## Theorems of the Propositional Calculus

### EQUIVALENCE AND TRUE

- (3.1) Axiom, Associativity of  $\equiv$ :  $((p \equiv q) \equiv r) \equiv (p \equiv (q \equiv r))$
- (3.2) Axiom, Symmetry of  $\equiv$ :  $p \equiv q \equiv p$
- (3.3) Axiom, Identity of  $\equiv$ :  $true \equiv q \equiv q$
- (3.4) true
- (3.5) Reflexivity of  $\equiv : p \equiv p$

## NEGATION, INEQUIVALENCE, AND FALSE

- (3.8) Axiom, Definition of false: false = -true
- (3.9) Axiom, Distributivity of  $\neg$  over  $\equiv : \neg (p \equiv q) \equiv \neg p \equiv q$
- (3.10) Axiom, Definition of  $\not\equiv$ :  $(p \not\equiv q) \equiv \neg (p \equiv q)$
- (3.11)  $\neg p \equiv q \equiv p \equiv \neg q$
- (3.12) Double negation:  $\neg \neg p \equiv p$
- (3.13) Negation of false: ¬false ≡ true
- (3.14)  $(p \not\equiv q) \equiv \neg p \equiv q$
- $(3.15) \neg p \equiv p \equiv false$
- (3.16) Symmetry of  $\not\equiv$ :  $(p \not\equiv q) \equiv (q \not\equiv p)$
- (3.17) Associativity of  $\not\equiv$ :  $((p \not\equiv q) \not\equiv r) \equiv (p \not\equiv (q \not\equiv r))$
- (3.18) Mutual associativity:  $((p \neq q) \leq r) \equiv (p \neq (q \equiv r))$
- (3.19) Mutual interchangeability:  $p \not\equiv q \equiv r \equiv p \equiv q \not\equiv r$

## DISJUNCTION

- (3.24) Axiom, Symmetry of  $\vee$ :  $p \vee q \equiv q \vee p$
- (3.25) Axiom, Associativity of  $\vee$ :  $(p \vee q) \vee r \equiv p \vee (q \vee r)$
- (3.26) Axiom, Idempotency of  $\vee$ :  $p \vee p \equiv p$
- (3.27) Axiom, Distributivity of  $\lor$  over  $\equiv: p \lor (q \equiv r) \equiv p \lor q \equiv p \lor r$  (3.60) Definition of implication:  $p \Rightarrow q \equiv p \land q \equiv p$
- (3.28) Axiom, Excluded Middle:  $p \lor \neg p$
- (3.29) Zero of  $\vee$ :  $p \vee true \equiv true$
- (3.30) Identity of  $\vee$ :  $p \vee false \equiv p$
- (3.31) Distributivity of  $\vee$  over  $\vee$ :  $p \vee (q \vee r) \equiv (p \vee q) \vee (p \vee r)$
- (3.32)  $p \lor q \equiv p \lor \neg q \equiv p$

### CONJUNCTION

- (3.35) Axiom, Golden rule:  $p \land q \equiv p \equiv q \equiv p \lor q$
- (3.36) Symmetry of  $\wedge$ :  $p \wedge q \equiv q \wedge p$

- (3.37) Associativity of  $\wedge$ :  $(p \wedge q) \wedge r \equiv p \wedge (q \wedge r)$
- (3.38) Idempotency of  $\wedge$ :  $p \wedge p \equiv p$
- (3.39) Identity of  $\wedge$ :  $p \wedge true \equiv p$
- (3.40) Zero of  $\wedge$ :  $p \wedge false \equiv false$
- (3.41) Distributivity of  $\wedge$  over  $\wedge$ :  $p \wedge (q \wedge r) \equiv (p \wedge q) \wedge (p \wedge r)$
- (3.42) Contradiction:  $p \land \neg p \equiv false$
- (3.43) Absorption: (a)  $p \land (p \lor q) \equiv p$ 
  - (b)  $p \lor (p \land q) \equiv p$
- (3.44) Absorption: (a)  $p \wedge (\neg p \vee q) \equiv p \wedge q$ 
  - (b)  $p \lor (\neg p \land q) \equiv p \lor q$
- (3.45) Distributivity of  $\vee$  over  $\wedge$ :  $p \vee (q \wedge r) \equiv (p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)$
- (3.46) Distributivity of  $\wedge$  over  $\vee$ :  $p \wedge (q \vee r) \equiv (p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge r)$
- (3.47) De Morgan: (a)  $\neg (p \land q) \equiv \neg p \lor \neg q$

