Assessment Schedule — 2015

Classical Studies: Examine ideas and values of the classical world (91200)

knowledge and

that is at a

interpretation of the

classical literary text

straightforward level.

knowledge and

interpretation of the

classical literary text.

knowledge and

minimal.

interpretation of the

classical literary text

that is inaccurate or

knowledge and

interpretation of the

classical literary text.

Evidence										
Achievement			Merit				Excellence			
Examine ideas and values of the classical world.			Examine, in depth, ideas and values of the classical world.				Examine, with perception, ideas and values of the classical world.			
Examine involves:			Examine, in depth, involves:				Examine, with perception, involves:			
 using primary source evidence explaining the ideas and values of the ancient Greeks and/or Romans, as communicated through the literary texts of the classical world 			giving an informed explanation of the ideas and values of the ancient Greeks and/or Romans, as communicated through the				giving an explanation that shows insight into the ideas and values of the ancient Greeks and/or Romans, as communicated through			
			literary texts of the classical world				the literary texts of the classical world			
			drawing conclusions that are supported by primary source wideness.				drawing developed conclusions.			
drawing conclusions.			evidence. Features of an informed explanation include:				Features of a perceptive explanation may include, but are not limited to:			
			using primary source evidence of specific relevance to the context				reasons for similarities and differences			
							themes and patterns			
				explaining a range of aspects and/or factors.				cultural expectations and codes of behaviour.		
E.g. the candidate provides a general examination of the ideas and values of the ancient Greeks and/or Romans through literary texts of the classical world. Demonstrated knowledge and interpretation of textual detail are at a basic level, and specific evidence may be lacking. One or more parts of a question may be underdeveloped or omitted. Conclusions may be simple and basic.			E.g. the candidate incorporates some detail, qualitative or quantitative as required, in an answer demonstrating knowledge of the ideas and values of the ancient Greeks and/or Romans through literary texts of the classical world. Most parts of the question are answered in detail, but the treatment of the parts may be unbalanced. Supporting evidence of specific relevance to the context is present, and informed/relevant conclusions are drawn.				E.g. the candidate's answers demonstrate a thorough knowledge of the ideas and values of the ancient Greeks and/or Romans, as communicated in literary works of the classical world. All parts of the question are answered in detail. Supporting evidence is relevant, accurate, and consistently provided, and informed/relevant and developed conclusions are drawn.			
N1	N2	А3		A4	M5		М6	E7	E8	
Demonstrates very little or no understanding of the ideas and values of the classical world.	Demonstrates a limited understanding of the ideas and values of the classical world.	Demonstrates so understanding of ideas and values classical world.	the	Demonstrates a general understanding of the ideas and values of the classical world.	Demonstrates a sound understanding of the ideas and values of the classical world.	informed understa ideas an	trates an d anding of the id values of sical world.	Gives a perceptive response demonstrating an informed understanding of the ideas and values of the classical world and their relationship to society or societies.	Gives a perceptive response demonstrating a thorough understanding of the ideas and values of the classical world and their relationship to society or societies.	
Demonstrates	Demonstrates limited	Demonstrates		Demonstrates sound	Demonstrates	Demons	trates	Demonstrates	Demonstrates	

knowledge and

a detailed level.

interpretation of the

classical literary text

that is informed and at

knowledge and

level.

interpretation of the

classical literary text

that is informed and at

a consistently detailed

knowledge and

detailed level.

interpretation of the

classical literary text that

is perceptive, informed,

and at a consistently

knowledge and

interpretation of the

classical literary text

that is perceptive,

informed, and at a

level.

consistently detailed

NCEA Level 2 Classical Studies (91200) 2015 — page 2 of 2

Does not address the question; provides little or no explanation.	Does not address most parts of the question; provides a limited explanation.	Addresses some parts of the question, but answers may be underdeveloped; provides some explanation.	Provides some well-developed answers and explanations for one or more parts of the question.	Responds to all parts of the question, but the treatment of the question may be unbalanced; explanation is informed and answers are in depth.	Responds to all parts of the question, but the treatment of the question may be unbalanced; explanation is informed and answers are consistently detailed.	Responds to all parts of the question with perception; answers are consistently detailed; explanation is informed and thorough; answers show insight into the classical world.	Responds to all parts of the question with perception; answers are consistently detailed; explanation is informed and thorough; answers show excellent insight into the classical world.
Does not use primary source evidence.	Uses minimal primary source evidence.	Uses primary source evidence*.	Uses primary source evidence.	Uses primary source evidence of specific relevance to the context.	Uses primary source evidence of specific relevance to the context.	Consistently uses primary source evidence of specific relevance to the context, and explains evidence.	Consistently uses primary source evidence of specific relevance to the context, and explains evidence.
Gives no conclusions.	nclusions. Gives minimal Gives simple conclusions. Conclusions.		Gives sound conclusions.	Gives sound and detailed conclusions, supported by relevant evidence.	Gives sound and detailed conclusions, supported by relevant evidence.	Gives developed conclusions that show insight into the classical world.	Gives developed conclusions that show excellent insight into the classical world.

N0 = No response; no relevant evidence.

Cut Scores

Not Achieved	Achievement	Achievement with Merit	Achievement with Excellence	
0 – 2	3 – 4	5 – 6	7 – 8	

^{*} Primary source evidence refers to specific examples, which may be paraphrased. Quotations are not essential. Correctly used and explained Greek and Latin terms are considered primary source evidence at Achieved level.

^{**} Conclusions do not need to be explicit, but may spring naturally from answers, in particular, answers to the second bullet point in each question.