Regularized Linear Regression

April 1st, 2019

Regularization •000

Regularization

Complex learning models may lead to unstable behavior

- Complex learning algorithms can become unstable; i.e., highly dependent on the training data
- Instability is a manifestation of a tendency of overfitting
- Regularization is a general method to avoid such overfitting by applying additional constraints to the weight vector
- A common strategy is to make sure that the weight are, on average, small in magnitude, which is known as shrinkage

A regularization function measures the complexity of the hypotheses

- It can be also seen as a stabilizer of the learning algorithm
- An algorithm is considered stable if a slight change of its input does not change its output much.
- Let A be a learning algorithm, $S = (z_i, ..., z_m)$ be a training set of m examples and A(S) denote the output of A
- We can say that algorithm A is suffering from overfitting if the difference between the true risk of its output $L_d(A(S))$, and the empirical risk of its output $L_s(A(S))$ is large.
- Thus, our interest is in the expectation

$$\mathbb{E}_{s}[L_{\mathfrak{D}}(A(S)) - L_{s}(A(S))]$$

- In this case, stability can be defines as: let z' be an additional example and $S^{(i)}$ be the training set obtained by replacing the i^{th} example of S, $S^{(i)} = (z_i, \ldots, z_{i-1}, z', z_{i+1}, \ldots, z_m)$
- Thus, stability measures the effect of the small change of the input on the output of A by comparing the loss of the hypotheses A(S) on z_i to the loss of the hypotheses $A(S^{(i)})$ on z_i .
- Consequently, a good learning algorithm will have $\ell(A(S^{(i)}), z_i) \ell(A(S), z_i) \geq 0$, since in the first term the learning algorithm does not observe the example z_i while in the second the term z_i is indeed observed. If the difference is very large, the learning algorithm might been overfitting

Regularization

It is based on sum of squared residuals populty

It is based on sum of squared residuals penalty

$$\hat{\beta}_{\textit{ridge}} = \operatorname*{arg\ min}_{\beta} \left(y - X\beta \right)^{\mathsf{T}} (y - X\beta) + \lambda ||\beta||^2$$

- where $||\beta||^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \beta_i^2$ is the squared norm of the vector β , or equivalently the dot product $\beta^T \beta$
- ullet λ is a scalar determining the amount of the regularization
- Its closed-form can be written as:

$$\hat{\beta} = (X^T X + \lambda I)^{-1} X^T y$$

 Ridge regression shrinks the coefficients towards 0, but does not lead to a sparse model

$$\hat{\beta}_{\textit{lasso}} = \mathop{\arg\min}_{\beta} ||y - \beta||_2^2 + \lambda ||\beta||_1$$

- It stands for Least absolute shrinkage and selection operator
- It replaces the ridge regularization term $\sum\limits_{i=1}^p \beta_i^2$ with the sum of the absolute weights $\sum\limits_{i=1}^p |\beta_i|$
- Thus, lasso uses L_1 regularization, whereas ridge regression uses the L_2 norm
- Lasso regression favors sparse solutions

- It is quite sensitive to the regularization parameter λ , which is usually set on hold-out data or in cross-validation
- Therefore, there is no closed form solution and numerical optimization technique must be applied.

In summary...

- Ridge regression
 - correlated variables get similar weights
 - identical variables get identical weights
 - It is not sparse
- Lasso
 - correlated variables are randomly picked out
 - It is sparse

References

 Hal Daume III. A Course in Machine Learning. 2nd. Self-published, 2017. URL:

```
http://ciml.info/dl/v0_99/ciml-v0_99-all.pdf
```

- **10** Regularization: sessions 7.2 and 7.3
- Trevor Hastie, Robert Tibshirani, and Jerome Friedman. The Elements of Statistical Learning: Data Mining, Inference, and Prediction. 2nd. Springer, 2016. URL:

```
https://web.stanford.edu/~hastie/Papers/ESLII.pdf
```

- Regularization: session 10.12
- Ridge regression: session 3.4.1
- **10** Lasso: session 3.4.2