(b) 
$$\neg (p \lor q) \equiv \neg p \land \neg q$$

- $(3.48) \ p \land q \equiv p \land \neg q \equiv \neg p$
- $(3.49) \ p \land (q \equiv r) \equiv p \land q \equiv p \land r \equiv p$
- $(3.50) p \wedge (q \equiv p) \equiv p \wedge q$
- (3.51) Replacement:  $(p \equiv q) \land (r \equiv p) \equiv (p \equiv q) \land (r \equiv q)$
- (3.52) Definition of  $\equiv$ :  $p \equiv q \equiv (p \land q) \lor (\neg p \land \neg q)$
- (3.53) Exclusive or:  $p \not\equiv q \equiv (\neg p \land q) \lor (p \land \neg q)$
- $(3.55)\ (p \wedge q) \wedge r \equiv \ p \equiv q \equiv r \equiv p \vee q \equiv q \vee r \equiv r \vee p \equiv p \vee q \vee r \quad \text{Leibniz as an axiom}$

## IMPLICATION

- (3.57) Axiom, Definition of Implication:  $p \Rightarrow q \equiv p \lor q \equiv q$
- (3.58) Axiom, Consequence:  $p \leftarrow q \equiv q \Rightarrow p$
- (3.59) Definition of implication:  $p \Rightarrow q \equiv \neg p \lor q$
- (3.61) Contrapositive:  $p \Rightarrow q \equiv \neg q \Rightarrow \neg p$
- $(3.62) \ p \Rightarrow (q \equiv r) \equiv p \land q \equiv p \land r$
- (3.63) Distributivity of  $\Rightarrow$  over  $\equiv$ :  $p \Rightarrow (q \equiv r) \equiv p \Rightarrow q \equiv p \Rightarrow r$
- $(3.64) p \Rightarrow (q \Rightarrow r) \equiv (p \Rightarrow q) \Rightarrow (p \Rightarrow r)$
- (3.65) Shunting:  $p \land q \Rightarrow r \equiv p \Rightarrow (q \Rightarrow r)$
- (3.66)  $p \land (p \Rightarrow q) \equiv p \land q$
- $(3.67) p \wedge (q \Rightarrow p) \equiv p$
- $(3.68)\ p \lor (p \Rightarrow q) \equiv \mathit{true}$
- $(3.69) p \lor (q \Rightarrow p) \equiv q \Rightarrow p$

- (3.70)  $p \lor q \Rightarrow p \land q \equiv p \equiv q$
- (3.71) Reflexivity of  $\Rightarrow$ :  $p \Rightarrow p \equiv true$
- (3.72) Right zero of  $\Rightarrow$ :  $p \Rightarrow true \equiv true$
- (3.73) Left identity of  $\Rightarrow$ : true  $\Rightarrow p \equiv p$
- (3.74)  $p \Rightarrow false \equiv \neg p$
- (3.75) false  $\Rightarrow p \equiv true$
- (3.76) Weakening/strengthening: (a)  $p \Rightarrow p \lor q$ 
  - (b)  $p \land q \Rightarrow p$
  - (c)  $p \land q \Rightarrow p \lor q$
  - (d)  $p \lor (q \land r) \Rightarrow p \lor q$
  - (e)  $p \land q \Rightarrow p \land (q \lor r)$
- (3.77) Modus ponens:  $p \land (p \Rightarrow q) \Rightarrow q$
- $(3.78) (p \Rightarrow r) \land (q \Rightarrow r) \equiv (p \lor q \Rightarrow r)$
- $(3.79) (p \Rightarrow r) \land (\neg p \Rightarrow r) \equiv r$
- (3.80) Mutual implication:  $(p \Rightarrow q) \land (q \Rightarrow p) \equiv (p \equiv q)$
- (3.81) Antisymmetry:  $(p \Rightarrow q) \land (q \Rightarrow p) \Rightarrow (p \equiv q)$
- (3.82) Transitivity: (a)  $(p \Rightarrow q) \land (q \Rightarrow r) \Rightarrow (p \Rightarrow r)$ 
  - (b)  $(p \equiv q) \land (q \Rightarrow r) \Rightarrow (p \Rightarrow r)$
  - (c)  $(p \Rightarrow q) \land (q \equiv r) \Rightarrow (p \Rightarrow r)$

- (3.83) Axiom, Leibniz:  $e = f \implies E_*^z = E_*^z$
- (3.84) Substitution: (a)  $(e = f) \wedge E_e^z \equiv (e = f) \wedge E_f^z$

(b) 
$$(e = f) \Rightarrow E_e^z \equiv (e = f) \Rightarrow E_f^z$$

(c) 
$$q \wedge (e = f) \Rightarrow E_e^z \equiv q \wedge (e = f) \Rightarrow E_e^z$$

