Assessment Schedule - 2011

French: Demonstrate understanding of a variety of French texts on areas of most immediate relevance (90881) Evidence Statement

Question One								
Not Achieved Achieved			Merit		Excellence			
Shows no or limited understanding of the text Shows understanding of the text the text			Shows understanding / is able to make meaning of he text		Selects relevant information, ideas and opinions from the text and communicates them unambiguously		Selects and expands on with supporting detail relevant information, ideas and opinions from the text and shows understanding of the implied meanings or conclusions within the text.	
Has some lexical information correct but has not understood the gist of the text or is logically inconsistent indicating misunderstanding of the gist of the text		Has lexical information largely correct and has understood the gist of the text without being able to develop explanatory answers – demonstrates understanding		Has developed an explanatory answer without indicating a grasp of fine detail and nuance. – demonstrates clear understanding		Has developed an answer which shows understanding of nuance and meanings not necessarily stated obviously in the text – demonstrates thorough understanding		
N1	N2	A3	A4	M5 M6		E7	E8	
Very little valid information	Little valid information	Some valid information	information and an by information from the supported by		A justified answer about the different features of each online auction.	A fully justified answer that shows understanding of the pros and cons of each online auction.		
•	e list of all acceptable responses		For example These examples are typi		ore indicated; however, they	are not full responses and	are intended to be	

Specific evidence This is not a complete list of all acceptable responses, nor is it an indication of the exact wording required. Candidates were assessed on their level of understanding of the text rather than knowledge of individual lexical items.	For example These examples are typical for candidates at the score indicated; however, they are not full responses and are intended to be indicative rather than prescriptive.				
Auction A	N1 – (Invalid or vague information unrelated to the text) eg "no camera", "old fashioned-phone, why pay \$50 for it?"				
Has camera	N2 – (Poor answer that doesn't show understanding and/or very little detail) eg "don't buy it", "easy buttons", "phone doesn't work"				
Photo not clear	A3 – (Some information correct. Answer indicates a very basic understanding of the text as a whole) eg "Easy to use and has				
Dropped in water two months ago but still works	camera"				
Seller keeping number/have to get a new number	A4 – (Some information correct but does not go beyond Achievement level in detail or explanation) eg "Some of the clothes are new and come from a smoke-free and pet-free home so will not smell bad"				
Don't know if camera works/seller doesn't know how to work camera					
Warning about the seller from another buyer	M5 – (Descriptive) eg "The phone was dropped in water two months ago"				
Auction B	M6 – (Descriptive with more detail) eg "has a camera, the seller does not know how to use it"				
One item is new/unworn	E7 – (Justification) eg "The seller is keeping the number of the phone, so the buyer will need a new number"				
Pet-free and smoke-free home	E8 – (Full justification – the response links ideas in the text to show that consequence has been inferred) eg				
Have to buy all items	"has a camera that might not work but the seller does not know how to use it, so the buyer can't be sure that it will work",				
No photos	"Someone writes in on the auction that the seller sold them a TV that does not work so the seller may be untrustworthy",				
No returns	"The auction says you must buy all three items, which is not good as they are different sizes and you may not want to buy the green pants, for example"				
Can't try on	parity, i.e. creating.				

N Ø No response or no valid evidence

Question Two							
Not Achieved Achieved			Merit		Excellence		
Shows no or limited understanding of the text Shows understanding/is at the text		able to make meaning of	Selects relevant information, ideas, and opinions from the text and communicates them unambiguously		Selects and expands on with supporting detail relevant information, ideas, and opinions from the text and shows understanding of the implied meanings or conclusions within the text		
Has some lexical information correct but has not understood the gist of the text or is logically inconsistent, indicating misunderstanding of the gist of the text.		Has lexical information largely correct and has understood the gist of the text without being able to develop explanatory answers – demonstrates understanding		Has developed an explanatory answer without indicating a grasp of fine detail and nuance – demonstrates clear understanding		Has developed an answer that shows understanding of nuance and meanings not necessarily stated obviously in the text – demonstrates thorough understanding	
N1	N2	A3	A4	M5 M6		E7	E8
Single words or invalid information or vague information unrelated to specifics of the text	Little valid information/ detail. Answer doesn't show understanding and/or information provided is inconsistent with or unrelated to text	Some valid information indicating a basic understanding of parts of the text, but poor grasp of detailed information	A range of valid information but details inconsistent and explanation poor	Descriptive, showing a fuller understanding of the passage as a whole	Descriptive with more detail	Explanation with some details	Full explanation
Specific evidence This is not a complete list of all acceptable responses, nor is it an indication of the exact wording required. Candidates were assessed on their level of		For example These examples are typical for candidates at the score indicated; however, they are not full responses and are intended to be indicative rather than prescriptive.				are intended to be	

