Assessment Schedule - 2013

German: Demonstrate understanding of a variety of German texts on areas of most immediate relevance (90886)

Question One								
Not Achieved		Achie	Achievement		Merit		Excellence	
Shows no or limited understanding of the text		Shows understanding / is able to make meaning of the text		Selects relevant information, ideas, and opinions from the text and communicates them unambiguously		Selects and expands on with supporting detail relevant information, ideas, and opinions from the text and shows understanding of the implied meanings or conclusions within the text.		
Has some lexical information correct but has not understood the gist of the text or is logically inconsistent indicating misunderstanding of the gist of the text		Has lexical information largely correct and has understood the gist of the text without being able to develop explanatory answers – demonstrates understanding		Has developed an explanatory answer without indicating a grasp of fine detail and nuance – demonstrates clear understanding		Has developed an answer which shows understanding of nuance and meanings not necessarily stated obviously in the text – demonstrates thorough understanding		
Grade Score Descrip	otors							
N1	N2	A3	A4	M5	M6	E7	E8	
Very little valid information	Little valid information	Some valid information	A range of valid information	Has understood the text and given a way for Jutta to get a better report next time	Has clearly understood the text and given a way for Jutta to get a better report next time but without detail. Has attempted the last question	Has clearly understood the text and given a way for Jutta to get a better report next time with detail and indicated the difference between the two subject systems	Has completely understood the text and given a reasoned way for Jutta to get a better repor supported by detail and indicated the difference between the two subject systems and a justified reason for selecting one	
the exact wording req understanding shown	e list of all acceptable responses uired. Assessment judgements rather than knowledge of indivi	are based on the level of dual lexical items.	For example These examples are ty indicative rather than p	•	core indicated; however, the	y are <u>not full responses,</u> a	and are intended to be	
Jutta's best subjects are biology, music, maths and religion (religious studies).			N1 – German, English, Physik, Erdkunde. She finds it boring.					
Her worst subject is history and she's not very good at German, English or physics. Her mum was really angry with her about her report even though she			N2 – Jutta's best subject is Biologie. She thinks her teacher Frau Schornstein is so schrecklich.					
had worked as hard as possible. She should maybe change subjects next year or try to get another teacher for history because she likes the subject and enjoys			A3 – Jutta's mother was not happy with her report card. Her best subject is biology and her worst Geschichte. She is now in a new class.					
reading history books but can hardly keep her eyes open as she doesn't like her teacher Frau Schornstein who never does anything interesting. Herr Dietmayer's			A4 – Her parents are angry. She thinks it is very boring in history and hard with that teacher so she is going to find a new class with a new teacher, Mr Dietmayer.					
supposed to be good so maybe she'll change to him. Some evidence of recognition that there are different subjects taken in both			M5 – To make her next report better she should work more on her bad subjects like history and if changing the teacher would help she should.					
countries.			M6 – She says she enjoys reading history books so maybe she should move class.					
countries.			M6 – She says she enj	oys reading history books s	o maybe she should move o	lass.		

history books out of class then she should definitely do that.

E8 – She says she worked as hard as possible and as she finds the lessons so terrible and boring with Frau Schornstein she should carry out her idea of finding a new class with Herr Dietmayer who is supposed to be good and if as she says she enjoys reading