- (3.85) Replace by true: (a)  $p \Rightarrow E_p^z \equiv p \Rightarrow E_{true}^z$ 
  - (b)  $q \wedge p \Rightarrow E_p^z \equiv q \wedge p \Rightarrow E_{true}^z$
- (3.86) Replace by false: (a)  $E_p^z \Rightarrow p \equiv E_{false}^z \Rightarrow p$ 
  - (b)  $E_p^z \Rightarrow p \lor q \equiv E_{false}^z \Rightarrow p \lor q$
- (3.87) Replace by true:  $p \wedge E_p^z \equiv p \wedge E_{true}^z$
- (3.88) Replace by false:  $p \vee E_p^z \equiv p \vee E_{false}^z$
- (3.89) Shannon:  $E_p^z \equiv (p \wedge E_{true}^z) \vee (\neg p \wedge E_{talse}^z)$
- $(4.1) p \Rightarrow (q \Rightarrow p)$
- (4.2) Monotonicity of  $\vee$ :  $(p \Rightarrow q) \Rightarrow (p \lor r \Rightarrow q \lor r)$
- (4.3) Monotonicity of  $\wedge$ :  $(p \Rightarrow q) \Rightarrow (p \land r \Rightarrow q \land r)$

## PROOF TECHNIQUES

- (4.4) **Deduction:** To prove  $P \Rightarrow Q$ , assume P and prove Q.
- (4.5) Case analysis: If  $E^z_{true}$ ,  $E^z_{false}$  are theorems, then so is  $E^z_P$ .
- (4.6) Case analysis:  $(p \lor q \lor r) \land (p \Rightarrow s) \land (q \Rightarrow s) \land (r \Rightarrow s) \Rightarrow s$
- (4.7) Mutual implication: To prove  $P \equiv Q$ , prove  $P \Rightarrow Q$  and  $Q \Rightarrow P$ .
- (4.9) **Proof by contradiction:** To prove P, prove  $\neg P \Rightarrow false$ .
- (4.12) **Proof by contrapositive:** To prove  $P \Rightarrow Q$ , prove  $\neg Q \Rightarrow \neg P$

# GENERAL LAWS OF QUANTIFICATION

For symmetric and associative binary operator  $\star$  with identity u.

- (8.13) Axiom, Empty range:  $(\star x \mid false : P) = u$
- (8.14) Axiom, One-point rule: Provided  $\neg occurs(`x', `E')$ ,  $(\star x \mid x = E : P) = P[x := E]$
- (8.15) **Axiom, Distributivity:** Provided each quantification is defined,  $(\star x \mid R:P) \star (\star x \mid R:Q) = (\star x \mid R:P \star Q)$
- (8.16) Axiom, Range split: Provided  $R \wedge S \equiv false$  and each quantification is defined,  $(\star x \mid R \vee S : P) = (\star x \mid R : P) \star (\star x \mid S : P)$
- (8.17) **Axiom, Range split:** Provided each quantification is defined,  $(\star x \mid R \lor S : P) \star (\star x \mid R \land S : P) = (\star x \mid R : P) \star (\star x \mid S : P)$
- (8.18) Axiom, Range split for idempotent  $\star$ : Prov. each quant. is defined,  $(\star x \mid R \lor S : P) = (\star x \mid R : P) \star (\star x \mid S : P)$
- (8.19) **Axiom, Interchange of dummies:** Provided each quantification is defined,  $\neg occurs(`y', `R')$ , and  $\neg occurs(`x', `Q')$ ,  $(\star x \mid R : (\star y \mid Q : P)) = (\star y \mid Q : (\star x \mid R : P))$
- (8.20) **Axiom, Nesting:** Provided  $\neg occurs('y', 'R')$ ,  $(\star x, y \mid R \land Q : P) = (\star x \mid R : (\star y \mid Q : P))$
- (8.21) Axiom, Dummy renaming: Provided  $\neg occurs(`y', `R.P')$ ,  $(\star x \mid R:P) = (\star y \mid R[x:=y]:P[x:=y])$
- (8.22) Change of dummy: Provided  $\neg occurs('y', 'R, P')$ , and f has an inverse,  $(\star x \mid R : P) = (\star y \mid R[x := f.y] : P[x := f.y])$
- (8.23) Split off term:  $(\star i \mid 0 \le i < n+1 : P) = (\star i \mid 0 \le i < n : P) \star P_n^i$

# Theorems of the Predicate Calculus

UNIVERSAL QUANTIFICATION

- (9.2) Axiom, Trading:  $(\forall x \mid R : P) \equiv (\forall x \mid : R \Rightarrow P)$
- (9.3) Trading: (a)  $(\forall x \mid R : P) \equiv (\forall x \mid : \neg R \lor P)$ (b)  $(\forall x \mid R : P) \equiv (\forall x \mid : R \land P \equiv R)$ (c)  $(\forall x \mid R : P) \equiv (\forall x \mid : R \lor P \equiv P)$

