

# **Optimization for Machine Learning in Python**

Moritz Wolter

13. September 2022

High-Performance Computing and Analytics Lab, Uni Bonn

#### **Overview**

Introduction

The derivative

Optimization in a single dimension

Optimization in many dimensions

# Introduction

# **Optimization**


Traditionally, optimization means minimizing using a cost function f(x). Given the cost, we must find the cheapest point  $x^*$  on the function, or in other words,

$$x^* = \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}} f(x) \tag{1}$$


#### **Functions**

Functions are mathematical mappings. Consider for example, the quadratic function,  $f(x): \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ :

$$f(x) = x^2 \tag{2}$$



#### Where is the minimum?



In this case, we immediately see it's at zero. To find it via an iterative process, we require derivate information.


## **Summary**


- Functions assign a value to each input.
- We seek an iterative way to find the smallest value.
- Doing so requires derivates.

# The derivative

#### The derivative

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}f(x)}{\mathrm{d}x} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h} \tag{3}$$





# Derivation of the parabola derivative

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{(x+h)^2 - x^2}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{x^2 + 2xh + h^2 - x^2}{h}$$

$$= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{2xh + h^2}{h}$$

$$= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{h(2x+h)}{h}$$

$$= \lim_{h \to 0} 2x + h$$

$$= 2x$$
(8)

#### The derivate of a polynomial

What is the derivative of the function  $f(x) = x^n$ ?

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}f(x)}{\mathrm{d}x} = nx^{n-1} \tag{9}$$

#### **Summary**

- A function is differentiable if the limit of the difference quotient exists.
- For any point on a differentiable function, the derivative provides a tangent slope.
- We will exclusively work with differentiable functions in this course.

# Differentiation Rules [DFO20]

Product Rule: 
$$(g(x)h(x))' = g'(x)h(x) + g(x)h'(x)$$
 (10)

Quotient Rule:  $(\frac{g(x)}{h(x)})' = \frac{g'(x)h(x) - g(x)h'(x)}{(h(x))^2}$  (11)


Sum Rule:  $(g(x) + h(x))' = g'(x) + h'(x)$  (12)

Chain Rule:  $(g(h(x)))' = g'(h(x))h'(x)$  (13)

# The logistic sigmoid [GBC16]

The sigmoid function  $\sigma(x)$  is a common activation function.

$$\sigma(x) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-x}} \tag{14}$$



# Using the Quotient Rule i

$$\frac{d\sigma(x)}{dx} = \frac{d}{dx} \frac{1}{1 + e^{-x}}$$

$$= \frac{d}{dx} \frac{1}{1 + e^{-x}} \cdot 1$$

$$= \frac{d}{dx} \frac{1}{1 + e^{-x}} \cdot \frac{e^{x}}{e^{x}}$$

$$= \frac{d}{dx} \frac{e^{x}}{1 + e^{-x}} \cdot \frac{e^{x}}{e^{x}}$$
(15)
$$= \frac{d}{dx} \frac{1}{1 + e^{-x}} \cdot 1$$
(16)

$$g(x) = e^{x}, h(x) = e^{x} + 1$$
 (19)

# Using the Quotient Rule ii

$$\frac{d\sigma(x)}{dx} = \frac{e^{x} \cdot (e^{x} + 1) - e^{x} \cdot e^{x}}{(e^{x} + 1)^{2}} \qquad (20)$$

$$= \frac{e^{x} \cdot e^{x} + e^{x} - e^{x} \cdot e^{x}}{(e^{x} + 1)^{2}} \qquad (21)$$

$$= \frac{e^{x}}{(e^{x} + 1)^{2}} \qquad (22)$$

$$= \frac{e^{x}}{(e^{x} + 1)} \frac{1}{(e^{x} + 1)} \qquad (23)$$


$$= \frac{e^{x}}{(e^{x} + 1)} (\frac{1 + e^{x} - e^{x}}{(e^{x} + 1)}) \qquad (24)$$

$$= \frac{e^{x}}{(e^{x} + 1)} (\frac{1 + e^{x}}{(e^{x} + 1)} - \frac{e^{x}}{(e^{x} + 1)}) \qquad (25)$$

$$= \frac{e^{x}}{(e^{x} + 1)} (1 - \frac{e^{x}}{(e^{x} + 1)}) \qquad (26)$$

$$= \sigma(x) (1 - \sigma(x)) \qquad (27) \quad (27)$$

# The derivative of the sigmoidal function



# **Using the Chain Rule**

How do we best differentiate  $f(x) = \sigma(ax + b)$ ?

