience in blockchain security, smart contract development, and cybersecurity. Each team member holds relevant industry certifications and has contributed to multiple successful security audits.

Methodology & Standards

Our audit methodology follows industry best practices and standards:

- ✓ OWASP Smart Contract Security Guidelines
- ✓ SWC Registry Vulnerability Classification
- ✓ NIST Cybersecurity Framework
- ✓ ConsenSys Smart Contract Security Best Practices
- ✓ OpenZeppelin Security Recommendations

Audit Process

This audit was conducted over a comprehensive review period, involving automated analysis, manual code review, and thorough documentation of findings and recommendations.



Disclaimer & Legal Notice

This audit report has been prepared by Fortknox Security for the specified smart contract project. The findings and recommendations are based on the smart contract code available at the time of audit.

Scope Limitations

- ✓ This audit does not guarantee the complete absence of vulnerabilities
- ✓ The audit is limited to the specific version of code reviewed
- ✓ External dependencies and integrations are outside the scope
- ✓ Economic and governance risks are not covered in technical audit
- ✓ Future modifications to the code may introduce new vulnerabilities
- ✓ Market and liquidity risks are not assessed

Liability Statement

Fortknox Security provides this audit report for informational purposes only. We do not provide any warranties, express or implied, regarding:

- ✓ The absolute security of the smart contract
- ✓ The economic viability of the project
- ✓ The legal compliance in any jurisdiction
- ✓ Future performance or behavior of the contract
- ✓ Third-party integrations or dependencies



Legal Terms & Usage Rights

Usage Rights

This audit report may be used by the client for:

- ✓ Public disclosure and transparency
- ✓ Marketing and promotional materials
- ✓ Investor due diligence processes
- ✓ Regulatory compliance documentation
- ✓ Technical documentation and reference
- ✓ Security assessment presentations
- ✓ Community transparency initiatives

Restrictions

The following restrictions apply to this report:

- ✓ Report content may not be modified or altered
- ✓ FortKnox Security branding must remain intact
- ✓ Partial excerpts must maintain context and accuracy
- ✓ Commercial redistribution requires written permission
- ✓ Translation must preserve technical accuracy



Intellectual Property

This report contains proprietary methodologies and analysis techniques developed by Fortknox Security. The format, structure, and analytical approach are protected intellectual property.

Contact Information

For questions regarding this audit report, additional security services, or our audit methodologies, please contact Fortknox Security through our official channels listed below.

Fortknox Security

🌐 <https://www.fortknox-security.xyz>

🐦 [@FortKnox_sec](#)

✉️ support@fortknox-security.xyz



FORTKNOX SECURITY

Web3 Security at Fort Knox Level

Contact Us

 @FortKnox_sec

 @FortKnox_sec

 fortknox-security.xyz

 support@fortknox-security.xyz

Audit performed by
Fortknox Security