#### Assessment Schedule - 2017

# Economics: Demonstrate understanding of the efficiency of market equilibrium (91399)

## **Assessment Criteria**

Achievement	Achievement with Merit	Achievement with Excellence
Demonstrate understanding involves:	Demonstrate in-depth understanding involves:	Demonstrate comprehensive understanding involves:
<ul> <li>providing an explanation of:         <ul> <li>market equilibrium and/or changes in market equilibrium</li> <li>efficiency in the market</li> </ul> </li> <li>using an economic model(s) to illustrate concepts relating to the efficiency of market equilibrium.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>providing a detailed explanation of:         <ul> <li>market equilibrium and/or changes in market equilibrium</li> <li>impact of changes in markets on efficiency in the market</li> </ul> </li> <li>using an economic model(s) to illustrate complex concepts and/or support detailed explanations relating to the efficiency of market equilibrium.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>analysing the impact of a change in a market on efficiency by comparing and/or contrasting the different impacts on participants (i.e. consumer, producer, and, where appropriate, government) in that market</li> <li>integrating an economic model(s) into explanations relating to the efficiency of market equilibrium that compare and/or contrast the different impacts.</li> </ul>
Explanation involves giving a reason for the answer.	Detailed explanation involves giving an explanation with breadth (more than one reason for the answer) and / or depth (e.g. using flow-on effects to link the main cause to the main result).	

#### **Cut Scores**

Not Achieved	Achievement	Achievement with Merit	Achievement with Excellence	
0 – 7	8 – 12	13 – 18	19 – 24	

## Evidence

Question	Sample Evidence	Achievement	Achievement with Merit	Achievement with Excellence
ONE				
(a) (i)	(See Appendix.)			
(ii)	A subsidy shifts the supply curve to the right, increasing the amount of fruit and vegetables supplied at each and every price. At the original price of $\mathbf{P_e}$ , there is now a surplus as QS > QD. Suppliers of fruit and vegetables will decrease price in order to clear unsold stock. As the price falls, suppliers of fruit and vegetables will reduce their quantity supplied because supplying fruit and vegetables is less profitable. Meanwhile, consumers will increase the quantity demanded of fruit and vegetables because at a lower price they are more affordable. QS will continue to fall while QD will continue to rise until QS = QD and equilibrium is restored at a lower price of $\mathbf{P_1}$ and a greater quantity of $\mathbf{Q_1}$ .	<ul> <li>Explains that the increase in supply will create a surplus of fruit and vegetables, which will result in a fall in the price of fruit and vegetables AND includes TWO of:         <ul> <li>surplus as QS &gt; QD at P<sub>e</sub></li> <li>QS falls</li> <li>QD increases</li> <li>equilibrium restored (at P<sub>1</sub>, Q<sub>1</sub>, where QD = QS).</li> <li>clears excess stock.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Explains in detail that the increase in supply will create a surplus of fruit and vegetables, which will result in a fall in the price of fruit and vegetables AND includes FOUR of:         <ul> <li>surplus as QS &gt; QD at P<sub>e</sub></li> <li>QS falls</li> <li>QD increases</li> <li>equilibrium restored (at P<sub>1</sub>, Q<sub>1</sub>, where QD = QS)</li> <li>clears excess stock.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Refers to Graph One in the explanation and refers to fruit and vegetables context.</li> </ul>	
(b) (i)	(See Appendix.)	Shades or labels TWO of:     increase in CS		
		- increase in PS		
		- DWL		
		- total cost of subsidy.		

