EEE413-DATA COMMUNICATION-LAB1

Author: Zhipeng Ye

Student ID: 1926908

Faculty: MSc Multimedia Telecommunications

Date: 12th December 2019

Introduction

In the class, we have studied M/M/N queuing which is a theory about balancing efficiency and economy. As we all know, the more number of servers become, the more efficient the system will be. However the cost of queuing system will raise with the increase of number of servers. Therefore, scientists and engineers set up M/M/N queuing theory to research and draw up solution of this domain.

Firstly, the first M represents that the arrival rate of consumer tends to Markov process (memoryless) and the second M means that service time submits to Markov process (memoryless). Furthermore, N represents the number of service.

Suppose N means steady-state number of customers, λ means steady-state arrival rate, T means steady-state customer delay.

Then $N=\lambda \cdot T$.

The possibility of the number of costumer is n in given time t. tends to poisson process. Here is the reason.

$$egin{aligned} P_0(t,t+\Delta t) &= 1 - \lambda \cdot \Delta t + o(\Delta t) \ P_1(t,t+\Delta t) &= \lambda \cdot \Delta t + o(\Delta t) \ P_{n \geq 2}(t,t+\Delta t) &= o(\Delta t) \end{aligned}$$

We can use these formula to demonstrate that $P_n(t)=\frac{(\lambda t)^n}{n!}e^{-\lambda t}$. Then the interval time submits to exponential distribution. This is because that $P\{no\ costumber\ arrived\ at\ [0,t)\}=P_0(t)=1-e^{-\lambda t}$. The function of possibility density is $f(t)=\lambda e^{-\lambda t}, t>0$.

Thus, the number of costumers who leaved tend to possian process and the interval time of costumer leaving tend to the exponential distribution.

For M/M/1 system, the possibility of numbers of costumers are at system, $p_n=p_0\cdot \rho^n,\ \rho=\frac{\lambda}{u}, p<1.$ Moreover, $p_0=1-\rho$, $p_n=(1-\rho)\cdot p^n$.

Then, the M/M/1 has below properties.

M/M/1 Queueing System: Main Results

Average number of customers in the system

$$\overline{N} = \frac{\rho}{1 - \rho} = \frac{\lambda}{\mu - \lambda}$$

Average delay

$$\overline{T} = \frac{\overline{N}}{\lambda} = \frac{1}{\mu - \lambda}$$

Average waiting time in the queue

$$\overline{W} = \frac{1}{\mu - \lambda} - \frac{1}{\mu} = \frac{\rho}{\mu - \lambda}$$

Average number of customers in the queue

$$\overline{N_Q} = \lambda \overline{W} = \frac{\rho^2}{1 - \rho}$$

And the average delay will submit this curve.

M/M/1 Queueing System: Main Results

Average number of customers in the system

$$\overline{N} = \frac{\rho}{1 - \rho} = \frac{\lambda}{\mu - \lambda}$$

Average delay

$$\overline{T} = \frac{\overline{N}}{\lambda} = \frac{1}{\mu - \lambda}$$

Average waiting time in the queue

$$\overline{W} = \frac{1}{\mu - \lambda} - \frac{1}{\mu} = \frac{\rho}{\mu - \lambda}$$

Average number of customers in the queue

$$\overline{N_Q} = \lambda \overline{W} = \frac{\rho^2}{1 - \rho}$$

The M/M/N has below properties

▶ Because $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n = 1$, we obtain

$$p_0 = \frac{1}{\sum_{n=0}^{m-1} \frac{(m\rho)^n}{n!} + \frac{(m\rho)^m}{m!} \frac{1}{1-\rho}}$$

We first obtain p_{m+} , which will be used in deriving main results:

$$p_{m+} \triangleq P\{N \ge m\} = \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} p_n = \frac{(m\rho)^m}{m!(1-\rho)} p_0$$

Average delay

$$\overline{T} = \overline{W} + \frac{1}{\mu} = \frac{\rho}{\lambda (1 - \rho)} p_{m+} + \frac{1}{\mu}$$

Simulation

Simulate 3 case, as mentioned in the given task instruction.

Our experimental value may submit to these formula.

