

Constant-delay Enumeration for Lorem Ipsum

2 Fernando Riveros 

3 Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile

4 Cristian Riveros 

5 Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile

6 — Abstract —

7 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut
8 labore et dolore magna aliqua. Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation ullamco laboris
9 nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo consequat. Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in voluptate velit
10 esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur. Excepteur sint occaecat cupidatat non proident, sunt in
11 culpa qui officia deserunt mollit anim id est laborum.

12 2012 ACM Subject Classification Theory of computation → Database theory

13 Keywords and phrases Streams, query evaluation, enumeration algorithms.

14 1 Introduction

15 Write an introduction here.

16 **Cristian:** Here a comment from Cristian.

17 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut
18 labore et dolore magna aliqua. Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation ullamco laboris
19 nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo consequat. Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in voluptate velit
20 esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur. Excepteur sint occaecat cupidatat non proident, sunt in
21 culpa qui officia deserunt mollit anim id est laborum.

22 2 Preliminaries

23 **Sets and intervals.** Given a set A , we denote by 2^A the *powerset* of A . We denote by \mathbb{N}
24 the natural numbers. Given $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \leq m$, we denote by $[n]$ the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$ and by
25 $[..m]$ the interval $\{n, n+1, \dots, m\}$ over \mathbb{N} .

26 **Events and streams.** We fix a set \mathbf{T} of *event types*, a set \mathbf{A} of *attributes names*, and a
27 set \mathbf{D} of *data values* (e.g., integers, floats, strings). An *event* e is a partial mapping $e : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$
28 that maps attributes names in \mathbf{A} to data values in \mathbf{D} . We denote $\text{att}(e)$ the domain
29 of e , called the attributes of e , and we assume that $\text{att}(e)$ is finite. We denote by $e(A)$ the
30 data value of the attribute $A \in \mathbf{A}$ whenever $A \in \text{att}(e)$. Further, each event e has a type in \mathbf{T}
31 denoted by $\text{type}(e)$. We write \mathbf{E} to denote the set of all events over event types \mathbf{T} , attributes
32 names \mathbf{A} , and data values \mathbf{D} . A *stream* is an (arbitrary long) sequence $\bar{S} = e_1 e_2 \dots e_n$ of
33 events where $|S| = n$ is the length of the stream.

34 **Complex events.** Fix a finite set \mathbf{X} of variables and assume that $\mathbf{T} \subseteq \mathbf{X}$, where \mathbf{T} is the
35 set of event types as defined earlier, this is to say that all event types are a variable. Let \bar{S}
36 be a stream of length n . A complex event of \bar{S} is a triple (i, j, μ) where $i, j \in [n]$, $i \leq j$, and
37 $\mu : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow 2^{[i..j]}$ is a function with finite domain. Intuitively, i and j marks the beginning
38 and end of the interval where the complex event happens, and μ stores the events in the
39 interval $[i..j]$ that fired the complex event. In the following, we will usually use C to denote
40 a complex event (i, j, μ) of \bar{S} and omit \bar{S} if the stream is clear from the context. We will
41 use $\text{interval}(C)$, $\text{start}(C)$, and $\text{end}(C)$ to denote the interval $[i..j]$, the start i , and the end j