Question	Sample Evidence	Achievement	Achievement with Merit	Achievement with Excellence
Two (b) (ii)	Consumer surplus increases by the shaded area because the price consumers pay decreases from Pe to P1, increasing the difference between the price consumers are willing to pay and the price they actually pay and, therefore, increasing CS. Also, consumers increase their quantity demanded of fruit and vegetables from Qe to Q1, increasing the units they can gain surplus from and, therefore, increasing CS.  Producer surplus increases by the shaded area because fruit and vegetables suppliers receive a higher price than before, increasing the difference between the price they are willing to accept for their produce and the price they actually receive and, therefore, increasing PS. Their quantity supplied of fruit and vegetables increases from Qe to Q1, increasing the units they can gain surplus from and, therefore, increasing their PS.  Area ABCE represents the total cost of the subsidy to the government. This amount used to reduce the price of fruit and vegetables means that it cannot be spent in other areas. (OR it may be argued that it could be better spent raising awareness about healthy eating through education.)  There is a loss of allocative efficiency because the combined gain in CS and PS is less than the loss of welfare in terms of the cost of the subsidy to the government, so there is a net welfare loss represented by the deadweight loss of the shaded area.	<ul> <li>Explains:</li> <li>CS increases as consumers pay lower prices OR consume a greater quantity</li> <li>PS increases as they receive higher prices OR now sells more</li> <li>Government is spending on the subsidy so has less to spend elsewhere</li> <li>There is a loss of allocative efficiency because there is a net welfare loss OR there is deadweight loss OR the sum of CS and PS is not maximised.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Explains in detail:</li> <li>CS increases as consumers pay lower prices AND consume a greater quantity</li> <li>PS increases as they receive higher prices AND are now selling more</li> <li>Government is spending on the subsidy so has less to spend elsewhere, AND includes an example, e.g. programmes to educate people about healthy eating</li> <li>There is a loss of allocative efficiency as a result of subsidy because the combined gain in CS and PS is not enough to offset the cost to the government so EITHER there is a net welfare loss represented by the deadweight loss (shaded area) OR this means that the sum of CS and PS is not maximised.</li> <li>Refers to Graph One in detail in the explanation and refers to fruit and vegetables context.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Explains in detail:</li> <li>CS increases as consumers pay lower prices AND consume a greater quantity</li> <li>PS increases as they receive higher prices AND are now selling more</li> <li>Government is spending on the subsidy so has less to spend elsewhere, AND includes an example, e.g. programmes to educate people about healthy eating</li> <li>There is a loss of allocative efficiency as a result of subsidy because the combined gain in CS and PS is not enough to offset the cost to the government so there is a net welfare loss represented by the deadweight loss (shaded area) AND this means that the sum of CS and PS is not maximised.</li> <li>Integrates relevant information from Graph One in the explanation and refers to fruit and vegetables context.</li> </ul>

N1	N2	А3	A4	M5	М6	E7	E8
Very little Achievement evidence.	Some Achievement evidence, partial explanations.	Most Achievement evidence.	Nearly all Achievement evidence.	Some Merit evidence AND refers to graph.	Most Merit evidence AND refers to graph.	Excellence evidence AND integrates relevant information from graph into the explanation.	All points covered AND integrates relevant information from graph into the explanation.
						One part may be weaker	

Question	Sample Evide	ence	Achievement	Achievement with Merit	Achievement with Excellence
TWO					
(a)	Change in consumer surplus  New producer surplus  Deadweight loss	Inelastic Demand 2,3 (decrease) 2,4 3,5	ONE area ONE other area.	THREE areas.	
(b) (i)	Consumer surplus decreases be pay a higher price of $P_1$ after the quota compared to $P_e$ before and consume less, i.e. $Q_1$ units inste This means that the difference be consumers are willing to pay and pay decreases, and they consument which to gain surplus, reducing the surplus.  As a result of the quota, although some surplus because of decrease overall producer surplus increase in surplus from increased price of surplus from decreased sales (i. than 5). PS increases from 4,5 to producers receive a higher price before the quota, meaning the diprice producers are willing to accept actually receive increases, incressurplus.  Allocative efficiency decreases to PS is not enough to offset the load eadweight loss, represented	e imposition of the d because they ad of $\mathbf{Q}_e$ units before. Detween what d what they actually me fewer units from their consumer  The producers lose ased sales ( $\mathbf{Q}_e - \mathbf{Q}_1$ ), we because the gain putweighs the loss of e. 2 is a larger area to 2,4 because to $\mathbf{P}_1$ compared to $\mathbf{P}_e$ ifference between the cept and what they asing their producer because the gain in ss in CS, resulting in	<ul> <li>Explains:</li> <li>CS decreases because consumers pay a higher price OR consume less</li> <li>PS increases because producers receive a higher price OR PS decreases as producers are selling fewer units</li> <li>Allocative efficiency decreases as the loss in CS is greater than the gain in PS OR there is DWL OR the sum of CS + PS is not maximised</li> </ul>	Explains in detail, including correct figures:  CS decreases because consumers pay a higher price AND consume less  PS increases despite selling fewer units because the gain in surplus from increased price outweighs the loss of surplus from decreased sales  Allocative efficiency decreases as the loss in CS is greater than the gain in PS AND EITHER there is a DWL OR the sum of CS + PS is not maximised	Explains in detail, including correct figures:  CS decreases because consumers pay a higher price AND consume less  PS increases because the gain in surplus from increased price outweighs the loss of surplus from decreased sales  Allocative efficiency decreases as the loss in CS is greater than the gain in PS AND there is a DWL AND the sum of CS + PS is not maximised

