## Assessment Schedule - 2014

## Technology: Undertake a critique of a technological outcome's design (91617)

Final grades will be decided using professional judgement based on a holistic examination of the evidence provided against the criteria.

## **Issues from the Specifications**

Where a candidate has provided a brief report, the report should not be penalised because of length.

Candidate work in excess of 14 pages must not be marked.

Where a candidate has used a small font, markers should make a judgement about where to stop marking. This judgement should be made relative to 14 pages at Arial font.

Where work is illegible, it cannot be marked.

Digital submissions that cannot be read cannot be marked.

Achievement	Achievement with Merit	Achievement with Excellence
Undertaking a critique of a technological outcome's design involves:	Undertaking an in-depth critique of a technological outcome's design involves:	Undertaking a comprehensive critique of a technological outcome's design involves:
explaining the concept of good design and why criteria for judging the quality of design change	discussing why contemporary judgement criteria are important for design decision making	discussing the impact of judgement criteria on design decision making
explaining views of design and judgement criteria used to determine the quality of the design of technological outcomes	evaluating the quality of the design of a technological outcome using design judgement criteria.	justifying the evaluation of a technological outcome's design.
appraising the design of a technological outcome using design judgement criteria.		

## Appendix 1

Markers must exercise professional judgement to decide if a report demonstrates understanding. The following appendix provides guidance for markers making this judgement.

A report must use information to demonstrate understanding.

Reports described wholly or substantially by one or more of the statements in the left column demonstrate understanding.

Reports described wholly, or substantially, by one or more of the statements in the right column do not demonstrate understanding.

Where the report is made up of both used and reproduced information, the marker must decide if the report is successful against the standard when the reproduced information is ignored.

and report to decelerate against the standard when the reproduction in ignored.		
Evidence of <b>use</b> of information	Evidence of <b>reproduction</b> of information	
Candidate's report describes and explains the candidate's use, in their practice, of information relating to the standard.	Information is presented in isolation from the candidate's Technological experiences. It offers nothing or little to suggest the information is related	
Information from the candidate's practice, research, the practice of others, and teaching is related to the candidate's technological experiences.  The report describes experiences you would expect to come from a course of instruction derived from The Technology Learning area the NZC.  These could include but are not limited to  testing and trialling within a modelling process developing a conceptual statement developing a conceptual design development of a brief material selection refinement of a brief development of a prototype development of a one-off solution further examples may be added.	to a course of instruction at level 8.	
Information from research, the practice of others, or teaching is reported in the candidate's own voice.	Information is NOT in the candidate's voice. The word choice, sentence structure, sentence length, punctuation and so on are not what a candidate could be expected to produce.	
Referenced, complex research information unchanged by paraphrase is related to other information in a manner that unambiguously constructs meaning. (very rare)	<b>Unreferenced</b> , complex, research information is presented as though it is the candidate's own work.	
Where the marker suspects a report is a deliberate the panel leader using the Irregular Booklet process		