Question Two									
Not Achieved Achieve		ement Merit		Excellence					
Shows no or limited understanding of the text		Shows understanding / is able to make meaning of the text		Selects relevant information, ideas and opinions from the text and communicates them unambiguously		Selects and expands on with supporting detail relevant information, ideas and opinions from the text and shows understanding of the implied meanings or conclusions within the text.			
understood the gist of the text or is logically under inconsistent indicating misunderstanding of the gist devel		Has lexical information largely correct and has understood the gist of the text without being able to develop explanatory answers – demonstrates understanding		Has developed an explanatory answer without indicating a grasp of fine detail and nuance – demonstrates clear understanding		Has developed an answer which shows understanding of nuance and meanings not necessarily stated obviously in the text – demonstrates thorough understanding			
Grade Score Descriptor	's								
N1	N2	A3	A4	M5	M6	E7	E8		
Very little valid information	Little valid information	Some valid information	A range of valid information and attempt at justifying decision on which fast food place is better	Good description of the two fast food places and which one he prefers	Good description of the two fast food places and which one he prefers but lacking detail	Very good description of the two fast food places and a justified reason for which one he prefers	Excellent description of the two fast food places and a fully justified reason for which one he prefers backed by detail		
the exact wording require	t of all acceptable responses d. Assessment judgements her than knowledge of indivi	are based on the level of	For example These examples are typical of candidates at the score indicated; however, they are not full responses, and are intended to be indicative rather than prescriptive.						
	ost 4,50 sausage with chips		N1 – Instead of the sauces they should have had gravy for the sausages.						
	esn't like chips without sauce		N2 – The sausage that had Peter geschmeckt is very good. He had chips with mayonnaise.						
food place and biked for 2 kms. Bio fast food and very healthy. Pigs fed on apples and vegetables before made into sausages. Not much more expensive 5,80. Had bio chips. Bit thicker but taste a bit better. No mayonnaise because normal mayo is very unhealthy and they didn't have bio-ketchup, which was a shame.			A3 – The normal one is best because it cost only 4.50 Euros. The sausage is delicious, and the Curry-Ketchup is the best. They used apples and vegetable to make the sausage.						
			A4 – Even though the chips are bigger, I don't like the sound of Bio-Ketchup.						
			M5 – I would like to go to the first one because it is much closer and I wouldn't have to bike for 2km. I don't care if the pig ate apples and vegetables. Also, the mayonnaise is better at the first place.						
			M6 – The old fast food place is better as you get a nice sausage and chips and not some bio stuff. The chips wouldn't be nice without Mayo so couldn't enjoy the chips. I think it doesn't make a difference what the pigs ate.						
			E7 – I think the normal one is better because it is cheaper and you actually get sauce on your chips, which you don't at the other one. Even though the Bio-Imbiss is healthier, I'm after taste.						

E8 – I like to try to be healthy so the fact that you ride two kilometres to get it and it doesn't matter that it cost 1.30 more as the pigs being fed on apples and vegetables before they became sausages is great. The fact there is no sauce for the chips is fine. It's unhealthy anyway, and I prefer without.

Question Three								
Not Achieved Achiev		vement Merit		erit	Excellence			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Shows understanding/is able to make meaning of the text		Selects relevant information, ideas, and opinions from the text and communicates them unambiguously		Selects and expands on with supporting detail relevant information, ideas, and opinions from the text and shows understanding of the implied meanings or conclusions within the text.		
Has some lexical information correct but has not understood the gist of the text or is logically inconsistent indicating misunderstanding of the gist of the text		Has lexical information largely correct and has understood the gist of the text without being able to develop explanatory answers – demonstrates understanding		Has developed an explanatory answer without indicating a grasp of fine detail and nuance – demonstrates clear understanding		Has developed an answer which shows understanding of nuance and meanings not necessarily stated obviously in the text – demonstrates thorough understanding		
Grade Score Descripto	rs							
N1	N2	A3	A4	M5	M6	E7	E8	
Very little valid information	Little valid information	Some valid information	A range of valid information and an attempt to justify why he would see the film	A good description of +/- and attempt to justify why he would or would not see the film	A good description of +/- and attempt to justify why he would or would not see the film with some detail	A complete description of +/- and a good justification of why he would or would not see the film	A complete description of +/- and a full justification of why he would or would not see the film with detail	
Specific evidence			For example					
This is <u>not a complete list of all acceptable responses</u> , nor is it an indication of the exact wording required. Assessment judgements are based on the level of understanding shown rather than knowledge of individual lexical items.			These examples are typical of candidates at the score indicated; however, they are <u>not full responses</u> , and are intended to be indicative rather than prescriptive.					
Positive things	<u>-</u>		N1 – A Peter Jackson Film made in New Zealand.					
Great special effects			N2 – Die Spezialeffekte waren toll und ich habe es super gefunden.					
Peter Jackson made it in NZ Landscape great			A3 – Heiko didn't enjoy the film because it was so long. The 3D made him feel sick. He found the dwarfs stupid because he didn't think they were really short like he thought they would be.					
Negative things Dwarves a bit stupid because the noses were too big			A4 – Heiko found it a good movie but a bit boring and felt sleepy in the cinema but the special effects were cool and the movie wasn't too short. New Zealand was beautiful. Dwarfs were a bit stupid.					
3D made him a bit sick			M5 – Went for a very long time and very late and he almost fell asleep. I would want to see the dwarfs and the scenery.					
Hardly any girls there (may be considered positive too)			M6 – Although he says the 3D made him feel sick, I would just go and watch it in 2D and would go to an earlier showing so as not to get tired.					