$$\begin{aligned}
\llbracket R \rrbracket(\bar{S}) &= \{ (i, i, \mu) \mid \text{type}(e_i) = R \wedge \mu(R) = \{i\} \wedge \forall X \neq R. \mu(X) = \emptyset \} \\
\llbracket \varphi \text{ AS } X \rrbracket(\bar{S}) &= \{ C \mid \exists C' \in \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket(\bar{S}). \text{interval}(C) = \text{interval}(C') \wedge C(X) = \bigcup_Y C'(Y) \\
&\quad \wedge \forall Z \neq X. C(Z) = C'(Z) \} \\
\llbracket \varphi \text{ FILTER } X[P] \rrbracket(\bar{S}) &= \{ C \mid C \in \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket(\bar{S}) \wedge C(X) \models P \} \\
\llbracket \varphi_1 \text{ OR } \varphi_2 \rrbracket(\bar{S}) &= \llbracket \varphi_1 \rrbracket(\bar{S}) \cup \llbracket \varphi_2 \rrbracket(\bar{S}) \\
\llbracket \varphi_1 \text{ AND } \varphi_2 \rrbracket(\bar{S}) &= \llbracket \varphi_1 \rrbracket(\bar{S}) \cap \llbracket \varphi_2 \rrbracket(\bar{S}) \\
\llbracket \varphi_1 ; \varphi_2 \rrbracket(\bar{S}) &= \{ C_1 \cup C_2 \mid C_1 \in \llbracket \varphi_1 \rrbracket(\bar{S}) \wedge C_2 \in \llbracket \varphi_2 \rrbracket(\bar{S}) \wedge \text{end}(C_1) < \text{start}(C_2) \} \\
\llbracket \varphi_1 : \varphi_2 \rrbracket(\bar{S}) &= \{ C_1 \cup C_2 \mid C_1 \in \llbracket \varphi_1 \rrbracket(\bar{S}) \wedge C_2 \in \llbracket \varphi_2 \rrbracket(\bar{S}) \wedge \text{end}(C_1) + 1 = \text{start}(C_2) \} \\
\llbracket \varphi^+ \rrbracket(\bar{S}) &= \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket(\bar{S}) \cup \llbracket \varphi ; \varphi^+ \rrbracket(\bar{S}) \\
\llbracket \varphi^\oplus \rrbracket(\bar{S}) &= \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket(\bar{S}) \cup \llbracket \varphi : \varphi^\oplus \rrbracket(\bar{S}) \\
\llbracket \pi_L(\varphi) \rrbracket(\bar{S}) &= \{ \pi_L(C) \mid C \in \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket(\bar{S}) \}
\end{aligned}$$

Figure 1 Figure 1: The semantics of CEL formulas defined over a stream $\bar{S} = e_1 e_2 \dots e_n$ where each e_i is an event.

42 of C , respectively. Further, by some abuse of notation we will also use $C(X)$ for $X \in \mathbf{X}$ to
43 denote the set $\mu(X)$ of C .

44 The following operations on complex events will be useful throughout the paper. We
45 define the union of complex events C_1 and C_2 , denoted by $C_1 \cup C_2$, as the complex event
46 C' such that $\text{start}(C') = \min\{\text{start}(C_1), \text{start}(C_2)\}$, $\text{end}(C') = \max\{\text{end}(C_1), \text{end}(C_2)\}$, and
47 $C'(X) = C_1(X) \cup C_2(X)$ for every $X \in \mathbf{X}$. Further, we define the *projection over L* of a
48 complex event C , denoted by $\pi_L(C)$, as the complex event C' such that $\text{interval}(C') =$
49 $\text{interval}(C)$ and $C'(X) = C(X)$ whenever $X \in L$, and $C'(X) = \emptyset$, otherwise. Finally, we
50 denote by (i, j, μ_\emptyset) the complex event with trivial mapping μ_\emptyset such that $\mu_\emptyset(X) = \emptyset$ for
51 every $X \in \mathbf{X}$.

52 **Predicate of events.** A *predicate* is a possibly infinite set \mathbf{P} of events. We say that an event
53 e satisfies predicate P , denoted $e \models P$, if, and only if, $e \in P$. We generalize this notation from
54 events to a set of events E such that $E \models P$ if, and only if, $e \models P$ for every $e \in E$. We assume
55 a fixed set of predicates \mathbf{P} . Further, we assume that there is a basic set of predicates P_{basic}
56 $\subseteq \mathbf{P}$ and \mathbf{P} is the closure of P_{basic} under intersection and negation (i.e., $P_1 \cap P_2 \in \mathbf{P}$ and
57 $\mathbf{E} P \in \mathbf{P}$ for every $P, P_1, P_2 \in \mathbf{P}$) where \mathbf{E} is a predicate in \mathbf{P} , that we usually denote by true.

58 **Complex event logic.** In this work, we use the Complex Event Logic (CEL) introduced in
59 [21] and implemented in CORE [11] as our basic query language for CER. The syntax of a
60 CEL formula φ is given by the grammar:

$$61 \quad \varphi ::= R \mid \varphi \text{ AS } X \mid \varphi \text{ FILTER } X[P] \mid \varphi \text{ OR } \varphi \mid \varphi \text{ AND } \varphi \mid \varphi ; \varphi \mid \varphi : \varphi \mid \varphi^+ \mid \varphi^\oplus \mid \pi_L(\varphi)$$

62 where $R \in \mathbf{T}$ is an event type, $X \in \mathbf{X}$ is a variable, $P \in \mathbf{P}$ is a predicate, and $L \subseteq \mathbf{X}$ is a set
63 of variables. We define the semantics of a CEL formula φ over a stream \bar{S} , recursively, as a
64 set of complex events over \bar{S} . In Figure 1, we define the semantics of each CEL operator like
65 in [11, 21].