- (9.4) Trading: (a)  $(\forall x \mid Q \land R : P) \equiv (\forall x \mid Q : R \Rightarrow P)$ (b)  $(\forall x \mid Q \land R : P) \equiv (\forall x \mid Q : \neg R \lor P)$ (c)  $(\forall x \mid Q \land R : P) \equiv (\forall x \mid Q : R \land P \equiv R)$ (d)  $(\forall x \mid Q \land R : P) \equiv (\forall x \mid Q : R \lor P \equiv P)$
- (9.5) Axiom, Distributivity of  $\vee$  over  $\forall$ : Prov.  $\neg occurs(`x', `P')$ ,  $P \vee (\forall x \mid R : Q) \equiv (\forall x \mid R : P \vee Q)$
- (9.6) Provided  $\neg occurs(x', P')$ ,  $(\forall x \mid R : P) \equiv P \lor (\forall x \mid : \neg R)$
- (9.7) **Distributivity of**  $\land$  **over**  $\forall$ : Provided  $\neg occurs(`x', `P')$ ,  $\neg(\forall x \mid : \neg R) \Rightarrow ((\forall x \mid R : P \land Q) \equiv P \land (\forall x \mid R : Q))$
- (9.8)  $(\forall x \mid R : true) \equiv true$
- $(9.9) \quad (\forall x \mid R: P \equiv Q) \Rightarrow ((\forall x \mid R: P) \equiv (\forall x \mid R: Q))$
- (9.10) Range weakening/strengthening:  $(\forall x \mid Q \lor R : P) \Rightarrow (\forall x \mid Q : P)$
- (9.11) Body weakening/strengthening:  $(\forall x \mid R : P \land Q) \Rightarrow (\forall x \mid R : P)$
- (9.12) Monotonicity of  $\forall$ :  $(\forall x \mid R: Q \Rightarrow P) \Rightarrow ((\forall x \mid R: Q) \Rightarrow (\forall x \mid R: P))$
- (9.13) Instantiation:  $(\forall x \mid : P) \Rightarrow P[x := e]$
- (9.16) P is a theorem iff  $(\forall x \mid : P)$  is a theorem.

## EXISTENTIAL QUANTIFICATION

- (9.17) Axiom, Generalized De Morgan:  $(\exists x \mid R : P) \equiv \neg(\forall x \mid R : \neg P)$
- (9.18) Generalized De Morgan: (a)  $\neg (\exists x \mid R : \neg P) \equiv (\forall x \mid R : P)$ (b)  $\neg (\exists x \mid R : P) \equiv (\forall x \mid R : \neg P)$ (c)  $(\exists x \mid R : \neg P) \equiv \neg (\forall x \mid R : P)$
- (9.19) Trading:  $(\exists x \mid R : P) \equiv (\exists x \mid : R \land P)$
- (9.20) Trading:  $(\exists x \mid Q \land R : P) \equiv (\exists x \mid Q : R \land P)$
- (9.21) **Distributivity of**  $\land$  **over**  $\exists$ : Provided  $\neg occurs(`x', `P')$ ,  $P \land (\exists x \mid R : Q) \equiv (\exists x \mid R : P \land Q)$
- (9.22) Provided  $\neg occurs(x', P')$ ,  $(\exists x \mid R : P) \equiv P \land (\exists x \mid R)$
- (9.23) **Distributivity of**  $\vee$  **over**  $\exists$ : Provided  $\neg occurs(`x', `P')$ ,  $(\exists x \mid R) \Rightarrow ((\exists x \mid R : P \lor Q) \equiv P \lor (\exists x \mid R : Q))$
- (9.24)  $(\exists x \mid R : false) \equiv false$
- (9.25) Range weakening/strengthening:  $(\exists x \mid R : P) \Rightarrow (\exists x \mid Q \lor R : P)$
- (9.26) Body weakening/strengthening:  $(\exists x \mid R : P) \Rightarrow (\exists x \mid R : P \lor Q)$
- (9.27) Monotonicity of  $\exists$ :  $(\forall x \mid R: Q \Rightarrow P) \Rightarrow ((\exists x \mid R: Q) \Rightarrow (\exists x \mid R: P))$
- (9.28)  $\exists$ -Introduction:  $P[x := E] \Rightarrow (\exists x \mid : P)$
- (9.29) Interchange of quantifications: Provided  $\neg occurs(`y', `R')$  and  $\neg occurs(`x', `Q')$ ,  $(\exists x \mid R : (\forall y \mid Q : P)) \Rightarrow (\forall y \mid Q : (\exists x \mid R : P))$
- (9.30) Provided  $\neg occurs(\hat{x}, \hat{Y})$ ,  $(\exists x \mid R : P) \Rightarrow Q$  is a theorem iff  $(R \land P)[x := \hat{x}] \Rightarrow Q$  is a theorem