M/M/1

Code

```
#!/usr/bin/env python
# -*- coding: utf-8 -*-
##
# @file
          new mmN.py
# @author Kyeong Soo (Joseph) Kim <kyeongsoo.kim@gmail.com>
# @date 2019-10-29
# @brief Simulate M/M/1 queueing system
# @remarks Copyright (C) 2019 Kyeong Soo (Joseph) Kim. All rights reserved.
# @remarks This software is written and distributed under the GNU General
           Public License Version 2 (http://www.gnu.org/licenses/gpl-
2.0.html).
           You must not remove this notice, or any other, from this
software.
import argparse
import random
import numpy as np
import simpy
def source(env, mean_ia_time, mean_srv_time, server, delays, number, trace):
    """Generates packets with exponential interarrival time."""
    for i in range(number):
        ia_time = random.expovariate(1.0 / mean_ia_time)
        srv_time = random.expovariate(1.0 / mean_srv_time)
        pkt = packet(env, 'Packet-%d' % i, server, srv_time, delays, trace)
        env.process(pkt)
        yield env.timeout(ia_time)
def packet(env, name, server, service time, delays, trace):
    """Requests a server, is served for a given service_time, and leaves the
server."""
    arrv_time = env.now
    if trace:
        print("t={0:.4E}s: {1:s} arrived".format(arrv time, name))
```

```
with server.request() as request:
        yield request
        yield env.timeout(service_time)
        delay = env.now - arrv time
        delays.append(delay)
        if trace:
            print("t={0:.4E}s: {1:s} served for {2:.4E}s".format(env.now,
name, service_time))
            print("t={0:.4E}s: {1:s} delayed for {2:.4E}s".format(env.now,
name, delay))
def run simulation(num servers, mean ia time, mean srv time,
num_packets=1000, random_seed=1234, trace=True):
    """Runs a simulation and returns statistics."""
    if trace:
        print('M/M/' + str(num_servers) + ' queue\n')
    random.seed(random seed)
    env = simpy.Environment()
    # start processes and run
    server = simpy.Resource(env, capacity=num_servers)
    delays = []
    env.process(source(env, mean_ia_time,
                       mean srv time, server, delays, number=num packets,
trace=trace))
   env.run()
    # return mean delay
   return np.mean(delays)
if __name__ == "__main__":
    parser = argparse.ArgumentParser()
    parser.add argument(
        "-M",
        "--num servers",
        help="number of servers; default is 1",
        default=1,
        type=int) # for extension to M/M/m
    parser.add_argument(
        "-A",
        "--arrival_rate",
        help="packet arrival rate [packets/s]; default is 1.0",
        default=1.0,
        type=float)
    parser.add_argument(
        "-S",
        "--service rate",
        help="packet service rate [packets/s]; default is 10.0",
        default=0.1,
        type=float)
    parser.add_argument(
        "-N",
        "--num_packets",
```

```
help="number of packets to generate; default is 1000",
    default=1000,
    type=int)
parser.add_argument(
    "-R",
    "--random seed",
    help="seed for random number generation; default is 1234",
    default=1234,
    type=int)
parser.add_argument('--trace', dest='trace', action='store_true')
parser.add argument('--no-trace', dest='trace', action='store false')
parser.set defaults(trace=True)
args = parser.parse_args()
# set variables using command-line arguments
num_servers = args.num_servers # for extension to M/M/m
mean_ia_time = 1.0 / args.arrival_rate
mean_srv_time = 1.0 / args.service_rate
num packets = args.num packets
random seed = args.random seed
trace = args.trace
# run a simulation
mean_delay = run_simulation(num_servers, mean_ia_time, mean_srv_time,
                            num packets, random seed,
                            trace)
# print arrival rate and mean delay
print("{0:.4E}\t{1:.4E}".format(args.arrival_rate, mean_delay))
```

Here is my command line code, because I use Mac OS, I choose shell code to run simulation batchly.

```
for i in $(seq 5 5 95);
do echo $i;
python new_mmN.py -M 1 -A $i -S 100 -N 10000 --no-trace >> mm1.out
done
```

```
5.0000E+00 1.0511E-02

1.0000E+01 1.1095E-02

1.5000E+01 1.1725E-02

2.0000E+01 1.2452E-02

2.5000E+01 1.3263E-02

3.0000E+01 1.4141E-02

3.5000E+01 1.5148E-02

4.0000E+01 1.6342E-02

4.5000E+01 1.7738E-02

5.0000E+01 1.9411E-02

5.5000E+01 2.1465E-02

6.0000E+01 2.4243E-02

6.5000E+01 2.7676E-02

7.0000E+01 3.2535E-02
```

```
7.5000E+01 3.9691E-02
8.0000E+01 4.8997E-02
8.5000E+01 6.4200E-02
9.0000E+01 9.7699E-02
9.5000E+01 2.0845E-01
```