⁶⁶ **3 Main results**

In this section we introduce an extension to the semantics of CEL, namely we introduce a new operator using [allen interval algebra] *overlap*. We then extend the formal computational model for evaluating CEL formulas and prove its correctness. We start by recalling the notion of a CEA to later extend the proof. **Complex Event Automata.** A *Complex Event Automata* (CEA) is a tuple $\mathcal{A} = (Q, \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{X}, \Delta, q_0, F)$ where Q is a finite set of states, \mathbf{P} is the set of predicates, \mathbf{X} is a finite set of variables, $\Delta \subseteq Q \times \mathbf{P} \times 2^{\mathbf{X}} \times Q$ is a finite relation (called the transition relation), $q_0 \in Q$ is the initial state, and F is the set of final states. A run ρ of \mathcal{A} over the stream $\bar{S} = e_1 e_2 \dots e_n$ from position i to j is a sequence:

$$\rho := p_i \xrightarrow{P_i/L_i} p_{i+1} \xrightarrow{P_{i+1}/L_{i+1}} p_{i+2} \xrightarrow{P_{i+2}/L_{i+2}} \dots \xrightarrow{P_j/L_j} p_{j+1}$$

where $p_i = q_0$, $(p_k, P_k, L_k, p_{k+1}) \in \Delta$, and $e_k \models P_k$ for all $k \in [..j]$. We say that the run is accepting if $p_{j+1} \in F$. A run ρ from positions i to j like above defines the complex event $C_\rho = (i, j, \mu_\rho)$ such that $\mu_\rho(X) = k \in [i..j] \mid X \in L_k$ for every $X \in \mathbf{X}$. Note that the starting and ending positions i, j of the run define the interval of the complex event, and the labels $L_k \subseteq \mathbf{X}$ define the mapping μ_ρ of C_ρ . We define the set of all complex events of \mathcal{A} over \bar{S} as:

$$\llbracket \mathcal{A} \rrbracket(\bar{S}) = \{C_\rho \mid \rho \text{ is an accepting run of } \mathcal{A} \text{ over } \bar{S}\}$$

We present then the overlap operator for CEL as with the following definition:

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket \varphi_1 :o \varphi_2 \rrbracket(\bar{S}) = \{ & C_1 \cup C_2 \mid C_1 \in \llbracket \varphi_1 \rrbracket(\bar{S}) \wedge C_2 \in \llbracket \varphi_2 \rrbracket(\bar{S}) \\ & \wedge \text{start}(C_1) \leq \text{start}(C_2) \leq \text{end}(C_1) \leq \text{end}(C_2)\} \end{aligned}$$

⁶⁷ We also know from [11,22] the following theorem:

⁶⁸ ▶ **Theorem 1** (CEA and CEL equivalence). *For every CEL formula φ there exists a CEA \mathcal{A}_φ such that $\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket(\bar{S}) = \llbracket \mathcal{A}_\varphi \rrbracket(\bar{S})$ for every stream \bar{S}*

To maintain the correctness of it true, we extend the induction proof [11,22] by proving the following property: There exists a $\mathcal{A}_{:o}$ be a CEA as defined previously. Let φ_1 and φ_2 formulas in CEL. Then

$$\llbracket \varphi_1 :o \varphi_2 \rrbracket(\bar{S}) = \llbracket \mathcal{A} \rrbracket(\bar{S})$$

⁷⁰

⁷¹ Lets assume then that there exists an automaton that satisfies the previous property for φ_1 and φ_2 , therefore we know there exists $\mathcal{A}_{\varphi_1} = (Q_1, \mathbf{P}_1, \mathbf{X}_1, \Delta_1, q_0, F_1)$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\varphi_2} = (Q_2, \mathbf{P}_2, \mathbf{X}_2, \Delta_2, p_0, F_2)$ Then the construction for the overlap operator is as follows:

⁷⁴ let $\mathcal{A}_{:o}$ be a CEA such that $\mathcal{A}_{:o} = (Q_1 \uplus Q_2 \uplus Q_1 \times Q_2, \mathbf{P}_1 \uplus \mathbf{P}_2, \mathbf{X}_1 \uplus \mathbf{X}_2, \Delta_{:o} \uplus \Delta_1 \uplus \Delta_2, q_0, F_2)$ where $\Delta_{:o}$ is defined as:

⁷⁶ $\Delta_{:o} = \{(q, \text{TRUE}, \emptyset, (q, p_0)) \mid q \in Q_1, (q, p_0) \in Q_1 \times Q_2\} \cup$
⁷⁷ $\{((q, p), P_1 \wedge P_2, X_1 \cup X_2, (q', p')) \mid (q, P_1, X_1, q') \in \Delta_1, (p, P_2, X_2, p') \in \Delta_2, (q, p), (q', p') \in Q_1 \times Q_2\} \cup$
⁷⁹ $\{((q, p), \text{TRUE}, \emptyset, p) \mid q \in F_1, p \in Q_2\}$

⁸⁰ Intuitively, given an stream S we capture the eventes given φ_1 , and at some point (the overlap) we start capturing the events for φ_2 too.

⁸² **Fernando:** Cambiar TRUE y emtpyset por transicion directa

The proof is by double containment.

T.P. $\llbracket \varphi_1 :o \varphi_2 \rrbracket(\bar{S}) \subseteq \llbracket \mathcal{A}_{:o} \rrbracket(\bar{S})$

Let $C_1 \cup C_2 \in \llbracket \varphi_1 :o \varphi_2 \rrbracket(\bar{S})$ where $C_i \in \llbracket \varphi_i \rrbracket(\bar{S}) = \llbracket \mathcal{A}_{\varphi_i} \rrbracket(\bar{S})$ with $i \in \{1, 2\}$. From this we extend that there must exists a run on both \mathcal{A}_{φ_1} and \mathcal{A}_{φ_2} that accept C_1 and C_2 respectively. This is:

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_1 : q_0 &\xrightarrow{P_0/X_0} q_1 \xrightarrow{P_1/X_1} \dots \xrightarrow{P_{n-1}/X_{n-1}} q_n \\ \rho_2 : p_0 &\xrightarrow{P'_0/X'_0} p_1 \xrightarrow{P'_1/X'_1} \dots \xrightarrow{P'_{m-1}/X'_{m-1}} p_m\end{aligned}$$

With $q_n \in F_1$ and $p_m \in F_2$. By the previous construction of $\mathcal{A}_{:o}$ we can start building a run $\rho_{:o}$ as follows:

$$\rho_{:o} : q_0 \xrightarrow{P_0/X_0} q_1 \xrightarrow{P_1/X_1} \dots \xrightarrow{P_{i-1}/X_{i-1}} q_i$$

with $i \leq n$. By definition we know that $\text{start}(C_1) \leq \text{start}(C_2)$ therefore we can extend the run as such:

$$\rho_{:o} : \dots q_i \xrightarrow{\text{TRUE}/\emptyset} (q_i, p_0)$$

And then by construction:

$$\rho_{:o} : \dots (q_i, p_0) \xrightarrow{P_i \wedge P'_0/X_i \cup X'_0} (q_{i+1}, p_1) \xrightarrow{P_{i+1} \wedge P'_1/X_{i+1} \cup X'_1} \dots \xrightarrow{P_{n-1} \wedge P'_{j-1}/X_{n-1} \cup X'_{j-1}} (q_n, p_j)$$

With $j \leq m$ and $q_n \in F_1$. By definition we know that $\text{end}(C_1) \leq \text{end}(C_2)$ therefore we can extend the run once more:

$$\rho_{:o} : \dots (q_n, p_j) \xrightarrow{\text{TRUE}/\emptyset} p_j$$

Finally then, by construction:

$$\rho_{:o} : \dots p_j \xrightarrow{P'_j/X'_j} p_{j+1} \xrightarrow{P'_{j+1}/X'_{j+1}} \dots \xrightarrow{P'_{n-1}/X'_{n-1}} p_n$$

Because $p_n \in F_2$, then $\rho_{:o}$ is a run of $\mathcal{A}_{:o}$ that accepts $C_1 \cup C_2$ over a stream \bar{S} . Then $\llbracket \varphi_1 :o \varphi_2 \rrbracket(\bar{S}) \subseteq \llbracket \mathcal{A}_{:o} \rrbracket(\bar{S})$.

