## Assessment Schedule - 2022

# Technology: Demonstrate understanding of design elements (91053)

## **Assessment Criteria**

Achievement	Achievement with Merit	Achievement with Excellence	
Demonstrating understanding of design elements involves:	Demonstrating in-depth understanding of .design elements involves:	Demonstrating comprehensive understanding of design elements involves:	
<ul> <li>describing the elements that underpin design within a specified context</li> </ul>	explaining the elements that underpin design within a specified context	<ul> <li>discussing the quality of a design in relation to design elements and considerations of the specific context in which the design is situated.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>describing considerations used to determine the quality of a design within a specified context.</li> </ul>	explaining considerations used to determine the quality of a design within a specified context.		

## **Evidence**

N1	N2	А3	A4	M5	M6	E7	E8
Not enough evidence to show understanding, and / or is substantially reproduced with little mediation by candidate.	Report is substantially produced by the candidate but demonstrates little understanding.  One part of the required response may be completely missing, or several parts may be weak.	Describes as required to show understanding. Some aspects may be partial or weak.	Describes as required to clearly show understanding.	Explains as required to show in-depth understanding. Some aspects may be partial or weak.	Explains to clearly establish in-depth understanding.	Discusses to show comprehensive understanding. Some aspects may be partial or weak.	Fully discusses to clearly show comprehensive understanding.

**N0** = No response; no relevant evidence.

## **Cut Scores**

Not Achieved	Achievement	Achievement with Merit	Achievement with Excellence	
0 – 2	3 – 4	5 – 6	7 – 8	

The final grade is determined using professional judgement based on a holistic examination of the evidence provided against the criteria.

#### Length and legibility

Where the candidate has provided a brief report, the report should not be penalised because of length.

Candidate work in excess of 8 pages must not be marked. In the case that the candidate has used a small font, the marker should make their own judgement about where to stop marking. This judgement should be made relative to 8 pages of text in 12pt Arial font, with 2.5cm margins.

Where work is illegible, it cannot be marked.

Digital submissions that cannot be read cannot be marked.

#### "Demonstration of understanding"

The report must use information to <u>demonstrate understanding</u>. The marker must exercise professional judgement to decide if it does so. The following guidance is provided to assist in making this judgement.

- The report <u>demonstrates understanding</u> if it can be described wholly or substantially by one or more of the statements in the left-hand column.
- The report <u>does not demonstrate understanding</u> if it can be described wholly or substantially by one or more of the statements in the right-hand column.
- If the report is comprised of both used and reproduced information, the marker must decide if it meets the standard when the reproduced information is ignored.

Evidence of <u>use</u> of information	Evidence of <u>reproduction</u> of information
The report describes and explains the candidate's use, in their practice, of information relating to the standard.	
Information from the candidate's practice, research, the practice of others, and teaching, is related to the candidate's technological experiences.	Information is presented in isolation from the candidate's technological experiences.
The report describes experiences that could be expected to come from a course of instruction derived from the Technology Learning Area in the <i>New Zealand Curriculum</i> .	Little or nothing is offered to suggest the information is related to a course of instruction at Level 6 of the New Zealand Curriculum.
These could include but are not limited to	
testing and trialling within a modelling process	
developing a conceptual statement	
developing a conceptual design	
development of a brief	
material selection	
refinement of a brief	
development of a prototype	
development of a one-off solution.	
Further examples may be added.	
Information from research, the practice of others, or	Information is not in the candidate's voice.
teaching is reported in the candidate's own voice.	The word choice, sentence structure, sentence length, punctuation etc. are not what a candidate could be expected to produce.
Referenced, complex research information unchanged by paraphrase is related to other information in a manner that unambiguously constructs meaning (very rare).	<b>Unreferenced</b> , complex, research information is presented as though it is the candidate's own work.