Question	Sample Evidence	Achievement	Achievement with Merit	Achievement with Excellence
Two (b) (ii)	If the good is inelastic, then the increase in price is greater ( $P_1 - P_e$ is a larger increase than $P_2 - P_e$ ), meaning that the loss of consumer surplus is more for an <i>inelastic</i> good than for an elastic good, as shown by the area of 2,3 in Graph Two, which is larger than the area 9,10 in Graph Three. This is because an equal increase in price results in a proportionally larger decrease in quantity demanded for an elastic good than for an inelastic good; this also means that if there is to be an equal decrease in quantity demanded (as in the case of this quota, a decrease of $Q_1 - Q_e$ ), then the inelastic good will experience a proportionally higher increase in price than the elastic good (i.e. $P_e$ increasing to $P_1$ is a greater increase than $P_e$ increasing to $P_2$ ).  Allocative efficiency decreases more when demand is inelastic than when demand is elastic, as shown by the area of 3,5, which is larger than the area 10,12. The greater loss in allocative efficiency when demand is inelastic is due to the larger loss in CS (3 rather than 10) resulting from the bigger increase in the price consumers pay when demand is inelastic than when it is elastic (as explained earlier).	<ul> <li>CS decreases more when demand is inelastic</li> <li>Allocative efficiency decreases more when demand is inelastic.</li> </ul>	ONE of:     CS decreases more when demand is inelastic (equal decrease in QD but larger increase in price)     Allocative efficiency decreases as the loss in CS is greater than the gain in PS AND it decreases more when demand is inelastic (due to greater loss of CS as explained in sample answers)     Allocative efficiency decreases more when demand is inelastic as DWL will be greater.	CS decreases more when demand is inelastic (equal decrease in QD but larger increase in price)     AND     Allocative efficiency decreases more when demand is inelastic (due to greater loss of CS as explained in sample answers).

N1	N2	А3	A4	M5	М6	E7	E8
Very little Achievement evidence.	Some Achievement evidence, partial explanations.	Most Achievement evidence.	Nearly all Achievement evidence.	Some Merit evidence AND refers to graphs.	Most Merit evidence AND refers to graphs.	Excellence evidence AND integrates relevant information from graphs into the explanation. One part may be weaker	All points covered AND integrates relevant information from graphs into the explanation.

**N0** = No response; no relevant evidence.

Question	n Sample Evidence		Achievement	Achievement with Merit	Achievement with Excellence
THREE					
(a) (i)	(See Appendix.)		BOTH of:     D curve shifted to the right and labelled     Increased price (rent) and increased quantity, labelled.		
(ii)	As a result of increased demand for rental housing, the amount of rental housing demanded in Auckland increases at each and every price (rent), shifting the demand curve to the right from $\mathbf{D}$ to $\mathbf{D}_1$ .  At the original rent of $\mathbf{P}_e$ , there will now be a shortage of rental housing, as QD > QS. Tenants desperate to rent will compete amongst themselves, bidding the rent up. As the rent increases, quantity supplied will increase, as landlords will increase the number of properties they offer for rent (or there will be an increase in the number investing in rental properties) because of the higher return (more profitable idea). Quantity demanded by tenants will decrease as rental housing becomes less affordable. QS will continue to rise while QD will continue to fall until QD = QS and equilibrium is restored at the new higher rent of $\mathbf{P}_1$ and new higher quantity of $\mathbf{Q}_1$ .		<ul> <li>Explains that the increase in demand will create a shortage, which will result in price (rents) rising including TWO of:         <ul> <li>shortage as QS &lt; QD at Pe</li> <li>consumers bid up the price</li> <li>QS rises</li> <li>QD decreases</li> <li>equilibrium restored (at P1, Q1, where QD = QS).</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	• Explains, in detail, with reference to Graph Four, that the increase in demand will create a shortage, which will result in price (rents) rising including FOUR of:  - shortage as QS < QD at Pe  - consumers bid up the price  - QS rises  - QD decreases  - equilibrium restored (at P1, Q1, where QD = QS).	
(b) (i)	Consumer surplus before  Consumer surplus after	HEP <sub>e</sub> HGAP <sub>max</sub>	TWO labels ONE other label.		
	Producer surplus before	P <sub>e</sub> EI			
	Producer surplus after	P <sub>max</sub> AI			
	Deadweight loss	GEA			