E7 – Yes, because I enjoy both the special effects and also the silly things like the dwarfs noses because I think they're funny. It is strange that there were apparently hardly any girls there, but that wouldn't bother me much if I was with friends.

E8 – I would like to see it as I might recognise places and could try to visit the castles or forests like Heiko wants to. Because I'm a girl, I wonder if it would really suit me but I would take along some other girls maybe.

Very long and nearly fell asleep Wants to visit NZ and film locations

Question Four								
Not Achieved		Achievement		Merit		Excellence		
Shows no or limited understanding of the text		Shows understanding/is able to make meaning of the text		Selects relevant information, ideas, and opinions from the text and communicates them unambiguously		Selects and expands on with supporting detail relevant information, ideas, and opinions from the text and shows understanding of the implied meanings or conclusions within the text.		
Has some lexical information correct but has not understood the gist of the text or is logically inconsistent indicating misunderstanding of the gist of the text		Has lexical information largely correct and has understood the gist of the text without being able to develop explanatory answers – <i>demonstrates</i> understanding		Has developed an explanatory answer without indicating a grasp of fine detail and nuance – demonstrates clear understanding		Has developed an answer which shows understanding of nuance and meanings not necessarily stated obviously in the text – demonstrates thorough understanding		
Grade Score Descript	Grade Score Descriptors							
N1 Very little valid information	N2 Little valid information	A3 Some valid information	A4 A range of valid information and an attempt at describing which house he would like/not like	M5 A good description of which house would be liked/not liked	M6 A good description of which house would be liked/not liked with detail	E7 A justified choice of house would/would not be liked	E8 A fully justified, nuanced choice of house would / would not like with detail	

Sp	e	cific	evidenc	e

This is <u>not a complete list of all acceptable responses</u>, nor is it an indication of the exact wording required. Assessment judgements are based on the level of understanding shown rather than knowledge of individual lexical items.

Apartment

3 bdrm, living room, dining room, bathroom, kitchen, shares with sister. Has a bed, table, lamp, chair, and cupboard. Small room because she shares with her sister but big apartment

Double House

4 bdrms, living room, kitchen, bathroom and dining room. Own room with TV. In room bed, table, lamp, and cupboard for all his things. Likes his room because lots of space. Also a cellar where they can play table tennis but unfortunately no swimming pool. The family in the other half of the apartment is OK and the grandma there makes delicious cake for them.

One Family House

Took parents long time to save for house. Worked long and hard to get it. She and five brothers and sisters didn't have much money for a long time. They can always play sport now because they live next to the park. Lots of space and mother finally happy because they don't live in an apartment block anymore.

For example

These examples are typical of candidates at the score indicated; however, they are <u>not full responses</u>, and are intended to be indicative rather than prescriptive.

- N1 I would like to live in house B because it has a tennis court and a swimming pool.
- **N2** She shares a room with her sister. She finds sharing a room with her sister gross.
- A3 B is good. There are four rooms, so I would get my own and you can play table tennis. I wouldn't like A as it is not big enough.
- **A4** I would most like to live in the double house because it would be fun living with another family especially when the grandma makes yummy biscuits.
- **M5** I would like to live in house B because his room isn't too small and there are 4 bedrooms so it is a pretty big house and also has a cellar with table tennis.
- **M6** I don't mind not having a swimming pool, but grandma's cake sounds good. Some rooms also have TVs. I wouldn't want to share a room with my sister.
- **E7** Having another family in the other half of the house would be good, especially if I can get on with them and they were not annoying. The grandma makes lots of nice cakes and I like cake. I wouldn't want to live in the apartment as there are only three bedrooms and people sharing rooms and there is no garden or outdoor space.
- **E8** I wouldn't like to live in the family house with seven other people. I would also find it difficult having to save money all the time, despite having lots of sun. I don't like sports, so the park isn't really much use to me.

N Ø No response or no valid evidence

Judgement Statement

	Not Achieved	Achievement	Achievement with Merit	Achievement with Excellence
Score range	0 – 8	9 – 16	17 – 24	25 – 32