Plot diagram

```
import argparse
import numpy as np
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import math
if name == " main ":
    parser = argparse.ArgumentParser()
    parser.add_argument(
        "-M",
        "--num_servers",
        help="number of servers; default is 1",
        default=1,
        type=int)
    parser.add_argument(
       "-F",
        "--file_path",
        help="file_path",
        default='',
        type=str)
    parser.add argument(
        "-A",
        "--arrival_rate",
        help="packet arrival rate [packets/s]; default is 1.0",
        default=1.0,
        type=float)
    parser.add_argument(
        "-S",
        "--service_rate",
        help="packet service rate [packets/s]; default is 10.0",
        default=0.1,
        type=float)
    args = parser.parse_args()
    file_path = args.file_path
    arrival_rate = args.arrival_rate
    service_rate = args.service_rate
    num_servers = args.num_servers
    file prefix = file path.split('.')[0]
    fig = plt.figure()
    ax = fig.add_subplot(1, 1, 1)
    # Major ticks every 10, minor ticks every 5 for x axis
```

```
x_{major\_ticks} = np.arange(0, 101, 10)
    x_minor_ticks = np.arange(0, 101, 5)
    # Major ticks every 0.1, minor ticks every 0.1 for y axis
    y_major_ticks = np.arange(0, 1.1, 0.2)
    y_minor_ticks = np.arange(0, 1.1, 0.1)
    ax.set_xticks(x_major_ticks)
    ax.set_xticks(x_minor_ticks, minor=True)
    ax.set_yticks(y_major_ticks)
    ax.set yticks(y minor ticks, minor=True)
    # Or if you want different settings for the grids:
    ax.grid(which='minor', alpha=0.2)
    ax.grid(which='major', alpha=0.5)
    # calculate and plot analytical results
    # m/m/1 formula
    if num servers == 1:
        x1 = np.arange(1, 100)
        y1 = 1 / (100 - x1)
        plt.plot(x1, y1, 'b-', label="Analysis", linewidth=1)
    else:
        # m/m/n formula
       m = num servers
       x1 = np.arange(1, 100)
        p = x1 / service rate / m
        n = np.arange(0, m)
        denominator = np.array([(m * p) ** num for num in n])
        division = np.array([math.factorial(num) for num in n])
        p0 = 1 / (sum(np.array([denominator[num] / division[num] for num in
range(m)]))
                  + (m * p) ** m / math.factorial(m)
                  * 1 / (1 - p))
        pm = (m * p) ** m / (math.factorial(m) * (1 - p)) * p0
        y1 = p / (x1 * (1 - p)) * pm + 1 / service_rate
        plt.plot(x1, y1, 'b-', label="Analysis", linewidth=1)
    # load and plot simulation results
    # change delimiter '/t' into ','
    x2, y2 = np.loadtxt(file_path, delimiter='\t', unpack=True)
    plt.plot(x2, y2, 'rx', label="Simulation")
    # add labels, legend, and title
```


```
plt.xlabel(r'$\lambda$ [pkts/s]')
plt.ylabel(r'$E[T]$ [s]')
plt.legend()
plt.title(r'' + file_prefix + ' ($\mu=100$ [pkts/s])')

plt.savefig(file_prefix + '.pdf')
plt.show()
```

Shell code

```
python plot_mmN.py -M 1 -F mm1.out -S 100
```

Result



Analysis

As we can see from result, the simulation is consistent to theoretical curve.

$$ar{T} = rac{1}{u - \lambda}$$

Refer to previous process, here is my result of M/M/2 and M/M/5. Because the formula of M/M/N is different from M/M/1, I change the analysis expression in python file.

M/M/2


Main change in python file

Shell code

```
for i in $(seq 5 5 95);
do echo $i;
python new_mmN.py -M 2 -A $i -S 50 -N 10000 --no-trace >> mm2.out
done
```

```
python plot_mmN.py -M 2 -F mm2.out -S 50
```

```
5.0000E+00 2.0092E-02
1.0000E+01 2.0258E-02
1.5000E+01 2.0511E-02
2.0000E+01 2.0871E-02
2.5000E+01 2.1329E-02
3.0000E+01 2.1893E-02
3.5000E+01 2.2615E-02
4.0000E+01 2.3504E-02
4.5000E+01 2.4626E-02
5.0000E+01 2.6058E-02
5.5000E+01 2.7842E-02
6.0000E+01 3.0358E-02
6.5000E+01 3.3583E-02
7.0000E+01 3.8385E-02
7.5000E+01 4.5473E-02
8.0000E+01 5.4823E-02
8.5000E+01 7.0142E-02
9.0000E+01 1.0392E-01
9.5000E+01 2.1546E-01
```