Specific evidence This is not a complete list of all acceptable responses, nor is it an indication of the exact wording required. Candidates were assessed on their level of understanding of the text rather than knowledge of individual lexical items.	For example These examples are typical for candidates at the score indicated; however, they are not full responses and are intended to be indicative rather than prescriptive.
Does homework and good marks	N1 – (Invalid or vague information unrelated to the text) eg "Failed PE", "never turns up to English"
Has good ideas and likes to develop them	N2 – (Poor answer that doesn't show understanding and/or very little detail) eg "Good at soccer"
Understands a lot	A3 – (Some information correct. Answer indicates a very basic understanding of the text as a whole) eg "Good at soccer and
Works hard even if she makes mistakes	swimming", "forgets books"
Hasn't worked	A4 – (Some information correct but does not go beyond Achievement level in detail or explanation) eg "Lazy"
Quite lazy	M5 – (Descriptive) eg "Quite lazy", "has good ideas"
Listen a lot more and speak a bit less	M6 – (Descriptive with more detail) eg "Has good ideas and likes to develop them"
Forgets books and never does homework	E7 – (Justification) eg "Works hard even though she makes mistakes", "could do better but must try"
Can do better – needs to try	E8 – (Full justification) eg "Sandrine has been late quite a bit this year, so she should make being punctual to school one of her goals"
Listen to teachers/Be more organised/Don't talk too much/Arrive on time	

N Ø No response or no valid evidence

Question Three								
Not Achieved Achieved			Merit		Excellence			
		Shows understanding/is able to make meaning of the text		Selects relevant information, ideas, and opinions from the text and communicates them unambiguously		Selects and expands on with supporting detail relevant information, ideas, and opinions from the text and shows understanding of the implied meanings or conclusions within the text		
understood the gist of the text or is logically inconsistent, indicating misunderstanding of the gist		Has lexical information largely correct and has understood the gist of the text without being able to develop explanatory answers – demonstrates understanding		Has developed an explanatory answer without indicating a grasp of fine detail and nuance – demonstrates clear understanding		Has developed an answer that shows understanding of nuance and meanings not necessarily stated obviously in the text – demonstrates thorough understanding		
N1 Single words or invalid	N2 Little valid information/	A3 Some valid information	A4 A range of valid	M5 Descriptive, showing a	M6 Descriptive with more	Explanation with some	E8 Full explanation	
information or vague information unrelated to specifics of the text	detail. Answer doesn't show understanding and/or information provided is inconsistent with or unrelated to text	indicating a basic understanding of parts of the text, but poor grasp of detailed information	information but details inconsistent and explanation poor	fuller understanding of the passage as a whole	detail	details		
Specific evidence This is not a complete list of all acceptable responses, nor is it an indication of the exact wording required. Candidates were assessed on their level of understanding of the text rather than knowledge of individual lexical items.		For example These examples are typical for candidates at the score indicated; however, they are not full responses and are intended to be indicative rather than prescriptive.						
Johnny's relationship			N1 – (Invalid or vague information unrelated to the text) eg "Everyone loves Johnny Depp"					
Met 12 years ago in a hotel in Paris.		N2 – (Poor answer that doesn't show understanding and/or very little detail) eg "Johnny and Vanessa are both French but met in America"						
When Johnny said hello and Vanessa turned around, their life together began		A3 – (Some information correct. Answer indicates a very basic understanding of the text as a whole) eg "They met 12 years ago and have two children"						
Johnny's children Two children		A4 – (Some information correct but does not go beyond Achievement level in detail or explanation) eg" Lives in South of France in a small village", "shy when speaking"						
Likes that they are seeing different cultures and learning to accept differences.		M5 – (Descriptive) eg "Johnny saw Vanessa in a hotel in Paris and said hello"						
Johnny is happy that they		3	M6 – (Descriptive with more detail) eg "When Johnny said hello and Vanessa turned around, their life together began", "Life in France is difficult if you can't speak and understand a bit of French"					
Johnny's life in France			E7 – (Justification) eg "Johnny likes that his children are seeing and learning to accept differences"					
Has lived there for years			E8 – (Full justification) eg Johnny has lived in France for years and feels more at home there than in the USA, where he was born",					
Lives in the South of Fran	ce in a little village		"He prefers places where people won't be looking at him".					
He feels more at home in France than in the USA, where he was born								
Loves going to Paris when it snows/going to cafes/walking in old streets								
Prefers places where people won't be looking at/watching him								
Johnny's French								
He tries but is not very good								
Shy with spoken French.								
Understands 97% of what he hears								
Life in France is difficult if you can't understand and speak a bit of French.								
End in Franco to difficulty of carry and official and opening a profit Franco.			1					