T.P. $\llbracket \mathcal{A}_{:o} \rrbracket(\bar{S}) \subseteq \llbracket \varphi_1 :o \varphi_2 \rrbracket(\bar{S})$

Let $\rho_{:o}$ be a run of $\mathcal{A}_{:o}$ that accepts C over \bar{S} :

$$\rho_{:o} : q_0 \xrightarrow{P_0/X_0} \dots \xrightarrow{\text{TRUE}/\emptyset} (q_i, p_0) \xrightarrow{P_i \wedge P'_0/X_i \cup X'_0} \dots \rightarrow (q_n, p_{j+1}) \xrightarrow{\text{TRUE}/\emptyset} \dots \xrightarrow{P'_{n-1}/X'_{n-1}} p_m$$

By construction we can define the runs ρ_1 and ρ_2 of \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_2 as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_1 : q_0 &\xrightarrow{P_0/X_0} q_1 \xrightarrow{P_1/X_1} \dots \xrightarrow{P_{n-1}/X_{n-1}} q_n \\ \rho_2 : p_0 &\xrightarrow{P'_0/X'_0} p_1 \xrightarrow{P'_1/X'_1} \dots \xrightarrow{P'_{m-1}/X'_{m-1}} p_m\end{aligned}$$

⁸³ Where the complex event accepted by this runs we denote by C_1 and C_2 . By construction its

⁸⁴ easy to see that $\text{start}(C_1) \leq \text{start}(C_2) \leq \text{end}(C_1) \leq \text{end}(C_2)$ and $C_1 \in \llbracket \varphi_1 \rrbracket(\bar{S}) \wedge C_2 \in \llbracket \varphi_2 \rrbracket(\bar{S})$.

⁸⁵ We now prove that $C = C_1 \cup C_2$.

Fernando: hacerlo por doble contencion

⁸⁶

⁸⁷ Then $\llbracket \mathcal{A}_{:o} \rrbracket(\bar{S}) \subseteq \llbracket \varphi_1 :o \varphi_2 \rrbracket(\bar{S})$.

⁸⁸

⁸⁹ Finally $\llbracket \mathcal{A}_{:o} \rrbracket(\bar{S}) = \llbracket \varphi_1 :o \varphi_2 \rrbracket(\bar{S})$.

⁹⁰

□

91 **4 Conclusions**

92 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut
93 labore et dolore magna aliqua. Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation ullamco
94 laboris nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo consequat. Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in
95 voluptate velit esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur. Excepteur sint occaecat cupidatat
96 non proident, sunt in culpa qui officia deserunt mollit anim id est laborum.

References

- 97 ————— References —————
- 98 1 Guillaume Bagan, Arnaud Durand, and Etienne Grandjean. On acyclic conjunctive queries
99 and constant delay enumeration. In *CSL*, pages 208–222, 2007.
- 100 2 Marco Bucchi, Alejandro Grez, Andrés Quintana, Cristian Riveros, and Stijn Vansumeren.
101 CORE: a complex event recognition engine. *VLDB*, 15(9):1951–1964, 2022.
- 102 3 Arnaud Durand and Etienne Grandjean. First-order queries on structures of bounded degree
103 are computable with constant delay. *ACM Trans. Comput. Log.*, 8(4):21, 2007.

A Proofs from Section 2

104
105 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut
106 labore et dolore magna aliqua. Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation ullamco
107 laboris nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo consequat. Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in
108 voluptate velit esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur. Excepteur sint occaecat cupidatat
109 non proident, sunt in culpa qui officia deserunt mollit anim id est laborum.

B Proofs of Section 3**B.1 Proof of Lemma ??**

110
111 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut
112 labore et dolore magna aliqua. Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation ullamco
113 laboris nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo consequat. Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in
114 voluptate velit esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur. Excepteur sint occaecat cupidatat
115 non proident, sunt in culpa qui officia deserunt mollit anim id est laborum.

116
117 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut
118 labore et dolore magna aliqua. Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation ullamco
119 laboris nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo consequat. Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in
120 voluptate velit esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur. Excepteur sint occaecat cupidatat
121 non proident, sunt in culpa qui officia deserunt mollit anim id est laborum.

122
123 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut
124 labore et dolore magna aliqua. Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation ullamco
125 laboris nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo consequat. Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in
126 voluptate velit esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur. Excepteur sint occaecat cupidatat
127 non proident, sunt in culpa qui officia deserunt mollit anim id est laborum.

128
129 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut
130 labore et dolore magna aliqua. Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation ullamco
131 laboris nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo consequat. Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in
132 voluptate velit esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur. Excepteur sint occaecat cupidatat
133 non proident, sunt in culpa qui officia deserunt mollit anim id est laborum.

