Faith-Michael E. Uzoka; Boluwaji A. Akinnuwesi; Nuga Oluwole; Adebayo F. Adekoya; Oluwadamilola Y. Egbekunle. Identifying factors for evaluating software project proposals.

International Journal of Quality Engineering and Technology (IJQET), Vol. 6, No. 1/2, 2016

## SOFTWARE PROJECTS PROPOSALS EVALUATION TEMPLATE: FACTORS AND VARIABLES

Total cost:		
	<= Project	
1	<= Maintenance	
İ	<= Infrastructure	
İ	<= Consultant	
' 	<= License	
1	<= Training	
1	<= Installation	
	'	
	<= Upgrading	
	<= Hardware	
In	nplementation time:	
	<= Duration	
	<= Project management ability	
	<= Number of modules	
	<pre>  &lt;= Availability of modules</pre>	
	<= Completeness	
	<= Interoperability	
Functionality:		
	<= Security levels	
	<= Number of simultaneous users	
	<= Main target	
	<= Included functionality	
	<= Adaptability	
	<= Openness	
	<= Parameter settings	
	<= High function fitness	
	<= Multi language, multisite	
1	<= Permission management   <= Database protection	
1	<= Number of distributed modules	
	<= Number of work stations	
i	<= Number of independently installable modules	
	<= Number of modules installable in separate servers	
US	ser friendliness.	
	<= Graphical interface	
	<= Ease of operation	
	<= Ease of learning	
	<= Users manuals	
	<= Tutorials	
	<= Training	
	<pre>  &lt;= Troubleshooting guide.</pre>	

## Flexibility.

	<= Upgrade
	<= Platform independence
	<pre>&lt;= Ease of integration with other IS</pre>
	<pre>&lt;= Technology flexibility</pre>
0	uality.
Ų	<= Customizable fields
1	<= Customizable reports
1	<= Interface type
1	<= Programming language
	<= DBMS standards
	<= Communication standards
ı	<= Platform variety
' 	<= Scalability
' 	<pre>  &lt;= Data visualization</pre>
İ	<= Error reporting
İ	<= Robustness
R	eliability:
	<= Stability
	<= Recovery ability
	<= Automatic data backup and recovery.
R	eputation:
	<= Financial stability
	<pre>  &lt;= Provision of reference site</pre>
	<= Improving reputation of product and service
	<pre>  &lt;= Lower risk of the organization.</pre>
E	xperience.
	<pre>&lt;= Length of experience</pre>
	<= Past business experience.
S	ervices.
ı	<= Warranties
ı	<= Consultancy service
	<= Training services
	<= Service speed.
ı	The service specu.
Т	echnical capability.
	<= Good upgrade service
ı	<= Good implementation experience
l J	
1	<= Ease of implementation
	<pre>&lt;= Adequate number of engineers</pre>
	<= Technical and business skills
1	<= Internal technical knowledge.

## ${\color{red} \textbf{Commitment}}.$

<= Team commitment
<= Organization commitment.
Fundamentals.
<= Database and hardware that support the system
<= Vendor size
<= DBMS standards.
User interest and software experience.
<= Interest
<= Willingness
<= Academic qualifications
<= Familiarity of user with software tools
<= Users experience in the problem area of the software system
<= Length of experience and Professional qualification of the users.
Components/organizational-based factors.
<= Expected size of the organizational database
<= Complexity of the software project
$\mid$ <= Volume of required operational services of the organization to be represented in the software.
Hardware-based factors.
<= Service execution time constraint
<= Main storage constraint
<= Turnaround time of the computer
<= Bandwidth size available
<= Strength of the communication devices