M/M/5

Shell code

```
for i in $(seq 5 5 95);
do echo $i;
python new_mmN.py -M 5 -A $i -S 20 -N 10000 --no-trace >> mm5.out
done
```

```
python plot_mmN.py -M 5 -F mm5.out -S 20
```

```
5.0000E+00 5.0127E-02
1.0000E+01 5.0127E-02
1.5000E+01 5.0132E-02
2.0000E+01 5.0149E-02
2.5000E+01 5.0197E-02
3.0000E+01 5.0312E-02
3.5000E+01 5.0520E-02
4.0000E+01 5.0868E-02
4.5000E+01 5.1402E-02
5.0000E+01 5.2227E-02
5.5000E+01 5.3460E-02
6.0000E+01 5.5348E-02
6.5000E+01 5.8098E-02
```

```
7.0000E+01 6.2226E-02


7.5000E+01 6.8487E-02

8.0000E+01 7.7166E-02

8.5000E+01 9.2385E-02

9.0000E+01 1.2569E-01

9.5000E+01 2.3718E-01
```



Comparision and conclusion

Comparing with 3 cases the average delay will increase with the augment of number of servers.

Although this phenomenon is opposite to reality. But I found the reason for this. Here are reasons.

According to formula, $\rho=\frac{\lambda}{mu}$, ρ is the same in 3 case, because of the parameters in 3 cases, $\{(1,100),(2,50),(5,20)\}.$

Assume ho is a constant number. With the increase of m, $p_0=rac{1}{\sum_{n=0}^{m-1}rac{(mp)^n}{n!}+rac{(m\rho)^m}{m!}rac{1}{1ho}}$, p_0 will reduce.

$$p_m = rac{(m
ho)^m}{m!(1-
ho)} p_0 = rac{(m
ho)^m}{m!(1-
ho)} rac{1}{\sum_{n=0}^{m-1} rac{(m
ho)^n}{n!} + rac{(m
ho)^m}{m!} rac{1}{1-
ho}} = rac{1}{1 + rac{\sum_{n=0}^{m-1} rac{(m
ho)^m}{n!}}{rac{1}{1-
ho}}}.$$

I simulate this formula in Matlab to see the tendency.

```
clear
clc

m = 1:10

y_1 = m.^m./factorial(m)


y_2 = []
for k = 1:10
    y_2_value = 0
    for n = 0:k-1
        y_2_value = y_2_value + k^n/factorial(n)
    end
    y_2 = [y_2 y_2_value]
end
pm = 1./(1+y_2./y_1)

plot(m,pm)

xlabel('number of M')

ylabel('pm')
```

Result



The result shows that p_m will reduce with the increase of \mathbf{m} .

The average waiting time is the queue is

$$ar{W}=rac{
ho}{\lambda(1-
ho)}p_m$$
 .

Therefore, the average waiting time will decrease with the increase of the number of servers.


However, for the formula of


$$ar{T} = rac{
ho}{\lambda(1-
ho)} p_m + rac{1}{u}$$


We must consider of factor of $\frac{1}{u}$, if we want to compare average delay in 3 cases.

I simulate it in Matlab.

```
clear
clc
m = 1:10
y_1 = m.^m./factorial(m)
y_2 = []
for k = 1:10
   y_2value = 0
    for n = 0:k-1
        y_2_value = y_2_value + k^n/factorial(n)
    y_2 = [y_2 \ y_2\_value]
end
pm = 1./(1+y_2./y_1)
u = [1 \ 0.5 \ 0.2]
y_3 = pm + 1/u(1)
plot(m,y_3)
xlabel('number of M')
ylabel('y_3')
```







We can see the average delay will increase with the augment of \boldsymbol{u} .

Although the $ho=rac{\lambda}{mu}$ is a constant number, but we must consider the impact of $rac{1}{u}$.

$$ar{T} = rac{
ho}{\lambda(1-
ho)} p_m + rac{1}{u}$$

Therefore, the simulation is expected and submit to these formula.

$$ho=rac{\lambda}{mu}$$

$$p_0 = rac{1}{\sum_{n=0}^{m-1}rac{(mp)^n}{n!} + rac{(m
ho)^m}{m!}rac{1}{1-
ho}}$$

$$p_m=rac{(m
ho)^m}{m!(1-
ho)}p_0$$

$$ar{T} = rac{
ho}{\lambda(1-
ho)} p_m + rac{1}{u}$$