		İ	NCEA Level 1 French (9	0881) 2011 — page 4 of	4			
Question Four								
Not Achieved Achieved			Merit	Merit		Excellence		
Shows no or limited understanding of the text Shows under the text		Shows understanding/is able to make meaning of the text		Selects relevant information, ideas, and opinions from the text and communicates them unambiguously		Selects and expands on with supporting detail relevant information, ideas, and opinions from the text and shows understanding of the implied meanings or conclusions within the text		
Has some lexical information correct but has not understood the gist of the text or is logically inconsistent, indicating misunderstanding of the gist of the text.		Has lexical information largely correct and has understood the gist of the text without being able to develop explanatory answers – demonstrates understanding		Has developed an explanatory answer without indicating a grasp of fine detail and nuance – demonstrates clear understanding		Has developed an answer that shows understanding of nuance and meanings not necessarily stated obviously in the text – demonstrates thorough understanding		
N1	N2	A3	A4	M5 M6		E7	E8	
Single words or invalid information or vague information unrelated to specifics of the text	Little valid information/ detail. Answer doesn't show understanding and/or information provided is inconsistent with or unrelated to text	Some valid information indicating a basic understanding of parts of the text, but poor grasp of detailed information	A range of valid information but details inconsistent and explanation poor	Descriptive, showing a fuller understanding of the passage as a whole	Descriptive with more detail	Explanation with some details	Full explanation	
Specific evidence			For example					
This is not a complete list of all acceptable responses, nor is it an indication of the exact wording required. Candidates were assessed on their level of understanding of the text rather than knowledge of individual lexical items.		These examples are typical for candidates at the score indicated; however, they are not full responses and are intended to be indicative rather than prescriptive.						
Don't be scared to take action/follow your dream if you want something.			N1 – (Invalid or vague information unrelated to the text) eg "Red paper clips originated in France"					
A small thing can make a big difference in/change your life		N2 – (Poor answer that doesn't show understanding and/or very little detail) eg "He designed a new red paper clip on his computer and sold it to make a lot of money"						
A little sad because he wanted a house before marrying girlfriend but did not have enough money		A3 – (Some information correct. Answer indicates a very basic understanding of the text as a whole) eg "He swapped a red paper clip and got married"						
Saw paper clip and decided to exchange it			A4 – (Some information correct but does not go beyond Achievement level in detail or explanation) eg "He exchanged a red paper					

Asked people to email him if they had things to exchange

14 exchanges later he was given a house

Married Dominique

Met lots of people Went to Japan and Spain

Was on TV and became famous

ΝØ No response or no valid evidence

- A4 (Some information correct but does not go beyond Achievement level in detail or explanation) eg "He exchanged a red paper clip over the internet and ended up with a house and got married"
- M5 (Descriptive) eg "Kyle saw a red paper clip by his computer and decided to exchange it"
- **M6** (Descriptive with more detail) eg "He asked people to email him if they had something to swap, and 14 exchanges later he was offered a house", "Got to travel, was on TV and met lots of people"
- E7 (Justification) eg "He was a bit sad because he wanted to buy a house before marrying his girlfriend but he didn't have enough money"
- E8 (Full justification) eg "This story showed me not to be scared to follow my dreams if I want something"

Judgement Statement

	Not Achieved	Achievement	Achievement with Merit	Achievement with Excellence
Score range	0 – 10	11 – 18	19 – 25	26 – 32