Question	Sample Evidence	Achievement	Achievement with Merit	Achievement with Excellence
Three (b) (ii)	The number of properties rented will decrease from $\mathbf{Q}_e$ to $\mathbf{Q}_1$ as the number of properties offered for rental by landlords decreases because of the lower maximum rent. Overall, CS will increase because consumers/tenants will pay a lower rent of $\mathbf{P}_{max}$ instead of $\mathbf{P}_e$ , increasing the difference between what they are willing to pay and what they actually pay. This is despite some loss of CS due to fewer properties being rented. CS will increase from $\mathbf{HEP}_e$ to $\mathbf{HGAP}_{max}$ , overall a gain as the increase in CS due to the lower rent of $\mathbf{P}_{max}$ ( $\mathbf{P}_e\mathbf{FAP}_{max}$ ) is greater than the loss in CS because of fewer properties rented ( $\mathbf{FEG}$ ). PS will decrease from $\mathbf{P}_e\mathbf{EI}$ to $\mathbf{P}_{max}\mathbf{AI}$ . This is because landlords will receive a lower rent of $\mathbf{P}_{max}$ instead of $\mathbf{P}_e$ , reducing the difference between what they are willing to rent their properties for and what they actually receive. Also, PS decreases further as the amount of rental housing landlords provide will decrease from $\mathbf{Q}_e$ to $\mathbf{Q}_1$ , lowering their rental income decreasing their surplus. $\mathbf{PS}$ will decrease by $\mathbf{P}_e\mathbf{EAP}_{max}$ .  Overall, the loss in $\mathbf{PS}$ of $\mathbf{P}_e\mathbf{EAP}_{max}$ outweighs the gain in CS, resulting in a net welfare loss represented by the deadweight loss of $\mathbf{GEA}$ . This is because the sum of CS and PS is no longer maximised following the maximum rent control.	<ul> <li>e quantity decreases from Qe to Q1 because of the maximum price</li> <li>CS will increase because of lower rent OR CS will decrease because of fewer properties being rented</li> <li>PS will decrease because of lower price/rent OR fewer properties rented</li> <li>there is a loss of allocative efficiency because there is a net welfare loss OR deadweight loss OR the sum of CS and PS is no longer maximised.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Explains in detail:         <ul> <li>number of properties rented will decrease from Qe to Q1 but CS increases because the gain from reduced rent outweighs the loss from fewer rental properties</li> <li>PS will decrease because landlords will receive a lower rent of Pmax instead of Pe AND fewer properties are rented. PS will decrease by PeAPmax</li> <li>overall, the loss in PS of PeAPmax outweighs the gain in CS, EITHER resulting in a net welfare loss represented by the deadweight loss of GEA, OR this is because the sum of CS and PS is no longer maximised following the maximum rent control.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Refers to Graph Five OR Table Two in the explanation.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>e number of properties rented will decrease from Qe to Q1 but CS increases as the gain from reduced rent outweighs the loss from fewer rental properties.</li> <li>e PS will decrease because landlords will receive a lower rent of Pmax instead of Pe AND fewer properties are rented. PS will decrease by PeAPmax</li> <li>e Overall, the loss in PS of PeAPmax outweighs the gain in CS EITHER resulting in a net welfare loss represented by the deadweight loss of GEA, AND this is because the sum of CS and PS is no longer maximised following the maximum rent control.</li> <li>Refers to Graph Five AND Table Two in the explanation.</li> </ul>

N1	N2	А3	A4	M5	М6	E7	E8
Very little Achievement evidence.	Some Achievement evidence, partial explanations.	Most Achievement evidence.	Nearly all Achievement evidence.	Some Merit evidence AND refers to Graph Four OR Graph Five.	Most Merit evidence:  • (a) AND one of (b)  AND refers to Graph  Four AND Graph Five.	Excellence evidence AND integrates relevant information from Graph Five and Table Two into the explanation. One part may be weaker.	All points covered AND integrates relevant information from Graph Five and Table Two into the explanation.

## **Appendix: Graphs**