134
135 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut
136 labore et dolore magna aliqua. Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation ullamco
137 laboris nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo consequat. Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in
138 voluptate velit esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur. Excepteur sint occaecat cupidatat
139 non proident, sunt in culpa qui officia deserunt mollit anim id est laborum.

140
141 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut
142 labore et dolore magna aliqua. Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation ullamco
143 laboris nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo consequat. Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in
144 voluptate velit esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur. Excepteur sint occaecat cupidatat
145 non proident, sunt in culpa qui officia deserunt mollit anim id est laborum.

B.2 Proof of Theorem 1

146
147 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut
148 labore et dolore magna aliqua. Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation ullamco
149 laboris nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo consequat. Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in
150 voluptate velit esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur. Excepteur sint occaecat cupidatat
151 non proident, sunt in culpa qui officia deserunt mollit anim id est laborum.




Figure 2 An example iteration of `trav` and `move`. The sequences of nodes joined by dashed lines represent a stack St , where the first one was obtained after calling `trav` over the topmost union node, and the following five are obtained by repeated applications of `move(St)`.

146 voluptate velit esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur. Excepteur sint occaecat cupidatat
 147 non proident, sunt in culpa qui officia deserunt mollit anim id est laborum.

148 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt
 149 ut labore et dolore magna aliqua. Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation ullamco
 150 laboris nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo consequat. Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in
 151 voluptate velit esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur. Excepteur sint occaecat cupidatat
 152 non proident, sunt in culpa qui officia deserunt mollit anim id est laborum. Lorem ipsum
 153 dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et
 154 dolore magna aliqua. Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation ullamco laboris
 155 nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo consequat. Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in voluptate
 156 velit esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur. Excepteur sint occaecat cupidatat non
 157 proident, sunt in culpa qui officia deserunt mollit anim id est laborum.

158 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt
 159 ut labore et dolore magna aliqua. Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation ullamco




Figure 3 Gadget used in Theorem 1.

160 laboris nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo consequat. Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in
 161 voluptate velit esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur. Excepteur sint occaecat cupidatat
 162 non proident, sunt in culpa qui officia deserunt mollit anim id est laborum.

163 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididuntut
 164 ut labore et dolore magna aliqua. Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation ullamco
 165 laboris nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo consequat. Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in
 166 voluptate velit esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur. Excepteur sint occaecat cupidatat
 167 non proident, sunt in culpa qui officia deserunt mollit anim id est laborum.

168 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididuntut
 169 ut labore et dolore magna aliqua. Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation ullamco
 170 laboris nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo consequat. Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in
 171 voluptate velit esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur. Excepteur sint occaecat cupidatat
 172 non proident, sunt in culpa qui officia deserunt mollit anim id est laborum.

173 B.3 Proof of Proposition ??

174 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididuntut ut
 175 labore et dolore magna aliqua. Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation ullamco
 176 laboris nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo consequat. Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in
 177 voluptate velit esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur. Excepteur sint occaecat cupidatat
 178 non proident, sunt in culpa qui officia deserunt mollit anim id est laborum.

179 ▷ **Claim 2.** Fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let \mathcal{C}_k be the class of all duplicate-free and k -bounded D that satisfy
 180 the ϵ condition. Then one can solve the problem **Enum**[\mathcal{C}_k] with output-linear delay and
 181 without preprocessing (i.e. constant preprocessing time).

182 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididuntut
 183 ut labore et dolore magna aliqua. Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation ullamco
 184 laboris nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo consequat. Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in
 185 voluptate velit esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur. Excepteur sint occaecat cupidatat
 186 non proident, sunt in culpa qui officia deserunt mollit anim id est laborum.

187 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididuntut
 188 ut labore et dolore magna aliqua. Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation ullamco
 189 laboris nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo consequat. Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in
 190 voluptate velit esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur. Excepteur sint occaecat cupidatat
 191 non proident, sunt in culpa qui officia deserunt mollit anim id est laborum.

192 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididuntut
 193 ut labore et dolore magna aliqua. Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation ullamco
 194 laboris nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo consequat. Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in




Figure 4 Gadgets for product as defined for an D with the ϵ -node.

195 voluptate velit esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur. Excepteur sint occaecat cupidatat
 196 non proident, sunt in culpa qui officia deserunt mollit anim id est laborum.

197 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt
 198 ut labore et dolore magna aliqua. Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation ullamco
 199 laboris nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo consequat. Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in
 200 voluptate velit esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur. Excepteur sint occaecat cupidatat
 201 non proident, sunt in culpa qui officia deserunt mollit anim id est laborum.