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}f(x)}{\mathrm{dx}} = \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma(ax+b)}{\mathrm{dx}} \tag{29}$$

Chain Rule: (g(h(x)))' = g'(h(x))h'(x)

$$g(x) = \sigma(x), h(x) = ax + b \tag{31}$$

$$\Rightarrow \sigma(ax+b)(1-\sigma(ax+b))(a) \tag{32}$$

(30)

Optimization in a single dimension


#### Steepest descent

To find a minimum, we descent along the gradient, with n denoting the step number,  $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$  the step size and  $\frac{df}{dx}$  the derivate of f along  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ :

$$x_n = x_{n-1} - \epsilon \cdot \frac{df}{dx}. (33)$$

## Steepest descent on the parabola

Working with the initial position  $x_0=5$  and a step size of  $\epsilon=0.1$  for 25 steps leads to:




## **Summary**

- Following the negative derivative iteratively got us to the minimum.
- At points of interest, the first derivate is zero.

# Optimization in many dimensions

# The two-dimensional paraboloid

$$f(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 (34)$$



# The gradient

The gradient lists partial derivatives with respect to all inputs in a vector. For a function  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  of n variables the gradient  $\nabla f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  is defined as

$$\nabla f = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{35}$$

# Computing the gradient of the paraboloid

$$\nabla f(x_1, x_2) = \nabla(x_1^2 + x_2^2)$$


$$= \begin{pmatrix} 2x_1 \\ 2x_2 \end{pmatrix}$$
(36)

#### **Gradients at points**

For every point  $\mathbf{p} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  we can write

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{p}) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}(\mathbf{p}) \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2}(\mathbf{p}) \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n}(\mathbf{p}) \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (38)

# **Gradients on the Paraboloid**



#### **Gradient descent**

Initial position:  $x_0 = [2.9, -2.9]$ , Gradient step size:  $\epsilon = 0.025$ 

$$x_n = x_{n-1} - \epsilon \cdot \nabla f(\mathbf{x}) \tag{39}$$


*n* denotes the step number,  $\nabla$  the gradient operator, and  $f(\mathbf{x})$  a vector valued function.

#### Gradient descent on the Paraboloid

Paraboloid Optimization

#### The Rosenbrock test function

$$f(x_1, x_2) = (a - x_1)^2 + b(x_2 - x_1^2)^2$$
(40)



**Figure:** Rosenbrock function with a=1 and b=100.


# The gradient of the Rosenbrock function

Recall the Rosenbrock function:

$$f(x,y) = (a-x)^2 + b(y-x^2)^2$$
 (41)

$$\nabla f(x,y) = \begin{pmatrix} -2a + 2x - 4byx + 4bx^{3} \\ 2by - 2bx^{2} \end{pmatrix}$$
 (42)

#### Gradients on the Rosenbrock function



#### **Gradient** descent

Initial position:  $x_0 = [0.1, 3.]$ , Gradient step size:  $\epsilon = 0.01$ 

$$\mathbf{x}_n = \mathbf{x}_{n-1} - \epsilon \cdot \nabla f(\mathbf{x}) \tag{43}$$

*n* denotes the step number,  $\nabla$  the gradient operator, and  $f(\mathbf{x})$  a vector valued function.

#### Gradient descent on the Rosenbrock function

Rosenbrock Optimization

#### **Motivating Momentum**

- The standard gradient descent approach gets stuck.
- What if we could somehow use a history of recent gradient information?

#### Gradient descent with momentum

Initial position:  $x_0 = [0.1, 3.]$ , Gradient step size:  $\epsilon = 0.01$ , Momentum parameter:  $\alpha = 0.8$ 

$$\mathbf{v} = \alpha \mathbf{v}_{n-1} - \epsilon \cdot \nabla f(\mathbf{x}) \tag{44}$$

$$\mathbf{x}_n = \mathbf{x}_{n-1} + \mathbf{v} \tag{45}$$

**v** denotes the velocity vector, n the step number,  $\nabla$  the gradient operator, and  $f(\mathbf{x})$  a vector-valued function.

#### **Gradient descent with momentum**

Rosenbrock Optimization

#### **Summary**

- Gradient descent works in high-dimensional spaces!
- On the Rosenbrock function, we required momentum to find the minimum.
- Momentum adds the notion of inertia, which can help overcome local minima in some cases.
- Just like in the 1d case, the gradient equals zero at local minima and saddle points.

# **Optional reading**

- Mathematics for machine learning, [DFO20, Chapter 5, Vector Calculus]
- Deep learning, [WN+99, Chapter 8.2, Automatic Differentiation]
- Numerical optimization, [GBC16, Chapter 8, Optimization for Training Deep Models]

#### References

# References

[DFO20]	Marc Peter Deisenroth, A Aldo Faisal, and Cheng Soon Ong.
	Mathematics for machine learning. Cambridge University Press, 2020
[GBC16]	lan Goodfellow, Yoshua Bengio, and Aaron Courville. <i>Deep learning</i> . MIT press, 2016.
[WN+99]	Stephen Wright, Jorge Nocedal, et al. "Numerical optimization." In: Springer Science 35.67-68 (1999), p. 7.